Fustians in Englishmen’s Dress: from Cloth to Emblem

By PHILIPA. SYKAS

This paper examines the nature of the textiles known as fustians, originally imported but later manufactured in England. The focus is on eighteenth-century England when fustians underwent further development into modern cloth types. Evidence of the use of fustians for men’s dress, and the status of those who wore them, is explored to shed further light on the developments leading up to the association of fustian with working-class men. The paper is based on a presentation delivered at the Costume Society Symposium: Town and Country Style in 2007.

The association of fustians with rural men was familiar enough in the mid-nineteenth century to feature in a village festival sketched in the children’s classic, Tom Brown’s Schooldays. From the churchyard, the field is seen ‘thronged with country folk; the men in clean, white smocks or velveteen or fustian coats’.¹ The book was based on the author’s own experiences at Rugby school from 1834 to 1842, and makes use of clothing to mark class difference and social status in the countryside. During the same years, the labouring men of England’s towns also claimed fustians as their own. So much so, that the Chartist leader Feargus O’Connor (1796?-1855) proclaimed ‘fustian jackets, blistered hands, and unshorn chins’ as the proud emblems of the urban working man.² When did fustian become such a part of the labouring scene across both urban and rural settings? This paper examines the use of fustians in the previous century to shed light on the evolution of associations between fustian and men’s dress.

Most fabric names have fluctuating and variable meanings, but the term fustian is even more confusing than usual. This is not only because fustians are a broad class of textiles encompassing a range of weave structures, but because they changed over time by the
use of different fibres and finishes. To add to the difficulties, historians have used the term in a loose way, and also as a translation of foreign terms coming from widely varying contexts.

The manufacture of fustians in Europe probably arose from Islamic precedents, and is thought to have begun with the rise of the cotton trade in twelfth-century Genoa and Venice. This cotton was distributed to the textile industries of Lombardy where it was woven into all-cotton and cotton mixture cloths (with linen, hemp and wool) known collectively as *fustagni*.³ By the end of the century, such cotton-based cloths were exported widely from North Italy; Maureen Mazzaoui was able to trace one medieval document referring to the sale of ‘fustians’, thought to be of Italian make, in London.⁴ Mazzaoui tracked the rise of the production of cotton-based cloth, centred in Milan and Cremona and its gradual decline from the end of the fourteenth century at which time the Swabian towns of Ulm and Augsburg across the Alps began to produce similar fabrics. There, such cloths were made of locally-sourced linen warps mixed with high-quality imported cotton wefts, and were known as *barchent*. Hermann Kellenbenz described the industry in the Ulm region in the fifteenth and sixteenth centuries, and its penetration into European markets.⁵ He cites an important contract of 1546, between an agent dealing in Ulm fustians and the court of Westminster, for a loan of £40,000 to be taken one-quarter in fustian cloth.⁶ The English documentation for this agreement refers to the cloth as fustian rather than barchent, thus indicating that the meaning of the English word was set prior to the experience of the Ulm cloths.⁷

In the reign of Henry VII, law reports for 1495 described measures brought in to prevent deceitful finishing of *fustyans* imported from unspecified ‘parts beyond’.⁸ These cloths were to be correctly raised and then shorn to a smooth surface with the
broadshears, but the malpractice involved singeing the nap with lit candles. Given the flammability of cotton fibre, it is difficult to imagine that these fustians were the linen-and-cotton mixture fabrics of Swabia, especially as the process was said to be carried out in the attics of houses. It thus appears that a foreign cloth with a woollen nap, also known as fustian, had entered the English market by the fifteenth century. This production might have begun in imitation of the linen-and-cotton mixture cloth; nevertheless we can see that, in late-fifteenth century England, fustian was accepted as a generic descriptor of a recognisable cloth type, rather than indicating a specific fibre composition.  

Home production of fustians in England probably began with wool or wool-mixture cloths. A statute of 1554 allowed Norwich weavers to call their napped materials of cotton or flax mixed with wool, ‘fustian of Norwich.’ And as late as 1710, fustian was used to refer to wools; for example, the Index to the Journals of the House of Commons then cross-referenced fustian manufacture to woollen manufactures. Writing in the same year, Sansom implies a continuing need to clarify the type of fustian when he writes, ‘17 Yards and ¼ of Cotton-Fustian worth 17s.3d’. The lack of clarity about the cloth was probably due to the eventual surpassing of Norwich production by the Lancashire fustian industry that began around 1600 using linen warps and cotton wefts. This starting date is confirmed by a petition to parliament dated to 1621 in which the fibre content of the fustian is clearly indicated. Thus, seventeenth century English references to fustian might apply to either wool-based cloth or the linen-and-cotton mixtures. However, sometime in the first quarter of the 1700s, the term seems to be more securely understood to indicate mixture cloths having a linen warp and cotton weft. For example, Ward’s Modern World Disrob’d, published in 1708, speaks of the ‘Linnen-Draper’ measuring his Fusıan without the need for any qualifier.
The character of this linen-and-cotton combination is based upon a hard-spun warp alongside a soft-spun, long-fibred weft, producing a cloth from which a nap can be raised by teazling the weft. Cloths of this character could be made equally well from a worsted warp and woollen weft, or a linen warp and woollen weft. After finishing by raising and shearing, fabrics of this category would have in common a soft, continuous surface nap of fibres, covering the weave structure beneath. Mazzaoui’s study of medieval Italian ‘fustians’ found both plain and napped fabrics, and she notes that ‘a corporation of nappers or finishers of cotton cloth received recognition in 1409’ in Cremona. Kellenbenz mentions shearers amongst the workers of one of the Swabian fustian enterprises.16 Thus there is evidence that even the earliest European fustians included those with a raised finish. These would have employed longer-stapled grades of cotton, susceptible to forming a nap without unduly weakening the cloth.17

The unraised cotton mixture fabrics probably faced competition from home-produced fabrics, leaving the napped variety favoured in imports from Europe. And the English fustian production appears to have been limited mainly to those with a raised finish. Although there are exceptions, from 1600 onward, fustians in England can be characterised primarily by the raising of a nap or pile, sometimes sheared to develop their final appearance, and a mixture of yarn elements.18 The formation of the cloth from disparate elements, and its transformation from loom-state to a lofty surface, enables us to understand the figurative use of the word fustian that arose in the 1590s.19 Samuel Johnson gives this meaning as a ‘high swelling kind of writing made up of heterogenous parts’.20 Eventually, the linen-warp and cotton-weft variety gained the ascendancy in England, followed later by all-cotton varieties.21
Some idea of the character of the napped fustian used in England is conveyed by a few authors. The yarn combinations would have produced a lighter fabric than the equivalent woollens, yet the raised surface could still keep out the wind. Copland writes in 1570 about outdoor clothing for spring: ‘Shepardes keepe them selues metely well clothed, not ouer clothed, not ouercolde ne ouer hot, as with lynseywolsy, doublettes of fustian, and gownes of a meetely length’. For providing a surface neither too smooth nor too rough, Smythe in 1594 recommends fustian or chamois skins for soldier’s doublets as, ‘a man may arme better vppon any of both those things, then vppon Canuas, or any thinge that is more smooth, and lesse woollie’. Perhaps the most evocative description of the nap of fustian is provided by Charles Butler’s recommendations for the beekeeper in 1634: ‘Wool and Woollen doo not offend dem: and if beeing oderwise angered, dey strike deir Speeres in Woollen, dey can easily pul dem out again. But de nap of new Fustian displeaset dem, because it seemet hairy; and de stuf is so fast, dat it holdet de sting: werefore such apparrel is not fit among Bees’. Thus the nAPPED FUSTIANS, while not departing widely from the familiar appearance of English woollen cloths, were able to provide a lighter material of more moderate insulating quality, with a distinctive surface grain.

By the early-seventeenth century, English rate books allow us to roughly divide imported fustians into three categories by their relative value. The cheap varieties comprised jeane, Holmes, Bevernex, and Osbrow fustians which might have a brushed finish. Moderately-priced fustians such as barmillians, Millian, Dutch, Cullen, and Weazell fustians probably used a longer-fibred weft from which a considerable nap could be raised. The most expensive fustians were Naples fustians (alternatively fustianapes and variants) with a true cut pile. Fustian of Naples was included in sumptuary legislation at the start of Edward IV’s reign, and. Henry VIII’s privy purse
expenses include a payment to ‘the King’s Coffer Maker for makyng a coffer covered
with fustyan of Naples’ around 1530. However, the middling grade of fustians held no
such elite connotations; George Wiseheart’s black ‘Millian fustian’ doublet was noted
as an example of his modesty in dress in the 1540s. Jean, at the bottom of the scale,
seems already to have gained an association with working dress by the following
century. In 1638, the playwright Thomas Heywood (c1573-1641) gives an applicant for
the post of schoolmaster the line, ‘though I goe in genes Fustion, scalpellum et charta, I
was not brought vp at Plowe & cart’.29

By the end of the seventeenth century, fustian in men’s wear is found as a protective
overgarment, the frock, and in working clothing for craftsmen. The London Gazette
advertised in 1675 for the missing son of a butcher stating he was dressed in ‘a sad
coloured serge suit [with] a Fustian Frock over it’. In 1686, an Oxfordshire runaway
aged eighteen is described, ‘a gray cloth Suit on his back, underneath a Fustian
Frock’. In a similar advertisement of 1687, the dress of two runaway apprentices of a
Tunbridge Wells carpenter is divided between best and working clothes: ‘The first is in
a gray Suit for his best Cloaths, and Fustian for his Working. The other […] his best
Cloaths of light coloured Cloth, and his working of Fustian or Dimaty.’

John Houghton provides a brief description of the English fustian manufacture in 1701:
‘With some cotton yarn, that we bring from abroad, and a great deal we spin at home,
especially in Lancashire, and with some linen yarn we chiefly bring from Hamburg, we
make dimities and fustians; the one being the warp, and the other the woof, which,
when they are rowed, shear’d, press’d, and sometimes dyed, make a very considerable
manufacture.’ Houghton understood the crucial role of the finishing procedures -
rowing, shearing and pressing - to developing the intended appearance of fustians
which by the eighteenth century favoured the napped finishes. Rowing, derived from the word *roughing*, was a means of brushing to raise fibres from the loosely-spun cotton weft in order to form a nap. The rowing process is mentioned in a patent of 1671 by Edmond Blood whose newcloth made from silk waste was ‘shagged by tezell or rowing cards, like as English bayes, rowed fustians, or dimatyes’. Eric Kerridge cites inventories of fustian makers that list rowing frames amongst their equipment. And in 1706, the *London Gazette* advertised a runaway apprentice about seventeen years old, who had on a ‘dark coloured Ro’d Fustian Frock lined with blue, with large Plate Buttons’. The Anders Berch Collection contains mid-eighteenth century examples of such rowed or napped fustians that give an idea what English fustians looked like at this time. Berch formed his collection of manufacturing samples for the use of students of economics at the University of Uppsala in Sweden, and he obtained samples that demonstrate the stages of the finishing process proceeding from loom state to rowed, then pressed, and finished. While Berch’s fustian is shagged, and intended to remain unsheared with a hairy appearance, sheared fustians would have presented a surface texture similar to milled wools (Fig. 1).

In commerce, wools were the main competitor of fustians. A pamphleteer of 1719 accusingly points to ‘The Fustians now so generally worn by Men of all Qualities, instead of Woollen cloth’. Indeed, fustian was then associated with working dress amongst those of lower status, but equally encountered as underclothing, youth’s clothing or sportswear amongst those of higher status. Differences of quality and finish would have further characterised fustians worn by those of high and low status. The mercer Joseph Symson wrote to John Lees in Manchester in 1718, ‘I want about 15 yards of very fine white fustian, full as good or better than this pattern. I think you call them Dutch fustians […] I desire you will put me a 15 yards piece of your finest and
thickest that’s woolly and covers well. Lesser qualities would have been used for the frock used as riding wear by working men, and for servants’ livery, the most frequently encountered uses of fustian in the first half of the eighteenth century.

In the early eighteenth century, the frock could still be found as a protective overgarment, but also begins to be worn on its own. Joshua Allen wore ‘a light-coloured Fustian Frock over his Coat’ when he went missing from his lodging in Chesterfield in 1714. But Richard Bushell wore ‘a Fustian Frock, Coat fashion’ when he absconded with money from his master in Lincoln’s Inn Fields in 1712. Livery fustians are usually distinguished by metal buttons. Thomas Tayler wore a ‘Fustian Livery Frock with Brass Buttons’ when making off with a sum of money in 1715; and a negro boy called Robin working in Bristol took with him ‘a Suit of white Fustian with Metal Buttons’ when he ran away in 1729.

The ‘white fustian frock’ was often cited in descriptions of criminal suspects, such as John Winship of St Pancras, indicted for robbery in July 1721, who rode on a grey horse wearing a ‘buttoned-up white Fustian Frock’. So common was this article that a 1730 publication to assist magistrates provides a sample warrant for a suspect describing his dress as ‘a dark brown Wig, and a white Fustian Frock fac’d with Black Cloth’. White garments seem an odd choice for criminals, and one must assume that white fustian allowed them to blend in with the ordinary worker on horseback, rather than to stand out from the crowd. Such fustian frocks were equally the quarry and the dress of thieves; an account of items stolen in 1736 gives the valuation of a working man’s stolen goods, with his fustian frock placed at 7 shillings, and his cloth coat at 10 shillings. The most famous of English highwayman, Dick Turpin, wore fustian to the grave. Executed at York for horse-stealing in April 1739, accounts of his end state that
'A few Days before his Execution, he bought himself a new Fustian Frock and a Pair of Pumps, in order to take his Leave of the World in as decent a Manner as he possibly could.' It is tempting to think the material of Turpin’s last coat may have been woven at York’s new cotton manufactory, described by Daniel Defoe in his account of a tour in the North. Defoe observed a May Day parade in York in 1740 in which the ‘Men, Women and Children employed in the Manufactory closed the Procession, all of them cloathed with Cottons, or striped and figured Fustians of their own making’. Although the colour of Turpin’s frock is not recorded, he may have chosen fustian as a fabric available in white for its symbolic meaning, rather than from a preference for fustian among the criminal confraternity.

Ribbed forms of fustian were introduced by the mid-1720s. An apprentice plumber from Oxfordshire wore ‘a light-colour’d Thickset Fustian Frock, his Breeches the same’ when he ran away in 1725. An apprentice apothecary wore ‘a light ribb’d new Fustian Coat’ when he left his master in Devon the same year.

A ‘working frock’ is mentioned in an account of experiments with electricity from the Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society in 1748. A man giving his epileptic son shock treatments relates that his son ‘being […] very high electrified, and having on a coarse Fustian working Frock […] to my great Surprise, the furzy Flax of the Frock caught Fire with a great Blaze’. Possibly caring more for his science than his son’s treatment, the man continues, ‘At 9 the same Evening I made him put on the same Frock, and touch’d the left Arm where the Flax had not been burnt before, and it had the same Effect.’ This tendency of cotton garments to take fire must have been a real hazard for waiting men, but fustian garments for serving had a long pedigree, being mentioned by Shakespeare in the 1590s, an association probably derived from the
correlation of white clothes and cleanliness. In a fictional account written around 1750 that centres on the concept of good blood and pedigree, an aristocrat is disguised in a waiter’s suit, that is ‘a white Fustian Frock, and white linen waistcoat […] girt round […] with the Waiter’s Apron’. A waiter in such dress can be seen in Hogarth’s *Captain George Graham in his cabin* painted around 1745 (Fig. 2).

Amongst those of higher status, fustian figures amongst older men for undress or undergarments. The author of an essay on ghosts, published in 1720, describes the spirit of a former country landowner ‘dressed as he was in life […] it has Fustian Drawers on’. The ageing Jonathan Swift wrote to a friend in September 1721 to ask ‘if a messenger goes from hence, to give him my fustian waistcoat, because the mornings grow cold’. However, records show that younger men of higher status began to adopt fustian as an outer garment fabric by the 1710s, possibly apeing coachmen’s dress which was perceived as sporty. The *Spectator* remarks on ‘a lively young Fellow in a Fustian Jacket [who] beckon’d a Coach, and told the Coachman he wanted to go as far as Chelsey: They agreed upon the Price, and this young Gentleman [then] mount[ed] the Coach-box.’ Likewise, in William Chaigneau’s novel *The History of Jack Conner*, published in 1752, a young gentleman due to come into a Yorkshire estate of £4000 a year is sighted in the theatre wearing ‘a white Fustian Frock and chequer’d Flannel Waistcoat, with the Hat of a Stage Coachman’ Fustian is also connected with other forms of sporting dress. Bartholomew Greenwood, a gentleman of Camberwell who was indicted for assault in 1740 claimed to be elsewhere at the time. In his defence, he relates that his dinner companion on the evening in question saw him ‘dressed in a white Fustian Frock, […] Breeches of the same Stuff, a white Waistcoat, and, as he apprehended, I came from the Tennis-Court’. A tennis costume of this nature can be
Some general trends in the wearing of fustian, especially among young men of the labouring class, can be charted in the proceedings of the Old Bailey which provides evidence for both urban and rural areas surrounding London. A tabular summary of occurrences of stolen fustian clothing shows that fustian frocks gained frequency in wear during the 1710s, peaked at mid-century, and then fell off sharply after 1770. As the fustian frock waned, the fabric was increasingly taken up as a material for breeches (Table 1). Clothing worn by accused suspects has been left out of this tabulation. From the 1810s onward, the suspect is more often wearing fustian than stealing it; and there is a sharp increase in the wearing of fustian jackets. Like their earlier counterparts, those with criminal intent probably wore fustian jackets to pass unnoticed among the mass of labouring men.62

Table 1. Stolen fustian clothing in the Old Bailey Proceedings Online63

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decade</th>
<th>Frock</th>
<th>Breeches + Trousers</th>
<th>Waistcoat</th>
<th>Coat</th>
<th>Lengths of Cloth</th>
<th>Miscellaneous</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1680s</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2 drawers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1690s</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2 petticoats</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1700s</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1710s</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1720s</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1730s</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 purse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1740s</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1 gown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1750s</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1 pr sleeves</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1760s</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1770s</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3 pockets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1780s</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 jacket 1 pocket</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1790s</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4 + 1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2 jackets 1 pocket</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1800s</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 + 0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2 jackets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1810s</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
John Cleland’s famous erotic novel, *Memoirs of a Woman of Pleasure* written in the 1730s, provides evidence that at that date fustian was not yet associated with country dress. A nineteen-year-old protagonist ‘in a white fustian frock, with a green velvet cape, and a cut bob-wig’ is accompanied by a younger man described as ‘a country lad by his dress.’ The latter wears ‘a green plush frock, and breeches of the same, white waistcoat and stockings’ with a jockey cap and natural long hair. Plush was not a fabric of the fustian group, but a true velvet with a cut pile of combed wool.

Around mid-century, white fustian began to receive competition from other fabrics for working attire. New duties upon imported canvas drilling were suggested in 1766 because it was hurting the fustian manufacture of Lancashire. Drilling was described as ‘a species of coarse linnen, worn for men’s frocks, and brewer’s and distiller’s aprons’. By 1770, the fustian frock appears to have been largely supplanted for fashionable wear by new materials, although it continued in use for men’s breeches, and seems to have become associated with occupations involving ink, thus returning to a form of protective dress. The schoolmaster is characterised by his fustian breeches, the scrivener by his ink-splattered fustian breeches, and the exciseman by his brown fustian suit with ‘an ink-horn pendant from the buttonhole of the fourth.’

There is a suggestion that white fustian may have been adopted for ladies’ dress in the 1770s. Around 1773, a Bath tailor and haberdasher advertised ‘A Ladies White Jean Riding Dress [at] £2 10s’. Later still, white fustian reappears as sailor’s dress. Dorothy Wordsworth describes an elderly sailor become beggar in 1801: ‘His breeches were either of fustian, or grey cloth, with strings hanging down, whole and tight.’ One reason for adopting white or undyed fustian before the 1770s may have been the
difficulty in obtaining fast dyes on cotton. Thomas Henry read a paper before the Manchester Literary and Philosophical Society in 1786 in which he stated, “Till within these few years, the colours employed in the dye[ing] of fustians and cotton velvets were few, and even at this day, many of them are fugitive.” Most earlier eighteenth-century references to dyed fustian are either to ‘sad’ colour, snuff colour, or brown.

It was the pile weave fustians that were to expand greatly in use during the last half of the eighteenth century. These were fabrics with a supplementary weft that was cut after weaving with a specially adapted knife in order to form a pile (Fig. 3). Weft floats for cutting could be distributed evenly to yield velveteens, or aligned in parallel furrows to form cords (Fig. 4). The introduction of pile-woven cottons to Lancashire is documented in the Manchester Weavers’ Case concerning an annual tithe on looms which ran in the courts between 1749 and 1753. The testimony of dozens of weavers and finishers in the Manchester region was invoked. This extensive body of evidence was reviewed by Alfred P. Wadsworth in 1931, including a footnote touching on the introduction of cotton velvets to Lancashire. Returning to the original documents, it is found that John Barlow, calenderer from Manchester testified in 1745 that ‘a Loom or Engine of a late Invention [was] set up in the Town of Manchester […] for the making or weaving of flowered cottons commonly called Cotton Velvets’. He went on to say ‘that it was first introduced to Bolton […] about a year or two before […] [and] a Weaver from Bolton was procured to set up that before mentioned in Manchester’. This 1745 date tallies with the annotated samples provided by John Holker, an expatriate English calenderer, to the Académie Royale des Sciences in 1750. Holker claimed to have worn a cloth similar to his sample of flowered cotton velvet for three years, thus dating it no later than 1747; he went on to say that it had improved in
appearance with use. \(^77\) Anders Berch also obtained samples of Manchester velvets in the late 1740s that are now held in the Nordiska Museet. \(^78\)

After the mid-century home manufacture of pile weave cottons, the term fustian can no longer be assumed to refer to napped flat-woven cloth, but judgements must be made based on context or cost. Barbara Johnson opts for a simpler brushed jean-type fabric for her fustian riding habit of 1757. But during the second half of the eighteenth century, fustian is increasingly applied to velveteens, velverets, and the corded pile weaves we now call corduroys. It may well be such pile fabric that is referred to in Tobias Smollett’s novel of 1753, *Ferdinand Count Fathom*. Count Fathom astonishes Paris, ‘habited in the exact uniform of an English jockey […] leathern cap, cut bob [wig], fustian frock, flannel waistcoat, buff breeches, hunting boots and whip’. The frock is later said to have cost ‘but forty shillings’. A servant’s ‘Fustian Frock lined throughout’ cost one guinea around 1769, only half the price. \(^79\) Comparable to Count Fathom’s frock is the ‘Thickset Frock and Waistcoat’ for two guineas, advertised by the tailor John Manningford of Bath in 1773. \(^80\) Thickset is one of the pile weave fustians, which we would now call narrow-wale corduroy, a step below velveret and velveteen.

It was to be this ‘jockey’s uniform’ that both young townsfolk and countrymen adopted for riding and informal wear from the mid-century. Adam Fitz-Adam, describing the races at Newmarket in 1753, wrote: ‘Everybody is dressed so perfectly alike that it is extremely difficult to distinguish between his Grace and his Groom. […] Those who have been at this place will not be surprised at these mistakes, for a pair of boots, and buckskin breeches, a fustian frock with a leather belt about it, and a black velvet cap is the common covering of the whole town. \(^81\) *The Connoisseur* of 1756 reports, ‘the gentlemen […] have taken pains to convert themselves into coachmen, grooms and
jockies [evidenced by] the flapped hat, the jemmy frock with plate buttons and a
leathern belt, and the pride which some young gentlemen of quality take in driving*. 82
Two decades later, a comic romance of 1773 still speaks of ‘a well booted Grecian, in a
fustian frock and jockey cap’. 83 However, by the 1780s, the townsman seems to tire of
jockey clothes, although they are retained by his country cousin. Thomas Rowlandson’s
*Four o’clock in the town* shows a dissipated young buck returning home in cocketed hat
and pumps, whereas *Four o’clock in the country* depicts a bleary-eyed young hunter
still donning jockey boots and cap (Fig. 5). This pair of watercolours was painted in
1788, and etched for publication in 1790. It is probably not going too far to suggest that
Rowlandson was picking up on a recent phenomenon - an increasing distinction
between town and country dress. Rowlandson had produced an earlier pair of town and
country prints, his 1785 *Nap in Town* and *Nap in Country*, possibly first marking the
growing social separation.

Certainly by the 1790s, fustian had become linked to country wear, and could be worn
by the country gentleman as well as the farmer. In a novel of 1791, a gentleman of
small estate living ‘the pastoral life in all its primitive simplicity [...] was so absorbed
in his attention to his fields, his cows, his poultry, and his pigeons, that he had not for
some time, except on a Sunday, been observed to lay aside his fustian frock and put on
a dressed coat’. 84 A traveller of 1795 notes on the opposite side of the carriage ‘a dozing
farmer, in his best fustian suit’. 85 Between 1770 and 1785, all-cotton pile weave fustians
and their finishing was brought to greater perfection. John Aikin credits John Wilson of
Ainsworth with the development of ‘various means of rubbing and brushing the piles,
clearing off the loose hairs with razors,’ later dressing the cloth over irons heated by
stoves to singe off any uneven fibres, followed by bleaching before dyeing. 86 The finer
finish and better penetration of dyestuff served to make such fustians acceptable to elite wearers for country pursuits (Fig. 6).

An equally notable conquest of fustian was that of substituting for leather or doeskin breeches. An army doctor advises, ‘The custom so prevalent in the army of men wearing leather breeches is by no means a good one […] during wet weather they are perfectly intolerable […] We are quite certain that if a buff-coloured cotton velvet, which may be had for three shillings per yard, were substituted for leather, it would be found both a durable and a much more comfortable wear.’  

It was the pile-woven cotton fabric’s ability to shed light rain, or to dry quickly when soaked, that probably endeared it to the countryman, necessarily more exposed to the vagaries of British weather. It seems a considerable irony that the country landowner adopted this factory-spun, mill-woven, imported fibre, while the town gentleman was to become characterised by tailoring of the finest broadcloth from wool raised in the British countryside.

New uncut types of fustian were next to evolve, most notably moleskin. It is difficult to place the date of this development as the term moleskin was also adopted for wool coatings. However, there are some indications that this took place in the 1790s. A Lincolnshire baker who went missing in 1795 is described wearing ‘a Fustian Jacket, a Pair of Corduroy Breeches’ and took with him ‘a darkish Velveret Waistcoat’. It can be presumed in this case that the material of the jacket, identified only as fustian, was not a pile weave type but a napped variety. J. Herbert Cooke claimed that, if suitably finished, moleskins ‘would stand even the rough wear of the blacksmith and the riveter, even turning sparks aside without suffering injury’. It is probably these types that began to be adopted by those town workers who could afford the better qualities for
making the increasingly popular fustian jackets. The downy nap of moleskin was not only more fire-resistant, but would not catch the dust of mills and factories. Country workers, on the other hand, leaned toward the pile textures, and eventually returned to wool mixtures. A Select Committee on the State of the British Wool Trade in 1828 asked a London wool dealer:

Is there not at the present Time a considerable Use of Cotton Goods, in the Shape of Fustians and Velveteens, that are substitutes for Woollen Goods?, who replied – There are a certain Description of Goods manufactured of Cotton and Wool together, called Woollen Cords… which the Farmers use for Breeches, of which Cotton is One Third Part, they will wear for a long while and look well; and Farmers Men have a great Liking, in consequence of the Length of Wear, to have those Patent Cords, which are made partly of Cotton and partly of Wool; and therefore that Article is very much consumed by Farmers Men, Waggoners and Agriculturalists, as well as by the manufacturing poorer Class of the Community.  

Fustian had become a favourite with labouring men for all its hard-wearing and protective qualities, but it lacked the superb malleability and drape of woollen broadcloth that enabled the transformation of British tailoring in the early nineteenth century. This resistance to shaping inevitably relegated fustian - apart from the best velveteens and thicksets used by the sporting gentleman - to the working man’s wardrobe, and enabled it to develop into a symbol of the labouring man in the nineteenth century. By early Victorian times, the differences between working-class and middle-class men were able to be pithily summarised as confrontations between ‘fustian and broadcloth.’
I would like to thank Christine Stevens for helping with the initial idea for this paper, and Dr Miles Lambert for his assistance in the study of men’s fustians at the Gallery of Costume, Platt Hall. Mary Harrison of Manchester Metropolitan University Library has provided invaluable help in using electronic resources through her weekly bulletins for researchers.
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