

# Please cite the Published Version

Luiselli, Luca , Fa, Julia E , Le Duc, Olivier , Eniang, Edem A , GonedeleBi, Sery , Segniagbeto, Gabriel Hoinsoudé, Behangana, Mathias , Ajong, Stephanie N, Hema, Emmanuel M, Koné, Drissa, Demaya, Gift Simon, Morani, Mirco, Amori, Giovanni , Malonza, Patrick K, Mutua, Morris N, Ngalieu, Michele Marina Kameni, OforiBoateng, Caleb, Amponsah, Charles Kojo, OpokuKwarteng, Christian, Togba, Sieny O, Mensah, Markfred, De Palma, Michele , Pacini, Nic and Dendi, Daniele (2025) Red Listing African Goliath Beetles: Assessing Threats and Conservation Needs. African Journal of Ecology, 63 (1). ISSN 0141-6707

# **DOI:** https://doi.org/10.1111/aje.70018

Publisher: Wiley

Version: Accepted Version

Downloaded from: https://e-space.mmu.ac.uk/638235/

Usage rights: Creative Commons: Attribution 4.0

**Additional Information:** This is an author-produced version of the published paper. Uploaded in accordance with the University's Research Publications Policy

**Data Access Statement:** The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.

# Enquiries:

If you have questions about this document, contact openresearch@mmu.ac.uk. Please include the URL of the record in e-space. If you believe that your, or a third party's rights have been compromised through this document please see our Take Down policy (available from https://www.mmu.ac.uk/library/using-the-library/policies-and-guidelines)

3	Red Listing African Goliath Beetles: Assessing Threats and Conservation Needs
4	
5	Luca Luiselli <sup>1,2,3</sup> , Julia E. Fa <sup>4,5</sup> , Olivier Le Duc <sup>1</sup> , Edem A. Eniang <sup>1,6</sup> , Sery Gonedele-Bi <sup>7</sup> , Gabriel Hoinsoudé
6	Segniagbeto <sup>3</sup> , Mathias Behangana <sup>1,8</sup> , Stephanie N. Ajong <sup>1,9</sup> , Emmanuel M. Hema <sup>10</sup> , Drissa Koné <sup>11</sup> , Gift
7	Simon Demaya <sup>12</sup> , Mirco Morani <sup>13</sup> , Giovanni Amori <sup>1,14</sup> , Patrick K. Malonza <sup>15</sup> , Morris N. Mutua <sup>15</sup> , Michele
8	Marina Kameni Ngalieu <sup>16</sup> , Caleb Ofori-Boateng <sup>17</sup> , Charles Kojo Amponsah <sup>17</sup> , Christian Opoku-Kwarteng <sup>17</sup> ,
9	Sieny O. Togba <sup>18</sup> , Markfred Mensah <sup>17</sup> , Michele De Palma <sup>19</sup> , Nic Pacini <sup>20,21</sup> , Daniele Dendi <sup>1,2,3</sup>
10	
11	<sup>1</sup> Institute for Development, Ecology, Conservation and Cooperation, via G. Tomasi di Lampedusa 33, I-00144
12	Rome, Italy; Emails: <a href="https://www.icanstrumtricologicality-italicality-compactance">https://www.icanstrumtricologicality-compactance</a> , <a href="https://www.icanstrumtricologicality-compactance">italy; Emails: <a href="https://www.icanstrumtricologicality-compactance">italy; Emails: <a href="https://www.icanstructure">italy; Italy; Ita</a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a>
13	<sup>2</sup> Department of Animal and Environmental Biology, Rivers State University, P.M.B. 5080, Port Harcourt,
14	Nigeria
15	<sup>3</sup> Laboratoire d'Ecologie et d'Ecotoxicologie, Faculté des Sciences, Université de Lomé, Lomé, Togo
16	<sup>4</sup> Department of Natural Sciences, School of Science and the Environment, Manchester Metropolitan
17	University, Manchester, UK
18	<sup>5</sup> Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR), Bogor, Indonesia; email: J.Fa@mmu.ac.uk;
19	<sup>6</sup> Department of Forestry and Wildlife, University of Uyo, Nigeria; email: <u>edemeniang@yahoo.com</u>
20	<sup>7</sup> Laboratoire de Biotechnologie, Agriculture et Valorisation des Ressources Biologiques, Université Félix
21	Houphouët-Boigny d'Abidjan-Cocody, Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire; email: sgonedele@gmail.com
22	<sup>8</sup> Makerere University, Kampala, Uganda; email: <u>m.behangana@ideccngo.org</u>
23	<sup>9</sup> Department of Fisheries, Lagos State University, Lagos, Nigeria; Email: <u>s.ajong@ideccngo.org</u>
24	<sup>10</sup> Department of Biology, University of Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso; Email: <u>hema.emmanuel@yahoo.fr</u>
25	<sup>11</sup> Office Ivorien de Parcs et Reserves, Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire; Email: <u>drissa.kone@oipr.ci</u>
26	<sup>12</sup> Department of Wildlife, University of Juba, Juba, South Sudan; Email: gftsimon@gmail.com

- 27 <sup>13</sup> Via Nazionale 44, I-38123 Trento (Italy); Email: avvmorani@hotmail.com
- 28 <sup>14</sup> CNR Research Institute on Terrestrial Ecosystems, Rome, Italy; Email: <u>giovanni.amori@cnr.it</u>,
- 29 g.amori@ideccngo.org
- <sup>15</sup> Department of Zoology, Section of Inverebrates Zoology, National Museums of Kenya, Nairobi (Kenya);
- 31 Emails: kmalonza@museums.or.ke; mmutua17@yahoo.com
- 32 <sup>16</sup> Herp-Cameroon NGO, Yaoundé, Cameroon; Email: <u>marinafr05@yahoo.fr</u>
- <sup>17</sup> CSIR-Forestry Research Institute of Ghana, Fumesua, Kumasi, Ghana; Emails: calebofori@gmail.com,
- 34 <u>ackojoe@gmail.com</u>, cokwarteng@csir-forig.org.gh, <u>markfredmensah@gmail.com</u>
- 35 <sup>18</sup> Man, Côte d'Ivoire, Email: <u>buggoliaths@gmail.com</u>
- <sup>19</sup> School of Life Sciences, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland;
- 37 Email: michele.depalma@epfl.ch
- <sup>20</sup>Department of of Environmental and Chemical Engineering, University of Calabria, Arcavacata di Rende,
- 39 Cosenza, Italy, Email: nicola.pacini@unical.it
- 40 <sup>21</sup>Department of Geography, University of Leicester, Leicester, UK
- 41
- 42

43 ABSTRACT

44 The loss of biodiversity is one of the most critical global environmental challenges, driven by deforestation, 45 habitat fragmentation, and overexploitation. This study focuses on the biodiversity crisis in Africa, with 46 particular emphasis on the conservation status of the giant Goliath beetles (genus Goliathus Lamarck, 47 Scarabaeidae: Cetoniinae). These beetles, renowned for their large size and striking colouration, are 48 endemic to sub-Saharan Africa. They face significant threats from habitat loss and, potentially, from intensive harvesting for the entomological trade. The conservation status of Goliath beetles needs to be 49 50 better understood. In this paper, we perform a Red List assessment based on our research carried out for 30 51 years (1994 – 2024). We present critical data on four taxa of the genus Goliathus: Goliathus goliatus 52 (Linnaeus), Goliathus meleagris Sjöstedt (currently classified as a well-differentiated subspecies of G. 53 goliatus), Goliathus regius Klug, and Goliathus cacicus Olivier. Two additional species, Goliathus orientalis 54 Moser (endemic of Tanzania and Northern Mozambique) and Goliathus albosignatus Boheman (broadly 55 distributed in Southern and Eastern Africa), were not assessed due to a lack of original field data. From data 56 gathered opportunistically through incidental observations and field encounters, we analyse habitat 57 preferences, the impact of deforestation, and seasonal activity patterns. Our findings highlight the 58 vulnerability of Goliath beetles to ongoing human-induced threats and underline the need for more 59 targeted conservation efforts. However, using Salafsky's standard classification, there were different threats 60 affecting the various species, and the needed conservation actions should, therefore, be species-specific. 61 We applied the 2024 International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) criteria to each species to 62 inform future conservation strategies and support the survival of these remarkable beetles in the wild. Our 63 assessment indicates that G. cacicus should be listed as Critically Endangered due to its catastrophic decline 64 over recent decades, while G. regius qualifies as Endangered. Both species inhabit forest habitats in 65 Western Africa. The other taxa assessed were found to be of lesser concern and evaluated as Near 66 Threatened. This study contributes to our broader understanding of biodiversity loss in Africa, stressing the 67 urgency of protecting critical insect populations. In particular, we present a salient example of how multiple 68 overlapping threats endanger biodiversity across large parts of Africa, and in particular forest species in 69 West Africa.

71 **KEY WORDS:** Insect conservation; Sub-Saharan Africa; Deforestation; Overcollection.

72

## 73 1 | INTRODUCTION

74 The loss of biodiversity represents one of the most critical challenges facing today's global 75 environment today (Mora & Sale, 2011; Hughes, 2017). The decline in the variety and abundance of life 76 forms is driven by multiple factors, with habitat loss (Brooks et al., 2002; Hanski, 2011; Karger et al., 2021), 77 pollution (McNeely, 1992; Cristiano et al., 2021; Singh et al., 2023) and overhunting (Abernethy et al., 2013; 78 Peres et al., 2016; Ripple et al., 2016) identified as the most critical threats (Stork, 1997; Singh, 2002; 79 Sánchez-Bayo and Wyckhuys, 2019). These threats often interact synergistically, heightening the risk of 80 biodiversity loss. For instance, habitat fragmentation can limit species dispersal, making them more 81 vulnerable to overhunting (Perez et al., 2016). Additionally, conflicts and wars can lead to large-scale 82 displacements of refugees, resulting in significant ecological impacts in new settlement areas (Behangana et 83 al., 2024; Walde et al., 2024). The repercussions of biodiversity loss extend beyond the extinction of 84 individual species, significantly affecting essential ecosystem services such as pollination, water purification, 85 agriculture, and climate regulation, all of which are vital for human well-being (Ostfield and LoGiudice, 86 2003; Worm et al., 2006; Tekalign et al., 2017). Addressing this complex issue necessitates a comprehensive 87 understanding of its underlying causes, alongside a concerted effort to mitigate their impacts through 88 effective conservation strategies and sustainable management practices. By integrating ecological 89 knowledge with socio-economic considerations, we can devise targeted interventions that promote both 90 biodiversity conservation and the resilience of ecosystems, ultimately benefiting human communities 91 reliant on these vital services.

Biodiversity loss in the African continent, as in many other parts of the world, is driven by: (i)
deforestation and fragmentation of natural habitats (Green et al., 2013; Leisher et al., 2022) and (ii)
overexploitation because of the extraction of species for consumption as well as the international trade
(Van Velden et al., 2020; Fa et al., 2023). The combined effects of these threats often lead to the

96 degradation of ecosystems and to a significant decline in many animal and plant species (for specific African
97 examples, see Luiselli et al., 2022, 2024).

98 With 350,000 described species grouped into 24 superfamilies and 235 families, beetles 99 (Coleoptera) constitute the most speciose order among all living organisms, including plants (Bouchard et 100 al., 2017). These insects provide many ecosystem functions: for instance, dung beetles contribute 101 remarkably to nutrient cycling, bioturbation, plant growth enhancement, secondary seed dispersal, and 102 parasite control, and even have a role in pollination and trophic regulation (Nichols et al., 2008; Slade et al., 103 2011). Therefore, the conservation of beetles is very important to continue helping the ecosystem maintain 104 stability (New, 2007). Beetles, like many other life forms, are affected by the same anthropogenic threats 105 (Tind Nielsen, 2007). However, they are among the least studied taxa in terms of conservation status 106 (Carpaneto et al., 2007; Homburg et al., 2019), resulting in fewer IUCN Red List assessments compared of 107 vertebrates facing similar threats and declines. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species is the most 108 comprehensive resource detailing the global conservation status of plants and animals and has become a 109 powerful tool for planning, management, monitoring and decision-making (Rodrigues et al., 2006; Bennun 110 et al., 2018).

Despite their ecological importance and being one of the most numerous groups globally, many beetle species face significant threats to survival (New, 2007). Therefore, there is an urgent need to explore the specific threats facing this group of insects, define their conservation status, and conduct comprehensive Red List assessments to identify and protect species that may be experiencing unstudied declines (Luiselli, 2023).

Amongst the most iconic of African beetles are the giant African Goliath beetles (genus *Goliathus*; Scarabaeidae: Cetoniinae) (Figure 1). These beetles, currently comprising five species with three subspecies (De Palma et al., 2020), are endemic to sub-Saharan Africa. Renowned for their large size and striking colouration, Goliath beetles are among the largest and most conspicuously coloured coleopterans in the world. Their size, attractiveness and iconic status among beetles make them highly valuable in the 121 entomological trade. Consequently, Goliath beetles are subject to intense harvesting by local collectors 122 (Muafor & Le Gall, 2011). Additionally, because these beetles inhabit forested areas, their habitats are 123 experiencing severe deforestation threats (Mallon et al., 2015; Luiselli, 2024). The combined effects of 124 habitat loss and, potentially, extensive harvesting make specific Goliathus populations particularly 125 vulnerable to decline. Various studies have reported decreasing abundance in these beetles (Muafor & Le 126 Gall, 2011; Dendi et al., 2021, 2023). Given this situation, Red List assessments of these beetles are urgently 127 needed, using the appropriate IUCN (2024) criteria to quantify their extinction risks. Such assessments will 128 provide objective data to guide conservation efforts, ensure the survival of these remarkable insects in the 129 wild, and regulate their removal from natural habitats.

130 The IUCN has yet to assess African Goliath beetles (genus Goliathus) for red listing. This article 131 therefore aims to provide information that can support assessments of these species according to the IUCN 132 (2024) criteria. These data are derived from ecological field research undertaken by the authors between 133 1994 and 2024 in Africa. Owing to logistic and funding limitations, our fieldwork covered only a subset of 134 countries where Goliathus species are found (Liberia, Guinea, Cote d'Ivoire, Ghana, Burkina Faso, Benin, 135 Nigeria, Cameroon, R.D. Congo, Uganda, South Sudan). Therefore, only four valid taxa in the genus could be 136 assessed: (i) Goliathus goliatus, (ii) Goliathus meleagris (currently taxonomically ranked as a well-137 differentiated subspecies of G. goliatus, but here operationally treated as a separate species), (iii) Goliathus 138 regius, and (iv) Goliathus cacicus. Thus, we here refer to "Goliathus goliatus" as the nominal subspecies of 139 that species (G. goliatus goliatus), which is broadly distributed in Central Africa, and to "Goliathus meleagris" as the valid subspecies of G. goliatus, which is broadly distributed in Southern Central Africa, 140 141 according to the last revision of the group by De Palma et al. (2020). 142 Specifically, in this work we will (i) review what is known about the ecology and biogeography of the 143 taxa of interest in the genus Goliathus; (ii) give new quantitative data collected in nature on the habitat use, 144 phenology and general ecology of the various species; (iii) provide an assessment of the threats and 145 population status of each species; and (iv) define a Red List status for each species according to IUCN (2024) 146 criteria.

- 148
- 149 2 | DATA AVAILABILITY GENERAL METHODOLOGICAL CONSIDERATIONS

In this study, we used opportunistic records on the occurrence and activity of the four Goliath
beetles studied. Table 1 shows the sample sizes of individuals examined for each beetle species, country,
and habitat variables investigated.

Within each country, we selected areas to study Goliath beetles based on one or more of the following criteria: (i) random searches in sites that were also used for other field studies (especially on reptiles) and therefore intensively monitored/surveyed; (ii) localities that were traditional harvest grounds for Goliath beetles by hunters and dealers (for instance, Banco Forest, Issia and Tai Forests in Cote d'Ivoire; Budongo Forest in Uganda, etc.); (iii) localities that were pointed to us by experienced persons during faceto-face interviews; (iv) localities indicated in labels of specimens encountered in local schools and small private collections.

160 We implemented a rigorous validation and verification process to ensure the accuracy and reliability 161 of data collected in these study areas. For each selected site, data was cross-referenced with historical 162 records, including those from past biodiversity assessments and species-specific surveys where available. 163 Additionally, we used interviews with local hunters, dealers, and field experts to verify reported Goliath 164 beetle locations and assess harvesting patterns. Each specimen encountered was documented and 165 compared against known morphological characteristics to confirm identification, focusing on distinguishing 166 closely related species. Furthermore, specimens encountered in local collections were verified by cross-167 referencing label data with geographical and historical occurrence records to enhance reliability. This 168 approach ensured our findings reflected accurate, site-specific information and minimised sampling and 169 species misidentification errors.

Goliath beetles were studied using a suite of field methods. Initial attempts using traps baited with ripe fruit proved inefficient, yielding only a few captures. To improve our success, we shifted our focus to conducting random surveys across potential *Goliathus* habitats, concentrating on trees with visible sap, as these beetles are known to feed on sap during the daytime (Muafor & Le Gall, 2011). We frequently 174 observed beetles flying high in the treetops during morning surveys. However, capturing them was 175 challenging due to their fast and elevated flight patterns. To address this, we recorded the GPS coordinates 176 of these sighting locations and returned to the same areas at night. Night-time provided better 177 opportunities to observe the beetles, which rest on branches after dusk. To capture the insects, we used 178 torches and long bamboo sticks. A torch was placed on the ground, gently shaking the tree branches. The 179 disturbed beetles, attracted by the light, would drop toward the torch, allowing us to collect and measure 180 them before releasing them back into their natural habitat. During our surveys, we also discovered dead 181 Goliath beetles or their remains, which we collected as vouchers. Most of these findings were male beetles, 182 likely preyed upon by birds of prey. Another potential cause of death could have been the fierce and 183 aggressive combat between males competing for females, as many specimens had scratches on the elytra 184 and broken legs, particularly the anterior pair, suggesting injuries sustained during these battles.

Whenever possible, secondary school science laboratories, both in urban and rural areas, were visited to identify specimens possibly collected as educational demonstrations for students, as well as the entomological scientific collections of various universities (e.g. Makerere University in Kampala, Uganda; CNRST and Ouagadougou University in Burkina Faso, Lomé University in Togo, Juba University in South Sudan, etc.). When *Goliathus* specimens were found, their tags were consulted, or their locality of origin and collection period were investigated as best as possible.

191 We also interviewed experienced persons from communities situated around forest areas (hunters, 192 farmers, snail and mushroom gatherers, collectors of timber and non-timber products) by using a 193 standardised questionnaire to inquiry about the presence of Goliathus beetles in their places (the 194 questionnaire and the detailed methodological description are given in Dendi et al., 2023). Interviews with 195 local informants focused on investigating changes in the abundance of Goliathus populations in each area 196 and within a given time interval (usually over the last 20 years) (see Dendi et al. 2023 for more details). 197 Interviews were conducted mainly in traditional harvesting localities of these beetles in Liberia, Ivory Coast, 198 Nigeria, Cameroon, D.R. Congo and Uganda. In each site, people of different ages (21-85 years) were 199 interviewed to stratify the answers by age group. This methodology allows to reconstruct (increasing or 200 decreasing) abundance trends for a target species. Where a species is rarefying, it will be described as

201 present/abundant more frequently by older interviewees while it will be considered mostly absent or very 202 rare by younger interviewees. This approach has been successfully applied previously, both with Goliath 203 beetles (Dendi et al., 2023) and with other animal species (Luiselli et al., 2021). In all cases, interviewees 204 were informed of the scope of the research; no minors (<21 years) were interviewed. No group interviews 205 were undertaken. Therefore, stable or decreasing population abundance trends for the various species were 206 assessed (i) based on the outcome of interviews stratified by respondent's age (see above), and (ii) 207 according to field observations carried out in some sites that have been repeatedly sampled over the last 20 208 years (more details in the various species' accounts).

209 After each interview, using a snow-ball-procedure, we tried to locate any *Goliathus* specimens

available in local villages, asking the owners for their locality of origin and collection period.

211 When a beetle (dead or alive) was observed, we measured the diameter at the breast height of all

trees with a radius of 20 m surrounding the sighting spot; these measurements were then averaged.

213 The following categories were used to classify tree diameters:

214 Category 0: A few (1-2) isolated trees present but non-arboreal vegetation dominant; Category 1: <

215 20 cm; Category 2: 20.1 cm - 40 cm.; Category 3: 40.1 cm to 70 cm.; Category 4: >70 cm.

To analyse differences in the frequency of observed individuals among habitats, months or locality,

217 we used contingency tables and carried out  $\chi^2$  tests. We employed Spearman's rank correlation coefficients

to examine correlations between the number of observed individuals and monthly rainfall (measured as the

219 number of rainy days per month) (Sokal and Rohlf, 2013).

Habitat niche breadth ( $B_s$ ) was determined using Simpson's diversity index (He & Hu, 2005). When comparing two species, higher  $B_s$  values indicate that a species is a more habitat generalist. Habitat niche overlap ( $O_{jk}$ ) was calculated using Pianka's (1974) symmetric equation. This metric ranges from 0 (indicating

no overlap in habitat use between two species) to 1 (indicating complete overlap).

224 For the classification of threats, we used the "World Conservation Union–Conservation Measures

225 Partnership (IUCN-CMP) classification of direct threats to biodiversity (version 1.1)", and for the

226 classification of actions to reverse the threats, we used the "World Conservation Union–Conservation

- 227 Measures Partnership (IUCN-CMP) classification of conservation actions (version 1.1)", as reported in
- 228 Salafsky et al. (2008).
- Alpha was set at 5%, all tests were two-tailed.
- 230
- 231 3 | SPECIES ACCOUNTS
- 232 3.1 | Goliathus goliatus Linnaeus
- 233 3.1.1 | Data availability

We observed *G. goliatus* from 1996 to 2024, especially in southeastern Nigeria, with additional data collected in Cameroon, Benin, South Sudan, and Uganda. Since we could not dedicate equal effort to each month or habitat type, the quantitative data we present may be partially biased. However, given the long time spent in the field in the potential areas of the presence of the species, we are confident that the potential biases would not profoundly affect the collected data.

239

## 240 3.1.2 | Suggested Red List category & criteria

- 241 **NEAR THREATENED** (NT).
- 242

## 243 3.1.3 | Justification

244 Goliathus goliatus exhibits a vast distribution range and remains locally common across its 245 expansive range in Central Africa (De Palma et al., 2020). This species inhabits many of the vast Congo Basin 246 tropical forests (e.g., in Gabon, Northern Republic of Congo, and Northern Democratic Republic of Congo), 247 currently under negligible anthropogenic pressure. However, there are clear signs of decline in several 248 countries studied, such as Benin, Nigeria, Cameroon, and Uganda. Such decline can be attributed to 249 significant habitat loss due to deforestation and, in selected localities, potentially also to high collection 250 rates for the international entomological trade by local communities. These factors suggest that the species is approaching the criteria required by the IUCN to be classified as "Threatened". 251 252

253 **3.1.4 | Geographic range and taxonomic considerations** 

This *Goliathus* species has the most extensive distribution range in sub-Saharan Africa (Croizat, 1994). It spans the Congolian rainforest block continuously from Cross River State in South-Eastern Nigeria to Kenya's Kakamega Forest and Nandi Hills, and from Southern Chad to Central Democratic Republic of Congo (De Palma et al., 2020). Countries within its range include Benin, Nigeria, Cameroon, Equatorial Guinea, Central African Republic, Gabon, Congo, Democratic Republic of Congo, South Sudan, Uganda, Rwanda, and Kenya.

*G. goliatus* appears particularly abundant in Cameroon where it is known from many localities in the South-Western Province, including Korup National Park, Konye, Kobe, Manfe, Buea, Ibemi, and the surroundings of Douala and Yaoundé. In the Democratic Republic of Congo, the species has been heavily collected in the North Kivu and Ituri Provinces, with historical hunting grounds being Limbe, Ituri, and Kasuo. For other countries, like Central African Republic, the labelling of the vouchers available in collections are generic (e.g., "Bangui") and not always reliable.

266 Despite its extensive range, the species' distribution appears fragmented around the borders of its 267 East African range in Uganda, Kenya, and Rwanda. In Uganda, we have observed it in Maramagambo Forest, 268 Bwindi, Kibale, Budongo, Mabira-Najembe, and Mukono Forests. However, its distribution may be wider 269 than presently known, including in relatively well-preserved forested fragments in central Uganda.

In Kenya, based on the specimens stored at the National Museums of Kenya, Invertebrate Zoology reference collection, *G. goliatus* is present at Kakamega and Kaimosi Forests (specimens collected in March 1914, 1915, January 1954, December 1931, 1934, and February 1935) and in North Nandi Forest (collected in December 1978). The specimens originating from Kenya in the ongoing entomological trade often indicate the Nandi Hills as a main source.

275 *Goliathus goliatus* displays disjunct and isolated populations that are highly threatened in West 276 Africa. In Benin, the species has been recorded in the Pobé Forest, near the Nigerian border. This forest is 277 found at more than 700 km from the nearest known presence site for *G. goliatus* (Cross River State, South-278 eastern Nigeria), thus the Pobé Forest population may represent a relictual and highly threatened 279 population (Le Gall, 2010). There are also records suggesting that *G. goliatus* may have occurred or still occurs in Togo, as evidenced by four specimens (two males and two females) in the scientific collections of the Centre Nationale de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRST) in Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso, labelled as "Togo" and captured in 2005 (Online Supplementary Figure S1). In the same collection, we observed several other beetles captured in 2005 in Fazao Malfakassa, including Togo's endemic *Fornasinius klingbeili*. It is therefore, unlikely that the four *G. goliatus* specimens have been mislabelled. In addition, one of us (M.M.) received a male individual labelled "Kloto (Togo, 01/1973)" from a reliable source.

287 Yet, intensive surveys in the last 15 years in Togo, including Fazao Malfakassa National Park, by 288 Luiselli, Segniagbeto and colleagues, have not encountered any free-ranging individuals of G. goliatus, 289 suggesting that its presence in the country is uncertain and that the insect might even be locally extinct. It is 290 notable that forest patches within the Dahomey Gap (for instance Fazao Malfakassa) harbour other species 291 with a distribution pattern like G. qoliatus, such as the Jameson's green mamba (Dendroaspis jamesoni). 292 The discovery of this elapid snake in a secondary hilly forest in Fazao Malfakassa in recent years, a species 293 with a general pan-African distribution pattern nearly identical to that of G. goliatus, emphasizes the 294 potential for relict populations of G. goliatus to exist in Togo, although further confirmation is needed 295 (Figure S1; G.H. Segniagbeto, L. Luiselli et al., unpublished data).

296

## 297 3.1.5 |Current population trend

298 DECLINING.

299

Available data on *G. goliatus* population trends consistently suggest a general decline over the years, although information is limited across the species' vast range. In South-eastern Nigeria, Dendi et al. (2021) reported a decrease in the total number of individuals observed in the same forest area, from 113 (1996–2004) to 73 (2005–2013) and 62 (2014–2021), with a nearly constant field effort of 61-66 field days. Similarly, in a forest area in Cameroon, Muafor & Le Gall (2011) noted that the *G. goliatus* population was "highly declining due to habitat destruction and, potentially, exploitation of adults for insect trade". In Benin, our recent surveys (unpublished) revealed that the species is very rare, whereas it was common albeit localised to a single area in the early 2000s (Le Gall, 2010). In Uganda's Mukono Forest, experienced
local community members interviewed in 2023 by us suggested that *G. goliatus* is noticeably scarcer now
than 15-20 years ago, mainly due to habitat loss and, potentially, overharvesting. Recent interview surveys
in Kakamega Forest (Kenya) revealed that, upon showing images of the species to local people, they
unambiguously responded that the beetles are becoming increasingly rarer, suggesting an ongoing decline
in this part of the insect's range.

313

#### 314 3.1.6 |Habitat and ecology

315 This species is linked to primary and secondary rainforests, particularly in hilly and mountainous 316 areas (Table 2). It is more abundant in montane forests, as observed in Western Cameroon (Muafor et al., 317 2012) and South-eastern Nigeria (Cross River State). In this latter area, we observed G. goliatus primarily in 318 hilly-montane rainforest (500-1600 m a.s.l.) with tree species such as Musanga cecropioides, Irvingia 319 gabonensis, Berlinia africana, Coula edulis, Hannoa klaineana, Klainedoxa gabonensis. However, it was 320 more frequently found on Vernonia spp. and Prunus africana. These same plant species were associated 321 with this beetle species in central Uganda (Mukono, Mabira-Najembe and Budongo Forests; our 322 unpublished data), suggesting a relatively stable microhabitat preference across its wide range. 323 While G. goliatus is more frequently associated with extensive forests, it can also be found in forest 324 fragments surrounded by plantations, or in gallery forests. For instance, in the Mabira-Najembe Forest 325 (Uganda) this species is also frequently seen along forest edges (our unpublished observations). 326 Our opportunistic records on 216 adult G. goliatus from Nigeria and Uganda revealed that 76.4% occurred in mature/primary forest patches, 23.6% in secondary forests, but none in plantations/deforested 327 habitats, showing a statistically significant preference for mature forests ( $\chi^2$  = 60.2, df = 2, P < 0.0001). For 328 329 110 of the 216 opportunistic observations, microhabitat data based on average tree size in the observation 330 site were collected. Observations indicated a positive correlation between the frequency of sighting and the 331 increasing average size of the trees (r = 0.935, n = 5, P < 0.05), confirming that G. goliatus prefers sites with 332 larger mature trees (Figure 2).

The observed adult sex ratio seems uneven, with males more numerous than females or at least
 more easily visible due to their more frequent flying and active movement.

Adults are present year-round, with peak activity from November-March and especially from December to February (over 80% of observed individuals in Nigeria; our unpublished observations). In Uganda, the species can also be frequently observed from April-June, with a primary peak in February and a secondary peak in May (our unpublished observations). In Kenya, based on the labels of collected specimens housed in the National Museums of Kenya, *G. goliatus* is active from December to March, which is consistent with our data from Nigeria.

341 Overall, the number of individuals observed during our surveys was significantly negatively

342 correlated with the number of rainy days per month (Spearman's  $r_s$ = 0.614, P < 0.05) (Figure 3a) confirming

that *G. goliatus* adults are mostly active during the dry season.

- Adults were observed at all times of the day, with a slight tendency to be more easily encountered in the evening and early night hours (Figure 4a).
- 346 Nothing is known on the species' larval ecology. However, some authors believe that the larvae of
  347 all *Goliathus* species may exhibit a predatory way of life (VendI and Šípek, 2016).
- 348

## 349 3.1.7 |Use and trade

350 Hundreds to thousands of individuals of G. goliatus are known to be collected every year in the wild 351 for the international entomological trade, primarily in South-western Cameroon and, to a lesser extent, in 352 Kenya and Uganda. Large males and white morphs, found in South-Western Cameroon (De Palma et al., 2020), are highly valued by insect collectors, leading to their active harvesting by local communities. White 353 354 morphs tend to decline more quickly than brown morphs because they are more attractive to collectors and 355 less cryptic in their natural habitat (Dendi et al., 2021). Illegal trade of specimens, especially the white 356 coloured ones, from Nigeria's Cross River to Cameroon, where they are exported to the Western markets, is 357 known (Luiselli et al., unpublished data).

358

359 3.1.8 |Conservation actions

360	To our knowledge, there are no direct conservation actions specifically aimed at protecting G.				
361	goliatus. However, populations benefit from the general protection afforded to several protected forest				
362	areas (national parks, nature reserves or community forests) where it is found. Key protected areas include				
363	the Cross River National Park (Nigeria), Mount Cameroon, Korup, Parc de la Mefou, Nki National Parks and				
364	Dja Faunal Reserve (Cameroon), Bwindi Impenetrable Forest and Kibale National Parks (Uganda), Southern				
365	National Park (South Sudan), Nyungwe Forest and Virunga National Parks (Rwanda), Kakamega National				
366	Reserve and Nandi Forest Reserve (Kenya). Vast and largely pristine, inaccessible or non-exploited forest				
367	habitats persist in Gabon, Northern Republic of Congo, and Northern Democratic Republic of Congo, in				
368	which this insect is evidently not threatened.				
369					
370	3.2   Goliathus meleagris				
371	3.2.1   Data availability				
372	We were able to dedicate equal effort in each month (overall 10 days per month, spanned between				
373	2015 and 2024) in the Lualaba region of the Democratic Republic of Congo, thus resulting in unbiased				
374	seasonality data. As for the other taxa, when possible, we collected data on habitat characteristics and daily				
375	activity patterns of the encountered individuals.				
376					
377	3.2.2   Suggested Red List category & criteria				
378	LEAST CONCERN (LC).				
379					
380	3.2.3   Justification				
381	Goliathus meleagris exhibits a relatively large range in Southern Democratic Republic of Congo,				
382	Northern Zambia and Eastern Angola (De Palma et al., 2020), where it is a habitat generalist and locally				
383	abundant, even occurring in areas around human settlements. Therefore, it is considered LC in this study.				
384	However, its life cycle may depend on the persistence of relatively humid woodland areas, which may face				
385	increasing threats due to deforestation, land conversion, mining and climate change. Therefore, a				
386	categorisation as NT might be needed if further studies reveal a decline in their populations.				

#### 388 **3.2.4** | Geographic range and taxonomic considerations

Goliathus meleagris is endemic to the plateaus of the former Katanga Province (Haut-Katanga and 389 390 Lualaba) in the Democratic Republic of Congo and neighbouring areas of Angola and Zambia (De Palma et 391 al., 2020). Historical and confirmed records of presence were Khoni (thousands of specimens collected in 392 the 1980s/1999), Kundelungu National Park, Upemba, Sakundundu, Lumambashi, Kaponda, Kansense, 393 Kamina-Kazadi, Kilangwa, Likasi, Lualaba province, Muthsasha, Nkonda, and in the whole area of Kolwezi. 394 The taxonomic status of G. Meleagris has been clarified recently (De Palma et al., 2020). The 395 Goliathus populations of Katanga have been previously attributed to G. orientalis, a distinct species from 396 Tanzania (Figure 1). In the most recent revision of this genus, De Palma et al. (2020) ranked meleagris as a 397 subspecies of G. goliatus because of the limited genetic separation between the two taxa, the different 398 ecological characteristics, and the occurrence of morphologically intermediate forms, particularly in the 399 Tanganyika region (Figure S2). While these parameters justify subspecific ranking, the geographical and 400 ecological separation of the two taxa has urged separate assessments and, therefore, their operational 401 treatment as separate species.

402

## 403 3.2.5 |Current population trend

404 STABLE.

405

The species is widespread but localised within its range. It occupies wooded areas in or around human settlements and towns, and there is no evidence that the species is declining in the regions surveyed.

409

## 410 3.2.6 |Habitat and ecology

This species occurs in the Central Zambezian Miombo ecoregion's deciduous woodlands and gallery forests (De Palma et al., 2020). The main limiting factor for its presence is humidity since *G. meleagris* does not occur in sites that are too dry or consist exclusively of herbaceous vegetation. Therefore, although *G*. *meleagris* is a species linked to areas of wooded savannah, it tends to select the wettest and highest canopy
sites (De Palma et al., 2020).

416 Males seem much more numerous than females, or at least more easily visible since they fly more 417 often and appear more active.

418 Although G. meleagris is a common species, no reported ecological studies exist. Our field 419 observations suggest that adults are active year-round, but are most active between November and February, with a peak in January. We observed 232 free-ranging individuals, with a frequency of occurrence 420 421 significantly uneven across months ( $\chi^2$  = 137.4, df = 11, P < 0.0001) and positively correlated with the mean 422 number of rainy days per month (Spearman's  $r_s = 0.626$ , P < 0.05; Figure 3b). Based on these observations, it 423 is likely that G. meleagris exhibits an activity pattern opposite to that of G. goliatus, i.e., G. meleagris is 424 highly active during the rainy season, while G. goliatus is primarily active during drier months (Figure 3). 425 An excellent flyer, G. meleagris tends to exhibit fairly dense populations, with groups of individuals 426 fluttering 5-15 m above the ground around individual trees where they congregate. Although there are no 427 conclusive experimental data, our opportunistic observations indicate that G. meleagris reaches population 428 densities greater than any other species of *Goliathus* around the trees on which it assembles. 429 This species is active throughout the day, with a slight tendency in our studied sample for more 430 individuals to be observed during twilight and the early night hours (Figure 4b). Thus, the daily activity 431 patterns of this species were almost identical to those of G. goliatus (see above). Interestingly, the height

432 from the ground at which *G. meleagris* specimens were sighted increased significantly from early morning

433 towards twilight (Spearman's  $r_s = 0.847$ , P < 0.05).

434

Nothing is known about the larval ecology.

435

436 **3.2.7 | Use and trade** 

Hundreds of specimens are collected yearly in the wild (particularly in Lualaba) for the international
entomological trade. Insect collectors especially value large males, so these beetles are actively harvested
by local communities. Local collectors exploit sites where cocoons of these beetles are present, because
most of the specimens offered in the Western markets are of excellent quality, without the imperfections

- and breakages more frequently observed in wild Goliath beetles belonging to other taxa. Alternatively, a
  less combative behaviour of the males may be envisioned.
- 443

## 444 3.2.8 |Conservation actions

- There are no direct conservation actions to protect this species, but *G. meleagris* populations are protected within the Upemba (11,730 km<sup>2</sup>) and Kundelungu (7600 km<sup>2</sup>) national parks.
- 447

448 3.3 | Goliathus regius

## 449 3.3.1 | Data availability

We observed *G. regius* opportunistically from 2012 to 2024, especially in Côte d'Ivoire, and more sporadically in Togo, Ghana, Republic of Guinea, and Liberia. Since we could not dedicate equal effort to each month or habitat type, the quantitative data we present may be partially biased. However, given the long time spent in the field in the potential areas of the presence of the species, we are confident that the potential biases would not profoundly affect the collected data. We also interviewed many local people to get information on this species; these data are synthesized in Dendi et al. (2023). Additionally, one of us (MDP) observed *G. regius* in Ghana from 2015 to 2019.

- 457
- 458 3.3.2 | Suggested Red List category & criteria
- 459 **ENDANGERED** (EN) according to the criteria A2, c, d.
- 460

#### 461 **3.3.3 | Justification**

The population reduction was inferred/suspected to be more than 50% (A2) compared to 20 years ago. This is based on (1) surveys of study areas historically used as hunting grounds by collectors supplying the international entomological trade; (2) detailed in-person interviews with informed people from many communities in Côte d'Ivoire and Liberia (Dendi et al., 2023); and (3) the high deforestation rate in most of the range of the species, particularly in Ghana and Côte d'Ivoire (see Mallon et al., 2015; and database in 467 University of Maryland and World Resources Institute, 'Global Primary Forest Loss'. Accessed through Global
468 Forest Watch on 18/02/2024 from www. globalforestwatch.org). Additionally, site-specific data in Eastern
469 Côte d'Ivoire suggest possible local extinctions after just a few years of monitoring (see below).

470 Notably, the replacement of lowland rainforests and agro-forestry habitats with extensive cocoa 471 plantations in South-western Côte d'Ivoire has certainly negatively affected, and likely decimated or locally 472 extirpated, populations of G. regius, by drastically reducing the connectivity between metapopulations and 473 the number of viable population units. Moreover, if the deforestation rate continues at the current pace 474 (average tree cover loss between 2001 and 2022 = 13%; Luiselli, 2024), it can be inferred that even criterion 475 E (≥ 20% extinction probability in 100 years) would be met. This process may not be reversible due to the 476 ongoing infrastructural and industrial development of the West African countries where G. regius lives. This 477 species is also exploited for the international entomological trade, and this threat may further affect its 478 natural populations.

479

#### 480 **3.3.4** |Geographic range and taxonomic considerations

This species is endemic of the Upper Guinean Forest Block (Mallon et al., 2015), from Sierra Leone to Western Togo (De Palma et al., 2020), including the Comoé-Lérabà National Park in Southern Burkina Faso. Old records for Benin and Nigeria (Lachaume, 1983) were most likely inaccurate because (i) about 30 years of extensive field research in the rainforests of these countries did not provide any record; (ii) no positive interviews with any local hunter/farmer was obtained (Luiselli, Akani, Eniang et al., unpublished data); and (iii) *G. goliatus goliatus*, its ecologically equivalent, is instead present in the two countries mentioned above.

In Côte d'Ivoire, specimens with reliable label data were collected in Bingerville (1960s) and other localities around Abidjan (many specimens collected in the 1980s/90s); Tonkpi, Mount Tonkoui, Danané and Man (all up to current days); Foret de Mopri and other areas in Tiassale (many specimens collected in the 1980s/90s); Foret de Taï (up to current days); South-eastern Abongoua (1998); Bouaké (1960s and up to current days), Kpapekou (1960s), Sassandra (1980s/90s), and Issia (many specimens collected up to the 2000s). Currently the species is more easily observed, and thus presumably abundant, in the Western part

494	of the country, especially in the Taï Forest and in the regions "Montagnes" and "Haut Sassandra", and more
495	specifically in the area situated within the villages/towns of Zoukougbeu, Logouale, Man, Biankouma,
496	Mahapleu and Danané. In the Man area, for instance, we observed populations in Kagui (Gbangbegouine
497	sub- prefecture). As for Ghana, historical hunting grounds were: Eastern Kade (last ascertained record in
498	1978), Begoro (2023), Kadjebi (2024), Kakum National Park (2022), Bia National Park (2011), and M.
499	Agoumanatt (leg. H.Schultz- Garben, 2013).
500	There are no recognized subspecies of <i>G. regius</i> (De Palma et al., 2020).
501	
502	3.3.5   Current population trend
503	DECLINING.
504	The species is widespread within its range, occupying wooded areas and spots with few trees in
505	between plantations and abandoned lands near human settlements and towns. However, the apparent
506	abundance of <i>G. regius</i> is not uniform across its range:
507	1) There are no data concerning its status in Sierra Leone (a heavily deforested country where this
508	species is probably rare and confined to few localities);
509	2) The species is fairly widespread and locally abundant in Liberia (due to the extensive forest cover,
510	especially in the Eastern part of the country). Still, its presence in the Republic of Guinea, Côte
511	d'Ivoire and Ghana has become increasingly fragmented, with noticeable declines in large sections
512	of the historic distribution area. Goliathus regius is still present in the Mount Nimba region of the
513	Republic of Guinea, in several areas of Western Côte d'Ivoire (Taï Forest, Haut Sassandra,
514	Montagnes district, Sangouiné and other regions in Danané, Mahapleu, Tonkpi, Man, and Mount
515	Nimba) (Figure 5), and in various but disjunct localities of Ghana (Bia National Park, Kakum Nationa
516	Park, and several localities in the Ashanti and former Volta Region). In the Bobiri Forest Reserve
517	(Ghana, nearby Kumasi, Ashanti region), our team captured two individuals of <i>G. regius</i> after five
518	days of sampling. These individuals were found on <i>Albizia zygia</i> , likely feeding on nectar and fruits.
519	The tree was in an intact area of the Bobiri Forest Reserve; Albizia zygia produces fruit between

521

March and April, with fruit maturing from May to July. It sheds old leaves, grows new ones between August and September and has a minor fruiting season from November to December.

522 3) The species is now much rarer and potentially extirpated in the extensively deforested Southern,
523 Central and Eastern Côte d'Ivoire regions. It is also extremely rare in Togo, where it occurs only in
524 scattered sites of the hilly forests at the border with Ghana (Togo hills, up North to Fazao
525 Malfakassa National Park).

526

527 Lachaume (1983) considered G. regius as common. However, there is clear evidence that the species is 528 in decline and may have already been extirpated from many localities. For instance, in 2022 (6 days of field 529 research) and 2023 (8 days of field research), we failed to observe individuals of this species in two sites 530 nearby Grand Bassam (South-eastern Côte d'Ivoire) where we regularly observed the species in 2009 (2 531 days of field research), 2014 (2 days of field research) and 2016 (3 days of field research), suggesting a 532 potential local extirpation. Moreover, many interviewees in Côte d'Ivoire and Liberia agreed that this 533 species is much rarer nowadays than in the past decades, although it is still considered present in many 534 sites (Dendi et al., 2023). The most worrying and severe threat is represented by the ever-growing cocoa 535 cultivations in Côte d'Ivoire, which are destroying the forest habitat in a large part of its range (Sabas et al., 2020). 536

537 The extensive "full sun" plantations of cocoa and coffee in South-western Côte d'Ivoire (the world's 538 leading producer of cocoa) (Smith Dumont et al., 2014) certainly pose a serious threat to G. regius, since it 539 has never been observed during our research in plantations above 4 ha surface, while it has been observed 540 on several occasions at the edge of small subsistence non-cocoa plantations (jam, cassava, etc). The area 541 cultivated for cocoa production in Côte d'Ivoire is approximately 3 million hectares. The development of this 542 crop has led to significant degradation of the forest cover over the last decades (respectively 60.80%, 543 46.39%, 20.76% and 51.18% of the forest area in the Eastern, in the Centre -western, South-western and 544 Western Côte d'Ivoire from 1985 to 2019; Savas et al., 2020). Therefore, it can be extrapolated that at least 545 43% of G. regius habitat has been lost in the Ivorian territory only, and there is no indication that this trend 546 would reverse in the decades to come.

## 548 3.3.6 | Habitat and ecology

549 Nothing is known on the ecology of this species apart that it is a typical inhabitant of the Upper 550 Guinean rainforests (Croizat, 1994). Our field studies showed that it is not explicitly linked to climax or 551 mature forests but can be found along the whole succession of the West African forest (Table 2), including 552 forest-plantation mosaics and the neighbouring human settlements (Figure 6). For instance, in the district 553 "Montagnes" (Western Côte d'Ivoire) it is easily encountered in the montane secondary forests but can also 554 occur in patches with small tree clusters separating plantations and human settlements.

In Ghana, the species is also found in secondary hilly forests, such as the Bobiri Forest Reserve near Kumasi and heavily degraded hilly forests in the Jasikan District, Oti Region. One of us (M.D.P.) observed about 50 live specimens in the surroundings of Kadjebi (Jasikan District) during a field-observation study of about 50 cumulative days (July/August) between 2015 and 2019. Therefore, *G. regius* can be considered a habitat generalist within the West African forest block, although not present within large urban centres and extensive plantations/monocultures. The tree species used more frequently by *G. regius* in Côte d'Ivoire is *Prunus africana* (L. Luiselli et al., unpublished observations).

In a sample of 69 opportunistically encountered *G. regius* individuals, most records were from secondary/altered rainforest, but there was also a high frequency of observations in mature rainforest (including gallery forests in this category), dry forest, and forest-plantation mosaic, while open savannah-like grasslands did not provide observations (Figure 6a).

566 G. regius is active year-round, with a higher apparent peak from May to July (wet season) and a lower second peak in October to December (Figure 6b). However, the sample size was small (n = 69) and, 567 568 therefore, it cannot be ruled out that the apparent monthly differences observed in the annual activity 569 patterns of these beetles are partly biased. It is also possible that there are oscillations between years in the 570 peaks of activity depending on factors such as rainfall. For example, during non-standardised research in 571 2024, many individuals were observed on September 3 (two males and one female, on the Guinean side of 572 Mount Nimba), September 12 (7 males and 5 females in Logoualé), September 13 (one male in Sanguoiné), 573 September 16 (two males and one female in Danané), September 25 (one male in Biankouma), October 4

574 (one male in Mahapleu) and October 10 (one male in Sanguoiné), that is in a period of the year in which the

575 activity of *G. regius* would not seem to be very high.

576 Males vigorously combat for access to females, and this results in many individuals showing broken

577 legs and scratches on the pronotum and elytra (Luiselli et al., unpublished observations).

- 578 Nothing is known about the larval ecology.
- 579

## 580 3.3.7 | Use and trade

Several hundred specimens of *G. regius* were captured annually to supply the entomological trade in the 1980's, 1990's and early 2000's. The species is currently expensive in the Western markets, and insect collectors especially value large males. Many specimens are still collected in the wild, particularly in Ghana, Liberia and Côte d'Ivoire, although successful captive breeding in the last several years may compensate for reduced exports of wild specimens from historical collection sites, such as Côte d'Ivoire. These beetles are harvested by local collectors operating singly and without the concerted efforts that some communities make to collect *G. goliatus goliatus* in Cameroon (Muafor et al., 2012).

588

## 589 3.3.8 | Conservation actions

590 There are no direct conservation actions to protect this species, but *G. regius* populations are 591 protected within the Taï Forest and Comoé National Parks, Haut-Bandama, Mont Nimba, Cavally, Mabi-Yaya,

592 Aghien, Bossématié and N'zo Natural Reserves in Côte d'Ivoire, Comoé-Lérabà National Park in Burkina

593 Faso, Kakum, Nini Suhien, Digya, Bia National Parks and Bobiri Forest Reserve in Ghana.

594

595 3.4 | Goliathus cacicus

#### 596 **3.4.1 | Data availability**

597 We observed *G. cacicus* opportunistically from 2012 to 2024, especially in Côte d'Ivoire, Liberia, and 598 the Republic of Guinea. Since we could not dedicate equal effort in each month or each habitat type, the 599 quantitative data we present may be biased. However, given the long time spent in the field in the potential areas of the presence of the species, we are confident that the potential biases would not profoundly affect
the collected data. We also interviewed large numbers of local people to get information on this species;
these data are synthesised in Dendi et al. (2023).

603

#### 604 3.4.2 | Suggested Red List category & criteria

605

**CRITICALLY ENDANGERED** (CR) according to the criteria A2, a, c, d.

606

#### 607 **3.4.3 | Justification**

608 The population reduction was inferred/suspected to be more than 80% (A2) compared to about 20 609 years ago. This is based on (1) surveys of study areas historically used as hunting grounds by collectors 610 supplying the international entomological trade; (2) detailed in-person interviews with informed people 611 from many communities in Côte d'Ivoire and Liberia (Dendi et al., 2023); and (3) the high rate of 612 deforestation in most of the range of the species, namely in Ghana and Côte d'Ivoire (see Mallon et al., 613 2015; and database in the University of Maryland and World Resources Institute. 'Global Primary Forest 614 Loss'. Accessed through Global Forest Watch on 18/02/2024 from www. globalforestwatch.org). 615 Once widespread and locally abundant, the species is likely extirpated from many historical sites of 616 presence and survives only in a small section of the original range, mainly in Eastern Liberia and Western 617 Côte d'Ivoire. Few people reported that G. cacicus remains in the forests surrounding their villages (Dendi et 618 al., 2023). One of us (M.D.P.) failed to observe live G. cacicus in Western or Eastern Ghana during a field-619 observation study of about 80 cumulative days (July/August) between 2015 and 2019. 620 The replacement of rainforests and agro-forestry habitats with extensive cocoa plantations in South-621 Western Côte d'Ivoire has decimated and likely extirpated several populations of G. cacicus, drastically 622 reducing its connectivity between metapopulations and the number of viable population units. This decline 623 is accentuated compared to G. regius, possibly because this species is a rainforest specialist. Moreover, if 624 the deforestation rate continues at the current pace, it can be inferred that even criterion E ( $\geq$  50% 625 extinction probability in 100 years) will be met. This process may not be reversible given the ongoing 626 infrastructural and industrial development of the West African countries where G. cacicus lives. This species

627 is also exploited for the international entomological trade, and this threat may further affect its natural628 populations.

629

## 630 **3.4.4 | Geographic range and taxonomic considerations**

631 This species is endemic to the Upper Guinean Forest Block (Mallon et al., 2015), where it occurs in 632 the following countries: Sierra Leone (Wiebes, 1968), Liberia (Savage, 1842), Côte d'Ivoire, Ghana (De Palma 633 et al., 2020) and Republic of Guinea (Nimba area, our unpublished data). Its range was reported to include 634 also Benin and Nigeria (Lachaume, 1983). However, the reported presence of G. cacicus in the latter two 635 countries is almost certainly wrong or, alternatively, the species has long been extirpated therein because (i) 636 about 30 years of very extensive field research in the rainforests of these countries did not provide any 637 specimens, and (ii) no positive interviews with any local hunter/farmer was obtained (Luiselli, Akani, Eniang 638 et al., unpublished data). Sjöstedt (1927b) reported its easternmost locality of presence being Barombi 639 (Nigeria, nowadays in South-western Cameroon), but this record is almost certainly wrong.

Recent data do not exist for Sierra Leone, a heavily deforested country. In Liberia, the species was already known to occur in the South-eastern regions in the 1840s (Savage's notes on its phenology were taken from Cape Palmas), and recent records are from the forest areas bordering Côte d'Ivoire (Dendi et al., 2023). Unspecified capture locations (years 2021-2023) in Eastern Liberia, at about 80 km from the coastal line, were also reported by Ting et al. (2023), but without any further details, it is impossible to trace the collection area.

646 In Côte d'Ivoire, reliable data from institutional and private collections indicate that the species was common in the Soubré Forest (Bas Sassandra; dozens of individuals received alive until 2008), Abidjan and 647 648 Banco Forest (possibly thousands of specimens collected until the late 1990s), Akoupé (Lagunes district), 649 Bouaké (Gbêkê), Attinguié (Lagunes, 24 km NW Abidjan), Issia (Haut-Sassandra, many specimens); Foret de 650 Taï (up to current days), and Danané. The species was also frequently exported from Daloa (Haut-651 Sassandra), where it was undoubtedly syntopic with G. regius as testified by many hybrid specimens (G. 652 "atlas") that were captured in the 1980s. Currently, the species is found in a few scattered sites in the 653 Western part of the country (see below for more details and Figure 7).

As for Ghana, many historical specimens, collected mainly in the Ashanti Region, are deposited in the British Museum of Natural History (London). Additional records are from Bia National Park. One of us (M.M.) recently obtained *G. cacicus* from Ankasa Forest (Western Region). Several specimens were also collected during the 1970s in the Eastern Kade (Eastern Region), now a widely deforested area, according to the original labels from former German collections. The historical easternmost area of ascertained species' presence is Ghana's Volta region.

There are no recognized subspecies of *G. cacicus* (De Palma et al., 2020).

660

661

### 662 3.4.5 | Current population trend

663 DECLINING.

664 All information in our possession suggests that this species is in a strong decline and may even be 665 extinct in many of its classic presence locations, especially along the coastal strip between Côte d'Ivoire and 666 Ghana. It was considered abundant by Lachaume (1983), and indeed, in the 1970s and 1980s, many 667 hundreds of individuals were exported yearly for the international entomological markets, especially from 668 Côte d'Ivoire and Ghana. Some areas (Abidjan, Banco Forest, Issia, Sassandra and Taï Forest) were the 669 collection hotspot for these beetles. The species was abundant in the above-mentioned specific sites until 670 at least the early 1990s, and the native collectors were paid minimal amounts for each specimen collected 671 (about \$1; Dendi et al., 2023). These massive exportations for the Western markets likely threatened these 672 exploited populations' survival. Currently, G. cacicus appears to be extinct from the small fragments of scrub 673 and altered forest that are still found in the urban fabric of the metropolitan area of Abidjan. For example, the species has not been observed since 2004 in a residual forest fragment of 1,615 ha located in Riviera 674 675 Bonoumin (Luiselli et al., unpublished data; Figure S3).

In addition, the habitat of *G. cacicus*, which is a rainforest specialist (see below), has been strongly affected by urbanization (especially in Abidjan, where it was once common) and the development of the cocoa industry, as described above for *G. regius*. The decline of this species in areas with expanding cocoa plantations may also be due to the heavy utilization of insecticides for the control of pests (Ohoueu et al.,

680 2017).

682 Currently (2022-2024), G. cacicus is known to survive in some scattered populations: 683 1) In Liberia, it is found in the Zwedru and Nimba areas. The species is also present in the forested 684 patches between Toetown and the border with Côte d'Ivoire up to the town of Toulepleu. 685 2) In Côte d'Ivoire, it is still found in Taï Forest (southern part of the protected area) and in the 686 neighbouring Forêt Classée de Rapide Grah (Haut Sassandra), in the forested hills nearby Danané 687 and Toulepleu (Montagnes district), in the Mount Nimba Strict Nature Reserve, and in the Southern Comoé. Smaller populations occur also elsewhere: in December 2023, four individuals were 688 689 recorded from the Biankouma area (Tonkpi region), and in December 2022, an adult male was 690 captured at Petit Yapo (Lagunes district). 691 3) In the Republic of Guinea, it occurs in the Nimba area; 692 4) In Ghana, this species is certainly present in Bia National Park (Claude Joly, personal 693 communication) and potentially in some of the small fragmented forests present in the south-694 western part of the country. For example, a male specimen was collected in Ankasa Forest (Western 695 Region) in December 2023 (M.M., unpublished data). 696 697 Overall, Eastern Liberia and Western Côte d'Ivoire appear to be the most critical regions for conserving 698 this Critically Endangered species. Although it is possible that G. cacicus still survives in other sites 699 (especially in gallery forests), there is no doubt that its populations have suffered a catastrophic collapse in 700 the previous 30 years. Overall, we estimate that at least 80% of its populations were extirpated in the last 701 30 years; for instance, there are no records over ten years from Banco Forest and from the surroundings of 702 Abidjan, where G. cacicus was once very abundant. Although it cannot be excluded that G. cacicus still 703 survives in the Banco Forest, it is undoubtedly scarce nowadays. 704 In 2023-2024, the personnel of "Parcs et Reserves de Côte d'Ivoire" surveyed (under the request of Col. 705 Drissa Koné) the hunting grounds where G. cacicus have been historically harvested by local collectors, but 706 no positive observations were obtained. Standardised interviews with local communities in Liberia and Côte

d'Ivoire confirmed that G. cacicus is reported to still be present in just a few sites, but also suggest an

apparent temporal decline in its abundance as elder but not young people typically know the species (Dendi
et al., 2023). When this pattern is observed in interviews, a species' heavy decline can be considered as
practically confirmed (Luiselli et al., 2021).

Another indirect indication of the rarity of the species nowadays is the average high price for specimens offered for the international entomological trade and the scarcity of recently captured specimens at entomological fairs (M.M., unpublished observations). In contrast, the species was inexpensive until about 20 years ago.

715

## 716 3.4.6 | Habitat and ecology

No study has been published on the ecology of this species and the few available natural history
notes are due to Savage (1842). However, we collated field data during the period 2012-2024, that are
summarized below.

720 G. cacicus is a forest specialist (Table 2), that inhabits mostly mature rainforest patches (including 721 gallery forests, over 75% of our records, total n = 61, Figure 6a), both in lowlands and in hills and mountains 722 (Figure 7), for instance, in the surroundings of Danané, Côte d'Ivoire. However, it is also found in secondary 723 forests and fragments of relatively sunny rainforest, in the vicinity of settlements and small plantations and 724 secondary forest/plantation mosaics and degraded forests (for instance, in the Forêt Classée de Rapide 725 Grah). However, the frequency of observation of G. cacicus individuals in this environment is significantly 726 lower than in mature forests (Figure 6a). For instance, in Tai Forest this species is present only in the 727 southern and central portions of the protected area, whereas in the northern part, that is much more altered, only G. regius is found (Luiselli et al., unpublished data). It is not found in extensively deforested 728 729 areas and areas with intensive plantations. As you proceed northwards, it seems more and more enfeoffed 730 to gallery forests or mountain areas, while in the coastal area it also inhabits flooded forests.

Despite earlier observers (Savage, 1841) reported that this species is linked to a single tree species (unknown at the time), we observed adults in different plant species, mainly *Vernonia* spp., *Prunus africana*, *Ficus* sp. This is consistent with data from Ting et al. (2023), who observed this species not only on the aforementioned trees but also in *Acacia mangium* (in Cote d'Ivoire), a species that was introduced from Australia in the 1970s as a plantation tree. It may be speculated that this tree species might have

represented a "ecological trap" for this beetle species, contributing to its rarefaction. However, this

737 hypothesis is entirely tentative and should be verified by further studies.

738 Although the distribution range of G. cacicus largely overlaps with that of G. regius, up to the point that they can hybridise in the wild, the two species differ significantly in habitat choice ( $\chi^2$  = 41.53, df = 5, P < 739 740 0.0001; Figure 6a). In quantitative terms and considering only the specimens whose precise observation 741 point with geographical coordinates is known (n = 61 for *G. cacicus* and n = 69 for *G. regius*), the habitat 742 niche breadth was significantly narrower in G. cacicus ( $B_s = 1.61$ ) than in G. regius ( $B_s = 4.11$ ). In general, G. 743 cacicus seems to prefer wetter and shadier microhabitats, in points with a much more closed canopy, than 744 G. regius, (for example, compare Figure 5b with Figure 7b) (L. Luiselli et al., unpublished observations). 745 However, there was considerable habitat niche overlap between the two species ( $O_{ik} = 0.439$ ), showing that 746 they can be relatively similar in terms of habitat preferences and can coexist with low interspecific 747 competition. The occurrence of hybrid individuals, referred to as "Goliathus atlas", in the Southern part of 748 Taï Forest (Côte d'Ivoire) and historically in the Volta region (Ghana) (De Palma et al., 2020), confirms that 749 these two species are/were syntopic in various locations within their range (Figure 8). Ting et al. (2023) 750 confirmed that hybridisation between G. cacicus and G. regius occurs in the wild (eastern Liberia). They 751 reported interesting observations of interspecific mating and hybridisation patterns in captivity. During our 752 surveys, we captured individuals of the two species within a same tree and in a same night in a secondary 753 forest of the Mahapleu prefecture, department of Danané (Western Côte d'Ivoire) (Figure 8). The syntopy 754 spots between the two species seem to correspond to sites with very closed canopy, apparently more 755 suitable for G. cacicus than G. regius (Figure 7a) (Luiselli et al., unpublished observations). Further studies 756 are needed to verify the generality of this pattern.

Ting et al. (2023) stated that *G. cacicus* tends to inhabit coastal forests whereas *G. regius* is typically a species from areas situated in more internal regions. This speculation is unsubstantiated, given that many non-coastal localities have been known for a long time for *G. cacicus*, as well as several coastal sites for *G. regius*. Moreover, even Savage, in the 1840s, already reported that, in the Liberian territory of Cape Palmas, *G. cacicus* did not usually occur in the coastal forests but a few kilometres inside the country.

762	Adults of G. cacicus are active year-round, with an apparent peak of activity between November
763	and January (early dry season). However, our sample is relatively small (n = 61), and therefore, it cannot be
764	excluded that variations observed between various months merely reflect different levels of outdoor
765	activity. Ting et al. (2023) also reported a phenology consistent with our data for a population from Eastern
766	Liberia but did not present any quantitative data to support their suggestion. Specimens in institutional and
767	private collections indicate that many specimens were collected between April and July.
768	The monthly frequency of observation of <i>G. cacicus</i> individuals differed significantly from that of <i>G</i> .
769	<i>regius</i> ( $\chi^2$ = 24.6, df = 11, P < 0.05), with the former being more active during the dry season whereas the
770	latter during the wet season (Figure 6b).
771	Males are much more numerous than females, as Savage (1842) noted in his letters about this
772	species. Males vigorously combat for access to females, and this results in many individuals showing broken
773	legs and breakage and scratches on the elytra (Luiselli et al., unpublished observations).
774	Nothing is known about the larval ecology.
775	
776	3.4.7   Use and trade
777	Thousands of specimens of G. cacicus had been exported annually, especially from Côte d'Ivoire in
778	the 1980s and 1990s, to supply the entomological trade. Currently, the number of exported specimens is
779	relatively low and old material is more frequently offered in the entomological trade. Thus, G. cacicus has
780	become expensive in the Western markets. There is no evidence that these beetles are still regularly and
781	abundantly harvested by local collectors, except for new sites in eastern Liberia.
782	
783	3.4.8. Conservation actions
784	There are no direct conservation actions to protect this species, but G. cacicus populations are
784 785	There are no direct conservation actions to protect this species, but <i>G. cacicus</i> populations are protected in the Taï Forest and Comoé National Parks, Mount Nimba Strict Nature Reserve, and Foret

787 Western Ghana.

- --- -

Ting et al. (2023) suggested that ex-situ captive breeding may be a good conservation strategy for *G. cacicus*. However, these authors did not provide any evidence that this strategy may be needed for the species' survival or that it could be efficiently and reasonably used to enhance genetic variability in the free-ranging populations. Therefore, ex-situ captive breeding should not be considered a conservation measure for *G. cacicus*. In contrast, habitat protection and awareness of local communities should remain the core activities to be applied.

794

795 **4 | DISCUSSION** 

### 796 4.1 | Continuing pressures on Goliath beetles

Overall, our study suggests that a number of threats are affecting the natural populations of the Goliathus beetles. Whereas *G. regius* and *G. cacicus* experience nearly identical threats, *G. goliatus* and *G. meleagris* are exposed to different threats (Table 3). *G. meleagris* is the only *Goliathus* taxon that may be seriously affected by climate change, and the significance of this potential threat should be studied in the future.

802 The findings of this study also shed light on the pressing conservation challenges faced by Goliath 803 beetles of the genus Goliathus. Our research highlights the significant threats posed by habitat loss and 804 overexploitation to these iconic beetles, emphasising the need for comprehensive conservation strategies. 805 Deforestation and habitat fragmentation are critical factors driving global biodiversity loss. Our 806 study confirms their substantial impact on Goliath beetle populations in sub-Saharan Africa. The 807 degradation of tropical forests, primarily due to agricultural expansion and logging (mainly cocoa plantations in Côte d'Ivoire), has led to a decline in suitable habitats for Goliath beetles. The correlation 808 809 between tree diameter categories and beetle occurrence underscores the importance of mature, 810 undisturbed forests for the survival of these species. Specific tree species (Prunus africana for instance) 811 should be particularly protected as the survival of Goliathus populations is often linked to just a few suitable 812 trees inside a given forest patch. Indeed, Goliath beetles, particularly those with larger body sizes, likely 813 depend on specific microhabitats provided by old-growth trees. The lack of such micro-habitats due to 814 deforestation significantly reduces their populations.

815 The entomological trade threatens certain Goliath beetle populations, and it should be carefully 816 evaluated in the future. Commercial trade of G. goliatus goliatus is known to provide significant income to 817 rural communities in several villages in Western Cameroon. Their striking appearance and perceived rarity 818 make them highly sought after by insect collectors, which may lead to unsustainable harvesting practices at 819 selected sites. Our study indicates that intense harvesting, combined with habitat loss, exacerbates the 820 vulnerability of these beetles. The reported decline in beetle abundance at various locations (Muafor & Le Gall, 2011; Dendi et al., 2021, 2023) is a clear signal of the detrimental effects of overexploitation. This said, 821 822 only G. goliatus goliatus is currently exploited for the entomological trade, and only in a low percentage 823 area of its broad distribution range.

Despite their ecological significance, beetles (Coleoptera) generally have been underrepresented in conservation assessments (Carpaneto et al., 2007; Homburg et al., 2019). Goliath beetles, as large and conspicuous insects, likely play crucial roles in their ecosystems, such as for decomposing organic matter. The decline of these beetles might have cascading effects on ecosystem functions. Our study calls for urgent Red List assessments for Goliath beetles, using the IUCN (2024) criteria, to quantify their extinction risks accurately. Such assessments are essential for prioritising conservation efforts and allocating resources effectively.

831

## 832 4.2 | Methodological considerations

833 Our study employed opportunistic records to gather data on beetle occurrences, which has 834 limitations regarding sampling consistency and coverage (Eschen et al., 2019; Jeliazkov et al., 2022). Future research should aim for systematic surveys across the entire range of Goliath beetles to obtain more 835 836 comprehensive data. Additionally, our correlation analysis between beetle abundance and rainfall provides 837 insights into the seasonal activity patterns of these beetles. However, long-term monitoring is necessary to 838 better understand population dynamics and the impact of climatic variations. Although based mostly on 839 opportunistic observations, our study demonstrates major strengths in long-term field research involving 840 multiple countries. Despite possible limitations, our dataset is by far the largest available on the ecology and 841 conservation of African Goliath beetles. It is possible that (i) different sampling efforts between seasons and

locations or countries, and (ii) differences in the observability of the various species (greater or lesser
elusiveness) may have somewhat biased our results. However, methods used in our research were
comparable in all study sites involving random searches, night searches, face-to-face interviews etc. It is,
therefore, likely that biases were minor, similar to those present in most field studies on the ecology of
tropical insects, due to logistical difficulties.

847

## 848 4.3 | Recommendations

Based on our findings, we propose several conservation measures to protect Goliath beetles
(summarised in Table 4, using the standardized classification of conservation actions by Salafsky et al.
(2008):

852

853 Habitat Protection: Strengthening the protection of remaining tropical forests is crucial, particularly 854 maintaining the main tree species known to host these beetles. Establishing and enforcing protected areas, 855 particularly in regions identified as critical habitats for Goliath beetles, will help preserve their populations. 856 To make this strategy successful, it is necessary to have a detailed knowledge of the local distribution of 857 Goliathus to carry out accurate habitat management at the microhabitat level. Therefore, once an area has 858 been identified where Goliathus specimens are present, it will be important to finance/logistically support 859 selected people from local communities to thoroughly explore the relevant area and report the tree sites 860 where the beetles congregate. Once the cataloguing of the sites has been completed, targeted actions can 861 be organized to minimize human disturbance that may impact *Goliathus* populations.

**Regulation of Trade**: Implementing and enforcing laws to control the collection and trade of Goliath beetles is felt to be essential. International cooperation and coordination are necessary to address the cross-border trade of these beetles. Certified forests, from which sustainable exploitation of Goliath beetles is carried out, should also be promoted, particularly in West Africa, with *G. cacicus* and *G. regius* as primary targets. However, conservation agencies should pay attention to the needs of local communities exploiting *Goliathus* beetles for their subsistence, such as in some localities of Western Cameroon. Our observations indicate that many communities depend on trading Goliath beetles as their primary income source, 869 especially in Western Cameroon. Therefore, enforcing stricter protection measures for Goliath beetles could 870 adversely affect the livelihoods of certain local communities. Rather than outlawing trade, it would be 871 essential to support these communities in adopting sustainable practices that safeguard their beetle 872 populations. For example, educating local communities about the importance of primarily collecting male 873 Goliath beetles (while sparing female specimens) may represent a key strategy. This practice would 874 significantly reduce the harvesting impact on natural populations of Goliathus, ensuring the long-term 875 viability of both the species and the communities that rely on them for economic stability. By promoting 876 sustainability and fostering community engagement, we can achieve a balance that benefits both the 877 environment and the local economy (Fa and Luiselli, 2024).

878 Public Awareness and Education: Raising awareness among local communities and stakeholders 879 about the ecological importance of Goliath beetles and the threats they face can foster community-based 880 conservation efforts. Educational programs can promote sustainable practices and reduce the pressure on 881 beetle populations (Fa and Luiselli, 2024). Public awareness and education play a crucial role in conserving 882 Goliath beetles, for example by highlighting their ecological significance and challenges. Engaging local 883 communities and stakeholders can cultivate a sense of ownership and responsibility towards these 884 remarkable insects. Implementing targeted educational programs can enhance understanding of Goliath 885 beetles' roles in their ecosystems, such as nutrient recycling. Furthermore, these initiatives can promote 886 sustainable harvesting practices, encouraging community members to limit their trade to male beetles 887 encountered in forests, thereby reducing pressure on populations. Workshops, informational campaigns, 888 and school programs can provide practical knowledge on biodiversity conservation, fostering community-889 based efforts to protect Goliathus and their habitat. Collaborating with local leaders and organisations will 890 amplify these messages, ensuring they resonate within the community. By empowering local stakeholders 891 through education, a conservation ethic may be inspired that supports the Goliath beetles' survival and the 892 economic needs of the communities that depend on them. Ultimately, informed communities are more 893 likely to engage in and sustain conservation practices, creating a positive feedback loop that benefits 894 biodiversity and livelihoods.

895 **Research and Monitoring:** Continued research on the ecology, population dynamics, and threats to 896 Goliath beetles is necessary. Long-term monitoring programs can track population trends and the 897 effectiveness of conservation interventions. Protecting remaining tropical forests is essential, particularly 898 conserving key tree species that host Goliath beetles. Establishing and enforcing protected areas in regions 899 identified as critical habitats for these beetles will help preserve their populations. Success in this strategy 900 relies on a detailed understanding of Goliathus beetles' local distribution, enabling precise habitat 901 management at the microhabitat level. Once areas with Goliathus populations are identified, it would be 902 vital to provide financial and logistical support to selected community members to explore these zones 903 thoroughly, e.g., by cataloguing trees where beetles congregate. Following this cataloguing, targeted 904 measures can be implemented to minimise human disturbances affecting Goliathus populations. Capacity 905 building for "wide" persons from local communities could be effective acting with small actions of 'citizen 906 management' (local control of trade; small actions to protect forest patches; share education and skills; 907 informative pictures in sensitive areas realized by children and so on; see Battisti and Cerfolli, 2021).

908

## 909 **4.4 | Conclusions**

910 The habitats of Goliath beetles, already vulnerable to biodiversity loss, face intensified threats from 911 climate change, undermining ecosystem stability and posing far-reaching consequences for global 912 biodiversity. Goliath beetles encounter significant risks from habitat loss and, potentially, overexploitation, 913 underscoring the urgent need for targeted conservation efforts. Our study provides essential data for 914 assessing their status, emphasising the importance of habitat protection, trade regulation, and increased public awareness. Implementing these measures is crucial for the survival of these iconic insects and for 915 916 preserving the ecological integrity of their habitats. Additionally, we recommend Red Listing for other 917 Goliath beetle species affected by deforestation, particularly Fornasinius higginsi and Fornasinius klingbeili, 918 both endemic to West Africa (Ajong et al., 2024).

As iconic insects, Goliath beetles act as sentinel species, reflecting the broader impacts of habitat degradation and climate change on ecosystems. Their vulnerability to environmental disruptions signals the health of their habitats, making them vital indicators of the cascading effects on biodiversity. Monitoring their populations provides insights into the resilience of ecosystems facing deforestation, climate shifts, and
growing ecological threats to species stability.

924

## 925 Acknowledgements

- 926 Two anonymous referees' comments improved a draft of the paper. We also thank the many people who
- 927 accompanied us in the field and/or shared their knowledge of *Goliathus* beetles. USAID partly funded this
- 928 research (to JEF).
- 929
- 930

## 931 Funding

- 932 Funding for this research was provided in part by USAID as part of the CGIAR research program on Forests,
- 933 Trees, and Agroforestry (to J.E.F.). This project was directly supported by IDECC (Grant number 04\_2021,
- 934 entitled "Ricerche sull'ecologia e la conservazione degli scarabei golia dell'Africa tropicale"; funds to L.L. and

935 D.D.).

936

#### 937 References

- Abernethy, K. A., Coad, L., Taylor, G., Lee, M. E., & Maisels, F. (2013). Extent and ecological consequences of
   hunting in Central African rainforests in the twenty-first century. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 368, 20120303.
- Ajong, S.N., Luiselli, L., Lado, T.F., Demaya, G.S., Eniang, E.A., Segniagbeto, G.H., Ketoh, G.K., Le Duc, O., De
  Palma, M., Amori, G., Fa, J.E., Behangana, M., Hema, E.M., & Dendi, D. (2024). Living with hyraxes:
  Biogeography and comparative ecology of West African *Fornasinius* beetles. *African Journal of Ecology*, 62, e13325.
- Battisti, C., & Cerfolli, F. (2021). From Citizen Science to Citizen Management: Suggestions for a pervasive
   fine-grained and operational approach to biodiversity conservation. *Israel Journal of Ecology and Evolution*, 68, 8-12.
- Behangana, M., Katumba, R., Barahukwa, A., Muheebwa, J., Byaruhanga, A., Mcgovern, P., & Dendi, D.
  (2024). Potential impacts of refugee camps on wetland herpetofauna in Uganda. *Herpetological Bulletin*, 169, 1-6.

- Bennun, L., Regan, E. C., Bird, J., van Bochove, J. W., Katariya, V., Livingstone, S., ... & Pilgrim, J. D. (2018). The value of the IUCN Red List for business decision-making. *Conservation Letters*, 11, e12353.
- Bouchard, P., Smith, A. B., Douglas, H., Gimmel, M. L., Brunke, A. J., & Kanda, K. (2017). Biodiversity of
   coleoptera. *Insect Biodiversity: Science and Society*, Volume I, Second Edition. Edited by Robert G. Foottit
   and Peter H. Adler, pp. 337-417. John Wiley & Sons Ltd., New York.
- Brooks, T. M., Mittermeier, R. A., Mittermeier, C. G., Da Fonseca, G. A., Rylands, A. B., Konstant, W. R., ... &
  Hilton-Taylor, C. (2002). Habitat loss and extinction in the hotspots of biodiversity. *Conservation Biology*, 16, 909-923.
- Carpaneto, G. M., Mazziotta, A., & Valerio, L. (2007). Inferring species decline from collection records: roller
   dung beetles in Italy (Coleoptera, Scarabaeidae). *Diversity and Distributions*, 13, 903-919.
- Chuyong, G.B., Newbery, D.M., & Songwe, N.C. (2000). Litter nutrients and retranslocation in a central
   African rain forest dominated byectomycorrhizal trees. *New Phytologist*, 148, 493–510.
- 963 Cristiano, W., Giacoma, C., Carere, M., & Mancini, L. (2021). Chemical pollution as a driver of biodiversity
   964 loss and potential deterioration of ecosystem services in Eastern Africa: A critical review. *South* 965 African Journal of Science, 117, 1-7.
- Croizat, L. (1994). Observations on the biogeography of the genus *Goliathus* (Insecta: Coleoptera). *Kirkia*,
   141-155.
- Dendi, D., Ajong, S.N., Amori, G., & Luiselli, L. (2021). Decline of the commercially attractive white morph in
   Goliath beetle polymorphic populations. *Diversity*, 13, 388.
- 970 Dendi, D., Ajong, S. N., Ségniagbeto, G. H., Amori, G., Eniang, E. A., Fa, J. E., ... & Luiselli, L. (2023). Detecting
   971 declines of West African Goliath beetle populations based on interviews. *Journal of Insect* 972 *Conservation*, 27, 249-259.
- De Palma, M., Takano, H., Léonard, P., & Bouyer, T. (2020). Barcoding analysis and taxonomic revision of
   *Goliathus* Lamarck, 1802 (Scarabaeidae, Cetoniinae). *Entomologica Africana*, 25, 11–32.
- 975 Eschen, R., De Groot, M., Glavendekić, M., Lacković, N., Matosević, D., Morales-Rodriguez, C., ... & Franić,
  976 I. (2019). Spotting the pests of tomorrow—Sampling designs for detection of species associations
  977 with woody plants. *Journal of Biogeography*, 46, 2159-2173.
- Fa, J. E., Funk, S. M., & Nasi, R. (2023). *Hunting wildlife in the tropics and subtropics*. Cambridge University
   Press.
- Fa, J. E., & Luiselli, L. (2024). Community forests as beacons of conservation: Enabling local populations monitor their
   biodiversity. *African Journal of Ecology*, 62, e13179.
- Green, J. M., Larrosa, C., Burgess, N. D., Balmford, A., Johnston, A., Mbilinyi, B. P., ... & Coad, L. (2013).
   Deforestation in an African biodiversity hotspot: Extent, variation and the effectiveness of protected areas. *Biological Conservation*, 164, 62-72.
- Hanski, I. (2011). Habitat loss, the dynamics of biodiversity, and a perspective on conservation. *Ambio*, 40,
  248-255.
- He, F., & Hu, X. S. (2005). Hubbell's fundamental biodiversity parameter and the Simpson diversity index.
   *Ecology Letters*, 8, 386-390.

- Homburg, K., Drees, C., Boutaud, E., Nolte, D., Schuett, W., Zumstein, P., ... & Assmann, T. (2019). Where
   have all the beetles gone? Long-term study reveals carabid species decline in a nature reserve in
   Northern Germany. *Insect Conservation and Diversity*, 12, 268-277.
- Hughes, A. C. (2017). Understanding the drivers of Southeast Asian biodiversity loss. *Ecosphere*, 8, e01624.
- IUCN (2024). *The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species*. Available at: <u>www.iucnredlist.org</u> (last accessed on
   30<sup>th</sup> April 2024).
- Jeliazkov, A., Gavish, Y., Marsh, C. J., Geschke, J., Brummitt, N., Rocchini, D., ... & Henle, K. (2022). Sampling
  and modelling rare species: Conceptual guidelines for the neglected majority. *Global Change Biology*, 28, 3754-3777.
- Karger, D. N., Kessler, M., Lehnert, M., & Jetz, W. (2021). Limited protection and ongoing loss of tropical
   cloud forest biodiversity and ecosystems worldwide. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, 5, 854-862.
- 1000 Lachaume, G. (1983). *The Beetles of the World 3: Goliathini 1*. Sciences Nat, Paris.
- Le Gall, P. (2010). Affinités biogéographiques des Insectes du "Dahomey gap": présence d'une population de
   *Goliathus goliatus* Linné, 1771, au Bénin (Coleoptera, Scarabaeidae, Cetoniinae). Bulletin de la
   Société entomologique de France, 115, 17-21.
- Leisher, C., Robinson, N., Brown, M., Kujirakwinja, D., Schmitz, M. C., Wieland, M., & Wilkie, D. (2022).
   Ranking the direct threats to biodiversity in sub-Saharan Africa. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 31, 1329-1343.
- 1007 Luiselli, L. (2023). The Chief Goliath and the future of African ecology. *African Journal of Ecology*, 61, 1-5.
- Luiselli, L. (2024). Africa's biodiversity in-between ecology and economy. *African Journal of Ecology*, 62,
   e13268.
- Luiselli, L., Agyekumhene, A., Akani, G. C., Allman, P., Diagne, T., Eniang, E. A., ... & Ségniagbeto, G. H.
   (2022). *Kinixys homeana*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2021: e. T11003A18341580.
- Luiselli, L., Akani, G.C., Eniang, E.A., Di Vittorio, M., Petrozzi, F., Hema, E.M., Ségniagbeto, G.H., Dendi, D.,
   Diagne, T., Chirio, L., & Fa, J.E. (2021). Age-stratified interview campaigns suggest ongoing decline of
   a threatened tortoise species in the West African Sahel. *Biodiversity*, 21, 136–141.
- Luiselli, L., Demaya, G. S., Benansio, J. S., Ajong, S. N., Behangana, M., Marsili, L., ... & Battisti, C. (2024).
   Searching priorities for a species at the brink of extinction: Threats analysis on the critically
   endangered Nubian Flapshell Turtle (*Cyclanorbis elegans*). *African Journal of Ecology*, 62, e13256.
- Mallon, D.P., Hoffmann, M., Grainger, M.J., Hibert, F., van Vliet, H., & McGowan, P.J.K. (2015). *An IUCN situation analysis of West and Central Africa*. Occasional Paper of the IUCN Species Survival
   Commission, 54, 40. IUCN, Gland.
- Mawdsley, J. R. (2013). Taxonomy of the Goliath beetle *Goliathus orientalis* Moser,(Coleoptera:
   Scarabaeidae: Cetoniinae). *Journal of Natural History*, 47, 1451-1461.
- McNeely, J. A. (1992). The sinking ark: pollution and the worldwide loss of biodiversity. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 1, 2-18.

- Mora, C., & Sale, P. F. (2011). Ongoing global biodiversity loss and the need to move beyond protected
   areas: a review of the technical and practical shortcomings of protected areas on land and sea.
   *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 434, 251-266.
- Muafor, F.J., & LeGall, P. (2011). *Beetle trade in SouthWest Cameroon*. IRD, Yaoundé. Available online:
   https://horizon.documentation.ird.fr/exl-doc/pleins\_textes/divers13-11/010057004.pdf (accessed
   on 5 June 2021).
- 1031 Muafor, F.J.; Levang, P.; Angwafo, T.E.; Gall, P.L. (2012). Making a living with forest insects: Beetles as an 1032 income source in Southwest Cameroon. *International Forestry Review*, 14, 314–325.
- 1033 New, T. R. (2007). *Beetles Conservation*. Springer, Berlin.
- Nichols, E., Spector, S., Louzada, J., Larsen, T., Amezquita, S., Favila, M. E., & Network, T. S. R. (2008).
   Ecological functions and ecosystem services provided by Scarabaeinae dung beetles. *Biological Conservation*, 141, 1461-1474.
- Ohoueu, E. J., Iritie, B., Eboua, W., Elleingand, E., & Dembele, A. (2017). Contamination of Bee Products by
   Pesticides In Côte d'Ivoire.". *IMPACT: International Journal of Research in Applied, Natural and* Social Sciences (IMPACT: IJRANSS), 5, 99-110.
- Ostfeld, R. S., & LoGiudice, K. (2003). Community disassembly, biodiversity loss, and the erosion of an ecosystem
   service. *Ecology*, *84*, 1421-1427.
- Peres, C. A., Emilio, T., Schietti, J., Desmoulière, S. J., & Levi, T. (2016). Dispersal limitation induces long-term
   biomass collapse in overhunted Amazonian forests. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 113, 892-897.
- Pianka, E. R. (1974). Niche overlap and diffuse competition. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 71, 2141-2145.
- 1047 Ripple, W. J., Abernethy, K., Betts, M. G., Chapron, G., Dirzo, R., Galetti, M., ... & Young, H. (2016). Bushmeat hunting
   1048 and extinction risk to the world's mammals. *Royal Society Open Science*, *3*, 160498.
- Rodrigues, A. S., Pilgrim, J. D., Lamoreux, J. F., Hoffmann, M., & Brooks, T. M. (2006). The value of the IUCN Red List
   for conservation. *Trends in ecology & evolution*, 21, 71-76.
- Sabas, B. Y. S., Danmo, K. G., Madeleine, K. A. T., & Bogaert, J. (2020). Cocoa production and forest dynamics
   in Ivory coast from 1985 to 2019. *Land*, 9, article 12, 1-22.
- Salafsky, N., Salzer, D., Stattersfield, A. J., Hilton-Taylor, C. R. A. I. G., Neugarten, R., Butchart, S. H., ... &
   Wilkie, D. (2008). A standard lexicon for biodiversity conservation: unified classifications of threats
   and actions. *Conservation Biology*, 22, 897-911.
- Sánchez-Bayo, F., & Wyckhuys, K. A. (2019). Worldwide decline of the entomofauna: A review of its drivers.
   *Biological Conservation*, 232, 8-27.
- Savage , T.S. (1842). Remarks on the Goliath beetles. In W . F . Hope , On some new insects from western
   Africa .*Ann . Mag . nat. Hist.*, 9 : 494-496.
- Singh, J. S. (2002). The biodiversity crisis: a multifaceted review. *Current Science*, 2002, 638-647.

- Singh, R., Verma, A. K., & Prakash, S. (2023). The web of life: Role of pollution in biodiversity decline.
   *International Journal of Fauna and Biological Studies*, 10, 49-52.
- 1063 Sjöstedt, Y. (1927a). Goliathus meleagris n. sp., Entomologisk Tidskrift, 48, 23.
- Sjöstedt, Y. (1927b). Ueber einen neuen Riesenkäfer (*Goliathus meleagris*) aus Süd-Kongo. Nebst einer
   Revision der Gattung *Goliathus. Arkiv fur Zoologie*, 1 9 A (24), 1-30, .
- Slade, E. M., Mann, D. J., & Lewis, O. T. (2011). Biodiversity and ecosystem function of tropical forest dung
   beetles under contrasting logging regimes. *Biological Conservation*, 144, 166-174.
- Smith Dumont, E., Gnahoua, G. M., Ohouo, L., Sinclair, F. L., & Vaast, P. (2014). Farmers in Côte d'Ivoire value
   integrating tree diversity in cocoa for the provision of ecosystem services. *Agroforestry Systems*, 88,
   1047-1066.
- Sokal , R.R., & Rohlf, F.J. (2013). *Biometry : the principles and practice of statistics in biological research*. 4<sup>th</sup>
   edition. Oxford University Press, Oxford, U.K.
- 1073 Stork, N. E. (1997). Measuring global biodiversity and its decline. *Biodiversity*, 41, 41-68.
- Tekalign, M., Van Meerbeek, K., Aerts, R., Norgrove, L., Poesen, J., Nyssen, J., & Muys, B. (2017). Effects of
   biodiversity loss and restoration scenarios on tree-related ecosystem services. *International Journal of Biodiversity Science, Ecosystem Services & Management*, 13, 434-443.
- Tind Nielsen, S. (2007). Deforestation and biodiversity: effects of bushland cultivation on dung beetles in
   semi-arid Tanzania. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 16, 2753-2769.
- Ting, C.L., Yu, H.C., & Alhassan, E. (2023). On the origin of *Goliathus atlas* Nickerl, 1887 (Scarabaeidae:
   Cetoniinae: Goliathini). *Scarabaeus*, 3, 6-10.
- Van Velden, J. L., Wilson, K., Lindsey, P. A., McCallum, H., Moyo, B. H., & Biggs, D. (2020). Bushmeat hunting
   and consumption is a pervasive issue in African savannahs: insights from four protected areas in
   Malawi. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 29, 1443-1464.
- 1084 Vendl, T., & Šípek, P. (2016). Immature stages of giants: morphology and growth characteristics of *Goliathus* 1085 Lamarck, 1801 larvae indicate a predatory way of life (Coleoptera, Scarabaeidae, Cetoniinae).
   1086 ZooKeys, 619, 25.
- Walde, A.D., Demaya, G.S., Behangana, M., Luiselli, L. (2024). Refugee crisis also affects biodiversity. *Nature*,
  630 (8017), 563.
- Wiebes, J. T. (1968). Catalogue of the Coleoptera Cetoniidae in the Leiden Museum 1. *Goliathus* Lamarck,
   sensu lato. *Zoologische Mededelingen*, 43, 19-40.
- Worm, B., Barbier, E. B., Beaumont, N., Duffy, J. E., Folke, C., Halpern, B. S., ... & Watson, R. (2006). Impacts
   of biodiversity loss on ocean ecosystem services. *Science*, 314(5800), 787-790.

1094 TABLES AND FIGURES

Genus	Species	Sample size (original standardized data)	Description	Countries
Goliathus	goliatus	110	tree size selection	Nigeria, Uganda
		216	Habitat selection	Nigeria, Uganda
		194	Monthly activity patterns	Nigeria, Uganda
		63	Daily activity patterns	Nigeria, Uganda
		248	Year-by-year decline	Nigeria
		unquantified	biogeography; other aspects	Benin, Nigeria, Cameroon, D.R. Congo, Uganda, South Sudan
Goliathus	meleagris	101	Habitat selection	D.R. Congo
		232	Monthly activity patterns	D.R. Congo
		24	Daily activity patterns	D.R. Congo
		unquantified	biogeography; other aspects	D.R. Congo
Goliathus	regius	69	Habitat selection	Cote d'Ivoire
		69	Monthly activity patterns	Cote d'Ivoire
		unquantified	biogeography; other aspects	Liberia, Guinea, Cote d'Ivoire, Ghana, Togo
Goliathus	cacicus	61	Habitat selection	Cote d'Ivoire
		61	Monthly activity patterns	Cote d'Ivoire
		unquantified	biogeography; other aspects	Liberia, Guinea, Cote d'Ivoire, Ghana

**TABLE 1** Synthesis of the sample sizes used to synthesize the ecology and conservation of the various Goliath beetle species.

**TABLE 2** Summary of the main characteristics of forest habitats of some *Goliathus* populations that have been monitored in detail during the present study

Species	Locality	Country	Habitat description	Apparent
				population status
G. goliatus	Cross River National Park and surroundings	Nigeria	Closed canopy hilly/montane forest, dominated by <i>Albizia</i> <i>zygia</i> , <i>Alstonia</i> <i>boonei</i> , <i>Coelocaryon</i> <i>preussii</i> , <i>Elaeis</i> <i>guineensis</i> , <i>Funtumia</i> <i>africana</i> , <i>Piptadeniastrum</i> <i>africanum</i> , <i>Pycnanthus</i> <i>angolensis</i> , <i>Terminalia ivorensis</i> , <i>Vitex grandifolia</i>	Fairly abundant but in clear decline (Dendi et al., 2021). Collected specimens are illegally exported to Cameroon for the international market, and especially the white morphs are searched for (and declining)
G. goliatus	Korup National Park	Cameroon	Closed canopy lowland semi- deciduous forest dominated by large, gregarious Caesalpiniaceae species and Albizia zygia, Alstonia boonei, Coelocaryon preussii, Elaeis guineensis, Pycnanthus angolensis, Terminalia ivorensis, Vitex grandifolia	Widespread but apparently not- abundant

			(Chuyong et al.,	
G. goliatus	Mamfé	Cameroon	Closed-canopy evergreen ecosystem with two unique types of vegetation: Mid-Altitude Forest vegetation and Lowland Rain Forest vegetation.	Abundant
G. regius	Kakum National Park	Ghana	The dominant tree species in the Moist evergreen lowland forest with <i>Triplochiton</i> <i>scleroxylon, Celtis</i> spp., <i>Cynometra</i> spp as dominant species. Mostly secondary and mature secondary vegetation.	Fairly abundant
G. regius	Bobiri Forest	Ghana	Relatively sunny forest, with the dominant tree species being various <i>Celtis</i> species and <i>Triplochiton</i> <i>scleroxylon</i> .	Fairly abundant
G. cacicus	Banco Forest	Cote d'Ivoire	Shady psammohygrophilous forest with <i>Turraeanthus</i> <i>africanus</i> and <i>Heisteria parvifolia,</i>	Extremely rare/possibly extirpated

G. regius and G. cacicus G. regius and G.	Southern Comoé National Park Taï National Park	Cote d'Ivoire	Lophira alata, Mitragyna ledermannii, Guarea cedrata, Petersianthus macrocarpus, Khaya ivorensis, Tieghemella heckelli, Entandrophragma utile, Dacryodes klaineana, Turraeanthus africanus, Milicia excelsa, Tectona grandis, Entandrophragma angolense Open forests and gallery forests, characterized by a cover of between 70 and 90%, consisting of trees up to 15 meters in height, including Isoberlinia doka, Daniellia oliveri, Pterocarpus erinaceus, Uapaca togoensis, Parkia biglobosa, Vitellaria paradoxa. Dense humid	<i>G. regius</i> is fairly abundant, especially in open forest; <i>G. cacicus</i> is rare and localized to gallery forest habitat
cacicus			pelohergephilous forest with <i>Diospyros</i>	abundant, especially in the
			spp. and <i>Mapania</i>	altered forest of

-			1	
			spp. as dominant species, and with Eremospatha hookeri, Tetracera potatoria for vines; Chytranthus setosus, Diopsyros gabunensis, Diospyros chevalieri, Drypetes aylmeri, Soyauxia floribunda, Cephaelis yapoensis, Tarrietia utilis as trees and shrubs	the Northern part of the protected area; <i>G. cacicus</i> is rare and localized only to the Southern part of the protected area
G. regius and G.	Man region	Cote d'Ivoire	Hilly areas with	G. regius is
cacicus			vegetation consisting	widespread and
			of dense semi-	abundant; G.
			deciduous numid	cacicus is
			forest with	extremely rare and
			secondary vegetation	localized to very
			resulting from	few humid forest
			agricultural activities	patches
G. regius and G.	Danané/Nimba	Cote d'Ivoire	Forest patches are in	G. regius is
cacicus			the low-lying and	widespread and
			wettest areas of the	abundant; G.
			region. They are	cucicus nas a
			evergreen forests	scattered local
			with tree neights of	is more abundant
			forming a multi	here than in any
			lavered stand large	other surveyed
			vines and shruhs in	region
			the undergrowth	
			Tree species would	
		1		

include <i>Cola</i>	
gigantea, Funtumia	
elastica, Triplochiton	
scleroxylon,	
Piptadeniastrum	
africana, Trilepisium	
madagascariense,	
Turraeanthus	
africanus, Parkia	
bicolor, Tectaria	
fernandaensis,	
Lonchitis currori,	
Synsepalum	
cerasifera, Syzygium	
quinéense, Santiria	
trimera, Homalium	
smythei, Syzyqium	
standtiiqui	

**TABLE 3** Synthesis of the "World Conservation Union–Conservation Measures Partnership (IUCN-CMP) classification of direct threats to biodiversity (version

1101 1.1)" applied to the various species of the genus *Goliathus*. For more details, see the text.

## 

Threat code (1st level)	Threat code (2nd level)	Threat name	Species affected
1		Residential and commercial development	
	1.1.	housing and urban areas	G. cacicus, G. regius
2		Agriculture and aquaculture	G. cacicus, G. regius
4		Transportation and service corridors	
	4.1	roads and railroads	All species
5		Biological resource use	
	5.1	hunting and collecting terrestrial animals	All species
	5.3	logging and wood harvesting	All species
6		Human intrusions and disturbance	
	6.2	war, civil unrest and military exercises	G. goliatus, G. meleagris
	6.3	work and other activities	
7		Natural system modifications	
	7.3	other ecosystem modifications	
9		Pollution	
	9.3	agricultural and forestry effluents	G. cacicus, G. regius
11		Climate change and severe weather	
	11.1	habitat shifting and alteration	G. goliatus

# **TABLE 4** Synthesis of the "World Conservation Union–Conservation Measures Partnership (IUCN-CMP) classification of conservation actions (version 1.1)"

1106 applied to the various species of the genus *Goliathus*. For more details, see the text.

Actions code (1st level)	Actions code (2nd level)	Action name	Species to be targeted
1		Land/water protection	
	1.1	site/area protection	All species
	1.2	resource and habitat protection	All species
2		Land/water management	
	2.1	site/area management	All species
	2.3	habitat and natural process restoration	G. cacicus
3		Species management	
	3.1	species management	G. cacicus, G. regius, G. goliatus
4		Education and awareness	
	4.2	training	G. cacicus, G. regius, G. goliatus
6		Livelihood, economic and other incentives	
	6.1	linked enterprises and livelihood alternatives	All species
	6.3	market forces	All species

- **FIGURE 1** Silhouettes of the males of some of the *Goliathus* species: (a-b) *Goliathus goliatus*, (c) *Goliathus g.*
- 1111 meleagris, (d) Goliathus orientalis usambarensis, (e) Goliathus regius, (f) Goliathus cacicus, (g) hybrid
- *Goliathus regius × Goliathus cacicus*. Artwork by Marko Steffensen.



FIGURE 2 Tree size selection by opportunistically observed *Goliathus goliatus* in Nigeria and Uganda (total n = 110). Symbols: cat 0= on a place where only one or two isolated trees are present whereas non-arboreal plant are dominant, cat 1= majority of the plants was <20 cm diameter; cat 2 = majority of the plants was 20.1–40 cm; cat 3 = majority of the plants was 40.1–70 cm; cat 4 = majority of the plants was >70 cm. For the statistical details, see the text.



1122

- FIGURE 3 Opposite correlation between mean number of rainy days per month and number of observed
  individuals in *Goliathus goliatus* and *Goliathus meleagris*. For the statistical details, see text.



**FIGURE 4** Frequency of sightings of *Goliathus goliatus* and *Goliathus meleagris* in relation to the daily hours.



- **FIGURE 5** Macrohabitat (a), microhabitat (b), an unusually coloured male (c), and a female (d) of *Goliathus*
- *regius* in Western Côte d'Ivoire. Place-name is not reported for conservation reasons.



FIGURE 6 Ecological comparisons between *Goliathus regius* and *Goliathus cacicus*: (a) number of records in different habitat types, and (b) number of records in each month of the year. For statistical details, see the text. Symbols: DRS = herbaceous savannah-like vegetation; WES = clusters of trees within grasslands; PLT = plantations; DRF = dry forest; FPT = forest-plantation mosaics; MAF = mature rainforest (including gallery forest); SEF = secondary and very altered rainforest.



1143

- **FIGURE 7** Macrohabitat (a), microhabitat (b), and males (c, d) of *Goliathus cacicus* in Western Côte d'Ivoire.
- 1146 Place-name is not reported for conservation reasons.



- 1149 **FIGURE 8** Ecological coexistence of *Goliathus cacicus* and *G. regius* in Western Côte d'Ivoire: (a)
- 1150 microhabitat of syntopy, (b) adult hybrid male ("G. atlas") from the entomological collection of the Museum
- 1151 National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris, and (c) individuals of the two species captured by night on the same
- 1152 tree and on the same day. Place-name of the locality of syntopy is not reported for conservation reasons.



## **ONLINE SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS**

- 1156
- 1157 FIGURE S1. Lower Guinean-Congolian forest species that occur with isolated populations in Togo: (a)
- specimens of *Goliathus goliatus* labelled as coming from Togo, stored at the CNRST (Ouagadougou, Burkina
- 1159 Faso) (a) and (b) a male *Dendroaspis jamesoni* from Fazao-Malfakassa National Park (G. H. Segniagbeto,
- 1160 collection in Lomé, Togo).



- 1162 FIGURE S2. Colouration features of *Goliathus meleagris* from the Tanganyika region, demonstrating its
- affinities to *G. goliatus* (De Palma et al., 2020). All specimens are housed in the Museum National d'Histoire
- 1164 Naturelle, Paris.



- 1167 FIGURE S3. A secondary forest fragment (1.615 ha) situated in the Riviera Bonoumin (Abidjan), currently
- 1168 completely surrounded by the urban matrix, where *Goliathus cacicus* was once present but not found after
- 1169 2004 (last known capture: a male collected in December 2004).

