

### **Please cite the Published Version**

Swain[,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6483-5635) Abinash, Whyatt, Yasmin L D, Wielechowski, [Da](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6133-3026)niel, Muthu, Sathees[hku](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2241-1551)mar, Benjamin, Sophie L  $\bullet$ [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4098-9389) Murray, Keith S  $\bullet$ , Rajaraman, Gopalan  $\bullet$  and Langley, Stuart K  $\bullet$  (2024) Enhancing blocking temperatures in {Cr III2Dy III2} butterfly SMMs: deciphering the role of exchange interactions and developing magneto-structural maps. Inorganic Chemistry Frontiers. ISSN 2052- 1553

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.1039/d4qi01484g>

**Publisher:** The Royal Society of Chemistry

**Version:** Published Version

**Downloaded from:** <https://e-space.mmu.ac.uk/637700/>

**Usage rights:**  $\begin{bmatrix} \text{cc} \end{bmatrix}$  BY [Creative Commons: Attribution 3.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/)

**Additional Information:** This is an open access article which first appeared in Inorganic Chemistry Frontiers

**Data Access Statement:** The data supporting this article have been included as part of the ESI.

Crystallographic details are available in the ESI in CIF format. CCDC numbers 2268871–2268878.

#### **Enquiries:**

If you have questions about this document, contact [openresearch@mmu.ac.uk.](mailto:openresearch@mmu.ac.uk) Please include the URL of the record in e-space. If you believe that your, or a third party's rights have been compromised through this document please see our Take Down policy (available from [https://www.mmu.ac.uk/library/using-the-library/policies-and-guidelines\)](https://www.mmu.ac.uk/library/using-the-library/policies-and-guidelines)

# INORGANIC CHEMISTRY

FRONTIERS

## RESEARCH ARTICLE



Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/d4qi01484g

## Enhancing blocking temperatures in  ${C_r}^{\text{III}}_{2}$ Dy $_2^{\text{III}}$ butterfly SMMs: deciphering the role of exchange interactions and developing magneto-structural maps†

**CHINESE** 

**SOCIETY** 

**CHEMICAL** 

Abinash Swain,<sup>a</sup> Yasmin L. Whyatt, D<sup>b</sup> Danie[l](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5038-1599) [W](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5038-1599)ielechowski,<sup>c</sup> Satheeshkumar Mu[thu](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6133-3026),<sup>a</sup> Sophie L. Benjamin, D<sup>d</sup> Keith S. Murray, D<sup>\*c</sup> Gopalan Rajaraman  $\mathbf{D}^{*a}$  and Stuart K. Langley  $\mathbf{D}^{*e}$ 

A tetranuclear heterometallic butterfly-shaped complex of molecular formula;  $[\mathsf{Cr}_2^\mathsf{III}\mathsf{D}\mathsf{y}_2^\mathsf{III}(\mathsf{OMe})_2(\mathsf{mdea})_2$  $(benz)_{4}(NO_{3})_{2}$ ] (mdeaH<sub>2</sub> = N-methyldiethanolamine, benz = benzoate) was reported by us and found to display single-molecule magnetism (SMM) behaviour with a  $U_{\text{eff}} = 54 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  and well-resolved magnetisation hysteresis plots, with a blocking temperature,  $T_B = 3.5$  K. In the present study, fourteen related  ${C}C_T^{III}$ Dy $_2^{III}$ } complexes have been synthesised, and their magnetic properties have been studied. The study probes how chemical changes in bridging ligands and coordination environment affect the SMM properties compared to the parent complex. We show that by making simple chemical modifications to the ligands, the U<sub>eff</sub> and T<sub>B</sub> values change, with U<sub>eff</sub> values ranging from 22 to 65 cm<sup>-1</sup> and T<sub>B</sub> 1.8 K to 4.7 K. We also show that SMM behaviour can be turned off by manipulation of the coordination sphere around the  $Dy^{\text{III}}$  ion. Based on these experimental results, we undertook a detailed theoretical study to understand why these changes occur. The theoretical studies further describe the mode of exchange mechanism in such complexes and how it controls the blocking temperature. Further, we have found that the exchange coupling between the Dy $^{\text{III}}$  -Cr<sup>III</sup> ions strongly influences the magnetic relaxation for this family of complexes, and interestingly, the exchange between the  $Cr^{\text{III}}-Cr^{\text{III}}$  centres plays a determining role in the overall SMM properties, which has been ignored in previous studies. We have derived a relation between the magnetic exchange and  $T_B$  for such 3d–4f SMMs where exchange coupled states play a dominant role, revealing the blocking temperature is strongly correlated to the overall magnetic exchange. This clearly illustrates the importance of inducing strong exchange coupling to enhance not only the magnetisation reversal barrier but also  $T_B$  via quenching of zero-field quantum tunnelling of the magnetisation (QTM) in {3d–4f} type complexes.

Received 28th June 2024, Accepted 18th September 2024

DOI: 10.1039/d4qi01484g [rsc.li/frontiers-inorganic](http://rsc.li/frontiers-inorganic)

Single-molecule magnets  $(SMMs)^1$  offer the tantalising possibility of storing digital information on a single molecule and providing the ultimate high-density data storage platform.<sup>2</sup> For this technology to become a reality, the temperature at which data can be stored must be addressed. $3,4$  Since the discovery of the first SMM in 1993, a  ${Mn_{12}OAc}^5$  complex, which displays a magnetic blocking temperature  $(T<sub>B</sub>)$  of 4 K, huge improvements have been made, especially in the past few years, with the best-performing SMMs able to store digital information at temperatures 80 K. $<sup>6</sup>$  The driving force for these breakthroughs</sup> is due to a shift from synthesising complexes consisting of pure transition metal ions to harnessing the large anisotropy inherently present for lanthanide ions, with a major focus being on the Dy<sup>III</sup> ion.<sup>7-10</sup> The recent increases in  $T_B$  stem

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry, IIT Bombay, Powai, Mumbai - 400076, India. E-mail: rajaraman@chem.iitb.ac.in  $^b$ Department of Chemistry, The University of Manchester, Manchester, UK

<sup>c</sup>School of Chemistry, Monash University, 17 Rainforest Walk, Clayton,

Victoria 3800, Australia. E-mail: keith.murray@monash.edu

d School of Science and Technology, Nottingham Trent University,

e Department of Natural Sciences, Manchester Metropolitan University, Manchester M1 5GD, England, UK. E-mail: S.Langley@mmu.ac.uk

†Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. CCDC 866839, 994294–994297, 1495741–1495743 and 2268871-2268878. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1039/](https://doi.org/10.1039/d4qi01484g) [d4qi01484g](https://doi.org/10.1039/d4qi01484g)

ROYAL SOCIETY

OF CHEMISTRY

Introduction

Nottingham NG11 8NS, UK

Research Article Inorganic Chemistry Frontiers

from the design of mononuclear lanthanide SMMs using a simple electrostatic approach.<sup>11,12</sup> The approach for  $Dy$ <sup>III</sup> ions is simply prescribed using highly charged ligands on a single axis (i.e. a linear type geometry) while minimising ligands equatorial to the  $Dy$ <sup>III</sup> ion. This approach lays a blueprint for designing SMMs with extremely large anisotropy barriers  $(U_{\text{eff}})$ , hence allowing the electrons to retain their orientation at much higher temperatures than previously observed. For example, Goodwin et al.<sup>13</sup> achieved remarkable increases in  $T_{\rm B}$ by fully conforming to this design in the isolation of the first dysprosocenium cation  $[Dy(C_5H_2^{\ t}Bu_3)_2]^+$ , which is a sandwich complex with no equatorial ligands. They reported a  $U_{\text{eff}}$  = 1223 cm<sup>-1</sup>, with  $T_B = 60$  K.<sup>13</sup> Several other dysprosocenium derivatives have subsequently been reported with a range of  $T_{\text{B}}$ reported between 62 K to 80 K.<sup>6,13-15</sup> While the use of lanthanide ions has pushed the field forward significantly, with molecules producing very large  $U_{\text{eff}}$  parameters, many problems have been highlighted in terms of the relaxation mechanism, especially the observations of fast quantum tunnelling of the magnetisation  $(QTM)^{16}$  which results in a loss of magnetisation *via* an under barrier process.<sup>6,14</sup> With this in mind, while the best SMM limits the probability of QTM occurring, we need to understand how QTM can be controlled and minimised. One successful approach has been to use polynuclear lanthanide complexes, which introduce relatively strong magnetic exchange interactions between ions. This was most notably highlighted in  $N_2^{3-}$  radical bridged  $Ln^{III}$  dinuclear complexes.<sup>17,18</sup> In general, exchange interactions  $(J)$  between Ln<sup>III</sup> ions are often <0.1 cm<sup>-1</sup> due to the contracted nature of the magnetic orbitals.<sup>19,20</sup> In the case of the radical bridged system, it is reported that the magnetic exchange interaction of  $J_{\text{Gd-rad}} = -27$  cm<sup>-1</sup> between the radical and Gd<sup>III</sup> ion and hysteresis was observed at  $T_B = 14$  K with a  $U_{\text{eff}} = 227$  cm<sup>-1</sup> for the Tb<sup>III</sup> analogue with little indication of OTM relaxation.<sup>21</sup> While the radical-4f interactions are very strong in some cases, they are weaker in others, and this is correlated to the nature of the orbital that holds the unpaired electron at the radical centre with a strongly delocalised radical, leading to weaker exchange. However, the stability of the radical complexes is generally proportional to the delocalisation of spin density, with strong delocalisation leading to greater stability and, thus, weaker exchange coupling.<sup>22-24</sup> Other alternative strategies that can be implemented to enhance the magnetic exchange is the introduction of direct metal–metal bonding, though these are very rare systems as they are very unstable.25,26 For comparatively stable molecules, there is scope for 4f metal ions coupled with 4d/5d ions, as the later have more diffuse orbitals.<sup>27</sup> Furthermore 4f-radical exchange as high as +300  $cm^{-1}$  has been observed in the lanthano fullerene class of molecules, paving the way forward for desired strong magnetic exchange interactions.<sup>28–30</sup>

Alternative avenues that have been explored include {3d–4f} assemblies where a suitable 3d metal ion is incorporated in the 4f cluster aggregation, with the aim being to induce relatively stronger exchange coupling compared to the {4f–4f} exchange.31–<sup>35</sup> Among several {3d–4f} complexes reported,

incorporating the Cr<sup>III</sup> ion seems more beneficial. Results suggest this boosts the exchange more strongly than any other transition metal ion while suppressing the QTM significantly.<sup>36,37</sup> This was highlighted by some of  $us^{38-44}$  in a hetero-metallic tetra-nuclear complex of formula  $\left[\mathrm{Cr}_2^{\mathrm{III}}\mathrm{Dy}_2^{\mathrm{III}}\right]$  $(OMe)_{2}(mdea)_{2}(benz)_{4}(NO_{3})_{2}$ ] (Fig. 1). This is a rare example of a lanthanide SMM that displays relatively strong magnetic exchange interactions and magnetic hysteresis with large coercive fields that were unprecedented in this class of compounds.

While stronger exchange coupling underscores better SMMs in this  ${C}C_2^{\text{III}}Dy_2^{\text{III}}$  class of compounds, a correlation between various structural alterations and the observed magnetic properties (such as exchange coupling, magnetisation reversal barrier and blocking temperature  $T<sub>B</sub>$ ) is not established, and such an understanding is imperative to improve the performance of the SMMs both in this class of compounds but also in the other class of  ${M_2Dy_2}$  butterflies that perhaps make one of the largest family of complexes (∼500 structures in CCDC database) for SMMs among all poly-nuclear{3d–4f} complexes reported. Furthermore, in this class of complex, the geometry around the  $Ln^{III}$  ions are generally preserved as square antiprismatic (pseudo- $D_{4d}$ ), which is shown to yield large single-ion magnetic anisotropy, especially for the oblate type ions such as  $\text{Dy}^{\text{III}}/\text{Tb}^{\text{III}}/\text{Ho}^{\text{III}}$  etc.<sup>45,46</sup> A quick glance at the Cambridge structural database reveals ∼500 such geometries where square antiprismatic geometries are preserved. As preserving geometries in polynuclear {3d–4f} classes is challenging, the butterfly  ${M_2Ln_2}$  core remains, perhaps, the most successful structural motif among {3d–4f} complexes that yield attractive SMM characteristics.<sup>40</sup> Despite the vast amount of experimental data that are available, even a simple correlation of geometry/electronic structure to the experimental observables such as J,  $U_{\text{eff}}$  and  $T_{\text{B}}$  is lacking. Particularly, there are several puzzling observations of very large  $U_{\text{eff}}$  values with no blocking temperature<sup>47</sup> and moderate  $U_{\text{eff}}$  values with relatively large  $T_{\rm B}$  values,<sup>38–44,48</sup> hinting at an absence of direct correlations between these two important SMM parameters. To answer such an intriguing observation in this area, we have synthesised, structurally, and magnetically characterised fifteen different  $\{ \mathrm{Cr}_2^{\mathrm{III}} \mathrm{D} \mathrm{y}_2^{\mathrm{III}} \}$  complexes and used extensive theoretical studies (DFT and CASSCF/RASSI-SO/POLY\_ANISO approach) $49-52$  thereby establishing several structural correlations to the observed magnetic properties.

We subdivide the fifteen reported complexes into four sections/groups where either the amine polyalcohol R group (category 1 and 2, structures 1–2d), bridging ligands (category 3, structures 3a–3g) or the coordination environment around the  $Dv<sup>III</sup>$  (category 4, structures 4a–4c) are altered as shown schematically in Scheme 1 along with the ligands employed (Fig. 1).

The fifteen complexes studied have the following formulae;  $\left[\text{Cr}_2^{\text{III}}\text{D}\text{y}_2^{\text{III}}(\text{OMe})_2(\text{mdea})_2(\text{benz})_4(\text{NO}_3)_2\right]$   $\left(1\right)^{39}$   $\left[\text{Cr}_2^{\text{III}}\text{D}\text{y}_2^{\text{III}}(\text{OMe})_2\right]$  $(dea)_{2}(benz)_{4}(MeOH)_{4}[(NO_{3})_{2}]$  $\frac{1}{2}$ Dy $\frac{1}{2}$ [OMe) $_2$ (edea) $_2$  $(\text{benz})_4(\text{NO}_3)_2]$  (2**b**),  $[\text{Cr}_2^{\text{III}}\text{Dy}_2^{\text{III}}(\text{OMe})_2(\text{bdea})_2(\text{benz})_4(\text{NO}_3)_2]$  (2**c**),  $\left[\text{Cr}_2^{\text{III}}\text{D}\text{y}_2^{\text{III}}(\text{OMe})_2(\text{teaH})_2(\text{benz})_4(\text{NO}_3)_2(\text{MeOH})_2\right]$  (2d),  $\left[\text{Cr}_2^{\text{III}}\text{D}\text{y}_2^{\text{III}}\right]$  $(\mathrm{OMe})_{2}(\mathrm{mdea})_{2}(\textrm{2-Cl-benz})_{4}(\mathrm{NO}_{3})_{2}]$   $(\mathrm{3a}),$   $[\mathrm{Cr}_{2}^{\mathrm{III}}\mathrm{Dy}_{2}^{\mathrm{III}}(\mathrm{OMe})_{2}(\mathrm{bdea})_{2}$ 









 $(2,6\text{-} \text{Cl}\text{-} \text{benz})_{4}(\text{NO}_3)_{2}]$  (3b),  $[\text{Cr}_{2}^{\text{III}}\text{Dy}_{2}^{\text{III}}(\text{OMe})_{2}(\text{mdea})_{2}(2,4,6\text{-} \text{Cl}\text{-} \text{C}^{\text{-} \text{O}}]$ benz)<sub>4</sub>(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>] (3c),  $[\text{Cr}_{2}^{\text{III}} \text{Dy}_{2}^{\text{III}}(\text{OMe})_{2}(\text{mdea})_{2}(2\text{-} \text{Cl-4,5-F-benz})_{4}$  $[NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]$   $[3d]$ ,  $[Cr<sub>2</sub><sup>III</sup> Dy<sub>2</sub><sup>III</sup> (OMe)<sub>2</sub> (mdea)<sub>2</sub>(2-CF<sub>3</sub>-benz)<sub>4</sub>(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]$   $(3e)$ ,

 $\left[\text{Cr}_2^{\text{III}}\text{D}\text{y}_2^{\text{III}}(\text{OMe})_2(\text{mdea})_2(2\text{-OMe-beinz})_4(\text{NO}_3)_2\right]$  (3f),  $\left[\text{Cr}_2^{\text{III}}\text{D}\text{y}_2^{\text{III}}\right]$  $(OMe)_2(^tBudea)_2(4^{-t}Bu-benz)_4(NO_3)_2]$  (3g),  $[Cr_2Dy_2(OH)_2(2,6-CI-1)]$ benz)<sub>4</sub>(teaH)<sub>2</sub>(MeOH)<sub>2</sub>(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>](HNEt<sub>3</sub>)Cl (4a), [Cr<sub>2</sub>Dy<sub>2</sub>(OH)<sub>2</sub>(2,6 $Cl$ -benz)<sub>4</sub>(bdea)<sub>2</sub>(DMF)<sub>2</sub>(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>] (4**b**),  $[Cr_2Dy_2(OH)_2(2,6-Cl-benz)_4$  $(mdea)_2(DMF)_2(NO_3)_2$  (4c). [mdeaH<sub>2</sub> = N-methyldiethanolamine, deaH<sub>2</sub> = diethanolamine, edaH<sub>2</sub> = ethyldiethanolamine, bdeaH<sub>2</sub> = N-n-butyldiethanolamine, teaH<sub>3</sub> = triethanolamine, Budea $H_2 = N$ -<sup>t</sup>butyldiethanolamine, benz = benzoic acid and DMF = dimetylformamide]. The ligand formulae, along with the structures, are provided in Fig. 1. Using these groups of complexes, we explain the following: (i) which features influence the SMM behaviour of these complexes; (ii) the reason why QTM is minimised; (iii) optimisation of the SMM properties; (iv) how the nature and magnitude of the magnetic exchange interaction control the  $T_{\text{B}}$ .

## Experimental

#### General information

All reactions were carried out under aerobic conditions. Chemicals and solvents were obtained from commercial sources and used without further purification. Elemental analyses (CHN) were carried out by Campbell Microanalytical Laboratory, University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand.

The synthesis of all 14 complexes and representative infrared spectra and PXRD analysis are shown for compounds 1 and 2a in the ESI.†

#### Crystal data collection and refinement

X-ray crystallographic measurements for compounds 1, 2c, 2d, 3a, 3e and 3f were collected with an Oxford Diffraction Supernova diffractometer using MoKα radiation. The data collection and data reduction were performed using CrysAlisPro; absorption corrections were applied using a multi-scan method.53 The data for 2a and 2b were performed using a Bruker Smart Apex X8 diffractometer with MoKα radiation. The data collection and integration were performed within SMART and SAINT+ software programs and corrected for absorption using the Bruker SADABS program.<sup>54</sup> Measurements on complexes 3b, 3c, 4a, 4b and 4c were made using an Oxford Xcalibur diffractometer with MoKα radiation  $(\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å})$  and a Sapphire3 detector. The data collection and integration were performed within the CrysAlisPro software program. X-ray measurements for 3d and 3g were performed at 150(2) K at the Australian synchrotron MX1 beamline. The data collection and integration were performed within Blu-Ice and XDS software programs.<sup>55,56</sup> All complexes were all solved by direct methods (SHELXS-97), and refined (SHELXL-97) by full least matrix least-squares on all  $F^2$  data.<sup>57</sup> Crystallographic data and refinement parameters for all these complexes are summarised in Tables S1a–1c.† Crystallographic details are available in the ESI† in CIF format. CCDC numbers 866839, 994294–994297, 1495741–1495743 and 2268871–2268878.† See Tables S1a–1c† for experimental details.

#### Magnetic measurements

Direct current (dc) magnetic susceptibility measurements were carried out on a Quantum Design SQUID magnet-

ometer MPMS-XL 7 operating between 1.8 and 300 K for dcapplied fields ranging from 0–5 T. Microcrystalline samples were dispersed in Vaseline in order to avoid torquing of the crystallites. The sample mulls were contained in a calibrated gelatine capsule held at the centre of a drinking straw that was fixed at the end of the sample rod. Alternating current (ac) susceptibility measurements were carried out on the same instrument utilising an oscillating ac field of 3.5 Oe and frequencies ranging from 0.1 to 1500 Hz. Hysteresis measurements were performed with an average sweep rate of  $0.003$  T s<sup>-1</sup>.

#### Computational details

The magnetic properties of this series of complexes were analysed through an ab initio study using the MOLCAS 8.0/8.2 suite of programs.<sup>58</sup> The approach was of CASSCF/RASSI-SO/ SINGLE\_ANISO type calculations to determine the low-lying energy levels and magnetic properties of individual Dy<sup>III</sup> and  $Cr<sup>III</sup>$  ions.<sup>51,52,59–61</sup> The estimated values of the exchange coupling between the Dy⋯Cr and Dy⋯Dy ions were performed in the Gaussian 16.0 C (ref. 62) suite of programs by considering the BS-DFT<sup>63</sup> approach using the hybrid type hybrid B3LYP functional.64,65 Since first-order orbital momentum plays a distinctive role for Dy<sup>III</sup> it cannot be described in the single determinant, hence the BS-DFT involves the replacement of Dy<sup>III</sup> with Gd<sup>III</sup>, and the exchange value was then normalised with respect to the Dy<sup>III</sup> ion.<sup>66-68</sup> The *ab initio* SINGLE\_ANISO module also generates inputs to proceed for the POLY\_ANISO module, which has been used to calculate the exchange parameter.<sup>69,70</sup>

Equation for J,

$$
H = -JS_1S_2 \tag{1}
$$

The calculations were performed on the obtained X-ray diffraction crystal structures without further optimisation. In calculating the single ion properties, other  $Dy$ <sup>III</sup> and  $Cr$ <sup>III</sup> ions were replaced by  $Lu^{III}$  and  $Sc^{III}$ , respectively, for the SINGLE\_ANISO module. The Cholesky decomposition threshold was set to  $5.0 \times 10^{-8}$ .<sup>71</sup> The relativistic effects were taken into consideration by including the Doughlas–Kroll– Hess Hamiltonian.<sup>72</sup> The active space for  $Dy$ <sup>III</sup> includes nine electrons in seven orbitals, and for Cr<sup>III</sup>, three electrons in five orbitals. For the RASSI-SO mixing, only 21 sextets were considered for  $Dy$ <sup>III</sup> since it has been well-established for  $Dy$ systems and for Cr, 10 quartets and 40 doublets have been taken into account. $73$  The SINGLE\_ANISO module is used for the computation of local magnetic properties like the g-tensors and the magnetic axes of  $Dy$ <sup>III</sup> and  $Cr$ <sup>III</sup> centers. The basis set employed for the MOLCAS calculations is of ANO…RCC type, Dy. ANO-RCC…8s7p5d3f2g1h, Lu. ANO-RCC…7s6p4d2f, Sc. ANO-RCC…5s4p2d, O. ANO-RCC…3s2p, Cl. ANO-RCC…4s3p, F. ANO-RCC…4s3p, N. ANO-RCC…3s2p, C. ANO-RCC…3s2p, H. ANO-RCC…2s.74–<sup>76</sup> The basis set for BS-DFT calculations is of  $CSDZ^{77}$  level basis set for  $Gd^{III}$  with the addition of electron core potential for the metal centers taken from the  $EMSL<sup>78</sup>$ library, and for the rest of the atoms, it was of  $TZV^{79}$  type. To

compare the DFT obtained  $J_{\text{exch}}$  from the Gaussian calculations with the earlier reported DFT  $J_{\text{exch}}$  by Chibotaru et al. using the ORCA<sup>80</sup> package, all the calculations have been repeated using the ORCA package with a similar model as that reported in 2013 on all the above complexes along with the parent complex using the same methodologies reported earlier.<sup>39</sup>

### Results and discussion

#### Structural description and classification of the complexes

All of the fourteen newly characterised complexes have a  ${Crr_2^{\text{III}}}$ Dy $_2^{\text{III}}$ } butterfly motif similar to 1 (Fig. 2(a)).<sup>39</sup> Complex 1 is described as a tetranuclear butterfly complex with the  $Dy$ <sup>III</sup> ions in the body positions and the  $Cr<sup>III</sup>$  ions lying in the outer wing positions. The Dy<sup>III</sup> and Cr<sup>III</sup> ions in the butterfly core are bridged by methoxide, benzoate and deprotonated amine polyalcohol ligands, whereas the Dy<sup>III</sup> ions are bridged only by methoxide ligands. The coordination sphere of each Dy<sup>III</sup> ion is completed by a chelating nitrate. The  $Cr^{III}$  ions are six coordinated with an octahedral geometry, and the  $Dy$ <sup>III</sup> ions are eight coordinated with a square antiprismatic (SAP) geometry. With respect to the starting structure, we have classified these molecules into three types in order to have better comparison and insights according to the coordination around the  $Dy$ <sup>III</sup> ion and the types of ligands involved in the complex. These three types are classified as follows: (i) 2a–2d, (ii) 3a–3g and (iii) 4a–4c (Fig. S1†). See Fig. 2 for the representative examples 1, 2a, 3a and 4a.

Structural descriptions of 2a–2d. Complexes 2a–2d are isostructural with the parent complex 1, and all crystalise in the monoclinic  $P2_1/n$  space group. The asymmetric unit for each consists of half of the complex (one  $Cr^{III}$  and one  $Dy^{III}$  ion), which lies upon an inversion center. Each complex differs in the aminopolyalcohol used (2a = deaH<sub>2</sub>, 2b = edeaH<sub>2</sub>, 2c = bdeaH<sub>2</sub> and  $2d = \text{teaH}_3$ ; however, the coordination to the metal ions remains the same in all cases, except we find that the coordination environment at the  $Dy$ <sup>III</sup> ion differs for 2a and 2d compared to 1. In the case of 2a, two MeOH molecules are coordinated at each  $Dy$ <sup>III</sup> site, whereas for 2d, one terminal nitrate and a MeOH are coordinated (Fig. S1†) instead of a chelating nitrate for 1. The geometry around the  $Dy$ <sup>III</sup> (SAP) and  $Cr^{III}$  (octahedral) (Table 1) centers are the same with respect to 1.

Structural descriptions of 3a–3g. Complexes 3a–3g are isostructural and crystallise in the triclinic  $P\bar{1}$  space group, with the key difference compared to 1 is that the bridging benzoate ligands have been replaced by several different functionalised benzoates. These include Cl-based (3a–3c), Cl and F-based (3d) and  $CF_3$ , OMe and  ${}^t$ Bu (3e, 3f and 3g, respectively) groups around the aromatic ring (Fig. 1 and S1†). The rationale for using these ligands was to test what effects electron withdrawing or donating groups and the steric effects that these ligands provide have on the magnetic behaviour and SMM properties of the complexes. For complexes 2a–2d and 3a–3g, the devi-

ation from an ideal SAP geometry for  $Dv^{III}$  and an ideal octahedral geometry for  $Cr^{III}$  has been provided in Table 1, obtained from continuous SHAPE analysis.<sup>81</sup>

Structural descriptions of 4a–4c. For complexes 4a–4c, the bridging coordination environment is identical to 1, but the coordination environment at the  $Dv^{III}$  ions was varied. Complexes 4a–4c now reveal a nine-coordinate environment with a chelating nitrate and the inclusion of an extra solvent molecule (4a (MeOH), 4b (DMF) and 4c (DMF)) at each  $Dy$ <sup>III</sup> site. In 4a, methanol ligands lie *cis* to each other at each  $Dy$ <sup>III</sup> site (Fig. 2d). Similarly, in 4b, a DMF ligand coordinates via the O-atom to the Dy<sup>III</sup> ions, which lie *cis* to each other. For  $4c$ , again, DMF coordinates to the Dy<sup>III</sup> ions; however, the ligands are disposed of trans to each other (Fig. S1†). The nine-coordinate Dy<sup>III</sup> ions display a tricapped trigonal prismatic (TCTPR) geometry (Table 1), while the six-coordinate  $Cr^{III}$  ions are octahedral (see Table 1 for SHAPE analysis).<sup>81</sup>

#### Magnetic properties

Direct current magnetic susceptibility measurements have been performed on powdered polycrystalline samples in the temperature range between 2 to 300 K under an applied field of 1 and 0.1 T. The  $\chi_M T$  vs. temperature plots are shown in Fig. 3 for 2a, 3a, 4a and 4b and plots for the remaining molecules are shown in Fig. S3a and S3d.† The room temperature  $\chi_M T$  value for each complex matches well with the calculated value for having two non-interacting Cr<sup>III</sup> ( $S = 3/2$ ,  $g = 2$ ,  $C =$ 1.875 cm<sup>3</sup> K mol<sup>-1</sup>) and two Dy<sup>III</sup> (S = 5/2, L = 5, <sup>6</sup>H<sub>15/2</sub>, g = 4/3,  $C = 14.17$  cm<sup>3</sup> K mol<sup>-1</sup>) ions of 32.09 cm<sup>3</sup> K mol<sup>-1</sup>. For complexes 2a–2d and 3a–3g the  $\chi_M T$  behaviour closely resembles that of complex 1 measured in dc fields of 0.1 T and 1  $T^{39}$  For example, for 2a at 0.1 T, as the temperature decreases from 300 K, the  $\chi_M T$  values decrease gradually until reaching ~30 K, then there is a small increase towards a maximum at ∼12 K followed by a sharp drop below 12 K down to 2 K. In the 1 T measurement, there is a continuous drop in the  $\chi_M T$  value till 50 K; then it drops and plateaus before a further decrease occurs at low temperature. The slight decrease in the  $\chi_M T$ values at high temperatures is attributed to the depopulation of  $m_l$  levels of the Dy<sup>III</sup> ions, whereas the increase/plateau below 50 K is due to the presence of non-negligible magnetic interaction between the  $Dy$ <sup>III</sup>–Cr<sup>III</sup> centers. As seen from Fig. 3 and S3a–3d,† we can see that the  $\chi_M T$  values behave differently at low temperatures, and this is dependent on the nature of the exchange strength between the  $Dy$ <sup>III</sup> and  $Cr$ <sup>III</sup> centers; for each case, the behaviour is explained below, when discussing the theory of exchange.

For complexes 4a–4c, the  $\chi_M T$  values decrease continually from 300 K as the temperature is reduced, decreasing more rapidly below ∼70 K (Fig. 3, bottom) with no increase or plateau. This behaviour is markedly different to that observed for 1, 2a–2d and 3a–3g. For 4a, however, a small rise is observed at very low temperatures (at 0.1 T), these differences are discussed below, vide infra.

The isothermal magnetisation curves for 1, 2a–2d and 3a– 3g, plotted against the dc magnetic field (inset Fig. 3 and



Fig. 2 Molecular structures of complex 1 (a),<sup>39</sup> 2a (b), 3a (c) and 4a (d), violet = Dy, cyan = Cr, grey = C, red = O, blue = N, green = Cl, hydrogen atoms were omitted for clarity, (e) schematic representation of the coordination environments.

Fig. S3†), depict the presence of significant anisotropy for all the complexes. There is S-shaped behaviour in  $M$  when magnetised from zero field for the 2 K isotherms, which is indicative of hysteresis behaviour (see later). For 4a–4c, this behaviour is absent, with an increase in  $M$  occurring at all temperatures without reaching saturation.



Table  $1$  Selected bond parameters (bond length in  $\rm A$  and bond angles in  $\rm \gamma$  around the Dy" ions for all the complexes. The terminology for the coordination environment has been provided in

Selected bond parameters (bond length in Å and bond angles in °) around the Dy<sup>III</sup> ions for all the complexes. The terminology for the coordination environment has been provided in

provided in the Table 2. The key observation for each complex is that even at the lowest temperatures, there is no crossover towards a QTM relaxation regime on the timescale of the ac experiment, which is extremely common for lanthanide-based SMMs. The  $U_{\text{eff}}$  and  $\tau_0$  values for all the complexes are listed in Table 2. For 4a–4c, the relaxation behaviour changes somewhat. Complexes 4b and 4c reveal no out-of-phase susceptibility signals above 1.8 K and, therefore, no SMM behaviour. For 4a, however, frequency and temperature-dependent out-of-phase susceptibility signals are found between 2–6.5 K, following a similar profile to those shown above (Fig. S5a–5n†) however after performing an Arrhenius analysis on the relaxation rates, we find that  $U_{\text{eff}}$  = 32 K ( $\tau_0$  = 6.16 × 10<sup>-7</sup> s), which is roughly

half as small as seen in groups 1, 2 and 3.

Due to the likelihood of SMM behaviour for 1, 2a–2d and 3a–3g, alternating current magnetic measurements have been made on the powdered samples of all the complexes. All complexes display SMM behaviour with frequency and temperature-dependent out-of-phase susceptibility peaks observed. Representative plots of the in-phase  $(\chi'_M)$  and out-of-phase  $(\chi_{\text{M}})$  vs. frequency (0.1-1500 Hz), Cole-Cole and  $\ln(\tau)$  vs. 1/T show good resolution and are shown for 2b in Fig. 4. At all temperatures studied (4.5–10 K), it was found that the relaxation is thermally activated, and plots of  $ln(\tau)$  versus  $1/T$  are linear, which suggests that an Orbach process is operative over the entire temperature and frequency range investigated. Fitting the data to the Arrhenius law  $[\tau = \tau_0 \exp(U_{\text{eff}}/k_B T)]$  yields an effective barrier to magnetisation reversal:  $U_{\text{eff}}$  = 79.1 K (*ca.* 54 cm<sup>-1</sup>) with  $\tau_0 = 3.4 \times 10^{-8}$  s ( $R = 0.99$ ) for 2b. This relaxation behaviour is observed for all complexes 1, 2a–2d and 3a–3g and analysed in a similar manner, and the respective  $U_{\text{eff}}$  are

Because of the relatively large thermal barriers and lack of observable QTM, we performed variable-field magnetisation measurements to probe the relaxation dynamics over a longer time scale and search for magnetic hysteresis. It was found that using sweep rates accessible with a conventional magnetometer (an average of 0.003 T s<sup>-1</sup>) on a polycrystalline sample, we were able to observe magnetic hysteresis for 1, 2a–2d and 3a–3g. Hysteresis plots are shown for 1, 2c, 3c, and 3d in Fig. 5 (see Fig. S4a and b† for the remaining complexes). From the data, we can clearly see open magnetic hysteresis loops with large coercive fields  $(H<sub>c</sub>)$ . In some cases, we observed some loss of magnetisation at a zero field, indicating QTM, which was not apparent on the much faster time scale of the dynamic AC experiment. Interestingly, we find that the coercive field and blocking temperature changes for each complex (Table 2). This must be indicative of structural modifications for each complex. In order to understand these results, we have performed theoretical DFT/ab initio calculations, which will be discussed next.

#### Theoretical studies

Ab initio calculations were performed on all complexes by taking the single crystal X-ray diffracted coordinates and employing the CASCCF/RASSI-SO/SINGLE\_ANISO/POLY\_ANISO

## Inorganic Chemistry Frontiers Research Article



Fig. 3 The DC  $\chi_M T$  vs. T and M vs. H isotherm (inset) plots for complexes 2a (a), 3a (b), 4a (c) and 4b (d).

module to obtain both the single-ion magnetic properties as well as the overall magnetic properties emanating from the exchanged coupled states. The computational methodologies are provided above in the Experimental section. For all complexes, the resulting single-ion magnetic properties, the lowlying Kramers doublets (KDs), along with the g-anisotropy are listed in the ESI Tables S3 and S4.†

Mechanism of magnetization relaxation for the single-ion  $Dy$ <sup>III</sup> centres. Employing the same level of basis set and methodologies for all fifteen complexes, including the starting complex 1, their single-ion anisotropic properties have been compared. For all complexes, the ground and  $1<sup>st</sup>$ excited state anisotropy are found to be axial in nature ( $g_{zz} \sim$ 19.9,  $g_{xx} = g_{yy} \sim 0$ , and the transverse component arises from the  $2<sup>nd</sup>$  excited state (Table S4†). The computed energy levels of the KDs (up to  $n + 1$  level with 'n' being the state via relaxation is facilitated) for all fifteen complexes are shown in Fig. 7 (see also Table S3 and Fig. S6† for the full energy level diagram).

Single-ion magnetic anisotropy of 2a–2d. The structural changes in the substituted polyamine-alcohol have a large effect on the crystal field splitting, which is due to both the structural and electronic effects offered by the substituents on the polyamine-alcohols; however, the former plays a dominant role as discussed below. It is interesting to note that increasing the size of the substituents from methyl to ethyl results in a decrease in the crystal field energy gap between the ground to the first excited state (136 cm<sup>-1</sup> for 1 vs. 109 cm<sup>-1</sup> for 2b) (Fig. 7 and Table S3†). However, in contrast, a bulkier substituent like the  $n$ -butyl group increases the energy splitting (136 cm<sup>-1</sup> for 1 *vs.* 162 cm<sup>-1</sup> for 2c) (Fig. 7, and Table S3†). For complex 2a (deaH<sub>2</sub>), an increase in the ground to  $1<sup>st</sup>$  excited state energy (149 cm<sup>-1</sup>) is found compared to 1 (136 cm<sup>-1</sup>). The replacement of  $(mdea)^{2-}$  to  $(dea)^{2-}$  also changes the terminal coordination of the  $Dy$ <sup>III</sup> ion; for complexes **1**, **2b** and **2c** a chelating nitrate group is coordinated to the  $Dy$ <sup>III</sup> ion, whereas for 2a, it is coordinated to two terminal MeOH groups. For complex 2d, when  $(mdea)^{2-}$  is replaced by  $(teaH)^{2-}$ , the



Fig. 4 Plots of in-phase  $(y'_M)$  (a) and out-of-phase  $(y'_M)$  vs. frequency (0.1–1500 Hz) (c), Cole–Cole (b) and ln( $\tau$ ) vs.1/T (d) for 2b.

ground to 1<sup>st</sup> excited state gap is found to be 177  $cm^{-1}$ , the largest among this group of complexes. The ground state anisotropy axis derived from POLY\_ANISO fitting for 1 and 2a–2d for Dy<sup>III</sup> ions are shown in Fig. 6 and Fig. S1<sup>†</sup> and lie along the O-atoms of amine-polyalcohol groups (Fig. S1 and S8†) and lie parallel to each other. The same is true for both the  $Cr<sup>III</sup>$ centers, i.e. they lie parallel to each other (Fig. 6 and Fig. S1†). However, the arrangement of the anisotropy axes of  $Dy$ <sup>III</sup> and  $Cr<sup>III</sup>$  are anti-parallel to each other, which has been obtained from POLY\_ANISO fitting (Fig. 6 and S1;† vide infra).

To obtain a clearer picture of the structural vs. electronic effects, i.e. whether the changes in the geometry around the  $Dy$ <sup>III</sup> centre are due to different substitutions or are purely electronic in origin, we have modelled a similar complex to that of 1 by introducing a Cl group at the ortho position of the benzene ring (complex 1a, which resembles complex 3a Fig. S1†), however, keeping the bond parameters the same to that of 1. The calculations yield a slight enhancement of the overall KD splitting (by ∼1.95% see Table S3†) and nearly identical g-anisotropy to that of complex 1, however we find a substantial difference from complex 3a. This emphasises the importance of structural alterations that occurs due to the change in the functional group (98% vs. 2%) rather than the electronic structure of the functional group itself, as seen earlier in other systems.<sup>66</sup>

The magnetic relaxation dynamics were plotted for all complexes in Fig. 8 (2a, 3a, 4a and 4c) and in Fig. S7.† In all cases, magnetic relaxation occurs via the  $2<sup>nd</sup>$  excited state through TA-QTM/Orbach process. The  $U_{\rm cal}^{\rm Dy}$  from the single  ${\rm Dy}^{\rm III}$  ion ab initio calculations for  $1$ ,  $2a-2d$  follows the order  $2b$  $(240 \text{ cm}^{-1})$  < 1 $(285 \text{ cm}^{-1})$  < 2a $(288 \text{ cm}^{-1})$  < 2d $(296 \text{ cm}^{-1})$  < 2c (311 cm−<sup>1</sup> ). As shown in Fig. 6 (Fig. S11†) the main anisotropy axis points towards the Dy–O bonds from the aminopolyalcohol ligands, thus a shorter Dy–O bond length would correspond to a stronger axial ligand field and hence a larger  $U_{\text{cal}}^{\text{Dy}}$ The largest  $U_{\rm cal}^{\rm Dy}$  (2c) and smallest (2b) were found to correlate to the Dy–O bond length corresponding to the amine polyalcohol ligand (average 2.275 vs. 2.243  $\AA$  for 2b and 2c, respectively, see Table 1), with shorter distances yielding the largest  $U_{\text{cal}}^{\text{Dy}}$ and longer distances yielding the smallest  $U_{\rm cal}^{\rm Dy}$  in this family of complexes. The difference in distance observed is correlated to the electronic effect of the substituents, which alter the donor capability of the polyalcohol amine, which in turn is likely to change the donor nature of the oxygen arm. However, the



A total of four different exchanges values are given for  $f_{\rm stop-Gr}$  (two of them are in parenthesis for  $f_{\rm dipp_y-Gr}$ ). Since both the Dy(in) and Cr(in) centers are not equivalent to each other, they are resulting in diff fferent exchanges values are given for J<sub>totDy–Cr</sub> (two of them are in parenthesis for J<sub>dipDy–Cr</sub>). Since both the Dy(III) and Cr(III) centers are not equivalent to each other, they are fferent  $J_{\text{tot}D\to C}$  exchange values, the same is applicable for dipolar exchange as well  $(J_{\text{dipb}x-Cr})$  provided in the parenthesis. A total of four di resulting in di

**Research Article** Research Article Inorganic Chemistry Frontiers

bond length correlation does not hold true for the entire series, and neither it is found to correlate to the electronic nature of the substituents (inductive effects, etc.). This is essentially due to the minor variation in the bond length (of the order of ∼0.03 Å), causing significant variation in the magnetic behaviour. This demands a quantitative analysis based on the computed charges, which are discussed below.

Single-ion magnetic anisotropy of 3a–3g. For this group of complexes, we have modified the functional groups at the benzoate bridging ligand, which would alter the donor capability of the corresponding oxygen atoms, and this has been performed in three ways: (i) for 3a, 3c, 3d–3f using  $(mdea)^{2-}$ identical to 1 and alter the substituents around the aromatic ring of the benzoate ligand; (ii) for  $3b$  keeping the *n*-butyl group intact similar to 2c and alter the 2,6-positions of the benzoate ligand with  $-Cl$ ; (iii) for 3g employ a tert-butyl amine polyalcohol group along with tert-butyl substitution at the benzene ring. Thus  $3b$  and  $3g$  are expected to have mixed effects on both the functional groups, and a direct comparison or correlation to 1 is not possible. The ground and  $1<sup>st</sup>$ excited state g-anisotropy are highly axial similar to 2a-2d. The 2<sup>nd</sup> excited state shows some transverse components (see Table S4†). The ground-to-first excited state energy gap for the KDs follows the ensuing order 3f  $(140 \text{ cm}^{-1}) \leq 3g$  $(152 \text{ cm}^{-1})$  < 3e  $(153 \text{ cm}^{-1})$  < 3b  $(163 \text{ cm}^{-1})$  < 3d  $(167 \text{ cm}^{-1})$  < 3a (211 cm<sup>-1</sup>) < 3c (248 cm<sup>-1</sup>). For complexes 3a-3g, the ground state anisotropic axis lies along the O-atom of the amine polyalcohol group (with a deviation of 10–20°, see Fig. S8†).

All of these complexes have a larger ground to  $1<sup>st</sup>$  excited state energy gap compared to 1. This is essentially due to the fact that the substitution at the benzoic position is expected to reduce the donation at the corresponding oxygen atom compared to the unsubstituted benzoate group in 1 (except for 3f and 3g, which increases the amount of electron density to the donor ligands). This reduction for the majority of complexes in the charge at the substituted benzoate oxygen atoms leads to weaker equatorial donation, which is known to destabilise the first excited KDs, leading to a larger gap (see Fig. S11†).

For complexes  $3a-3g$ , relaxation occurs via the  $2<sup>nd</sup>$  excited state except  $3c$ , where it is predicted to occur via the  $3<sup>rd</sup>$  excited state. The order of the computed  $U_{\text{cal}}^{\text{Dy}}$  values is found to follow the order 3g (277 cm<sup>-1</sup>) < 3f (286 cm<sup>-1</sup>) < 3e (312 cm<sup>-1</sup>) < 3b  $(327 \text{ cm}^{-1})$  < 3d  $(336 \text{ cm}^{-1})$  < 3a  $(394 \text{ cm}^{-1})$  < 3c  $(613 \text{ cm}^{-1})$ (Fig. 8, Fig. S6/S7 and Table S3†). It is clear from these calculations that the introduction of an electron-donating group such as OMe (complex 3f) at the *ortho* position or tert-Bu (complex 3g) at the para position of the benzoate ring causes a smaller crystal field splitting, in accordance with the expected electron-donating capability of the substituent groups (3f being a better electron donor through the  $\pi$  system than 3g through the  $\sigma$  donor system). Depending on the number and the position of the electron-withdrawing group, the ground-tofirst-excited state gap varies for the other five complexes. If we compare the structures containing  $(mdea)^{2-}$  as the amine poly-

Table 2

DFT computed J<sub>exch</sub> and the ab *initio* fitted J<sub>tot</sub>/J<sub>dip</sub> parameters for all the complexes together with

DFT computed  $J_{\rm{exch}}$  and the ab initio fitted  $J_{\rm{tot}}/J_{\rm{dip}}$  parameters for all the complexes together with  $U_{\rm{eff}}$  T<sub>e</sub> and H<sub>C</sub> values

 $U_{\rm eff}$ ,  $T_{\rm B}$  and



Fig. 5 Plot of magnetization (M) versus field (H) for (a) 1, (b) 2c, (c) 3c and (d) 3d sweeping the field with an average sweep rate of 0.003 T s<sup>-1</sup>, at the temperatures indicated.

alcohol (*i.e.* **3a, 3c–3f**), the largest  $U_{\rm cal}^{\rm Dy}$  is found for **3c** while the smallest is found for 3f. This is attributed to the fact that for 3c, there are three –Cl groups substituted in the aromatic ring, offering a significant electron withdrawal effect, leading to a reduction in the donor capability of the corresponding oxygen compared to the (OMe)<sup>−</sup> group in 3f which enhances the donor strength of the equatorial oxygen. This is clearly reflected in the Dy–O bond distance, with a longer Dy–O bond found for 3c and a shorter one found for 3f  $(2.414 \text{ Å} \text{ vs.}$ 2.340 Å). As this oxygen lies in the equatorial position, lengthening of the bond leads to a larger  $U_{\rm cal}^{\rm Dy}$  value and vice versa. These effects are also reflected in the computed LoProp charges<sup>82</sup> for the corresponding oxygen atoms  $(-0.668 \text{ vs.})$ −0.723) for the O atoms of 3c and 3f, respectively (see Fig. S10 and S11†).

From the above analysis, it is clear, again, that the electronic factors of the substituents slightly alter the bond geometries, which has a consequential effect on the computed magnetic properties. For complexes 1, 2a–2d, and 3a–3g, which have eight oxygen donor atoms with a  ${DyO_8}$  core, out of the eight O-atoms, two of the axial O-atoms from the aminepolyalcohol group and two of the equatorial O-atoms from the  $(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sup>-</sup>$  group (or MeOH for 2a) is primarily determining the strength of KDs energy splitting and hence the  $U_{\text{cal}}^{\text{Dy}}$  values.

Another factor contributing to the difference in the observed  $U_{\text{cal}}^{\text{Dy}}$  values for these complexes are the amount of deformation of the  ${DyO_8}$  core. This was indeed reflected in the observed LoProp charges on the surrounding O atoms and, hence on the crystal field parameters, leading to the largest observed  $U_{\text{cal}}^{\text{Dy}}$  values from the single  $\text{Dy}^{\text{III}}$  center for 3c. The variation of the ground to  $1<sup>st</sup>$  excited state energy splitting with respect to the difference in the percentage of axial and equatorial LoProp by taking the equal contribution of charges has been plotted in Fig. 9 (the difference of axial and equatorial LoProp charges/total charge  $\times$  100), and the detailed LoProp charge around each O-atom has been provided in Fig. S10 and S11 in ESI† for all the complexes. It has been noticed that a pseudo linear or sinusoidal correlation exists between the difference of axial to equatorial LoProp charge and the computed ground to  $1<sup>st</sup>$  excited energy gap exist. The pseudo linear or sinusoidal behavior can be attributed to the fact that all these complexes are not completely structurally analogous to each other due to the wide variety of ligand systems (categories 1 to 3) having been employed. This reflects on the axial crystal field parameters  $B_2^0$ obtained from the Hamiltonian provided in eqn (S1) in the ESI† ( $H_{CF}$ ); a larger magnitude in  $B_2^0$  indicates a large axial approach of the ligand field, and the splitting between the low-lying KDs increases.<sup>83</sup> For all the studied molecules, the



Fig. 6 The arrangement of the ground state anisotropic axis obtained from the POLY\_ANISO module for the complexes 1,<sup>39</sup> 2b, 3a and 4a. Green arrows represent the Dy<sup>III</sup> ions and the yellow arrows the Cr<sup>III</sup> ions.

crystal field parameters have been provided in Table S6 of the ESI.†

Single-ion magnetic anisotropy of 4a–4c. For the last set of complexes 4a–4c, there is an extra coordination site attached to the  $Dv^{\text{III}}$  ions, and therefore the  $Dv^{\text{III}}$  ion is nine coordinate with a  ${DyO_9}$  motif. For all three complexes, the carboxylate is 2,6-dichlorobenzoate, which is the same as for complex 3b, with the amine polyalcohol ligands being  $(teaH)^{2-}$  (4a), (bdea)<sup>2−</sup> (4b) and (mdea)<sup>2−</sup> (4c). For complex 4a, along with the chelating  $(\mathrm{NO_3})^-,$  a MeOH is coordinated to each  $\mathrm{Dy}^{\mathrm{III}}$ ion. The MeOH ligands lie cis to each other. For complexes 4b and 4c, a chelating nitrate is present with a terminal DMF ligand at each  $Dy$ <sup>III</sup> ion, that lies *cis* and *trans* to each other, respectively. We find that despite moving from eight to nine coordinate environments, there is minimal change in the ground,  $1^{st}$  and  $2^{nd}$  excited g-anisotropy values (Table S4†); however, in comparison to the other complexes, the ground to  $1<sup>st</sup>$  excited gap is reduced (Fig. 7 and Table S3†), and

smaller  $U_{\text{cal}}^{\text{Dy}}$  values are found – 249 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 220 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 208 cm<sup> $-1$ </sup> for 4a, 4b and 4c, respectively. The significant reduction in the ground-first excited state gap and the  $U_{\text{cal}}^{\text{Dy}}$ value compared to groups 1, 2 and 3 are due to the additional ligand. For 4a the extra MeOH ligand is found equatorial to the anisotropy axis, thus reducing the ground to  $1<sup>st</sup>$  excited state gap. For 4b and 4c, however, the extra coordinated DMF ligand alters the position of one of the O-atoms of the polyalcohol ligand to lie equatorial compared to the other complexes where both the oxygen atoms lie along the axial direction (Fig. S11†). As these two amine polyalcohol O-atoms provide the shortest bond length and the strongest ligand field, moving one of the O-atoms to an equatorial position destabilises the first and second excited states leading to a drastic reduction in the  $U_{\text{cal}}^{Dy}$  value. Furthermore, as the geometry around the Dy<sup>III</sup> ion changes from a pseudo  $D_{4d}$  square antiprismatic geometry, which is favoured for  $Dy$ <sup>III</sup> SMMs, the tunnelling contribution at the ground and excited states are



Fig. 7 The energy distribution of low lying Kramers doublets for all the complexes. The red square indicates the state for magnetic relaxation originating from the single Dy<sup>III</sup> centre.

larger  $(1.1 \times 10^{-3}$  for 3b vs.  $5.1 \times 10^{-3}$  for 4b) leading to the suggestion of the absence of single-ion magnetic relaxation for this set of complexes.

The single aniso calculations indicate the axial approach of the ligand field and, hence, the contribution towards the overall energy barrier for magnetic relaxation. For the majority of complexes, the calculations predict good SMM behaviour, and this is found to be the case experimentally. The calculations also indicate the absence of SMM behaviour for 4b and 4c, which is also the case. The experimentally observed  $U_{\text{eff}}$  barrier in each molecule is, however, substantially less compared to the single ion-derived values (Table 2). Furthermore, there is no direct linear correlation between the observed  $U_{\text{cal}}^{\text{Dy}}$  and the experimental  $U_{\text{eff}}$  (see Fig. S12†). As it was revealed from complex 1 that there is a significant magnetic exchange interaction between the  $Dy$ <sup>III</sup>–Cr<sup>III</sup> ions, and the overall magnetic relaxation is strongly dependent on the exchanged coupled states, we, therefore, probe the influence of the exchange coupling on the SMM behaviour.



Fig. 8 The magnetic relaxation dynamics originating from the single Dy<sup>III</sup> centre for 2a (a), 3a (b), 4a (c) and 4b (d). Red dotted line indicates QTM (TA-QTM), solid blue line for TA, green line for Raman/Orbach Processes. Number above the line indicates the transition probabilities.



Fig. 9 (a) Variation of ground to 1<sup>st</sup> excited state energy gap for all the complexes with respect to the percentage of axial and equatorial LoProp charges calculated from the CASSCF calculations. (b) 3D plot for axial LoProp vs. equatorial LoProp vs. ground to 1<sup>st</sup> excited state energy gap.

#### Mechanism of magnetization relaxation for the  $\{ \mathrm{Cr}_2^{\mathrm{III}}\mathrm{Dy}_2^{\mathrm{III}}\}$  motif

To estimate the exchange coupling in these  $\{ \mathrm{Cr}_2^{\mathrm{III}} \mathrm{D} \mathrm{y}_2^{\mathrm{III}} \}$  complexes, the following Hamiltonian was employed

$$
H = -J_{\text{Dy}-\text{Dy}} \left[ S_{\text{Dy},Z} S_{\text{Dy}}, z \right] -J_{\text{Dy}-\text{Cr}} \left[ S_{\text{Dy},Z} S_{\text{Cr}} + S_{\text{Dy}}, z S_{\text{Cr}'} \right] -J_{\text{Dy}-\text{Cr}} \left[ S_{\text{Dy},Z} S_{\text{Cr}'} + S_{\text{Dy}}, z S_{\text{Cr}} \right] - J_{\text{Cr}-\text{Cr}} \left[ S_{\text{Cr1}} S_{\text{Cr2}} \right]
$$
 (2)

The dipolar contribution to the magnetic exchange was computed using the dipolar Hamiltonian which is shown in

eqn (S2).† There are three different exchange interactions that exist in these metal cores, which are between the  $Dy$ <sup>III</sup>– $Dy$ <sup>III</sup>,  $Dv<sup>III</sup>$ – $Cr<sup>III</sup>$  and  $Cr<sup>III</sup>$ – $Cr<sup>III</sup>$  ions. In each molecule, there are six exchange pathways present, one between Cr<sup>III</sup>-Cr<sup>III</sup>, one between  $Dy$ <sup>III</sup>–Dy<sup>III</sup> and four between  $Dy$ <sup>III</sup>–Cr<sup>III</sup> (Fig. 10a). For the molecules where both the  $Dy$ <sup>III</sup> and  $Cr$ <sup>III</sup> centres are completely equivalent and can be attained by a 180° rotation, an equal value of  $J_{\text{Dy-Cr}}$  and  $J_{\text{Dy'-Cr}}$  is expected, whereas when they are not exactly equivalent, two different J values have been estimated (for complexes 2b, 4a and 4c).



Fig. 10 (a) Mode of exchange coupling between the metal–metal centers in all the complexes. (b) The overlap between the 4f orbital of the lanthanide and the 3d orbitals of the Cr ion via the oxo bridges. The orange and blue lobes represent the  $α$  and  $β$  electron density for in the HS state and the green and gray lobes represents the  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  electron density in the broken symmetry states obtained from the density functional calculations.

The J values obtained from BS-DFT calculations using both Gaussian (B3LYP/TZVP) and Orca packages are provided in Table 2 and Table S5† (DFT spin density plots shown in Fig. S13†). For the Gaussian calculations, all six exchange interactions are taken into account simultaneously, whereas, for the ORCA calculations, the individual exchange terms between two paramagnetic centres have been calculated by substituting the other two centres with the diamagnetic metal centres, using the Hamiltonian provided in eqn (1). The fitted value using the POLY\_ANISO module also follows the same Hamiltonian as eqn (1). The fitted values are provided in Table 2 along with the computed values (see Fig. S16† for the fitted  $\chi_M T$  vs. T data).

In all the cases, the nature of the exchange between the  $Cr<sup>III</sup>$  and Dy<sup>III</sup> ions is antiferromagnetic in nature. This is due to the significant overlap of the 4f orbital (all seven 4f orbitals) of the lanthanide with the 3d orbitals of  $\mathrm{Cr}^{\mathrm{III}}\left(\mathrm{d}_{xy},\mathrm{d}_{yz}\right)$  and  $\mathrm{d}_{xz}$ ) (Fig. 10b,  $S_{ij} \sim 10^{-2}$  for  $4f_{xyz}$  with  $3d_{xy}$ ). Further, as the unpaired electrons for the  $Cr^{III}$  ions are in the  $t_{2g}$  orbitals, they are less efficient in charge transfer to the 5d orbitals of the  $Dy$ <sup>III</sup>, which is established to be the primary contributor to the ferromagnetic part of the exchange. $84,85$  Thus, strong antiferromagnetic contributions and weaker ferromagnetic contributions lead to overall antiferromagnetic exchange. For all systems, the  $J_{\text{Dv-Dv}'}$  exchange is ferromagnetic in nature and is found to be in the range of 0.01–0.04  $cm^{-1}$ . This is essentially correlated to the fact that the super-exchange mediates via two  $\mu_3$  (OR)<sup>-</sup> groups with relatively acute Dy–O–Dy angles enforced by the butterfly geometry. For this angle, the exchange is expected to be ferromagnetic as per the earlier magneto-structural correlation established, affirming the computed data.<sup>86,87</sup> The  $J_{\text{Dv-Cr}}$  exchange for groups 1, 2a–2d, 3a–3g, lie in the range of ∼−0.47 to −1 cm<sup>-1</sup> (Table 2). The largest  $J_{\text{Dy-Cr}}$  exchange is observed for the 3a–3g series, followed by the 2a–2d. The smallest  $J_{\text{Dv-Cr}}$  exchange is found for 4a-4c and lies in the range  $-0.05-0.57$  cm<sup>-1</sup>. These results correlate to the Dy-O bond lengths as well as the  $Dy^{III}$ – $O_{\text{amine}}$ – $Cr^{III}$  bond angles, which

play a dominant role in determining the strength of magnetic exchange. A comparatively larger  $J_{\text{Dy-Cr}}$  exchange has been noticed for complexes 3c–3g, an intermediate-range for 1, 2a– 2d and 3a–3b and a much smaller exchange for 4a–4c. This is due to the larger orbital overlap between the 4f orbitals of  $Dy$ <sup>III</sup> ion with the p orbitals of the bridging  $\mu_3$ -O, the carboxylate O-atom and the polyamine alcohol O-atom and the 3d orbital of the  $Cr^{III}$  ion for  $3c-3g$  (spin density on the oxygen 0.029–0.030, Fig. S11†) compared to the smaller overlap for the rest of the complexes. For  $4a-4c$ , the  $J_{\text{Dy-Cr}}$  exchange is comparatively less (spin density on the oxygen 0.017 to 0.019) due to the increased bond length (average Dy–O 2.41 Å for 4a–4c and 2.36 to 2.38 Å for the eight coordinated complexes). The variation of  $J_{\text{Dy-Cr}}$  with respect to the  $\text{Dy}^{\text{III}}$ –Cr<sup>III</sup> bond length and the  $Dy$ <sup>III</sup>– $O<sub>amine</sub>$ – $Cr<sup>III</sup>$  angle has been plotted in Fig. 11 and Fig. S13, S14 and S18.† The Dy–O–Cr angle yields a quasilinear regression suggesting that a larger Dy–O–Cr angle leads to ferromagnetic  $J_{\text{Dy-Cr}}$  exchange, while a smaller angle leads to antiferromagnetic exchange, though the Dy⋯Cr distance was also found to play a role in influencing the magnitude of the J value when the exchange gets strongly antiferromagnetic. In the weak antiferromagnetic region,  $J$  is found to be insensitive to the Dy⋯.Cr distance (see Fig. 11b). Except for 4b and 4c, for the rest of the complexes, the  $J_{\text{Cr-Cr}}$  is found to be ferromagnetic in nature and lies in the range of +0.04 to +0.28 cm−<sup>1</sup> . This interaction is very weak, as expected, as these are next-nearest-neighbour interactions, and the magnitude of the computed  $J_s$  are found to be correlated to various geometric parameters, with the Cr⋯Cr distance being the prominent one. It also appears that the nature of  $\mathrm{Cr^{III}-Cr^{III}}$  exchange influences the  $U_{\text{eff}}$  and  $T_{\text{B}}$  along with the Cr<sup>III</sup>–Dy<sup>III</sup> exchange (see below). At low temperatures, this effect plays a dominant role and is observed experimentally in the  $\chi<sub>M</sub>T$  vs. T plots, hence quenching QTM and leading to SMM behaviour at zero field. Interestingly, this is further corroborated, as shown for complexes 4b and 4c, where an antiferromagnetic  $J_{Cr-Cr}$  leads to the absence of SMM behaviour. Very recently, Rentschler



Fig. 11 Variation of  $J_{\text{exch-Dy-Cr}}$  with respect to the Dy–O–Cr bond angle (a) and the variation of  $J_{\text{exch-Dy-Cr}}$  with respect to both Dy–Cr bond length and Dy–O–Cr bond angle represented in a 3D plot (b).

and co-workers reported a similar  $\{ \mathrm{Cr}_2^{\mathrm{III}} \mathrm{D} \mathrm{y}_2^{\mathrm{III}} \}$  butterfly complex, where the two Dy<sup>III</sup> centres were replaced by two  $Y^{\text{III}}$ centres and revealed a ferro-magnetic  $Cr^{III}$ – $Cr^{III}$  interaction with hysteresis observed.<sup>88</sup> For all fourteen complexes, the superexchange is relatively weaker than the dipolar interactions; however, they cannot be ignored. Including the super-



Fig. 12 Poly\_Aniso computed  $U_{\text{eff}}$  barrier heights for complexes 2a and 3a.



**Fig. 13** (a) Variation of J<sub>tot-Dy–Cr</sub> vs.  $U_{\text{eff}}$ , (b)  $U_{\text{cal}}^{Dy-Cr}$  vs.  $U_{\text{eff}}$ , (c) J<sub>tot-Dy–Cr</sub> vs.  $T_{\text{B}}$  and (d) dependence of  $U_{\text{eff}}$  and  $T_{\text{B}}$  on J<sub>tot-Dy–Cr</sub> represented in a 3D plot.

exchange along with the dipolar contribution is found to be crucial for reproducing the computed effective energy barrier from POLY\_ANISO simulations in a way that aligns with experimental results. Further, the fits were found to be poor when exchange coupling interactions are excluded, suggesting that both contributions are crucial for their performance.

In all cases a nearly identical  $U_{\text{eff}}$  barrier has been derived using the POLY\_ANISO module to that of the experimentally reported values (Fig. 12). With the low-lying doublet states, the resulting exchange spectrum is depicted in Fig. 12 and Fig. S17.† Each doublet's very modest tunnel splitting effectively suppresses the ground state and thermally assisted QTM (represented by the number on the top of each arrow). Therefore, it is anticipated that the excited states shown by the blue arrows in Fig. 12 will lead to the relaxation of magnetisation via a spin–phonon mechanism.

The relaxation path can be defined by connecting exchange states with the biggest transition magnetic moments, according to a recent proposal (blue numbers in Fig. 12).<sup>89</sup>

The calculated  $U_{\text{cal}}^{\text{Dy-Cr}}$  for the entire system by considering the exchanged coupled states has been plotted against the  $U_{\text{eff}}$ values, which gives a good linear fit (Fig. 13b). The magnitude of  $J_{\text{tot}}$  is plotted with respect to the observed  $U_{\text{eff}}$  and shows a linear correlation between exchange parameters and the observed  $U_{\text{eff}}$ . The relationship of  $J_{\text{tot}}$  vs.  $T_{\text{B}}$  also holds true with a linear relationship between the  $J_{\text{tot}}$  and  $T_{\text{B}}$  (Fig. 13c). The variation of the magnitude of total magnetic exchange,  $T_{\text{B}}$ and the observed  $U_{\text{eff}}$  values has been plotted in Fig. 13d, which indicates a stronger exchange is playing a dominant role in achieving large  $T_B$  and  $U_{\text{eff}}$  values. For complexes 1, 2a–2d and 3a–3g, a large dipolar coupling has been observed and, hence a large  $J_{\text{tot}}$ . The largest  $J_{\text{tot}}$  is found for 3a-3d, followed by 3e–3g and 2a–2d. Complex 2b is found to have the largest  $J_{\text{tot}}$  among 2a–2d. This is essentially reflected in the SMM behavior for all these complexes. A linear relation between the J values with  $U_{\text{eff}}$  and  $T_{\text{B}}$ , holds true throughout the series of complexes. We show that the POLY\_ANISO simulations help in the extraction of the barrier for magnetic relaxation  $(U_{\text{eff}})$  for all these complexes, aligning with the experimentally obtained values. However, predicting the  $T<sub>B</sub>$  values from the exchangecoupled state is challenging, as  $T_B$  is influenced by spinphonon effects, hyperfine coupling, and inter-molecular interactions. However, it can be proposed that the observed hysteresis may result from the low-lying exchange-coupled states; as the overall total exchange  $(J_{\text{tot}})$  is similar to the observed blocking temperatures ( $T_B \sim J_{\text{tot}}$ ). While the correlation between the two factors is evident from the provided data, the reason for such a correlation, beyond the quenching of QTM at zero-field and exchange-bias effects, remains unclear. This warrants further study to improve the  $T<sub>B</sub>$  in this class of molecules.

## Conclusion

In summary, synthesis, characterisation and magnetic properties, along with detailed theoretical analysis, have been per-

formed for fourteen  ${C}$  $C_T^{\text{III}}$   $D y_2^{\text{III}}$ } butterfly complexes. Of the fourteen studied complexes, twelve were found to display SMM behaviour, and eleven revealed magnetic hysteresis above 3 K to 4.7 K with large coercive fields. These complexes are found to be some of the best 3d–4f SMMs reported. Various aspects have been explored in detail by computational methods in order to understand the magnetisation dynamics for these complexes. From the detailed experimental results combined with the theoretical studies, it has been revealed that;

(a) The crystal field splitting and the exchange coupling strongly originate from the structural parameters as compared to the electronic effects. Depending on the nature and bulkiness of the substituents, the bond parameters and geometry around the metal centres differ strongly, leading to different crystal field splitting as the axial approach of the ligand changes. In all of the above complexes, the ground state anisotropy axis lies along the oxygen of the polyamine-alcohol group, whereas the oxygen of  $(NO<sub>3</sub>)$ <sup>-</sup> (in some cases MeOH/ DMF) lies in the equatorial plane. Substitution of various functional groups at various positions affects the Dy–O bond length and, hence the LoProp charges on the respective oxygens. Depending upon the magnitude of these LoProp charges on the oxygens, the ground to  $1<sup>st</sup>$  excited energy gaps determine the overall  $U_{\text{Dy}}^{\text{cal}}$  values.

(b) The nature of the  $J_{\text{Cr-Cr}}$  coupling plays a crucial role in determining the SMM behaviour for all complexes along with the magnitude of the  $J_{\text{Dv-Cr}}$  coupling. The single-ion calculated  $U_{\text{cal}}^{Dy}$  parameter indicates that all complexes are SMM at a single-ion level. However, complexes 2a–2d, 3a–3g shows SMM behaviour with a significant  $T_B$  value. A detailed mechanism of magnetisation relaxation developed reveals that whenever the  $J_{\text{Cr-Cr}}$  exchange coupling is ferromagnetic, this results in the observation of SMM behaviour, and if it is antiferromagnetic (as in the case of 4b–4c), it lacks SMM characteristics.

(c) Depending on the bulkiness of the substituent, the bond angle between the Cr–O–Dy, and Dy–O–Dy changes, leading to different extents of orbital overlaps and, hence different exchange values with the angles playing a prominent role compared to other parameters in controlling the magnetic exchange. Quite interestingly, a near linear correlation between the  $T_B$  values and  $|J_{\text{Dy-Cr}}|$  value was detected among the fourteen complexes reported suggesting that this particular exchange interaction is crucial in dictating not only the  $U_{\text{eff}}$ value but also  $T<sub>B</sub>$  values.

(d) Structural alterations that aim to alter this  $J_{\text{Dy-Cr}}$  to the strongest value yield the best  $T<sub>B</sub>$  values, offering design clues to improve the SMM characteristics in this family of complexes. Further, the substitution on the aromatic ring is found to be the more suitable approach to attain large  $U_{\text{eff}}$  and  $T_{\text{B}}$ compared to the alternation in the polyamine alcohol group for such class of complexes, where the variation on the terminal  $Dy$ <sup>III</sup> coordination with more than eight coordination leads to the diminishing of SMM behaviour.

(e) The most suitable combination of transition metal (TM) and Dy<sup>III</sup>, along with suitable ligands, has been studied to optimise the SMM properties of butterfly complexes. Since the nature of TM–TM exchange interaction plays a dominating role, this can be further improved by enhancing this exchange e.g. by bringing into play the 4d and 5d TM ions which have more diffuse d orbitals. In our earlier report, however, 4d–4f exchange in a  $\{Ru_2Dv_2\}$  butterfly complex revealed a smaller  $U_{\text{eff}}$  and no  $T_{\text{B}}$  was observed above 2 K, this contradicting SMM behaviour was due to poor exchange between the Ru<sup>III</sup>-Dv<sup>III</sup> center, likely due to a single unpaired electron residing on the  $Ru^{III}$  center.<sup>27</sup> However, similar polynuclear 3d-4f complexes have been reported with stronger 3d–4f ferromagnetic exchange containing  $Cu^{II}$  and  $Dy^{III}$  ions,<sup>90,91</sup> adapting these geometries/ions could pave the way for higher  $T_B$  values. Furthermore, with such ferromagnetic exchange coupling between the 3d–4f metal centers, the 4f ions can also be varied to produce new series of SMMs involving lanthanide ions other than  $Dv^{\text{III}}$ .

## Data availability

The data supporting this article have been included as part of the ESI.†

Crystallographic details are available in the ESI† in CIF format. CCDC numbers 866839, 994294–994297, 1495741–1495743 and 2268871–2268878.†

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflict of interest.

## Acknowledgements

We thank DST and SERB (SB/SJF/2019-20/12; CRG/2022/ 001697) for funding. AS thanks UGC/CSIR for the fellowship. M. S acknowledges the financial support from the Prime Ministers Research Fellowship (PMRF). KSM thanks the Australian Research Council (DP110100525) for a Discovery grant.

## References

- 1 G. Christou, D. Gatteschi, D. N. Hendrickson and R. Sessoli, Single-molecule magnets, MRS Bull., 2000, 25, 66–71.
- 2 Y. Song, High density data storage: Principle, Technology, and Materials, World Scientific, 2009.
- 3 D. York, Magnetic blocking temperature, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 1978, 39, 94–97.
- 4 I. J. Bruvera, P. M. Zélis, M. P. Calatayud, G. F. Goya and F. H. Sánchez, Determination of the blocking temperature of magnetic nanoparticles: The good, the bad, and the ugly, J. Appl. Phys., 2015, 118, 184304.
- 5 R. Sessoli, D. Gatteschi, A. Caneschi and M. Novak, Magnetic bistability in a metal-ion cluster, Nature, 1993, 365, 141–143.
- 6 F.-S. Guo, B. M. Day, Y.-C. Chen, M.-L. Tong, A. Mansikkamäki and R. A. Layfield, Magnetic hysteresis up to 80 kelvin in a dysprosium metallocene single-molecule magnet, Science, 2018, 362, 1400–1403.
- 7 V. S. Parmar, D. P. Mills and R. E. Winpenny, Mononuclear Dysprosium Alkoxide and Aryloxide Single–Molecule Magnets, Chem. – Eur. J., 2021, 27, 7625–7645.
- 8 J. Lu, M. Guo and J. Tang, Recent Developments in Lanthanide Single–Molecule Magnets, Chem. – Asian J., 2017, 12, 2772–2779.
- 9 P. Zhang, L. Zhang and J. Tang, Lanthanide single molecule magnets: progress and perspective, Dalton Trans., 2015, 44, 3923–3929.
- 10 D. N. Woodruff, R. E. Winpenny and R. A. Layfield, Lanthanide single-molecule magnets, Chem. Rev., 2013, 113, 5110–5148.
- 11 S.-D. Jiang and S.-X. Qin, Prediction of the quantised axis of rare-earth ions: the electrostatic model with displaced point charges, Inorg. Chem. Front., 2015, 2, 613–619.
- 12 A. M. Ariciu, Use of Advanced EPR Methods for the Study of Lanthanide and Actinide complexes, The University of Manchester, United Kingdom, 2019.
- 13 C. A. Goodwin, F. Ortu, D. Reta, N. F. Chilton and D. P. Mills, Molecular magnetic hysteresis at 60 kelvin in dysprosocenium, Nature, 2017, 548, 439–442.
- 14 K. R. McClain, C. A. Gould, K. Chakarawet, S. J. Teat, T. J. Groshens, J. R. Long and B. G. Harvey, High-temperature magnetic blocking and magneto-structural correlations in a series of dysprosium $(m)$  metallocenium singlemolecule magnets, Chem. Sci., 2018, 9, 8492–8503.
- 15 J. C. Vanjak, B. O. Wilkins, V. Vieru, N. S. Bhuvanesh, J. H. Reibenspies, C. D. Martin, L. F. Chibotaru and M. Nippe, A High-Performance Single-Molecule Magnet Utilising Dianionic Aminoborolide Ligands, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2022, 144, 17743–17747.
- 16 D. Gatteschi and R. Sessoli, Quantum tunnelling of magnetisation and related phenomena in molecular materials, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2003, 42, 268–297.
- 17 S. K. Singh, M. F. Beg and G. Rajaraman, Role of Magnetic Exchange Interactions in the Magnetization Relaxation of {3d–4f} Single–Molecule Magnets: A Theoretical Perspective, Chem. – Eur. J., 2016, 22, 672–680.
- 18 J. D. Rinehart, M. Fang, W. J. Evans and J. R. Long, Strong exchange and magnetic blocking in  $N_2^3$ <sup>-</sup>-radical-bridged lanthanide complexes, Nat. Chem., 2011, 3, 538–542.
- 19 P. H. Lin, T. J. Burchell, R. Clérac and M. Murugesu, Dinuclear Dysprosium $(m)$  Single-Molecule Magnets with a Large Anisotropic Barrier, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2008, 47, 8848–8851.
- 20 J. Long, F. Habib, P.-H. Lin, I. Korobkov, G. Enright, L. Ungur, W. Wernsdorfer, L. F. Chibotaru and M. Murugesu, Single-molecule magnet behaviour for an antiferromagnetically superexchange-coupled dinuclear

dysprosium(III) complex, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, 133, 5319-5328.

- 21 J. D. Rinehart, M. Fang, W. J. Evans and J. R. Long, A  $N_2^{\,3-}$ Radical-Bridged Terbium Complex Exhibiting Magnetic Hysteresis at 14 K, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 14236– 14239.
- 22 L. Noodleman, C. Peng, D. Case and J.-M. Mouesca, Orbital interactions, electron delocalisation and spin coupling in iron-sulfur clusters, Coord. Chem. Rev., 1995, 144, 199–244.
- 23 E. Matito and M. Solà, The role of electronic delocalisation in transition metal complexes from the electron localisation function and the quantum theory of atoms in molecules viewpoints, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2009, 253, 647–665.
- 24 T. Gupta, T. Rajeshkumar and G. Rajaraman, Magnetic exchange in {Gd III–radical} complexes: method assessment, mechanism of coupling and magneto-structural correlations, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2014, 16, 14568–14577.
- 25 A. Swain, A. Sen and G. Rajaraman, Are lanthanide-transition metal direct bonds a route to achieving new generation {3d–4f} SMMs?, Dalton Trans., 2021, 50, 16099– 16109.
- 26 C. A. Gould, K. R. McClain, D. Reta, J. G. Kragskow, D. A. Marchiori, E. Lachman, E.-S. Choi, J. G. Analytis, R. D. Britt and N. F. Chilton, Ultrahard magnetism from mixed-valence dilanthanide complexes with metal-metal bonding, Science, 2022, 375, 198–202.
- 27 A. Swain, R. Martin, K. R. Vignesh, G. Rajaraman, K. S. Murray and S. K. Langley, Enhancing the barrier height for magnetisation reversal in 4d/4f  $Ru^{III}Ln^{III}$ <sup>u</sup> "butterfly" single molecule magnets  $(Ln = Gd, Dy)$  via targeted structural alterations, Dalton Trans., 2021, 50, 12265–12274.
- 28 M. K. Singh, N. Yadav and G. Rajaraman, Record high magnetic exchange and magnetisation blockade in Ln2@C79 N  $(Ln= Gd(m)$  and  $Dv(m))$  molecules: a theoretical perspective, Chem. Commun., 2015, 51, 17732–17735.
- 29 F. Liu, D. S. Krylov, L. Spree, S. M. Avdoshenko, N. A. Samoylova, M. Rosenkranz, A. Kostanyan, T. Greber, A. U. Wolter, B. Büchner, S. M. Avdoshenko and A. A. Popov, Air-stable redox-active nanomagnets with lanthanide spins radical-bridged by a metal–metal bond, Nat. Commun., 2017, 8, 16098.
- 30 Z. Hu, B.-W. Dong, Z. Liu, J.-J. Liu, J. Su, C. Yu, J. Xiong, D.-E. Shi, Y. Wang and B.-W. Wang, Endohedral Metallofullerene as Molecular High Spin Qubit: Diverse Rabi Cycles in Gd2@C79N, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2018, 140, 1123–1130.
- 31 F. Pointillart, K. Bernot, R. Sessoli and D. Gatteschi, Effects of 3d–4f Magnetic Exchange Interactions on the Dynamics of the Magnetization of  $Dy$ <sup>III</sup>–M<sup>II</sup>–Dy<sup>III</sup> Trinuclear Clusters, Chem. – Eur. J., 2007, 13, 1602–1609.
- 32 M. Andruh, J.-P. Costes, C. Diaz and S. Gao, 3d–4f combined chemistry: synthetic strategies and magnetic properties, Inorg. Chem., 2009, 48, 3342–3359.
- 33 K. N. Pantelis, K. H. Baka, J. Huang, C. P. Raptopoulou, V. Psycharis, K. R. Dunbar and T. C. Stamatatos, Linear versus Bent 3d/4f-Heterometallic Clusters: The Carboxylate

Effect on the Metal Topology and Magnetic Properties of Two  ${Mn^{III} {}_{2}Dy_{2}}$  Complexes Supported by N-Naphthalidene-o-Aminophenol, Cryst. Growth Des., 2023, 23, 5301–5313.

- 34 H.-H. Zou, L.-B. Sheng, F.-P. Liang, Z.-L. Chen and Y.-Q. Zhang, Experimental and theoretical investigations of four 3d–4f butterfly single-molecule magnets, Dalton Trans., 2015, 44, 18544–18552.
- 35 G. P. Li, H.-Z. Tang, R.-C. Gao, Y.-Y. Wang, X. Sun and K. Zhang, Tuning Quantum Tunneling in Isomorphic  ${M^II}_2Dy^{III}_2$ } "Butterfly" System via 3d-4f Magnetic Interaction, Cryst. Growth Des., 2023, 23, 1575–1580.
- 36 P. Shukla, S. Das, P. Bag and A. Dey, Magnetic materials based on heterometallic  $Cr^{II/III}$ -Ln<sup>III</sup> complexes, *Inorg.* Chem. Front., 2023, 10, 4322–4357.
- 37 S. K. Langley, D. P. Wielechowski, N. F. Chilton, B. Moubaraki and K. S. Murray, A Family of  ${Cr^{\text{III}}_2\text{Ln}^{\text{III}}_2}$ Butterfly Complexes: Effect of the Lanthanide Ion on the Single-Molecule Magnet Properties, Inorg. Chem., 2015, 54, 10497–10503.
- 38 S. K. Langley, N. F. Chilton, L. Ungur, B. Moubaraki, L. F. Chibotaru and K. S. Murray, Heterometallic Tetranuclear  $\left[ \text{Ln}^{\text{III}}_{2}\text{Co}^{\text{III}}_{2} \right]$  Complexes Including Suppression of Quantum Tunneling of Magnetization in the  $[Dy_{2}^{III}Co_{2}]$  Single Molecule Magnet, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2012, 51, 11873–11881.
- 39 S. K. Langley, D. P. Wielechowski, V. Vieru, N. F. Chilton, B. Moubaraki, B. F. Abrahams, L. F. Chibotaru and K. S. Murray, A  ${C}$ r $\text{III}_{2}$ Dy $\text{III}_{2}$ } single-molecule magnet: enhancing the blocking temperature through 3d magnetic exchange, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2013, 52, 12014–12019.
- 40 S. K. Langley, L. Ungur, N. F. Chilton, B. Moubaraki, L. F. Chibotaru and K. S. Murray, Single-Molecule Magnetism in a Family of  ${Co^{III}_2Dy^{III}_2}$  Butterfly Complexes: Effects of Ligand Replacement on the Dynamics of Magnetic Relaxation, Inorg. Chem., 2014, 53, 4303–4315.
- 41 S. K. Langley, D. P. Wielechowski, V. Vieru, N. F. Chilton, B. Moubaraki, L. F. Chibotaru and K. S. Murray, Modulation of slow magnetic relaxation by tuning magnetic exchange in  ${Cr_2Dy_2}$  single molecule magnets, *Chem.* Sci., 2014, 5, 3246–3256.
- 42 S. K. Langley, C. Le, L. Ungur, B. Moubaraki, B. F. Abrahams, L. F. Chibotaru and K. S. Murray, Heterometallic 3d–4f single-molecule magnets: ligand and metal ion influences on the magnetic relaxation, Inorg. Chem., 2015, 54, 3631–3642.
- 43 S. K. Langley, D. P. Wielechowski, V. Vieru, N. F. Chilton, B. Moubaraki, L. F. Chibotaru and K. S. Murray, The first 4d/4f single-molecule magnet containing a  $\{Ru^{III}Dv^{III}D\}$ core, Chem. Commun., 2015, 51, 2044–2047.
- 44 Y. Peng and A. K. Powell, What do 3d-4f butterflies tell us?, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2021, 426, 213490.
- 45 J. D. Rinehart and J. R. Long, Exploiting single-ion anisotropy in the design of f-element single-molecule magnets, Chem. Sci., 2011, 2, 2078–2085.
- 46 S. Dhers, J.-P. Costes, P. Guionneau, C. Paulsen, L. Vendier and J.-P. Sutter, On the importance of ferromagnetic exchange between transition metals in field-free SMMs: examples of ring-shaped hetero-trimetallic  $[(LnNi<sub>2</sub>)]$ W  $(CN)_{8}$ ]<sub>2</sub> compounds, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, 51, 7875-7878.
- 47 K. R. Vignesh, S. K. Langley, K. S. Murray and G. Rajaraman, Exploring the Influence of Diamagnetic Ions on the Mechanism of Magnetization Relaxation in  ${CO^{III}_{2}Ln^{III}_{2}}$  (Ln = Dy, Tb, Ho) "Butterfly" Complexes, *Inorg.* Chem., 2017, 56, 2518–2532.
- 48 T. Kajiwara, M. Nakano, K. Takahashi, S. Takaishi and M. Yamashita, Structural design of easy-axis magnetic anisotropy and determination of anisotropic parameters of Ln( $\text{III}$ )-Cu( $\text{II}$ ) single-molecule magnets, *Chem.* – *Eur. J.*, 2011, 17, 196–205.
- 49 M. Orio, D. A. Pantazis and F. Neese, Density functional theory, Photosynth. Res., 2009, 102, 443–453.
- 50 E. Engel, Density functional theory, Springer, 2011.
- 51 P. A. Malmqvist and B. O. Roos, The CASSCF state interaction method, Chem. Phys. Lett., 1989, 155, 189–194.
- 52 L. F. Chibotaru and L. Ungur, Ab initio calculation of anisotropic magnetic properties of complexes. I. Unique definition of pseudospin Hamiltonians and their derivation, J. Chem. Phys., 2012, 137, 064112.
- 53 Rigaku Oxford Diffraction, CrysAlis, Yarnton, England, 2009.
- 54 G. M. Sheldrick, SADABS, Program for Scaling and Correction of Area Detector Data, University of Göttingen, Germany, 1996.
- 55 T. M. McPhillips, S. E. McPhillips, H.-J. Chiu, A. E. Cohen, A. M. Deacon, P. J. Ellis, E. Garman, A. Gonzalez, N. K. Sauter and R. P. Phizackerley, Blu-Ice and the Distributed Control System: software for data acquisition and instrument control at macromolecular crystallography beamlines, J. Synchrotron Radiat., 2002, 9, 401–406.
- 56 W. Kabsch, Automatic processing of rotation diffraction data from crystals of initially unknown symmetry and cell constants, J. Appl. Crystallogr., 1993, 26, 795–800.
- 57 G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXTL Version 5.1, Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA, 1997.
- 58 F. Aquilante, J. Autschbach, R. K. Carlson, L. F. Chibotaru, M. G. Delcey, L. D. Vico, I. F. Galván, N. Ferré, L. M. Frutos and L. Gagliardi, MOLCAS 8: New capabilities for multiconfigurational quantum chemical calculations across the periodic table, J. Comput. Chem., 2016, 37, 506–541.
- 59 P. A. Malmqvist, B. O. Roos and B. Schimmelpfennig, The restricted active space (RAS) state interaction approach with spin–orbit coupling, Chem. Phys. Lett., 2002, 357, 230–240.
- 60 M. Böhme and W. Plass, How to link theory and experiment for single-chain magnets beyond the Ising model: magnetic properties modelled from ab initio calculations of molecular fragments, Chem. Sci., 2019, 10, 9189–9202.
- 61 L. Ungur and L. F. Chibotaru, Ab initio crystal field for lanthanides, Chem. – Eur. J., 2017, 23, 3708–3718.
- 62 M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, X. Li, M. Caricato, A. Marenich, J. Bloino, B. G. Janesko, R. Gomperts, B. Mennucci,

H. P. Hratchian, J. V. Ortiz, A. F. Izmaylov, J. L. Sonnenberg, D. Williams-Young, F. Ding, F. Lipparini, F. Egidi, J. Goings, B. Peng, A. Petrone, T. Henderson, D. Ranasinghe, V. G. Zakrzewski, J. Gao, N. Rega, G. Zheng, W. Liang, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, K. Throssell, J. A. Montgomery, Jr., J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, T. Keith, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, C. Adamo, R. Cammi, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman and D. J. Fox, Gaussian 16, Revision A.02, Wallingford CT, 2009, 121, 150–166.

- 63 L. Noodleman, D. A. Case and A. Aizman, Broken symmetry analysis of spin coupling in iron-sulfur clusters, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1988, 110, 1001–1005.
- 64 A. D. Becke, Density-functional exchange-energy approximation with correct asymptotic behaviour, Phys. Rev. A, 1988, 38, 3098.
- 65 W. Kohn, A. D. Becke and R. G. Parr, Density functional theory of electronic structure, J. Phys. Chem., 1996, 100, 12974–12980.
- 66 P. Kumar, S. Biswas, A. Swain, J. Acharya, V. Kumar, P. Kalita, J. F. Gonzalez, O. Cador, F. Pointillart and G. Rajaraman, Azide-Coordination in Homometallic Dinuclear Lanthanide (III) Complexes Containing Nonequivalent Lanthanide Metal Ions: Zero-Field SMM Behavior in the Dysprosium Analogue, Inorg. Chem., 2021, 60, 8530–8545.
- 67 T. Onishi, Y. Takano, Y. Kitagawa, T. Kawakami, Y. Yoshioka and K. Yamaguchi, Theoretical study of the magnetic interaction for M–O–M type metal oxides. Comparison of broken-symmetry approaches, Polyhedron, 2001, 20, 1177–1184.
- 68 T. Soda, Y. Kitagawa, T. Onishi, Y. Takano, Y. Shigeta, H. Nagao, Y. Yoshioka and K. Yamaguchi, Ab initio computations of effective exchange integrals for H–H, H–He–H and Mn2O2 complex: comparison of broken-symmetry approaches, Chem. Phys. Lett., 2000, 319, 223–230.
- 69 L. F. Chibotaru, L. Ungur and A. Soncini, The origin of nonmagnetic Kramers doublets in the ground state of dysprosium triangles: evidence for a toroidal magnetic moment, Angew. Chem., 2008, 120, 4194–4197.
- 70 L. F. Chibotaru, L. Ungur, C. Aronica, H. Elmoll, G. Pilet and D. Luneau, Structure, Magnetism, and Theoretical Study of a Mixed-Valence CoII3CoIII4 Heptanuclear Wheel: Lack of SMM Behavior despite Negative Magnetic Anisotropy, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 12445–12455.
- 71 H. Koch, A. Sánchez de Merás and T. B. Pedersen, Reduced scaling in electronic structure calculations using Cholesky decompositions, J. Chem. Phys., 2003, 118, 9481–9484.
- 72 M. Reiher, Douglas–Kroll–Hess Theory: a relativistic electrons-only theory for chemistry, Theor. Chem. Acc., 2006, 116, 241–252.
- 73 T. Gupta, M. K. Singh and G. Rajaraman, Role of Ab Initio calculations in the design and development of lanthanide

based single molecule magnets, in Organometallic Magnets, 2019, pp. 281–354.

- 74 B. O. Roos, R. Lindh, P.-Å. Malmqvist, V. Veryazov and P.-O. Widmark, New relativistic ANO basis sets for transition metal atoms, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2005, 109, 6575–6579.
- 75 J. P. Zobel, P.-O. Widmark and V. Veryazov, The ANO-R basis set, J. Chem. Theory Comput., 2019, 16, 278–294.
- 76 D. A. Pantazis and F. Neese, All-electron scalar relativistic basis sets for the lanthanides, J. Chem. Theory Comput., 2009, 5, 2229–2238.
- 77 T. R. Cundari and W. J. Stevens, Effective core potential methods for the lanthanides, J. Chem. Phys., 1993, 98, 5555–5565.
- 78 K. L. Schuchardt, B. T. Didier, T. Elsethagen, L. Sun, V. Gurumoorthi, J. Chase, J. Li and T. L. Windus, Basis set exchange: a community database for computational sciences, J. Chem. Inf. Model., 2007, 47, 1045–1052.
- 79 A. Schäfer, C. Huber and R. Ahlrichs, Fully optimised contracted Gaussian basis sets of triple zeta valence quality for atoms Li to Kr, J. Chem. Phys., 1994, 100, 5829–5835.
- 80 F. Neese, The ORCA program system, Wiley Interdiscip. Rev.: Comput. Mol. Sci., 2012, 2, 73–78.
- 81 J. Cirera, E. Ruiz and S. Alvarez, Continuous shape measures as a stereochemical tool in organometallic chemistry, Organometallics, 2005, 24, 1556–1562.
- 82 L. Gagliardi, R. Lindh and G. Karlström, Local properties of quantum chemical systems: The LoProp approach, J. Chem. Phys., 2004, 121, 4494–4500.
- 83 C. Rudowicz, Transformation relations for the conventional Okq and normalised O'kq Stevens operator equivalents with k= 1 to 6 and-k $\leq$  q $\leq$  k, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 1985, 18, 1415.
- 84 K. S. Pedersen, G. Lorusso, J. J. Morales, T. Weyhermüller, S. Piligkos, S. K. Singh, D. Larsen, M. Schau-Magnussen,

G. Rajaraman and M. Evangelisti, Fluoride-Bridged {GdIII3MIII2} (M=Cr, Fe, Ga) Molecular Magnetic Refrigerants, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2014, 53, 2394–2397.

- 85 S. K. Singh, K. S. Pedersen, M. Sigrist, C. A. Thuesen, M. Schau-Magnussen, H. Mutka, S. Piligkos, H. Weihe, G. Rajaraman and J. Bendix, Angular dependence of the exchange interaction in fluoride-bridged Gd III–Cr III complexes, Chem. Commun., 2013, 49, 5583–5585.
- 86 S. K. Singh, T. Rajeshkumar, V. Chandrasekhar and G. Rajaraman, Theoretical studies on {3d-Gd} and {3d-Gd-3d} complexes: Effect of metal substitution on the effective exchange interaction, Polyhedron, 2013, 66, 81–86.
- 87 T. Rajeshkumar, S. K. Singh and G. Rajaraman, A computational perspective on magnetic coupling, magneto-structural correlations and magneto-caloric effect of a ferromagnetically coupled {GdIII–GdIII} Pair, Polyhedron, 2013, 52, 1299–1305.
- 88 J. H. M. Ortiz, D. Cabrosi, L. M. Carrella, E. Rentschler and P. Alborés, SMM Behaviour of the Butterfly  ${C}C^{III}$ <sub>2</sub>Dy<sup>III</sup><sub>2</sub> Pivalate Complex and Magneto–structurally Correlated Relaxation Thermal Barrier, Chem. – Eur. J., 2022, 28, e202201450.
- 89 L. Ungur, M. Thewissen, J.-P. Costes, W. Wernsdorfer and L. F. Chibotaru, Interplay of strongly anisotropic metal ions in magnetic blocking of complexes, Inorg. Chem., 2013, 52, 6328–6337.
- 90 X. Zhao, G. Li, J. Ma and W. Liu, Two Octanuclear  ${Cu_4Ln_4}$ (Ln = Dy or Tb) Complexes with a Butterfly-Shaped Unit Exhibiting Zero-Field Single-Molecule Magnet Behavior, Inorg. Chem., 2020, 59, 2328–2336.
- 91 F. Mori, T. Nyui, T. Ishida, T. Nogami, K.-Y. Choi and H. Nojiri, Oximate-bridged trinuclear Dy− Cu− Dy complex behaving as a single-molecule magnet and its mechanistic investigation, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, 128, 1440–1441.