


**Please cite the Published Version**

Tushingam, Samuel, Cottle, Jason, Adesokan, Mobolade, Ogwumike, Omoyemi O, Ojagbemi, Akin, Stubbs, Brendon, Fatoye, Francis  and Babatunde, Opeyemi O (2024) The prevalence and pattern of comorbid long-term conditions with low back pain and osteoarthritis in low- and middle-income countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *International Journal of Health Promotion and Education*. pp. 1-25. ISSN 1463-5240

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.1080/14635240.2024.2332911>

**Publisher:** Taylor & Francis

**Version:** Published Version

**Downloaded from:** <https://e-space.mmu.ac.uk/634975/>


**Usage rights:**  [Creative Commons: Attribution-Noncommercial-No Derivative Works 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

**Additional Information:** This is an open access article which first appeared in *International Journal of Health Promotion and Education*

**Data Access Statement:** All data generated or analysed during this study are included in this published article [and its supplementary information files].

**Enquiries:**

If you have questions about this document, contact [openresearch@mmu.ac.uk](mailto:openresearch@mmu.ac.uk). Please include the URL of the record in e-space. If you believe that your, or a third party's rights have been compromised through this document please see our Take Down policy (available from <https://www.mmu.ac.uk/library/using-the-library/policies-and-guidelines>)



## The prevalence and pattern of comorbid long-term conditions with low back pain and osteoarthritis in low- and middle-income countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Samuel Tushingham, Jason Cottle, Mobolade Adesokan, Omoyemi O. Ogwumike, Akin Ojagbemi, Brendon Stubbs, Francis Fatoye & Opeyemi O Babatunde

**To cite this article:** Samuel Tushingham, Jason Cottle, Mobolade Adesokan, Omoyemi O. Ogwumike, Akin Ojagbemi, Brendon Stubbs, Francis Fatoye & Opeyemi O Babatunde (30 Apr 2024): The prevalence and pattern of comorbid long-term conditions with low back pain and osteoarthritis in low- and middle-income countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis, International Journal of Health Promotion and Education, DOI: [10.1080/14635240.2024.2332911](https://doi.org/10.1080/14635240.2024.2332911)

**To link to this article:** <https://doi.org/10.1080/14635240.2024.2332911>



© 2024 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.



Published online: 30 Apr 2024.



Submit your article to this journal [↗](#)



Article views: 328




View related articles [↗](#)



View Crossmark data [↗](#)

# The prevalence and pattern of comorbid long-term conditions with low back pain and osteoarthritis in low- and middle-income countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Samuel Tushingham<sup>a#</sup>, Jason Cottle<sup>a#</sup>, Mobolade Adesokan<sup>b</sup>, Omoyemi O. Ogwumike<sup>c</sup>, Akin Ojagbemi<sup>d</sup>, Brendon Stubbs<sup>e</sup>, Francis Fatoye<sup>f</sup> and Opeyemi O Babatunde <sup>a,b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>School of Medicine, Primary Care Centre Versus Arthritis, Keele University, Keele, Staffordshire, UK; <sup>b</sup>West-African Cathedral For Applied Health Research And Socioeconomic Development, Ibadan, Oyo-state, Nigeria; <sup>c</sup>Department of Physiotherapy, College of Medicine University of Ibadan & University College Hospital, Ibadan, Nigeria; <sup>d</sup>WHO Collaborating Centre for Research and Training in Mental Health, Department of Psychiatry, College of Medicine University of Ibadan Nigeria, Ibadan, Nigeria; <sup>e</sup>Department of Psychological Medicine, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, London, UK; <sup>f</sup>Department of Health Professions, Manchester Metropolitan University, Manchester, UK

## ABSTRACT

Chronic musculoskeletal (MSK) pain, specifically low back pain (LBP) and osteoarthritis (OA), are a major cause of global disability, reduced quality of life and high socioeconomic burden. Research in high income countries suggests MSK pain is often comorbid with other long-term conditions / non-communicable diseases (NCDs) including diabetes, hypertension, and cardiovascular disease. However, the epidemiology of comorbid NCDs and MSK pain in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) is unclear. This systematic review aims to describe the prevalence and pattern of comorbid NCDs in adults with MSK pain in LMICs. Nine databases were searched for epidemiological studies in LMICs (World Bank categories). Paired researchers independently identified studies, extracted data, and completed critical appraisal using Hoy risk of bias tool. Random-effect meta-analysis was used to estimate prevalence of NCDs comorbid with MSK pain. From 2112 citations; 14 studies (n=6093 adults with MSK pain, mean age=46.9years) were included. Overall prevalence of MSK pain with comorbid NCDs was 46.1% (95%CI 32.3 - 59.9). Systemic hypertension had the highest comorbid prevalence with MSK pain (42.6%, 95%CI 25.6-59.6), followed by diabetes (26.7%, 95%CI 16.1-37.3) and mental health conditions (anxiety/depression; 24.9% 95%CI 11.5-38.4). A high proportion of patients with MSK pain in LMICs experience

## ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 13 July 2022  
Accepted 16 March 2024

## KEYWORDS

Prevalence; musculoskeletal pain; osteoarthritis; co-morbid Non communicable diseases (NCDs); low and middle-income countries (LMICs)

**CONTACT** Opeyemi O Babatunde  o.babatunde@keele.ac.uk  School of Medicine, Primary Care Centre Versus Arthritis, Keele University, Keele, Staffordshire ST5 5BG, UK

<sup>#</sup>Sam T. & Jason C. are joint first authors.

© 2024 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, and is not altered, transformed, or built upon in any way. The terms on which this article has been published allow the posting of the Accepted Manuscript in a repository by the author(s) or with their consent.

comorbid NCDs. Variable data/population samples, and under-reporting limit accurate capture of prevalence estimates. Understanding the true burden of MSK pain (specifically lower back pain and hip/knee osteoarthritis) and comorbid NCDs is critical to informing effective treatment strategies. The health systems implications of these findings are imperative towards person-centred care, organisation of care and efficient resource utilisation in LMICs.

## Introduction

In recent years, global health has been faced with a double burden of deaths and disability from infectious diseases (including pandemics) and an increase in the impact of non-communicable diseases (NCDs) such as cardiovascular disease, chronic lung illnesses, diabetes and arthritis (Ferreira et al. 2023; Steinmetz et al. 2023; Vos et al. 2020). Chronic musculoskeletal (MSK) pain, a common symptom from conditions such as osteoarthritis and lower back pain (LBP), is a large contributor to the global disability burden. Specifically, osteoarthritis saw a 104.9% increase in Disability Adjusted Life Years (DALYs) between 1990 and 2016, while LBP remains the number one cause of disability worldwide (Briggs et al. 2018; Hay et al. 2017). The most significant increase has been seen in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) (Ferreira et al. 2023; Hay et al. 2017; Steinmetz et al. 2023; Vos et al. 2020).

Many NCDs share common risk factors, such as obesity, socioeconomic inequality, unhealthy lifestyle, older age and low health literacy (Vos et al. 2020). They are also often found to be comorbid with one another; a pattern which has long been seen in high-income countries (HICs). Comorbidity between NCDs and musculoskeletal (MSK) pain conditions such as osteoarthritis and LBP is also likely increasing in LMICs because of lifestyle changes and population ageing (Blyth et al. 2019). Similar to HICs, emerging evidence increasingly suggests increased consumption of unhealthy fast food, less physical activity due to growing prevalence of sedentary activities as a result of increased use of computers (relating to employments), televisions and/smart-phones (for social relaxation) in LMICs (NCD 2022). Other common factors changing NCDs and comorbidity profile in LMICs include social desirability and increased use of transportation systems designed to minimise physical energy use, increased alcohol misuse and stress under prevailing harsh economic conditions. The projection is such that the economic burden of NCDs in LMICs may reach up to US\$ 7 trillion by 2025 (Vos et al. 2020). Many LMICs are not well-equipped to deal with this increasing burden of NCDs, which is expected to continue to rise in the coming years. The potential disability, reduced quality of life, decreased ability to work and consequent socio-economic impact of living with chronic MSK pain and NCDs in these settings are huge and can impact achievement of Sustainable Development Goals (Blyth et al. 2019; Briggs et al. 2018).

Policy changes to drive healthcare progress are difficult to plan, initiate or implement without robust and contextual epidemiological data from LMICs. There is a knowledge gap on the pooled prevalence and pattern of multimorbidity in LMICs (Arokiasamy et al.

2015; Xu, Mishra, and Jones 2017). This is as most research into prevalence, management and health policy requirements for NCDs has taken place in HICs, and only 5% of global research into multimorbidity originates or take account of data from LMICs (Catalá-López et al. 2018; Xu, Mishra, and Jones 2017). Current projections about the burden of NCDs in LMICs are thus mostly extrapolated from countries with higher volumes of relevant research (Blyth et al. 2019; Briggs et al. 2018; Cieza et al. 2020; Hay et al. 2017). Not accounted for in these projections are factors such as the rapid epidemiological transition in many LMICs relative to more stable dynamics in HICs. Pooled data from studies conducted in LMICs could generate more precise estimates of prevalence of MSK pain, specific patterns, and effects of comorbid NCDs in LMICs. An understanding of a more precise estimate of the MSK pain-NCDs comorbidity profile in LMICs is therefore imperative in order to strengthen the evidence base for future policy reforms as well as drive better policy integration of MSK into NCDs plans (Blyth et al. 2019). To the best of our knowledge, no previous systematic review has investigated the prevalence and pattern of NCDs and comorbid MSK pain (specifically osteoarthritis and LBP) in LMICs. This study therefore aimed to summarise and appraise currently available evidence regarding the prevalence and pattern of NCDs comorbid with MSK pain due to osteoarthritis or LBP among adults in LMICs.

## Methods

**Design:** Systematic review and meta-analysis

**Research Question:** In adults living with MSK pain due to osteoarthritis or LBP in LMICs, what is the current pattern and prevalence of comorbid NCDs such as diabetes, cardiovascular diseases, respiratory diseases and mental illness – anxiety/depression?

### *Protocol and registration*

An a priori protocol was developed and registered with PROSPERO, an international systematic review register (CRD42019134690). This systematic review has been reported according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) (Page et al. 2021).

### *Eligibility criteria*

Epidemiological studies (cross-sectional, cohort and case-control) of adults  $\geq 18$  years of age with clinically confirmed LBP or osteoarthritis of the knee or hip were considered for inclusion. For the purpose of this review, focus was on chronic MSK pain due to low back pain, and/or osteoarthritis (at any peripheral joint) due to substantial evidence that these are the most prevalent MSK pain conditions globally (Hay et al. 2017). However, where available, evidence was reviewed for other MSK pain conditions including chronic widespread pain. This review was limited to populations residing in LMICs as classified by the world bank (2013–2017). LMICs were defined as countries with a gross national income per capita of <US\$1006 (low income) or between US\$1006 and US\$3995 (lower-middle income) (World Bank 2020). Further criteria for inclusion consist of report of at least one comorbid NCDs

specifically: diabetes, cardiovascular diseases, respiratory diseases, and mental illness – anxiety/depression alongside MSK pain. Studies focusing only on specific population subgroups (specifically, cancers) were excluded from this review, due to differences in management, and care pathways for cancer-related comorbidities in LMICs. Detailed eligibility criteria are presented in Table 1.

### **Information sources and search strategy**

A comprehensive search strategy including terms related to musculoskeletal pain, comorbidities and developing/LMIC countries was developed with the help of an information specialist (see appendix 1). The search identified publications from the following databases from their inception until May 2021: MEDLINE, Embase and AMED, CINAHLPlus, PsychINFO, Web of Science, Global Health Database and Global Index Medicus. Hand searching through references of relevant studies was also conducted for grey literature, but no grey literature-specific search engines were used. No restrictions were put in place regarding language of publication or research date.

**Table 1.** Eligibility Criteria.

	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria
Study design	Epidemiology studies, e.g. cross-sectional or cohort studies	*Qualitative studies, case studies and abstract-only reports.
Participants and conditions of interest	Population: Adults, 18 years and older who have back pain, knee osteoarthritis (OA) or hip OA	*Children *Patients with an absence of musculoskeletal conditions or pain *Studies in specific subpopulations, e.g. cancer patients – will most commonly involve patients in secondary/tertiary care settings and may not be representative of the general population. *Studies based on individuals living in high-income countries.
Interventions or exposures	At least one comorbid long-term conditions (LTC), for example cardiovascular disease or diabetes, anxiety, depression. LTCs defined according to the International Classification of Diseases (ICD) criteria published by the WHO as any ongoing, long term or recurring conditions that can have a significant impact on people's lives	
Comparisons or control groups	Any, general population	
Outcomes of interest	<u>Primary outcomes</u> *Prevalence and incidence of comorbid LTCs in those with musculoskeletal conditions (back pain, knee OA and hip OA) in LMICs <u>Secondary outcomes:</u> *Other outcomes: mortality, quality of life	
Setting	Countries classified by World bank as low- or lower-middle-income (LMICs), at any point within the last 5 years (2013–2017).	High-income and upper-middle income countries according to World bank.

### ***Study selection***

Two researchers independently assessed the eligibility of the primary studies through title and abstract screening using Covidence (systematic review software:[www.covidence.org](http://www.covidence.org)) and pre-determined eligibility criteria, blinded to one another's decisions. Full-text review was conducted following this, in accordance with the same criteria. Conflicts regarding eligibility were resolved through discussion between paired researchers, or by an adjudicating third reviewer.

### ***Data collection process and data items***

The publications fully satisfying pre-defined criteria were subjected to data extraction and quality appraisal. A data collection proforma designed and piloted prior to extraction included country and region of study, study setting, study design, sampling strategy, sample size, study population demographics (mean age, BMI, gender proportion, occupational status). Data extracted included raw participant estimates with and without NCDs comorbid with MSK and controls where available. Information on the prevalence (as a percentage) or risk (as an odds ratio – OR) of NCDs comorbid with MSK pain was also extracted and tabulated. Data extraction was performed independently by two researchers before cross-referencing and discussing disagreements where applicable.

### ***Risk of bias within studies***

Two independent reviewers assessed methodological quality of eligible full texts based on the risk of bias tool by Hoy et al. (2012). Reviewers were blinded to each other's assessment until independent reviewers were completed. Disagreements were resolved with discussion.

### ***Summary measures and data analyses***

A random effects meta-analysis was conducted due to anticipated variations in the study design, participants and methodologies (Egger, Davey Smith, and Phillips 1997). Where given, period prevalence was reported. Absolute numbers were also used to calculate proportion/percentages of people with MSK and comorbid NCD where required. Point prevalence (proportion of the study sample having co-morbid NCDs) were used in statistical pooling. Pooled prevalence estimates were reported with 95% confidence intervals (CI). Heterogeneity was assessed by inspection of forest plots and calculation of  $I^2$  with a score of > 60% indicating high heterogeneity. Meta-analysis was performed for data involving MSK pain overall and any comorbid NCDS. Subgroup analyses on prevalence of comorbid NCDS with hip/knee OA and LBP were presented including consideration for relative contributions of data from studies with low, moderate, and high risk of bias as a form of sensitivity analysis. Analyses were conducted using MetaXL using double arcsine transformation variant for meta-analysis of prevalence (Barendregt et al. 2013).

## Results

### Characteristics of included studies

Comprehensive search yielded 2112 citations. Subsequent title and abstract screening resulted in 219 potentially eligible full texts (Figure 1). Of these, 14 studies presenting data on comorbid NCDs across 6 United Nations geographical subregions (8 studies with participants in Africa and 6 in Asia) with MSK pain from 7 LMICs (i.e. Egypt, Ethiopia, India, Indonesia, India, Kenya, Nigeria and Pakistan) were included in this review. Primary studies data were collected between 2003 and 2018. On average, participants were aged 46.9 years (range: 20–69 years) (Aboderin and Nanyonjo 2017; Aggarwal et al. 2013). The majority (>50%) were female. Only eight studies recorded Body Mass Index (BMI). Where recorded, participants were typically overweight (mean BMI > 25kgm<sup>-2</sup> in studies) or obese (BMI >30 in 3 studies). Employment status across most studies (8/14) was not reported, but of the 6 studies where information was provided, an average 63.9% of participants were employed. Detailed characteristics of included studies are presented in Table 2.

### Methodological quality appraisal

Detailed risk of bias assessment is summarised in Table 3. Of the 14 included studies, seven (Aboderin and Nanyonjo 2017; Adebuseye, Ogunbode, and Alonge 2013; Aggarwal et al. 2013; Akintayo et al. 2019; El-; Sagheer, Khan, and Sharif 2013; El-Sayed et al. 2010; Yerima and Adelowo 2017) were assessed as having low risk of bias (having satisfied > 50% of criteria based on the Hoy et al. (2012) risk of bias tool). Although most studies reported adequate case definitions (85.7%), methods used to define and diagnose low back pain and hip/knee OA often lacked clear validity. Only four studies (Abdel-Nasser et al. 1998; Adebuseye,




Figure 1. PRISMA study selection flow diagram.



**Table 2. Characteristics of included studies.**

First Author/Data Collection Period	Country, UN Geographical Subregion	Study Design	Brief aim of Study		Relevant sample Size	Mean Age (SD)	Mean BMI	Proportion Male (%)	Work Status/Proportion in Work (%)	MSK Diagnosis	Primary Outcome Measure of Prevalence	Description of Measurement/Analysis
			+ Important notes on eligibility criteria	+ Data collection period								
Abdel-Nasser et al. (1998)	Egypt, Northern Africa	Cross-Sectional	Determine the differences in depressive symptoms and depression between rheumatoid arthritis (RA) and osteoarthritis (OA) patients, and to analyse the contribution of sociodemographic and clinical variables to depression in RA patients.	40	39.7 (10.9)	NR	NR	NR	NR	Knee OA	Point Prevalence	Date & time period of interviews/data collection not specified
Aboderin and Nanyonjo (2017)	Kenya, Eastern Africa	Cross-Sectional	Examine the prevalence, potential predictors and sequelae of MSK pain among older adults residing in two low-resource informal urban settlements or 'slums' in Nairobi Kenya. DCP separate surveys in 2006 and 2016.	761	NR: mode age range was 60–69 (NR)	NR	From two surveys at different timepoints: 2007 survey = 57.2%; 2016 = 62.8%	71.20%	LBP, Hip/ Knee OA	Point Prevalence	Point prevalence measured via surveys at two separate points in 2006–2007 and 2016	
Adebusoye, Ogunboye, and Alonge (2013)	Nigeria, Western Africa	Cross-Sectional	Determine the magnitude and risk factors associated with knee OA among adult patients presenting at the University College Hospital, Ibadan, Nigeria. DCP 2012 (January–March)	400	47.3 (16.4)	NR	40.50%	48.80%	Knee OA	Point/period Prevalence	Prevalence data collected between January and March 2012 using a semi-structured questionnaire to interview 400 respondents (though OA was diagnosed clinically using ACR criteria	

*(Continued)*

Table 2. (Continued).

First Author/Data Collection Period	Country, UN Geographical Subregion	Study Design	Brief aim of Study		Relevant sample Size	Mean Age (SD)	Mean BMI	Proportion Male (%)	Work Status/Proportion in Work (%)	MSK Diagnosis	Primary Outcome Measure of Prevalence	Description of Measurement/Analysis
			+ Important notes on eligibility criteria	+ Data collection period								
Aggarwal et al. (2013)	India, Southern Asia	Cross-Sectional	Assess the prevalence and risk factors of LBP in students of a medical college in Delhi.	DCP NR.	160	20.61 (2.6)	NR	53.80%	NR	LBP	Point Prevalence	Prevalence data collected from pre-tested structured questionnaire (self-report) containing items to assess for LBP and comorbidities. Date & time period of data collection not specified; only that every year group was contacted
Akintayo et al. (2019)	Nigeria, Western Africa	Cross-Sectional	Determine the prevalence of depression and its determinants among Nigerian patients with knee OA.	NB: Excluded Patients with history of previous knee surgery, malignancy, known mental illness, inflammatory arthritis, systemic infection and other chronic diseases (diabetes, CLD, COPD) DCP NR.	250	59.9 (10.62)	30.82 ± 5.48	16.40%	77.60%	Knee OA	Point/period Prevalence	Prevalence data collected over a period of 3 months through PHQ-9 surveys (depression) and radiographic evaluation of OA via Kellgren-Lawrence criteria. BP measurements were obtained via clinical assessments following interview

(Continued)

Table 2. (Continued).

First Author/Data Collection Period	Country, UN Geographical Subregion	Study Design	Brief aim of Study		Relevant sample size	Mean Age (SD)	Mean BMI	Proportion Male (%)	Work Status/Proportion in Work (%)	MSK Diagnosis	Primary Outcome Measure of Prevalence	
			+ Important notes on eligibility criteria	+ Data collection period							Point	Description of Measurement/Analysis
El-Sayed et al. (2010)	Ethiopia, Eastern Africa	Cross-Sectional survey (using data from Gilgel Gibe Growth and Development Study/GGDS) (Retrospective)	1) Assess the prevalence of BP and NP, and 2) assess the relations between symptoms of anxiety, depression, and post-traumatic stress (PTS) and BNP (back or neck pain). DCP NR.	900	NR (Mode age of PPTS was 20–29) (NR)	NR	NR	NR	LBP	Point	Prevalence	Data acquired from Gilgel Gibe Growth and Development Study (GGDS), which used questionnaires & interviews to gain anthropometric information from participants, and an additional survey to screen for a one-week history of BP or NP. Date of interviews/questionnaires NR
Iqbal et al. (2011)	Pakistan, Southern Asia	Cross-Sectional	Determine the frequency of factors associated with knee OA. NB: Excluded patients with family history of OA, worked as farmers, mill workers, jack-hammer operators, females taking HRT, diagnosis with hyperparathyroidism, haemochromatosis, or SLE. DCP 2007 (September) – 2008 (March).	100	56.28 (8.786)	29.434 ± 7.849	26.00%	NR	Knee OA	Point	Prevalence	Prevalence measured from September 2017 - March 2018. All patients (already confirmed to have OA) were interviewed by the principal investigator to fill out a proforma for variables including age, gender, height, weight, BMI, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, dyslipidaemia, IHD, smoking and anaemia Data collected from medical records in June 2018
Kusumaningtyas, Tamtomo, and Murti (2018)	Indonesia, South-Eastern Asia	Cross-Sectional	Analyse factors associated with the occurrence of OA in Surakarta, Central Java, using a path analysis model. DCP June 2018.	50	NR. Age is split into categories: <40 y/o and >40 y/o.	74% had BMI > 25	52.00%	NR	Knee OA	Point	Prevalence	Data collected from medical records in June 2018

(Continued)

Table 2. (Continued).

First Author/Data Collection Period	Country, UN Geographical Subregion	Study Design	Brief aim of Study + Important notes on eligibility criteria		Relevant sample Size	Mean Age (SD)	Mean BMI	Proportion Male (%)	Work Status/Proportion in Work (%)	MSK Diagnosis	Primary Outcome Measure of Prevalence	Description of Measurement/Analysis
			Study Design	Study Design								
Mahmoud et al. (2019)	Egypt, Northern Africa	Cross-Sectional	Evaluate health-related quality of life (HRQoL) in primary knee OA patients using the osteoarthritis knee hip quality of life (OAKHQoL) questionnaire and study its relation to clinical and radiographic parameters	100	54.6 (10.4)	28.6 ± 2.7	25.00%	39.00%	Knee OA	Point Prevalence	Prevalence data obtained from survey carried out over 1 year	
Narayan, Thabab, and Poduval (2017)	India, Southern Asia	Cross-Sectional	Assess the level of neuropathic pain in patients with knee OA and identify the clinical and sociodemographic factors associated with neuropathic pain. NB: Excluded patients who had secondary knee OA due to diseases such as RA, post-traumatic OA. DCP 2018 (June-July)	161	55.7 (8.8)	22.46 ± 4.63	30.41%	NR	Knee OA	Point Prevalence	Prevalence data obtained from survey carried out over June and July 2016	

(Continued)

Table 2. (Continued).

First Author/Data Collection Period	Country, UN Geographical Subregion	Study Design	Brief aim of Study + Important notes on eligibility criteria		Relevant sample		Mean Age (SD)	Mean BMI	Proportion		Work Status/ Proportion in Work (%)	MSK Diagnosis	Primary Outcome Measure of Prevalence	Description of Measurement/Analysis
			Study Design	+ Data collection period	Size	Mean Age (SD)			Male (%)	Female (%)				
Omoke and Amaraegbulam (2016)	Nigeria, Western Africa	Retrospective database review (? cross-sectional)	Determine the etiology and pattern of presentation of LBP among patients seen in our orthopaedic outpatient clinics	Determine the etiology and pattern of presentation of LBP among patients seen in our orthopaedic outpatient clinics	291	45.8 (1.67)	NR	50.86%	82.13%	LBP	Incidence of Emerging COLTC	Retrospective study into the incidence of emerging comorbidities in those with low back pain between 2003–2013; data sourced via case notes of those who had been to the orthopaedic clinic at Federal Teaching Hospital (Abakaliki) between this time period		
Sagheer et al. (2013)	Pakistan, Southern Asia	Prospective Cross-Sectional	Observe the prevalence of anxiety and depression in chronic LBP population at a tertiary care centre.	Observe the prevalence of anxiety and depression in chronic LBP population at a tertiary care centre.	140	43.02 (13.34)	NR	52.85%	NR	LBP	Point Prevalence	All data collected through questionnaire including demographic details, followed by clinical evaluation with HADS score between January and June 2010		

(Continued)



Table 2. (Continued).

First Author/Data Collection Period	Country, UN Geographical Subregion	Study Design	Brief aim of Study		Relevant sample Size	Mean Age (SD)	Mean BMI	Proportion Male (%)	Work Status/Proportion in Work (%)	MSK Diagnosis	Primary Outcome Measure of	
			+ Important notes on eligibility criteria	+ Data collection period							Prevalence	Incidence of
Shah, Kataria, and Joshi (2011)	India, Southern Asia	Cross-Sectional	Investigate the comorbidity of depression among patients suffering from chronic LBP. NB: Excluded patients with known history of depression prior to LBP onset, or poor understanding of English or Gujarati. DCP NR.	NR; mode age was ages 30–40, followed by 51–60 (NR)	107	NR	35.50%	NR	LBP	Emerging COLTC	Study describes gathering incidence rate of depression in those with a 3 months history of chronic back pain in population of 107 patients; incidence of depression screened using questionnaire/survey & confirmed through consultation with psychiatrist	
Yerima and Adelowo (2017)	Nigeria, Western Africa	Cross-Sectional	Determine the frequency of metabolic syndrome (Mets) among patients with knee OA and its relationship with pain and functional status. NB: Excluded patients with inflammatory arthritis, previous knee surgery, congenital knee/hip abnormalities or history of traumatic knee injury. DCP 2015 (March–October).	NR (Median age 50) (NR)	244	32.2 ± 5.95	25.80%	64.80%	Knee OA	Point Prevalence	Data collected between March and October 2015 (from patients satisfying ACR criteria for OA) via a 'pre-tested semi-structured interviewer-diabetes mellitus questionnaire'	

RA=Rheumatoid Arthritis, OA=Osteoarthritis, MSK=Musculoskeletal, LBP=Low Back Pain, CLD=Chronic Liver Disease, COPD=Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease, BP=Back Pain, NP=Neck Pain, PTS=Post Traumatic Stress, BNP=Back or Neck Pain, HRT=Hormone Replacement Therapy, SLE=Systemic Lupus Erythematosus, HRQoL=Health-Related Quality of Life, OAKHQoL=Osteoarthritis Knee Hip Quality of Life, Mets=Metabolic Syndrome, NR=Not Reported, SD=Standard Deviation, Ppts=Participants, BMI=Body Mass Index, COLTC=Co-Occurring Long-term condition, ACR=American College of Rheumatology, PHQ-9=Patient Health Questionnaire-9, GGGDS=Gilgel Gibe Growth and Development Survey, IHD=Ischemic Heart Disease, HADS=Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale, UN=United Nations, DCP=Data Collection Period.

**Table 3.** Risk of bias assessment.

Study Authors	Was the study's target population a close representation of the population?	Was sampling frame a true representation?	Was random selection used to select sample?	Was the likelihood of nonresponse bias minimal?	Were data collected directly from subjects?	Was an acceptable case definition of Low Back Pain/004FA used?	Was the method that diagnosed cases shown to have validity and reliability?	Was the same mode of data collection used for all subjects?	Was the length of the shortest prevalence period for the parameter of interest appropriate?	Were the numerator(s)/denominator(s) for the parameter of interest appropriate and clearly reported?	Quality Assessment Rating* (Qi Score)	Overall risk of bias Assessment
Abdel-Nasser et al. (1998)	?	?	X	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	✓	5/9	High
Aboderin and Nanyonjo (2017)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	-	✓	7/9	Low
Adebusoye, Ogunbode, and Alonge (2013)	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	8/10	Low
Aggarwal et al. (2013)	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	-	✓	6/9	Low
Akitayo et al. (2019)	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	9/10	Low
El-Sayed et al. (2010)	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	?	✓	✓	✓	7/10	Low
Iqbal et al. (2011)	X	?	X	?	✓	✓	?	✓	-	✓	4/9	High
Kusumaningtyas, Tantomo, and Murti (2018)	?	?	X	?	✓	✓	?	✓	-	✓	4/9	High
Mahmoud et al. (2019)	?	?	?	?	✓	✓	?	✓	-	✓	4/9	High
Narayan, Thabab, and Podual (2017)	✓	?	?	?	✓	✓	?	✓	-	✓	5/9	High
Omoke and Amaraegbulam (2016)	?	✓	X	✓	X	✓	?	✓	-	✓	5/9	High

(Continued)

**Table 3. (Continued).**

Study Authors	Was the study's target population a close representation of the population?	Was sampling frame a true representation?	Was random selection used to select sample?	Was the likelihood of nonresponse bias minimal?	Were data collected directly from subjects?	Was an acceptable case definition of Low Back Pain/004FA used?	Was the method that diagnosed cases shown to have validity and reliability?	Was the same mode of data collection used for all subjects?	Was the length of the shortest prevalence period for the parameter of interest appropriate?	Were the numerator(s)/denominator(s) for the parameter of interest appropriate and clearly reported?	Quality Assessment Rating* (Qi Score)	Overall risk of bias Assessment
Sagheer et al. (2013)									-		6/9	Low
Shah, Kataria, and Joshi (2011)									-		4/9	High
Yerima and Adelowo (2017)											6/10	Low

**Low risk** **Unclear risk** **High risk – Not applicable.**

\* A quality score out of 10 was produced for each study assessing period prevalence, and 9 for each study with data on point prevalence. A rating of 5 or less suggested high risk of bias, and a score of 6 or greater suggested a low risk of bias.



Ogunbode, and Alonge 2013; Aggarwal et al. 2013) used standardised criteria to diagnose/define comorbid NCDs as this data were largely collected via self-reporting. Seven studies (Abdel-Nasser et al. 1998; Iqbal et al. 2011; Kusumaningtyas, Tamtomo, and Murti 2018; Mahmoud et al. 2019; Narayan, Thabab, and Poduval 2017; Omoke and Amaraegbulam 2016; Shah, Kataria, and Joshi 2011) were assessed as at high risk of bias. Two most common reasons for classifying studies as at high risk of bias included an absence of random sampling techniques ( $n = 10$ ) and samples not closely representing wider populations (Table 2: study population and eligibility criteria notes).

### Prevalence of NCDs (hypertension, diabetes mellitus and anxiety/depression) with MSK pain

Among adults in LMICs, a wide range of NCDs were reported to be comorbid with MSK pain: diabetes (number of studies ( $n = 8$ ), hypertension ( $n = 7$ ), mental health conditions (including anxiety and depression,  $n = 5$ ), obesity ( $n = 8$ ), peptic ulcer ( $n = 3$ ), toxic goitre/thyroid disease ( $n = 2$ ), coronary artery disease ( $n = 3$ ), chronic obstructive pulmonary disease/bronchial asthma ( $n = 3$ ), and metabolic syndrome ( $n = 1$ ). For this review, analysis was focused on the three most common NCDs reported, i.e. hypertension, diabetes and mental health conditions including anxiety and depression. Studies predominantly reported point prevalence (i.e. in absolute numbers or percentages) of comorbid NCDs among adult patients with MSK pain. A summary of results and forest plots of meta-analysis is presented in Figures 2–6.

For any of the three most common NCDs (i.e. hypertension, diabetes and mental health conditions) comorbid with MSK pain, 11 of 14 included studies ( $n = 2383$ ) provided suitable data for meta-analysis. Overall prevalence of any one NCD comorbid with MSK pain (Figure 2a) was 46.07% (95% CI 32.3–59.9, I<sup>2</sup> 20.5%). Furthermore, eight studies reported MSK pain comorbid with at least two or more NCDs in over half of their participants - Figure 2b. Pooled prevalence was 53.5% (95% CI 34.5–72.4, I<sup>2</sup> 18.4%).




Figure 2a. Forest plot: Overall MSK and NCD comorbidities with indications of overall risk of bias per study.




Figure 2b. Forest plot: Overall MSK and NCD comorbidities (>2).

Comorbid hypertension with MSK pain was reported in seven studies ( $n = 2007$ ), with prevalence ranging from 17.0%-64.8%. The range was 17.0–64.80% amongst African populations and 32.3–64.0% amongst Asian populations. Of the seven studies, two were low risk of bias and four were at high risk of bias. One study (Aboderin and Nanyonjo 2017) reported the risk of hypertension in this population as an unadjusted OR but was not included in meta-analysis. Pooled prevalence of comorbid hypertension with MSK pain Figure 3 (6 studies,  $n = 1146$ ) was 42.6% (95% CI 25.6–59.6,  $I^2$  7.1%).

Comorbid diabetes with any MSK pain was reported in eight studies ( $n = 2057$ ). Of these, two were low risk of bias and five were high risk of bias. Prevalence ranged from 4.5%-64%; 36.0–64.0% (mixed point and period prevalence) amongst Asian populations, and 15.6–26.0% (period prevalence only) amongst African populations. One study reporting data as an unadjusted OR could not be included in the meta-analysis. Overall pooled prevalence of comorbid diabetes with MSK pain Figure 4 (7 studies,  $n = 1196$ ) was 26.65% (95% CI 16.1–37.3,  $I^2$  41.3%).





Figure 3. Forest Plot: MSK prevalence with comorbid Hypertension with indications of overall risk of bias per study.




**Figure 4.** Forest Plot: MSK prevalence with comorbid Diabetes with indications of overall risk of bias per study.

Five studies ( $n = 1437$ ) reported prevalence of comorbid anxiety/depression amongst patients with MSK pain (range: 10%-55.1%). Prevalence of comorbid anxiety/depression was higher amongst African populations. Of these, three had low risk of bias and two had high risk of bias. Overall prevalence of anxiety/depression amongst patients with MSK pain **Figure 5** was 24.94% (95% CI 11.5–38.4,  $I^2$  44.9%).


Overall, compared to LBP at 26% prevalence (CI  $-0.03$  to  $0.55$ ,  $I^2$  0.94%), studies consistently report a pattern of higher prevalence of comorbid NCDs 55% (CI 0.34–0.77,  $I^2$  95%) with knee OA (**Figure 6**). Further subgroup analysis of comorbid NCDs based on specific MSK pain (hip/knee OA and LBP) is subsequently presented:

### Comorbid NCDs with hip/knee OA

The prevalence of hypertension among patients with OA was reported in six studies ( $n = 1258$ ). Two of these studies had a low risk of bias, and three had a high risk of bias. Five of the



**Figure 5.** Forest Plot: MSK prevalence with comorbid Depression and Anxiety with indications of overall risk of bias per study.



**Figure 6.** Forest Plot: Subgroup analysis of comorbid NCDs with specific MSK Pain (i.e. hip/knee OA and LBP) with indications of overall risk of bias per study.

studies reported outcomes as percentage prevalence (range: 7.0% to 64.8%), with one reporting an unadjusted OR 2.8 (95% CI 1.7–4.6). Overall pooled prevalence of comorbid hypertension with hip/knee OA (5 studies,  $n = 855$ ) was 46.9% (95% CI 29.1–64.2).

A total of seven studies ( $n = 1308$ ) reported the prevalence of comorbid diabetes among patients with hip/knee OA. One study (Abdel-Nasser et al. 1998) reported unadjusted OR 1.4 (95% CI 0.7–2.7). For a total of 905 patients, six studies reported prevalence estimates in percentages (range: 15.60% to 64%) and were included in the meta-analysis. Pooled prevalence of comorbid diabetes with OA was 31.6% (95% CI 19.6–45.0).

Comorbidity of hip/knee OA with anxiety/depression was reported in two studies ( $n = 290$ ) (Abdel-Nasser et al. 1998; Akintayo et al. 2019), with data presented as percentage prevalence (10%, 42%). A meta-analysis was not conducted due to the limited number of studies.

### Comorbid NCDs with back pain

Only two studies ( $n = 649$ ) reported prevalence of hypertension among patients with LBP: one as a percentage prevalence of 21.7% (28) and the other as OR 2 (95% CI 1.2–2.3) (Aboderin and Nanyonjo 2017). Similar to hypertension, comorbid prevalence of diabetes with LBP was reported by only two studies ( $n = 649$ ) as a percentage prevalence of 4.5% and OR 1.2 (95% CI 0.6–2.5). These two studies (Aboderin and Nanyonjo 2017; Omoke and Amaraegbulam 2016) were assessed as at low and high risk of bias, respectively. Pooled prevalence of comorbid hypertension/diabetes with LBP was not estimated due to limited data.

Comorbid LBP with anxiety/depression was reported by four studies ( $n = 1307$ ). Three of these were assessed as having low risk of bias (Aboderin and Nanyonjo 2017; El-Sayed et al. 2010; Sagheer, Khan, and Sharif 2013) and one as high risk of bias (Shah, Kataria, and Joshi 2011). Three studies reported prevalence estimates as a percentage (range: 10.3%–55.1%), while the fourth (Aboderin and Nanyonjo 2017) reported the risk of depression in patients with LBP OR 1.1 (95% CI 0.89–1.25). Based on three studies ( $n = 1147$ ), pooled prevalence of anxiety/depression among patients with LBP in LMIC was estimated at 21.4% (95% CI 0.02–49.8).

## Discussion

This systematic review aimed to appraise and synthesise current evidence on the prevalence of comorbid NCDs with MSK pain among adults in LMICs. Findings demonstrates a high

prevalence of comorbid NCDs in over half of patients living with MSK pain in LMICs. Hypertension was found to have the highest comorbid prevalence (42.6%, 95% CI 25.6–59.6) with MSK conditions followed by diabetes (26.65%, 95% CI 16.1–37.3) and common mental health condition including anxiety and depression (24.94%, 95% CI 11.5–38.4).

Our results echo previously published findings of high prevalence of comorbid NCDs in LMICs (Abebe et al. 2020). According to our findings, up to two-thirds of adult patients consulting with MSK pain specifically LBP and hip/knee OA will also present with one or two other NCDs in LMIC settings. The pooled prevalence of hypertension amongst patients with hip/knee OA in this study at 46.9% is notably higher than the global prevalence estimated as 31.1% in 2010 (Mills, Stefanescu, and He 2020). This is an important finding and suggests that patients with OA in LMICs bear a greater burden of cardiovascular disease than the general population. Similarly, the pooled prevalence of diabetes mellitus (31.6%) among patients with hip/knee osteoarthritis found in this systematic review is notably higher than the global prevalence of diabetes mellitus, which was estimated at 9.3% in 2019 (Saeedi et al. 2019). The increased prevalence of cardiovascular health conditions and diabetes amongst patients with chronic MSK pain could be explained by shared risk factors amongst chronic MSK and cardiovascular conditions, such as obesity, nutritional (diet)/lifestyle and sociocultural factors germane to LMICs as well as possible indirect causative relationship between chronic MSK pain and cardiovascular disease. In addition, an interplay of polypharmacy from multiple help seeking (e.g. traditional and orthodox care) and self-medications could also affect comorbidity patterns, but these would all be subject to further research.

We observed a general dearth of data regarding comorbid anxiety/depression with MSK pain among adults in LMICs, but our current finding of a 24.94% prevalence of anxiety/depression among adults with MSK pain from five studies involving 1437 participants is of high clinical relevance. This is as research in HICs has shown that anxiety and depression strongly predict outcome of care for MSK patients (ARMA 2018; Ogebeivor and Elsabbagh 2021). Reporting of mental health issues for patients with MSK pain in LMICs may be susceptible to several interrelated factors including the way health services are organised, awareness and cultural belief systems (Patel 2007). It is likely on an individual level that such conditions are underdiagnosed and therefore underreported due to reasons such as ongoing stigma and cultural awareness around mental health. Health professionals have an important role to play given that awareness and understanding of mental health problems co-morbid with physical health conditions are variable. Cultural/clinical minimisation of the impact of MSK pain on mental health of patients is a challenging issue that can easily prevent mental health diagnosis. Therefore, it would appear that further identification and treatment of common mental disorders such as anxiety and depression as part of overall care for MSK pain is policy imperative in LMICs.

This, as far as we are aware of, is the first systematic review and meta-analysis to specifically assess the prevalence and pattern of comorbid NCDs among patients with MSK pain in LMICs. In this study, we have made effort to conduct a robust review of available evidence ensuring alignment with established guidelines including a comprehensive search strategy in bibliographic databases, with additional hand searching of reference lists, and independent study selection by paired reviewers, which enhances the robustness of this review. However, we acknowledge that there are many other sources of MSK pain, including inflammatory arthritis, connective tissue disorders

and chronic neck pain which were not specifically searched for in order to make the present review manageable. Our citation tracking of included studies and seminal articles in this field was designed to mitigate this, and we therefore think it is unlikely that important papers have been missed.

A major limitation is the small number of studies contributing to the evidence base in this systematic review and meta-analyses. Identified studies were also found to be limited in methodological rigor (Figure 2a) and number of LMICs ( $n = 7$ ) represented. The evidence base for this review of comorbid NCDs with MSK pain in LMICs was limited to three United Nations geographical regions (South East Asia, North Africa and Middle East, and Sub-Saharan Africa) but from seven countries only. Findings indicate high prevalence across these regions but studies from Africa reported higher prevalence estimates. Future studies need to explore further the reasons associated with this high prevalence as it has implications for health systems planning and socio-economic output. Limitations in methodological rigor and highlighted gaps in evidence are a direct reflection of research activities, priorities of global health research funders and research investment in NCDs in LMICs. On the other hand, a quick search of the Medline database on these subject yields potentially eligible epidemiological studies in hundreds from HICS. There is therefore an urgent need for capacity building regarding epidemiology of chronic MSK pain as well as comorbid NCDs in LMICs.

Furthermore, all included studies were cross-sectional in design and reported on point prevalence outcomes and often a narrow selection of population samples. We acknowledge the potential effects of these limitations on the pooled prevalence estimates of MSK pain and comorbid NCDs presented in this review. Statistically, moderate heterogeneity ( $I^2$  up to 44.9%, Figures 2–5) which was found across our analyses may be due largely to small sample sizes, variable population groups, definitions and diagnosis of NCDs. Furthermore, since many of the included studies were conducted in hospital settings or used unstandardised health care records data, it is highly plausible that accurate prevalence of NCDs comorbid with MSK pain in community settings is yet to be captured within the current evidence. It is therefore likely that real-world prevalence of comorbid NCDs and MSK pain in LMICs is yet unknown.

A similarly important question is the prevalence of comorbid NCDs and MSK conditions amongst younger populations living in LMICS. This is, however, outside the scope of this present review), population fell outside of the scope of this review due to significant differences in pathology, prognosis and management of children with MSK conditions and NCDs in LMICs. As such, any synthesis of younger populations would require separate analysis and interpretation in view of multiple other factors. It is essential that a further independent systematic review is undertaken to better understand the prevalence of comorbid NCDs amongst young patients with MSK conditions.

We do not draw conclusions regarding causal relationship between MSK pain and comorbid NCDs based on this review. However, the impact of living with chronic MSK pain on a patient's lifestyle (e.g. reduction in exercise/physical activity avoidance because of pain, sedentary lifestyle and consequent weight gain – all contributing factors to the development of cardiovascular disease and other NCDs) has been suggested as possible mechanism for increasing prevalence of MSK pain co-morbidity with NCDs (Nuesch et al. 2011; Van der Zee-Neuen et al. 2016; Williams et al. 2018). Clinician and patient awareness of this association may benefit from increased monitoring of risk factors,

health education/promotion and prevention of worsened outcomes as part of routine MSK care in LMICs. Similarly, patients undergoing investigation and management of NCDs in LMICs would likely benefit from assessment and optimisation of any MSK problems which may be co-morbid or contributing to their presentation. Integrating MSK assessment into routine care of NCDs would likely pick up a significant number of MSK diagnoses which may otherwise be missed. This would allow for targeted management of any such MSK diagnoses, optimizing functional ability to facilitate lifestyle changes which are beneficial for a wide range of NCDs.

Across many healthcare settings in LMICs, care may often be siloed in professional groups, orientated toward acute problems and the urgent needs of patients. However, this systematic review highlights a high prevalence of comorbid NCDs which means that adult MSK patients in LMICs are now presenting with complex health care needs. Not addressing complex needs of MSK patients with chronic NCDs may perpetuate a vicious cycle of increase healthcare utilisation, poor socio-economic outcomes and mortality. Given limited/scarce resources, effective care for this population group therefore requires a paradigm shift towards a dynamic MSK service that can manage diverse patient needs using a whole person-centred approach rather than in siloed patterns. This may help to alleviate the burden associated with MSK pain and improve health outcomes for patients in LMICs. This also raises questions regarding unmet research and training needs for MSK in LMICs (Arokiasamy et al. 2015; Blyth et al. 2019; Briggs et al. 2018). On the other hand, health systems strengthening efforts in LMICs can also be targeted towards NCDs, with consideration for MSK health in patients with hypertension, diabetes and mental health problems (Briggs et al. 2019, 2023). On this wise, extending service models for NCDs to better integrate MSK health will be sustainable and more person centred. We call on government, research institutions, funding bodies and professional organisations to prioritise efforts to help to improve quality of musculoskeletal care and research in LMICs. This has socio-economic impact and is key to the achievement of the sustainable development goals.

## Conclusions

Findings from this systematic review evidence a high prevalence of comorbid NCDs including hypertension, diabetes, anxiety and depression among adult patients with MSK pain in LMICs. It highlights the co-existence and complex interaction between MSK pain and other comorbid NCDs previously documented in HICs in LMICs at a higher scale. To improve outcomes of care for MSK patients in LMICs and prevent a vicious cycle of increasing mortality and worsened socioeconomic outcomes, identification, assessment and addressing comorbid NCDs in MSK patients is an urgent policy imperative for health systems in LMICs. Further research and infrastructures such as integrated primary health-care database including NCDs and increased awareness among clinicians could aid accurate assessment of the scale of this problem, adequate healthcare plan, person-centred care and improved health outcomes of MSK patients with comorbid NCDs in LMICs.

## Acknowledgements

Thanks to Dr xx for helping with the search strategy.

## Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author(s).

## ORCID

Opeyemi O Babatunde  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5064-6446>

## Availability of data and materials

All data generated or analysed during this study are included in this published article [and its supplementary information files].

## Author contributions

OOB conceived the study concepts and design, OOB, ST, and JC drafted the study protocol with contributions from OOO, AO, BS, and FF. OOB, ST, JC led data accrual, and initial analysis. All authors contributed to data synthesis and initial interpretation of findings. OOB, ST, and JC, drafted initial manuscript, with significant contributions from MA, AO, BS, and FF.

## References

- Abdel-Nasser, A. M., S. Abd El-Azim, E. Taal, S. A. El-Badawy, J. J. Rasker, and H. A. Valkenburg. 1998. "Depression and Depressive Symptoms in Rheumatoid Arthritis Patients: An Analysis of Their Occurrence and Determinants." *British Journal of Rheumatology* 37 (4): 391–397. <https://doi.org/10.1093/rheumatology/37.4.391>.
- Abebe, F., M. Schneider, B. Asrat, and F. Ambaw. 2020. "Multimorbidity of Chronic Non-Communicable Diseases in Low- and Middle-Income Countries: A Scoping Review." *Journal of Comorbidity* 10:2235042X2096191. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2235042X20961919>.
- Aboderin, I., and A. Nanyonjo. 2017. "Musculoskeletal Health Conditions Among Older Populations in Urban Slums in Sub-Saharan Africa." *Best Practice & Research Clinical Rheumatology* 31 (2): 115–128. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.berh.2017.11.001>.
- Adebusoye, L. A., A. M. Ogunbode, and T. O. Alonge. 2013. "Magnitude of Knee Osteoarthritis and Associated Risk Factors Among Adult Patients Presenting in a Family Practice Clinic in Nigeria." *Journal of Medicine in the Tropics* 15 (2): 144. <https://doi.org/10.4103/2276-7096.123607>.
- Aggarwal, N., T. Anand, J. Kishore, and G. K. Ingle. 2013. "Low Back Pain and Associated Risk Factors Among Undergraduate Students of a Medical College in Delhi." *Education for Health* 26 (2): 103. <https://doi.org/10.4103/1357-6283.120702>.
- Akintayo, R. O., A. Yerima, H. B. Olaosebikan, C. Uahunmwangho, and A. A. Akpabio. 2019. "How Much Gloom Is in Groans? Depression and Its Determinants in Nigerian Patients with Knee Osteoarthritis: A Multi-Center Cross-Sectional Study." *Clinical rheumatology* 38 (7): 1971–1978. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10067-019-04497-2>.
- Arokiasamy, P., U. Uttamacharya, K. Jain, R. B. Biritwum, A. E. Yawson, F. Wu, Y. Guo, et al. 2015. "The Impact of Multimorbidity on Adult Physical and Mental Health in Low-And Middle-Income Countries: What Does the Study on Global Ageing and Adult Health (SAGE) Reveal?" *BMC Medicine* 13 (1): 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-015-0402-8>.
- Arthritis and Musculoskeletal Alliance (ARMA): Musculoskeletal and Mental Health. 2018. "Policy Position Paper." <http://arma.uk.net/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/MSK-and-Mental-Health-Nov2018.pdf>.



- Barendregt, J. J., S. A. Doi, Y. Y. Lee, R. E. Norman, and T. Vos. 2013. "Meta-Analysis of Prevalence." *Journal of Epidemiology & Community Health* 67 (11): 974–978. <https://doi.org/10.1136/jech-2013-203104>.
- Blyth, F. M., A. M. Briggs, C. H. Schneider, D. G. Hoy, and L. M. March. 2019. "The Global Burden of Musculoskeletal Pain—Where to from Here?" *American Journal of Public Health* 109 (1): 35–40. <https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2018.304747>.
- Briggs, A. M., J. E. Jordan, S. Sharma, J. J. Young, J. Chua, H. E. Foster, S. A. Haq, et al. 2023. "Context and Priorities for Health Systems Strengthening for Pain and Disability in Low- and Middle-Income Countries: A Secondary Qualitative Study and Content Analysis of Health Policies." *Health Policy and Planning* 38 (2): 129–149. <https://doi.org/10.1093/heapol/czac061>.
- Briggs, A. M., J. G. Persaud, M. L. Deverell, S. Bunzli, B. Tampin, Y. Sumi, O. Amundsen, et al. 2019. "Integrated Prevention and Management of Non-Communicable Diseases, Including Musculoskeletal Health: A Systematic Policy Analysis Among OECD Countries." *BMJ Global Health* 4 (5): e001806. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjgh-2019-001806>.
- Briggs, A. M., A. D. Woolf, K. Dreinhöfer, N. Homb, D. G. Hoy, D. Kopansky-Giles, K. Akesson, and L. March. 2018. "Reducing the Global Burden of Musculoskeletal Conditions." *Bulletin of the World Health Organization* 96 (5): 366–368. <https://doi.org/10.2471/BLT.17.204891>.
- Catalá-López, F., A. Alonso-Arroyo, M. J. Page, B. Hutton, R. Tabarés-Seisdedos, and R. Aleixandre-Benavent. 2018. "Mapping of Global Scientific Research in Comorbidity and Multimorbidity: A Cross-Sectional Analysis." *PLOS* 13 (1): e0189091. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0189091>.
- Cieza, A., K. Causey, K. Kamenov, S. W. Hanson, S. Chatterji, and T. Vos. 2020. "Global Estimates of the Need for Rehabilitation Based on the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019: A Systematic Analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019." *Lancet* 396 (10267): 2006–2017. (20) 32340-0",1,0,0>[https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736\(20\)32340-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(20)32340-0).
- Egger, M., G. Davey Smith, and A. N. Phillips. 1997. "Meta-Analysis: Principles and Procedures." *BMJ* 315 (7121): 1533–1537. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.315.7121.1533>.
- El-Sayed, A. M., C. Hadley, F. Tessema, A. Tegegn, J. A. Cowan Jr, and S. Galea. 2010. "Back and Neck Pain and Psychopathology in Rural Sub-Saharan Africa: Evidence from the Gilgel Gibe Growth and Development Study, Ethiopia." *Spine* 35 (6): 684. <https://doi.org/10.1097/brs.0b013e3181b4926e>.
- Ferreira, M. L., K. de Luca, L. M. Haile, J. D. Steinmetz, G. T. Culbreth, M. Cross, J. A. Kopec, et al. 2023. "Global, Regional, and National Burden of Low Back Pain, 1990–2020, Its Attributable Risk Factors, and Projections to 2050: A Systematic Analysis of the Global Burden of Disease Study 2021." *The Lancet Rheumatology* 5 (6): e316–e329. (23)00098-X",1,0,0>[https://doi.org/10.1016/S2665-9913\(23\)00098-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2665-9913(23)00098-X).
- Hay, S. I., A. A. Abajobir, K. H. Abate, C. Abbafati, K. M. Abbas, F. Abd-Allah, R. S. Abdulkader, A. M. Abdulle, T. A. Abebo, S. F. Abera, and V. Aboyans. 2017. "Global, Regional, and National Disability-Adjusted Life-Years (DALYs) for 333 Diseases and Injuries and Healthy Life Expectancy (HALE) for 195 Countries and Territories, 1990–2016: A Systematic Analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016." *Lancet* 390 (10100): 1260–1344. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(17\)32130-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(17)32130-X).
- Hay, S. I., A. A. Abajobir, K. H. Abate, C. Abbafati, K. M. Abbas, F. Abd-Allah, R. S. Abdulkader, et al. 2017. "GBD 2016 DALYs and HALE Collaborators. Global, Regional, and National Disability-Adjusted Life-Years (DALYs) for 333 Diseases and Injuries and Healthy Life Expectancy (HALE) for 195 Countries and Territories, 1990–2016: A Systematic Analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016." *Lancet* 390 (10100): 1260–1344. (17)32130-X",1,0,0>[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(17\)32130-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(17)32130-X).
- Hoy, D. G., P. Brooks, A. Woolf, F. Blyth, L. March, C. Bain, P. Baker, E. Smith, and R. Buchbinder. 2012. "Assessing Risk of Bias in Prevalence Studies: Modification of an Existing Tool and Demonstration of Inter-Rater Agreement." *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology* 65 (9): 934–939. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2011.11.014>.
- Iqbal, M. N., F. R. Haidri, B. Motiani, and A. Mannan. 2011. "Frequency of Factors Associated with Knee Osteoarthritis." *JPMA-Journal of the Pakistan Medical Association* 61 (8): 786.

- Kusumaningtyas, M., D. Tamtomo, and B. Murti. 2018. "Factors Associated with the Occurrence of Osteoarthritis: A Path Analysis Evidence from Surakarta, Central Java." *Journal of Epidemiology & Public Health* 4 (1): 9–19. <https://doi.org/10.26911/jepublichealth.2019.04.01.02>.
- Mahmoud, G. A., A. Moghazy, S. Fathy, and M. H. Niazy. 2019. "Osteoarthritis Knee Hip Quality of Life Questionnaire Assessment in Egyptian Primary Knee Osteoarthritis Patients: Relation to Clinical and Radiographic Parameters." *The Egyptian Rheumatologist* 41 (1): 65–69. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejr.2018.05.001>.
- Mahmoud, G. A., A. Moghazy, S. Fathy, and M. H. Niazy. 2019. "Osteoarthritis Knee Hip Quality of Life Questionnaire Assessment in Egyptian Primary Knee Osteoarthritis Patients: Relation to Clinical and Radiographic Parameters." *The Egyptian Rheumatologist* 41 (1): 65–69.
- Mills, K. T., A. Stefanescu, and J. He. 2020. "The Global Epidemiology of Hypertension." *Nature Reviews: Nephrology* 16 (4): 223–237. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41581-019-0244-2>.
- Narayan, R. V., M. M. Thabah, and M. Poduval. 2017. "Neuropathic Pain Among Patients with Primary Knee Osteoarthritis: Results of a Cross-Sectional Study from a Tertiary Care Center in Southern India." *Indian Journal of Rheumatology* 12 (3): 132. [https://doi.org/10.4103/injr.injr\\_90\\_16](https://doi.org/10.4103/injr.injr_90_16)
- NCD Countdown 2030 Collaborators. 2022. "NCD Countdown 2030: Efficient Pathways and Strategic Investments to Accelerate Progress Towards the Sustainable Development Goal Target 3.4 in Low-Income and Middle-Income Countries." *Lancet* 399 (10331): 1266–1278. (21)02347-3",1,0,0>[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(21\)02347-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(21)02347-3).
- Nuesch, E., P. Dieppe, S. Reichenbach, S. Williams, S. Iff, and P. Juni. 2011. "All Cause and Disease Specific Mortality in Patients with Knee or Hip Osteoarthritis: Population-Based Cohort Study." *BMJ* 342 (mar08 2): d1165. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.d1165>.
- Ogbeivor, C., and L. Elsabbagh. 2021. "Management Approach Combining Prognostic Screening and Targeted Treatment for Patients with Low Back Pain Compared with Standard Physiotherapy: A Systematic Review & Meta-Analysis." *Musculoskeletal Care* 14. <https://doi.org/10.18502/jsp.v1i1.9767>.
- Omoke, N. I., and P. I. Amaraegbulam. 2016. "Low Back Pain As Seen in Orthopedic Clinics of a Nigerian Teaching Hospital." *Nigerian Journal of Clinical Practice* 19 (2): 212–217. <https://doi.org/10.4103/1119-3077.175964>.
- Page, M. J., J. E. McKenzie, P. M. Bossuyt, I. Boutron, T. C. Hoffmann, C. D. Mulrow, L. Shamseer, et al. 2021. "The PRISMA 2020 Statement: An Updated Guideline for Reporting Systematic Reviews." *PloS Medicine* 18 (3): e1003583. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1003583>.
- Patel, V. 2007. "Mental Health in Low-And Middle-Income Countries." *British Medical Bulletin* 81-82 (1): 81–96. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bmb/ldm010>.
- Saeedi, P., I. Petersohn, P. Salpea, B. Malanda, S. Karuranga, N. Unwin, S. Colagiuri, et al. 2019. "Global and Regional Diabetes Prevalence Estimates for 2019 and Projections for 2030 and 2045: Results from the International Diabetes Federation Diabetes Atlas." *Diabetes Research & Clinical Practice* 157:107843. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.2019.107843>.
- Sagheer, M. A., M. F. Khan, and S. Sharif. 2013. "Association Between Chronic Low Back Pain, Anxiety and Depression in Patients at a Tertiary Care Centre." *JPMA: The Journal of the Pakistan Medical Association* 63 (6): 688–690.
- Shah, S. H., L. R. Kataria, and D. Joshi. 2011. "Incidence of Depression in Chronic Low-Back Pain—A Hospital Based Study." *Healthline* 2 (2): 35–40. <https://doi.org/10.5555/20113327920>.
- Steinmetz, J. D., G. T. Culbreth, L. M. Haile, Q. Rafferty, J. Lo, K. G. Fukutaki, J. A. Cruz, et al. 2023. "Global, Regional, and National Burden of Osteoarthritis, 1990–2020 and Projections to 2050: A Systematic Analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2021." *The Lancet Rheumatology* 5 (9): e508–e522. (23)00163-7",1,0,0>[https://doi.org/10.1016/s2665-9913\(23\)00163-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/s2665-9913(23)00163-7).
- Van der Zee-Neuen, A., P. Putrik, S. Ramiro, A. Keszei, R. de Bie, A. Chorus, and A. Boonen. 2016. "Impact of Chronic Diseases and Multimorbidity on Health and Health Care Costs: The Additional Role of Musculoskeletal Disorders." *Arthritis Care & Research* 68 (12): 1823–1831. <https://doi.org/10.1002/acr.22913>.
- Vos, T., S. S. Lim, C. Abbafati, K. M. Abbas, M. Abbasi, M. Abbasifard, M. Abbasi-Kangecari, et al. 2020. "Global Burden of 369 Diseases and Injuries in 204 Countries and Territories, 1990–2019:

- A Systematic Analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019.” *Lancet* 396 (10258): 1204–1222. (20)30925-9",1,0,0>[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)30925-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30925-9).
- Williams, A., S. J. Kamper, J. H. Wiggers, K. M. O'Brien, H. Lee, L. Wolfenden, S. L. Yoong, et al. 2018. “Musculoskeletal Conditions May Increase the Risk of Chronic Disease: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Cohort Studies.” *BMC Medicine* 16 (1): 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-018-1151-2>.
- World Bank. 2020. “World Bank Country and Lending Groups.” Accessed October 19, 2020. <https://datahelpdesk.worldbank.org/knowledgebase/articles/906519-world-bank-country-and-lending-groups>.
- Xu, X., G. D. Mishra, and M. Jones. 2017. “Mapping the Global Research Landscape and Knowledge Gaps on Multimorbidity: A Bibliometric Study.” *Journal of Global Health* 7 (1): 010414. <https://doi.org/10.7189/jogh.07.010414>.
- Yerima, A., and O. Adelowo. 2017. “Knee Osteoarthritis and Associated Cardio-Metabolic Clusters in a Tertiary Hospital in Nigeria.” *Clinical rheumatology* 36 (11): 2541–2548. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10067-017-3816-1>.