




Please cite the Published Version

Shiple, Isobel, Esselaar, Maaïke, Wood, Samuel , Parr, Johnny V V , Wright, David J and Wood, Greg  (2024) The self-reported driving and pedestrian behaviour of adults with developmental coordination disorder. PLoS One, 19 (5). e0301115 ISSN 1932-6203

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0301115>

Publisher: Public Library of Science

Version: Published Version

Downloaded from: <https://e-space.mmu.ac.uk/634598/>

Usage rights:  [Creative Commons: Attribution 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Additional Information: This an open access article published in PLoS One.

Data Access Statement: All data are available via the Open Science Framework (See here: <https://osf.io/7cb9w/>)

Enquiries:

If you have questions about this document, contact openresearch@mmu.ac.uk. Please include the URL of the record in e-space. If you believe that your, or a third party's rights have been compromised through this document please see our Take Down policy (available from <https://www.mmu.ac.uk/library/using-the-library/policies-and-guidelines>)

RESEARCH ARTICLE

The self-reported driving and pedestrian behaviour of adults with developmental coordination disorder

Isobel Shipley¹, Maaïke Esselaar¹, Samuel Wood¹, Johnny V. V. Parr¹, David J. Wright², Greg Wood^{1*}

1 Department of Sport and Exercise Sciences, Manchester Metropolitan University Institute of Sport, Manchester, United Kingdom, **2** Department of Psychology, Manchester Metropolitan University, Manchester, United Kingdom

* greg.wood@mmu.ac.uk



Abstract

Background

Developmental coordination disorder (DCD) affects movement coordination, but little is known about how the condition impacts the behaviours of car drivers and pedestrians.

Aims

This study examined the self-reported driving and pedestrian behaviours of adults with Developmental Coordination Disorder (DCD).

Methods and procedures

One hundred and twenty-eight participants (62 adults with DCD vs. 66 TD adults) responded to an online survey asking them about their perceptions of confidence and self-reported driving and pedestrian behaviours in the real-world.

Outcomes and results

Results suggested that adults with DCD felt less confident and reported more lapses in attention (e.g., forgetting where their car was parked) and errors (e.g., failing to check their mirrors prior to a manoeuvre) when driving compared to typically developed (TD) adults. Adults with DCD also reported feeling less confident and reported less adherence to road traffic laws (e.g., not waiting for a green crossing signal before crossing the road) when walking as pedestrians.

Conclusions and implications

These results offer some much-needed insight into the behaviours of those with DCD outside of the laboratory environment and underline the need for research investigating the driving and pedestrian behaviours of individuals with DCD in 'real-world' contexts.

OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Shipley I, Esselaar M, Wood S, Parr JVV, Wright DJ, Wood G (2024) The self-reported driving and pedestrian behaviour of adults with developmental coordination disorder. *PLoS ONE* 19(5): e0301115. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0301115>

Editor: Marcus Tolentino Silva, University of Brasilia: Universidade de Brasilia, BRAZIL

Received: October 13, 2023

Accepted: March 11, 2024

Published: May 10, 2024

Peer Review History: PLOS recognizes the benefits of transparency in the peer review process; therefore, we enable the publication of all of the content of peer review and author responses alongside final, published articles. The editorial history of this article is available here: <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0301115>

Copyright: © 2024 Shipley et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All data are available via the Open Science Framework (See here: <https://osf.io/7cb9w/>).

Funding: The author(s) received no specific funding for this work.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Introduction

Developmental Coordination Disorder (DCD), also known as dyspraxia, is a neurodevelopmental disorder that is primarily characterised by poor motor control and coordination. Those with DCD experience movement difficulties that are substantially below that expected given the individual's chronological age and which occur despite typical levels of neurological and intellectual function [1]. As well as impaired motor control, individuals with DCD often have poor spatial awareness [2], a reduced ability to judge distances [3], poor reaction time [4] and a reduced ability to process multiple stimuli [5]. It is perhaps unsurprising then that individuals with DCD often struggle to learn and perform activities of daily living, struggle when playing sports and struggle when navigating complex real-world environments [1].

One complex, real-world environment that has received research attention in relation to DCD is driving a car. Experimental studies using driving simulators have previously shown that drivers with DCD have more collisions, drive more slowly, and drive closer to on-coming traffic [6]. Drivers with DCD also show larger variance in car heading and make more steering adjustments on straight roads [7], show difficulties in steering when turning bends and take 50% more time to react to pedestrians in their path [8], compared to drivers without DCD. To date, only one study has attempted to document the driving behaviour of individuals with DCD in real-world driving contexts outside of the laboratory. Kirby, Sugden, and Edwards [9] used a semi-structured questionnaire to examine the driving experiences of young adults (17–25 years) with DCD. They found that drivers with DCD had felt less confident and needed a greater number of attempts to pass their theory and practical tests, drove significantly fewer miles per week, and experienced *fewer* traffic collisions and parking violations compared to typically developed adults. Although there is some disparity in these research findings, it is clear that driving is very challenging for individuals with DCD. Additionally, very little is known about *why* individuals with DCD drive differently (i.e., faster, or slower) or have more or less traffic violations compared to 'typically developed' (TD) adult drivers. These driving behaviours might be attributable to differences in confidence, aggressive driving behaviours, lapses in attention, or simply that individuals with DCD make more driving errors.

There is also a paucity of research that has explored the pedestrian behaviour of adults with DCD in the real-world. Pedestrians are individuals who walk or travel on foot, and their behaviour is influenced by a variety of factors, including cultural norms, environmental conditions, personal motivations, and situational contexts. While there is extensive evidence to suggest that children [e.g., 10,11] and adults [e.g., 12,13] with DCD exhibit different gait characteristics when walking in laboratory environments, little is currently known about how these differences in walking translate to pedestrian behaviour in complex real-world environments that require avoiding obstacles or people, avoiding distraction, and judging speed and distance when avoiding traffic. Previous work in relation to pedestrian behaviours of people with DCD has mainly focused on the road crossing ability of children. Findings from experimental research has suggested that children with DCD leave considerably longer temporal crossing gaps to cross than TD children [14]. It has been shown that children with DCD perceived road crossing as more dangerous, walk more slowly and leave significantly *less* time to cross the road safely [3]. In the only study to examine road crossing in the real-world, Wilmot and Purcell [15] used questionnaires to survey adults with DCD and parents of children with DCD about their road crossing behaviours. Findings showed that adults with DCD thought that the condition impaired their road crossing ability, reported low confidence in their road crossing ability, and exhibited a greater propensity to engage in dangerous crossing behaviours (i.e., forgetting to look, running without looking, crossing between cars, crossing when they can't see) despite understanding the risky nature of these behaviours and the likelihood of

accidents. While road crossing is an inherently dangerous part of being a pedestrian, there are other aspects of pedestrian behaviour that have yet to be assessed in the adult DCD population. For example, little is known about how confident individuals feel as pedestrians generally (i.e., outside of road crossing ability), their propensity to conform to norms of pedestrian behaviour (e.g., waiting for the appropriate signal to cross), to engage in risky pedestrian behaviours like wearing headphones or looking at their phone when walking, or even to exhibit aggressive pedestrian behaviours. Finally, the investigation conducted by Wilmut and Purcell [15] did not include a control group and it is therefore difficult to assess the self-reported pedestrian behaviours reported compared to TD adult pedestrians.

The aim of this study was therefore to explore the self-reported driving and pedestrian behaviours of adults with DCD to gain an understanding of their perception of the way in which DCD impacts how they navigate these real-world environments. Due to the significant challenges in carrying out this work in real-world driving and pedestrian contexts, capturing the lived experience of individuals with DCD through self-report will further inform the limited research in this area and help to facilitate future more ecologically valid studies in real-world-contexts. Based on previous research, it was hypothesised that adults with DCD would report lower confidence when driving and report a different number of traffic collisions compared to TD adult drivers. We further hypothesised that these increased collisions would be underpinned by more lapses in attention, more driving errors, and more driving violations. In relation to pedestrian behaviour, based on previous research we hypothesised that adults with DCD would report lower confidence as pedestrians, would suffer more lapses in attention (e.g., forgetting to look), engage in more risky behaviours (e.g., wearing headphones while walking) and exhibit less positive pedestrian behaviour (e.g., less adherence to pedestrian traffic laws) compared to TD adult pedestrians.

Methods

Participants

One hundred and twenty-eight adults with or without DCD were recruited via an online survey that was distributed by word of mouth, university email addresses, and social media platforms. Convenience sampling was used as it offers advantages when studying niche populations or groups that are small or challenging to access, like adults with DCD, which often makes probability sampling impractical. This sampling method has also been used in similar studies on driving and pedestrian behaviour in DCD populations [9,15]. Participants with DCD were required to confirm they had previously received a formal DCD diagnosis. Recruitment took place between December 2022 and March 2023. The demographics of each group are presented in Table 1. Participants who held a driver's licence answered items related

Table 1. Participant demographics.

	DCD Drivers	TD Drivers	DCD Pedestrians	TD Pedestrians
Number of participants	46	58	62	66
Mean age (yrs.) (SD)	41 (18)	41 (13)	38 (14)	40 (18)
Number of years held a driver licence. (yrs.) (SD)	17 (13)	19 (17)	-	-
Gender	Male = 9 Female = 36 Other = 1	Male = 26 Female = 32 Other = 0	Male = 14 Female = 46 Other = 2	Male = 28 Female = 38 Other = 0

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0301115.t001>

to being a driver and a pedestrian, those who did not hold a licence answered items only responded to questions related to being a pedestrian. Ethics was approved by the institutional ethics committee at Manchester Metropolitan University (ID:48614). Written informed consent was taken from all participants prior to data collection.

Procedure

The survey was presented to participants using the online platform <https://www.jisc.ac.uk/>. The questionnaire used can be found in Supplementary Material.

Measures

Confidence and driving collisions. Confidence when driving was measured by asking participants “How confident do you feel when driving?” and participants responded on a 5-point Likert scale from 1 (Not at all) to 5 (Extremely confident). The number of collisions was measured by asking “How many collisions with other cars, people or objects have you experienced when you have been driving?”. Confidence as a pedestrian was measured by asking “How confident do you feel when crossing the road or walking next to the road?” and participants responded on a 5-point Likert scale from 1 (Not at all) to 5 (Extremely confident).

Driving behaviour. The Driver Behaviour Questionnaire (DBQ) [16] was used to assess self-reported driving behaviour. The DBQ contained 24 items related to four different behaviours: errors, lapses of attention, ordinary violations, and aggressive violations. Participants answered on a Likert scale (0 = never to 5 = always) and the mean score for each driving behaviour was calculated by aggregating responses from questions related to each behaviour [17]. Definitions and example questions for each behaviour are shown in Table 2. A meta-analysis of 174 studies revealed the DBQ to have good predictive validity of road traffic accidents [18].

Pedestrian behaviour. The Pedestrian Behaviour Questionnaire (PBQ) [19] was used to assess self-reported pedestrian behaviour. All participants answered 21 items from PBQ which contains items on four different behaviours: positive behaviours, violations, lapses of attention, and aggressive behaviours. The mean score for each pedestrian behaviour was calculated by aggregating responses from questions related to each behaviour [19]. Definitions and example questions for each behaviour are shown in Table 3. Participants answered on a Likert scale (0 = never to 5 = always). The PBQ has been shown to have good construct validity and test-retest reliability [19].

Data analysis

Internal consistency and scale reliability was determined for each subscale of each questionnaire using Cronbach’s alpha. For the DBQ, errors ($\alpha = .76$), lapses of attention ($\alpha = .80$),

Table 2. Definition of the types of driving behaviour with example questions from the DBQ.

Driving Behaviour	Definition	Example
Errors	Deficiency in knowledge of traffic rules and/or in the inferential processes involved in making a decision.	‘Fail to check the rear-view mirror before a manoeuvre’
Lapses of attention	Unintentional deviation from practices related to a lack of concentration on the task, forgetfulness.	‘Forget where you left your car in the car park’
Ordinary violations	Deliberate deviation from social rules without intention to cause injury or cause damage.	‘Overtake a slow driver on the inside’
Aggressive behaviour	A tendency to misinterpret other road users’ behaviour resulting in the intention to annoy or danger.	Race away from the traffic lights to beat another driver’

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0301115.t002>

Table 3. Definition of the types of pedestrian behaviour with example questions from the PBQ.

Pedestrian Behaviour	Definition	Example
Positive behaviour	Behaviour that seeks to avoid violation or error and/or seeks to ensure traffic rules compliance	I cross the street after all the vehicles are stopped and the pedestrian light is green.
Violations	Deliberate deviation from social rules without intention to cause injury or cause damage.	I cross the street, talking on a cell phone or listening to music with my headphones.
Lapses of attention	Unintentional deviation from practices related to a lack of concentration on the task; forgetfulness	I follow other people who cross the street unsafely in dangerous situations.
Aggressive behaviour	A tendency to misinterpret other road users' behaviour resulting in the intention to annoy or endanger others.	I get angry with other road users (driver, pedestrian, cyclist) and insult them.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0301115.t003>

ordinary violations ($\alpha = .84$) and aggressive behaviour ($\alpha = .81$), were found to be reliable and consistent. For the PBQ, scales related to positive behaviour ($\alpha = .70$), violations ($\alpha = .77$), lapses of attention ($\alpha = .81$), and aggressive behaviour ($\alpha = .71$) were found to be reliable and consistent. These values represent acceptable-to-good internal consistency across all sub-scales [20].

As data were non-parametric, separate Mann-Whitney U tests were run to determine the differences between the DCD and TD groups for confidence, number of collisions, and for each subscale of the DPQ and the DBQ.

Results

Driving behaviour

Adults with DCD self-reported less confidence when driving ($z = 3.35, p < .001$) compared to TD adults. There was no significant difference between the number of driving collisions reported between DCD and TD individuals ($z = 1.23, p = .220$).

Analysis of the DBQ revealed that there was no significant difference between driving violations ($z = 1.40, p = .161$) or aggressive driving behaviours ($z = 0.65, p = .514$) between DCD and TD groups. However, adults with DCD reported experiencing more self-reported driving errors ($z = 2.01, p = .045$) and more lapses in attention ($z = 5.69, p < .001$) compared to TD adults (Table 4).

Table 4. Self-reported confidence when driving, number of collisions and driver behaviours for DCD and TD groups (* $p < .05$, ** $p < .001$).

	DCD Drivers	TD Drivers
Confidence when driving	2.98** (1.13)	3.97 (1.19)
Number of collisions	1.67 (2.49)	1.03 (1.20)
Errors	1.94* (0.15)	1.63 (0.21)
Lapses of attention	2.87** (0.11)	1.89 (0.16)
Ordinary violations	1.76 (0.25)	1.93 (0.13)
Aggressive behaviours	1.84 (0.13)	1.92 (0.16)

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0301115.t004>

Pedestrian behaviour

Adults with DCD self-reported less confidence ($z = 4.08, p < .001$) and reported significantly less positive pedestrian behaviours as measured by the PBQ ($z = 2.33, p = .020$) compared to their TD counterparts. Further analyses revealed no significant differences were evident in violations ($z = 1.19, p = .232$), lapses in attention ($z = 1.26, p = .206$) or aggressive behaviours ($z = 1.28, p = .201$) between groups.

Discussion

The aim of this study was to understand more about the self-reported driving and pedestrian behaviours of adults with DCD. Regarding self-reported driving behaviours, it was hypothesised that adults with DCD would report lower confidence when driving and experience a different number of collisions. Results provided some support for these hypotheses in that adults with DCD reported lower confidence when driving compared to TD adults. There were, however, no differences in the number of self-reported collisions between the two groups, yet adults with DCD did report experiencing significantly more lapses of attention and making more errors when driving (see [Table 3](#)).

Lower confidence in individuals with DCD is well documented both as a general self-concept [21] and a domain-specific construct related to driving [9]. Lower confidence in adult drivers with DCD is intuitively understandable given their previous experiences of needing a greater number of attempts to pass their theory and practical tests [9], evidence that suggests they may experience more traffic collisions [6] and their general experience of struggling with motor tasks as a result of having lived with DCD all their lives [1]. This lower confidence may also be a reason why adults with DCD self-report driving slower and less often than typically developing adult drivers [9]. The findings from the DBQ indicate that adult drivers with DCD self-report experiencing more lapses of attention and making more errors when driving. Given their awareness of these issues, it is understandable that they are less confident when driving. It appears, therefore, that adult drivers with DCD may develop compensatory strategies to mitigate these issues, such as only driving when necessary and lowering their general driving speed when they do drive. Use of such compensatory strategies by adult drivers with DCD may explain why our findings show no differences in self-reported traffic collisions between the two groups. Although speculative, children and adults with DCD are known to develop compensatory strategies to cope with and overcome their motor coordination difficulties [22]) and so it is plausible that this may extend to driving behaviours.

In relation to pedestrian behaviour, it was hypothesised that adults with DCD would report less confidence as pedestrians and exhibit more risky behaviours compared to TD adults. Support was found for these predictions as pedestrians with DCD did indeed report lower levels of confidence and reported exhibiting less positive pedestrian behaviours compared to TD adults ([Table 5](#)). This lower confidence suggests that these self-perceptions are not just related to driving but extend to pedestrian behaviours too. This again corroborates previous research reporting lower levels of general self-confidence in individuals with DCD [21] and this may be related to the negative experiences individuals with DCD have perhaps faced as children and adults during road crossing [15,23]. Adults with DCD also reported engaging in significantly fewer positive (i.e., riskier) pedestrian behaviours compared to TD adults. The behaviours centre around observing the priorities of traffic, taking greater care at night-time, crossing in appropriate places and at appropriate times (e.g., when faced with a green walk signal), averting dangerous crossing situations, and attempting to estimate vehicle speeds and crossing gaps. Interestingly, many of these 'risky' behaviours have also been shown in previous experimental research [14,15,23,24]. Previous research has suggested that adults with DCD

Table 5. Self-reported confidence as a pedestrian and pedestrian behaviours for DCD and TD groups (* $p < .05$, ** $p < .001$).

	DCD Pedestrians	TD Pedestrians
Confidence when walking	3.05** (1.11)	4.26 (1.00)
Positive behaviours	3.09* (0.62)	3.40 (0.77)
Violations	2.29 (0.83)	2.12 (0.85)
Lapses of attention	1.66 (0.93)	1.76 (0.81)
Aggressive behaviours	1.58 (0.83)	1.48 (0.84)

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0301115.t005>

understand the risky nature of these behaviours and the likelihood of accidents [14]; our results also show that they are aware that they engage in these risky behaviours when pedestrians. As the data from the PBQ indicates no differences in lapses of attention, violations, or aggressive behaviours between adults with and without DCD (Table 5), it is likely that other factors may underpin this riskier pedestrian behaviour reported by adults with DCD. One possibility is that although adults with DCD are aware of what constitutes safe pedestrian behaviour, it is only in practice, where the execution of these behaviours is dependent on their impaired perceptual abilities, that they find themselves in compromised and potentially unsafe pedestrian scenarios. In essence, it may not be that they wilfully engage in unsafe pedestrian behaviours, but rather that they often find themselves in these situations due to their impaired perceptual abilities.

Taken together these findings highlight a general feeling of lower confidence when navigating real-world environments in adults with DCD. This is in sharp contrast to previous experimental studies using simulated (i.e., computer-based) pedestrian [24] and driving environments [6–8] that found no differences in confidence between individuals with and without DCD. An explanation for these contradictory findings could therefore be related to differences in study methodologies. For example, laboratory-based experiments in virtual environments have no real physical consequences of the driving or pedestrian behaviours performed by participants. This may inflate the confidence of individuals with DCD and is maybe the cause of reckless behaviours witnessed in both simulated driving [6–8] and simulated pedestrian contexts [24]. There are obvious limitations to self-report measures used in this study also, and the disparity between self-reported and objective measures of driving behaviour and road safety have been documented [25]. Therefore, there is a clear need for the examination of the behaviour of individuals with DCD in everyday, real-world environments. While such research offers some unique challenges, the availability and accessibility of technologies (e.g., mobile eye-tracking) may offer solutions for understanding the perceptual-cognitive strategies used by adults with DCD in real-world driving and pedestrian contexts. Future work should also investigate the relationship between anxiety and confidence in individuals with DCD when navigating the real world. Anxiety typically shares an inverse relationship with perceptions of self-confidence [26], so it is possible that lower confidence in driving and pedestrian context could be anxiety-induced. Given the reported past experiences in these contexts (e.g., more collisions) this interaction is likely and worthy of future investigation. Finally, a limitation of this work is that we did not account for co-occurring conditions like ADHD that are prevalent in the DCD population and have been previously shown to affect at least some aspects of road crossing behaviour (i.e., looking behaviours [15]). Future research should take

greater consideration of co-occurring conditions and their mediating role in pedestrian and driving behaviour.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Isobel Shipley, Maaïke Esselaar, Samuel Wood, Johnny V. V. Parr, David J. Wright, Greg Wood.

Data curation: Isobel Shipley, Johnny V. V. Parr, David J. Wright, Greg Wood.

Formal analysis: Greg Wood.

Investigation: Isobel Shipley, Johnny V. V. Parr, Greg Wood.

Methodology: Maaïke Esselaar, Samuel Wood, Johnny V. V. Parr, David J. Wright, Greg Wood.

Project administration: Isobel Shipley.

Resources: Isobel Shipley.

Supervision: Samuel Wood, Greg Wood.

Writing – original draft: Isobel Shipley, Greg Wood.

Writing – review & editing: Isobel Shipley, Maaïke Esselaar, Samuel Wood, Johnny V. V. Parr, David J. Wright, Greg Wood.

References

1. Blank R., Barnett A. L., Cairney J., Green D., Kirby A., Polatajko H., et al. (2019) 'International clinical practice recommendations on the definition, diagnosis, assessment, intervention, and psychosocial aspects of developmental coordination disorder.' *Developmental medicine and child neurology*, 61 (3) pp. 242–285. <https://doi.org/10.1111/dmcn.14132> PMID: 30671947
2. Gomez A., & Sirigu A. (2015). Developmental coordination disorder: core sensori-motor deficits, neurobiology and etiology. *Neuropsychologia*, 79, 272–287. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2015.09.032> PMID: 26423663
3. Purcell C., Wilmut K. and Wann J. P. (2017) 'The use of visually guided behaviour in children with Developmental Coordination Disorder (DCD) when crossing a virtual road.' *Human movement science*, 53 pp. 37–44. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.humov.2016.11.007> PMID: 27939726
4. Henderson L., Rose P., & Henderson S. (1992). Reaction time and movement time in children with a developmental coordination disorder. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 33(5), 895–905. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7610.1992.tb01963.x> PMID: 1378849
5. Delgado-Lobete L., Pérttega-Díaz S., Santos-del-Riego S., & Montes-Montes R. (2020). Sensory processing patterns in developmental coordination disorder, attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and typical development. *Research in developmental disabilities*, 100, 103608. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ridd.2020.103608> PMID: 32087509
6. Gentle J., Brady D., Woodger N., Croston S. and Leonard H. C. (2021) 'Driving skills of individuals with and without Developmental Coordination Disorder (DCD/Dyspraxia).' *Frontiers in human neuroscience*, 15 p. 635649. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2021.635649> PMID: 33762916
7. de Oliveira R. F., & Wann J. P. (2011). Driving skills of young adults with developmental coordination disorder: Regulating speed and coping with distraction. *Research in developmental disabilities*, 32(4), 1301–1308. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ridd.2010.12.021> PMID: 21242057
8. De Oliveira R. F. and Wann J. P. (2012) 'Driving skills of young adults with developmental coordination disorder: Maintaining control and avoiding hazards.' *Human movement science*, 31(3) pp. 721–729. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.humov.2011.06.010> PMID: 21871682
9. Kirby A., Sugden D. and Edwards L. (2011) 'Driving behaviour in young adults with developmental coordination disorder.' *Journal of adult development*, 18(3) pp. 122–129.
10. Parr J. V., Foster R. J., Wood G., & Hollands M. A. (2020). Children with developmental coordination disorder exhibit greater stepping error despite similar gaze patterns and state anxiety levels to their

- typically developing peers. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, 14, 303. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2020.00303> PMID: 32848677
11. Parr J. V., Foster R. J., Wood G., Thomas N. M., & Hollands M. A. (2020). Children with developmental coordination disorder show altered visuomotor control during stair negotiation associated with heightened state anxiety. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, 512. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2020.589502> PMID: 33328936
 12. Du W., Wilmut K., & Barnett A. L. (2015). Level walking in adults with and without developmental coordination disorder: an analysis of movement variability. *Human movement science*, 43, 9–14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.humov.2015.06.010> PMID: 26149451
 13. Wilmut K., Gentle J., & Barnett A. L. (2017). Gait symmetry in individuals with and without developmental coordination disorder. *Research in developmental disabilities*, 60, 107–114. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ridd.2016.11.016> PMID: 27912103
 14. Purcell C., Wann J. P., Wilmut K., & Poulter D. (2011). Roadside judgments in children with Developmental Co-ordination Disorder. *Research in developmental disabilities*, 32(4), 1283–1292. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ridd.2010.12.022> PMID: 21247732
 15. Wilmut K. and Purcell C. (2020) 'The lived experience of crossing the road when you have developmental coordination disorder (DCD): The perspectives of parents of children with DCD and adults with DCD.' *Frontiers in psychology*, 11 p. 587042. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.587042> PMID: 33329244
 16. Reason J., Manstead A., Stradling S., Baxter J. and Campbell K. (1990) 'Errors and violations on the roads: a real distinction?' *Ergonomics*, 33(10–11) pp. 1315–1332. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00140139008925335> PMID: 20073122
 17. Wood G., Hartley G., Furley P. A., & Wilson M. R. (2016). Working memory capacity, visual attention and hazard perception in driving. *Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition*, 5(4), 454–462.
 18. De Winter J. C. F., & Dodou D. (2010). The Driver Behaviour Questionnaire as a predictor of accidents: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Safety Research*, 41(6), 463–470. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsr.2010.10.007> PMID: 21134510
 19. Bazargan H. S., Haghghi M., Heydari S. T., Soori H., Shahkolai F. R., Motevalian S. A., et al. (2020). Developing and validating a measurement tool to self-report pedestrian safety-related behavior: The Pedestrian Behavior Questionnaire (PBQ). *Bulletin of Emergency & Trauma*, 8(4), 229.
 20. George D., & Mallery P. (2016). *IBM SPSS Statistics 23 Step by Step: A simple guide and reference*. (14th ed.). Routledge.
 21. Harris S., Wilmut K., & Rathbone C. (2021). Anxiety, confidence and self-concept in adults with and without developmental coordination disorder. *Research in developmental disabilities*, 119, 104119. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ridd.2021.104119> PMID: 34736108
 22. Missiuna C., Gaines R., Mclean J., DeLaat D., Egan M., & Soucie H. (2008). Description of children identified by physicians as having developmental coordination disorder. *Developmental Medicine & Child Neurology*, 50(11), 839–844.21. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8749.2008.03140.x> PMID: 18811713
 23. Wilmut K., & Purcell C. (2021). The nature of the risk faced by pedestrians with neurodevelopmental disorders: a systematic review. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 149, 105886. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2020.105886> PMID: 33248701
 24. Purcell C., & Romijn A. R. (2017). Appropriateness of different pedagogical approaches to road safety education for children with Developmental Coordination Disorder (DCD). *Research in Developmental Disabilities*, 70, 85–93. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ridd.2017.08.010> PMID: 28918308
 25. Kaye S. A., Lewis I., & Freeman J. (2018). Comparison of self-report and objective measures of driving behavior and road safety: A systematic review. *Journal of safety research*, 65, 141–151. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsr.2018.02.012> PMID: 29776523
 26. Hanton S., Mellalieu S. D., & Hall R. (2004). Self-confidence and anxiety interpretation: A qualitative investigation. *Psychology of sport and exercise*, 5(4), 477–495.