

Please cite the Published Version

Whitehead, Kathryn A., Lynch, Stephen ^(D), Amin, Mohsin, Deisenroth, Ted, Liauw, Christopher M and Verran, Joanna ^(D) (2023) Effects of Cationic and Anionic Surfaces on the Perpendicular and Lateral Forces and Binding of Aspergillus niger Conidia. Nanomaterials, 13 (22). 2932 ISSN 2079-4991

DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/nano13222932

Publisher: MDPI AG

Version: Published Version

Downloaded from: https://e-space.mmu.ac.uk/633295/

Usage rights:

(cc) BY

Creative Commons: Attribution 4.0

Additional Information: This is an Open Access article published in Nanomaterials, by MDPI.

Enquiries:

If you have questions about this document, contact openresearch@mmu.ac.uk. Please include the URL of the record in e-space. If you believe that your, or a third party's rights have been compromised through this document please see our Take Down policy (available from https://www.mmu.ac.uk/library/using-the-library/policies-and-guidelines)





Article Effects of Cationic and Anionic Surfaces on the Perpendicular and Lateral Forces and Binding of Aspergillus niger Conidia

Kathryn A. Whitehead ^{1,*}, Stephen Lynch ², Mohsin Amin ¹, Ted Deisenroth ³, Christopher M. Liauw ¹ and Joanna Verran ¹

- ¹ Microbiology at Interfaces, Manchester Metropolitan University, Chester St., Manchester M1 5GD, UK; m.amin@mmu.ac.uk (M.A.); chris.liauw.curvi.hifi@googlemail.com (C.M.L.)
- ² Department of Computing and Mathematics, Manchester Metropolitan University, Chester St., Manchester M1 5GD, UK; s.lynch@mmu.ac.uk
- ³ BASF Corporation (Formerly Ciba Speciality Chemicals Inc.), Tarrytown, NY 10591, USA; ted.deisenroth@basf.com
- * Correspondence: k.a.whitehead@mmu.ac.uk

Abstract: The binding of conidia to surfaces is a prerequisite for biofouling by fungal species. In this study, *Aspergillus niger* subtypes 1957 and 1988 were used which produced differently shaped conidia (round or spikey respectively). Test surfaces were characterised for their surface topography, wettability, and hardness. Conidial assays included perpendicular and lateral force measurements, as well as attachment, adhesion and retention assays. Anionic surfaces were less rough (R_a 2.4 nm), less wettable (54°) and harder (0.72 GPa) than cationic surfaces (R_a 5.4 nm, 36° and 0.5 GPa, respectively). Perpendicular and lateral force assays demonstrated that both types of conidia adhered with more force to the anionic surfaces and were influenced by surface wettability. Following the binding assays, fewer *A. niger* 1957 and *A. niger* 1988 conidia bound to the anionic surface. However, surface wettability affected the density and dispersion of the conidia on the coatings, whilst clustering was affected by their spore shapes. This work demonstrated that anionic surfaces were more repulsive to *A. niger* 1998 spores than cationic surfaces were, but once attached, the conidia bound more firmly to the anionic surfaces. This work informs on the importance of understanding how conidia become tightly bound to surfaces, which can be used to prevent biofouling.

Keywords: cationic; anionic; fungal conidia; *Aspergillus*; multifractal analysis; atomic force microscopy force measurements

1. Introduction

An ever-increasing global public health concern is that of fungal infections [1]. Resistant microorganisms prevent the efficacy of a number of available medicines, resulting in the persistence and spread of antimicrobial-resistant organisms [2]. Aspergillus niger is an opportunistic pathogen that is usually considered to be of low virulence [3]. However, although Aspergillus fumigatus is a predominant etiological agent, when clinical samples have been analysed, Aspergillus flavus and A. niger isolates have both been recovered [4]. In a small number of instances, A. niger can colonise the body opportunistically if patients are severely ill or immunosuppressed [5]. People most at risk are those with underlying health problems or a weakened immune system, such as those with chronic lung disease, prior tuberculosis (TB), human immunodeficiency virus, cancer, or diabetes mellitus [1]. When present as a co-species with Candida albicans, A. niger has been known to cause chronic necrotising semi-invasive pneumonia [6]. A. niger can also cause pulmonary infections [7,8], and although rare, necrotizing A. niger fungal pneumonia has been reported to be the cause of invasive pulmonary aspergillosis [9], which has also been found following bilateral lung transplantation [3]. In addition, A. niger infections have been found in patients with haematological diseases and this fungus constitutes the most frequent agent of otomycosis [10-12].



Citation: Whitehead, K.A.; Lynch, S.; Amin, M.; Deisenroth, T.; Liauw, C.M.; Verran, J. Effects of Cationic and Anionic Surfaces on the Perpendicular and Lateral Forces and Binding of *Aspergillus niger* Conidia. *Nanomaterials* **2023**, *13*, 2932. https:// doi.org/10.3390/nano13222932

Academic Editor: Ana B. Pereiro

Received: 15 September 2023 Revised: 24 October 2023 Accepted: 28 October 2023 Published: 11 November 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). Such infections are of extreme importance, since the treatment of invasive fungal infections involves the use of systemic antifungal drugs which must be used for long periods of time and these may have severe side effects [13].

Fungal transmission can occur because conidia can survive in a number of extreme environments [14]. Additionally, it has been shown that *A. niger* has the ability to form biofilms on polymeric structures [15–17], a process which is preceded by spore adhesion. In an attempt to reduce infections arising from contamination in hospitals, a number of potential solutions have been suggested. One such solution is to produce antiadhesive surfaces that are repellent to fungal conidia. Research on antimicrobial surfaces is increasing, but only a few studies have been carried out on antiadhesive surfaces [13]. One advantage of using antiadhesive surfaces is that fungal spores are particularly resistant to many types of antimicrobial and biocidal agents that may be released from antimicrobial surfaces, so this should always be considered in such investigations.

When fungal binding was compared between different types of surfaces, it was found that fewer studies investigated the effects of anionic polymers on biofouling, compared to cationic surfaces [18,19]. In addition, although there has been some exploration in this context, most studies on cationic surfaces have been carried out using yeast, rather than filamentous fungi or spores [13]. Adherence between spores and/or hyphae and the substratum is a complex process, which is dependent on a number of physicochemical (e.g., charge and hydrophobicity) and surface interactions that are not well understood [20]. In addition to the surface properties, the properties of the conidia, such as the presence of glycoproteins, hydrophobins, carbohydrates, and lipids, also influence their binding behaviour [21].

The methods generally used to determine the antiadhesive efficacy of fungal spore binding to surfaces include counting methods. However, atomic force microscopy (AFM) has allowed for force measurements of individual conidia attachment to a surface [14,22]. This involves using a single sphere or fungal spore, which has been bound to a cantilever, to create a particle probe. The force of the attachment between the conidia and the surface can then be determined. The AFM can also be used to carry out lateral force measurements, which can be used to determine the force needed to remove microorganisms from a surface under liquid [23–25].

The use of microscopy enables the use of percentage coverage to determine the number of microorganisms bound to a surface [26]. However, the percentage of coverage only yields the total amount of cells across the surface and does not describe the distribution of the microorganisms. MATLAB[®] is a mathematical package that enables multifractal analysis to be used to describe the dispersion, distribution, or clustering of microorganisms across surfaces [27], since it uses a box-counting method used to calculate fractal dimensions [28,29]. This is important, since determining the distribution and pattern of conidia across surfaces may inform how surface properties affect binding. There has been continuing interest in multifractal analysis for such concepts, and these have been gradually more widely used in a variety of scientific disciplines [29–31].

The aim of this work was to determine how the properties of anionic and cationic surfaces influenced the perpendicular and lateral forces on fungal conidia removal, as well as the amount and distribution of binding, in order to determine the antiadhesive nature of the test surfaces.

2. Methods and Materials

2.1. Spin Coated Surfaces

Silicon wafers (Montco Technologies, Spring City, PA, USA) were used as substrates on which to produce the spin-coated surfaces, using polymers dissolved in 20% tetrahydrofuran (THF). Methacylic acid, polymerised with γ -MPS (3-methacryloxypropyltrimethoxysilane), was used to produce the anionic surface, and a quaternary ammonium functional methacrylate, copolymerised with γ -MPS, was used to produce the cationic surface. The polymeris-

ing mixture was dropped onto silicon wafer disks covering them and the samples were spun at 2000 rpm for 10–15 s.

2.2. Determination of Surface Topography Images and Roughness Values (R_a)

An Explorer Atomic Force Microscope (AFM) (Veeco, Cambridge, UK) was used to obtain topographic images and R_a measurements (average deviation from a mean centre line), in non-contact mode, using a cantilever with a spring constant of 50 N m⁻¹. Three images, from surfaces at a 5 μ m × 5 μ m scan size, from differently produced samples were taken to calculate the surface roughness measurements (n = 3).

2.3. Hardness of the Coatings

A Micro Materials Nanotest Nanoindentor (Micro Materials Limited, Wrexham, UK) was used to collect the nanoindentor results, using a Berkovich diamond. The diamond had a maximum to minimum load of 10–0.5 mN and maximum depth of 50 nm (n = 3).

2.4. Wettability of the Surfaces

A goniometer was used to measure the water contact angles of the surfaces. The sample was placed on a stage, and 5 μ L of sterile distilled water was placed on the sample surface using a 5 μ L micro syringe (Hamilton, Bonaduz, Switzerland) (*n* = 5).

2.5. Preparation of Conidia

The *Aspergillus* species were inoculated from a short-term agar slope onto an agar plate using a sterile swab dipped into Sabouraud broth (Lab M, Bury, UK). The inoculated agar was incubated for 4 days at 29 °C. Five millilitres of Sabouraud broth was added to the fungal culture and using a sterile glass Pasteur pipette, the conidia were removed from the culture by rubbing the surface. A sterile beaker with a sterile magnetic stirrer was used to collect 5 mL aliquots of conidia, and the suspension was stirred for 30 min to separate the spores. The suspension was filtered through glass wool (VWR, Poole, Dorset) then conidia in the filtrate were harvested at 3000 g for 10 min. The conidia were washed three times in sterile distilled water and re-suspended to an optical density of 1.0 at 610 nm which equated to approximately $5.0 \pm 0.3 \times 10^6$ spores cm² for *A. niger* 1957 and $5.3 \pm 0.6 \times 10^6$ spores cm² for *A. niger* 1988. A haemocytometer was used to determine the number of conidia. The suspensions were stored at 4 °C and used within 4 weeks.

2.6. Imaging of Fungal Spores

Ten microliters of washed conidia were applied to a 1 μ m × 1 μ m polished silicon wafer (Montco Silicon Technologies, Spring City, PA, USA) and dried for 1 h in a Class 2 flow hood. The samples were transferred to 4% v/v glutaraldehyde (Agar Scientific Ltd., Stansted, UK) made with sterile distilled water which was stored at 4 °C for 24 h, before removal and washing in sterile distilled water. Following drying, the surfaces were removed and subjected to an ethanol (Fisher Scientific, Loughborough, UK) gradient in concentrations of 30% v/v in sterile distilled water for 10 min to 50% v/v, 70% v/v, 90% v/v, and finally 100% v/v. The samples were then stored in a desiccator until use. The samples were gold sputter coated. This was carried out using a Polaron E5100 sputter coater (Quorum, East Sussex, UK) at 0.09 mbar, for 3 min, at 2500 V, in argon gas at a power of 18–20 mA. Images of substrata were obtained using a JEOL JSM 5600L SEM (n = 3).

2.7. Perpendicular Force Measurements

Tipless cantilevers (Veeco, Cambridge, UK) were glued onto cantilever stubs (Veeco, Cambridge, UK) using a two-phase silver mounting adhesive. A glass cover slip ($20 \text{ cm} \times 20 \text{ cm}$) was attached to an AFM mounting disc (Veeco, Cambridge, UK) using double-sided sticky tape. Ten microliters of washed spore suspension were placed onto the glass coverslip and dried in air for 1 h in a Class 2 flow hood. Cyanoacrylate gel (Bostik, Leicester, UK) was added to the coverslip. The attached coverslip on the mounting disc was

placed onto the AFM stage, then the AFM camera and XY automated translation stage were used to move the tipless cantilever to the edge of the cyanoacrylate gel. The tip was lowered in the z plane until the cantilever momentarily touched the gel, and then the cantilever was immediately moved in the z plane away from the gel. The cantilever was moved across the coverslip until a suitable conidium was found. The cantilever was lowered until it touched the conidium. Once the gel had made contact with the conidium, the cantilever was left in place for 10 s before being lifted. The cantilever was removed from the AFM and left for 24 h to allow the adhesive to fully cure. Light and electron microscopy were used to check the quality and validity of the conidium glued to the cantilevers. Following quality control of the force data and cantilever spring constant, it was found that the conidiumcantilevers could each be used for up to twenty force measurements before they became unstable. The spring constant of the cantilever was determined before each perpendicular or lateral force experiment using the NanoScope AFM software v6.13. This was carried out by measuring the mechanical response of the cantilever to thermal noise as a function of time. To determine the perpendicular force of attachment between the particle probe and the substratum, the particle probe was brought into contact momentarily with the surface and the measurements calculated from force-distance curves where the distance travelled by the particle probe was plotted against the deflection of the cantilever. The deflection of the cantilever was converted into force (*F*) using Hooke's law:

$$F = -k \times d \tag{1}$$

where *d* was the deflection of the cantilever and *k* the cantilever spring constant. By plotting *F* as a function of (z-d), the curve was corrected, where *z* was the vertical displacement of the piezoelectric scanner. The spring constant was multiplied using Hooke's Law to calculate the force required to retract the particle probe from the surface. By subtracting the reciprocal of the slope, the displacement was calculated. The Hertz model was used to calculate Young's modulus. The applied force was calculated by subtracting the zero of the force from the image setpoint and converted to nN from nA [23]. Ten replicates of each spore type were taken per surface sample.

ŀ

2.8. Lateral Force Measurements Using Fungal Spores

One hundred microlitres of conidial suspension was pipetted onto the surface and dried for 1 h in a Class 2 hood. The AFM was used in contact mode and the cantilevers (pyramidal MLCT probes with a 35° angle) were calibrated before each use. One millilitre of sterile distilled water was added to the surface and the laser realigned. Lateral force assays were carried out at a speed of 1 Hz with a scan size of 50 μ m × 50 μ m. The number of spores remaining on the scanned surface was counted following each scan and the percentage calculated. Five separate scans and measurements were carried out per conidial type per sample. To determine the lateral force measurements, the applied force normal to the plane of interaction was calculated where Θ and φ were the probe geometry and cantilever orientation, respectively [32]:

$$F_{app} = kd \times \sin\left(\Theta + \varphi\right). \tag{2}$$

The lateral force was determined using Equation (3) [32]:

$$F_{lat} = F_{app} \times \cos(\Theta) \tag{3}$$

2.9. Attachment Assays

Replicate substrata (1 cm \times 1 cm) were attached to a stainless steel tray using adhesive gum (Bostick, Leicester, UK) which was placed vertically in a Class 2 flow hood. A spore suspension at an OD of 1.0 \pm 0.1 was sprayed onto the surface using an airbrush set to the finest spray setting (Badger Airbrush, London, UK), propelled by a Letraset 600 mL liquid gas canister (Esselte Letraset Ltd., Kent, UK). The distance between the spray and

the airbrush was 10 cm and the speed of the spray was 50 mm s⁻¹ with a flow rate of 0.2 mL s⁻¹ as it was passed ten times from left to right. Following spraying, the substrata were held and rinsed once with 5 cm³ of distilled H₂O, to remove loosely attached spores.

2.10. Adhesion Assays

For the adhesion assay, the replicate substrata were prepared as for the attachment assay but following spraying, the tray containing the substrata was laid horizontally and air-dried without rinsing.

2.11. Retention Assays

Spores were prepared as previously and then 20 mL of spore suspension was pipetted onto three replicate substrata which had been placed horizontally in a glass Petri dish. These were incubated at room temperature for 1 h without agitation, then the test pieces were removed and rinsed once and dried as in the adhesion assay. All the substrata with spores were stained for 2 min using 0.03% acridine orange in 2% glacial acetic acid (Sigma, Dorset, UK), rinsed then air-dried and visualised and determined using epifluorescence microscopy (Nikon Eclipse E600, Nikon, Surrey, UK).

2.12. Multifractal Analysis (MFA)

The epifluorescent images of the spores were converted to black (surface) and white (cells) binary files using the MathWorks Image Processing Toolbox, where black pixels were assigned values of zero and white pixels one (Figure 1A,C). Multifractal analysis was carried out on both sets of images and the computed $f(\alpha)$ multifractal curves plotted. Images of each of the surfaces with retained microorganisms were processed and the averages were calculated. The numerical multifractal spectra were computed for $-10 \le q \le 10$, in all cases, and boxes of sizes 4, 8, 16, 32, 64, 128, and 256 were used in the computation of box-counting dimension, from which the $f(\alpha)$ curves were generated. This enabled the relative density, dispersion, and clustering of the white pixels to be computed. Two binary images and the corresponding multifractal $f(\alpha)$ curves are shown (Figure 1), where numerical measures of density D_0 , dispersion $\Delta \alpha$, and clustering Δf are also given. For a gentle introduction to fractals and multifractals, see [33]; for the mathematical theory behind multifractals, see [34]; and for a more detailed example in surface morphology, see [35].

2.13. Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was determined using a Student *t*-test, whereby significance was determined if p < 0.05.



Figure 1. Binary images and multifractal spectra. (**A**) A binary image of *A. niger* 1957 on the cationic surface following the retention assay. (**B**) Multifractal $f(\alpha)$ curve for image (**A**). Density is measured by the fractal dimension, $D_0 = 1.87$, a measure of dispersion is given by $\Delta \alpha = 0.73$, and a measure of clustering is given by $\Delta f = 0.66$, indicating clustering of cells. (**C**) A binary image of *A. niger* 1957 on the cationic surface following the attachment assay. (**D**) Multifractal $f(\alpha)$ curve for image (**C**). Density is measured by the fractal dimension, $D_0 = 1.25$, a measure of dispersion is given by $\Delta \alpha = 1.14$, and a measure of clustering is given by $\Delta f = -0.39$, indicating clustering of gaps. The black dash dot lines are $f(\alpha) = \alpha$.

3. Results

AFM was used to demonstrate the topography of the anionic and cationic surfaces (Figure 2A,B). Apart from some linear features on the surfaces, the cationic surface also showed some small surface peaks. The z height in the images of the anionic surface was much lower (266 nm) (Figure 2A), whereas the z height of the cationic surface was 676 nm (Figure 2B) and this was representative across the surfaces (± 20 nm).

To determine the effect of the surface properties on the binding and force of binding of the conidia using different methodological conditions, the hardness, R_a , and wettability measurements of the anionic and cationic surfaces were assessed (Table 1). The results demonstrated that there were no significant differences in the results for the hardness values of the surfaces (p > 0.05) or for the R_a values of the surfaces (p > 0.05). However, significant differences were demonstrated in the wettability on the surfaces (p < 0.05) (anionic 55°; cationic 37°).



Figure 2. Surface topography of the (A) anionic and (B) cationic surfaces using a 50 μm \times 50 μm scan.

Table 1. 🛛	Hardness,	R_a , and	contact	angle	measur	ements	of the	anionic	and	cationic	surfaces.
------------	-----------	-------------	---------	-------	--------	--------	--------	---------	-----	----------	-----------

	Nanoindentation (GPa)	<i>R_a</i> (nm)	Contact Angle (°)
Anionic	0.7 ± 0.2	2.4 ± 0.6	55 ± 0.8
Cationic	0.5 ± 0.01	21.9 ± 11.5	37 ± 3.1

The conidia of *A. niger* 1957 (Figure 3A) and *A. niger* 1988 (Figure 3B) were imaged to demonstrate their differences in their surface features. It was demonstrated that the *A. niger* 1957 conidia, although wrinkled in appearance, did not have the spikey protrusions demonstrated by the conidia of the *A. niger* 1988. The mean sizes of the conidia spores were between 5.5 and 6 μ m in diameter.



Figure 3. SEM images of the conidia of (A) A. niger 1957 and (B) A. niger 1988.

3.1. Perpendicular force measurements

Perpendicular force measurements using cantilevers modified with conidia were used to determine the force of attachment of the spore to the surfaces (Figure 4). The highest perpendicular force was demonstrated by *A. niger* 1957 on anionic surfaces, whilst the least was shown by *A. niger* 1988 on the cationic surface. On the anionic surface, it was demonstrated that there was a significant difference in the amount of perpendicular force for the *A. niger* 1957 force of conidia attachment when compared to the *A. niger* 1988 on the anionic (p < 0.005) or cationic surface (p < 0.001). There was also a significant difference in the results for the *A. niger* 1988 when compared between the anionic (8.2 nN) and cationic (3.6 nN) surfaces (p < 0.005), however, there was no significant difference for the *A. niger* 1957 when compared between the anionic (13.4 nN) surfaces (p > 0.05).



Figure 4. Perpendicular force measurements of the conidia on the anionic and cationic surfaces. A57 = *A. niger* 1957, A88 = *A. niger* 1988, *** = p < 0.005, **** = p < 0.001.

3.2. Lateral Force Measurements

Lateral force measurements were carried out on the surfaces to determine the force needed to push conidia from the surfaces (Figure 5). It was determined that *A. niger* 1988 on anionic surfaces were the most easily removed, whilst *A. niger* 1957 on the cationic surfaces were the most difficult spores to remove.

3.3. Attachment, Adhesion, and Retention Assays

Following the attachment (Figure 6A), adhesion (Figure 6B), and retention (Figure 6C) assays, the results demonstrated that the greatest numbers of spores following the binding assays for *A. niger* 1957 on the cationic surfaces were 8.9×10^4 ; 8.4×10^4 ; 7.8×10^5 cm², respectively, and the least for *A. niger* 1988 on the anionic surfaces (0.0; 1.4×10^4 ; 9.4×10^1 spores cm², respectively). There was a significant difference between the attachment, adhesion, and retention of *A. niger* 1957 on the anionic and cationic surfaces. *A. niger* 1988 on the cationic surfaces, and *A. niger* 1988 on the anionic and cationic surfaces. In addition, for the attachment assays there was a significant difference in the numbers of *A. niger* 1988 on the anionic surface compared to the *A. niger* 1957 on the anionic and cationic surfaces and also when compared to *A. niger* 1988 on the cationic surfaces.



Figure 5. Lateral force measurements and the effect on conidial removal from the surfaces. (**A**) *A. niger* 1957 on anionic surfaces, (**B**) *A. niger* 1957 on cationic surfaces, (**C**) *A. niger* 1988 on anionic surfaces, and (**D**) *A. niger* 1988 on cationic surfaces.



Figure 6. Conidia cm² on anionic and cationic surfaces after (**A**) attachment, (**B**) adhesion, and (**C**) retention assays. A57 = *A. niger* 1957, A88 = *A. niger* 1988, **** denotes statistical differences whereby p < 0.001.

Following the adhesion assays, significant differences were determined. These were seen between *A. niger* 1957 and the anionic and cationic surface, *A. niger* 1988 and the anionic and cationic surface, and *A. niger* 1957 and *A. niger* 1988 and the cationic surface.

The retention assays demonstrated significant differences between *A. niger* 1957 and *A. niger* 1988 on the anionic surfaces (p < 0.001). The assays demonstrated the same pattern whereby *A. niger* 1957 on cationic surfaces > *A. niger* 1988 on cationic surfaces > *A. niger* 1957 on anionic surfaces and *A. niger* 1988 on anionic surfaces.

3.4. Multifractal Analysis (MFA)

The results from the multifractal analysis (Figure 7) demonstrated that both the *A. niger* 1957 and *A. niger* 1988 were most densely distributed across the cationic surfaces, regardless of the assay used (attachment, adhesion, or retention). Both the *A. niger* 1957 and *A. niger* 1988 were more evenly dispersed on the cationic surfaces following the attachment and adhesion assays, whereas they were more evenly dispersed on the anionic surfaces following the retention assays. The results for the clustering were the most difficult to elucidate in that all the *A. niger* 1988 conidia following the attachment, adhesion, or retention assays were the most clustered on the anionic surfaces and for the *A. niger* 1957 following the adhesion assays. However, following the attachment or retention assays, the conidia were more highly clustered on the cationic surfaces.



Figure 7. Multifractal analysis of the (**A**) density, (**B**) dispersion, and (**C**) clustering of the conidia on the surfaces.

4. Discussion

One way to combat the transmission of organisms from fomites to patients has been the use of antiadhesive polymeric coatings. *A. niger* is an opportunistic pathogen and can form biofilms on polymeric structures [15–17]. The properties of a surface that affect the binding of conidia are still poorly understood, and the conidia of *Aspergillus niger* spp. are still of concern in terms of the potential development of infections on biomaterials and from surface transmission. In this work, there were no significant differences in the results for the hardness or the R_a values of the surfaces. However, significant differences were demonstrated in the wettability of the surfaces. In addition, although of similar sizes, SEM imaging of the conidia of the *A. niger* 1957 and *A. niger* 1988 demonstrated them to be of different morphologies, whereby the *A. niger* 1957 had a round shape with wrinkled surfaces, whilst *A. niger* 1988 demonstrated spikey protrusions from the surface, thus adding a further complexity to this work.

4.1. Perpendicular and Lateral Force Measurements

Perpendicular force measurements may be used to determine the force of attachment of conidia to a surface. The highest perpendicular force measurement was demonstrated by A. niger 1957 and A. niger 1988 on anionic surfaces which was the less wettable surface. This finding is in agreement with work carried out on the strength of attachment of the spores on several surfaces which found that perpendicular force measurements could be related to the wettability of the surfaces [23]. Lateral force measurements were carried out on the surfaces to determine the force needed to push conidia from the surfaces. Both the A. niger 1957 and A. niger 1988 were more difficult to remove using the lateral force measurements from the anionic surface. This is in agreement with work carried out on PMMA surfaces, whereby A. niger 1988 spores were the most difficult to remove from a $p(\gamma$ -MPS-co-LMA) spin-coated surface which was also the least wettable surface [25]. This similarity in results for the perpendicular and lateral force measurements may have occurred since in both instances a force was applied, and the surfaces and conidia were similar in their surface properties. Although the negatively charged conidium will be attracted to the positively charged cationic surface, they could be more easily removed due to the forces enabling effects from the Stern layer to come into play, thus resulting in the ease of removal of the conidium from the cationic surface.

4.2. Binding Assays and Multifractal Analysis

The results of the binding assays (attachment, adhesion, or retention) demonstrated that both the A. niger 1957 and A. niger 1988 conidia bound in greater numbers to the cationic surfaces and they were also found to be distributed in the highest density across these surfaces. Given that the conidia were of different shapes, this result negates in this instance that the shape of the conidia influenced the results. It may be speculated that the attachment of the conidia to the surfaces was influenced by electrostatic forces. Fungal spores generate an electrical surface charge, and it has been suggested that this may have a function in spore–surface attraction [36]. It is also known that Aspergillus spp. have on their surface small amphipathic proteins which are known as hydrophobins and these can selfassemble at water–air interfaces [17,37]. It is thought that hydrophobins may be responsible for conidia binding to surfaces [38-40]. It is also known that the outside of the conidia of A. niger is composed in part of proteins and lipids [21,41], although a small amount of carbohydrate is also present. A. niger also contains melanin pigments which influence the net surface charge of the conidia due to the incomplete dissociation of carboxyl groups, and these pigments are found on top of the outer spore wall layer [42]. In agreement with these findings, diffuse reflectance for infrared Fourier transform spectroscopy (DRIFTS) demonstrated that both types of A. niger spores demonstrated broad hydrogen-bonded -OH stretching bands, C-H stretching vibrations ester carbonyl bands, amide I and II carbonyl bands, and C-O-C bending vibrations [43]. Work by Grunér [44] demonstrated that hydrophobins bound to cationic but not anionic surfaces immersed in aqueous solution and that it was electrostatic forces that were important for the interaction between the hydrophobins and the polar surface. They speculated that on the hydrophobic surface, the hydrophilic side of the layer was turned toward the solution, and on the cationic surface the more hydrophobic side of the layer was turned toward the solution [44,45].

In agreement with the findings of our binding assays, work by others has shown similarities with a variety of cells and biomolecules. It has been demonstrated that protein adsorption and macrophage adhesion on cationic surfaces was higher than on zwitterionic and anionic polyurethanes [46]. The effect of biofouling to charged polymers has been investigated by using cationic and anionic brushes to test their resistance to protein adsorption, bacterial and green alga zoospore adhesion, and settlement of barnacle larvae, and it was found that anionic surfaces showed lower biofouling due to a higher surface wettability and repulsive electrostatic interactions between the anionic polymer and the negatively charged bacterial cell wall [47]. However, in contrast, Yang et al. [48] demonstrated that anionic poly(sodium styrene sulfonate) did not reduce bacterial attachment as effectively as zwitterionic or neutral polymers [48].

The tendency to form conidial aggregates at the early stage of cultivation by *A. niger* has long been recognized [42] and the aggregation behaviour has been attributed to electrostatic surface properties [44,49–52]. The use of mathematical analysis can be used to provide further information on the density, dispersion, and clustering of microorganisms across a surface. The theory and applications of MFA have been described [53,54]. The results from the multifractal analysis demonstrated that both the *A. niger* 1957 and *A. niger* 1988 were most densely distributed across the cationic surfaces, regardless of the assay used (attachment, adhesion, or retention). The dispersion of conidia across the surfaces was found to be more homogeneous on the cationic surfaces following the attachment and adhesion assays whereby the conidia were forced onto the surface via the spraying assays, whereas following the retention assays the conidia were more homogeneously dispersed across the anionic surfaces. One of the key surface properties that is thought to contribute to microbial binding to a surface is substratum hydrophobicity [52], and this may have affected the dispersion of the spores across the surfaces.

The results from the clustering assays were more difficult to explain. However, a clear trend was observed with the *A. niger* 1988 conidia whereby the greatest spore clustering was observed on the anionic surfaces and the cationic surfaces following the use of the *A. niger* 1957 conidia after the attachment and retention assays, suggesting that the spore shape influenced the pattern on clustering. The effect of spore shape has also been demonstrated by Whitehead et al. [55], whereby in the presence of a washing step, both the properties of the surfaces and the conidia affected conidial adhesion and retention.

The disparity in the results between studies may be explained in part due to the ranges of the properties of the surfaces tested. For example, when PVAc and PVOH moulded surfaces were used to determine the binding of *A. niger* 1957 and 1988 conidia to surfaces and following adhesion and retention assays it was found that in contrast to the results presented here, the more polar surface retained all the types of conidia and that binding to the surfaces was influenced by the wettability of the surfaces and spores. However, the more polar surfaces were significantly rougher in the Liauw study [56]. Such position-dependent interactions give a theoretical equilibrium distance at which the binding between the spores is most stable [44]. Hence, different systems, depending on their individual properties, will act in a variety of ways since the equilibrium distance between two interacting bodies will be a question of the entirety of reversible interactions. These interactions are complex and will involve a number of facets [44], the most important of which will become apparent depending on the variation in the surface properties.

5. Conclusions

The highest perpendicular force (i.e., strongest attachment) was demonstrated by *A. niger* 1957 and *A. niger* 1988 on anionic surfaces. Both conidial types were more difficult to remove using the lateral force measurements from the anionic surface, although fewer spores remained on the anionic surfaces after the physical treatments of the traditional washing assays. Dispersion of conidia across the surfaces was more homogeneous on the cationic surfaces following the attachment and adhesion assays, whereas following the retention assays the conidia were more homogeneously dispersed across the anionic surfaces. The results of the binding assays (attachment, adhesion, or retention) demonstrated that both the *A. niger* 1957 and *A. niger* 1988 conidia bound in higher numbers to the cationic

surfaces and they were also found to be distributed most densely across these surfaces. The use of such a wide range of analytical tools and methods illustrates the complexity of the interactions between the spore and the surface. The results suggest that in this instance, surface wettability was a major factor in influencing conidial interactions with the surface. Further, this work demonstrated that there were more tightly bound conidia that remained after physical and washing treatments and these are important since these retained spores are likely to be those which can proliferate to create biofilms and colonies. This observation indicates the next direction for study, namely removing these tightly bound conidia and observing structural or surface differences between these and other conidial genus.

Author Contributions: The concept behind the work was developed by T.D., C.M.L., J.V. and K.A.W. The experimental methodology was developed and carried out by K.A.W., S.L. and C.M.L. The manuscript was written by M.A., S.L. and K.A.W. All the authors were involved in the final proofing of the manuscript. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This work was funded by BASF Inc. (previously Ciba Specialty Chemicals Inc., Germany).

Data Availability Statement: Original/source data for the figures and data in the paper are available from the lead contact. Processed data are supplied and can be accessed from MMU e-space (https://espace.mmu.ac.uk/629087/, accessed on 25 September 2022).

Acknowledgments: This work was supported by the Ciba Speciality Chemicals Corporation. The authors would like to thank Xinyu (Tom) Huang (Ciba Speciality Chemicals Corporation, New York) for their contribution in the production of the spin-coated surfaces used in this study.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that this study received funding from Ciba Speciality Chemicals Corporation. The funder had the following involvement with the study: concept design.

References

- 1. WHO. Fungal Priority Pathogens List to Guide Research, Development and Public Health Action; World Health Organization: Geneva, Switzerland, 2022; ISBN 978-92-4-006024-1.
- Alfei, S.; Schito, A.M. Positively charged polymers as promising devices against multidrug resistant gram-negative bacteria: A review. *Polymers* 2020, 23, 1195. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Atchad, E.; Jean-Baptiste, S.; Houzé, S.; Chabut, C.; Massias, L.; Castier, Y.; Brugière, O.; Mal, H.; Montravers, P. Fatal invasive Aspergillosis caused by *Aspergillus niger* after bilateral lung transplantation. *Med. Mycol. Case Rep.* **2017**, *17*, 4–7. [CrossRef]
- Balajee, S.A.; Kano, R.; Baddley, J.W.; Moser, S.A.; Marr, K.A.; Alexander, B.D.; Andes, D.; Kontoyiannis, D.P.; Perrone, G.; Peterson, S.; et al. Molecular identification of Aspergillus species collected for the transplant-associated infection surveillance network. J. Clin. Microbiol. 2009, 47, 3138–3141. [CrossRef]
- 5. Schuster, E.; Dunn-Coleman, N.; Frisvad, J.C.; Van Dijck, P.W. On the safety of *Aspergillus niger*—A review. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **2002**, *59*, 426–435.
- 6. Wiggins, J.; Clark, T.J.; Corrin, B. Chronic necrotising pneumonia caused by Aspergillus niger. Thorax 1989, 44, 440–441. [CrossRef]
- Binder, R.E.; Faling, F.J.; Pugatch, R.D.; Mahasaen, C.; Snider, G.L. Chronic necrotizing pulmonary Aspergillosis: A discrete clinical entity. *Medicine* 1982, 61, 109–124. [CrossRef]
- 8. Denning, D.W. Invasive Aspergillosis. Clin. Infect. Dis. 1998, 26, 781-805. [CrossRef]
- Person, A.K.; Chudgar, S.M.; Norton, B.L.; Tong, B.C.; Stout, J.E. Aspergillus niger: An unusual cause of invasive pulmonary Aspergillosis. J. Med. Microbiol. 2010, 59, 834–838. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 10. Fianchi, L.; Picardi, M.; Cudillo, L.; Corvatta, L.; Mele, L.; Trapè, G.; Girmenia, C.; Pagano, L. *Aspergillus niger* infection in patients with haematological diseases: A report of eight cases. *Mycoses* **2004**, *47*, 163–167. [CrossRef]
- 11. Trovato, L.; Calvo, M.; Migliorisi, G.; Astuto, M.; Oliveri, F.; Oliveri, S. Fatal VAP-related pulmonary aspergillosis by *Aspergillus niger* in a positive COVID-19 patient. *Respir. Med. Case Rep.* **2021**, *32*, 101367. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 12. Tisne, J.; Millán, J.; Rivas, P.; Adiego, I.; Castellote, A.; Valles, H. Otomicosis y aplicación tópica de timerosal. Estudio de 152 casos [Otomycosis and topical application of Thimerosal: Study of 152 cases]. *Acta Otorrinolaringol. Esp.* **1995**, *46*, 85–89.
- 13. Coad, B.R.; Kidd, S.E.; Ellis, D.H.; Griesser, H.J. Biomaterials surfaces capable of resisting fungal attachment and biofilm formation. *Biotechnol. Adv.* 2014, 32, 296–307. [CrossRef]
- 14. Bowen, R.; Lovitt, R.W.; Wright, C.J. Direct quantification of *Aspergillus niger* spore adhesion to mica in air using an atomic force microscope. *Colloids Surf. A Physiochem. Eng.* **2000**, 173, 205–210. [CrossRef]
- Villena, G.K.; Gutierrez-Correa, M. Production of cellulase by *Aspergillus niger* biofilms developed on polyester cloth. *Lett. Appl. Microbiol.* 2006, 43, 262–268. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 16. Villena, G.K.; Fujikawa, T.; Tsuyumu, S.; Gutierrez-Correa, M. Structural analysis of biofilms and pellets of *Aspergillus niger* by confocal laser scanning microscopy and cryo scanning electron microscopy. *Bioresour. Technol.* **2010**, *101*, 1920–1926. [CrossRef]

- 17. Ramage, G.; Rajendran, R.; Gutierrez-Correa, M.; Jones, B.; Williams, C. *Aspergillus* biofilms: Clinical and industrial significance. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* **2011**, 324, 89–97. [CrossRef]
- Lord, M.S.; Stenzel, M.H.; Simmons, A.; Milthorpe, B.K. The effect of charged groups on protein interactions with poly(HEMA) hydrogels. *Biomaterials* 2006, 27, 567–575. [CrossRef]
- 19. Lei, H.Y.; Wang, M.M.; Tang, Z.C.; Luan, Y.F.; Liu, W.; Song, B.; Chen, H. Control of lysozyme adsorption by pH on surfaces modified with polyampholyte brushes. *Langmuir* **2014**, *30*, 501–508. [CrossRef]
- 20. Bert-Ewald, P.; Andreas, W.; Ulrike, B.; Manfred, R.; Sylvia, D.; Arno, K.; Rainer, K.; André, F. The role of initial spore adhesion in pellet and biofilm formation in *Aspergillus niger*. *Fungal Genet*. *Biol.* **2012**, *49*, 30–38.
- Cole, G.T.; Sekiya, T.; Kasai, R.; Yokoyama, T.; Nozawa, Y. Surface ultrastructure and chemical composition of the cell walls of conidial fungi. *Exp. Mycol.* 1979, *3*, 132–156. [CrossRef]
- Bowen, W.R.; Lovitt, R.W.; Wright, C.J. Direct quantification of *Aspergillus niger* spore adhesion in liquid using an atomic force microscopy. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 2000, 228, 428–433. [CrossRef]
- Whitehead, K.A.; Deisenroth, T.; Preuss, A.; Liauw, C.M.; Verran, J. The effect of surface properties on the strength of attachment of fungal spores using AFM perpendicular force measurements. *Colloids Surf. B Biointerfaces* 2011, 82, 483–489. [CrossRef]
- Whitehead, K.A.; Rodgers, D.; Colligon, J.; Wright, C.; Verran, J. Use of the Atomic Force Microscope to determine the effect of substratum surface topography on the ease of bacterial removal. *Colloids Surf. B Biointerfaces* 2006, *51*, 44–53. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Whitehead, K.A.; Deisenroth, T.; Preuss, A.; Liauw, C.M.; Verran, J. Lateral force removal of fungal spores to demonstrate how surface properties affect fungal spore retention. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. A* 2022, 380, 20210344–20210356. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 26. Al-Radha, A.S.D.; Dymock, D.; Younes, C.; O'Sullivan, D. Surface properties of titanium and zirconia dental implant materials and their effect on bacterial adhesion. *J. Dent.* **2012**, *40*, 146–153. [CrossRef]
- 27. Breki, C.-M.; Dimitrakopoulou-Strauss, A.; Hassel, J.; Theoharis, T.; Sachpekidis, C.; Pan, L.; Provata, A. Fractal and multifractal analysis of PET-CT images of metastatic melanoma before and after treatment with ipilimumab. *EJNMMI Res.* **2016**, *6*, 61–76. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 28. Yildiz, K.; Yildiz, Z. Evaluation of nano-filler dispersion quality in polymeric films with binary feature characteristics and fractal analysis. *IET Image Process.* **2020**, *14*, 2006–2012. [CrossRef]
- Ivanov, P.C.; Amaral, L.; Goldberger, A.; Havlin, S.; Rsenblum, M.; Struzik, Z.; Stanley, H. Multifractality in human heartbeat dynamics. *Nature* 1999, 399, 461–465. [CrossRef]
- 30. Goldberger, A.L. Fractal dynamics in physiology: Alterations with disease and aging. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2002, 99, 2466–2472. [CrossRef]
- 31. Kropp, J.; Block, A.; Bloh, W.; Klenke, T.; Schellnhuber, H. Multifractal characterization of microbially induced magnesian calcite formation in recent tidal flat sediments. *Sediment. Geol.* **1997**, *109*, 37–51. [CrossRef]
- 32. Deupree, S.M.; Schoenfisch, M.H. Morphological analysis of the antimicrobial action of nitric oxide on Gram-negative pathogens using atomic force microscopy. *Acta Biomater.* 2009, *5*, 1405–1415. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 33. Lynch, S. Python for Scientific Computing and Artificial Intelligence; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2023.
- 34. Lynch, S. Dynamical Systems with Applications Using Python; Springer International Publishing: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2018.
- 35. Slate, A.J.; Whitehead, K.A.; Lynch, S.; Foster, C.W.; Banks, C.E. Electrochemical decoration of additively manufactured graphene macroelectrodes with MoO₂ nanowires: An approach to demonstrate the surface morphology. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2020, 124, 15377–15385. [CrossRef]
- Wargenau, A.; Kampen, I.; Kwade, A. Linking aggregation of *Aspergillus niger* spores to surface electrostatics: A theoretical approach. *Biointerphases* 2013, *8*, 7–19. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Grunbacher, A.; Throm, T.; Seidel, C.; Gutt, B.; Röhrig, J.; Strunk, T.; Vincze, P.; Walhiem, S.; Schimmet, T.; Wenzel, W.; et al. Six hydrophobins are involved in hydrophobin rodlet formation in *Aspergillus nidulans* and contribute to hydrophobicity of the spore surface. *PLoS ONE* 2014, 9, e94546. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Scholtmeijer, K.; Wessels, J.G.H.; Woster, H.A.B. Fungal hydrophobins in medical and technical applications. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 2001, 56, 1–8. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 39. Wosten, H.A.B. Hydrophobins: Multipurpose proteins. Ann. Rev. Microbiol. 2001, 55, 625–646. [CrossRef]
- Linder, M.B.; Szilvay, G.R.; Nakari-Setala, T.; Penttila, M.E. Hydrophobins: The protein amphiphiles of filamentous fungi. FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 2005, 29, 877–896. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 41. Cole, G.T.; Pope, L.M. *The Fungal Spore: Morphogenetic Controls*; Turian, G., Hohl, H.R., Eds.; Academic Press: London, UK, 1981; p. 194.
- Wargenau, A.; Fleißner, A.; Bolten, C.J.; Rohde, M.; Kampen, I.; Kwade, A. On the origin of the electrostatic surface potential of Aspergillus niger spores in acidic environments. *Res. Microbiol.* 2011, 162, 1011–1017. [CrossRef]
- 43. Whitehead, K.A.; Liauw, C.M.; Lynch, S.; El Mohtadi, M.; Preuss, A.; Deisenroth, T.; Verran, J. Diverse surface properties reveal that substratum roughness affects fungal spore binding. *iScience* **2021**, *24*, 101962–101976. [CrossRef]
- Grunér, M.S.; Szilvay, G.R.; Berglin, M.; Lienemann, M.; Laaksonen, P.; Linder, M.B. Self-assembly of class II hydrophobins on polar surfaces. *Langmuir* 2012, 28, 4293–4300. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Szilvay, G.R.; Paananen, A.; Laurikainen, K.; Vuorimaa, E.; Lemmetyinen, H.; Peltonen, J.; Linder, M.B. Self-assembled hydrophobin protein films at the air-water interface: Structural analysis and molecular engineering. *Biochemistry* 2007, 46, 2345–2354. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 46. Khandwekar, A.P.; Patil, D.P.; Hardikar, A.A.; Shouche, Y.S.; Doble, M. In vivo modulation of foreign body response on polyurethane by surface entrapment technique. *J. Biomed. Mater. Res. A* **2010**, *95*, 413–423. [CrossRef]
- Wibisono, Y.; Yandi, W.; Golabi, M.; Nugraha, R.; Cornelissen, E.R.; Kemperman, A.J.B.; Ederth, T.; Nijmeijer, K. Hydrogelcoated feed spacers in two-phase flow cleaning in spiral wound membrane elements: A novel platform for eco-friendly biofouling mitigation. *Water Res.* 2015, *71*, 171–186. [CrossRef]
- Yang, W.J.; Neoh, K.G.; Kang, E.T.; Lee, S.S.C.; Teo, S.L.M.; Rittschof, D. Functional polymer brushes via surface-initiated atom transfer radical graft polymerization for combating marine biofouling. *Biofouling* 2012, 28, 895–912. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 49. Metz, B.; Kossen, N.W.F. The growth of molds in the form of pellets—A literature review. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* **1977**, *19*, 781–799. [CrossRef]
- 50. Grimm, L.H.; Kelly, S.; Volkerding, I.I.; Krull, R.; Hempel, D.C. Influence of mechanical stress and surface interaction on the aggregation of *Aspergillus niger* conidia. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* 2005, 92, 879–888. [CrossRef]
- 51. Jones, P.; Moore, D.; Trinci, A.P.J. Effects of Junlon and Hostacerin on the electrokinetic properties of spores of *Aspergillus niger*, *Phanerochaete chrysosporium* and *Geotrichum candidum*. J. Gen. Microbiol. **1988**, 134, 235–240. [CrossRef]
- Seviour, R.J.; Read, M.A. Electrophoretic mobility of conidia of *Aspergillus niger*, and the role of their surface properties in pelleting. *Trans. Br. Mycol. Soc.* 1985, 84, 745–747. [CrossRef]
- 53. Lynch, S. *Dynamical Systems with Applications Using MATLAB*; Springer International Publishing: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2014.
- 54. Salat, H.P.P.; Murcio Villanueva, R.; Arcaute, E. Multifractal methodology. Phys. A 2017, 473, 467–487. [CrossRef]
- Whitehead, K.A.; Liauw, C.M.; Wilson-Nieuwenhuis, J.S.T.; Slate, A.J.; Deisenroth, T.; Preuss, A.; Verran, J. The effect of the surface properties of poly (methyl methacrylate) on the attachment, adhesion and retention of fungal conidia. *AIMS Bioeng.* 2020, 7, 165–178. [CrossRef]
- Liauw, C.M.; Slate, A.J.; Butler, J.A.; Wilson-Nieuwenhuis, J.S.T.; Deisenroth, T.; Preuss, A.; Verran, J.; Whitehead, K.A. The effect of surface hydrophobicity on the attachment of fungal conidia to substrates of polyvinyl acetate and polyvinyl alcohol. *J. Polym. Environ.* 2020, 28, 1450–1464. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.