A longitudinal investigation into the relative age effect in an English professional football club: Exploring the 'underdog hypothesis'

Adam L. Kelly[1,2,3], Mark R. Wilson[2,3], Lewis A. Gough[1], Harry Knapman[3], Paul Morgan[2,3,4], Matthew Cole[1], Daniel T. Jackson[1], and Craig A. Williams[2]

[1]Faculty of Health, Education and Life Sciences, Birmingham City University, Birmingham, West Midlands, United Kingdom; [2]Children's Health and Exercise Research Centre, College of Life & Environmental Sciences, University of Exeter, Exeter, Devon, United Kingdom; [3]Exeter City Football Club, Exeter, Devon, United Kingdom; [4]College of Life & Environmental Sciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, West Midlands, United Kingdom

Correspondence: A. L. Kelly, Department of Sport & Exercise, Birmingham City University, City South Campus, Westbourne Road, Edgbaston, B15 3TN, UK. E-mail: Adam.Kelly@bcu.ac.uk

A longitudinal investigation into the relative age effect in an English professional football club: Exploring the 'underdog hypothesis'

The relative age effect (RAE) refers to the bias influence of birthdate distribution, with athletes born later in the selection year being under-represented in talent development systems. However, the 'underdog hypothesis' suggests that younger birth quarter (BQ) athletes are over-represented among those who successfully transition from youth systems to senior professional status. Accordingly, the purpose of this study was twofold; (1) to provide further test of the RAE over twelve seasons (n=556), and (2) to examine the BQ of professional contracts awarded to academy graduates at an English professional football club over eleven seasons (n=364). Significantly skewed (P<0.001) birthdate distributions were found for academy players (BQ1 n=224: BQ2 n=168; BQ3 n=88; BQ4 n=76). The distribution from academy graduates was also significantly skewed for professional contracts awarded (P=0.03), with greater BQ4 representation (n=8) compared to other BQs (BQ1 n=5; BQ2 n=8; BQ3 n=6). These findings are indicative that the RAE continues to manifest within an academy setting. Interestingly however, the underdog hypothesis shows BQ4s were approximately four times more likely to achieve senior professional status compared to BQ1s. Implications for talent identification and development in football are discussed.

Keywords: Relative age effect; Underdog hypothesis; Youth football academy; Youth soccer; Talent identification; Talent development

Introduction

The aim of a football academy is to recruit young players with the potential to be developed into professional football players, in order to achieve both sporting and financial success (Gonaus & Muller, 2012). It is therefore important to identify early predictors of long-term success so that the most highly talented youth football players receive continued support from a young age to achieve their potential (Stratton, Reilly, Williams, & Richardson, 2004). However, the complex nature of the talent development process, coupled with the holistic characteristics that are associated with superior development and the successful transition from youth academy level to senior professional status, suggests that the application of early predictors is often flawed and subject to biases which limits academies' success in meeting their stated aims (Forsman, Blomqvist, Davids, Liukkonen, & Konttinen, 2016; Kelly, Wilson, & Williams, 2018; Sarmento, Anguera, Pereira, & Araujo, 2018).

One such bias is the influence of selection and progression through birthdate distribution, known as the relative age effect (RAE; Barnsley, Thompson, & Barnsley, 1985). The RAE signifies that children born in the first six months of the selection year are significantly over-represented in youth team selection (Helsen, van Winckel, & Williams, 2012). Research has consistently shown that young athletes who are born early in the selection year have a distinct advantage through being older, bigger, faster, stronger, and more mature, and are therefore more likely to be perceived as 'talented' and subsequently selected for talent development programmes (Baxter-Jones, 1995; Gil et al., 2014; Gil, Ruiz, Irazusta, Gil, & Irazusta, 2007; Musch & Grondin, 2001; Wattie, Schorer, & Baker, 2015). The RAE is almost ubiquitous in youth sport, having been demonstrated in athletics (Hollings, Hume, & Hopkins, 2014), Australian rules football (van Der Honert, 2012), baseball (Grondin & Koren, 2000; Nakata & Sakamoto, 2013), basketball (Delorme & Raspaud, 2009), cricket (Edwards, 1994; McCarthy, Collins, & Court, 2016), dance (van Rossum, 2006), ice hockey (Nolan & Howell,

2010; Turnnidge, Hancock, & Cote, 2014), rugby league (Till et al., 2010), rugby union (McCarthy & Collins, 2014; McCarthy et al., 2016), swimming (Cobley et al., 2018), and tennis (Dudink, 1994; Ulbricht, Fernandez-Fernandez, Mendez-Villanueva, & Ferrauti, 2015) (amongst others).

In 'elite' youth football specifically, birthdate distribution has a significant impact on player identification and development (Barnsley, Thompson, & Legault, 1992; Glamser & Vincent, 2004; Gonzalez Bertomeu, 2018; Gonzalez-Villora, Pastor-Vicedo, Cordente, 2015; Helsen et al., 2012; Helsen, Hodges, van Winckel, & Starkes, 2000; Helsen, van Winckel, & Williams, 2005; Massa et al., 2014; Meylan, Cronin, Oliver, & Hughes, 2010; Musch & Hay, 1999; Padron-Cabo, Rey, Luis Garcia-Soidan, & Penedo-Jamardo, 2016; Votteler & Honer, 2014, 2017; Williams, 2010). For example, in a Europe-wide study, Helsen et al. (2005) found an over-representation of players born in the first birth quarter (BQ) in both national and professional youth selections across all age groups (cf. Doyle & Bottomley, 2018; Gonzalez-Villora et al., 2015). In Brazil, Massa et al. (2014) found a similar effect in a single professional football club. In fact, a strong RAE in youth football has been established in America, Australia, Brazil, Germany, and Japan (amongst others), suggestive of a consistent global effect that is independent of the specific cut-off dates used to define the sporting year across countries (Votteler & Honer, 2014, 2017; Glamser & Vincent, 2004; Musch & Hay, 1999).

These research studies highlight the limitations of the selection process within youth football, which restrict the opportunities for players born late in the sporting year (Meylan et al., 2010). The potential cost of missing this talent may be hard to calculate accurately, but what can be investigated is the degree to which late BQ players who do make it into an academy make the successful transition into senior professional football. McCarthy and Collins (2014) discovered that late-birth players actually achieved more senior professional contracts compared to their older peers in a major English rugby union academy, subsequently

suggesting this may be due to the relatively younger players developing superior psychological skills and technical expertise to compensate for their early physical disadvantage. This has been further supported in professional cricket (McCarthy et al., 2016), professional ice hockey (Gibbs, Jarvis, & Dufur; 2012; Fumarco, Gibbs, Jarvis, & Rossi, 2017), and professional rugby league (Till, Cobley, Morley, O'Hara, Chapman, & Cooke, 2016). For instance, Till et al. (2016) found that a higher percentage of chronologically younger rugby league academy players attained professional status (BQ2 = 8.5% versus BQ4 = 25.5%). In professional ice hockey, Fumarco et al. (2017) reported that players born in BQ4 score more and demand higher salaries compared to those born in BQ1, whilst Gibbs et al. (2012) have also revealed that the average career duration is longer for players born later in the selection year. Gibbs et al. (2012) further proposed an 'underdog hypothesis', whereby being a younger BQ essentially facilitates long-term development by necessitating them to overcome the odds of the RAE, through being challenged by their older and more advanced peers.

From a football perspective, whilst the RAE has been extensively examined, research often focuses on the older age groups within 'youth' settings (i.e., under-19) at top European clubs or countries (cf. Doyle & Bottomley, 2018; Gonzalez-Villora et al., 2015; Padron-Cabo et al., 2016). However, it is important to appreciate that professional status can be achieved at lower league levels, whilst the recruitment of BQs throughout the development process (i.e., under-9 to under-18) must also be considered to examine the extent to which the RAE is rooted. The status of professional football academies must also be acknowledged whilst examining the RAE, as external validity from the existing research that often captures higher category standings may be questioned for lower category equivalents. For instance, differences in BQ recruitment may be apparent because of greater monetary outlay and the subsequent access and opportunities that are provided to young players.

It is evident that there is a complicated relationship between the BQ a player is born in, their opportunities to be selected into a talent development programme, and their chances of successfully transitioning from such a programme. To the authors' knowledge, there are no studies that have investigated the underdog hypothesis within a Category 3 academy and Tier 4 English professional football club. Therefore, the aim of this study was twofold; 1) to examine the RAE in a Category 3 academy, and 2) to test the underdog hypothesis by examining the BQ of academy graduates and the subsequent professional contracts awarded at a Tier 4 English professional football club.

Methods

Participants

For Part 1, to examine the existence of the RAE, 556 participants were included who were either current or previously registered academy players. The oldest players were born in 1989 and the youngest born in 2008, which includes data across twelve seasons. For Part 2, to examine the possibility of the underdog hypothesis, 364 participants were included who were previously registered academy players, to assess which graduates achieved a senior professional contract at aged 18 years across eleven seasons, with the oldest academy alumni born in 1989 and the youngest born in 1999. All the participants were recruited from the same Tier 4 English professional football club and their Category 3 academy. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Sport and Health Sciences at the University of Exeter.

Procedure

The twelve months of the year were divided into four BQs, conforming to the strategy used to examine the RAE in other UK populated studies (Helsen et al., 2005), with September classified as 'month 1' and August 'month 12'. To conform with previous studies of a similar

design (cf. McCarthy et al., 2016; McCarthy & Collins, 2014; Till et al., 2010), each player was assigned a BQ in their selection year, which were compared to the expected distributions from the calculated average national live births in England and Wales (Office for National Statistics [ONS], 2015). For Part 2, as each player had graduated from the academy, the data collection also examined who achieved senior professional status; defined as signing a full-time professional contract for a minimum of one year. In addition to comparing the contracts awarded distributions to the ONS (2015) expected distributions, they were also compared against the academy distributions to gain a full understanding of any bias effects.

Data analysis

Chi-square (χ^2) analysis was used to compare quartile distributions in the sample and against population values (ONS, 2015), following procedures outlined by McHugh (2013). As this test does not reveal the magnitude of difference between quartile distributions for significant chi-square outputs, Cramer's V was also used. The Cramer's V was interpreted as per conventional thresholds for correlation; a value of 0.06 or more would indicate a small effect size, 0.17 or more would indicate a medium effect size, and 0.29 or more would indicate a large effect size (Cohen, 1988). Odds Ratios and 95% confidence intervals were used to compare BQs for achievement of academy and professional status. For all the tests, results were considered statistically significant when $P < 0.05$. Data are presented as mean ± SD unless otherwise indicated. All statistical analyses were conducted using IBM SPSS Statistics Version 24.

Results

The academy quartile distributions were significantly skewed with a large effect size compared to national norms ($\chi 2$ (df = 3) = 103.57, $P < 0.001$, V = 0.305). Significant ORs were found between BQ1 and BQ3 (OR: 2.46, 95% CI 1.73–3.46), BQ1 and BQ4 (OR: 2.94, 95% CI 2.08–4.17), and BQ2 and BQ3 (OR: 1.92, 95% CI 1.36–2.73), and BQ2 and BQ4 (OR: 2.30, 95%

CI 1.60–3.29). Thus, both BQ1 and BQ2 players were more likely to be academy players than BQ3 or BQ4 players were. Descriptive statistics demonstrate BQ1s (n = 224, 40.29%) were over-represented compared to any other BQ (BQ2 n = 168, 30.22%; BQ3 n = 88, 15.83%; BQ4 n = 76, 13.66%). The academy data is presented in Figure 1.

****Figure 1 near here****

When examining contracts awarded, the quartile distribution was not skewed compared to national norms ($\chi 2$ (df = 3) = 1.06, P = 0.709, V = 0.08). Interestingly however, BQ4s represented a larger portion of professional contracts awarded for academy graduates (n = 8, 14.0%) compared to the other BQs (BQ1 n = 5, 3.5%; BQ2 n = 8, 7.4%; BQ3 n = 6, 11.1%). Figure 2 presents the percentage of professional contracts awarded within each BQ based on the total number of academy graduates within each BQ.

****Figure 2 near here****

Whilst further examining contracts awarded, the quartile distributions were significantly skewed with a large effect size when compared to the academy distributions ($\chi 2$ (df = 3) = 8.91, P = 0.03, V = 0.41). The only significant OR was found between BQ1 and BQ4 players, with BQ4 more likely to attain professional status (OR: 4.72, 95% CI 1.50–14.85). This is also highlighted in the almost twice as many observed (BQ4 n = 8) than expected (BQ4 n = 4.23) contracts awarded. Figure 3 presents the total number of observed and expected professional contracts awarded in each BQ. The descriptive statistics are also presented in Table 1.

****Figure 3 near here****

****Table 1 near here****

Discussion

Football academies are the primary talent development system for professional football in England. The decisions made with regards to who is selected into these systems at an early age constrains the subsequent outputs from that system. Therefore, it is important to better understand why certain individuals might be more likely to selected into an academy, and also why others might be more likely to successfully graduate. The current study sought not only to offer further evidence of the RAE (a bias in early selection) within a Category 3 academy, but to also provide an examination of the underdog hypothesis (a potential bias in late graduation) within the same Tier 4 professional football club in England.

The results from Part 1 of this current study are consistent with similar RAE research within elite youth football (Gonzalez-Villora et al., 2015; Helsen et al., 2005; Massa et al., 2014; Williams, 2010). For instance, the distribution of BQ percentages are similar to those of Takacs and Romann (2016), who found a significant RAE and medium effect size amongst UEFA Youth League clubs, illustrating that BQ1s were 3.4 times more likely to be selected compared to BQ4s. This study comparably found BQ1s were 2.9 times more likely to be selected compared to BQ4s. Similarly, the BQ distributions of this current study are equivocal to those from Massa et al. (2014), whose observational case study of the famed Sao Paulo Football Club presented a 47.5% BQ1 distribution compared to an 8.8% BQ4 distribution within their academy. Subsequently, this study does not only provide further evidence that the RAE exists across countries and is independent of selection cut-off dates, it also offers a unique interpretation that the RAE may be a deep-rooted phenomenon throughout the academy pathway (under-9 to under-18), and is equally apparent at lower category status when compared to their higher category counterparts. Therefore, despite over 25 years of research highlighting this birthdate advantage (Barnsley et al., 1992), the RAE appears to continue to manifest within elite youth football (cf. Helsen et al., 2012).

A number of previous studies that have identified a RAE within a youth football setting have criticised its existence and supported the need for interventions to eliminate such observed effects (Gonzalez-Villora et al., 2015; Helsen et al., 2012, 2005; Massa et al., 2014). For example, Massa et al. (2014) stated the existence of the RAE needs to be considered during the identification and development of young football players and should be analysed carefully in order to minimise the loss of potential talent. Gonzalez-Villora et al. (2015) further suggest the football federations of different countries should take responsibility for the RAE, and thus adapt the rules of youth competitions for the best development of all players on equal terms. Despite these calls, there have been few research studies examining modifications to the talent development process.

Besides football, Cobley and colleagues have devised a method named 'corrective adjustments' as a solution to remove RAEs in timed sports such as athletics and swimming (cf. Cobley et al., 2019; Romann & Cobley, 2015). This is whereby regression equations are applied through birthdate distribution and raw performance times, with the dissemination of performance levels subsequently re-examined for greater chronological age equality. However, the timed nature of this strategy would be inadequate for a team sport environment, thus further mediating solutions are required for this particular cohort. Mann and van Ginneken (2017) produced evidence for an intervention designed to reduce the RAE through applying an age-ordered shirt numbering system. They found that supporting talent scouts with the knowledge that the numbers on the playing shirts corresponded with the relative age of the players eliminated age bias. Bennett, Vaeyens, and Fransen (2018) suggested a mitigating tool of establishing a 'selection quota' whereby sporting organisations and talent development programmes are required to select a minimum number of athletes from each BQ. Tribolet, Watsford, Coutts, Smith, and Fransen (2018) proposed discouraging early deselection, particularly during adolescence, to allow continued exposure to higher-level coaching and

resources without the option of being deselected. However, previous research has illustrated that repeated incidences of selection and deselection may be more beneficial to achieving senior professional status, thus further research is required to address whether the avoidance of deselection within a talent pathway is beneficial for achieving long-term expertise. In addition, future research should explore the implications of other strategies, such as the age-ordered shirt numbering system and selection quota approaches, on moderating the RAE in youth football.

However, perhaps a cultural change is also required in talent identification. Professional football clubs in England can begin to formally sign academy players at under-9, and 'talent' at this early stage tends to be identified as current ability in comparison to peers, leaving little thought surrounding the characteristics that support the subsequent achievement of expertise as a senior athlete (MacNamara & Collins, 2011). For instance, Muller, Gehmaier, Gonaus, Raschner, and Muller (2018) illustrated a RAE in a cohort of 222 'international elite under-9s' with over twice as many BQ1s (n = 86) representing academies at this particular high-level tournament compared to BQ4s (n = 39), suggesting that the selection process at this age is bias towards relatively older players. As these players will form the core of each successive age group for the proceeding years, biases in selection into an academy (i.e., the RAE) will subsequently manifest over a prolonged period. Therefore, since the purpose of an academy should be to identify and then develop young football players towards future performance abilities, attention should rather concentrate on those characteristics to manage the course of development, rather than focussing on current performance abilities (Abbott & Collins, 2004).

The results from Part 2 of this current study are consistent with the suggestion of the 'underdog hypothesis', with BQ4 players approximately four times more likely to achieve a professional contract compared to BQ1 players. This is represented in the significant difference in distributions and significant OR between BQ1 and BQ4 (although no other significant differences were observed in other quartiles). As per Figure 3, when comparing the observed

and expected professional contracts awarded, there appears to be a form of RAE reversal; similar to that observed by McCarthy and colleagues (cf. McCarthy & Collins, 2014; McCarthy et al., 2016). BQ4s achieved almost double the number of expected professional contracts when inspected against retrospective academy distributions. This is in contrast to the BQ1s, who achieved less than half of their expected number of professional contracts. This may suggest a reversal of the distribution bias in the youth to senior transition, indicative of the potential advantage to those chronologically younger players within an English football academy.

One interesting issue raised by the Part 2 results of this current study is that eliminating the RAE in academy football may also remove the potential 'underdog' benefits for later birth quartiles, through consistently engaging with their older peers. For example, it has been suggested that through playing against relatively older, more mature athletes within their chronological age group, BQ3 and BQ4s have to develop certain technical proficiencies and/or tactical awareness to be able to counteract this physical bias against BQ1 and BQ2s (Fumarco et al., 2017; Gibbs et al., 2012; McCarthy & Collins, 2014; McCarthy et al., 2016; Schorer, Cobley, Busch, Brautigam, & Baker, 2009). To simplify from an applied perspective, a larger, stronger player may be able to easily dispossess a smaller, weaker opponent as a result of their physical dominance, thus a smaller, weaker player must create a technical or tactical solution to reduce this advantage. Ashworth and Heyndels (2007) highlight how these younger, smaller players must overcome 'a system that discriminates against them', through being more talented than their relatively larger counterparts to counteract their size advantage. Therefore, it may be suggested that BQ3 and BQ4s are likely to be 'positively' selected, whereby they are chosen from 'the right tail of the ability distribution' (Fumarco et al., 2017).

Furthermore, while a smaller, weaker player may be physically inferior throughout their youth development as a result of their younger age, once they 'catch-up' towards adulthood, they may have developed certain psychological characteristics that previously allowed them to

277 compete (Gonzalez Bertomeu, 2018). For example, Schorer et al. (2009) also demonstrated the
278 underdog hypothesis, where the initial disadvantage may eventually contribute to the later
279 superiority when early differences in size plateau towards adulthood. This is potentially
280 through learning to 'work harder', resulting in peer effects that facilitate resilience and
281 improved motivation (Schorer et al., 2009). Thus, these psychological benefits likely equip the
282 chronologically younger players, or 'underdogs', to overcome subsequent obstacles and
283 succeed at senior professional level (Fumarco et al., 2017; Roberts & Stott, 2015). Cumming
284 et al. (2018) provided further partial support for the underdog hypothesis, whereby relatively
285 younger players benefitted from competitive play with older peers, whilst identifying later
286 maturing players possessed a psychological advantage compared to their earlier maturing
287 equivalents. Jones, Lawrence, and Hardy (2018) also described this effect at 'super-elite level'
288 as the resilient and mind-set that BQ3 and BQ4s acquire throughout their development process,
289 because of being younger and often less mature compared to BQ1 and BQ2s.
290 So how do academies get the 'best of both worlds' with regards to moderating the RAE
291 whilst also gaining the benefits of the underdog hypothesis (if at all possible)? Whilst current
292 strategies appear unexplored, future research could examine the effect of 'playing-up' a
293 chronological age group to facilitate greater early BQ player development by creating a 'BQ4
294 effect' in an older age group. In-turn, this may also mediate the widely reported high dropout
295 rates amongst later BQ players (cf. Figueiredo, Goncalves, Coelho-e-Silva, & Malina, 2009;
296 Helsen, Starkes, & van Winckel, 1998), whilst also providing a greater opening for more later
297 birth quartiles to be selected into an academy environment at an early age. Likewise, 'playing-
298 down' an age group may also offer a more suitable developmental setting for later BQ players
299 whilst they 'catch-up' with their chronologically older peers, whilst also providing a more
300 challenging environment for early birth quartiles in a younger age group. Thus, it is suggested
301 academies adopt a 'flexible chronological approach' to group young athletes by offering early

birth quartiles (i.e., BQ1s) and late birth quartiles (i.e., BQ4s) the opportunity to play-up and play-down an annual age group respectively, as opposed to fixed chronological bandings.

In addition to the distribution of BQs in this current study, the total number of professional contracts awarded across the eleven seasons was 27 out of 364 players that have entered the academy. This figure demonstrates that only 7.4% of players graduated with a professional contract following their academy involvement, thus offering a potential benchmark to fellow Category 3 academies. Drawing upon this conversion value, it is essential to acknowledge the limited opportunities for young players who enter an academy to subsequently achieve professional status, thus emphasising the dual responsibility and importance of coaches to develop players holistically as people, as well as young football players, through positive youth development (cf. Strachan, Cote, & Deakin, 2011).

Furthermore, it is important to recognise the issues surrounding external validity. For instance, the relatively newly formed under-23 league amongst Category 1 and 2 academies indicates the conversion figures would be significantly higher, as the requirement to participate at under-23 level for this status is mandatory when compared to Category 3 academies (The Premier League, 2011). In addition, Category 3 academies may have traditionally been acknowledged as a 'Centre of Excellence' prior to the reformed Elite Player Performance Plan (EPPP) category system in 2011 (The Premier League, 2011), which may have provided restricted opportunities to achieve professional status as a result of limited monetary resources and organisational structure. Therefore, the retrospective nature of this data may not provide a truly accurate insight of the opportunities that are apparent nowadays, thus coaches and practitioners are suggested to act with caution when interpreting the outcomes within a modern academy environment.

Conclusion

The holistic characteristics that have been discussed (i.e., technical, tactical, physical, and psychological factors), have previously been associated with both greater development outcomes and the successful transition from youth academy level to senior professional status (Sarmento et al., 2018). Therefore, these factors cannot be ignored whilst considering the socio-environmental dynamics when incorporating new and innovative strategies to eliminate the RAE within talent identification and development processes in academy football. As a result, whilst BQ4s may be less likely to be identified as 'talented' during the early stages of the development process, it appears they may be embarking on a long-term process that eventually sees them catch-up, and in some cases overtake, their older counterparts in BQ1. Thus, it is suggested that coaches and practitioners should act with caution when creating strategies to eliminate the RAE, as doing so may also eradicate the underdog hypothesis. This is likely achieved through removing the natural developmental outcomes occurring along the 'rocky road' that is created for significantly younger players whilst playing within a chronological age group (McCarthy & Collins, 2014). However, further research is required to fully understand why early disadvantage may lead to greater opportunities. Furthermore, additional research into the proposed solutions for the RAE is required, to ensure there is a continued emphasis on creating the right environment for every player to develop to their full potential.

Acknowledgements

This research was co-funded by the University of Exeter, College of Life & Environmental Sciences, the Open Innovation Platform at the University of Exeter, and Exeter City Football Club Academy. Thanks to the players, parents, and staff at Exeter City Football Club Academy for their participation and support in this project.

Disclosure statement

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Word count

4,280 (including Title and Abstract; excluding Tables, Figures, and References)

References

Ashworth, J., & Heyndels, B. (2007). Selection bias and peer effects in team sports: The effect of age grouping on earnings of German soccer players. *Journal of Sports Economics, 8*(4), 355–377.

Abbott, A., & Collins, D. (2004). Eliminating dichotomy between theory and practice in talent identification and development: Considering the role of psychology. *Journal of Sports Sciences, 22*(5), 395–408.

Barnsley, R. H., Thompson, A. H., & Barnsley, P. E. (1985). Hockey success and birthdate: The relative age effect. *CAHPER Journal, 51*(8), 23–28.

Barnsley, R. H., Thompson, A. H., & Legault, P. E. (1992). Family planning: Football style. The relative age effect in football. *International Review for the Sociology of Sport, 27*(1), 77–87.

Baxter-Jones, A. (1995). Growth and development of young athletes: Should competition be age related? *Sports Medicine, 20*(2), 59–64.

Cobley, S., Abbott, S., Dogramaci, S., Kable, A., Salter, J., Hinterman, M., & Romann, M. (2018). Transient relative age effects across annual age groups in national level Australian swimmers. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport, 21*(8), 839–845.

Cobley, S., Abbott, S., Eisenhuth, J., Salter, J., McGregor, D., & Romann, M. (2019). Removing relative age effects from youth swimming: The development and testing of corrective adjustments procedure. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport, 22*(6), 735–740.

Cohen, J. (1988). Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences. Hillsdale, NJ: L. Erlbaum Associates.

Cumming, S. P., Searle, C., Hemsley, J. K., Haswell, F., Edwards, H., Scott, S., Gross, A., Ryan, D., Lewis, J., White, P., Cain, A., Mitchell, S. B., & Malina, R. M. (2018). Biological maturation, relative age and self-regulation in male professional academy soccer players: A test of the underdog hypothesis. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise, 39*, 147–153.

Delorme, N., & Raspaud, M. (2009). The relative age effect in young French basketball players: A study on the whole population. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine and Science in Sports, 19*(2), 235–242.

383 Doyle, J. R., & Bottomley. P. A. (2018). Relative age effect in elite soccer: More early-born
384 players, but no better valued, and no paragon clubs or countries. *PLoS ONE, 13*(2),
385 e0192209.
386 Dudink, A. (1994). Birth date and sporting success. *Nature, 368*(6472), 592.
387 Edwards, S. (1994). Born too late to win? *Nature, 370*(6486), 186.
388 Figueiredo, A. J., Goncalves, C. E., Coelho-e-Silva, M. J., & Malina, R. M. (2009).
389 Characteristics of youth soccer players who drop out, persist or move up. *Journal of*
390 *Sports Sciences, 27*(9), 883–891.
391 Forsman, H., Blomqvist, M., Davids, K., Liukkonen, J., & Konttinen, N. (2016). Identifying
392 technical, physiological, tactical and psychological characteristics that contribute to
393 career progression in soccer. *International Journal of Sports Science & Coaching,*
394 *11*(4), 505–513.
395 Fumarco, L., Gibbs, B. G., Jarvis, J. A., & Rossi, G. (2017). The relative age effect reversal
396 among the National Hockey League elite. *PLOS ONE, 12*(8), e0182827.
397 Gibbs, B. G., Jarvis, J. A., & Dufur, M. J. (2012). The rise of the underdog? The relative age
398 effect reversal among Canadian-born NHL hockey players: A reply to Nolan and
399 Howell. *International Review for the Sociology of Sport, 47*(5), 644–649.
400 Gil, S. M., Badiola, A., Bidaurrazaga-Letona, I., Zabala-Lili, J., Gravina, L., Santos-Concejero,
401 J., Lekue, J. A., & Granados, C. (2014). Relationship between the relative age effect
402 and anthropometry, maturity and performance in young soccer players. *Journal of*
403 *Sports Sciences, 32*(5), 479–486.
404 Gil, S., M., Ruiz, F., Irazusta, A., Gil, J., & Irazusta, J. (2007). Selection of young soccer
405 players in terms of anthropometric and physiological factors. *Journal of Sports*
406 *Medicine and Physical Fitness, 47*(1), 25–32.
407 Glamser, F. D., & Vincent, J. (2004). The relative age effect among elite American youth
408 soccer players. *Journal of Sport Behaviour, 27*(1), 31–38.
409 Gonaus, C., & Muller, E. (2012). Using physiological data to predict future career progression
410 in 14- to 17-year-old Austrian soccer academy players. *Journal of Sports Sciences,*
411 *30*(15), 1673–1682.
412 Gonzalez Bertomeu, J. F. (2018). Too late for talent to kick in? The relative age effect in
413 Argentinian male football. *Soccer & Society, 19*(4), 573–592.
414 Gonzalez-Villora, S., Pastor-Vicedo, J. C., & Cordente, D. (2015). Relative age effect in UEFA
415 championship soccer players. *Journal of Human Kinetics, 47*(1), 237–248.

Grondin, S., & Koren, S. (2000). The relative age effect in professional baseball: A look at the history of major league baseball and at current status in Japan. *Avante, 6*, 64–74.

Helsen, W. F., Baker, J., Michiels, S., Schorer, J., van Winckel, J., & Williams, M. A. (2012). The relative age effect in European professional soccer: Did ten years of research make any difference? *Journal of Sports Sciences, 30*(15), 1665–1671.

Helsen, W. F., Hodges, N. J., van Winckel, J., & Starkes, J. L. (2000). The roles of talent, physical precocity and practice in the development of soccer expertise. *Journal of Sports Sciences, 18*(9), 727–736.

Helsen, W. F., Starkes, J. L., & van Winckel, J. (1998). The influence of relative age on success and dropout in male soccer players. *American Journal of Human Biology, 10*(6), 791–798.

Helsen, W. F., van Winckel, J., & Williams, M. A. (2005). The relative age effect in youth soccer across Europe. *Journal of Sports Sciences, 23*(6), 629–636.

Hollings, S. C., Hume, P. A., & Hopkins, W. G. (2014). Relative-age effect on competition outcomes at the World Youth and World Junior Athletics Championships. *European Journal of Sport Science, 14*(1), 456–461.

Jones, B. D., Lawrence, G. P., & Hardy, L. (2018). New evidence of relative age effects in "super-elite" sportsmen: A case for the survival and evolution of the fittest. *Journal of Sports Sciences, 36*(6), 697–703.

Kelly, A. L., Wilson, M. R., & Williams, C. A. (2018). Developing a football-specific talent identification and development profiling concept – The Locking Wheel Nut Model. *Applied Coaching Research Journal, 2*, 32–41.

Bennett, K. J. M., Vaeyens, R., & Fransen, J. (2019). Creating a framework for talent identification and development in emerging football nations. *Science and Medicine in Football, 3*(1), 36–42.

MacNamara, A., & Collins, D. (2011). Development and initial validation of the Psychological Characteristics of Developing Excellence Questionnaire. *Journal of Sports Science, 29*(12), 1273–1286.

Mann, D. L., & van Ginneken, P. J. M. A. (2017). Age-ordered shirt numbering reduces the selection bias associated with the relative age effect. *Journal of Sports Sciences, 35*(8), 784–790.

Massa, M., Costa, E. C., Moreira, A., Thiengo, C. R., Lima, M. R., Marquez, W. Q., & Aoki, M. S. (2014). The relative age effect in soccer: A case study of the Sao Paulo Football

449 Club. *Revista Brasileira de Cineantropometria & Desempenho Humano, 16*(4), 399–
450 405.
451 McCarthy, N., & Collins, D. (2014). Initial identification & selection bias versus the eventual
452 confirmation of talent: Evidence for the benefits of a rocky road? *Journal of Sports*
453 *Sciences, 32*(17), 1604–1610.
454 McCarthy, N., Collins, D., & Court, D. (2016). Start hard, finish better: Further evidence for
455 the reversal of the RAE advantage. *Journal of Sports Sciences, 34*(15), 1461–1465.
456 McHugh, M. L. (2013). The chi-square test of independence. *Biochemia Medica*, *23*(2), 143–
457 149.
458 Meylan, C., Cronin, J., Oliver, J., & Hughes, M. (2010). Talent identification in soccer: The
459 role of maturity status on physical, physiological and technical characteristics.
460 *International Journal of Sports Science and Coaching, 5*(4), 571–592.
461 Muller, L., Gehmaier, J., Gonaus, C., Raschner, C., & Muller, E. (2018). Maturity status
462 strongly influences relative age effect in international elite under-9 soccer. *Journal of*
463 *Sports Science & Medicine, 17*(2), 216–222.
464 Musch, J., & Grondin, S. (2001). Unequal competition as an impediment to personal
465 development: A review of the relative age effect in sport. *Developmental Review, 21*(2),
466 147–167.
467 Musch, J., & Hay, R. (1999). The relative age effect in soccer: Cross-cultural evidence for a
468 systematic discrimination against children born late in the competition year. *Sociology*
469 *of Sport Journal, 16*(1), 54–64.
470 Nakata, H., & Sakamoto, K. (2013). Relative age effects in Japanese baseball: A historical
471 analysis. *Perceptual and Motor Skills, 117*(1), 276–289.
472 Nolan, J. E., & Howell, G. (2010). Hockey success birth date: The relative age effect revisited.
473 *International Review for the Sociology of Sport, 45*(4), 507–512.
474 Office for National Statistics. (2015). *Number of Live Births by Date, 1995 to 2014, in England*
475 *and Wales* [online]. Retrieved from:
476 https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/birthsdeathsandmarriages/li
477 vebirths/adhocs/005149numberoflivebirthsbydate1995to2014inenglandandwales
478 [accessed 12th May 2018].
479 Padron-Cabo, A., Rey, E., Luis Garcia-Soidan, J., & Penedo-Jamardo, E. (2016). Large scale
480 analysis of relative age effect on professional soccer players in FIFA designated zones.
481 *International Journal of Performance Analysis in Sport, 16*(1), 332–346.

Roberts, S. J., & Stott, T. A. (2015). A new factor in UK students' university attainment: The relative age effect reversal? *Quality Assurance in Education, 23*(3), 295–305.

Romann, M., & Cobley, S. (2015). Relative age effects in athletic sprinting and corrective adjustments as a solution for their removal. *PLoS ONE, 10*(4), e0122988.

Sarmento, H., Anguera, M. T., Pereira, A., & Araujo, D. (2018). Talent identification and development in male football: A systematic review. *Sports Medicine, 48*(4), 907–931.

Schorer, J., Cobley, S., Busch, D., Brautigam, H., & Baker, J. (2009). Influences of competition level, gender, player nationality, career stage and playing position on relative age effects. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine and Science in Sports, 19*(5), 720–730.

Strachan, L., Cote, J., & Deakin, J. (2011). A new view: Exploring positive youth development in elite sport contexts. *Qualitative Research in Sport, Exercise and Health, 3*(1), 9–32.

Stratton, G., Reilly, T., Williams, A. M., & Richardson, D. (2004). *Youth Soccer: From Science to Performance.* London: Routledge.

Takacs, S., & Romann, M. (2016). Selection of the oldest. Relative age effects in the UEFA Youth League. *Talent Development and Excellence, 8*(2), 41–51.

Till, K., Cobley, S., Morley, D., O'Hara, J., Chapman, C., & Cooke, C. (2016). The influence of age, playing position, anthropometry and fitness on career attainment outcomes in rugby league. *Journal of Sports Sciences, 34*(13), 1240–1245.

Till, K., Cobley, S., Wattie, N., O'Hara, J., Cooke, C., & Chapman, C. (2010). The prevalence, influential factors and mechanisms of relative age effects in UK rugby league. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine and Science in Sports, 20*(2), 320–329.

The Premier League. (2011). *Elite Player Performance Plan* [online]. Retrieved from: https://www.premierleague.com/youth/EPPP [accessed June 22 2019].

Tribolet, R., Watsford, M. L., Coutts, A. J., Smith, C., & Fransen, J. (2019). From entry to elite: The relative age effect in the Australian football talent pathway. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport, 22*(6), 741–745.

Turnnidge, J., Hancock, D. J., & Cote, J. (2014). The influence of birth date and place of development on youth sport participation. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine and Science in Sports, 24*(2), 461–468.

Ulbricht, A., Fernandez-Fernandez, J., Mendez-Villanueva, A., & Ferrauti, A. (2015). The relative age effect and physical fitness characteristics in German male tennis players. *Journal of Sports Science & Medicine, 14*(3), 634–42.

van Den Honert, R. (2012). Evidence of the relative age effect in football in Australia. *Journal of Sports Sciences, 30*(13), 1365–1374.

van Rossum, J. H. A. (2006). Relative age effect revisited: Findings from the dance domain. *Perceptual and Motor Skills, 102*(2), 302–308.

Vandendriessche, J. B., Vaeyens, R., Vandorpe, B., Lenoir, M., Lefevre, J., & Philippaerts, R. M. (2012). Biological maturation, morphology, fitness, and motor coordination as part of a selection strategy in the search for international youth soccer players (age 15-16 years). *Journal of Sports Sciences, 30*(15), 1695–1703.

Votteler, A., & Honer, O. (2014). The relative age effect in the German Football TID Programme: Biases in motor performance diagnostics and effects on single motor abilities and skills in groups of selected players. *European Journal of Sport Science, 14*(5), 433–442.

Votteler, A., & Honer, O. (2017). Cross-sectional and longitudinal analyses of the relative age effect in German youth football. *German Journal of Exercise and Sport Research, 47*(3), 194–204.

Wattie, N., Schorer, J., & Baker, J. (2015). The relative age effect in sport: A development systems model. *Sports Medicine, 45*(1), 84–94.

Williams, J. H. (2010). Relative age effect in youth soccer: Analysis of the FIFA U17 World Cup competition. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine and Science in Sports, 20*(3), 502–528.

List of tables and figures

Table 1. Quartile distributions with chi-square and Cramer's V outputs.

Figure 1. The total number of academy players based on BQ distributions. Percentage of total is also represented above each BQ. Expected distributions calculated from ONS (2015).

Figure 2. The percentage of professional contracts awarded based on the total number of academy graduates within each BQ.

Figure 3. The total number of professional contracts awarded based on academy graduate BQ distributions.