

Please cite the Published Version

Bergqvist, Anna (2023) Narrative Formulation Revisited: On Seeing the Person in Mental Health Recovery. *Philosophy, Psychiatry and Psychology*, 30 (1). pp. 7-8. ISSN 1071-6076

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1353/ppp.2023.0003>

Publisher: Johns Hopkins University Press

Version: Accepted Version

Downloaded from: <https://e-space.mmu.ac.uk/633207/>

Usage rights: © In Copyright

Additional Information: This is an Author Accepted Manuscript of an article published in *Philosophy, Psychiatry and Psychology*, by Johns Hopkins University Press.

Enquiries:

If you have questions about this document, contact openresearch@mmu.ac.uk. Please include the URL of the record in e-space. If you believe that your, or a third party's rights have been compromised through this document please see our Take Down policy (available from <https://www.mmu.ac.uk/library/using-the-library/policies-and-guidelines>)

Narrative Formulation Revisited: On Seeing the Person in Mental Health Recovery

Dr Anna Bergqvist

Please refer to the PUBLISHED version: [10.1353/ppp.2023.0003](https://doi.org/10.1353/ppp.2023.0003)

The use of narrative in mental health contexts models consciousness as something necessarily embodied, as already part of the world, in an inherently value-laden and perspectival way (Bergqvist 2021). As such narrative presents a powerful tool for critical re-assessment and re-evaluation of preconceived ideas in relating to difficult concepts in clinical interactions.

Narrative structures can reveal psychological differences between persons in a way that matters for the provision of effective treatment and management. As emphasised by Solomon (2015), narrative reasoning is also motivated by distinctly first-personal concerns that are operative in the practitioner-client *relationship*. I maintain that the dynamics of that interpersonal relationship are part and parcel of what it means to address the patient's needs to be seen *as* a person in humanistic empathetic care – without thereby reducing truth to an individual person's perspective to encourage positive transformation.¹

The notion of empowering narratives to encourage positive change is a central concept behind the emphasis on the critical role of empathy in explaining human development and psychoanalytic change within the self-psychology tradition but is also key to recovery-based models of the significance of person-centred *quality of life* in medicine more generally. This is defined in mental health as recovering a good quality of life as determined by the values of (by what matters or is important to) the individual concerned (Allott et al., 2002; Fulford, 1989, 2004, 2012). The importance of strengths in this regard was reflected for example in the UK government programme on values-based mental health assessment. The *3 Keys* programme, as it was called (National Institute for Mental Health in England, NIMHE, and the Care Services Improvement Partnership, 2008²) identified three shared 'keys' to good practice in mental health assessment: three things that were identified in a wide-ranging consultation as being

¹ Here I side with Goldie (2012) and Solomon (2015), who warn against confusing the notion of autobiographical narrative (clinical or otherwise) with its intentional object.

² A copy of the full report is available at [valuesbasedpractice.org/More about VBP/Fulltext downloads](https://valuesbasedpractice.org/More%20about%20VBP/Fulltext%20downloads)

important alike by health professionals of all kinds and by ‘service users’ thus understood as patients and carers (Fulford 2015a, 2015b). The third of these keys was defined in the subsequently published Good Practice Guidance, as ‘a person-centred focus that builds on the *strengths, resiliencies and aspirations* of the individual service user as well as identifying his or her needs and challenges’ (NIMHE 2008: 6); and the guidance included a number of real-life case examples of best recovery practice reflecting this aspect of mental health care (Slade et al., 2014).

What my (Bergqvist 2018, 2020, 2022, forthcoming) account adds to this claim is that while such choices are revelatory or expressive of a distinctly first-personal stance, they do not constitute or determine self-hood and self-interpretation in a fixed way. And the reason is that one can also adopt a second-personal stance on one’s own experience and address oneself, where the relationship between the first- and the second-personal narrative perspective *on* experience and self-understanding is itself a dynamic and open-ended evaluative process.

[Word count excluding notes and references: **504**]

Email: a.bergqvist@mmu.ac.uk

References

- Allott, P., Loganathan, L and Fulford, K.W.M. (Bill), (2002) Discovering Hope For Recovery. In: Innovation in Community Mental Health: International Perspectives. Special issue of the Canadian Journal of Community Mental Health, 21(2), pps 13-33.
- Bergqvist, A. (2018). 'Moral Perception, Thick Concepts and Perspectivalism'. In A. Bergqvist and R. Cowan (eds.), *Evaluative Perception* (Oxford: Oxford University Press): 258-281.
- Bergqvist, A. (2020). 'Narrative Understanding, Value and Diagnosis: A Particularist Account of Clinical Formulations and Shared Decision-Making in Mental Health'. *Philosophy, Psychology and Psychiatry* 27 (2): 149-167.
- Bergqvist, A. (2022). 'Psychiatric Ethics'. In *The Murdochian Mind*, ed. Silvia Caprioglio Panizza & Mark Hopwood. London: Routledge. Published 3 June 2022. Access [here](#).
- Bergqvist, A. (*forthcoming*). 'The Phenomenology of Mutual Trust in Psychotherapy: A Relational Account of Meaning-Making in Recovery'. In *Phenomenology, Neuroscience and Clinical Practices*, edited by Francesca Brencio. In Press.
- Bergqvist, A., Crepaz-Keay, D. and Bhui, K. (*forthcoming*). *The Oxford Handbook of Philosophy and Public Mental Health: Emerging Theories, Converging Practice*. Under contract with Oxford University Press.
- Fulford, K.W.M. (1989, reprinted 1995 and 1999) Moral Theory and Medical Practice. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Fulford, K.W.M. (2004) Ten Principles of Values-Based Medicine. Ch 14, pps 205 – 234 in Radden, J. (Ed) *The Philosophy of Psychiatry: A Companion*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Fulford, K.W.M., Peile, E., and Carroll, H (2012) *Essential Values-based Practice: clinical stories linking science with people*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Japanese edition, Medical Sciences International 2016; French edition, John Libby Eurotext, 2017

Fulford, K.W.M., Duhig, L., Hankin, J., Hicks, J., and Keeble, J.(2015a) Values-based Assessment in Mental Health: The 3 Keys to a Shared Approach between Service Users and Service Providers. Ch 73, in Sadler, J.Z., van Staden, W., and Fulford, K.W.M., (Eds) *The Oxford Handbook of Psychiatric Ethics*. Oxford: Oxford University Press

Fulford, K.W.M., Dewey, S., and King, M. (2015b) Values-based Involuntary Seclusion and Treatment: Value Pluralism and the UK's Mental Health Act 2007. Ch 60, in Sadler, J.Z., van Staden, W., and Fulford, K.W.M., (Eds) *The Oxford Handbook of Psychiatric Ethics*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Goldie, P. 2012: *The Mess Inside: Narrative, Emotion and the Mind*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

National Institute for Mental Health in England (NIMHE) and the Care Services Improvement Partnership (2008) 3 Keys to a Shared Approach in Mental Health Assessment. London: Department of Health. Available as a free full-text download from the Collaborating Center for Values-based Practice at: [http://valuesbasedpractice.org/More about VBP/Full text downloads](http://valuesbasedpractice.org/More%20about%20VBP/Full%20text%20downloads)).

Slade, M., Amering, M., Farkas, M., Hamilton, B., O'Hagan, M., Panther, G., Perkins, R., Shepherd, G., Tse, S., and Whitley, R. (2014) Uses and Abuses of Recovery: Implementing Recovery-oriented practices in mental Health Systems. *World Psychiatry*, 13: 12-20.

Solomon, M. (2015) *Making Medical Knowledge*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.