# Factors associated with non-specific low back pain in field hockey: a cross-sectional study of Premier and Division One players





## Authors

Dr. Nick Dobbin<sup>™</sup>, Mr. Craig Getty, Mr. Benn Digweed

# Affiliations

Sport and Exercise Medicine, Department of Health Professions, Faculty of Health and Education, Manchester Metropolitan University. N.Dobbin@mmu.ac.uk

## Introduction 01

Non-specific low back pain (NS-LBP) is defined as pain, with or without leg pain, between the inferior margin of the twelfth rib and the inferior gluteal folds. It is typically diagnosed by ruling out other causes through patient history and physical examination. NS-LBP is common within sporting populations (Wall et al., 2023). In fieldhockey specifically, the overall prevalence is reported to be between 33% and 67% depending on playing role and position, competitive level, age, and sex (van Hilst et al., 2015; Haydt et al., 2012).

## **Research Question** 02)

Given the high prevalence of NS-LBP in field hockey and limited evidence exploring many of the notable risk factors using appropriate analyses in field hockey, this study sought to answer the following research question:



**Design**: A cross-sectional study design was used to gather information related to NS-LBP from participants who competed in the men's and women's Premier Division, Division 1 North and Division 1 South for field hockey.

Various other individual-, training-, and work-related factors are likely to alter the prevalence and overall risk of developing NS-LBP in field hockey. However, these remain largely unexplored within this group using appropriate statistical analysis despite having important individual, sporting, and clinical implications.

Are participant characteristics, injury history, training-related factors, and work and personal associated factors with greater or lesser odds of experiencing NS-LBP amongst Premier and Division One field hockey players in England?







**Procedures**: After pilot work, an online questionnaire was shared with 18 clubs (out of 63) who agreed to disseminate the link to an estimated 1116 players. Ethical approval no. 44891.

**Dependent variable**: Experienced NS-LBP (yes/no)

Independent variable grouping: Participant characteristics, injury history, training-related factors, work and personal factors.

**Analysis:** Descriptive statistics and prevalence were determined. The association between independent variables and back pain were examined using uni- and multi-variable analysis (Bullock et al., 2021). The final model was constructed using probability statistics, odds ratio, and perceived clinical value.

## Results 04)

A total of 194 responses were received from those competing in the men's and women's Premier Division, Division 1 North and Division 1 South, reflecting a response rate of ~19%.

Demographic information and prevalence of NS-LBP are presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Demographic information and prevalence of NS-LBP					
Variable	Grouping	Non-specific low Prevalence			
		back pain			
		Yes	No		
	16-18	9	29	24%	

#### Univariable analysis

individual factors were 13 associated with greater odds of NS-LBP. Beyond those in the final model (Figure 1), this included competing in indoor hockey (OR = 1.896, p = 0.057) and standing at work for 50% of the time (OR = 1.420, p = 0.231).

Age (years)	16-18 19-24 25-30 >30	OR = 1.43 (0.30, 16.87, p = 0.657) OR = 0.92 (0.23, 3.64, p = 0.902) OR = 3.04 (0.80, 11.53, p 0.104) <i>Referent</i>		
Sex	Male Female	OR = 0.51 (0.15, 1.67, p = 0.264) Referent		
Stature (cm)	<160 161-170 171-180 >180	OR = 0.11 (0.01, 1.20 p = 0.052) OR = 0.12 (0.02, 0.63, p = 0.012) OR = 0.58 (0.18, 1.86, p = 0.354) Referent	ļ	
Years of playing experience (years)	0-3 4-7 8-11 >11	OR = n/a (n/a, n/a, p = n/a) OR = 0.55 (0.12, 2.59, p = 0.452) OR = 0.43 (0.14, 1.35, p = 0.149) <i>Referent</i>		
An international player	Yes No	OR = 1.78 (0.47, 6.66, p = 0.395) <i>Referent</i>		
Playing position	Goalkeeper Defender Midfielders Forward	OR = 1.75 (0.24, 12.75, p = 0.579) OR = 1.15 (0.40, 3.35, p = 0.795) OR = 1.45 (0.48, 4.34, p = 0.507) <i>Referent</i>		
Stick length (inches)	35" - 35.5" 36" - 36.5" 37" - 37.5"	OR = 4.88 (1.20, 13.74, p = 0.081) 1.37 (0.49, 3.82, p = 0.550) <i>Referent</i>		
Drag flick during corner routines	Yes No	OR = 4.05 (1.20, 13.74, p = 0.025) <i>Referent</i>		
Experience stiffness/tightness	Yes No	OR = 3.92 (1.68, 9.14, p = 0.002) Referent		
Training during a typical week (hours)	0−2 3−5 6−8 9−10 >10	$\begin{array}{l} \text{OR} = 7.39 \ (0.43, \ 126.64, p = 0.168) \\ \text{OR} = 3.12 \ (0.23, \ 42.61, p = 0.394) \\ \text{OR} = 1.30 \ (0.10, \ 16.34, p = 0.842) \\ \text{OR} = 1.12 \ (0.07, \ 16.90, p = 0.936) \\ \end{array}$		
No. hockey matches per week	1 2 ≥3	OR = 0.87 (0.02, 34.89, p = 0.940) OR = 5.48 (0.13, 226.35, p = 0.371) <i>Referent</i>		,
Lifting heavy loads at work	Yes No	OR = 2.53 (0.80, 8.00, p = 0.113) Referent		
Work increases fatigue	Yes No	OR = 0.60 (0.23, 1.59, p = 0.306) <i>Referent</i>		
Work prevents recovery	Yes No	OR = 2.03 (0.75, 5.52, p = 0.164) <i>Referent</i>		
Good sleep quantity	Yes No	OR = 0.50 (0.21, 1.19, p = 0.117) Referent		
Perceived job stress	Never Rarely Sometimes Frequently	$\label{eq:org} \begin{array}{l} \text{OR} = 0.13 \; (0.02,  0.99,  p = 0.048) \\ \text{OR} = 0.32 \; (0.08,  1.22,  p = 0.095) \\ \text{OR} = 0.35 \; (0.11,  1.14,  p = 0.083) \\ \text{Referent} \end{array}$		<u> </u>
Stressful life event	Yes No	OR = 1.62 (0.71, 3.72, p = 0.255) Referent	0.01	0.02



	19-24	26	42	38%
Age (years)	25-30	29	22	57%
	>30	22	15	60%
<b>Cov</b>	Men	46	53	47%
Sex	Women	40	55	42%
	<160	4	8	33%
Statura (am)	161 – 170	12	36	25%
stature (cm)	171 – 180	33	31	52%
	>180	37	33	53%
	0-3	0	2	0.0%
Playing experience (years)	4-7	12	14	46%
	8-11	22	30	42%
	>]]	52	62	46%
International playor	Yes	23	15	61%
international player	No	63	93	40%
	Goalkeeper	7	4	64%
Playing position	Defender	29	39	43%
	Midfielder	25	39	39%
	Forward	25	26	49%
	35″-35.5″	10	7	59%
Stick length	36"-36.5"	50	74	40%
-	37"-37.5"	25	27	48%
Porform drag flicks	Yes	21	9	70%
Ferrorinarag nicks	No	65	99	40%
Experience stiffness or	Yes	57	32	64%
tightness	No	29	76	28%
	0-2	10	9	53%
Training bours during a	3-5	41	55	43%
typical week	6-8	26	30	46%
typical week	9-10	6	10	39%
	>10	3	4	43%
Hockey matches/week	1	48	80	38%
Hockey Hiddenes, week	2	36	25	59%
	≥3	2	3	40%
Lifting begyw logds at work	Yes	23	10	70%
	No	63	98	39%
Perceive work to increase	Yes	50	56	47%
fatigue	No	36	52	41%
Perceive work to prevent	Yes	45	28	62%
recovery	No	41	80	34%
Perceive sleep as good	Yes	49	81	38%
quantity	No	37	27	58%
	Never	4	13	24%
Parcantion of ich stress	Rarely	13	28	32%
	Sometimes	46	54	46%

5 factors were associated with lower odds which, beyond those in the final model, included sleep quality (OR = 0.32, p = 0.001).

#### Multivariable analysis

Two additional factors were included from the univariable analysis (sex and playing Being in the experience). youngest age group, playing midfield and sleep quality were no longer associated (OR = 1.13-1.52).

Four additional factors were associated with lower odds and included in the final model (Figure 1).

#### **Final model**

variables overview of An included in the final model is

	Frequently	23	13	64%	provided in Figure 1
Stressful life event	Yes	49	41	54%	provided in Figure I.
	No	37	67	36%	

Figure 1. Association between independent factors with NS-LBP in the final multivariable model.

### 05 Conclusion

06

This study found an overall lifetime prevalence of NS-LBP within the region of 44% as well as variations in prevalence according to specific sub-groupings within field hockey.

Clinicians working in field hockey can consider the key risk factors presented in Table 2 that are associated with NS-LPB when considering injury risk, movement screening approaches, and athlete management.

able 2. Summary of key risk fac	tors associated with non-specific low back pain
Variable	Interpretation
Age	Players aged 25 to 30 are at greater odds (OR = 3.04) of developing NS-LBP compared to the older group.
Stature	Players under <170 cm were at lower odds (OR = 0.11 to 0.12) of developing NS-LBP compared to those >170
	cm.
Position	Goalkeepers and midfielders seem to present with slightly higher odds of NS-LBP (OR = 1.45 to 1.75).
Playing International Hockey	Those competing at an international level are at higher odds of NS-LBP (OR = 1.78).
Drag Flick	Those who drag flick in short corner routines are at 4.05 times greater odds of developing NS-LBP.
B stiffness or tightness	Players who perceive their LB to be stiff or tight are at 3.92 times greater risk of developing N-SLBP
Occupational factors	Lifting heavy load at work, perceived work to prevent recovery, and experiencing a stressful life event were
	associated with greater odds of LBP (OR = 1.62 to 2.53).
	Perceiving work to increase fatigue, good sleep quantity, and minimal job stress were associated with
	lower odds of NS-LBP (OR = $0.13$ to $0.60$ ).

- Wall, J., Meehan, W. P., Tompeter, K., Gissane, C. Mockler, D., van Dyk, N. and Wilson, F. (2021) 'Incidence, prevalence and risk factors for low back pain in adolescent athletes: a systematic review and meta-analysis.' British Journal of Sports Medicine, 56 pp. 1299-1306.
- van Hilst, J., Hilgersom, N. F. J., Kuilman, M. C., Kuijer, P. F. M. and Frings-Dresen, M. H. W. (2015) 'Low back pain in young elite field hockey players, football players and speed skaters: prevalence and risk factors.' Journal of Back and Musculoskeletal Rehabilitation, 28 pp. 67-73.



References Haydt, R., Pheasant, S. and Lawrence, K. (2012) 'The incidence of low back pain in ncaa division iii female field hockey players.' International Journal Sports Physical Therapy, 7(3), pp 296–305.
Bullock, G. S., Hughes, T., Sergeant, J. C., Callaghan, M. J., Riley, R. D. and Collins, G. S. (2021) 'Clinical prediction models in sports medicine: a guide for clinicians and researchers.' Journal of Orthopaedic and Sports Physical *Therapy*, 51(10), pp. 517–525.