

Please cite the Published Version

Naderi, Aynollah, Shaabani, Fatemeh, Keikha, Mojtaba and Degens, Hans (D) (2024) Is an exercise-based injury prevention programme effective in team handball players? A systematic review and meta-analysis. Journal of Athletic Training, 59 (8). pp. 845-856. ISSN 1062-6050

DOI: https://doi.org/10.4085/1062-6050-0680.22

Publisher: The National Athletic Trainers' Association

Version: Accepted Version

Downloaded from: https://e-space.mmu.ac.uk/632570/

Usage rights: O In Copyright

Additional Information: This is an Online First Accepted Manuscript which appeared in Journal of Athletic Training, published by The National Athletic Trainers' Association. Readers should keep in mind that the in-production articles posted in this section may undergo changes in the content and presentation before they appear in forthcoming issues. We recommend regular visits to the site to ensure access to the most current version of the article. Please contact the JAT office (jat@slu.edu) with any questions.

Enquiries:

If you have questions about this document, contact openresearch@mmu.ac.uk. Please include the URL of the record in e-space. If you believe that your, or a third party's rights have been compromised through this document please see our Take Down policy (available from https://www.mmu.ac.uk/library/using-the-library/policies-and-guidelines)

doi: 10.4085/1062-6050-0680.22

Is an Exercise-Based Injury Prevention Programme Effective in Team Handball Players? A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Aynollah Naderi, PhD*; Fatemeh Shaabani[‡], Mojtaba Keikha[§], Hans Degens PhD[#]

- * E-mail: ay.naderi@shahroodut.ac.ir.
- ‡ E-mail: fatimah.shaabani@gmail.com.
- § E-mail: mr.mojtabakeikha@gmail.com.
- # E-mail: h.degens@mmu.ac.uk.
- * School of Sport Sciences, Shahrood University of Technology. Shahrood, Semnan, Iran

‡ Department of sport psychology, Faculty of Physical Education and Sport Sciences, University of Tehran, Tehran, Iran.

§ Department of Biostatistics and Epidemiology, Faculty of Public Health, Kerman University

of Medical Sciences, Kerman, Iran

School of Healthcare Science; Manchester Metropolitan University, UK. Institute of Sport Science & Innovations, Lithuanian Sports University, Lithuania

*Address for Correspondence

Corrective exercise and sport rehabilitation lab,

School of Sport Science, Shahrood University of Technology,

Shahrood, Semnan, Iran.

P.O.B: 3619995161.

Tel:+9832392204.

E-mail: ay.naderi@shahroodut.ac.ir.

Trial registration: This trial is registered a in PROSPERO on December 2021

(CRD42021295239).

Funding: This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Conflict of interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interest

Readers should keep in mind that the in-production articles posted in this section may undergo changes in the content and presentation before they appear in forthcoming issues. We recommend regular visits to the site to ensure access to the most current version of the article. Please contact the *JAT* office (<u>jat@slu.edu</u>) with any questions.

2 3

4

5 6

7 8

9

10

11

17

Is an Exercise-Based Injury Prevention Programme Effective in Team Handball Plavers? A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Abstract

Objective

This systematic review and meta-analysis aimed to assess the effectiveness of exercise-based injury prevention programmes in preventing sports injuries in team handball players.

Data Sources

Two independent researchers performed a systematic search in the electronic databases Scopus, PubMed, Web of Science (WOS), SPORTDiscus, and CINAHL from inception until Aprile 2023.

Study Selection

Studies were included if they were randomized-controlled or prospective cohort trials, 12 contained a population of competitive team handball players, included an intervention 13 designed specifically to prevent or reduce the risk of team handball injury, and reported 14 injury incidence rates specific to team handball players. Two researchers independently 15 assessed trials for inclusion criteria and methodological quality. 16

Data Extraction

Study design, intervention details, participant characteristics, and the number of injuries in 18 each group were extracted from each study by two independent researchers. The outcome of 19 interest was the incidence rate of injury. Injury data were classified into 5 groups: lower 20 extremity injuries, shoulder injuries, knee injuries, ankle sprains, or ACL injuries. Extracted 21 data were analyzed by Comprehensive Meta-Analysis software, version 3.0 (CMA.V2) using 22 a random-effects model to compute the overall effect estimates of injury prevention 23 programmes in reducing the risk of injuries. Odds ratios (ORs) with 95% CIs were calculated 24 based on the number of injuries in each group. 25

Data Synthesis

Meta-analyses were conducted independently for each injury classification. Results indicate 27 that prevention programmes significantly reduced the risk of shoulder injuries (OR, 0.56; 28 95% CI, 0.36-0.87; P = 0.01), lower extremity (OR, 0.59; 95% CI, 0.37-0.98; P = 0.03), knee 29 (OR, 0.53; 95% CI, 0.35-0.78; P = 0.002) and ankle sprains (OR, 0.57; 95% CI, 0.40-0.81; P 30 = 0.002), and ACL ruptures (OR, 0.67; 95% CI, 0.45-0.97; P = 0.03) in team handball 31 players. 32

Conclusion

33

63

64

65

66

In team handball players, prevention programmes appear effective in reducing the risk of	34
shoulder, lower extremity, knee, ankle, and ACL injuries.	35
Keywords: shoulder injury; lower extremity injury; knee injury; ankle injury; ACL injury;	36
handball	37
Abstract word count: 294 words	38
Body of manuscript word count: 6000 words	39
Key points	40
• Given the apparent disparity between studies on the effectiveness of exercise-based	41
injury prevention programmes for team handball, it remains unclear whether such	42
programmes are truly effective in reducing the risk of team handball injuries or not.	43
• Exercise-based injury prevention programmes can reduce the visk of injuries for the	44
shoulder (from 36% to 87%) and lower extremity (37% to 98%) in team handball	45
players.	46
• Regarding lower extremities, exercise-based injury prevention programmes may	47
reduce the risk of knee and ankle injuries in team handball players from 35 to 78%	48
and from 40 to 81%, respectively.	49
• In the case of specific injuries, exercise-based injury prevention programmes may	50
help to reduce the risk of ACL injuries by 45-97% in team handball players.	51
• Due to the relatively low number and quality of studies on this topic, higher-quality	52
studies may help to improve the strength of the recommendations.	53
Introduction	54
Team handball is one of the most popular ball sports played by nearly 20 million	55
people around the world. ¹ Handball is played by two teams consisting of seven field players	56
in two periods of 30 min. Each team includes five substitutes players who can be substituted	57
at any time during the game. Each player uses their hands to pass a ball with the aim of	58
throwing it towards the opposing team's goal and the team that scores the most goals is the	59
winner. Previous studies have shown that playing team handball improves several physical	60
and physiological parameters. ² However, participation in handball is also associated with a	61

high risk of injury,¹ mainly because players are exposed to high physical demands during

training sessions and games.³ Team handball is a high-intensity contact sport, involving

repeated acceleration and deceleration movements, fast sidestep cutting and pivoting

maneuvers, sudden jumping and landing movements, and frequent throws.^{1, 4-6} Additionally,

professional players endure busy competition schedules (between 70 and 100 international,

national, and club competitions) and intense training pressures to stay at a competitive level, 67 ^{4, 7} which probably contributes to the high prevalence of injuries in team handball.⁸ At the 68 elite level, the increase in the number of national/international matches and tournaments has 69 resulted in a typical season lasts 9-10 months.⁹ During the season, elite players typically play 70 two matches per week compared to one match at lower levels of play, and in different 71 periods elite players often train twice a day.⁹ 72

Compared to other team sports the risk of injury in team handball was in 2008 the 74 third (after soccer and field hockey),¹⁰ in 2012 the second (after soccer),⁸ and in 2016 the 75 fourth (after soccer, rugby, and water polo).¹¹ The overall incidence of injury in team 76 handball is reported to be between 10 to 40 per 1000 player hours, with the majority of 77 injuries occurring during matches.^{10, 11} The most frequent injuries in team handball players 78 are located in the lower limbs (thigh, knee, and ankle), the shoulder, and the upper limbs.^{3, 12} 79 Nearly half of all team handball injuries involve the knee and ankle^{3, 5, 11, 12} of which ankle 80 injuries are the most reported in team handball (8 to 45%), while ACL injuries occur less 81 frequently (7 to 27%), they are more severe.¹³ Injuries in hand joints are around 9.9% and 82 those in the shoulder joints amount to 9.3%? Even though the incidence of shoulder injuries 83 is relatively low, shoulder injuries require the third-longest interval of convalescence after 84 trauma, following knee and ankle muries. Injuries not only affect players' health and 85 performance, but also come at costs to the sports team and the athlete's family,^{14, 15} and may 86 in the long term lead to early joint degeneration of especially the knee.¹⁶ 87

Injury prevention should be a primary goal for handball players of all ages and 88 participation levels (e.g., recreational, semiprofessional, professional) since an injury will not 89 only result in athletic performance deficits, an increased risk of re-injury and chronic 90 sequelae but also loss of playing time, a high financial burden for the professional athlete's 91 employer and the healthcare system.¹⁷ Yet, it is not clear whether such programmes do indeed 92 diminish the incidence of injuries in handball players. Therefore, the aim of this meta-93 analysis was to assess the effectiveness of exercise-based injury prevention programmes in 94 preventing sports injuries in team handball players. We hypothesized that the literature 95 presents inconsistent results in the prevention of injuries in handball players, ultimately 96 resulting in no evidence for a significant reduction of the lower extremity, ACL, or ankle 97 sprain injury risk in this population by such exercise-based injury prevention programmes. 98

Methods

Study Design

100

This systematic review and meta-analysis was conducted in accordance with the101Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA)102Statement.¹⁸ The protocol was registered in PROSPERO on December 2021 (XXX).103

(Figure 1 about here)

104 105

113

125

Search Strategy

The literature search was independently conducted by two researchers (XXX and 106 XXX). First, relevant studies were identified through an internet-based search in five healthrelated, biomedical, and psychological databases (Scopus, PubMed, Web of Science (WOS), 108 SPORTDiscus, CINAHL). No filters or limitations were imposed during any of the database 109 searches. The search was carried out from inception until April 2023. Relevant search 110 keywords were combined with Boolean operators (OR/AND) and applied to three search 111 levels (Table 1). 112

(Table 1 here)

Further, the bibliographic references of identified studies were searched manually for114additional relevant studies. Finally, all eligible studies were entered into Google Scholar to115identify all of the articles that had cited them.116

Retrieved articles from each database were imported into EndNote X7 software 117 (Thomson Reuters, New York, NY, USA) for duplicate removal, screening, and review. After 118 accounting for duplication, the eligibility of returned articles was judged by screened title and 119 abstract. Where study selection was unclear from the reading title or abstract, the article was 120 screened in full text. Studies were screened against the predetermined inclusion and exclusion 121 criteria by two independent reviewers (XXX and XXX). In situations where conflicts arose, 122 the two authors discussed the manuscript and reached a consensus. If consensus was not 123 achieved, a third reviewer (XXX) was involved. 124

Eligibility Criteria

The inclusion criteria, according to the 'PICOS' model (Population, Interventions, 126 Comparisons, Outcomes, and Study Design)¹⁸, were: (1) Population: competitive handball 127 players of any age (e.g., professional, collegiate, scholastic, intramural); (2) Intervention: 128 intervention programmes was designed specifically to prevent or reduce the risk of team 129 handball injury (overall of regional-specific injury prevention programmes). The exercise-130 based injury prevention programme has to be multifaceted and include sport-specific skills, 131 strength, balance or plyometric exercises. Sport-specific exercises mimic specific technical 132 skills such as landing and throwing techniques that apply directly to handball. Resistance 133 exercises were defined as activities that improve muscle strength through using resistance 134

162

163

like body weight, free weights, elastic bands, or strength machines. Balance exercises refer to 135 activities that require maintaining the line of gravity at the base of support like single-legged 136 or double-legged stance activities that were designed to improve proprioceptive awareness. 137 Activities were characterised as plyometric exercises if they utilised powerful movements, 138 such as jumping or bounding movements. (3) Comparisons: at least one control group that 139 did not receive any intervention (CON); (4) Outcomes: incidence rate of the injury or other 140 outcome data such as injury counts and athlete exposures that made it possible to calculate 141 incidence rate was reported; (5) Study Design: a randomized controlled or prospective cohort 142 study; (6) Full-text article published in English in a peer-reviewed journal. 143

All types of multicomponent exercise interventions to prevent team handball injuries 144 were selected, but interventions using protective devices (e.g., braces, tapes) or including 145 only one exercise component (e.g., using Nordic eccentric exercise to prevent hamstring 146 injuries) were excluded. Case studies, lectures, commentaries, editorials, review articles, or 147 articles that were not peer-reviewed or not written in English were excluded. 148

Quality Assessment

The risk of bias (for randomized controlled trials, and prospective cohort trials) was 150 independently assessed by two reviewers (XXX, XXX) using Physiotherapy Evidence 151 Database (PEDro) scale.^{19, 20} The PEDro scale is a valid and reliable measure of the 152 methodological quality of randomized controlled trials in systematic reviews.^{19, 20} The PEDro 153 scale is an 11-item scale with "yes" and "no" answers. The first item pertains to external 154 validity and is not used to compute the overall quality score. The remaining 10 items (2–11) 155 are summed to obtain a final PEDro score out of 10; a higher score reflects the higher 156 methodological quality. A PEDro score of ≥ 6 is considered to represent a high-quality study, 157 a score of 4-5 is considered moderate quality, and a score of ≤ 3 is considered to represent a 158 low-quality study.²⁰ Disagreements regarding PEDro scoring were resolved by a discussion 159 between the reviewers. If consensus was not achieved, a third reviewer (XXX) was involved. 160 All studies were scored and entered into an individual spreadsheet (table 2). 161

(Table 2 about here)

Data Extraction and analysis

Two authors (XXX, XXX) independently extracted data using a specifically designed164standardized form, and afterward, the authors compared the extracted data for consistency.165Any discrepancies between the two forms were resolved during a consensus meeting. Study166design, country, and competitive level, study population (sex, size, age), size of control and167

169

170

171

172

173

174

186

187

197

198

injuries, knee injuries, ankle sprains, ACL injuries). When applicable, data from a single study were included in more than 1 group.

Data Synthesis

Meta-analyses were undertaken with Comprehensive Meta-Analysis version 3.0 using 175 a random-effects model to compute the overall effect estimates of injury prevention 176 programmes in reducing the risk of shoulder injuries, lower extremity injuries, knee injuries, 177 ankle sprains, and ACL injuries. Odds ratios (ORs) with 95% CIs were calculated based on 178 the number of injuries in each group. Statistical heterogeneity was assessed using the I^2 179 statistic to describe the proportion of the observed variability in effect among studies that is 180 due to true differences in effect. I² value of 0% indicates no heterogeneity, 30%-60% meant 181 moderate heterogeneity, and more than 75% shows a considerable heterogeneity.^{21, 22} 182 Publication bias was assessed via visual inspection of a standard funnel plot, Orwin fail-safe 183 N, and Egger's regression test. An alpha level of 0.05 was considered for statistical 184 significance. 185

Results

Search Results

Study characteristics

The initial database search using Scopus, PubMed, Web of Science (WOS), 188 SPORTDiscus, and CINAHL databases yielded 562 results. After duplicate removal, 235 189 articles were screened for relevancy. Screening by title and abstract yielded 24 potentially 190 eligible articles and after a full-text review, 15 were excluded. Thus, a total of ten studies 191 were included in the final analysis. A flowchart of the selection process is displayed in Figure 192 1. 193

These articles were categorized into: shoulder injury (n = 5),²³⁻²⁷ lower extremity injury(n = 194 5),^{23, 25, 28-30} knee injury (n = 5),^{23, 25, 28-30} ankle injury (n = 5)^{23, 25, 28-30} and ACL injury (n = 195 5).^{23, 25, 28, 31, 32} All analyzed articles are summarized in Table 3.

(Table 3 here)

Ten studies with 3461 handball players allocated to exercise-based injury prevention 199 programmes and 3516 players to the control group (as usual) were included in this review. 200 The study design in three studies was RCT^{24, 25, 27} and in six prospective cohorts.^{23, 26, 28-31} 201

232 233

Two studies were conducted in Germany^{23, 28} and seven in Norway.^{24-27, 29-31} All studies were 202 published between 1999 and 2018. 23, 30 The mean age of players in the reviewed studies 203 ranged from 14.9 to 23.5 years.^{24, 29} Except for the study by Petersen et al ²⁸ that included 204 adult players, all other reviewed studies included handball players under the age of 22 years. 205 The included studies comprised 6,977 participants (3461 in control group and 3516 206 intervention group) with a median sample size of 275 (range 87-1,746) per study. Five 207 studies only included female athletes²⁷⁻³¹ and others comprised mixed male/female cohorts.²³⁻ 208 ²⁶ All included studies had a follow-up period of one season with a median intervention 209 duration of 8 months (range from 6 to 9 months) and median of 66 training sessions (range 210 from 45 to 126 sessions).^{25, 29, 30} The dropout rate ranged from 2.1% to 34.3% but was not 211 reported in two studies.^{24, 25} Table 3 shows the main characteristics of the included studies. 212 213

Quality assessment

Interrater agreement for quality analysis between the two reviewers (XXX and XXX) 214 assessing the ten included studies was 95.4%. PEDro scores ranged from 3 to 10 points, with 215 an average score of 5 points (see Table 2 for individual scores). Two studies were considered 216 to be of high methodological quality,^{24, 25} five studies were rated as moderate quality,^{23, 27, 29-} 217 ³¹ and two were of low quality.^{26, 28} Some limitations in the poor and moderate-quality studies 218 were the lack of reporting participant eligibility criteria,^{23, 25, 27, 29-31} randomization,²⁸⁻³¹ and 219 adequate allocation concealment^{23, 251} Some studies have not blinded the subject, therapist, 220 or assessor,^{23, 26-28, 31} or did not describe the blinding status.^{29, 30} Additionally, the high 221 dropout rate and absence of conducting intent-to-treat analysis were the most common 222 limitations in the reviewed studies. 223

Shoulder injuries

Five studies reported the effects of an exercise-based injury prevention programme 225 for shoulder injuries.²³⁻²⁷ Among those, three studies reported that exercise-based injury 226 prevention programmes are associated with a lower risk of shoulder injuries,^{24, 26, 27} and the 227 other two did not conduct statistical analysis.^{23, 25} The meta-analysis showed that using an 228 exercise-based injury prevention programme is associated with a lower risk of shoulder injury 229 in handball players (OR, 0.56; 95% CI, 0.36-0.87; P = 0.01; Figure 2). Heterogeneity was 230 low ($I^2 = 17.1\%$) and not significant (p=0.31) in these analyses. 231

(Figure 2 here)

Lower extremity injuries

Five studies reported the effects of an exercise-based injury prevention programme 234 for lower extremity injuries.^{23, 25, 28-30} A lower extremity injury is any physical complaint (acute 235

250

251

260

261

Downloaded from http://meridian.allenpress.com/jat/article-pdf/doi/10.4085/1062-6050-0680.22/3258900/10.4085_1062-6050-0680.22.pdf by Manchester Metropolitan University user on 18 September 2022

or chronic and traumatic or nontraumatic) incurred during competition or training directly related 236 to handball and involves one of the lower parts of the body from the hip to the toes. If this 237 complaint is limited to the knee, it is defined as a knee injury, if it is limited to the ankle, it is 238 defined as an ankle injury, and if it involves the ACL, it is defined as an ACL injury. Among 239 those, two studies reported that exercise-based injury prevention programmes are associated 240 with a lower risk of lower extremity injuries,^{25, 30} two studies did not report a significant 241 association between exercise-based injury prevention programmes and risk of lower 242 extremity injury,^{28, 29} and one did not conduct statistical analysis.²³ The meta-analysis showed 243 that using an exercise-based injury prevention programme is associated with a lower risk of 244 lower extremity injury in handball players (OR, 0.59; 95% CI, 0.37-0.98; P = 0.03; Figure 3). 245 Heterogeneity was high ($I^2 = 65.6\%$) and significant (p=0.02). A classic fail-safe N test 246 revealed that 17 additional studies should be included in the meta-analysis to change the 247 results to nonsignificant. 248

(Figure 3 here)

Knee injuries

Five studies reported the effects of an exercise-based injury prevention programme 252 for knee injuries.^{23, 25, 28-30} Among those, two studies reported that exercise-based injury 253 prevention programmes are associated with a lower risk of knee injuries^{25, 30} and three studies 254 did not report a significant association between exercise-based injury prevention programmes 255 and risk of knee injury.^{23, 28, 29} The meta-analysis showed that an exercise-based injury 256 prevention programme is associated with a lower risk of knee injury in handball players (OR, 257 0.53; 95% CI, 0.35-0.78; P = 0.002; Figure 4). Heterogeneity was zero and not significant in 258 these analyses (p=0.89)259

(Figure 4 here)

Ankle injuries

Five studies reported the effects of an exercise-based injury prevention programme 262 for ankle injuries.^{23, 25, 28-30} Among those, two studies reported that exercise-based injury 263 prevention programmes are associated with a lower risk of ankle injuries^{25, 30} and three 264 studies did not report a significant association between exercise-based injury prevention 265 programmes and risk of ankle injury.^{23, 28, 29} The meta-analysis showed that an exercise-based 266 injury prevention programme is associated with a lower risk of ankle injury in handball 267 players (OR, 0.57; 95% CI, 0.40-0.81; P = 0.002; Figure 5). Heterogeneity was zero and not 268 significant in these analyses (p=0.42). 269

ACL injuries

Five studies reported the effects of exercise-based injury prevention programmes for 272 ACL injuries.^{23, 25, 28, 31} Among those, two studies reported that exercise-based injury 273 prevention programmes are associated with a lower risk of ACL injuries^{25, 31} and two studies 274 did not report a significant association between exercise-based injury prevention programmes 275 and risk of ACL injury.^{23, 28} The meta-analysis showed that an exercise-based injury 276 prevention programme is associated with a lower risk of ACL injury in handball players (OR, 277 0.67; 95% CI, 0.45-0.97; P = 0.03; Figure 6). Heterogeneity was zero and not significant in 278 these analyses (p=0.44). 279

(Figure 6 here)

Discussion

Handball is a team throwing sport characterized by frequent and rapid overhead throwing at high velocity, high tempo, rapid changes of movement, jumping with hard landings, and frequent contact and collisions between opponents that distinguishes it from other multidirectional sports. As a consequence, this sport is characterised by a high injury rate. Yet, up to the present time, no meta-analysis was available that specifically evaluated the effects of exercise-based injury prevention programmes in team handball. This metaanalysis indicates that exercise-based injury prevention programmes are effective in reducing the risk of shoulder, lower extremity, knee, ACL, and ankle injuries in handball players.

In our systematic review, three studies examined the effectiveness of shoulder-290 specific injury prevention programmes for reducing the risk of shoulder injuries^{24, 26, 27} and 291 the other two studies reported shoulder injury risk after total body injury prevention 292 programmes in team handball players.^{23, 25} It revealed that team handball-specific injury 293 prevention programmes may reduce the risk of shoulder injuries from 0.13% to 0.64%. 294 Interestingly, even whole-body injury prevention programmes reduced shoulder injury risk, 295 which is in line with kinetic chain theory that predicts that impairments or alterations in lower 296 extremity movement patterns or core stability can contribute to abnormal force dissipation 297 and shoulder injuries in team handball athletes³³⁻³⁵. Further support from this comes from a 298 systematic review study that included 15 full-text articles where improved lumbopelvic 299 control related to improved athletic performance and decreased shoulder injury³⁶ and 300 alterations in lower extremity postural stability and core stability have also been proposed to 301 affect upper extremity function and contribute to upper extremity injury.^{37, 38} Therefore, these 302 two studies on whole-body injury prevention included in our study analysis using exercises 303

270

271

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

304 that restore and enhance lower extremity postural stability and core stability can contribute to the observed shoulder injury prevention. This lower risk of shoulder injury may be related to 305 improved proprioception, coordination, and overall balance, making it possible for the player 306 to prevent collisions and unprovoked falls that ultimately can not only reduce the number of 307 traumatic injuries of the lower extremities, but also the upper extremities.²⁹ A closer look at 308 the shoulder-specific injury prevention interventions in the reviewed studies^{24, 26, 27} highlights 309 that the programmes should be handball-specific; 1) increasing the glenohumeral internal 310 rotation range of motion, 2) increasing both glenohumeral external rotator and scapular 311 muscle strength, 3) improving thoracic mobility and kinetic chain. 312

The present meta-analysis indicated that exercise-based injury prevention 313 programmes are effective in reducing the risk of the lower extremity from 2% to 67%, knee 314 from 22% to 65%, ACL from 3% to 55%, and ankle injuries from 19% to 60% in handball 315 players. Five studies evaluated the effectiveness of exercise-based injury prevention 316 programmes for injury risk of the lower extremity, knee, ACL, and ankle in team handball 317 players.^{23, 25, 28-30} Though the prevention programmes between studies in this review differed 318 in their exercise intensity and duration, almost all focused on education of proper technique 319 (such as planting and cutting maneuvers and landing movements), balance training (such as 320 balancing on a single leg with the eyes closed, balancing on an ankle disk, and balancing on a 321 single leg while completing a task such as catching or throwing a ball), jumping and landing 322 (plyometric) exercises, and strength training.^{23, 25, 28-30} As these programmes were 323 multifaceted and addressed many aspects of injury risk (agility, power, strength, balance, 324 joint position sense, muscle recruitment patterns, lower extremity alignment, playing 325 technique) it is difficult to determine precisely which component of these programmes were 326 particularly effective in in reducing injury risk. However, all injury prevention programs 327 were designed based on handball specific skills, the areas of the body are most susceptible to 328 injury, and the risk factors and mechanisms of these injuries. Nevertheless, further studies 329 may shed light on the effects of each component of the injury prevention programmes on 330 injury risk. 331

Considering that almost all the reviewed studies have focused on the proper technique 332 of planting and cutting and jump-landing maneuvers, this risk reduction of ACL injuries is 333 not unexpected. Because approximately 80% of ACL injuries are non-contact injuries and 334 occur in a cutting maneuver or one-leg landing after a jump shot.³² Indeed, education on the 335 proper technique used in the included studies aiming at a narrower stance as well as a kneeover-toe position during planting and cutting maneuvers and landing following a jump 337 movement has been successfully applied to decrease knee varus/valgus moments.³⁹ 338 Regarding ankle injuries, it also appears that understanding how the foot position at landing 339 in the transverse plane can contribute to reducing the ankle inversion moment is important to 340 the prevention of lateral ankle sprains.⁴⁰ Several other studies have confirmed that one of the 341 main components of any injury prevention programme for knee and lower extremities should 342 be educated on proper technique.^{41, 42} Because planting and cutting and jump-landing 343 maneuvers are common movements of team handball, the use of technical education in the 344 injury prevention programmes of this sport can be of great importance. 345

The other three components that were used in most of the reviewed studies were 346 balance, plyometric, and strength exercises. Regarding this, Brunner, Friesenbichler, 347 Casartelli, Bizzini, Maffiuletti, Niedermann⁴¹ in a systematic review and meta-analysis study 348 concluded that strength and balance exercises were included in all effective injury prevention 349 programmes for lower extremities, knee, ACL and ankle injuries. Balance exercises in 350 reviewed studies consisted of single-legged or double-legged stance activities that were 351 designed to improve proprioceptive awareness. Balance exercises have been used to good 352 effect to prevent lower extremity, knee, ACL⁴³ and ankle⁴⁴ injuries. The risk of injury, such 353 as ankle sprains, has been attributed to poor control of balance and ankle joint position 354 sense,⁴⁵ and balance exercise has been shown to reduce the incidence rates of ankle sprains in 355 the athletic population, irrespective of a history of ankle sprains.^{44, 46} In another study,⁴⁷ using 356 balance board exercises was associated with a significant reduction in ACL injuries in male 357 soccer players. Balance exercises can enhance the sensorimotor system's ability to adapt to a 358 changing environment and subsequently protect the body from injury. Balance exercises can 359 also promote the neuromuscular mechanisms responsible for agonist and antagonist co-360 contraction, which enhance active joint stability.⁴⁸ This increased joint stiffness results in less 361 joint laxity and thus less strain on joint structures. Altering the kinematics and kinetics of 362 lower extremity joints following the use of balance exercises⁴⁹ may be another reason for 363 reducing the risk of sports injuries after these exercises. 364

Previous studies that incorporated plyometric and specific jumping and landing exercises into 365 exercise-based prevention programmes demonstrated a significant reduction in ground 366 reaction force on landing⁵⁰ and knee valgus⁵¹ and improved balance between knee flexor and 367 extensor muscles.⁵² As high ground reaction force,⁵³ knee valgus, and quadriceps 368 dominance⁵⁴ were identified as the risk factors for non-contact ACL injury in athletes, 369 implementing a set of plyometric and specific jumping and landing exercises into exercisebased injury prevention programmes in included studies may have contributed to the 371 diminished injury rates of ACL injury. Plyometrics can also help improve athletes' lower 372 extremity power, biomechanical technique, joint stability, and neuromuscular control^{55, 56} and 373 has the potential to reduce the risk of ankle injuries. It has been shown that plyometric 374 exercises contributed to a risk reduction of lower extremity injuries that were associated with 375 knee valgus angles and moments.⁵⁷ Plyometric exercises by facilitating neural adaptations can 376 enhance lower extremity muscle activation and proprioception that increasing functional 377 stability^{58, 59} and reducing the injury incidence of lower extremity joints. Finally, evidence 378 shows that plyometrics not only induce optimal neuromuscular but also bone⁶⁰ and musculo-379 tendinous adaptation.⁶¹ which can be effective in the potential reduction of lower limb sports 380 injuries. Therefore, another advantage can be that the muscles, joints and other structures are 381 prepared to tolerate the quick impacts and rebounds needed in the sport. However, in this 382 regard, movement control (knee-over-toe positioning) during plyometric exercises is very 383 important to avoid endangering movement patterns. Therefore, most of the included studies 384 have used plyometric exercises with verbal feedback to alter the knee abduction landing 385 pattern. 386

Another component of the exercise-based injury prevention programmes in this review was 387 strength exercises most commonly used for the hamstring and core muscles. A systematic 388 review and meta-analysis show that using strength exercises with balance training enhanced 389 the benefits of an injury prevention programme⁶² and even just core muscle exercises in 390 injury prevention programmes elicited a reduction in knee and ACL injuries.⁶³ The suggested 391 role of strength exercises was to allow the joint to better withstand injurious loads and control 392 lower extremity alignment during specific sports activities. Considering the high loads 393 associated with ankle injuries,⁶⁴ it seems that strengthening the ankle stabilizers does not help 394 to prevent the injury of this area and the lower extremity. Hence, most of the reviewed 395 preventive programs emphasize strengthening proximal joints (eg, hip and knee joints) 396 instead of the ankle joint, which may be effective in preventing lower limb injuries. Since the 397 hamstrings, an antagonist of the quadriceps, act as agonists to the ACL during stop-and-jump 398 tasks,⁶⁵ stronger hamstring muscles may counterbalance the anterior shear force produced by 399 the quadriceps and thereby prevent ACL injuries. Russian/Nordic hamstring curl is the most 400 commonly incorporated strength exercise in prevention programmes that is an effective 401 exercise for reducing hamstring strain and ACL injury.⁶⁶ However, a common defect in most 402 of prevention programmes^{23, 25} was failure to follow the principle of progressive overload, 403 which is a common guideline for strength training that can limit the effect of these strength 404 exercises on the risk of injury.⁶⁷ 405

Limitations

This systematic review and meta-analyses are limited by the relatively low quantity of 407 studies and low quality of most of the included studies. Among the ten reviewed clinical 408 trials, 3 had a randomized design,^{24, 25, 27} and the remaining 7 used a prospective cohort 409 design.^{23, 26, 28-32} Randomisation is an effective method to reduce potential bias; therefore, a 410 lack of randomisation may cause a component of bias that could potentially lead to an 411 overestimation of the intervention effect. However, since all studies included usual routine 412 controls, the bias introduced by non-randomisation is probably minimal. Another common 413 weakness in included studies is the existence of attrition bias due to high drop-out rates and a 414 lack of intention-to-treat analysis.^{23, 24, 26-28} In addition, the heterogeneity analysis for lower 415 extremity injuries demonstrated significant differences among studies. This can be explained 416 by a limited number of reviewed studies, where a low number of studies makes an accurate 417 estimation of heterogeneity difficult.⁶⁸ 418

Another limitation of this systematic review and meta-analysis is the possibility of 419 publication bias, as studies published in languages other than English were excluded. 420 However, deleting non-English articles usually does not have a significant effect on the 421 results of systematic reviews. 69 422

of systematic reviews.⁶⁹ Compliance should also be considered when evaluating the effectiveness of an 423 exercise-based prevention programme, as this can affect the effectiveness of the intervention. 424 Myklebust, Engebretsen, Brækken, Skjølberg, Olsen, Bahr³¹ have shown that despite the 425 close follow-up of the teams by physical therapists, acceptable compliance was achieved in 426 less than half of the players. Low compliance is reported in several other preventative 427 intervention studies.^{27, 29} 428

Although all included studies assessed the occurrence of injury as a clinical outcome, 429 the results of our review should be interpreted in light of the variety of methods used to 430 collect injury data. Although the definition of sports injury and the classification of injury 431 severity were almost the same in all studies, data collection methods were self-reports.^{24, 29} 432 physiotherapist reports,^{25, 28, 32} and coach reports²³ which may have an impact on injury 433 recording.⁷⁰ For example, a previous study showed that compared to medical professionals, 434 athletes underestimated the disruption and short-term effects of the injury, while coaches 435 overestimated these.⁷¹ Because the bias caused by data collection may lead to a biased 436

441

442

443

444

447

448

460

461

462

463

464

465

466 467

468

interpretation of the preventive effect of interventions, future studies should collect accurate 437 data with the help of medical professionals and diagnostic methods to enable the assessment 438 439 of potential bias in estimating preventive effects.

According to PEDro scores, the overall quality of the included studies was moderate, therefore higher-quality studies may help to improve the strength of the recommendations. In addition, only ten handball studies were included in this review, which is consistent with other studies that highlight the lack of qualitative evidence on basketball injury prevention ⁷², 73.

One problem inherent in this kind of studies is that this systematic review also 445 included outcomes of the knee, ACl, and ankle injuries as lower extremity injuries and 446 injuries to other areas of the lower extremity (such as hip, groin, hamstring, and lower leg). There are, however, numerous other review studies that have used this reporting pattern ^{72, 73} and we expect that the lumping of injuries will have a minor impact on the study outcome. 449

In the present systematic review only multicomponent exercise interventions were 450 selected and interventions including one exercise component were excluded, as we observed 451 in a pilot study that there have been no studies assessing the effectiveness of a specific 452 exercise in preventing injuries in handball players. Future studies can evaluate the effects of 453 such interventions in preventing sports injuries in handball players. Considering that in the 454 current meta-analysis, the confidence interval for the outcomes of lower extremity and ACL 455 injuries is wide, the results of the study should be interpreted with caution. The width of a 456 confidence interval in a meta-analysis depends on the precision of individual study estimates 457 and the number of studies included.⁷⁴ As the number of studies included in a meta-analysis 458 increases, the width of the confidence interval usually decreases. 459

Conclusions

The primary finding of this systematic review was that current exercise-based injury prevention programmes may be effective in preventing lower extremity, knee, ankle, and ACL injuries in team handball players. However, Due to the relatively low number and quality of studies on this topic, higher-quality studies may help to improve the strength of the recommendations.

References

- 1.Moller M, Attermann J, Myklebust G, Wedderkopp N. Injury risk in Danish youth and senior469elite handball using a new SMS text messages approach. Br J Sports Med. 2012;46(7):531-470537.471
- Haugen TA, Tønnessen E, Seiler S. Physical and physiological characteristics of male handball players: influence of playing position and competitive level. J Sports Med Phys Fitness. 473 2016;56(1-2):19-26. 474
 Olsen OE, Myklebust G, Engebretsen L, Bahr R. Injury pattern in youth team handball: a 475
- **3.** Olsen OE, Myklebust G, Engebretsen L, Bahr R. Injury pattern in youth team handball: a comparison of two prospective registration methods. *Scand J Med Sci Sports.* 2006;16(6):426-432.
- **4.** Newman P, Witchalls J, Waddington G, Adams R. Risk factors associated with medial tibial stress syndrome in runners: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Open Access J Sports Med.* 2013;4:229.
- **5.** Langevoort G, Myklebust G, Dvorak J, Junge A. Handball injuries during major international tournaments. *Scand J Med Sci Sports*. 2007;17(4):400-407.
- 6. Rafnsson ET, Valdimarsson Ö, Sveinsson T, Árnason Á. Injury pattern in Icelandic elite male handball players. *Clin J Sport Med* 2019;29(3):232-237.
- Soligard T, Schwellnus M, Alonso J-M, et al. How much is too much?(Part 1) International Olympic Committee consensus statement on load in sport and risk of injury. Br J Sports Med. 2016;50(17):1030-1041.
- 8. Engebretsen L, Soligard T, Steffen K, et al. Sports injuries and illnesses during the London Summer Olympic Games 2012. *Br J Sports Med.* 2013;47(7):407-414.
- **9.** Laver L, Landreau P, Seil R, Popovic N. *Handball Sports Medicine: Basic science, injury management and return to sport*. First ed. Heidelberg, Berlin, Germany: Springer; 2018.
- **10.** Junge A, Engebretsen L, Mountjoy ML, et al. Sports injuries during the summer Olympic Games 2008. *Am J Sports Med.* 2009;37(11):2165-2172.
- Soligard T, Steffen K, Palmer D, et al. Sports injury and illness incidence in the Rio de Janeiro 2016 Olympic summer games: a prospective study of 11274 athletes from 207 countries. Br J Sports Med. 2017;51(17):1265-1271.
- **12.** Vila H, Barreiro A, Ayán C, Antúnez A, Ferragut C. The most common handball injuries: a systematic review. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2022;19(17):10688.
- **13.** Nielsen A, Yde J. An epidemiologic and traumatologic study of injuries in handball. *Int J Sports Med.* 1988;9(05):341-344.
- Giroto N, Hespanhol Junior L, Gomes M, Lopes A. Incidence and risk factors of injuries in Brazilian elite handball players: A prospective cohort study. Scand J Med Sci Sports. 2017;27(2):195-202.
- **15.** Ekstrand J. Keeping your top players on the pitch: the key to football medicine at a professional level. *Br J Sports Med.* 2013;47(12):723-724.
- **16.** Kujala UM, Orava S, Parkkari J, Kaprio J, Sarna S. Sports career-related musculoskeletal injuries: long-term health effects on former athletes. *Sports Med.* 2003;33:869-875.
- **17.** Esteve E, Rathleff MS, Bagur-Calafat C, Urrútia G, Thorborg K. Prevention of groin injuries in sports: a systematic review with meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *Br J Sports Med.* 2015;49(12):785-791.
- **18.** Liberati A, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J, et al. The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate health care interventions: explanation and elaboration. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2009;62(10):e1-e34.
- **19.** de Morton NA. The PEDro scale is a valid measure of the methodological quality of clinical trials: a demographic study. *Aust J Physiother*. 2009;55(2):129-133.
- **20.** Maher CG, Sherrington C, Herbert RD, Moseley AM, Elkins M. Reliability of the PEDro scale for rating quality of randomized controlled trials. *Phys Ther.* 2003;83(8):713-721.
- **21.** Higgins JP, Thompson SG. Quantifying heterogeneity in a meta-analysis. Stat Med.5182002;21(11):1539-1558.519

525

526 527

528 529

530

531 532

533

534

535 536

540

541

542

543 544

545

546

547

548 549

550

551

552

553 554

555

556 557

558 559

560

561 562

563 564

565

566

567

- 520 22. Chandler J, Cumpston M, Li T. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions. 521 2nd ed. Hoboken, New Jersey: Wiley; 2008.
- 23. Achenbach L, Krutsch V, Weber J, et al. Neuromuscular exercises prevent severe knee injury in adolescent team handball players. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc. 2018;26(7):1901-523 524 1908.
- 24. Andersson SH, Bahr R, Clarsen B, Myklebust G. Preventing overuse shoulder injuries among throwing athletes: a cluster-randomised controlled trial in 660 elite handball players. Br J Sports Med. 2017;51(14):1073-1080.
- 25. Olsen O-E, Myklebust G, Engebretsen L, Holme I, Bahr R. Exercises to prevent lower limb injuries in youth sports: cluster randomised controlled trial. Br J Sports Med. 2005;330(7489):449.
- 26. Østerås H, Sommervold M, Skjølberg A. Effects of a strength-training program for shoulder complaint prevention in female team handball athletes. A pilot study. J Sports Med Phys Fitness. 2014;55(7-8):761-767.
- 27. Sommervold M, Østerås H. What is the effect of a shoulder-strengthening program to prevent shoulder pain among junior female team handball players? Open Access J Sports Med. 2017;8:61.
- 28. Petersen W, Braun C, Bock W, et al. A controlled prospective case control study of a 537 prevention training program in female team handball players, the German experience. Arch 538 539 Orthop Trauma Surg. 2005;125(9):614-621.
- Wedderkopp N, Kaltoft M, Holm R, Froberg K. Comparison of two intervention programmes 29. in young female players in European handball-with and without ankle disc. Scand J Med Sci Sports. 2003;13(6):371-375.
- 30. Wedderkopp N, Kaltoft M, Lundgaard B, Rosendahl M, Froberg K. Prevention of injuries in young female players in European team handball. A prospective intervention study. Scand J *Med Sci Sports.* 1999;9(1):41-47.
- Myklebust G, Engebretsen L, Brækken IH, Skjølberg A, Olsen O-E, Bahr R. Prevention of 31. anterior cruciate ligament injuries in female team handball players: a prospective intervention study over three seasons. Clip J Sport Med 2003;13(2):71-78.
- 32. Myklebust G, Maehlum S, Engebretsen L, Strand T, Solheim E. Registration of cruciate ligament injuries in Norwegian top level team handball. A prospective study covering two seasons. Scand J Med Sci Sports. 1997;7(5):289-292.
- 33. Burkhart SS, Morgan CD, Kibler WB. The disabled throwing shoulder: spectrum of pathology Part I: pathoanatomy and biomechanics. Arthrosc - J Arthrosc Relat Surg. 2003;19(4):404-420.
- 34. Pogetti LS, Nakagawa TH, Conteçote GP, Camargo PR. Core stability, shoulder peak torque and function in throwing athletes with and without shoulder pain. Phys Ther Sport 2018;34:36-42.
- 35. Nakase K, Shitara H, Tajika T, et al. The Relationship Between Dynamic Balance Ability and Shoulder Pain in High School Baseball Pitchers. Sports Health. 2021:19417381211019682.
- 36. Cope T, Wechter S, Stucky M, Thomas C, Wilhelm M. The impact of lumbopelvic control on overhead performance and shoulder injury in overhead athletes: a systematic review. Int J Sports Phys Ther. 2019;14(4):500.
- Baierle T, Kromer T, Petermann C, Magosch P, Luomajoki H. Balance ability and postural 37. stability among patients with painful shoulder disorders and healthy controls. BMC Musculoskelet Disord. 2013;14(1):1-9.
- 38. Garrison JC, Arnold A, Macko MJ, Conway JE. Baseball players diagnosed with ulnar collateral ligament tears demonstrate decreased balance compared to healthy controls. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther. 2013;43(10):752-758.
- 569 39. Myer GD, Ford KR, Hewett TE. Rationale and clinical techniques for anterior cruciate 570 ligament injury prevention among female athletes. J Athl Train. 2004;39(4):352.

- prevention of lateral ankle sprains. J Sport Rehabil. 2017;26(6):530-535.
 Brunner R, Friesenbichler B, Casartelli NC, Bizzini M, Maffiuletti NA, Niedermann K. Effectiveness of multicomponent lower extremity injury prevention programmes in teamsport athletes: an umbrella review. British journal of sports medicine. 2019;53(5):282-288.
- **42.** Root H, Trojian T, Martinez J, Kraemer W, DiStefano LJ. Landing technique and performance in youth athletes after a single injury-prevention program session. *Journal of athletic training*. 2015;50(11):1149-1157.
- **43.** Sugimoto D, Myer GD, Foss KDB, Hewett TE. Specific exercise effects of preventive neuromuscular training intervention on anterior cruciate ligament injury risk reduction in young females: meta-analysis and subgroup analysis. *Br J Sports Med.* 2015;49(5):282-289.
- **44.** Al Attar WSA, Khaledi EH, Bakhsh JM, Faude O, Ghulam H, Sanders RH. Injury prevention programs that include balance training exercises reduce ankle injury rates among soccer players: a systematic review. *Journal of physiotherapy*. 2022.
- **45.** Grassi A, Alexiou K, Amendola A, et al. Postural stability deficit could predict ankle sprains: a systematic review. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* 2018;26(10):3140-3155.
- **46.** Rivera MJ, Winkelmann ZK, Powden CJ, Games KE. Proprioceptive training for the prevention of ankle sprains: an evidence-based review. *J Athl Train.* 2017;52(11):1065-1067.
- **47.** Caraffa A, Cerulli G, Projetti M, Aisa G, Rizzo A. Prevention of anterior cruciate ligament injuries in soccer: a prospective controlled study of proprioceptive training. *Knee surgery, sports traumatology, arthroscopy.* 1996;4:19-21.
- **48.** Michell TB, Ross SE, Blackburn JT, Hirth CJ, Guskiewicz KM. Functional balance training, with or without exercise sandals, for subjects with stable or unstable ankles. *Journal of Athletic Training*. 2006;41(4):393-398.
- **49.** Myer GD, Ford KR, Brent JL, Hewett TE. The effects of plyometric vs. dynamic stabilization and balance training on power, balance, and landing force in female athletes. *The Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research*. 2006;20(2):345-353.
- **50.** Vescovi JD, Canavan PK, Hasson S. Effects of a plyometric program on vertical landing force and jumping performance in college women. *Phys Ther Sport* 2008;9(4):185-192.
- **51.** Myer GD, Ford KR, McLean SG, Hewett TE. The effects of plyometric versus dynamic stabilization and balance training on lower extremity biomechanics. *The American journal of sports medicine*. 2006;34(3):445-455.
- **52.** Hewett TE, Stroupe AL, Nance TA, Noyes FR. Plyometric training in female athletes: decreased impact forces and increased hamstring torques. *Am J Sports Med.* 1996;24(6):765-773.
- **53.** Hewett TE, Myer GD, Ford KR, et al. Biomechanical measures of neuromuscular control and valgus loading of the knee predict anterior cruciate ligament injury risk in female athletes: a prospective study. *Am J Sports Med.* 2005;33(4):492-501.
- **54.** Myer GD, Ford KR, Foss KDB, Liu C, Nick TG, Hewett TE. The relationship of hamstrings and quadriceps strength to anterior cruciate ligament injury in female athletes. *Clin J Sport Med* 2009;19(1):3-8.
- 55. Chaabene H, Negra Y, Moran J, et al. Plyometric training improves not only measures of linear speed, power, and change-of-direction speed but also repeated sprint ability in young female handball players. *The Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research*. 2021;35(8):2230-615 2235.
- 56. McPartlan D, Burnie L, Pollock S, Waldron M, Tallent J. The effect of combined isometric and plyometric training on musculotendinous ankle stiffness and its subsequent effect on performance in international age-group track sprint cycling. *The Journal of Sport and Exercise Science.* 2021;5(3):193-201.

- 57.Hootman JM, Dick R, Agel J. Epidemiology of collegiate injuries for 15 sports: summary and
recommendations for injury prevention initiatives. J Athl Train. 2007;42(2):311.621
622
- 58. Chimera NJ, Swanik KA, Swanik CB, Straub SJ. Effects of plyometric training on muscleactivation strategies and performance in female athletes. *Journal of athletic training*. 624 2004;39(1):24. 625
- **59.** Al Attar WSA, Bakhsh JM, Khaledi EH, Ghulam H, Sanders RH. Injury prevention programs that include plyometric exercises reduce the incidence of anterior cruciate ligament injury: a systematic review of cluster randomised trials. *Journal of Physiotherapy*. 2022.
- **60.** Witzke KA, Snow CM. Effects of polymetric jump training on bone mass in adolescent girls. *Medicine and science in sports and exercise.* 2000;32(6):1051-1057.
- **61.** Kubo K, Morimoto M, Komuro T, et al. Effects of plyometric and weight training on muscletendon complex and jump performance. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise*. 2007;39(10):1801-1810.
- **62.** Lauersen JB, Bertelsen DM, Andersen LB. The effectiveness of exercise interventions to prevent sports injuries: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *Br J Sports Med.* 2014;48(11):871-877.
- **63.** Huxel Bliven KC, Anderson BE. Core stability training for injury prevention. *Sports Health.* 2013;5(6):514-522.
- **64.** Gehring D, Wissler S, Mornieux G, Gollhofer A. How to sprain your ankle–a biomechanical case report of an inversion trauma. *Journal of biomechanics*. 2013;46(1):175-178.
- **65.** Fagenbaum R, Darling WG. Jump landing strategies in male and female college athletes and the implications of such strategies for anterior cruciate ligament injury. *Am J Sports Med.* 2003;31(2):233-240.
- **66.** Sugimoto D, Myer GD. Prevention of anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) injury. *Sports injuries and prevention*: Springer; 2015:163-186.
- **67.** Damiano DL, Arnold AS, Steele KM, Delp SL. Can strength training predictably improve gait kinematics? A pilot study on the effects of hip and knee extensor strengthening on lower-extremity alignment in cerebral parsy. *Physical therapy.* 2010;90(2):269-279.
- **68.** Kontopantelis E, Springate DA, Reeves D: A re-analysis of the Cochrane Library data: the dangers of unobserved heterogeneity in meta-analyses. *PloS one.* 2013;8(7):e69930.
- **69.** Moher D, Pham B, Lawson M, Klassen T. The inclusion of reports of randomised trials published in languages other than English in systematic reviews. *Health Technol Assess Rep.* 2003;7(41):1-90.
- **70.** Junge A, Dvorak J. Influence of definition and data collection on the incidence of injuries in football. *Am J Sports Med.* 2000;28(5_suppl):40-46.
- **71.** Crossman J, Jamieson J, Hume KM. Perceptions of athletic injuries by athletes, coaches, and medical professionals. *Percept Mot Skills*. 1990;71(3):848-850.
- **72.** Emery CA, Roy T-O, Whittaker JL, Nettel-Aguirre A, Van Mechelen W. Neuromuscular training injury prevention strategies in youth sport: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Sports Med.* 2015;49(13):865-870.
- **73.** Taylor JB, Ford KR, Nguyen A-D, Terry LN, Hegedus EJ. Prevention of lower extremity injuries in basketball: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sports health.* 2015;7(5):392-398.
- **74.** Higgins JP, Thomas J, Chandler J, et al. Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions version 6.2. *The Cochrane Collaboration*. 2021.



Figure 2. Forest plot of the effect of exercise-based injury prevention programmes on shoulder injuries in handball players. CI: confidence interval.

Study name	Statistics for each study						Odds ratio and 95% CI					
	Odds	Lower	Upper									
	ratio	limit	limit	Z-Value	p-Value							
Achenbach et al, 2018	0.809	0.436	1.502	-0.671	0.502							
Olsen et al, 2005	0.492	0.358	0.675	-4.381	0.000							
Petersen et al, 2005	1.073	0.576	1.999	0.221	0.825			-₩				
Wedderkopp et al, 2005	0.731	0.198	2.692	-0.472	0.637			∎ –				
Wedderkopp et al, 1999	0.238	0.112	0.506	-3.728	0.000							
Test for heterogeneity: ta	au²:0.18,	chi²=11.3	, df=4, p∙	<0.03, I²=6	5.6	0.01	0.1	1 1	0 1	00		
Test for overall effects: z= -2.19, p=0.03. Favours (Prevention group) Favours (Control group)												
Figure 3. Forest plot of the effect of exercise-based injury prevention programmes on lower extremity												
iniuries in handball play	vers. CI	: confid	ence in	terval.								
J	,											
								K				
Study name		Statist	ics for e	each stud	ly		Odds	ratio and 9	5% CI			
	Odds	Lower	Upper			•						
	ratio	limit	limit	Z-Value	p-Value							
Achenbach et al, 2018	0.743	0.262	2.110	-0.55	8 0.577				1	1		
Olsen et al, 2005	0.509	0.309	0.838	-2.65	3 0.008			.				
Petersen et al. 2005	0.573	0.187	1.755	-0.97	5 0.329							
Wedderkopp et al. 2005	0.553	0.049	6.217	-0.48	0.631			-	_			
Wedderkopp et al. 1999	0.271	0.056	1.302	- 163	.0.103			—				
								•				
Test for beterogeneity:	tau2:0.0	0. chi2=1	2. df-4. 1	o<0.89.12=	0.00	0.0	1 0.1	1	10	100		
rescript neterogeneity.					0.00	F	avours (Prevention	n group) Favour	rs (Control gr	roup)		
Test for overall effects:	z= -3.17,	p=0.002										
Figure 4. Forest plot of t	the effe	ct of exe	rcise-b	ased iniu	rv nrever	ntion r	rogramme	s on knee	iniurie	s in		
				useu mju	ij prever	nuon p		s on mice	ing ut to	,		
handball players. CI: co	nfiden	e interv	al.									
study name		Statis	tics for	each stu	ay		Odds	ratio and	95% CI			
	Odds	Lower	Upper									
	ratio	limit	limit	Z-Valu	e p-Value	9						
Achenbach et al, 2018	0.902	0.35	2.31	9 -0.21	4 0.83	1			1	1		
Olsen et al, 2005	0.592	0.373	0.94	1 -2.21	9 0.02	6						
Petersen et al, 2005	0.688	0.272	1.73	9 -0.79	1 0.42	9						
Wedderkopp et al, 2005	0.553	0.049	6.21	7 -0.48	0.63	1			_			
Wedderkopp et al. 1999	0.256	0.100	0.65	4 -2.84	6 0.00	4		—				
and the second second second								•				
Test for heterogeneity	: tau²:0.(00, chi²=3	.9, df=4,	p<0.42, P	=0.00	0.0	01 0.1	1	10	100		
Test for overall effects		Favours (Preventio	on group) Favou	urs (Control g	(roup)							

Figure 5. Forest plot of the effect of exercise-based injury prevention programmes on ankle injuries in handball players. CI: confidence interval.



Test for heterogeneity: tau^2 :0.00, chi^2 =3.7, df=4, p<0.44, I^2 =0.00 Test for overall effects: z= -2.18, p=0.03.

Favours (Prevention group) Favours (Control group)

Figure 6. Forest plot of the effect of exercise-based injury prevention programmes on ACL injuries in handball players. CI: confidence interval.

686 687



Figure 1 Meta-analysis funnel plot for the assessment of the effects of an exercise-based injury prevention program for shoulder injuries in handball players



Funnel Plot of Standard Error by Log odds ratio

Figure 2 Meta-analysis funnel plot for the assessment of the effects of an exercise-based injury prevention program for lower extremity injuries in handball players



Figure 3 Meta-analysis funnel plot for the assessment of the effects of an exercise-based injury prevention program for knee injuries in handball players



Figure 4 Meta-analysis funnel plot for the assessment of the effects of an exercise-based injury prevention program for ankle injuries in handball players



Figure 5 Meta-analysis funnel plot for the assessment of the effects of an exercise-based injury prevention program for ACL injuries in handball players

Table 1 Levels and terms of the literature search process

Search level	Search terms with Boolean operators
Search #1	(handball[Title/Abstract])
Search #2	AND (injury[Title/Abstract] OR Injuries[Title/Abstract] OR tear[Title/Abstract] OR dislocate[Title/Abstract] OR break[Title/Abstract] OR sprain[Title/Abstract] OR twist[Title/Abstract] OR strain[Title/Abstract] OR tearing[Title/Abstract])
Search #3	AND (prevention[Title/Abstract] OR exercise[Title/Abstract] OR training [Title/Abstract] OR conditioning[Title/Abstract] OR preparation[Title/Abstract] OR warm- up[Title/Abstract] OR intervention [Title/Abstract])

Study	RA	CA	BS	SB	ТВ	AB	DR	ITA	BC	РМ	CSQ
Achenbach (2018)	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	4/10
Andersson (2017)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	9/10
Myklebust (2003)	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	4/10
Myklebust (2003)	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	4/10
Olsen (2005)	Yes	No	Yes	9/10							
Østerås (2014)	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	3/10
Petersen (2005)	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	3/10
Sommervold (2017)	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	4/10
Wedderkopp (2003)	No	No 🕨	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	4/10
Wedderkopp (1999)	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	4/10

Table 2. Methodological Quality Assessment for Eligible Studies

PEDro scoring components. RA, random allocation; CA, concealed allocation; BS, Baseline similarity; SB, subject blinded; TB, therapist blinded; AB, assessor-blinded; DR, dropout rate; ITA, intention-to-treat analysis; BC, between-group comparison; PM, points measures; OSQ, overall study quality. PEDro scores of 6 or greater are considered high methodological quality



Study	Study Design	Country/ competition level	Sex, number (age)	Dropout rate	Intervention	Control	Duration	Frequency (no. per week)	Total Player Seasons	Total Training Sessions	Total Training Time, h	PEDro score
Achenbach (2018)	Prospective cohort	Germany/ under 16 and 18	Male = 105 Female = 174 (13–18 y)	Both group=~31%	The multi-intervention training program included jump and landing exercises, proprioceptive exercises, plyometric exercises, and strength exercises for the quadriceps, hamstring, and core muscles.	As usual	Pre- and In- season for 9 months	Pre-season (2- 3x/wk) In-season (1x/wk)	=168 C=111	56	13.9	4
Andersson (2017)	RCT	Norway/ two top divisions	Male = 339 Female = 321 (21.6-23.5 y)	I=34.3% C=29.4%	The prevention program consisted of five exercises aiming at increasing the glenohumeral internal range of motion and external rotation strength and improving scapula control, kinetic chain, and thoracic mobility.	As usual	In-season for 6 months	In-season (3x/wk)	l=264 C=270	75	12.5	9
Myklebust (2003)	Prospective cohort	Norway/ Division I–III	Female = 1745 (21-22 y)	I=2.6% C=3.1%	A five-phase multi-intervention training program: Wobble board balance exercises and planting/landing skills	As usual	Pre- and In- season 6-7 months	Pre-season (3x/wk) In-season (1x/wk)	l=832 C=913	55	13.8	4
Myklebust (2003)	Prospective cohort	Norway/ Division I–III	Female = 1746 (21-22 y)	I=2.6% C=3.1%	A five-phase multi-intervention training program: balance exercises and planting/landing skill	As usual	Pre- and In- season 6-7 months	Pre-season (3x/wk) In-season (1x/wk)	l=833 C=913	55	13.8	4
Olsen (2005)	RCT	Norway/ 16- to 17-y divisions	Male = 235 Female = 1483 (15–17 y)	I=3% C=2.1%	The multi-intervention training program included running exercises, cutting and landing technique training, balance training, and strength and power training.	As usual	In-season for 8 months	Every training and competition	l=958 C=879	45	13.5	9
Østerås (2014)	Prospective cohort	Norway/ 15- to -16 y divisions	Male = 15 Female = 94 (15 - 20 y)	I= 28% C= 27%	The prevention program consisted of push-ups plus standing glenohumeral internal and external rotation with an elastic band as resistance.	As usual	Pre- and In- season for 8 months	In-season (3x/wk)	I=38 C=34	96	16	3
Petersen (2005)	Prospective cohort	Germany/ semiprofessional and amateur	Female = 270 (>19 y)	I=9.7% C=12.6%	Information about injury mechanisms, balance-board exercises, and imping and landing training.	As usual	Pre- and In- season for 8 months	Pre-season (3x/wk) In-season (1x/wk)	I=134 C=143	55	9.8	3
Sommervold (2017)	RCT	Norway/ 16-y divisions	Female = 87 (>17 y)	I=13.2% C=22.6%	The prevention program consisted of two exercises for strengthening the shoulder complex.	As usual	In-season for 7 months	In-season (3x/wk)	I=46 C=41	79	13.2	4
Wedderkopp (2003)	Prospective cohort	Norway/ recreational to elite	Female = 163 (14-16 y)	NR	Wobble ward exercise and 1 functional exercise for all major muscle groups including both upper and lower extremities.	As usual	In-season for 9 months	Pre and in- season (2-5x/wk)	l=77 C=86	126	21	4
Wedderkopp (1999)	Prospective cohort	Norway/ recreational to elite	Female = 237 (16-18 y)	NR	Wobble board exercise and 1 functional exercise for all major muscle groups including both upper and lower extremities	As usual	In-season for 9 months	Pre and in- season (2-5x/wk)	l=111 C=126	126	21	4

Table 3. Characteristics of the exercise-based prevention programs attempting to reduce sport injuries in handball players

Abbreviations: C, control group; I, intervention group; RCT, randomized controlled trial; PEDro, Physiotherapy Evidence Database.