


**Please cite the Published Version**

Lolli, Lorenzo, Gregson, Warren , Bonanno, Daniele, Kuitunen, Sami and Di Salvo, Valter (2023) Age-related reference intervals for physical performance test outcomes relevant to male youth Middle Eastern football players. *International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance*, 18 (11). pp. 1283-1295. ISSN 1555-0265

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.1123/ijsp.2023-0145>

**Publisher:** Human Kinetics

**Version:** Accepted Version

**Downloaded from:** <https://e-space.mmu.ac.uk/632510/>

**Usage rights:**  In Copyright

**Additional Information:** Accepted author manuscript version reprinted, by permission, from *International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance*, 2023, <https://doi.org/10.1123/ijsp.2023-0145>. © Human Kinetics, Inc.

**Enquiries:**

If you have questions about this document, contact [openresearch@mmu.ac.uk](mailto:openresearch@mmu.ac.uk). Please include the URL of the record in e-space. If you believe that your, or a third party's rights have been compromised through this document please see our Take Down policy (available from <https://www.mmu.ac.uk/library/using-the-library/policies-and-guidelines>)

1 **Age-related reference intervals for physical performance test outcomes relevant to male**  
2 **youth Middle Eastern football players**

3  
4 **Heading title:** Age-specific physical performance ranges  
5

6  
7 **Authors:** Lorenzo Lolli<sup>1,2</sup>, Warren Gregson<sup>2</sup>, Daniele Bonanno<sup>1</sup>, Sami Kuitunen<sup>1</sup>, Valter Di  
8 Salvo<sup>1,3</sup>  
9

10 <sup>1</sup> Aspire Academy, Football Performance & Science Department, Doha, Qatar

11 <sup>2</sup> Department of Sport and Exercise Sciences, Institute of Sport, Manchester Metropolitan  
12 University, Manchester, UK

13 <sup>3</sup> Department of Movement, Human and Health Sciences, University of Rome “Foro Italico”,  
14 Rome, Italy  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20

21 **Corresponding Author:**

22 Lorenzo Lolli, Football Performance and Science Department, Aspire Academy, PO Box  
23 22287, Doha, State of Qatar.

24 e-mail: Lorenzo.Lolli@aspire.qa  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39

40 **Abstract word count: 197**

41 **Text only word count: 3777**

42 **Tables: 4**

43 **Figures: 6**  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50

51 **Abstract**

52 **Purpose:** To develop age-specific reference intervals for physical performance test outcomes  
53 relevant to male youth Middle Eastern football players. **Methods:** We analysed mixed-  
54 longitudinal data (observations range: 1751 to 1943 assessments) from a sample of 441 male,  
55 youth outfield football players (chronological age range: 11.7 to 18.4 y) as part of the Qatar  
56 Football Association and Aspire Academy development programme over fourteen competitive  
57 seasons. Semi-parametric generalized additive models for location, scale and shape  
58 (GAMLSS) estimated age-specific reference centiles for 10-m sprinting, 40-m sprinting,  
59 countermovement jump (CMJ) height, and maximal aerobic speed variables. **Results:** The  
60 estimated reference intervals indicated that the distribution of the physical performance test  
61 scores increased monotonically and non-linearly with advancing chronological age for  
62 sprinting and CMJ outcome measures, reaching a plateau after 16 years common to each of  
63 these performance variables. The maximal aerobic speed median score increased substantially  
64 until ~14.5 y, with the non-linear trend flattening off towards relatively older chronological  
65 ages. **Conclusions:** We developed age-related reference intervals for physical performance test  
66 outcomes relevant to youth Qatari football players. Country-wide age-specific reference  
67 intervals can assist in the longitudinal tracking of the individual player's progresses over time  
68 against benchmark values derived from the reference population.

69  
70 **Keywords:** Football; player tracking; Middle East; CMJ, sprint; GAMLSS

71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99

100 **Introduction**

101 Insights on organisational processes and working practices in youth academies of professional  
102 associational football (soccer) clubs from around the world substantiated how youth football  
103 academies strive for developing players for the first team.<sup>1</sup> Long-term athlete development is  
104 a multifaceted process characterised by different phases in the pursuit of high performance.<sup>2</sup>  
105 Applied sports science service provision, with a particular reference to physical conditioning,  
106 performance assessment, player monitoring and computer-based match-analysis become  
107 pivotal to support talent detection, identification, selection, and development processes.<sup>2,3</sup>  
108 Talent *detection* refers to the general process of discovery of potential prospects who are  
109 currently not involved in any sports programme, whereas talent *identification* denotes  
110 recognizing youth subjects already competing in sports with the potential to become  
111 professional athletes.<sup>2</sup> More generally, talent *development* relates to the provision of optimal  
112 conditions for youth athletes to realize their sporting potential.<sup>2</sup> In this context, ongoing  
113 assessment of anthropometric, physiological, and physical performance attributes is central to  
114 support the long-term athlete development process.<sup>4</sup>

115  
116 From a real-world perspective, information from physical performance testing batteries can  
117 serve as guidance for coaches and key stakeholders in professional team-sport environments to  
118 guide decisions relevant to optimal youth player developmental strategies.<sup>2</sup> The general  
119 attention devoted to the tracking of anthropometric, physical, and physiological determinants  
120 of football performance stems from the need to obtain data that can be utilized throughout the  
121 course of any developmental phase to continually gauge performance levels towards the youth-  
122 to-senior career transition phase.<sup>2,5</sup> Context-specific physical performance assessments,  
123 together with ongoing screening of growth and development,<sup>6,7</sup> can offer an advantage for early  
124 recruitment strategies while fine-tuning talent identification and development processes to  
125 guarantee principled investments and remain competitive on a sport level.<sup>2,3,8</sup> Importantly, the  
126 longitudinal tracking of anthropometric, physical, and physiological determinants of football  
127 performance can support the development of age-specific reference intervals to benchmark  
128 individual player test scores against the reference population.<sup>9</sup> Nevertheless, most youth  
129 football research has examined developmental changes in physical performance outcomes from  
130 small-scale samples of players over relatively curtailed age ranges.<sup>10-12</sup> Likewise, larger-scale  
131 investigations that examined participants over the entire typical age range of football  
132 development programmes were limited to estimating only single mean trajectories for different  
133 proxy measures of physical performance.<sup>13,14</sup> In particular, studies in this realm did not explore  
134 the development of age-related reference intervals for benchmarking physical test  
135 performance.

136  
137 In a sporting academy setting, the construction of reference intervals is relevant to facilitate the  
138 interpretation of real-world physical performance data for tracking the individual youth player  
139 at a given chronological age throughout different developmental stages.<sup>15</sup> With examples from  
140 the biomedical literature in mind, reference intervals can also assist coaches and practitioners  
141 in rationalizing whether a new player meets minimum criteria for entry in the academy and  
142 conducting principled interpretations of progresses during the developmental programme.<sup>16</sup>  
143 Nevertheless, the definition of age-related reference intervals in the sports and exercise  
144 sciences remains limited to physical fitness outcomes in general and clinical populations from  
145 Western countries.<sup>17-20</sup> A recent study illustrated physical fitness standards in a sample of 765  
146 campus football children aged 9 to 11 from China, although of limited generalisation and  
147 relevance to the context of professional football academies environments.<sup>21</sup> No study, however,  
148 illustrated reference intervals for a population of male, youth academy football players.  
149 Therefore, we aimed to develop the first age-related reference intervals for physical

150 performance test outcome measures relevant to male youth football players from the Middle  
151 East.

152

## 153 **Methods**

### 154 ***Participants***

155 The study sample included physical performance assessments data available for a sample of  
156 n=441 male, full-time, youth outfield football players enrolled as academy student-athletes  
157 (chronological age range: 11.7 to 18.4 y; standing height range: 134.3 to 190.3 cm, body mass  
158 range: 28.9 to 78.7 kg) over fourteen competitive seasons. The ethnicity of student-athletes in  
159 the present investigation was predominantly Middle Eastern Arab (i.e., ~94% of study  
160 participants).<sup>22</sup> The general schedule for this sample of full-time, student-athletes consisted of  
161 six school classes from 07:30 until 15:30 and double training sessions from 10:30 to 12:00 and  
162 16:00 to 18:00 on Sunday, Monday, and Wednesday. School classes from 08:00 until 15:20  
163 and one training session in the afternoon from 15:30 to 17:30 were scheduled on Tuesday, and  
164 school classes from 07:25 until 13:30 only on Thursday. Study participants competed in official  
165 matches with their respective clubs during weekend days, with a duration of 90 min for U16,  
166 80 min for U15, and 60 min for U14 and lower player age categories. Medical, anthropometric,  
167 and performance test outcome measurements collected in student-athletes as part of the regular  
168 annual screenings were retrieved from the Academy records, anonymized, and analyzed to  
169 address the purpose of this investigation.<sup>6,7</sup> Parents and guardians signed an informed consent  
170 form at the beginning of each academy season prior to any routine medical and performance  
171 screening collection process to permit the use of data for both service provision and research  
172 purposes. This retrospective study was approved by the Aspire Zone Foundation Institutional  
173 Review Board, Doha, State of Qatar (protocol number: E202008009).

174

### 175 ***Design and procedures***

176 The present retrospective investigation examined mixed-longitudinal field-based physical  
177 performance testing data collected from youth outfield football players measured on a least one  
178 occasion (annual screening range: 1 to 12 assessment visits). A mixed-longitudinal design  
179 combines features of both cross-sectional and longitudinal designs and represents a valuable  
180 option to maximising practical benefit for the estimation of measurement distance standards in  
181 terms of time and cost resources.<sup>23</sup>

182

183 Youth players in the present study sample were assessed on distinct occasions every three  
184 months over the competitive season. Tapering of training programmes was scheduled 3–5 days  
185 preceding each testing session.<sup>22</sup> All assessments took place under standardized clothing,  
186 running or sport-specific shoes depending on the assessment task and venue, and  
187 environmental conditions as described for previous measurement reliability evaluations  
188 involving participants from this population.<sup>24</sup> Following a standardized warm-up, linear speed  
189 was evaluated by recording 10-m split times measured to the nearest 0.01s to determine the  
190 best time from 2 maximal 40-m trials using electronic timing gates (Swift Performance  
191 Equipment, Lismore, Australia).<sup>24</sup> Players were instructed to start with their front foot half one  
192 metre behind the first timing gate and to sprint as fast as possible over the full 40-m distance.<sup>24</sup>  
193 Lower limb explosive strength was assessed using a force plate (Kistler 9286AA, Kistler  
194 Instrument Corp., Winterthur, Switzerland), with countermovement jump (CMJ) height  
195 selected as a proxy measurement of interest. CMJ height was determined from the best of three  
196 trials separated by 25-s of passive recovery.<sup>24</sup> Each player was instructed to keep their hands  
197 on their hips with the depth of the counter movement self-selected. Each trial was validated by  
198 visual inspection to ensure each landing was without significant leg flexion prior to final test  
199 score determination. Maximal aerobic speed (MAS) was determined using a continuous

200 incremental field running test assessment.<sup>24</sup> The assessment begins at a starting running speed  
201 of 8.5 km·h<sup>-1</sup> and increasing by 0.5 km·h<sup>-1</sup> each minute until volitional exhaustion. The  
202 average velocity of the last stage a player achieved was recorded as the performance score,  
203 with the MAS (km·h<sup>-1</sup>) calculated as follow:  $MAS = S + (t/60 \times 0.5)$ , where  $S$  is the last  
204 completed speed in km·h<sup>-1</sup> and  $t$  is the time expressed in units of seconds, if the stage was not  
205 completed. The estimated standard error of the measurement for 10-m sprinting, 40-m  
206 sprinting, CMJ height, and MAS was  $\pm 0.042$  s (95% confidence interval [CI], 0.036 to 0.051  
207 s),  $\pm 0.102$  s (95% CI, 0.086 to 0.124 s),  $\pm 1.6$  cm (95% CI, 1.4 to 1.9 cm), and  $\pm 0.51$  km·h<sup>-1</sup>  
208 (95% CI, 0.43 to 0.61 km·h<sup>-1</sup>), respectively.<sup>7</sup> The assessment venues were an indoor synthetic  
209 track (i.e., concrete overlaid with rubber) and soft artificial turf of synthetic fibres in the last  
210 season only of the fourteen competitive seasons examined in this study. With a subsample of  
211  $n=25$  student-athletes from this population assessed twice in a random order, one week apart,  
212 either first on an indoor synthetic track or soft artificial turf and *vice versa*, two one-sided tests  
213 (TOST) sensitivity analyses anchored against minimal detectable change values for 10-m  
214 sprinting, 40-m sprinting, and CMJ height performance<sup>7</sup> suggested measurement equivalence  
215 regardless of assessment venue. The mean difference in soft artificial turf *versus* indoor  
216 synthetic track 10-m sprinting, 40-m sprinting, and CMJ height performance was 0.021 s (95%  
217 CI, - 0.004 to 0.046 s), 0.002 s (95% CI, - 0.044 to 0.048 s), -0.4 cm, (95% CI, -1.7 to 0.9 cm),  
218 respectively.

219

## 220 **Statistical analysis**

221 Informed by the criteria and recommendations relevant to method selection for growth  
222 standards development,<sup>25</sup> semi-parametric generalized additive models for location, scale and  
223 shape (GAMLSS) estimated age-related reference interval for physical performance test  
224 outcomes.<sup>26</sup> The *lms* function determined the smoothing degrees of freedom and the  
225 distribution of physical performance data based on the model minimising the global deviance  
226 score.<sup>26</sup> Models estimated nine reference centiles at 0.38<sup>th</sup>, 2.27<sup>th</sup>, 9.12<sup>th</sup>, 25.25<sup>th</sup>, 50<sup>th</sup>, 74.75<sup>th</sup>,  
227 90.88<sup>th</sup>, 97.72<sup>th</sup>, and 99.62<sup>th</sup> values spaced  $\frac{2}{3}$  of a standard deviation score apart.<sup>26</sup>  
228 Postestimation diagnostics were conducted according to the visual inspection of the worm plot  
229 prior to final reference intervals estimation.<sup>26</sup> Analyses were conducted using R (version 3.6.3,  
230 R Foundation for Statistical Computing) and reference intervals were estimated using the  
231 *gamlss* package.<sup>26</sup>

232

233

*Table 1 about here*

234

*Figure 1 about here*

235

*Table 2 about here*

236

*Figure 2 about here*

237

## 238 **Results**

239 Age-related reference intervals for 10-m sprinting, 40-m sprinting, CMJ height, and maximal  
240 aerobic speed mixed-longitudinal data are presented in Figures 1-4, respectively. The functions  
241 for the GAMLSS models estimated references intervals following Box-Cox Cole-Green  
242 distributions for 10-m sprinting and 40-m sprinting variables, and Box-Cox power exponential  
243 distributions for CMJ and MAS variables (Tables 1-4). Test performance scores for sprinting  
244 and CMJ outcome measures increased monotonically and non-linearly with advancing  
245 chronological age, reaching a plateau after 16 y common to each variable. The MAS median  
246 score increased substantially until ~14.5 y, with the non-linear trend flattening off towards  
247 relatively older chronological ages. Model residuals for each model were well-behaved, and  
248 visual inspection of the worm plots indicated adequate model fit (Figure 5).

249

250  
251  
252  
253  
254  
255  
256  
257  
258  
259  
260  
261  
262  
263  
264  
265  
266  
267  
268  
269  
270  
271  
272  
273  
274  
275  
276  
277  
278  
279  
280  
281  
282  
283  
284  
285  
286  
287  
288  
289  
290  
291  
292  
293  
294  
295  
296  
297  
298  
299

*Table 3 about here*  
*Figure 3 about here*  
*Table 4 about here*  
*Figure 4 about here*

**Discussion**

We provided the first age-related reference intervals for physical performance test outcomes relevant to male youth football players from Qatar. Within the context of national football federation and sporting academy settings, the construction of reference standards can serve as a tool for coaches and support staff involved in long-term development processes to define performance benchmarks for talent identification and facilitate the longitudinal tracking of the youth football player.

*Figure 5 about here*

Outcomes from recent investigations exploring practices on youth player identification and development from football academies worldwide revealed professional organizations strive to develop players for the first team<sup>1</sup> driven by a club-based strategy.<sup>5</sup> As part of their strategy, football academies embraced the integration of applied sports science methods to advance talent identification and developmental processes.<sup>1,3</sup> The definition of reference benchmarks following methodical, state-of-the-art statistical methodologies<sup>25</sup> relevant to address these processes remained unexplored in this field of research. In the medical realm, the construction of age-related reference intervals generally aids medical decisions in the diagnosis and treatment pathways for subjects whose measurement relative standing exceeds nor falls below a cut-point of clinical relevance.<sup>16</sup> In sports, age-related reference intervals for physical performance test outcomes can be useful for coaches, managers, and executives to inform more objective value judgments on an individual player developmental pathway.<sup>15</sup> In the context of our study, recommendations from a group of biostatisticians and growth experts convened by the World Health Organization informed considerations on method selection for developing age-related reference intervals for physical performance test outcomes.<sup>25</sup> Following a comprehensive review of 30 existing statistical procedures, GAMLSS, fractional polynomials and exponential transformations, and the system of frequency curves by methods of translation procedures met methodological criteria for adequate growth standards construction.<sup>25</sup> However, no previous investigation formally developed reference intervals for physical performance test outcomes relevant to male youth football players from a national sporting academy following GAMLSS procedures.<sup>25</sup> Accordingly, we could not compare our results with findings from other investigations in other populations of youth football players due to the lack of similar information based on similar physical assessment methods, chronological age ranges, and examination of different types of distributions and link functions within GAMLSS. Researchers in sports and exercise sciences constructed reference intervals mainly for cardiorespiratory and physical fitness outcome measures in adolescents, with more recent illustrations of GAMLSS procedures applied to summarise measurements from general and clinical populations.<sup>17-20</sup> The only investigation in male youth football is limited to the definition of physical fitness standards in a sample of 765 campus football children aged 9 to 11 from China whose generalisability is of limited relevance to the context of professional football academies environments.<sup>21</sup> The recent study of Datson et al.<sup>15</sup> illustrated another application of GAMLSS methods to estimate reference standards for key performance test outcomes in a female population as part of the English Football Association's national development programme. Collectively, our first description of age-related reference intervals for physical performance test outcomes in a population of male youth football players provided

300 a meaningful contribution to the existing knowledge base that highlighted the value of defining  
301 reference standards for aiding talent identification and development processes.

302

303 Our study is novel for providing insights valuable to guide rationalised interpretations of  
304 longitudinal development patterns for proxy measures of physical performance such as 10-m  
305 sprinting, 40-m sprinting, CMJ height, and MAS. Test performance scores for sprinting and  
306 CMJ performance reached a plateau after 16 y common to each variable, whereas the median  
307 of the distribution of maximal aerobic speed scores flattened off at relatively earlier  
308 developmental stages (Figures 1-4). Considering outcomes for 10-m sprinting and CMJ  
309 performance, the plateau we observed in our study appeared to coincide with breakpoints  
310 described in European samples of youth football players.<sup>13,14</sup> Nevertheless, the fact these  
311 investigations used alternative statistical procedures involving linear segmented models  
312 precludes any direct comparison with our study outcomes. Other investigations in paediatric  
313 exercise sciences explored longitudinal growth curves for physiological and physical  
314 performance measures in relation to changes in body size over time. Reports for children and  
315 adolescents from general populations revealed that the largest increases in physiological  
316 attributes, such as maximal ( $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ ) or peak ( $\dot{V}O_{2peak}$ ) oxygen uptake, occurred approximately  
317 at the time of the adolescent growth spurt or age at peak height velocity.<sup>27</sup> Youth football  
318 players from Belgium (n=36) reached peak development in explosive strength,  
319 cardiorespiratory endurance and anaerobic capacity at peak height velocity followed by a  
320 plateau in the rate of growth for approximately 12 to 18 months subsequent to the adolescent  
321 growth spurt event.<sup>28</sup> Knowledge of the height growth spurt and radius-ulna-short bones  
322 ossification timings generally occurring at 13.6 y (95% CI, 13.5 to 13.7 y)<sup>6</sup> and 15.1 y (95%  
323 CI, 14.9 to 15.3 y)<sup>29</sup> in this population may, in part, provide a logical explanation to the nature  
324 of the trends we observed in our study. In applied settings similar to our study context, formal  
325 evaluation and understanding of the trends for each proxy measure of physical performance,  
326 as we described in our study, can, therefore, aid coaches and support staff in defining  
327 differential player developmental plans that could promote adaptations beyond concurrent  
328 growth-mediated effects.<sup>29</sup>

329

330 *Figure 6 about here*

331

332 When generalising these insights from an applied perspective, formal benchmarking of a player  
333 physical performance is fundamental to the definition of reference standards for establishing  
334 minimum criteria for the individual player to pursue a professional career.<sup>15</sup> To illustrate this  
335 from a practical standpoint, we shall consider physical performance data for a subject from our  
336 study sample assessed on multiple visits between 12.4 to 17.1 y (Figure 6). The skeletal age  
337 determined as per the Tanner-Whitehouse II (TW-II) protocol<sup>6</sup> at the time of the first  
338 assessment was 11.9 y. This student-athlete followed a normal pattern of development, with  
339 TW-II skeletal ages of 12.4 y, 14.7 y, 15.5 y, and 16.5 y at chronological ages of 13.4 y, 14.5  
340 y, 15.4 y, and 16.5 y, respectively. In keeping with generalisations in the clinical literature, the  
341 91<sup>st</sup> centile for sprinting variables and the 9<sup>th</sup> centile for jumping and aerobic endurance  
342 variables shall define *minimum acceptable* test scores for this population. In simple words,  
343 these centiles define normal ranges encompassing ~90% of future similar test scores in this  
344 population whereby an individual test score beyond these cut-points would occur in fewer than  
345 10 student-athletes in 100 *above* nor *below* these limits, respectively. Visual inspection of this  
346 student-athlete test scores for 10-m sprinting and 40-m sprinting revealed performances within  
347 normal ranges for the reference population (Figure 6 A, B). When entering the academy as  
348 student-athlete at 12.4 y, the 10-m sprinting and 40-m sprinting performance score were  
349 below nor around the 25<sup>th</sup> centile for both measurements. Translated into lay terms for coaches



350 and key stakeholders in professional team-sport environments, this player recorded an  
351 individual 10-m sprinting or 40-m sprinting performance that would occur in fewer than 25  
352 student-athletes in 100 *below* this limit. Likewise, the CMJ height score of 31 cm at 12.4 y lied  
353 approximately above the 75<sup>th</sup> centile suggesting that this student-athlete recorded an individual  
354 performance that would occur in fewer than 25 players in 100 *above* this limit (Figure 6C).  
355 Sprinting for this player generally showed a pattern of improvement until ~15.5 y, with CMJ  
356 height score increasing steadily until reaching an *atypically high* performance of 51.9 cm that  
357 exceeded the 91<sup>st</sup> centile at 17.1 y. Conversely, the trend for MAS showed a relatively flat  
358 pattern (Figure 6D) consistent with considerations at the population level. From a coaching  
359 perspective, assessment of test scores for each performance variable at a given visit suggested  
360 the performance for this student-athlete was well-within the normal ranges and reached  
361 atypically high CMJ scores towards the youth-to-senior transition phase. Taken together, visual  
362 inspection of raw data scores interpreted against population-specific reference intervals can be  
363 of practical relevance for coaches and practitioners to inform optimal youth athlete physical  
364 conditioning strategies from entry in the academy until the transition from youth-to-senior  
365 competition.

366  
367 Notwithstanding the fact that we followed methodological recommendations for reference  
368 charts development,<sup>25</sup> our study is not without limitations. First, we constructed reference  
369 intervals using performance test data gathered from players selected for a country-wide  
370 development programme based on criteria beyond sole physical performance aspects. This may  
371 have contributed to render our estimations prone to any effect of talent *selection* and  
372 *identification* practices per se, although the nature of our dataset itself is fundamental to the  
373 definition of reference standards consistent with the notion of sporting excellence relevant to  
374 our context. Nevertheless, we highlighted our study intended to provide tracking solutions  
375 limited to support developmental processes for youth players from our reference population  
376 whose extent is not generalizable to match performance considerations nor applications beyond  
377 our study context. The development of reference centiles for performance test outcomes from  
378 other populations of youth football players thus warrants considerations to allow contextual  
379 comparisons between different countries.<sup>15</sup> Second, and despite performance assessments data  
380 gathered over fourteen competitive seasons, we derived age-related reference intervals over a  
381 relatively curtailed chronological age range. With the example of Datson et al.<sup>15</sup> in female  
382 football, availability of consistent youth-to-senior physical performance data could have  
383 maximised the practical value of our estimations and broadened their spectrum of application.  
384 Nonetheless, we performed formal estimation of physical performance reference intervals  
385 using measurements gather over a chronological age range consistent with the reality of a  
386 professional football academy environment. While a particular strength of our study, the  
387 development of age-related reference intervals based on performance assessments collected  
388 over more than a decade deserves consideration of practical factors that pose a number of  
389 challenges for future similar studies in this field. Specifically, coping with the recent and  
390 ongoing evolution in testing equipment solutions and the inevitable staff turnover requires  
391 pragmatic adherence to standardized testing protocols with no changes in processing  
392 procedures to ensure integrity and methodological continuity of performance data collection  
393 for pursuing the development of reference charts that could be translated into advanced  
394 business solutions at country-level as in the present study. Practical aspects regarding  
395 performance assessment facility and terrain characteristics also deserve attention as concrete  
396 examples relevant to the last season only of the fourteen competitive seasons examined in this  
397 study. Nonetheless, in our context, the equivalence testing outcomes from our sensitivity  
398 analyses revealed no evidence of a tangible influence of terrain characteristics on sprinting and  
399 CMJ performance.

400 Likewise, the limited amount of data for proxy measures of biological maturation that could  
401 be matched with serial physical performance assessments<sup>7</sup> was not adequate for a precise  
402 estimation of reference intervals expressed by a different predictor variable other than  
403 chronological age.<sup>9,30</sup> However, evidence from a recent investigation exploring the integration  
404 of skeletal age and performance outcome measures in this population of youth Middle Eastern  
405 football players suggested differences in relative skeletal maturity, determined as TW-II  
406 skeletal age *minus* chronological age, accounted for ~ 1% to 9% only of the between-subject  
407 variability in 10-m sprinting, 40-m sprinting, CMJ, and MAS performance.<sup>7</sup> Third, our  
408 sampling composition and characteristics deserve consideration. To address the practical  
409 demands of our context, we used a mixed-longitudinal dataset to estimate age-related  
410 references intervals for the physical performance test outcomes selected according to academy-  
411 based criteria.<sup>3</sup> With the availability of information for subjects measured once and others more  
412 than once, using mixed-longitudinal data is a particular strength of our study to address ethical,  
413 cost-related, and practical limitations of typical cross-sectional and longitudinal study designs<sup>23</sup>  
414 and meet fundamental sample size requirements for reference charts development.<sup>9</sup> The  
415 adoption of a cross-sectional research design requires a relatively larger number of study  
416 participants and provides only information about distance that may be comparable to  
417 estimations conducted in smaller-scale studies.<sup>23</sup> Despite these advantages, the nature of our  
418 sample composition precluded from conducting a formal estimation of unbiased pointwise  
419 confidence bands for each centile curve.<sup>30</sup> Methodological studies in applied biostatistics  
420 illustrated bootstrapping procedures using GAMLSS yet applicable to cross-sectional research  
421 designs only.<sup>30</sup> Incorrect treatment of mixed-longitudinal data can result in estimating  
422 spuriously inflated standard errors yielding potentially over-precise confidence bands for a  
423 given centile curve.<sup>30</sup> Therefore, the lack of established procedures limited a robust description  
424 of the uncertainty surrounding the point centile estimates at a given chronological age.

425

### 426 **Practical applications**

- 427 • The construction of age-related reference intervals can leverage interpretations of  
428 physical performance assessments useful for coaches, managers, and executives to  
429 inform more objective value judgments on an individual player development.
- 430 • Age-specific intervals can assist coaches and performance staff in the longitudinal  
431 tracking of the individual player's progresses towards the transition to senior  
432 competition against benchmark values derived from the reference population.
- 433 • Reference charts can be translated into dynamic business solutions to facilitate ongoing  
434 tracking of the individual academy player.

435

### 436 **Conclusions**

437 We illustrated the first age-related reference intervals for physical performance test relevant to  
438 male youth football players from a Middle Eastern country. From a real-world perspective,  
439 country-wide age-related intervals for physical performance test outcomes can serve as a tool  
440 to address the practical demands of national federations and, accordingly, professional football  
441 academies in the pursuit of developing players for the first team.

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450 **Acknowledgments**

451 The authors would like to express their gratitude to the staff of the Football Performance &  
452 Science Department at Aspire Academy for the invaluable support in the collection of the  
453 routine assessments data along the years. The authors wish to thank the two anonymous  
454 referees whose comments and suggestions were invaluable to improve the manuscript.  
455

456 **Disclosure** No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author(s).  
457

458 **Funding** No funding is associated with this study.  
459

460 **References**

- 461 1. Ford PR, Bordonau JLD, Bonanno D, et al. A survey of talent identification and  
462 development processes in the youth academies of professional soccer clubs from  
463 around the world. *J Sports Sci.* 2020;38(11-12):1269-1278.
- 464 2. Lidor R, Côté J, Hackfort D. ISSP position stand: To test or not to test? The use of  
465 physical skill tests in talent detection and in early phases of sport development. *Int J*  
466 *Sport Exerc Psychol.* 2009;7(2):131-146.
- 467 3. Vaeyens R, Lenoir M, Williams AM, Philippaerts RM. Talent identification and  
468 development programmes in sport : current models and future directions. *Sports Med.*  
469 2008;38(9):703-714.
- 470 4. Hulse MA, Morris JG, Hawkins RD, Hodson A, Nevill AM, Nevill ME. A field-test  
471 battery for elite, young soccer players. *Int J Sports Med.* 2013;34(4):302-311.
- 472 5. Lundqvist C, Gregson W, Bonanno D, Lolli L, Di Salvo V. A worldwide survey of  
473 perspectives on demands, resources, and barriers influencing the youth-to-senior  
474 transition in academy football players. *Int J Sports Sci Coach.*  
475 2022;0(0):17479541221135626.
- 476 6. Lolli L, Johnson A, Monaco M, Cardinale M, Di Salvo V, Gregson W. Tanner–  
477 Whitehouse and Modified Bayley–Pinneau adult height predictions in elite youth  
478 soccer players from the Middle East. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2021;53(12):2683-2690.
- 479 7. Lolli L, Johnson A, Monaco M, Di Salvo V, Gregson W. Relative skeletal maturity and  
480 performance test outcomes in elite youth Middle Eastern soccer players. *Med Sci Sports*  
481 *Exerc.* 2022;54(8):1326-1334.
- 482 8. Gregson W, Carling C, Gualtieri A, et al. A survey of organizational structure and  
483 operational practices of elite youth football academies and national federations from  
484 around the world: A performance and medical perspective. *Front Sports Act Living.*  
485 2022;4:1031721.
- 486 9. Altman DG, Ohuma EO. Statistical considerations for the development of prescriptive  
487 fetal and newborn growth standards in the INTERGROWTH-21st Project. *BJOG.*  
488 2013;120 Suppl 2:71-76, v.
- 489 10. Figueiredo AJ, Gonçalves CE, Coelho ESMJ, Malina RM. Youth soccer players, 11-  
490 14 years: maturity, size, function, skill and goal orientation. *Ann Hum Biol.*  
491 2009;36(1):60-73.
- 492 11. Gouvea M, Cyrino ES, Ribeiro AS, et al. Influence of skeletal maturity on size, function  
493 and sport-specific technical skills in youth soccer players. *Int J Sports Med.*  
494 2016;37(6):464-469.
- 495 12. Teixeira AS, Valente-dos-Santos J, Coelho ESMJ, et al. Skeletal maturation and  
496 aerobic performance in young soccer players from professional academies. *Int J Sports*  
497 *Med.* 2015;36(13):1069-1075.

- 498 13. Fransen J, Bennett KJM, Woods CT, et al. Modelling age-related changes in motor  
499 competence and physical fitness in high-level youth soccer players: implications for  
500 talent identification and development. *Sci Med Footb.* 2017;1(3):203-208.
- 501 14. Towlson C, Cobley S, Parkin G, Lovell R. When does the influence of maturation on  
502 anthropometric and physical fitness characteristics increase and subside? *Scand J Med  
503 Sci Sports.* 2018;28(8):1946-1955.
- 504 15. Datson N, Weston M, Drust B, Atkinson G, Lolli L, Gregson W. Reference values for  
505 performance test outcomes relevant to English female soccer players. *Sci Med Footb.*  
506 2022;6(5):589-596.
- 507 16. Cole TJ, Stanojevic S, Stocks J, Coates AL, Hankinson JL, Wade AM. Age- and size-  
508 related reference ranges: a case study of spirometry through childhood and adulthood.  
509 *Stat Med.* 2009;28(5):880-898.
- 510 17. Blagus R, Jurak G, Starc G, Leskošek B. Centile reference curves of the SLOfit physical  
511 fitness tests for school-aged children and adolescents. *J Strength Cond Res.*  
512 2023;37(2):328-336.
- 513 18. Cardoso FM, Almodhy M, Pepera G, Stasinopoulos DM, Sandercock GR. Reference  
514 values for the incremental shuttle walk test in patients with cardiovascular disease  
515 entering exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation. *J Sports Sci.* 2017;35(1):1-6.
- 516 19. Eisenmann JC, Laurson KR, Welk GJ. Aerobic fitness percentiles for U.S. adolescents.  
517 *Am J Prev Med.* 2011;41(4 Suppl 2):S106-110.
- 518 20. Nevill AM, Myers J, Kaminsky LA, Arena R, Myers TD. Comparing individual and  
519 population differences in minute ventilation/carbon dioxide production slopes using  
520 centile growth curves and log-linear allometry. *ERJ Open Res.* 2021;7(3).
- 521 21. Jia H, Wan B, Bu T, et al. Chinese physical fitness standard for campus football players:  
522 A pilot study of 765 children aged 9 to 11. *Front Physiol.* 2022;13:1023910.
- 523 22. Buchheit M, Mendez-Villanueva A, Mayer N, et al. Locomotor performance in highly-  
524 trained young soccer players: does body size always matter? *Int J Sports Med.*  
525 2014;35(6):494-504.
- 526 23. Cole TJ. The international growth standard for preadolescent and adolescent children:  
527 statistical considerations. *Food Nutr Bull.* 2006;27(4 Suppl Growth Standard):S237-  
528 243.
- 529 24. Buchheit M, Mendez-Villanueva A. Reliability and stability of anthropometric and  
530 performance measures in highly-trained young soccer players: effect of age and  
531 maturation. *J Sports Sci.* 2013;31(12):1332-1343.
- 532 25. Borghi E, de Onis M, Garza C, et al. Construction of the World Health Organization  
533 child growth standards: selection of methods for attained growth curves. *Stat Med.*  
534 2006;25(2):247-265.
- 535 26. Stasinopoulos DM, Rigby RA, Heller GZ, Voudouris V, De Bastiani F. *Flexible  
536 regression and smoothing: using GAMLSS in R.* Chapman and Hall/CRC; 2017.
- 537 27. Geithner CA, Thomis MA, Vanden Eynde B, et al. Growth in peak aerobic power  
538 during adolescence. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2004;36(9):1616-1624.
- 539 28. Philippaerts RM, Vaeyens R, Janssens M, et al. The relationship between peak height  
540 velocity and physical performance in youth soccer players. *J Sports Sci.*  
541 2006;24(3):221-230.
- 542 29. Lolli L, Johnson A, Monaco M, Di Salvo V, Gregson W. Skeletal maturation in male  
543 elite youth athletes from the Middle East. *Am J Hum Biol.* 2023:e23906.
- 544 30. Cole TJ. Sample size and sample composition for constructing growth reference  
545 centiles. *Stat Methods Med Res.* 2021;30(2):488-507.
- 546  
547

548 **Tables Legends**

549

550 **Table 1.** Predicted reference intervals for 10-m sprinting time (s) by chronological age (N=441,  
551 n=1943)

552 **Table 2.** Predicted reference intervals for 40-m sprinting time (s) by chronological age (N=435,  
553 n=1820)

554 **Table 3.** Predicted reference intervals for CMJ height (cm) by chronological age (N=441,  
555 n=1964)

556 **Table 4.** Predicted reference intervals for MAS score ( $\text{km}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$ ) by chronological age (N=430,  
557 n=1751)

558

559 **Figures Legends**

560

561 **Figure 1.** Predicted reference intervals for 10-m sprinting time (s) by chronological age  
562 (N=441, n=1943).

563 **Figure 2.** Predicted reference intervals for 40-m sprinting time (s) by chronological age  
564 (N=435, n=1820).

565 **Figure 3.** Predicted reference intervals for CMJ height (cm) by chronological age (N=441,  
566 n=1964).

567 **Figure 4.** Predicted reference intervals for MAS score ( $\text{km}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$ ) by chronological age (N=430,  
568 n=1751).

569 **Figure 5.** Worm plots diagnostics for the 10-m sprinting (A), 40-m sprinting (B), CMJ (C),  
570 and MAS (D) models.

571 **Figure 6.** Individual-athlete physical performance data superimposed on age-related reference  
572 intervals relevant to 10-m sprinting (A), 40-m sprinting (B), CMJ (C), and MAS (D)  
573 assessments.

574

575

576

577

578

579

580

581

582

583

584

585

586

587

588

589

590

591

592

593

594

595

596

597