


**Please cite the Published Version**

Hampton, Mark, Jeyacheya, Julia  and Nair, Vikneswaran (2023) Post-Covid tourism revealed: evidence from Malaysia. *Annals of Tourism Research*, 103. 103671 ISSN 0160-7383

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annals.2023.103671>

**Publisher:** Elsevier Masson

**Version:** Published Version

**Downloaded from:** <https://e-space.mmu.ac.uk/632494/>

**Usage rights:**  [Creative Commons: Attribution-Noncommercial 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/)


**Additional Information:** This is an open access article which appeared in *Annals of Tourism Research*, published by Elsevier

**Enquiries:**

If you have questions about this document, contact [openresearch@mmu.ac.uk](mailto:openresearch@mmu.ac.uk). Please include the URL of the record in e-space. If you believe that your, or a third party's rights have been compromised through this document please see our Take Down policy (available from <https://www.mmu.ac.uk/library/using-the-library/policies-and-guidelines>)

Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](https://www.sciencedirect.com)

# Annals of Tourism Research

journal homepage: <https://www.journals.elsevier.com/annals-of-tourism-research>

## Research note

# Post-COVID tourism revealed: Evidence from Malaysia

Mark P. Hampton <sup>a,\*</sup>, Julia Jeyacheya <sup>b</sup>, Vikneswaran Nair <sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> School of Anthropology & Conservation/Kent Business School, University of Kent, Canterbury CT2 7NR, UK

<sup>b</sup> Faculty of Business and Law, Manchester Metropolitan University, All Saints Campus, Manchester M15 6BH, UK

<sup>c</sup> DISTED College, YCE Heritage Campus, Macalister Road, Penang 10350, Malaysia



## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 19 December 2022

Received in revised form 6 October 2023

Accepted 7 October 2023

Available online xxxx

Handling Editor: Adele Ladkin

### Keywords:

Covid-19

Post-pandemic tourism

Tourism recovery

UNESCO

Heritage tourism

## Introduction

Labour and capital relations in tourism are complex, exemplified by labour precarity, low skilled or unskilled work, poor labour protection and other structural challenges (Baum et al., 2020; Hampton et al., 2018). Pre-Covid, such foundational issues were partially obscured by international tourism's astonishing year-on-year growth since the 1980s and continuing reliance on low paid, precarious labour (Porto & Ines Garcia, 2022). However, Covid 19's unprecedented impact on global tourism and its weak/uneven recovery thus far, reveals structural cracks in the capitalist approach to labour and capital relations. This is beginning to be researched, typically in wealthier economies in Europe, Australasia etc. (see Hemmington & Neill, 2022), however, this Research Note contributes by reporting new data from an emerging economy illustrating processes seen in the literature, but also reflects common structural issues in many Global South destinations. It reports fieldwork from early in a destination's post-Covid recovery and by applying a political economy lens to Penang, Malaysia, the research illustrates how the Covid crisis exposed structural cracks long associated with mass tourism, but also found more fluid relations between capital and labour.

## Research context

Malaysian labour market trends pre-Covid showed a relatively low unemployment rate and a growing service sector (including tourism) within an overall tight labour market and in 2019 tourism and travel employed 23.1 % of the labour force (MBLS, 2022). Despite being a booming sector, tourism had challenges recruiting and retaining labour - particularly younger workers

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [m.hampton@kent.ac.uk](mailto:m.hampton@kent.ac.uk) (M.P. Hampton).

who made up a large proportion of the workforce – due to poor employment conditions and limited career advancement, resulting in relatively high turnover rates (Baum et al., 2020). In March 2020 Covid restrictions closed Malaysia's borders, not opening until April 2022: considerably later than most South-East Asian destinations. Domestic tourism was similarly halted with strict Movement Control Orders closing state borders until September 2021.

Pre-Covid, Penang - with its UNESCO World Heritage Site in George Town - was a major destination hosting 2.8 million international hotel guests and 3.5 million domestic guests in 2019 (Tourism Malaysia, 2022). George Town has predominantly heritage tourism, comprising traditional Chinese shophouses, colonial architecture, boutique hotels, and hawker stalls/street food. The Penang Tourism Master Plan (PETAC, 2020) reported a sizeable shift from traditional economic activities towards tourism/hospitality over 2009–19. Tourism is Penang's second economic sector after the state's leading economic activity - manufacturing - in its free trade zone comprising light industry, engineering, and electronics. This is unlike many coastal, heritage destinations hosting significant annual tourist flows in South-East Asia.

## Methodology

The scoping study deployed a qualitative approach, adapting rapid rural appraisal techniques ( $n = 17$  semi-structured interviews) with purposive sampling of accommodation providers (luxury, budget); food and beverage (coffee shop, restaurant); attractions; tour guides; planners (tourism office, planning para-statal); and academics. Interviews had average durations of 1 h, were manually coded, and emerging themes analysed over two rounds. The researchers are Malaysian and British, all with extensive South-East Asian fieldwork experience.

## Emerging trends

Interview analysis revealed several trends during and post-Covid relating to labour - capital relations. First, the national lockdown resulted in labour-shedding for many tourism businesses. The large manufacturing sector became highly attractive at this point, offering jobs with better terms of employment than the tourism sector, which remained closed for business for longer. This resulted in further competition for scarce labour during the recovery period and this finding supports Jung and Ming (2021) and Baum et al. (2020). Most respondents noted the ongoing challenge of recruiting staff to tourism:

“the biggest challenge now is labour, right. Because you have competition for manufacturing and you have this great realization from younger folks that, you know, it's just not the kind of life they want. And hospitality is demanding. It's like it's a six day week in most cases. And if you have options, why would you? Why would you want to go into hospitality?”

[(Interview 15)]

Second, during lockdown some accommodation providers retained staff on full or half pay and kept a core team on site for maintenance and food service. However, the interviews revealed changes in labour and capital relations post-lockdown, in three main ways: i) managing with fewer staff post-Covid and working existing staff harder through multi-skilling, as reported by one respondent: “we're having a bumper year with fewer staff” (Interview 4); ii) employing cheap, unskilled foreign mobile labour (often from Bangladesh and Nepal), as was found in catering businesses and some smaller hotels, who struggled to recruit local staff; or iii) by accepting smaller profit margins by increasing staff wages as noted by smaller and specialist businesses. As one manager noted: “we have a different mentality post Covid towards staff – they must be happy and enjoy their job” (Interview 9).

Third, the scale of the operations and available funds determined worker retention/loss. Government stimulus packages/financial aid existed, but respondents noted the relatively small amounts available to cover all staff wages. This finding concerning the significance of scale reinforces Jung and Ming (2021)'s observation that nine larger hotels had closed, and Swan et al. (2020) noting that no budget hotels had filed for bankruptcy because government subsidies were sufficient.

Fourth, the lockdown's uneven socio-economic impact was noticeable across different sectors and workers. For accommodation, most hotels closed, employing only a few essential workers for maintenance and take-away food services. Existing tourism catering could pivot from in-house dining to local deliveries and adapt quickly to digitising their services, thus retaining a core staff. For local 'tourist' transportation, the informal yet vital cycle trishaw operators, a shortfall in actual cash and government support led to local civil society support from NGOs and charities for food donations.

Fifth, the political economy of Penang state is unique in Malaysia and has led to a somewhat unclear strategic direction of the destination in recovery; possibly exacerbated by the lack of a single coordinating authority. Despite the ongoing labour shortage experienced by tourism businesses generally, findings revealed a tension between the drive to maximise visitor numbers by expanding the cruise ship sector, and the opposing voices calling for Penang to host fewer, but higher spending tourists (Interviews 3, 2). This supports Baum's (2022) observation that resolving the labour issues 'requires more than a quick fix'.

## Discussion

Our findings reveal two structural cracks in relations between labour and capital. First, the tourism industry's recovery period is weaker and slower than other economic sectors in Penang. It is labour intensive and requires a complex supply chain and mobile labour markets to operate fully. This places tourism at a severe disadvantage and uncovers labour precarity within the sector.

In Penang, the island's manufacturing sector was able to continue to operate within (and despite) the confines of the strict Movement Control Orders and increase its workforce. The accommodation sector by comparison shrunk its workforce to cope with an extended closure of business and now struggle to fill vacancies (Goh, 2023).

The workforce recovery strategies deployed by tourism businesses ranged from a 'business as usual' mindset by intensifying labour precarity in two ways: i) increasing workloads across fewer staff or ii) employing cheap and often unskilled foreign labour, to an 'enlightened' mindset, by rejecting labour exploitation for profit maximisation and opting for collaborative and sustainable relations between labour and capital. The few businesses representing the second strategy are exemplars and replication of this model is unlikely to gain traction while the strategic direction of tourism in Penang remains contested.

We would argue that the Covid crisis and recovery clearly reveal mechanisms and dynamics that are normally obscured in tourism, especially when times are good, tourist numbers are increasing, and capital enjoys healthy profits. The Covid crisis exacerbated the structural problem of tourism labour precarity and changing (or modified) relations between labour and capital. The pause in operations and employment for an extended period has strengthened workers' resolve to disengage completely with the sector, by leaving for less precarious working conditions and higher pay elsewhere such as in manufacturing.

## Conclusion

Evidence from this scoping study early in a destination's post-Covid recovery, confirms that the crisis exposed tourism's structural weaknesses in Penang, and "amplify[ed] the precarity of tourism work" (Solnet et al., 2022, p.2). The light shone by the crisis onto these - sometimes indistinct - processes suggests lessons for destinations elsewhere. Global tourism faces significant post-Covid challenges with capital and labour relations being exposed and undergoing restructuring challenges. However, this disruption of the *status quo* could provide opportunities for tourism labour to renegotiate better working conditions and wages, reinforcing the need for a 'just and sustainable vision' for tourism work (Baum et al., 2016). It could also begin to challenge the uneven, fluid power relations between labour and capital and perhaps also start to address SDG 8 for tourism - the need for decent work (Robinson et al., 2019). Our study also reveals the perhaps surprising vulnerability of the tourism sector in regions with a diverse economy, like Penang, where the local workforce can choose to engage in less precarious employment. Crucially, this is unlike many other coastal destinations that lack alternative employment options. For such destinations, the opportunities for labour to use this reset to start renegotiating with capital to reduce their precarity appears less obvious.

More empirical research is needed on the shifting dynamics between capital and labour during the post-Covid tourism recovery. This has some urgency and is particularly significant for Global South countries partially or wholly dependent on tourism, and especially in deciding which recovery pathway they take (Jeyacheya & Hampton, 2022). More critical research is also required on the role of inclusive growth in tourism's recovery from this crisis, given that tourism's role in inclusive growth is increasingly emphasised by many international agencies (UNWTO, 2021; World Bank, 2022).

## Funding

Fieldwork was funded by the University of Kent and Manchester Metropolitan University.

## CRedit authorship contribution statement

Hampton: Conceptualization, Writing- Original draft preparation. Jeyacheya: Conceptualization, Writing- Original draft preparation. Nair: Conceptualization, Writing- Reviewing and Editing.

## Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

## Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Rosli Bin Haji Nor for his assistance with fieldwork in Penang, Amran Hamzah for opening several doors for us, to Creighton Connolly for his constructive comments on earlier drafts, and all our interview respondents for giving up their time.

## References

- Baum, T. (2022). *COVID not only to blame for tourism's staffing crisis*. 2 September. Devdiscourse. <https://www.devdiscourse.com/article/business/2164615-covid-not-only-to-blame-for-tourisms-staffing-crisis> [Accessed 12 July 2023].
- Baum, T., Kralj, A., Robinson, R., & Solnet, D. (2016). *Tourism workforce research: A review, taxonomy and agenda*. *Annals of Tourism Research*, 60, 1–22.
- Baum, T., Mooney, S., Robinson, R., & Solnet, D. (2020). *Covid-19's impact on the hospitality workforce – New crisis or amplification of the norm?* *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 32(9), 2813–2829.

- Goh, M. (2023). *Malaysia's tourism industry struggling to recover as Chinese visitors trickle in*. 28 April. CNA. <https://www.channelnewsasia.com/asia/Malaysia-tourism-industry-struggling-recover-chinese-visitors-3451506> [Accessed 4 May 2023].
- Hampton, M. P., Jeyacheya, J., & Lee, D. (2018). The political economy of dive tourism: Precarity at the periphery in Malaysia. *Tourism Geographies*, 20(1), 107–126.
- Hemmington, N., & Neill, L. (2022). Hospitality business longevity under COVID-19: The impact of COVID-19 on New Zealand's hospitality industry. *Tourism and Hospitality Research*, 22(1), 102–114.
- Jeyacheya, J., & Hampton, M. P. (2022). Pathway choice and post-Covid tourism: Inclusive growth or business as usual? *World Development Sustainability*, 1, Article 100024.
- Jung, Y. P., & Ming, L. S. (2021). *Persevering towards recovery for Penang's tourism industry*. July. George Town: Penang Institute Issues.
- MBLS (2022). *Labour market impacts of reopening Malaysia's international borders*. MBLs Newsletter. Vol. 37.. Malaysian Bureau of Labour Statistics, Putrajaya.
- PETAC (2020) Penang tourism master plan 2021–2030. Penang State EXCO Office for Tourism and Creative Economy, George Town.
- Porto, N., & Ines Garcia, C. (2022). Unveiling the truth about tourism labour precarity in Argentinian cities. *International Journal of Tourism Cities*, 8(2), 342–356.
- Robinson, R., Martins, A., Solnet, D., & Baum, T. (2019). Sustaining precarity: Critically examining tourism and employment. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, 27(7), 1008–1025.
- Solnet, D., Robinson, R., Baum, T., & Yan, H. (2022). Tourism work, media & COVID-19: A changed narrative? *Annals of Tourism Research*, 97, Article 103492.
- Swan, L. S., Jo-Yee, Y., & Yi-Chieh, P. (2020). *Transforming tourism in Penang: Suggestions for the short and the long term*. May. George Town: Penang Institute.
- Tourism Malaysia (2022). Hotel guests by states, 2018–21, Penang. [http://mytourismdata.tourism.gov.my/?page\\_id=362#!range=year&from=2018&to=2021&type=5587833dc8f82,55878dfdebb19&destination=34MYP007,34MY](http://mytourismdata.tourism.gov.my/?page_id=362#!range=year&from=2018&to=2021&type=5587833dc8f82,55878dfdebb19&destination=34MYP007,34MY).
- UNWTO (2021). Let's talk: Inclusive growth in tourism. <https://www.unwto.org/node/12496>.
- World Bank (2022) Zanzibar can accelerate poverty reduction by seizing more opportunities to diversify its tourism sector. 9 November. <https://www.worldbank.org/en/news/press-release/2022/11/09/zanzibar-can-accelerate-poverty-reduction-by-seizing-more-opportunities-to-diversify-its-tourism-sector> [Accessed 21 November 2022].

**Mark P. Hampton** is Reader in Tourism Management at the University of Kent. His research focuses on tourism for economic development in South-East Asia.

**Julia Jeyacheya** is Senior Lecturer in International Development at Manchester Metropolitan University. Her main research is on the political economy of tourism development.

**Vikneswaran Nair** is Professor in Sustainable Tourism at Disted College Penang. His main research is on responsible tourism and community-based tourism.