







## **Keywords**

Anabolic-androgenic steroids; interpersonal violence; meta-analysis; performance and image enhancing drugs

## **Introduction**

The non-medical use of anabolic-androgenic steroids (AAS) (e.g. for muscle enhancement) by athletes and the general population is common, with a meta-analysis showing that 3.3% of the world's population has used AAS at least once in their life (Sagoe et al., 2014). Although the use of AAS has been associated with a range of health problems (e.g., cardiovascular disease) and psychiatric side-effects (e.g., dependence) (Pope et al., 2014), often-cited adverse effects of the non-medical use of AAS are aggression and violence (Pope et al., 2021). The public assumption of this relationship is also often fueled by media coverage of single events of extreme violence. For example, the use of AAS by Anders Behring Breivik in Norway in preparation of his terrorist attack in 2011, and the London Bridge terror attack newspaper headlines despite toxicologist's report stating that AAS played no significant role in the atrocity (Mulrooney et al., 2019).

### *The link between AAS use, aggression and violent behavior*

A meta-analysis on the link between AAS and aggression found evidence of an increase, although small, in self-reported aggression in healthy males following AAS administration in randomized controlled trials (Chegeni et al., 2021). Aggression in this meta-analysis was however mainly focused on non-physical aggression (e.g. increased feelings of hostility,

verbal aggression). In only three of the twelve studies a physical aggression (i.e. violence) measure was included but even for these studies the main focus was on non-physical aggression. In addition, although the included studies have value in their experimental method, they did not pertain directly to violent behavior and did not involve behavior in day-to-day life (e.g. testing aggression in a gaming setting). It is therefore unknown to what extent AAS use leads to violent behavior. This is important as experiencing increased feelings of aggression does not necessarily mean that someone will engage in violent behavior. Indeed, aggression does not equal violence. Studies even show that some people who use AAS consider the increased aggression as something positive, for example, benefitting their gym training or aiding in some forms of competitive sport (e.g. see Bates & McVeigh, 2016).

There is growing evidence on the link between AAS use and different types of violence (e.g., physical fighting, violent crimes, and intimate partner violence) (Pope et al., 2021). Findings regarding the relationship however seem to be mixed. For example, some studies have reported that people who use AAS are more likely to engage in physical fighting (Miller et al., 2002) and are more commonly arrested for violent crimes (Lundholm et al., 2010), whereas other studies have failed to demonstrate a significant relationship between people who use AAS and physical violence perpetration (Ganson et al., 2023) and being convicted for a violent crime (Klotz et al., 2006; van de Ven et al., 2023). Whether the use of AAS poses an increased risk for violence behavior therefore remains a topic of contention (Mulrooney et al., 2019). Understanding the link between AAS use and violence is however important as there are significant social costs associated with substance-related violence (e.g. see Black, 2021).



*or psychological (also called emotional violence), and it may involve deprivation and neglect' (Mercy et al., 2017).*

## **Methods**

The study is reported in accordance with the preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses (PRISMA) (Moher et al., 2009). The protocol for this meta-analysis was pre-registered in PROSPERO (removed to ensure anonymity). We reviewed studies that examined the relationship between AAS use and interpersonal violence (as defined above). The search and screening were conducted in October through December, 2022

### *Study eligibility*

Studies were initially screened based on title and abstract. Longitudinal, retrospective, and cross-sectional observational studies, as well as randomized clinical trials, were considered. Publications were included if they are written in English, and if they focused on AAS and violent behaviors. Non-English articles were considered but were excluded if they could not adequately be translated into English. Excluded study designs include mathematical modelling reports, literature reviews, systematic reviews, and meta-analyses. Quantitative studies of descriptive-only statistics, experimental studies and non-human studies were also excluded. All studies that met the inclusion criteria were included, regardless of the start date of the published study.

### *Search strategy*

Studies were identified using the following major databases: PubMed; EBSCOhost; Scopus; PsychINFO; and ProQuest. We also searched reference lists from retrieved manuscripts and contacted corresponding authors of included articles if they had unpublished relevant results.



















the violent measure was not even defined. Research shows that for self-reported (violent) crimes both under- and over-reporting occurs (Gomes et al., 2019; Krohn et al., 2013). Under- or over-reporting seems to be dependent on the type of violence reported on. For instance, young men tend to over-report physical fighting as it can increase their peer status (Staff & Kreager, 2008), whereas intimate partner violence (both physical and sexual violence) is more likely to be under-reported by perpetrators because of social desirability and shame and guilt (Chan, 2011). Research findings on the validity of self-reported substance use also show that drug use tends to be underreported compared to when biological markers are used (Khalili et al., 2021). An issue however with studies that identify AAS use by testing blood and/or urine samples are that there is the risk that there are unidentified AAS consumers who are temporarily on an off-cycle (i.e., not using AAS for a certain period of time) and as a result end up in the control group (i.e. the non-using group) (Klotz et al., 2006). Not using validated instruments for both AAS use and interpersonal violence may therefore have influenced the accuracy of our findings.

Nevertheless, as AAS use may increase the risk of engaging in interpersonal violence, it is important to educate people who use AAS, health professionals and others on this issue. However, as the direction of the relationship is unclear, we recommend focusing on the context of the above-noted mediating factors as opposed to focusing on AAS use itself. For example, considering poly-drug use is high within AAS-using communities, and as we know that poly-drug use increases the risk of violence (Duke et al., 2018), harm reduction messages could focus on how the combined use of drugs increases the risk of violence (e.g. using alcohol and/or stimulants while using AAS may increase feelings of aggression).

Importantly, to reduce this risk (and other health and social risks), it is key that barriers to accessing health services are reduced for people who use AAS. There is, for

















