




**Please cite the Published Version**

Gebrye, Tadesse , Mbada, Chidozie , Fatoye, Francis  and Cosmas, Anazodo (2023) Effectiveness of telerehabilitation on quality of life in stroke survivors: a systematic review and meta analysis. In: World Physiotherapy Congress 2023, 02 June 2023 - 04 June 2023, Dubai World Trade Centre, United Arab Emirates.

**Version:** Accepted Version

**Downloaded from:** <https://e-space.mmu.ac.uk/631966/>

**Usage rights:**  In Copyright

**Additional Information:** This is an abstract of a poster presentation shown at World Physiotherapy Congress 2023

**Enquiries:**

If you have questions about this document, contact [openresearch@mmu.ac.uk](mailto:openresearch@mmu.ac.uk). Please include the URL of the record in e-space. If you believe that your, or a third party's rights have been compromised through this document please see our Take Down policy (available from <https://www.mmu.ac.uk/library/using-the-library/policies-and-guidelines>)

# EFFECTIVENESS OF TELEREHABILITATION ON QUALITY OF LIFE IN STROKE SURVIVORS: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW AND META ANALYSIS

*T. Gebrye<sup>1</sup>, C. Mbada<sup>1</sup>, F. Fatoye<sup>1</sup>, C. Anazodo<sup>2</sup>*

<sup>1</sup>Manchester Metropolitan University, Health Professions, Manchester, United Kingdom, <sup>2</sup>Lancashire Teaching Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, Lancashire, United Kingdom

**Preferred Presentation format:** Platform presentation

**Printed or ePoster presentation:** Printed poster presentation

**Primary topic:** Neurology: stroke

**2nd Topic:** Service delivery/emerging roles

**Background:** Recent advances in technology have made possible the delivery of health services to patients remotely, and telerehabilitation for stroke survivors has emerged as a promising intervention.

**Purpose:** This systematic review was aimed to assess the clinical effectiveness of telerehabilitation programmes on quality of life of stroke survivor.

**Methods:** We searched MEDLINE, Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature (CINAHL), AMED, Web of Science and Scopus databases from inception to 10th of June 2022. Search terms related to the clinical effectiveness of telerehabilitation interventions were used. Studies meeting the inclusion criteria were identified and key data were extracted. A comprehensive meta-analysis software was used to obtain the meta-analysis according to the standardised mean difference (SMD) and 95% CIs.

**Results:** A total of 11 eligible studies that met the inclusion criteria were reviewed. These studies were conducted in Brazil (n = 1), Italy (n = 2), Netherland (n = 1), South Korea (n = 1), Switzerland (n = 1), Taiwan (n = 1), United Kingdom (n = 1) and United States (n = 3) between 2004 and 2020. Except for blinding of participants to study group allocation, all the studies were (> 50%) at low risk of bias to considering adequate sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding of trial personnel or outcome assessors, evaluation of incomplete outcome data, and lack of selective reporting. The meta-analysis included 1,206 individuals with duration of follow up ranged between 4 weeks and 6 months. We found that there was no statistically significant difference (SMD = 0.590, Confidence Interval (CI) 95% = -0.226 to 1.405, p = 0.157) for Stroke Impact Scale (based on health utility weighting of the Modified Rankin Scale) between telerehabilitation interventions and standard care.

**Conclusion(s):** Effectiveness of telerehabilitation interventions on quality of life in stroke survivors in a short term is comparable to standard care.

**Implications:** Further research studies are required to examine the effectiveness of telerehabilitation interventions for improving quality of life of stroke survivors in a long term follow up.

**Keyword 1:** Effectiveness

**Keyword 2:** Telerehabilitation

**Keyword 3:** Stroke Survivors

**Funding acknowledgements:** There was no funding received in relation to the study.

**Did this work require ethics approval?:** No

**Institution:** Manchester Metropolitan University

**Ethics committee:** N/A

**Please state the reasons why ethics approval was not required and upload any supporting evidence:** The study is a systematic review. For this study ethical approval was not required.

**Has any of this material been/due to be published or presented at another national or international conference prior to the World**

**Physiotherapy Congress 2023?:** No

**Consent:** Yes

**Consent:** Yes