### Please cite the Published Version

Cavada, M and Rogers, CDF (2020) Serious gaming as a means of facilitating truly smart cities: a narrative review. Behaviour and Information Technology, 39 (6). pp. 695-710. ISSN 0144-929X

**DOI:** https://doi.org/10.1080/0144929x.2019.1677775

**Publisher:** Taylor & Francis **Version:** Accepted Version

**Downloaded from:** https://e-space.mmu.ac.uk/631809/

Usage rights: Creative Commons: Attribution-Noncommercial 4.0

**Additional Information:** This is an Accepted Manuscript of an article published by Taylor & Francis in Behaviour and Information Technology on 15 Oct 2019, available at: <a href="http://www.tandfonline.com/10.1080/0144929X.2019.1677775">http://www.tandfonline.com/10.1080/0144929X.2019.1677775</a>.

## **Enquiries:**

If you have questions about this document, contact openresearch@mmu.ac.uk. Please include the URL of the record in e-space. If you believe that your, or a third party's rights have been compromised through this document please see our Take Down policy (available from https://www.mmu.ac.uk/library/using-the-library/policies-and-guidelines)

### Cavada, M and Rogers, CDF

## Serious Gaming as an Enabler of Truly Smart Cities

#### Abstract

Despite claims for the development of 'smart cities' for more than two decades, the term is still unclear. This is in part because the academic approach to 'smart' is ever-changing, while current methodologies used to conceive, design and operate smart cities sometimes overlap with, and sometimes contradict, each other. Due to a combination of technological advancements and market forces, smartness often comes within reach of a service provision approach. For this reason, academic methodologies that aspire to deliver 'liveability', which addresses the need for a balance in the aims of city development by putting people – that is, individual and societal health and wellbeing, and as a consequence planetary wellbeing – at the heart of the decision-making process, play a vital role in delivering smart cities. As the authors have argued repeatedly: 'smart' is only 'truly smart' if it leads to more sustainable, resilient and liveable cities.

Interestingly, the very uncertainty surrounding the definition of smartness can be harnessed in the interplay of different concepts that encourage the 'gamification of smart' – the use of serious gaming to deliver truly smart outcomes. Although city simulation games already exist (Sim City, Our City, etc.), where players are dealing with scenarios for developing urban contexts, so far there is no concept of how these virtual environments can develop real-life scenarios and skills that can be harnessed to make practical improvements to city living. This would have the potential to lead to further innovations in implementing true smartness alongside technologies that aim to engage users in 'liveable practices' (those that advance us towards more liveable cities). For this reason, the essence of 'smart city games' should be deeply rooted in liveability approaches so that this idea can develop into serious impacts through societal, environmental, economic and governance actions. Furthermore, the gamification of smartness is a form of engagement that results in education of what it means to be, and how it is to live in, a smart city. In this situation, liveability goals would direct education and engagement in 'smart play' and change the attitudes and behaviours of the gamers themselves. Games, as a self-explanatory method for learning, can introduce in an immediate and scalable way liveability actions using new technologies. The idea can also be introduced in a form that will enable the engagement of users who would otherwise abstain from technologies or social media. This means that actions towards liveability should be included in real-life scenarios alongside the demand for high-tech solutions to problems in smart cites. Therefore, the architectures of gaming technologies should be designed to facilitate low-level interactions that enhance the participation of low-tech users in the highlevel technologies used to make cities truly smart.

The above discussion would mean that smart city simulation games need to introduce a concept of liveability that embraces societal, environmental, economic, and governance interdependencies as educational components in the recreation activity for players that are dealing with scenarios for developing urban contexts. Rather than a recreational activity that implements technology, therefore, the inclusion of 'truly smart thinking' into city gaming practices is an action that engenders both ethical and educational benefits to the user (the gamer), offering skill development and adding value in societal and individual terms.

### 1. Introduction: The Smart Cities Context

Future cities research aimed at supporting transparent decision making at all levels of governance, from local to global, has the concomitant benefit of directing engineers (and collaborators from all the urban professions) to provide solutions to urban problems that are truly smart – i.e. they embrace resiliency, sustainability, and liveability, features which should be deeply rooted and transparent in the methods adopted for city engineering (Rogers, 2018). However, smartness as is a term that often fails to fully capture its potential, given the very many, usually narrow, interpretations of its meaning (Cavada *et al.*, 2014). When it comes to specificity of the smart city concept, it would be best seen through the lens of liveability, since without such a lens 'smart' falls into the realm of digitally-enabled service provision and would not provide the wider shared benefits that this paper advocates: the smart city concept being 'truly smart' (Cavada *et al.*, 2014; 2019).

The concept of liveability was captured in a 5-year, multi-disciplinary research programme entitled '*Transforming the Engineering of Cities to Deliver Societal and Planetary Wellbeing*' (Liveable Cities, 2013). Liveable Cities explored the fundamental city engineering – adopting the concept of engineering being the application of ingenuity (the root of the word engineering) to problem solving – needed for the betterment of living in urban areas. The disciplines of the team members included engineering, economics, architecture, geography, ecology, psychology, and other social and environmental sciences from four UK universities, yet the team worked towards transdisciplinarity by developing methodologies that treated cities as holistic systems. For convenience it viewed cities through Social, Environmental, Economic and Governance Lenses, but acknowledged that all urban systems are interdependent to some degree: intervene in one system and the other systems are likely to be impacted to a greater or lesser extent (Leach *et al.*, 2017; Liveable Cities, 2013).

However, truly smart cities are not just visionary; practical implementation of engineering interventions can, and should be designed to, lead to truly smart cities. For example sharing schemes (which might or might not benefit from digitalisation) that are proposed to deliver resource and community cohesion benefits, though in fact support several aspects of the four lenses of liveability associated with city living at a local scale, are no different in concept to shared utility or transport systems (Boyko et al., 2016). Given the common internalisation of the smart ideal, urban areas (or 'cities' for short as used herein) often enact digital solutions in collaboration with multinational corporations. However the infrastructure required to implement the wider-scale solutions that these digital schemes aim to provide should be reconsidered (i.e. reconfigured or redesigned) to generate the multiple additional forms of value that they could offer, ensuring that solutions are truly smart (Bouch et al., 2018). Engineered solutions (or in the smart city realm, city initiatives) should be conceived as holistic, cutting across different sectors, and resilient, i.e. ensuring that they are equally effective in the future under different circumstances or contexts (Cavada et al., 2017, Lombardi et al., 2012; Rogers et al., 2012). This paper explores the literature on gamification methodologies and explores how this approach can be used to bring about truly smart cities.

### 2. A Methodology for the Gamification of Smart Cities to make them Truly Smart

The aim of this paper is to explore the implementation potential of gaming technologies – using recreation – to find ways towards more liveable worlds, revealing new techniques and embedding the experience of the journey in the gamers. Therefore, this paper seeks to review the literature on recreational technology to leverage the connection between truly smart cities and gamification methods to address the following objectives:

- To understand the effects of recreational location-based services (LBS).
- To support the gamification of truly smart cities.

#### 3. Location-Based Games

# 3.1 Fundamental types of Location-Based Games

A wider acceptance of the term 'game' explains the experience as an individual or team member taking part in an activity described as a "positive participation strategy" (Fasce, 2014). With the support of contemporary technology, the gaming industry has been able to expand in terms of multimedia, types of games, ways of participation, and interaction. Innovative technologies have been integrated in digital networks to create a Location-Based Services Network (LBSN), a foundation for social media and online location games connecting the geo-location of the user / gamer to the virtual (online) location; this becomes a part of their 'online existence' that enables the gamer's spatial exploration (Saker and Evans, 2016a,b). An initial characterisation of such games yields three main classifications: (i) unfolding stories, (ii) social media, and (iii) replication of the real world (Imbellone *et al.*, 2015).

PokemonGO, as an example of unfolding stories, is an online gaming application that develops a storyline depending on the geolocation of the gamer. It requires players to chase and catch computer-generated mythical creatures that appear on their smartphone screens, blending the virtual with the physical environment. It therefore theoretically enhances both social interaction and way-finding in the urban context – it strengthens social ties between players and the game context (Garrido *et al.*, 2017; Saker, 2018). Papangelis *et al.* (2017a,b) developed a mobile game named 'Conquering the City' in which gamers test their location for its qualities: gamers can post online their own interpretation of the given city context ('*claim it*' from other gamers) and this in turn offers the ability to analyse the communication – mostly via path exploration and communication between players.

In the second, social media, category Foursquare (similar to Twitter and Facebook) allows participants to digitally inscribe their geo-location with other users using information, commentary, or images of the place. This information is transcribed in a digital map and could be used to analyse contextual information on a micro-scale. It is this complex matter of distinguishing the kind of information posted that is valuable (Likhyani *et al.*, 2015; Vesconelos *et al.*, 2015; Zhou *et al.*, 2016).

In the third category sits city simulation games (with SimCity being far the most played), which Adams (1997, p. 385; 391) consider to be "abstract notions of urban development built into the simulation and its response patterns ... a visual vocabulary". Requiring the same skills as other games, it is a digital topographical space where the player combines systems (notably systems of infrastructure services and the built environment) to construct and develop an imaginary urban context. Often, the urban context mimics the reality of the current situation (e.g. car-dependency, which is a mainstream urban perception) – the ability to move far from contemporary city paradigms (e.g. in terms of modes of transport) would be a valuable advancement for city simulation games (Bereitschaft, 2016; Rizzi, 2001; Sukhov, 2016; Kim and Shin, 2016). According to Woessner (2015), city simulation gaming is not a collaborative process, but places the individual gamer as the mayoral figure following his or her own decision-making strategy without political influences and based on personal opinions (which might or might not be informed by any relevant education or skills in city development) or an obscure image which they wish to portray whilst playing (Wohn and Wash, 2013; Atkinson and Willis, 2009). City gaming in general offers the experience of the positive effects of a game and realisation of city complexity with an associated sense accomplishment, and develops an enhanced understanding of city governance and the human implications of city living (Lin and Lin, 2017, Johann, et al., 2001, Wright, 1989). City simulation uses Location-Based Game (LBG) technologies; the same technologies that are

used in commercial sectors to deliver cost and time efficiencies and sometimes to predict potential risk in projects (Bangert, 2013).

## 3.2 Way finding technology for Location-Based Games

LBGs mostly use Geographic Information Systems (GIS), a computer system which collects and displays geographical data and has been used extensively as a tool to ground decisions and display real time datasets from the built environment, for example to assess energy use (Li *et al.*, 2016; Monica *et al.*, 2015; Togawa *et al.*, 2016). The range of possible applications of GIS is enormous, considering the big data available (as well as the ability to combine datasets to reach conclusions), but also in terms of the shared infrastructures offering a novel understanding of how cities operate (Zhao *et al.*, 2016; Yue *et al.*, 2015; Tao *et al.*, 2013; Virrantaus *et al.*, 2002). GIS has offered urban reasoning in strategic decision-making, for example for air pollution strategies, and also for theoretical implementations such as the Flomena *et al.* (2019) Lynch study that describes urban nodes, which all contribute of course to way-finding gaming technology (Kose *et al.*, 2017; Khan *et al.*, 2018; Ahlqvist *et al.*, 2016; 2012).

Batty (1997), almost two decades ago, perceived the future urban context as a single entity that could be turned into a digital form, which opened up a new dimension for seeing a variety of opportunities long before the smart city movement was widely popular. Today, however, this happens sporadically, with private organisations (Arcadis's Digital Twins; Gupte, 2019), public organisations such as city municipalities or some individuals (gamers, perhaps, being some of them) being able to access parts of the digital city (Shennan, 2018). This leaves a huge unexplored opportunity for citizens' participation in the public realm, not only as clients of real-time data geo-location, but as individuals with the opportunity (or rather, their civic right) to experience, understand, and explore the city as a whole, and become part of the city decision-making process (Medaglia, 2007). More specifically, GIS technology has advanced the introduction of sensors into urban systems and made them easier to manage (Hacke *et al.*, 2013), which both makes the systems less susceptible to risk and reduces management costs. In the next section we explore the effects of city gamification, which include envisaging the challenges and also opportunities for creating a truly smart city.

# 4. Societal, Individual and Technological Effects of Location-Based Games

We see PokemonGO as the most representative example of city simulation mixed with realistic contexts: providing physical activity and at the same time presenting an opportunity to socialise with other players, thus helping collaborative engagement and learning of the urban environment (Nigaglioni, 2017). This is in contrast to the other primary generator of physical activity named the 'Pikachu effect' (Kaczmarek *et al.*, 2017). Within the PokemonGO system, new interaction methods among individuals (or teams) can potentially further explore and develop learning environments, especially directed towards the younger generation that have an interest in innovative technologies (Nigaglioni, 2017). Along with the opportunities, way-finding presents challenges, as happens with many innovative technologies. These challenges include numerous game (or device) updates and economic prerequisites for continuing the excitement of the game when the initial enthusiasm fades and where the continuation of the success cannot be sustained (Althoff, 2016). Despite parents' distress at the risk that augmented reality games can have on traditional life patterns, where children would tend to focus on their screen rather on the physical environment, family interactions seem to benefit from the joint PokemonGO hunting activity. Furthermore, it

provides contextual exploration, a practice that could offer multiple benefits in future cities by enriching citizens' perspectives of the city and its values that need protecting (Lindqvist *et al.*, 2018). On a personal level, challenges often relate to age and other demographic limitations, while a narrowly-targeted, siloed approach is often adopted by private organisations and those who manage the system (Yue, 2015).

### 4.1 Societal effects

We first explore the societal effects of gamification, where recent research suggests positive health implications in general (due to the outdoor activity required while playing) in contrast to the indoor playing of video-games (Kaczmarek et al., 2017). However, while gamification of cities motivates contextual interaction and connections with fellow gamers, it cannot be expected to be the sole contributor to behavioural change due to the temporal character of almost every augmented reality (AR) game (Nigg et al., 2017). However, the effects of gamification at a city-scale are not yet fully explored: can an AR game affect the city entity? Currently, some elements can be considered to play a societal role. A 'good city' according to Amin (2006) considers social value to play a central role in local governance. Yet, citizens are usually connected emotionally to cities, not least by what they experience through their senses and this can lead to more sustainable and smart attitudes (Belance, 2016). This is something that smart city products have not addressed, thus many of them (smart cards, for example) are not used by everyone; their management in this case should be context dependent and devised to provide benefits to all individuals (Belance et al., 2014). As an example, Ahas and Mark (2005) refer to the 'Social Positioning Method' (SPM), a place and real-time specific method to be used for social observations that can affect decision making and have an input on urban planning policy. Poplin (2012) describes the 'NextCampus', a game approach to evaluate stakeholders' views on campus relocation (in Hamburg, Germany) according to potential scenarios and cost, building use and general influences. These views will not define directly final decisions, but the game is part of a process of encouraging participation and repeated evaluation to assist in reaching the final decisions on the campus move. A further example of gamifying diagnostics, especially useful in education systems, is a game ('QuesTInSitu: The Game') which presents data to support self-assessment using visualisations to engender student interactions with the trends (Melero et al., 2015).

These digital tools could provide the contemporary way for individual expression of the complex city environment; contradicting the argument of Proshansky (1978:165) that digitalisation has a negative connotation of one's existence in the city. The urban context, he believes, is a complex urban system in which citizens are characterised by a *nexus* of city senses. As we see today, digital technologies have shifted the route of cities onto a temporal axis and the current automation of city systems is a reality. However, digital systems have a huge energy footprint which adds its own problems to the mix of city systems, at least to the climate change challenge (Batty and Xie, 1990). Furthermore, paediatricians have called for guiding principles on safety, particularly in cases where engagement might lead to physical or criminal actions (Serino *et al.*, 2016). Jung *et al.* (2015) suggest that safety procedures should be implemented in the existing infrastructure of location-based services and games.

The gamification of cities might bring current urban challenges to a digital level, such as places as locations of conflict where groups or individuals associate with urban cultural territories, and indeed innovative technologies might impose challenges that are not yet evaluated. However, innovative technologies also offer opportunities for understanding, and forecasting, and provide benefits for the city as a whole and the individual gamer.

### 4.2 Individual (self-identity in gamification)

Location-based technologies offer users an opportunity to represent themselves and their choices online, as individuals – game identity is here related with both the self and the locational context of the game (Papangelis *et al.*, 2017c; Saker, 2016). Here participants communicate and make personal decisions based on the visual representation of space. They can claim special knowledge of space ('mayorship'), and can see where their peers are at a certain moment in time, which brings an element of accomplishment in the game (Saker and Frith, 2018). Schwartz and Halegoua (2015) suggest that such a digital self-paradigm is the reflection of one's aspirational online self, yet one that relates its existence according to an accurate locational representation in terms of context (map, images, or opinions) and provides traceable historical evidence.

However, as Halegoua (2015) notes, the user's intentions might differ from the reality. Often participants (similar to internet and social media experiences) represent themselves in the virtual world of gaming in a different way to their real-life behaviour – their online persona fits their aspirations or the rules of the game they are playing, an attitude that has been expressed also in online purchases and payment (Kim and Kankanhalli, 2012). In the same way that an individual uses virtual purchases which fit their online persona (noting that this remains a private action), individual transactions might be part of the wider digitalisation while still remaining on a personal level – there are parallels here to the change of governance control of the banking system (Yoris and Kauffman, 2007). Similar to the individual use of the internet, online action is personalised rather than a collective shift to digitalisation (Brunsting, 2002). When digital solutions are addressing life choices and actions at a personal level and applied to life scenarios (for example applications in health), they show an increased a level of worth, while building stronger ties between participants and offering the opportunity to measure participant attitudes in emergency situations (Schmitz et al., 2015). An alternative use of virtual applications ('Route Mate') has been developed to support, in real-time, those with disabilities that can obscure travelling choices (Brown et al., 2011). Furthermore, technologies can be used to improve memory using senses, such as sound, which can have further implications for enhancing scenescapes in the city (Vemuri et al., 2004).

However, the way that a gamer senses the context or their online selves does not equate to situations in the real world: often it brings personal online risk and gamers want to protect their identity. In individuals' attempts to achieve privacy, unrealistic characters can be integrated into the system and change parameters or players can appear at non-existing locations, both devices that protect personal data (Liu *et al.*, 2013). This means that altered (unrealistic) elements become part of the game dataset. Moreover a pretend virtual location can easily change, and become more detailed, due to the constant improvement and the feeds from wearable technology (Benford *et al.*, 2004). Additionally, a pretend character or location can develop a storyline which gives the characters the potential to act differently in the given scenario, which means this could be an educational tool as long as the game is morally constructive (Mariani and Spallazzo, 2016).

Research on personal context showed that the public is likely to use way-finding technologies, say in transport scenarios. However it seems that it is mostly the younger generations that are at ease with digital skills (Ho, 2012). These required skills, however, are not yet part of the school or other educational curricula, but it is evident that they can provide encouragement, especially to younger generations (Giedd, 2012). The effects of gamification, Giedd continues, are not properly established yet and so we are not entirely sure how it will unfold in the future. Under other conditions, individual gaming responses can lead to

estimations of behaviours, for example group dynamics and behavioural patterns using rewards and predictive actions (Morschheuser *et al.*, 2017; Avrahami *et al.*, 2005).

## 4.3 Gamification technology for current smart cities

Game exploration for smart cities currently poses a challenge, because we are neither aware of the smart city 'limitations' nor understand properly the complete range of possibilities that technology offers. Boulos *et al.* (2017) suggest that technology can provide a basis for the smart city as a sharing platform that supports local governance (or, indeed, large organisations) as well as citizens (community actions, health, safety, and even data interrogation are some of the possibilities) provided that participants are skill-literate and willing to share personal information (L'Heureux, 2015; 2017). Further to the analytical possibilities for smartness, therefore, technology needs to respond to what Zica *et al.* (2018) term conceptualisation challenges; for example, requirements of the skills set to 'play the game' or their continuous advancement therein.

A more probing question is: how will technology lead future developments? It is here that a truly 'joined up' approach to cities is needed, something that is almost universally lacking in practice and yet something that gamification of cities could pioneer. Cities, if they are to be (truly) smart, need systems of interoperable technologies that are holistically integrated, covering both their infrastructure and governance systems (Delmastro *et al.*, 2016), and yet the ambition must be wider than this since the scope should include the complete range of the system of systems that make up cities. For this, the systems need mapping to understand how they interrelate, i.e. to establish system dependencies and interdependencies (Bouch and Rogers, 2017). It is likely that once such an integrated system of technologies is in place to support the smart city, it can support a citizen-city decision making process, i.e. achieving the goal of enabling citizen participation, and potentially one that is visualised in real-time (Raper *et al.*, 2017).

At a smaller scale, smart city initiatives have developed solutions that (intentionally, or not) have adopted gamification methods; for example, car-sharing schemes which claim reductions in CO<sub>2</sub> levels (Olszewski, *et al.*, 2018). Virtual Reality (VR) is a strong tool since visually influencing people is a powerful way of bringing about changes in the way people think and act. It therefore should be used for issues that have a positive effect in society (Sakamoto, *et al.*, 2014). The myriad abilities of VR, such as spatial representation, align with technical requirements of the stakeholders such as planners, engineers, and local authorities, but they are not harnessed in a manner that is integrated into one system that supports social ties and real-time communication, and is available for use by individuals (Saker and Frith, 2019). Therefore, even though VR has been available since the 1980s, it has been used by professionals to recreate contexts mostly for clients or specific service providers. However the time is right for what is termed 'serious gaming' to help communication with clients and complex decision making (Jamei, 2017; Ramos, 2018).

The need of those creating smart city technologies to understand what is truly smart is of paramount importance, since digital technology supports the idea of becoming 'smart' mostly only in relation to things that can now be quantified and built into a current system, making the processes faster and more efficient than traditional processes (Anthopoulos, 2017). While this presents unforeseen challenges for the system itself, and especially for those that are affected by it (Miorandi, *et al.*, 2012), it is the broader lost opportunity to change the systems and their outcomes, to make them truly smart, that is more important here. GIS offers possibilities to geo-locate and quantify smart city parameters: for example, urban areas that seek to identify illegal activity or opportunities for low carbon transportation (Togawa *et al.*, 2016). If a city were to adopt elements of gamification, citizens as gamers could make

decisions based on their positive experiences, influenced by their personal sensation of the city (Opromolla *et al.*, 2015); thereby improving their wellbeing and bringing with it many associated societal and economic benefits.

However, the question remains of how we should conceive the smart city via a holistic approach to the improvement of its systems and not just as a set of systems adapted to work as now and solely changing to suit the available technology. A more ambitious question would be: how could the gamification of smart cities offer the potential of citizens having the civic right to perceive and influence the *genius loci* of their urban space, for example by being able to influence future interventions?

### 5. Gamification in Smarter Cities

So far, it has been established that the practicalities of geo-location games, as shown from the literature, can have an impact on individual and group attitudes, while with the help of VR and AR technologies, the impact of interventions can address wider challenges in society at a collective and on an individual level. More specifically, entailing game elements would entice participants to the game and support citizens in developing skills to be able to use the smart cities systems (Carrasco-Sáez *et al.*, 2017). Furthermore we have established that implementing smartness via gamification means that city interventions could be devised to be truly smart: satisfying liveability and overlapping (resilient, sustainable) city agendas and not solely bringing about system efficiencies due to the use of technology – the latter would be just 'intelligent' (Cavada, 2019; Rogers, 2018). Alongside a theoretical approach of smartness, a practical approach is required and this provides the justification for the gamification of truly smart cities in the research agenda.

For example, a UK research programme conducted at the University of Birmingham (Mapping and Assessing The Underworld) has developed technologies relating to civil interventions in cities based on ground-penetrating radar (GPR), other geophysical techniques and embedded sensors to reveal the location and condition of the buried utility infrastructure. This is a case of using sensor technologies to enhance the resiliency of city systems, and is research that ultimately underpins future city decisions that impact the living quality above ground (Rogers, 2015). Decisions on how we add to, maintain, replace and upgrade this infrastructure impacts city living, and therefore is there a role for citizen preferences to be unearthed to guide the engineering choices that should be made? This might embolden city decision-makers to adopt trenchless technologies in preference to trenching, for example, with all the social and environmental benefits that this would bring (avoiding traffic delays, improving air quality, avoiding pedestrian and business disruption, and so on (see Hojjati *et al.*, 2017, 2018).

In the engineering context, gamification is seen as a civic model that builds a narrative for city collaboration at a lower level, providing a bottom up perspective rather than top down governance, which has been dictating city systems so far (Rogers *et al.*, 2014). A conceptual framework for a bottom up approach would evaluate the current (and heritage) context, and view city interventions in terms of positive impacts, placing the people – that is the users, or gamers – at the core. This can happen only if they are aware of the situation and its implications, and are able to intervene digitally or in real life, to guide the overall or individual effects of future engineering (Rogers, 2018; Papangelis *et al.*, 2016). We consider this type of action as a 'smart' initiative, a move towards integration of services and future city operations and actions, by enhancing public participation (Cavada *et al.*, 2017). Engineers need to think and act 'truly smart', that is in way that connects the societal benefits and individuals to their professional activity – using ingenuity to solve society's problems. We argue that truly smart city initiatives (whether policies, changes to the urban fabric,

changes to city operating systems, making data available or whatever) are initiatives that deliver impacts to the liveability lenses adopted by the Liveable Cities programme (Leach et al., 2017) and assessed using the Smart Model Assessment Resilient Tool (SMART) for true smartness (Cavada, 2019). These lenses, which are described hereafter, are: societal, environmental, governance, and economic.

#### 6. True Smartness

Cities worldwide have been developing smart agendas as part of their local governance systems, two good UK examples being found in the plans of the Greater London Authority (GLA) and Digital Birmingham's Smart City Roadmap (MoL, 2018; DB, 2014). Although smart agendas are dynamic, influenced by funding, political cycles and different timeframes for different impacts, there is only sporadic public participation – at least as a stated objective. In a truly smart city context, a holistic (properly informed top down and bottom up) approach would provide clarification of the liveability agenda and put people at the centre of the liveability initiatives (Cavada *et al.*, 2017). The goals for liveability are based on community and individual wellbeing and planetary wellbeing, where health, citizens' aspirations and cultural benefits are all prioritised (Liveable Cities, 2013).

Foremost, the effects of smart cities' gamification should be societal, and focus on fairness (equality and equity) when they address issues such environmental sustainability. A good example of smart city initiatives that positively impact on liveability actions are those contained in Birmingham's: 'Smart City Roadmap', strategic agenda aimed at life improvement in the city (DB, 2014a); the 'Eastern Green Corridor', combining skills, health and investment to improve city living locally (BCC, 2018); and the 'Birmingham Development Plan' to support urban and population growth with an eye to the requirements for sustainable living (BCC, 2013). Similarly, in London actions such as: 'London's Smart Park Sustainable Districts', which aims to expand the sustainable capabilities of the Queen Elizabeth Olympic Park (MoL, 2015); 'Sensing London', deploying contemporary sensor technologies to assess air quality (MoL, 2015b); the 'Living Lab' that is advancing technologies to allow environmental evaluation in central London (MoL, 2014a); and 'Hyde Park Sensing' – another set of sensing technologies to assess environmental qualities and improve the quality of living that city parks offer by measuring ground, air, and water conditions (MoL, 2014b).

In environmental terms, systems that should be included in the gamification process need to address the two main aspects of truly smart city resources: resource efficiency and resource security (Rogers et al., 2017); or to put it into a human-focused perspective, to allow for bottom up feeds into this process. The effects of environmental practices need to prioritise local impacts, for which geolocation technologies are able to help. Examples in smart city agendas that have demonstrated this environmental prioritisation include 'Smart London' that aim to embed environmental solutions in the city's smart agenda (MoL, 2018) and 'London's Smart Park Sustainable Districts' which, by offering sustainability opportunities, provides an example in which multiple benefits can be derived (MoL, 2015). Perhaps unsurprisingly, environmental considerations are also found in Birmingham's 'Eastern Growth Corridor' initiative (DB, 2019b). Taking this argument to a higher level, any smart city gamification approach could (and should) embrace environmental considerations and be guided by the global Sustainable Development Goals (SGDs; UN, 2015).

Societal and environmental considerations are felt across individuals and society in multiple ways, and in turn affect the economy in terms of enhanced productivity as well as business development that can support the shift into a truly smart context. For this, the financial goals of smart initiatives should include sustainable financing that supports 'green'

solutions separately from economic growth. A good example here is Copenhagen, which is widely claimed to be a smart city in part because it has developed its city systems around the green economy (CoC, 2018). Some examples from UK smart city agendas include provision of financial support to local businesses from: the 'Greater Birmingham Digital Academy', targeting acceleration for local businesses (DB, 2019c); the 'Small Business Digital Capability Challenge Fund' given to local business for upgrading their digital systems (DB, 2019d); the 'Birmingham Smart City Alliance' group of local businesses that are collaborating on the development of data (IA, 2018); and the 'Energy Smart City' initiative that is developing opportunities in support of London's energy strategy developed by Arup (Buscher *et al.*, 2016) and which is engendering collaborations between local business and universities. Similarly, the 'Singapore Networked Trade Platform' is an online system to support businesses to develop solutions in the energy market (GovTech, 2019).

Governance is one of the pillars, or lenses, of liveability and provides the basis for developing truly smart agendas. In order to implement solutions that are truly smart, cities need to understand, and where necessary change, governance systems to ensure fair governance and support public participation (Simonofski, et al., 2017; Granier and Kudo, 2016). Often, European cities get funding from national or European reserves, which means that smart initiatives are developed according to competition between cities (EC, 2018a,b). This paper proposes that cities develop governance systems that go beyond established political agendas, and their timescales, to enable truly smart practices, and in particular practices that amplify public participation using the gamification approach. One of the latest updates in the smart agenda that supports public participation is the updated version of the 'New London Plan', which addresses urban development challenges and regulating solutions (MoL, 2019). However, governance examples vary: Singapore's Whole of Government 'Ask Jamie' online service sets out to enable citizens' participation in governance (GovTech, 2019b), while 'Singapore Personal Access (SignPass)' gives citizens the ability to access government information online (GovTech, 2019c). Similar practices are needed to support this proactive bottom up approach, as opposed to the far more limited passive engagements offered by collective citizens' data system approaches (Cavada et al., 2019).

# 7. Concluding Discussion

This paper has explored the literature on digitally-enabled smartness and its relationship to way-finding technologies. These ideas are explored as a narrative that extends into social media and the development of the online world (Imbellone *et al.*, 2015; Garrido *et al.*, 2017; Saker, 2018; Papangelis *et al.*, 2017a,b). The paper has shown that innovative technologies used in gaming have much to offer in delivering the (truly) smart agendas in cities. For example, it was shown that the positive impacts of using the digital technologies (or simply playing the games) include informing and changing societal and individual attitudes, and therefore behaviours. Often, the way-finding games have positive impacts in terms of local context exploration, thereby strengthening community ties and providing additional educational benefits, as well as making clear what might beneficially be done to improve the local city landscape and systems (Lindqvist *et al.*, 2018). However, the full extent of the role of way-finding games and their benefits is not clear, even though there is currently some evidence of their efficacy. Game-like technologies in health or mobility, for example, have not come near to exploring their full potential (e.g. in terms of scalability) in creating truly smart cities.

We have also explored some of the actions in smart city agendas that promise more liveable, and therefore ethically-constructive, outcomes; initiatives that are able to provide shared benefits. Given the current uncertainties in the conceptualisation of smart, the

gamification approach should help to bring clarity to the definition – by trialling interventions and analysing the responses – and contribute to a more a robust way of delivering societal and individual benefits that align with the truly smart agenda. Gamification in tandem with a progressively refined conception of true smartness should support benefits that span across the liveability lenses. We propose that way-finding games are valuable tools for citizen participation (and enjoyment) in the development of truly smart cities and guide actions that support the delivery of societal, environmental, financial and governance goals. The practical achievement of greater (true) smartness requires the design of a suite of actions (smart initiatives), which position individual and societal wellbeing (people) and planetary wellbeing at the core. A truly smart agenda does not focus only on available digital technologies, many of which have been designed solely with efficiency of existing systems in mind, but rather offers clarification to the educational and participatory role of the way-finding games.

In practical terms, the results of this study can be implemented both to support smarter cities and to advance the scope and function of digital games. For this, existing digital platforms created to support infrastructure and city systems design and operation could be adapted to provide a fundamental basis for public participation, implementing a gaming approach to trial, and thereby assess the efficacy of, city system interventions and disseminate the results to all city stakeholders (citizens, urban practitioners, businesses and those that govern cities). This, we believe, can offer entrepreneurial opportunities as well as opportunities for the direct engagement of the wider public.

Another possibility for the development of true smartness would be the creation of new methods for gamification in local contexts, noting that gamification can be used both to reveal high-tech and low-tech solutions. Here lie many opportunities for neighbourhoods and communities, but also discrete city events, such as currently exist in the Birmingham with the hosting of the XXII Commonwealth Games in 2022. It is expected that the Games would be highly digitised, aiming to reach the widest spread of populations (e.g. in terms of access and mobility) as they take place, but they also represent Birmingham's chance to create a long-term legacy of recreational participation and promotion of green and healthy living.

This paper therefore adds to the existing literature on city gamification by exploring how gaming can be used to help generate truly smart cities. It has explored the ways in which recreational technologies can bring about practical changes to city systems as well as understanding the beneficial effects of existing gamification methods. Mainly using geolocation digital systems, gamers interact with each other and the context where the game takes place. This gives the opportunity for further exploration and trialling the "what if?" questions posed by potential city interventions, an important step in the development of opportunities for existing systems (as explored briefly in terms of buried infrastructure in relation to the Mapping and Assessing the Underworld programmes) and proposed implementation (Birmingham XXII Commonwealth Games). Overall, we argue that if we want to make way-finding technologies truly smart, it is important to identify and deliver liveability benefits – those that enhance individual and social wellbeing and planetary wellbeing across the environmental, societal, economic and governance lenses. The benefits, however, extend to the individuals that take part in the process: the gamers.

## References

Adams, P. C. (1997). 'Software review: SimCity' Cities. Vol. 14, No. 6, pp. 383-392, Plh S0264-2751 (97)00030-9 Pergamon

- Ahas, R. and Mark, U. (2005). 'Location based services—new challenges for planning and public administration?' Futures 37. 547–561. Elsevier
- Ahlqvist, O., Khodke, N. Ramnath, R. (2018) 'GeoGame analytics A cyber-enabled petri dish for geographic modeling and simulation'. Computers. Environment and Urban Systems 67 1–8
- Ahlqvist, O., Ramanathan, J., Loffing, T., Kocher, A. (2012). 'Geospatial Human-environment Simulation through Integration of Massive Multiplayer Online Games and Geographic Information Systems'. Transactions in GIS, 16(3): 331–350
- Althoff, T., White, R.W., Horvitz, E. (2016). *Influence of Pokemon Go on physical activity:* study and implications. J Med Internet Res 2016;12 (12) e:315. URL: http://www.jmir.org/2016/12/e315/. doi: 10.2196/jmir.6759. PMID: 27923778
- Amin, A (2016) 'The Good city' Routledge, Taylor and Francis. Urban Studies, Vol. 43, Nos 5/6, 1009–1023, May 2006
- Anthopoulos, L. (2017). 'Understanding Smart Cities A tool for Smart Government or an Industrial Trick?' Public Administration and Information Technology, Vol. 22, Springer Science+Business Media New York, ISBN: 978-3-319-57014-3 (Print) 978-3-319-57015-0 (Online) (https://link.springer.com/book/10.1007%2F978-3-319-57015-0)
- Atkinson, R. & Willis, P. (2009). 'Transparent cities: Re -shaping the urban experience through interactive video game simulation'. City. 13:4, 403-417, DOI: 10.1080/13604810903298458
- Au, Y. A., Kauffman. R. J. (2008). 'The economics of mobile payments: Understanding stakeholder issues for an emerging financial technology application' Electronic Commerce Research and Applications 7 141–164. doi:10.1016/j.elerap.2006.12.004
- Avrahami, J. Guth, W., Kareev, Y (2005). 'Games of Competition In A Stochastic Environment'. Theory and Decision 59: 255–294. DOI 10.1007/s11238-005-2883-y Springer
- Bangert, M. (2013). 'Simulation City' Software and Analysis. Quality Magazine 038-QM0813-FT-software.indd
- Batty, M. (1997). 'The computable city', International Planning Studies, 2:2, 155-173, DOI: 10.1080/13563479708721676
- Batty, M., Xie, Y. (1999). 'Self-organised critically and urban development' Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society, Vol. 3, pp. 109-124 OPA Overseas Publishers Association.
- BCC Birmingham City Council (2018). *Eastern Corridor Smart Demonstrator*. Found at: <a href="http://digitalbirmingham.co.uk/project/east-birmingham-smart-city-demontrator/">http://digitalbirmingham.co.uk/project/east-birmingham-smart-city-demontrator/</a> [Accessed 19.01.2019].
- Bedford, S., Seager, W., Flintham, M., Anastasi, R., Rowland, D. Humble, J., Stanton, D., Bowers, J., Tandavanitj, N., Adams, M., Farr, J, R., Oldroyd A., Sutton, J., (2004). 'The Error of Our Ways: The Experience of Self-Reported Position in a Location-Based Game'. Davies, N., Mynatt El., Siio, I., (Eds.) 'UbiComp 2004: Ubiquitous Computing' 6th International Conference Nottingham, UK, Proceedings
- Belanche, D., Casaló, L.V., Flavián C (2014). 'The Role of Place Identity in Smart Card Adoption'. Public Management Review. 16:8, 1205-1228, DOI: 10.1080/14719037.2013.792385
- Belanche, D., Casaló, L.V., Orús, C (2016). 'City attachment and use of urban services: Benefits for smart cities'. Cities 50 75-81 Elsevier
- Bereitschaft, B. (2016) 'Gods of the City? Reflecting on City Building Games as an Early Introduction to Urban Systems'. Journal of Geography, 115:2, 51-60, DOI: 10.1080/00221341.2015.1070366

- Birmingham City Council BCC (2012) *Birmingham Development Plan. Planning for Birmingham's growing population*. Birmingham Plan 2031.
- Bouch, C.J. and Rogers, C.D.F. (2017). A Systems Thinking Approach to the Development of Alternative Infrastructure Business Models. *Proc. of International Symposium for Next Generation Infrastructure (ISNGI 2017)*. London, UK, 11<sup>th</sup> 13<sup>th</sup> September, p. 55-63.
- Bouch, C.J., Rogers, C.D.F., Powell, M.J. and Horsfall, D.A.C. (2018). *Developing alternative business models for smart infrastructure: a UK case study*. Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers Smart Infrastructure and Construction 171(2), 77–87.
- Boulos, M.N.K., Lu, Z. Guerrero, P., Jennett, C., Steed, A. (2017). From urban planning and emergency training to Pokémon Go: applications of virtual reality GIS (VRGIS) and augmented reality GIS (ARGIS) in personal, public and environmental health. International Journal of Health Geographics201716:7
- Boyko, CT, Clune, SJ, Cooper, R, Coulton, CJ, Dunn, NS, Pollastri, S, Leach, JM, Bouch, C, Cavada, M, De Laurentiis, V, Goodfellow-Smith, M, Hale, J, Hunt, D, Lee, S, Locret-Collet, M, Sadler, JP, Ward, J, Rogers, CDF, Popan, C, Psarikidou, A, Urry, JR, Blunden, L, Bourikas, L, Buchs, M, Falkingham, J, Harper, M, James, P, Kamanda, M, Sanches, T, Turner, P, Wu, P, Bahaj, A, Ortegon, A, Barnes, K, Cosgrave, E, Honeybone, P, Joffe, H, Kwami, C, Zeeb, V, Collins, B & Tyler, N (2017). 'How sharing can contribute to more sustainable cities' Sustainability, vol. 9, no. 5, 701. https://doi.org/10.3390/su9050701
- Browna, DJ., McHugh D, Standen P, Evett, L., Shopland, N., Battersby, S. (2010) 'Designing location-based learning experiences for people with intellectual disabilities and dditional sensory impairments'. Computers and Education. 56 11-20
- Brunsting, S. And Postmes, T. (2002). 'Social Movement Participation in the Digital Age Predicting Offline and Online Collective Action'. Small Group Research, Vol. 33 No. 5, October 2002 525-554 Doi: 10.1177/104649602237169 Sage Publications
- Buscher, V., Doody, L. Dimireva, I. (2016). *Smart City Opportunities for London*. Arup. Greater London Authority
- Carrasco-Sáez, J.S., Butter, M.C., B adilla-Quintana, M.G. (2017). 'The New Pyramid of Needs for the Digital Citizen: A Transition towards Smart Human Cities' MDPI Sustainability. 9, 2258; doi:10.3390/su9122258
- Cavada M.; Hunt D.; Rogers, C.D.F. (2016) 'Do Smart Cities realise their potential for lower  $CO_2$  emissions?' Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers Institute of Civil Engineers Engineering Sustainability, Theme issue.
- Cavada M; Hunt D; Rogers C (2017). 'The role of infrastructure in Smart cities' Conference Proceedings, Conference Proceedings (p72-79). International Symposium for Next Generation Infrastructure, ISNGI London.
- Cavada, M., Hunt, D., and Rogers, C.D.F. (2014). 'Smart Cities: Contradicting definitions and unclear measures'. Forum, 1–30 November 2014; Sciforum Electronic Conference Series, Vol. 4, 2015, f004; doi:10.3390/wsf-4-f004.
- Cavada, M., Hunt, D., and Rogers, C.D.F. (2017). 'The Little Book of Smart Cities'. ISBN: 9780704429499
- Cavada, M.; Miles R.T.; Christopher C.D.F.(2019). 'A smart city case study of Singapore Is Singapore truly smart?' In: Smart City Emergence: Cases From Around the World" ElSevier Book Volume.
- Cecchini, A., Rizzi, P. (2001). 'Is urban gaming simulation useful?'. Simulation & Gaming, Vol. 32 No. 4, (December 2001) 507-521
- Clark, A. M., & Clark, M. T. (2016). 'Pokémon Go and Research: Qualitative, Mixed Methods Research, and the Supercomplexity of Interventions'. International Journal of Qualitative Methods. https://doi.org/10.1177/1609406916667765

- CoC, City of Copenhagen (2018). Liveable green city: The most liveable city. Found at: <a href="https://international.kk.dk/artikel/liveable-green-city">https://international.kk.dk/artikel/liveable-green-city</a> [Accessed 20.02.2019]
- DB Digital Birmingham (2014)a. *Smart City Roadmap* Found at: http://digitalbirmingham.co.uk/project/the-roadmap-to-a-smarter-birmingham/ [Accessed 09/01/2019].
- DB Digital Birmingham (2019)b. *Eastern Corridor Smart Demonstrator*. Found at: <a href="http://digitalbirmingham.co.uk/project/east-birmingham-smart-city-demontrator/">http://digitalbirmingham.co.uk/project/east-birmingham-smart-city-demontrator/</a> [Accessed 15.02.2019].
- DB Digital Birmingham (2019)c. *The Greater Birmingham Digital Academy*. Found at: <a href="http://digitalbirmingham.co.uk/project/the-greater-birmingham-digital-academy/">http://digitalbirmingham.co.uk/project/the-greater-birmingham-digital-academy/</a> [Accessed 15.02.2019].
- DB Digital Birmingham (2019)d. *Small Business Digital Capability Challenge Fund*. Found at: <a href="http://digitalbirmingham.co.uk/project/small-business-digital-capability-challenge-fund/">http://digitalbirmingham.co.uk/project/small-business-digital-capability-challenge-fund/</a> [Accessed 15.02.2019].
- Delmastro, F., Arnaboldi, V., & Conti, M. (2016). 'People-centric computing and communications in smart cities'. IEEE Communications Magazine, 54, 122-128.
- European Commission EC (2018)a. *The European Capital of Innovation (iCapital) Award* Research and Innovation Funding Opportunities Prizes. Found at: <a href="https://ec.europa.eu/info/research-and-innovation/funding/funding-opportunities/prizes/icapital\_en">https://ec.europa.eu/info/research-and-innovation/funding/funding-opportunities/prizes/icapital\_en</a> [Accessed on: 20.02.2019]
- European Commission EC (2018)b. *Horizon 2020 Overview of Funding Programmes*Funding, Tenders, Funding Programmes. Found at: <a href="https://ec.europa.eu/info/funding-tenders/funding-opportunities/funding-programmes/overview-funding-programmes en [Accessed on: 20.02.2019]</a>
- Fasce, F. (2014). 'Beyond Serious Games: The Next Generation of Cultural Artifacts'. Games and Learning Alliance GALA pg1-4 Revised Selected Papers from the Third International Conference on Games and Learning Alliance Volume 9221
- Filomenaa, G., Verstegena, J. A., Manley E. 'A computational approach to 'The Image of the City' Cities 89 (2019). 14–25. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2019.01.006
- Garrido E.G., Ferres, L., Caro, D., Bravo, L. (2017) *'The effect of Pokémon Go on the pulse of the city: a natural experiment'*. EPJ Data Science20176:23 https://doi.org/10.1140/epjds/s13688-017-0119-3
- Giedd, N.J. (2012). 'The Digital Revolution and Adolescent Brain Evolution' Journal of Adolescent Health 51 101–105. 1054-139X/\$ Society for Adolescent Health and Medicine. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jadohealth.2012.06.002 Elsevier Inc.
- GovTech (2019)a. 'a *Singapore National Trade Platform*'. Found at: <a href="https://www.tech.gov.sg/products-and-services/networked-trade-platform/">https://www.tech.gov.sg/products-and-services/networked-trade-platform/</a> [Accessed 10.02.2019].
- GovTech (2019) b. 'Ask Jamie'. Found at: <a href="https://www.tech.gov.sg/products-and-services/ask-jamie/">https://www.tech.gov.sg/products-and-services/ask-jamie/</a> [Accessed 10.02.2019].
- GovTech (2019) c. 'SingPass'. Products and services. Found at: <a href="https://www.tech.gov.sg/products-and-services/singpass/">https://www.tech.gov.sg/products-and-services/singpass/</a> [Accessed 10.02.2019].
- Granier, B., Kudo, H. (2016). 'How are citizens involved in smart cities? Analysing citizen participation in Japanese Smart Communities'. Information Polity 21(1):61-76 DOI: 10.3233/IP-150367
- Gupte, M. (2019). 'Digital Twins: Picking Up Where BIM Leaves Off—and Rushing Straight into the Future'. Arcadis. Accessed on 24/01/2019. Found in:

  <a href="https://www.arcadis.com/en/europe/arcadis-blog/manoj-gupte/digital-twinspicking-up-where-bim-leaves-off-and-rushing-straight-into-the-future/">https://www.arcadis.com/en/europe/arcadis-blog/manoj-gupte/digital-twinspicking-up-where-bim-leaves-off-and-rushing-straight-into-the-future/</a>

- Hancke G. Silva, B.C., & Hancke, G.P.. Jr. (2013). 'The Role of Advanced Sensing in Smart Cities'. Sensors, 13, 393-425; doi:10.3390/s130100393
- Ho, Y. S. (2012). 'The effects of location personalization on individuals' intention to use mobile services' Decision support systems. 53 (2012) 802–812. Elsevier
- Hojjati, A., Jefferson, I., Metje, N. and Rogers, C.D.F. (2017). 'Embedding Sustainability Criteria into Pre-Appraisal of Underground Utility for Future Cities'. Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers Urban Design and Planning, 170(6), 258-271.
- Hojjati A., Jefferson, I., Metje, N. and Rogers, C.D.F. (2018). 'Sustainability Assessment for Urban Utility Infrastructure Streetworks'. Engineering Sustainability, Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers, 171(2), 68–80.
- Imbellone, A., Botte1, B. and Medaglia, C.M. (2015). 'Serious Games for Mobile Devices: the Intouch: Mobile Game-Based Learning for Non Routine Skills'. International Journal of Serious Games, 2(1), doi:10.17083/ijsg.v2i1.41
- Innovation Alliance IA (2018) 'Innovation Alliance for the West Midlands'. Found at: <a href="http://innovationwm.co.uk/">http://innovationwm.co.uk/</a> [Accessed 18.02.2019].
- Jamei, E.; Mortimer, M.; Seyedmahmoudian, M.; Horan, B.; Stojcevski, A. (2017). 'Investigating the Role of Virtual Reality in Planning for Sustainable Smart Cities'. Sustainability 9, 2006
- Jung, K. Jo, S., Park, S. (2015). 'A game theoretic approach for collaborative caching techniques in privacy preserving location-based services'. 978-1-4799-7303-3/15/\$31.00 Big Comp IEEE
- Kaczmarek, LD, Misiak, M., Behnke, M. Dziekan, M. Guzik, P. (2017). 'The Pikachu effect: Social and health gaming motivations lead to greater benefits of Pokémon GO use' Computers in Human Behavior. Volume 75, October 2017, Pages 356-363
- Khan, J., Kakosimos, K., Raaschou-Nielsena, O., Brandta, J., Jensena, S. S., Ellermanna, T., Ketzela, M. (2019). 'Development and performance evaluation of new AirGIS A GIS based air pollution and human exposure modelling system'. Atmospheric Environment 198 (2019) 102–121 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2018.10.036
- Kim, H-W., Chan, H.C. and Kankanhalli, A. (2012). 'What Motivates People to Purchase Digital Items on Virtual Community Websites? The Desire for Online Self-Presentation'. Vol. 23, No. 4, December 2012, pp. 1232–1245 ISSN 1047-7047 ISSN 1526-5536 http://dx.doi.org/10.1287/isre.1110.0411 INFORMS
- Kose, E., Erbas, M., Ersen, E. (2017). 'An integrate d approach base d on game theory and geographical information systems to solve decision problems'. Applied Mathematics and Computation 308 105–114 <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2017.03.020">http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2017.03.020</a>
- Leach, J. et al. (2017) b. 'Dataset of the livability performance of the city of Birmingham, UK, as measured by its citizen wellbeing, resource security, resource efficiency and carbon emissions'. Data in Brief, 15, 691-695.
- Leach, J., Braithwaite, P.A., Lee, S.E., Bouch, C.J., Hunt, D.V.L. and Rogers, C.D.F. (2016). 'Measuring Urban Sustainability and Liveability Performance: The City Analysis Methodology (CAM)'. International Journal of Complexity in Applied Science and Technology (IJCAST), 1(1), 86-106.
- Leach, J., Lee S.E., Hunt, D.V.L. and Rogers C.D.F. (2017). 'Improving city-scale measures of livable sustainability: A study of urban measurement and assessment through application to the city of Birmingham, UK'. Cities, 71, 80-87.
- Leach, J., Rogers, C.D.F., Lee, S; Hunt, D., and the Liveable Cities Team (2017) a. 'UK City Life UK City Liveability Indicator Framework Edition 1'. Liveable Cities
- Leighton, E. and Saker, M. (2018). 'The player and Pokémon Go: Examining the effects of locative play on spatiality and sociability'. Mobile Media & Communication 1–16 Sage DOI: 10.1177/2050157918798866

- L'Heureux, A (2015). 'Gamification Framework for Sensor Data Analytics'. Electronic Thesis and Dissertation Repository. 3200. Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering. The University of Western Ontario
- L'Heureux, A., Grolinger, K., Elyamany, H.F., Capretz, M.A.M. (2017). 'Machine Learning With Big Data: Challenges and Approaches'. IEEE ACCESS Volume: 5 p. 7776-7797. DOI: 10.1109/ACCESS.2017.2696365
- Li, S., Dragicevic, S., Castro, F. A., Sester, M., Winter, S., Coltekin, A., Pettit C., Jiang, B., Haworth, J., Stein, A., Cheng, T. (2016). '*Geospatial big data handling theory and methods: A review and research challenges*'. ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing 115 119–133. <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.isprsjprs.2015.10.012">http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.isprsjprs.2015.10.012</a>
- Likhyani, A., Padmanabhan, D., Bedathur, S., Mehta, S. (2015). '*Inferring and Exploiting Categories for Next Location Prediction*' WWW 2015 Companion, May 18–22, 2015, Florence, Italy http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/2740908.2742770.
- Lindqvist, A.K., Castelli, D., Hallberg, J., Rutberg, S. (2018). *The Praise and Price of Pokémon GO: A Qualitative Study of Children's and Parents'*. *Experiences* JMIR Serious Games 2018;6(1):e1 URL: <a href="http://games.jmir.org/2018/1/e1/">http://games.jmir.org/2018/1/e1/</a> doi:10.2196/games.8979 PMID:29298750
- Liu, X., Liu, K., Guo, L., Li, X., Fang, Y. (2013). 'A Game-Theoretic Approach for Achieving k-Anonymity in Location Based Services'. 978-1-4673-5946-7/13 IEEE INFOCOM.
- Liveable Cities (2013) '*The Liveable cities project*'. Found at: http://liveablecities.org.uk/Birmingham [Accessed 10/12/2018].
- Liveable Cities (2013) 'The Liveable cities project'. Found at: http://liveablecities.org.uk/Birmingham [Accessed 10.5.2015].

## Lucas et al.2017

- M R Zica et al (2018). 'Gamification in the context of smart cities'. IOP Conf. Ser.: Mater. Sci. Eng. 294 012045. International Conference on Applied Sciences (ICAS2017) IOP Publishing IOP Conf. Series: Materials Science and Engineering 294 (2018) 012045 doi:10.1088/1757-899X/294/1/012045
- Antonio Opromolla, A., Ingrosso, A., Volpi, V., Medaglia, C.M., Palatucci, M. Pazzola, M. (2014). 'Gamification in a Smart City Context. An Analysis and a Proposal for Its Application in Co-design Processes'. GALA. DOI:10.1007/978-3-319-22960-7\_8
- Mariani, I., Spallazzo, D. (2016). 'Empowering Games. Meaning Making by Designing and Playing Location Based Mobile Games'. Interaction Design and Architecture(s) Journal IxD&A, N.28, 2016, pp. 12-33
- Mayor of London MoL (2019). *Examination in Public for the draft New London Plan* London Assembly. Found at: <a href="https://www.london.gov.uk/what-we-do/planning/london-plan/new-london-plan/examination-public-draft-new-london-plan#Stub-199628">https://www.london.gov.uk/what-we-do/planning/london-plan/new-london-plan/examination-public-draft-new-london-plan#Stub-199628</a> [Accessed at: 15.02.2019].
- Medaglia, R. (2007). 'The challenged identity of a field: The state of the art of eParticipation research'. Information Polity 12 IOS Press Research Note Volume 12 Issue 3, pg 169-181. IOS Press Amsterdam, The Netherlands ISSN: 1570-1255
- Medaglia, R. (2012). 'eParticipation Research: Moving Characterization Forward (2006–2011)'. Government Information Quarterly, 29(3), 346-360. DOI: 10.1016/j.giq.2012.02.010
- Melero, J., Hernández-Leo, D., Sun, J., Santos, P., Blat, J. (2015). 'How was the activity? A visualization support for a case of location-based learning design'. British Journal of Educational Technology Vol 46 No 2 2015 317–329 doi:10.1111/bjet.12238

- Minsung, K. & Jungyeop S. (2016). 'The Pedagogical Benefits of SimCity in Urban Geography Education'. Journal of Geography, 115:2, 39-50, DOI: 10.1080/00221341.2015.1061585 https://doi.org/10.1080/00221341.2015.1061585
- Miorandi, D., Sicari, S., Pellegrini F., De, Chlamtac, I. (2012). 'Survey Paper: Internet of things: Vision, applications and research challenges'. Ad Hoc Networks 10 1497–1516 Elsevier
- MoL Mayor of London (2014)a. *London Living Lab* Found at: [Accessed 10.02.2019]. http://smarterlondon.co.uk/case-studies/london-living-lab/ [Accessed 05.02.2017].
- MoL Mayor of London (2014)b. *Hyde Park Sensing*. Found at: http://smarterlondon.co.uk/case-studies/hyde-park-sensing/ [Accessed 05.02.2017].
- MoL Mayor of London (2015)a. 'Smart Sustainable Districts' Queen Elizabeth Olympic Park. Found at: <a href="http://www.queenelizabetholympicpark.co.uk/our-story/transforming-east-london/sustainability/smart-sustainable-districts">http://www.queenelizabetholympicpark.co.uk/our-story/transforming-east-london/sustainability/smart-sustainable-districts</a>
- MoL Mayor of London (2015)b. *Sensing London* Found at: <a href="http://smarterlondon.co.uk/case-studies/sensing-london/#more-1001">http://smarterlondon.co.uk/case-studies/sensing-london/#more-1001</a> [Accessed 05.02.2017].
- MoL Mayor of London (2018). Smarter London Together: The Mayor's roadmap to transform London into the smartest city in the world. Found at: https://www.london.gov.uk/sites/default/files/smarter\_london\_together\_v1.66\_-published.pdf [Accessed 05.02.2019].
- Morschheuser, B., Riar, M., Hamari J., Maedche, A. (2017). 'How games induce cooperation?' A study on the relationship between game features and we-intentions in an augmented reality game' Computers in Human Behavior 77 169e183. Elsevier
- Nigaglioni, I. (2017). Pokémon Go: 'An Unexpected Inspiration for Next Generation Learning Environments'. Childhood Education, 93:4, 333-336, DOI:
- Nigg, C.R. Mateo, J. D., An, J., (2017). 'Pokemon GO May Increase Physical Activity and Decrease SedentaryBehaviors' AJPH prespectives editorial. January 2017, Vol 107, No. 1 https://doi.org/10.1080/00094056.2017.1343588
- Olszewski, R.; Pałka, P.; Turek, A. (2018). 'Solving "Smart City" Transport Problems by Designing Carpooling Gamification Schemes with Multi-Agent Systems: The Case of the So-Called "Mordor of Warsaw". Sensors, 18, 141
- Opromolla, A., Ingrosso, A., Volpi, V., Medaglia, CM., Palatucci, M., Pazzola, M. (2014). 'Gamification in a Smart City Context. An Analysis and a Proposal for Its Application in Co-design Processes'. Games and Learning Alliance, Gala 2014. Degloria, A., (ed.) Book Series: Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Volume: 9221. Pg. 73-82. Doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-22960-7\_8
- Papangelis, K., Chamberlain, A. and Liang, H.-N. (2016). 'New directions for preserving intangible cultural heritage through the use of mobile technologies'. MobileHCI'16 Proceedings of the 18th International Conference on Human-Computer Interaction with Mobile Devices and Services Adjunct. 964–967.
- Papangelis, K., Metzger, M., Sheng, Y., Liang H.N., Chamberlain, A., Cao, T. (2017). 'Conquering the City: Understanding perceptions of Mobility and Human Territoriality in Location-based Mobile Games'. PACM Interact. Mob. Wearable Ubiquitous Technol. Vol. 1, No. 3, Article 90. http://doi.org/10.1145/3130955
- Papangelis, K., Metzger, M., Sheng, Y., Liang, H.-N., Chamberlain, A. and Cao, T. (2017). 'Conquering the City: Understanding perceptions of Mobility and Human Territoriality in Location-based Mobile Games'. Proceedings of the ACM on Interactive, Mobile, Wearable and Ubiquitous Technologies. 1, 3, 1–24. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1145/3130955.
- Papangelis, K., Metzger, M., Sheng, Y., Liang, H.-N., Chamberlain, A. and Khan, V.-J. (2017). *Get Off My Lawn! Starting to Understand Territoriality in Location Based Mobile*

- *Games*. CHI EA '17 Proceedings of the 2017 CHI Conference Extended Abstracts on Human Factors in Computing Systems (2017). 1955–1961.
- Papangelis, K., Sheng, Y., Liang, H.-N., Chamberlain, A., Khan, V.-J. and Cao, T. (2017). 'Unfolding the interplay of self-identity and expressions of territoriality in location-based social networks'. 177–180.
- Poplin, A. (2012). 'Playful public participation in urban planning: A case study for online serious games'. Computers, Environment and Urban Systems 36 195–206. 0198-9715/\$. doi:10.1016/j.compenvurbsys.2011.10.0032011 Elsevier Ltd.
- Proshansky, H. M. '*The City And Self-Identity*'. Environment and Behavior; Jun 1, 1978; 10, 2; ProQuest pg. 147
- Raper, J., Gartner, G., Karimi, H. & Rizos, C. (2007). 'A critical evaluation of location based services and their potential'. Journal of Location Based Services, 1:1, 5-45, DOI: 10.1080/17489720701584069
- Raper, J., Gartner, G., Karimi, H. & Rizos, C. (2007). 'A critical evaluation of location based services and their potential'. Journal of Location Based Services, 1:1, 5-45, DOI: 10.1080/17489720701584069
- Riedelt, J.c.k.h Pawar, K.S. and Barson, R. (2001). 'Academic and Industrial User Needs for a Concurrent Engineering Computer Simulation Game'. Volume 9, Number 3
- Rogers C. D. F., Shipley J, Blythe P, Braithwaite P A, Brown C, Collins BS, Juned S, MacKenzie AR, Miller R, Pawlyn M, Price J, Swain C, Tight M R, Tindale S, Toyne P and Leach J M (2014). 'Future Urban Living A Policy Commission Investigating the Most Appropriate Means for Accommodating Changing Populations and Their Needs in the Cities of the Future'. University of Birmingham: UK. 60 pp. ISBN 978-0-7044-2843-0
- Rogers, C. D. F. (2015). 'Assessing the Underworld Remote Sensing to Support Smart and Liveable Cities'. IEE 978-1-4799-6495-6/15
- Rogers, C. D. F. (2018). 'Engineering future liveable, resilient, sustainable cities using foresight'. Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers Civil Engineering 171(6): 3–9, https://doi.org/10.1680/jcien.17.00031
- Rogers, C. D. F., Shipley, J., Blythe, P., Braithwaite, P. A., Brown, C., Collins, B.S., Juned, S., MacKenzie, A.R., Miller, R., Pawlyn, M., Price, J., Swain, C., Tight, M. R., Tindale, S., Toyne, P. and Leach, J. (2014). 'Future Urban Living A Policy Commission Investigating the Most Appropriate Means for Accommodating Changing Populations and Their Needs in the Cities of the Future'. University of Birmingham: UK. 60 pp. ISBN 978-0-7044-2843-0. <a href="http://liveablecities.org.uk/outcomes/future-urban-living-policy-commission">http://liveablecities.org.uk/outcomes/future-urban-living-policy-commission</a>
- Rogers, C.D.F. (2018). 'Engineering Future Liveable, Resilient, Sustainable Cities Using Foresight'. Civil Engineering, Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers, 171(6), 3-9.
- Rogers, C.D.F., Hunt, D.V.L., Leach, J.M., Purnell, P. and Roelich, K.E. (2017). 'Resource Scarcity and Resource Security A Suppressed Civil Engineering Challenge'. Briefing Note, Waste & Resources Management, Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers, 166(2), 49-51.
- Rogers, C.D.F., Lombardi D.R., Leach, J.M. and Cooper, R.F.D. (2012). *The Urban Futures Methodology Applied to Urban Regeneration*. Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers, Engineering Sustainability, 165(1), 5-20.
- Sadilek, A., Kautz, H. (2012). 'Location-Based Reasoning about Complex Multi-Agent Behavior'. Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research 43 (2012) 87-133
- Sakamoto, M., Nakajima, T. & Alexandrova, T. Multimed Tools Appl (2015) 'Enhancing values through virtuality for intelligent artifacts that influence human attitude and behavior'. 74: 11537. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11042-014-2250-5

- Saker, M (2017). 'Foursquare and identity: Checking-in and presenting the self through location'. New Media & Society. Vol. 19(6) 934–949 DOI: 10.1177/146144481562593
- Saker, M and Evans, L (2016)a. 'Locative Media and Identity: Accumulative Technologies of the Self'. Sage Open, July-September 2016: 1–10, DOI: 10.1177/2158244016662692
- Saker, M and Evans, L (2016)b. 'Everyday life and locative play: an exploration of Foursquare and playful engagements with space and place' Media, Culture & Society. 2016, Sage. Vol. 38(8) 1169–1183. DOI: 10.1177/0163443716643149
- Saker, M and Frith, J. (2019). 'From hybrid space to dislocated space: Mobile virtual reality and a third stage of mobile media theory'. New Media & Society. Sage. Vol. 21(1) 214–228 DOI: 10.1177/1461444818792407
- Saker, M. and Frith, J (2018). 'Locative Media and Sociability: Using Location-Based Social Networks to Coordinate Everyday Life'. Architecture\_MPS, 14(1): 1. DOI: https://doi.org/10.14324/111.444.amps.2018v14i1.001.
- Saker, M. ORCID: 0000-0002-7414-2840 and Evans, L. (2018). 'The Playeur and Pokémon Go: Examining the effects of locative play on spatiality and sociability'. Mobile Media & Communication
- Schmitz, B., Schuffelen P., Kreijns, K., Klemke, R. Specht, M. (2015). 'Putting yourself in someone else's shoes: The impact of a location based, collaborative role-playing game on behaviour' Computers & Education 85 160e169 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2015.02.012 0360-1315/© 2015 Elsevier Ltd.
- Schwartz, R. and Halegoua, G.R. (2015). 'The spatial self: Location-based identity performance on social media' New Media and Society Vol. 17(10) 1643–1660
- Serino, M., Cordrey, K., McLaughlin, L., Milanaik, R. L. (2016). 'Pokemon Go and augmented virtual reality games: a cautionary commentary for parents and paediatricians'. 1040-8703 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc.
- Shennan, R. (2018). 'Digital twins are growing up'. Opinion. Infrastructure Intelligence. Accessed on 24/01/2019. Found in: <a href="http://www.infrastructure-intelligence.com/article/dec-2018/digital-twins-are-growing">http://www.infrastructure-intelligence.com/article/dec-2018/digital-twins-are-growing</a>
- Simonofski A., Serral, S., De Smedt J., Snoeck, M (2017). *'Citizen Participation in Smart Cities: Evaluation Framework Proposal'*. 19th IEEE Conference on Business Informatics. DOI: 10.1109/CBI.2017.21
- Sukhov, A. (2016). 'Ethical Issues Of Computer Games'. 3<sup>rd</sup> International Multidisciplinary Scientific Conference on Social Sciences & Arts SGEM
- Tao, W. (2013). 'Interdisciplinary urban GIS for smart cities: Advancements and opportunities'. Geo-spatial Information Science, 16:1, 25-34, DOI: 10.1080/10095020.2013.774108
- Togawa, T., Fujita, T., Dong, L, Ohnishi, S., Fujii, M. (2016). 'Integrating GIS databases and ICT applications for the design of energy circulation systems'. Journal of Cleaner Production 114 (2016) 224e232 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.07.020
- Togawa, T., Fujita, T., Dong, L., Ohnishi, S., Fujii, M. (2016). 'Integrating GIS databases and ICT applications for the design of energy circulation systems'. Center for Social and Environmental Systems Research, National Institute for Environmental Studies (NIES), 16-2 Onogawa, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8506, Japan
- UN United Nations (2015). Sustainable Development Goals SDGs. Sustainable Development. Found at: <a href="https://www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/sustainable-development-goals/">https://www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/sustainable-development-goals/</a> [Accessed 15.02.2019].
- Vasconcelos, M., Almeida, J.M. Gonçalves, M.A. (2015). 'Predicting the popularity of micro-reviews: A Foursquare case study'. Information Sciences 325 (2015) 355–374 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2015.07.001

- Vemuri, S., Schmandt, C., Bender, W., Tellex, S., Lassey, B. (2004). 'An Audio-Based Personal Memory Aid' .pp. 400-417, Davies, N., Mynatt El., Siio, I., (Eds.) 'UbiComp 2004: Ubiquitous Computing' 6th International Conference Nottingham, UK, Proceedings
- Virrantaus, K., Markkula, J., Garmash, A., Terziyan, V., Veijalainen, J., Katanosov, A., Tirri, H. (2002). 'Developing GIs-Supported Location-Based Services'. 0-7695-1393-X IEEE
- Wang TAO (2013). 'Interdisciplinary urban GIS for smart cities: advancements and opportunities'. Geo-spatial Information Science, 16:1, 25-34, DOI: 10.1080/10095020.2013.774108
- White, J.D. (1992). 'SIMCITY: The City simulator'. Simulation & Gaming Vol. 23 March 1992, 120-123. Sage
- Woessner, M. (2015). 'Teaching with SimCity: Using Sophisticated Gaming Simulations to Teach Concepts in Introductory American Government'. April 2015 American Political Science Association doi:10.1017/S104909651400211X p. 358-363
- Wohn, D. Y. & Wash, R (2013). 'A virtual 'Room' with a cue: Detecting personality through spatial customization in a city simulation game'. Computers in Human Behaviour 29, 155-159
- Xiaolu Zhou & Liang Zhang (2016). 'Crowdsourcing functions of the living'. Cartography and Geographic Information Science 43(5):1-12
- Yue, P., Baumann, P., Bugbee, K., Jiang1, L. (2015). '*Towards intelligent GIServices*'. Earth Sci Inform 8:463–481 DOI 10.1007/s12145-015-0229-z
- Yue, P., Baumann, P., Bugbee, K.M., & Jiang, L. (2015). 'Towards intelligent GIServices'. Earth Science Informatics, 8, 463-481. DOI:10.1007/s12145-015-0229-z
- Yue, P., Baumann, P., Bugbee, K.M., & Jiang, L. (2015). 'Towards intelligent GIServices'. Earth Science Informatics, 8, 463-481.
- Yu-Ling Lin & Hong-Wen Lin (2017). 'Learning results and terminal values from the players of SimCity and The Sims'. Behaviour & Information Technology, 36:2, 209-222, DOI: 10.1080/0144929X.2016.1208772
- Zhao, L., Chen, L., Ranjan, R., Choo, K.K.R., He, J. (2016). 'Geographical information system parallelization for spatial big data processing: a review'. Cluster Comput 19:139–152 DOI 10.1007/s10586-015-0512-2
- Zhou, X. and Zhang, L. (2015). 'Crowdsourcing functions of the living city from Twitter and Foursquare data'. Cartography and Geographic Information Science. 43:5, 393-404, DOI: 10.1080/15230406.2015.1128852