

Crossing boundaries in [legal] education: interdisciplinary scholarship in a world of wicked problems and rapid change.

Catherine Shephard

16 June 2022



Motivation

- New EPC criteria encourage interdisciplinary scholarship (IS)
- Post Covid world:
- Radically changing
- Often chaotic
 - HE requires novel approaches to keep pace

Research question

To what extent might sharing a narrative, about interdisciplinary teaching, encourage the development of a supportive, inclusive interdisciplinary community of practice?



Aims

- Evaluate the potential of IS to meet new demands and opportunities in HE
- Reveal and articulate shared challenges facing a community using IS to deliver innovation in teaching and learning





Methodology

Conceptual paper

- Macro level: theoretical analysis
- Micro level: narrative case-study

1. Is there a need for radical change in HE?

Student engagement in the pandemic





2. Narrative case study

- Corporate (business) lawyer
- Practitioner in Academia
- Impact (practice and pedagogy):
 - Professional Legal Skills
 - (NB Shulman (2005) and Schon (2017) signature pedagogies)
 - Professional Legal Training and organisational change
- Interest in neuro-linguistics
- Mediator
- Social Mobility Business Partnership charity

3. Can IS deliver rapid, radical change in curriculum and attainment?

a) SoTL (Shulman (2005), Boyer (1990) Trigwell et al (2000), Healey (2000)



During teaching

Replace student fear with curiosity

'with relative ease'

'more easily than you can possibly imagine right now'

'feedback not failure'



b) Charting a critical course in scholarship and creating IS teaching in HE (Kreber (2005), Lattuca (2001), Meyer and Land (2003))



Resitter with a block

Identifying strategies for success

Transferring them to where not using yet



c) Crossing borders and the politics of HE (Giroux (2007), Huber and Morreale (2002), Tremonte (2011), Gudmundsdottir and Shulman (1987), Gibbs (2007)



Finding a home for an IS publication

Genres of the discipline

Referencing styles (Endnote)

Courage

Curious not fearful



Accountant WLTM Corporate Governance expert

Workload model

Timetabling

EPC pathway for promotion





d) The radical change agenda and implementing change in HE (Elton, 1999)

Aims

- Evaluate the potential of IS to meet new demands and opportunities in HE
- Reveal and articulate shared challenges facing a community using IS to deliver innovation in teaching and learning
 - Fear
 - Shame
 - Confidence
 - Resilience
 - Normative language
 - Seeing what you have not done rather than what you have
 - Finding a home for publication
 - Workload model and timetabling



Research question

To what extent might sharing a narrative, about interdisciplinary teaching, encourage the development of a supportive, inclusive interdisciplinary community of practice?





- ALMEIDA, P. A. Scholarship of teaching and learning: An overview. Journal of the World Universities Forum, 2010. 143-154.
- ASHWIN, P. 2020. *Transforming university education: A manifesto*, Bloomsbury Publishing.
- BOYER, E. L. 1990. Scholarship Reconsidered: Priorities of the Professoriate, The Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching.
- ELTON, L. 1999. New ways of learning in higher education: Managing the change. *Tertiary Education & Management*, 5, 207-225.
- FANGHANEL, J., PRITCHARD, J., POTTER, J. & WISKER, G. 2016. Defining and supporting the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning (SoTL): a sectorwide study.(Literature review).
- GIBBS, G. 1995. Promoting excellent teaching is harder than you'd think.
 Change: The Magazine of Higher Learning, 27, 16-21.
- GIROUX, H. A. 2007. Border crossings: Cultural workers and the politics of education, Routledge.
- GIROUX, H. A. 2021. *Race, politics, and pandemic pedagogy: Education in a time of crisis*, Bloomsbury Publishing.



- GUDMUNDSDOTTIR, S. & SHULMAN, L. 1987. Pedagogical content knowledge in social studies. Scandinavian Journal of Education Research, 31, 59-70.
- HEALEY, M. 2000. Developing the scholarship of teaching in higher education:
 A discipline-based approach. *Higher Education Research & Development*, 19, 169-189.
- HUBER, M. T. & MORREALE, S. P. 2002. Disciplinary styles in the scholarship of teaching and learning: Exploring common ground, ERIC.
- KREBER, C. 2002. Teaching excellence, teaching expertise, and the scholarship of teaching. *Innovative higher education*, 27, 5-23.
- KREBER*, C. 2005. Charting a critical course on the scholarship of university teaching movement. *Studies in Higher Education*, 30, 389-405.
- LATTUCA, L. R. 2001. Creating interdisciplinarity: Interdisciplinary research and teaching among college and university faculty, Vanderbilt university press.



- MEYER, J. & LAND, R. 2003. Threshold concepts and troublesome knowledge: Linkages to ways of thinking and practising within the disciplines, Citeseer.
- SHULMAN, L. S. 1993. Forum: Teaching as Community Property. Change: The Magazine of Higher Learning, 25, 6-7.
- SHULMAN, L. S. 2005. Signature pedagogies in the professions. *Daedalus*, 134, 52-59.
- TREMONTE, C. M. 2011. Window Shopping: Fashioning a Scholarship of Interdisciplinary Teaching and Learning. International Journal for the Scholarship of Teaching & Learning, 5.
- TRIGWELL, K., MARTIN, E., BENJAMIN, J. & PROSSER, M. 2000.
 Scholarship of teaching: A model. Higher education research & development, 19, 155-168.
- ZEPKE, N. 2013. Threshold concepts and student engagement: Revisiting pedagogical content knowledge. Active Learning in Higher Education, 14, 97-107.

