


Please cite the Published Version

Barrera, Joel, J. Figueiredo, António, Duarte, João, Field, Adam  and Sarmento, Hugo (2023) Predictors of linear sprint performance in professional football players. *Biology of Sport*, 40 (2). pp. 359-364. ISSN 0860-021X

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5114/biolsport.2023.114289>

Publisher: Institute of Sport

Version: Published Version

Downloaded from: <https://e-space.mmu.ac.uk/630645/>

Usage rights:  [Creative Commons: Attribution-Share Alike 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/)

Additional Information: This is an Open Access article which appeared in *Biology of Sport*, published by Institute of Sport

Enquiries:

If you have questions about this document, contact openresearch@mmu.ac.uk. Please include the URL of the record in e-space. If you believe that your, or a third party's rights have been compromised through this document please see our Take Down policy (available from <https://www.mmu.ac.uk/library/using-the-library/policies-and-guidelines>)

Predictors of linear sprint performance in professional football players

AUTHORS: Joel Barrera¹, António J. Figueiredo², João Duarte², Adam Field³, Hugo Sarmento²

¹ University of Coimbra. Faculty of Sport Sciences and Physical Education, Coimbra, Portugal. Santa Clara, 3040-256 Coimbra, Portugal

² University of Coimbra, Research Unit for Sport and Physical Activity. Faculty of Sport Sciences and Physical Education, Coimbra, Portugal. Santa Clara, 3040-256 Coimbra, Portugal

³ University of Huddersfield. School of Human and Health Sciences, Huddersfield, HD1 3DH, United Kingdom

ABSTRACT: The purpose of this study was to analyze the relationship between sprint performance (time), and strength and power capabilities in football players. A total of 33 professional Portuguese football players performed isokinetic strength assessments, countermovement jumps (CMJ), squat jumps (SJ), and 10, 20 and 30 m sprints. Pearson's correlation (*r*) was used to determine the relationships between variables. Concentric knee extensor torque at $180^\circ \cdot s^{-1}$ was largely-to-very largely correlated with 10 m ($r = -0.726$), 20 m (-0.657) and 30 m sprints ($r = -0.823$). Moderate inverse correlation were observed between CMJ ($r = -0.425$ and $r = -0.405$) and SJ height ($r = -0.417$ and $r = -0.430$), and 20 m and 30 m sprint performance, respectively. Multiple linear regression combining KEcon $180^\circ \cdot s^{-1}$ and KFcon $180^\circ \cdot s^{-1}$ demonstrated that the model was significant for predicting 10 m sprint time ($F(2, 8) = 5.886$; $R^2 = 0.595$). The model combining SJ, CMJ and KEcon $180^\circ \cdot s^{-1}$ was also significant for predicting 20 and 30 m sprint times ($F(3, 7) = 2.475$; $R^2 = 0.515$ and $F(3, 7) = 5.282$; $R^2 = 0.562$; respectively). In conclusion, peak torque at higher velocities and vertical jump performance correlates significantly with linear sprint performance (time). For practitioners seeking to improve linear sprint performance in football players, evaluation of high speed strength and vertical jump indices should be undertaken.

CITATION: Barrera J, Figueiredo AJ, Duarte J et al. Predictors of linear sprint performance in professional football players. *Biol Sport*. 2023;40(2):359–364.

Received: 2021-08-23; Reviewed: 2021-11-21; Re-submitted: 2022-01-22; Accepted: 2022-02-06; Published: 2022-06-01

Corresponding author:

Joel Barrera

University of Coimbra
Faculty of Sport Sciences
and Physical Education
Coimbra, Portugal
Santa Clara, 3040-256 Coimbra
Portugal
Tel.: +351 913040151
E-mail: jibarrera@outlook.es

ORCID:

Joel Barrera
0000-0002-9559-5048

António J. Figueiredo
0000-0001-6956-0514

João Duarte
0000-0002-7536-9780

Adam Field
0000-0002-2600-6182

Hugo Sarmento
0000-0001-8681-0642

Key words:

Strength
Speed
Evaluation
Football
Professional players

INTRODUCTION

Football matches involve activity of varying speeds, with high intensity efforts performed approximately every 60 seconds [1] and accounting for ~10% of total match running. Matches elicit a greater demand on the aerobic system, although, the decisive 'game defining' moments are largely covered through sprint actions that require anaerobic metabolism energy delivery [1]. Previous research suggests that 83% of goals scored in the German Bundesliga were preceded by a powerful action from either the assisting or scoring player [2]. The same study also found that players completed a straight-line sprint before 45% of goals scored in the highest competitive level of German football [2]. Furthermore, coaches and sports scientists seek practical and time-efficient methods to improve top running sprint performance and acceleration capabilities in team sport athletes [3]. Accordingly, determining the important physical characteristics of professional football players will enhance understanding of the underlying determinants that influence their sprint performance.

Actions such as changes of direction, jumping, tackling, accelerating and decelerating [4], require high-force muscular contractions [1]. These activities change every 5 seconds and are carried

out around 1300 times per match with ~200 of these actions performed at high or maximum intensity [5]. Therefore, the sprint performance of the movement during competitive match-play is possibly associated with explosive strength and power production, but requires further investigation. To this end, identifying the key power and strength qualities that impact linear sprint performance could help inform individualized training programs that focus on improving the key elements that influence this variable.

Explosive force plays a fundamental role in football [6], which is influenced by the interaction of different morphological and neural factors. These include muscle cross-sectional area, muscle architecture, motor unit recruitment, fibre type distribution, and neuromuscular inhibition [7]. Measurable strength and power variables, such as knee flexor and extensor muscle strength and jumping performance may predict linear sprint performance and allow differentiation between professional and amateur players [8]. Laturco et al. [9] demonstrated that vertical jump ability is significantly associated with linear and curvilinear sprint performance. A separate investigation also found that professional football players possessing higher lower

extremity strength (i.e. maximum isometric knee extensor force and 1RM half squat) demonstrated superior sprint performance at 5 m and 30 m, and countermovement jump height (CMJ) [10]. Similarly, a positive relationship was found between knee extensor force ($240^{\circ} \cdot s^{-1}$) and 30 m sprint performance in elite football players [6]. Contemporary research suggests that professional players need to significantly increase their strength to obtain slight improvements in certain running-based actions (sprint and change of direction speed) [11], controlling for strength deficits which appear to impair sprinting performance [12]. This may be due to fixture congested contemporary schedules, making it difficult to periodize strength training programmes and apply appropriate loads [13]. Therefore, due to equivocal data on predictors of linear sprint performance, more research is required.

Since the interaction between strength/power and speed is highly complex, further investigation seems warranted encompassing multiple predictor variables (e.g., jump measures and isokinetic measures) in the same multiple hierarchical linear regression models. Therefore, the objective of this study was to evaluate strength and power variables and their relationship with sprint performance (time) in professional players competing in the second highest professional division in Portugal to determine predictors of linear sprint performance.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Participants

A total of 33 professional male football players from Portugal's second highest football division (LigaPro) participated in the study (age: 26.4 ± 4.8 years, stature: 180.9 ± 6.4 cm and body mass: 77.4 ± 8.4 kg). All participants were informed of the procedures and purpose of the study and their written informed consent was obtained. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the University of Coimbra (CE / FCDEF-UC / 00692021) and was carried out in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (2013).

Body composition

The bioelectrical impedance measurements were conducted using a valid, segmental, multi-frequency bioelectrical impedance analyzer (InBody770; Biospace, Seoul, Korea). Bioelectrical impedance has been shown to be reliable in both men and women. The intraclass correlation coefficients for body fat percentage (BF) ≥ 0.98 , fat mass (FM) ≥ 0.98 and fat free mass (FFM) ≥ 0.99 and with a low standard error of measurement for BF (0.77%–0.99%), FM (0.54–0.87 kg) and FFM (0.58–0.84 kg) and a minimal difference for BF% (2.12%–2.73%), FM (1.49–2.39 kg) and FFM (1.60–2.32 kg). Participants' age, gender and height were initially input by the lead researcher. While participants adopted a standing position with minimal clothing and barefoot, body mass assessments were performed on the scale. The participant then grasped the handles with each hand for the impedance measurements. Total body water (L), fat mass (% and kg), muscle mass (kg), and dominant lower-limb fat (kg) were measured.

Isokinetic strength

Concentric and eccentric peak torque and mean torque (average of 5 repetitions) of the knee extensors and knee flexors were measured using an isokinetic dynamometer (Biodex Medical Systems Inc., Shirley, NY, USA). The isokinetic dynamometer is widely considered the gold standard of muscle strength testing [14] and possesses high validity and reliability [15]. Dynamometer calibration was performed as per manufacturer guidelines. A 5-min warm-up was performed, which involved participants pedaling between 50 and 60 rpm using a cycle ergometer (814E Monark, Varberg, Sweden) with a resistance corresponding to 2% body mass [16]. Participants were seated and straps were applied across the trunk, pelvis, and thigh to reduce excessive movement artefact. The crank axis of rotation was aligned to the lateral femoral epicondyle of the knee and the cuff on the padded lever arm was placed 5-cm proximal to the malleoli. Before isokinetic assessments, the tested limb remained passive and was weighed at 30° to correct for the effects of gravity [17]. The repetitions were performed through a range of 5° – 90° (5° close to full extension). A familiarization session was initially undertaken to minimize learning effects (three repetitions). Five repetitions were performed for each movement at angular velocities of 60 and $180^{\circ} \cdot s^{-1}$. Outputs were analyzed with the *Acknowledge* software version 4.1 (Biopac Systems, Inc., Goleta, CA, USA). Each curve was inspected to consider true isokinetic torques within 95% confidence limits [18].

Countermovement Jump (CMJ) and Squat Jump (SJ)

Countermovement jump (CMJ) and squat jump (SJ) height were measured using a portable optical measurement system (Optojump, Microgate, Bolzano, Italy). The intraclass correlation coefficients (ICC) for this measurement protocol has demonstrated good reliability (ICC = 0.997–0.998). Test-retest reliability of the Optojump system has demonstrated excellent ICCs (0.982 to 0.989), low coefficients of variation (2.7% and 3.98%) and low random error (0.8 and 2.81 cm) [19, 20]. For CMJ, players were instructed to stand with their feet shoulder width apart and maintain hand-to-hip contract to negate the influence of arm swing on jump height. When prompted, participants descended rapidly into a $\sim 60^{\circ}$ squat position and immediately jumped vertically with maximum effort landing in the same position as takeoff. The SJ consisted of jumping from a fixed semi-squat position with the hands also placed on the hips. Whilst adopting a standing position, the participants were instructed to flex at the knees to $\sim 90^{\circ}$ and jump maximally. The participants had to avoid as much as possible any countermovement, and they were instructed to stop for 2 seconds at each phase. Three maximal efforts were performed for both jumps with 30 seconds passive recovery between jumps; the best score was retained for analyses.

Linear sprint performance (time) 10, 20 and 30 m shuttle

Linear sprint performance (time) was recorded for 10, 20, and 30 m sprint tests. Sprint times were recorded with three pairs of photocells (SpeedTrap II, Brower Timing Systems, Utah, USA). The

TABLE 1. Descriptive statistics and normality test considering age, experience, anthropometry, and body composition for the total sample (n = 33).

variables	units	mean			SD	Shapiro-Wilk	
		value	SEM	95% CI		value	p
Chronological age	years	26.4	0.8	(24.7 to 28.1)	4.8	0.957	0.224
Training experience	years	17.7	1.0	(15.7 to 19.7)	5.5	0.938	0.059
Stature	cm	180.9	1.1	(178.6 to 183.2)	1.1	0.972	0.565
Body mass	kg	77.4	1.5	(74.4 to 80.4)	1.5	0.954	0.189
Total body water	L	48.4	0.8	(46.6 to 50.1)	4.9	0.985	0.925
Fat mass	%	14.2	0.5	(13.2 to 15.3)	3.0	0.978	0.751
Fat mass	kg	11.1	0.5	(10.0 to 12.2)	3.0	0.962	0.318
Muscle mass	kg	37.8	0.7	(36.4 to 39.2)	3.9	0.980	0.803
Inbody dominant lower-limb fat	kg	1.66	0.1	(1.52 to 1.81)	0.4	0.945	0.098

Abbreviations: SEM (standard error of the mean); CI (confidence interval); SD (standard deviation).

TABLE 2. Descriptive statistics and normality test considering acceleration, speed/velocity, strength and jumping performance for the total sample (n = 33).

variables	units	mean			SD	Shapiro-Wilk	
		value	SEM	95% CI		value	p
Sprint 10 m	s	1.57	0.02	(1.52 to 1.61)	0.13	0.952	0.163
Sprint 20 m	s	2.99	0.03	(2.92 to 3.05)	0.03	0.937	0.063
Sprint 30 m	s	4.55	0.04	(4.46 to 4.63)	0.24	0.973	0.589
Squat jump (SJ)	cm	36.0	0.8	(34.4 to 37.6)	4.4	0.975	0.660
Countermovement jump (CMJ)	cm	37.2	1.0	(35.2 to 39.1)	5.5	0.969	0.483
KE isokinetic eccentric muscular action 60°/s	Nm	254.1	7.4	(238.9 to 269.2)	42.7	0.963	0.321
KF isokinetic concentric muscular action 60°/s	Nm	146.0	4.0	(137.8 to 154.2)	23.1	0.964	0.352
KE isokinetic eccentric muscular action 60°/s	Nm	317.0	13.4	(289.8 to 344.2)	76.7	0.983	0.887
KF isokinetic concentric muscular action 60°/s	Nm	197.4	6.5	(184.1 to 210.8)	37.6	0.957	0.224
KE isokinetic eccentric muscular action 180°/s	Nm	170.5	6.6	(155.6 to 185.3)	22.1	0.967	0.853
KF isokinetic concentric muscular action 180°/s	Nm	110.1	4.0	(101.1 to 119.1)	13.4	0.920	0.315
KE isokinetic eccentric muscular action 180°/s	Nm	285.9	15.2	(257.5 to 312.9)	45.6	0.921	0.404
KF isokinetic concentric muscular action 180°/s	Nm	170.5	7.6	(154.9 to 185.0)	22.7	0.972	0.915

Abbreviations: SEM (standard error of the mean); CI (confidence interval); SD (standard deviation).

TABLE 3. Bivariate correlations between strength and sprint performance.

Variable	units	Linear sprinting (s)					
		10 m		20 m		30 m	
		p	r	p	r	p	r
Squat jump (SJ) height	cm	0.198	-0.230	0.018	-0.417*	0.014	-0.430*
Countermovement jump (CMJ) height	cm	0.347	-0.169	0.015	-0.425*	0.022	-0.405*
KE isokinetic concentric muscular action 60°/s	Nm	0.895	0.024	0.089	-0.306	0.273	-0.200
KF isokinetic concentric muscular action 60°/s	Nm	0.230	0.215	0.203	-0.231	0.836	-0.038
KE isokinetic eccentric muscular action 60°/s	Nm	0.927	-0.017	0.388	-0.158	0.698	-0.071
KF isokinetic eccentric muscular action 60°/s	Nm	0.735	-0.061	0.472	-0.132	0.682	-0.075
KE isokinetic concentric muscular action 180°/s	Nm	0.011	-0.726*	0.028	-0.657*	0.002	-0.823**
KF isokinetic concentric muscular action 180°/s	Nm	0.014	-0.712*	0.387	-0.290	0.080	-0.549
KE isokinetic eccentric muscular action 180°/s	Nm	0.551	-0.230	0.186	-0.485	0.188	-0.483
KF isokinetic eccentric muscular action 180°/s	Nm	0.109	0.570	0.705	0.148	0.653	-0.175

Abbreviations: BD (bilateral difference); PT (peak torque); T (torque); KE (knee extension); KF (knee flexion). *p < 0.05; **p < 0.001.

TABLE 4. Unstandardized and standardized Beta values for each regression models.

Assessment	Variable	B	SE	β
10 m sprint	Constant	2.476	0.261	
	KE isokinetic concentric muscular action 180°/s	-0.003	0.002	-0.440
	KF isokinetic concentric muscular action 180°/s	-0.004	0.003	-0.388
20 m sprint	Constant	4.106	0.521	
	Squat jump (SJ) height	0.019	0.033	0.411
	Countermovement jump (CMJ) height	-0.030	0.032	-0.710
	KE isokinetic concentric muscular action 180°/s	-0.004	0.003	-0.428
30 m sprint	Constant	6.633	0.566	
	Squat jump (SJ) height	-0.021	0.036	-0.330
	Countermovement jump (CMJ) height	0.018	0.035	0.312
	KE isokinetic concentric muscular action 180°/s	-0.011	0.003	-0.858*

* Standardized beta values are significant at the $P < 0.05$ level.

photocells were placed at the start and finish (10, 20 and 30 m mark). The tests were undertaken on natural turf and conditions were standardised between repeated measures. Each sprint was performed from a standing start position and was separated by 30 seconds of active recovery. The best sprints times taken at each distance were used for analyses.

Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics (mean, standard error of the mean [SEM], 95% confidence interval [CI], and standard deviation [SD]) were carried out, and normality checked with the Shapiro-Wilk test. Two variables displayed non-normal distributions, and subsequently, these data were logarithmically transformed to counter the effects of non-normality. Therefore, for the first stage of data analysis, a two-tailed Pearson's correlation (r) was used, with 1000 bootstrap samples. For correlations, the following criteria, whether positive or negative, were used to interpret the magnitude of r : < 0.1 , trivial; $0.1-0.3$, small; $0.3-0.5$, moderate; $0.5-0.7$, large; $0.7-0.9$, and very large; $0.9-1.0$, almost perfect [21]. All predictors that indicated a moderate (i.e., $r \geq 0.3$) and significant correlation were kept for further analyses, while all other variables were omitted. Multiple hierarchical linear regressions were used as appropriate with the remaining predictor variables and their dependant variable correlates. Significance and relative contribution of predictors were determined using a combination of standardized Beta values, t -statistics (i.e., the predictor makes a significant contribution to the model), and 95% confidence intervals. Statements were made regarding the magnitude of change in the dependant variables resulting from a 1 SD change (increase or decrease) in the predictor variable. All analyses were performed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences version 26.0 (SPSS Inc., IBM Company, Armonk, NY, USA).

RESULTS

Table 1 presents the participants' morphological characteristics. Descriptive statistics for sprints, jump variations and isokinetic strength are presented in Table 2.

Moderate and significant correlations are outlined in Table 3 for four predictor variables (SJ, CMJ height, KEcon and KFcon). The multiple regression model combining KE and KF at 180°/s was found to be significant for predicting 10 m ($F(2, 8) = 5.886$; $p < 0.05$; $R^2 = 0.595$), 20 m ($F(3, 7) = 2.475$; $p = 0.146$; $R^2 = 0.515$) and 30 m sprint times ($F(3, 7) = 5.282$; $p < 0.05$; $R^2 = 0.562$) (Table 4).

DISCUSSION

Based on the notion that linear sprint time is key for success in professional football [2], the main objective of this study was to determine the relationship between linear sprint time and other physical performance predictors in elite football players. Large and very large relationships were found between 10 m sprint time and KEcon and KFcon at $180^\circ \cdot s^{-1}$, 20 m sprint time and SJ, CMJ and KEcon at $180^\circ \cdot s^{-1}$, and 30 m sprint time and SJ, CMJ and KEcon at $180^\circ \cdot s^{-1}$. Multiple regression analysis evaluates the strength of the relationship between the dependent variable and several predictor variables to facilitate robust conclusions. Such data can be utilised by strength and physical conditioning practitioners to adapt training protocols and optimize linear sprint performance in professional football players.

The current findings that knee extensor strength at higher velocities was correlated with sprint speed are consistent with data derived from similar research [6]. This investigation demonstrated that there was a significant relationship between knee extensor peak torque at $240^\circ \cdot s^{-1}$ and 30 m sprint performance. Knee

extensor peak torque is thought to be a key determinant for performing rapid knee extension during the swing phase while sprinting [22]. Sprint performance has also shown to better correlated with knee extensor peak torque at $240^{\circ} \cdot s^{-1}$ than the knee flexors [23]. Furthermore, a separate investigation reported stronger correlations with isokinetic knee extension strength with faster velocities (150 and $240^{\circ} \cdot s^{-1}$) versus slower speeds of $60^{\circ} \cdot s^{-1}$ [24]. Therefore, it seems that the ability to produce greater knee extensor peak torque at high velocities is a positive factor for sprint performance. As such, knee extensor strength may need to be developed at higher speeds in order for sprint ability to be improved. Future research should assess whether training interventions targeting high velocity knee extensor peak torque translates to improvements in sprint performance.

The SJ and CMJ jump tests showed a moderate inverse correlation with 20 and 30 m sprint performance, but no associations with 10 m sprint performance were identified. These findings are similar to those published in previous studies, suggesting that relative peak power output during the bilateral CMJ is negatively associated with linear sprinting performance over 5, 10, and 20 m in English Premier League football players [25]. The findings are also somewhat analogous with another study evaluating 25 professional football players, with strong and negative correlations between CMJ and 30 m sprint performance, but no relationships with 10 m sprint performance [10]. Therefore, vertical jump height appears to be negatively correlated with sprint performance only at higher distances (i.e., 20 and 30 m). This notion may be related to how the transition from lower to higher speeds results in shorter stance phase duration, with a concomitant increase in maximum vertical force production [26, 27]. This in turn leads to an increase in flight time and stride length [28], but these factors may not have chance to influence sprint time over such short distances [26], perhaps explaining the lack of correlation for 10 m sprint performance. The ability to produce maximum force rapidly is a requirement for explosive jump performance – which is also a prerequisite for rapidly accelerating over short distances and reaching high speeds [10]. These actions are integral moments in match-play and occur during the decisive phases of play [29]. Therefore, this information is important for practitioners with a training focus geared towards developing linear sprint and acceleration capacity.

The current study provides novel insights into the physical determinants of linear sprint performance in football although, the findings must be considered within the constraints of professional sports. Firstly, there are an absence of measures assessing horizontal jump performance, despite previous research demonstrating that

horizontal ground reaction forces are more predominantly involved in top-speed acceleration [30]. Therefore, this must be considered a limitation since horizontal jumping and the associated force vectors may be better predictors of linear sprint performance than solely vertical jump performance and isokinetic strength. Additionally, football players rarely accelerate from a stationary position to maximum speed during matches [31] but are more likely to increase speeds from low-velocity movements. Therefore, the ecological validity of the sprinting mechanics with reference to their translational application in football may be questionable. Further studies should assess the predictive ability of horizontal ground forces across different positional roles in football.

CONCLUSIONS

The results in the present study suggest that strength and power variables at faster speeds are associated with linear sprint performance. Professional football players with greater torque at $180^{\circ} \cdot s^{-1}$ had faster sprint times at 10, 20 and 30 m. It is recommended that staff responsible for implementing training regimes should design training protocols that develop knee extensor strength at a higher rate to optimize running performance in professional football players. Another key finding demonstrated that SJ and CMJ are negatively correlated with 20 and 30 m sprint performance. Such relationships between vertical force generation and sprint times indicate that training protocols aimed at increasing lower extremity explosive strength should be incorporated into weekly football microcycles to maximize sprint performance. Future research avenues should explore the predictive capacity of reactive strength markers and horizontal jump indices in football players of different playing levels.

Funding

This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement

The study was conducted according to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Institutional Review Board (or Ethics Committee) of University of Coimbra (code: CE/FCDEF-UC/0069202).

Informed Consent Statement

Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Stølen T, Chamari K, Castagna C, Wisløff U. Physiology of soccer. *Sports Med.* 2005; 35(6):501–36.
2. Faude O, Koch T, Meyer T. Straight sprinting is the most frequent action in goal situations in professional football. *J Sports Sci.* 2012; 30(7):625–31.
3. Loturco I, Kobal R, Kitamura K, Abad CCC, Faust B, Almeida L, et al. Mixed Training Methods: Effects of Combining Resisted Sprints or Plyometrics with Optimum Power Loads on Sprint and Agility Performance in Professional Soccer Players. *Front Physiol.* 2017; 8.
4. Rennie MJ, Kelly SJ, Bush S, Spurrs RW, Austin DJ, Watsford ML. Phases of match-play in professional Australian Football: Distribution of physical and technical performance. *J Sports Sci.* 2020; 38(14):1682–9.
5. Bangsbo J, Mohr M, Krstrup P. Physical and metabolic demands of training and match-play in the elite football player. *J Sports Sci.* 2006; 24(07):665–74.
6. Hlavonova Z, Jan C, Kalina T, Dominik B, Hammerova T. Relationship between the isokinetic strength of the knee flexors, knee extensors, and sprint running performance in elite football players. Zvonar M, Sajdlova Z, editors 2017. 1032–40 p.
7. Christian Q, Ivan AMJ, Alejandra SM, David D. Profile of muscle power in the anterior muscle chain in football players of the colombian professional league measured by smartcoach technology. *Rev Iber Cien Act Fis Dep.* 2020; 9(2):47–60.
8. Cometti G, Maffiuletti NA, Pousson M, Chatard JC, Maffulli N. Isokinetic strength and anaerobic power of elite, subelite and amateur French soccer players. *Int J Sports Med.* 2001; 22(1):45–51.
9. Loturco I, Pereira LA, Fílter A, Olivares-Jabalera J, Reis VP, Fernandes V, et al. Curve sprinting in soccer: Relationship with linear sprints and vertical jump performance. *Biol Sport.* 2020; 37(3):277.
10. Boraczynski M, Boraczynski T, Podstawski R, Wojcik Z, Gronek P. Relationships Between Measures of Functional and Isometric Lower Body Strength, Aerobic Capacity, Anaerobic Power, Sprint and Countermovement Jump Performance in Professional Soccer Players. *J Hum Kinet.* 2020; 75(1):161–75.
11. Silva JR, Nassis GP, Rebelo A. Strength training in soccer with a specific focus on highly trained players. *Sports Med Open.* 2015; 1(1):1–27.
12. Loturco I, Pereira LA, Freitas TT, Bishop C, Pareja-Blanco F, McGuigan MR. Maximum strength, relative strength, and strength deficit: relationships with performance and differences between elite sprinters and professional rugby union players. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform.* 2021; 1(aop):1–6.
13. Weldon A, Duncan MJ, Turner A, Sampaio J, Noon M, Wong DP, et al. Contemporary practices of strength and conditioning coaches in professional soccer. *Biol Sport.* 2021; 38(3):377.
14. Dvir Z, Müller S. Multiple-joint isokinetic dynamometry: a critical review. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2020; 34(2):587–601.
15. Drouin JM, Valovich-mcLeod TC, Shultz SJ, Gansneder BM, Perrin DH. Reliability and validity of the Biodex system 3 pro isokinetic dynamometer velocity, torque and position measurements. *Eur J Appl Physiol.* 2004; 91(1):22–9.
16. Brown LE. Isokinetics in human performance: *Hum Kinet.* 2000.
17. Osternig LR. Isokinetic dynamometry: implications for muscle testing and rehabilitation. *Exerc Sport Sci Rev.* 1986; 14:45–80.
18. Duarte JP, Valente-dos-Santos J, Coelho-e-Silva MJ, Couto P, Costa D, Martinho D, et al. Reproducibility of isokinetic strength assessment of knee muscle actions in adult athletes: Torques and antagonist-agonist ratios derived at the same angle position. *PLoS One.* 2018; 13(8):e0202261.
19. Glatthorn JF, Gouge S, Nussbaumer S, Stauffacher S, Impellizzeri FM, Maffiuletti NA. Validity and reliability of Optojump photoelectric cells for estimating vertical jump height. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2011; 25(2):556–60.
20. Attia A, Dhahbi W, Chaouachi A, Padulo J, Wong D, Chamari K. Measurement errors when estimating the vertical jump height with flight time using photocell devices: the example of Optojump. *Biol Sport.* 2017; 34(1):63.
21. Bonilla AAV, Timon R, Camacho-Cardenosa A, Camacho-Cardenosa M, Guerrero S, Olcina G. Fatigue Increases in Resting Muscle Oxygen Consumption after a Women's Soccer Match. *Int J Sports Med.* 2020.
22. Hori M, Suga T, Terada M, Tanaka T, Kusagawa Y, Otsuka M, et al. Relationship of the knee extensor strength but not the quadriceps femoris muscularity with sprint performance in sprinters: a reexamination and extension. *BMC Sports Sci Med Rehabil.* 2021; 13(1):1–10.
23. Newman MA, Tarpenning KM, Marino FE. Relationships between isokinetic knee strength, single-sprint performance, and repeated-sprint ability in football players. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2004; 18(4):867–72.
24. Dowson M, Nevill ME, Lakomy H, Nevill AM, Hazeldine R. Modelling the relationship between isokinetic muscle strength and sprint running performance. *J Sports Sci.* 1998; 16(3):257–65.
25. Northeast J, Russell M, Shearer D, Cook CJ, Kilduff LP. Predictors of linear and multidirectional acceleration in elite soccer players. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2019; 33(2):514–22.
26. Nilsson J, Thorstensson A. Ground reaction forces at different speeds of human walking and running. *Acta Physiol Scand.* 1989; 136(2):217–27.
27. Loturco I, Pereira LA, Abad CCC, D'Angelo RA, Fernandes V, Kitamura K, et al. Vertical and horizontal jump tests are strongly associated with competitive performance in 100-m dash events. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2015; 29(7):1966–71.
28. Weyand PG, Sternlight DB, Bellizzi MJ, Wright S. Faster top running speeds are achieved with greater ground forces not more rapid leg movements. *J Appl Physiol.* 2000; 89(5):1991–9.
29. Loturco I, Bishop C, Freitas TT, Pereira LA, Jeffreys I. Vertical force production in soccer: Mechanical aspects and applied training strategies. *Strength Cond J.* 2020; 42(2):6–15.
30. Robbins DW. Relationships between National Football League combine performance measures. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2012; 26(1):226–31.
31. Amonette WE, Brown D, Dupler TL, Xu J, Tufano JJ, De Witt JK. Physical determinants of interval sprint times in youth soccer players. *J Hum Kinet.* 2014; 40:113.