


**Please cite the Published Version**

Muhammad Sayem, Abu  (2019) Virtual Fashion ID: a reality check. In: IFFTI (International Foundation of Fashion Technology Institutes) 2019: Fashion ID, 08 April 2019 - 12 April 2019, Manchester Fashion Institute, Manchester UK.

**Publisher:** International Foundation of Fashion Technology Institute (IFFTI)

**Version:** Accepted Version

**Downloaded from:** <https://e-space.mmu.ac.uk/629660/>

**Usage rights:**  In Copyright

**Additional Information:** This is the Accepted Version of a conference paper presented at IFFTI 2019. The published version can be freely accessed using the Official URL.

**Enquiries:**

If you have questions about this document, contact [openresearch@mmu.ac.uk](mailto:openresearch@mmu.ac.uk). Please include the URL of the record in e-space. If you believe that your, or a third party's rights have been compromised through this document please see our Take Down policy (available from <https://www.mmu.ac.uk/library/using-the-library/policies-and-guidelines>)

# Virtual Fashion ID: A reality check

Abu Sadat Muhammad Sayem

Manchester Fashion Institute, Manchester Metropolitan University,  
Righton Building, Cavendish Street, Manchester, M15 6BG. UK.

E-mail: [asm.sayem@mmu.ac.uk](mailto:asm.sayem@mmu.ac.uk), Tel: +44 (0)161 247 2673

## Abstract

Virtual fashion consists of three things: virtual avatar, digital apparel design and material simulation. A virtual avatar serves as the platform upon which a virtual clothing can be developed. It is very important to morph the avatars in their correct anthropometry first before initiating any virtual fashion simulation process to ensure right appearance and drape of any virtual prototype simulated on to them. It is also a precondition for assessing fit of virtual clothing and making decision on the accuracy of digital pattern pieces used in simulation. Commercial fashion CAD (computer-aided design) systems come with a library of parametric mannequins and provide tools and facilities for adjusting their sizes and shapes before using them for virtual clothing simulation. This paper deals with the features and techniques of avatar morphing in available 3D systems to evaluate how realistically they can generate a virtual fashion identity (ID). A comparative study on the existing 3D (three-dimensional) CAD systems and a case study including two in-depth interviews with industrial users of such systems were conducted. Findings show that the avatar technology has not developed evenly across all available systems and none of the systems is free from limitations. It is revealed from the case study that technophobia, high upfront cost and need for training are the main barriers for implementing this technology. There are also certain areas for improvements within the existing systems.

## Subtheme (s): Global ID (Digital)

**Keywords:** Digital Fashion, Virtual Avatar, Fit Simulation, Material Parameters, Body Scanning

## Introduction

To generate a virtual fashion identity (ID), the first thing you will need from the technical point of view is an appropriate three dimensional (3D) computer-aided design (CAD) system capable of producing a virtual prototype. Based on the underlying working procedure of creating 3D designs, commercially available CAD systems for virtual garment visualisation and virtual try-on can be categorised into two broad groups (Sayem et al. 2010). One group allows designers to create garment outlines and styles on 3D platform according to their preference, such as the software TPC Parametric Pattern Generator (TPC, HK). The other group of 3D systems wrap digital 2D (two-dimensional) pattern pieces from the appropriate 2D CAD software onto virtual human models in order to visualise the virtual clothing coupled with drape and fit simulation. This group includes Vstitcher from Browzwear (Israel), Accumark 3D from Gerber (USA), Modaris 3D from Lectra (France), TUKA3D from Tukatech (USA), 3D Runway from OptiTex (Israel) and Vidya from Assyst (Germany). These virtual prototyping solutions usually come with a 2D module to process 2D pattern pieces, a drape simulation engine, a set of 3D virtual

avatars and a material library. Usually the integrated virtual avatars can be customised by manipulating a range of parameters including age and gender, body measurements and posture, skin tone and hair style, and even the stages of pregnancy. Using a physically-based simulation engine, 2D pattern pieces can be realistically wrapped on these virtual avatars to develop virtual prototypes, which represent the realistic fabric drape according to the physical and mechanical characteristics of fabric. A built-in library of fabrics and other related materials together with their mechanical characteristics is available within these systems. With accurately sized avatars and realistic fabric drape, the systems allow to evaluate the fit of simulated garments in virtual environment; and allow the virtual designs to be communicated with any remote partner via the Internet platform. This may reduce the dependency on physical prototyping and shorten the product-development lead-time and the associated costs, as claimed by the software suppliers (Ernst 2009; Sayem et al. 2010).

Commercial fashion design and development (FDD), which involves interplay between fashion designers and manufacturers, requires a process of iterative garment prototyping that increases the resources and time taken to develop and refine garments. Operating in a truly global context, contemporary fashion supply chains involve complex offshore sourcing strategies that result in the separation of design and manufacturing operations. A disconnect between design and manufacturing results in: i) communication challenges and errors between the designer and manufacturer, and ii) iterative production and transportation of physical prototypes between manufacturers and designers. While this process ultimately is effective, it is not efficient. 3D virtual garment simulation and visualisation technologies have the potential to increase the accuracy of the communication of design data, enhance designer's creativity and reduce the need for production of multiple garment prototypes, yet their adoption in the fashion industry is limited. No academic literature reports the reasons behind the slow and sluggish adoption of virtual simulation technology within the fashion industry (Poterfield and Lamar, 2017). This paper aims to conduct a reality check on the technical capability of the available technology to generate virtual fashion ID.

## **Methodology**

For the fulfilment of the aim of this research, two research techniques have been implemented. One is a comparative study on the available 3D CAD systems and a case study with the industrial users of such systems. The comparative study was done to identify the capability and weakness of the avatar technology within prevailing software systems. The purpose of the case study was to get an idea about the stakeholder perception and needs of this technology. Further details of the comparative study and the case study are presented in the following two sub-sections.

### ***Comparative study on 3D systems***

Four commercially available CAD systems, hereafter mentioned as CAD system A, B, C & D, were selected to investigate into their tools and features of avatar morphing and fit analysis. Their compatibility with the standard body measurement tables (see Table 1) from academic textbooks (Aldrich, 2012, 2015) and the body measurements extracted from two sample body scans (one male and one female), as can be seen in the Figure 1, in KX-16 bodyscanning system (TC<sup>2</sup>, USA) according to the SizeUK measurement extraction protocol (MEP) were tested. One male and one female avatar representing the anthropometry of a young man and woman were selected from the mannequin library of each CAD system to review each of them individually and to compare among each other.

Table 1 Standard body measurements of young man and woman (Aldrich, 2012 & 2015)

#	Measurements of young men (Aldrich, 2012)		Measurements of women (Aldrich, 2015)	
	Parameters	Measurement (cm)	Parameters	Measurement (cm)
1	Height	173	Height	160
2	Chest	96	Bust	88
3	Seat	98	Waist	72
4	Waist	82	Low waist (5 cm below natural waist)	82
5	Trouser waist (4-6cm below waist)	86	Hips	96
6	Half back	19.5	Back width	34.4
7	Back neck to waist	43.8	Chest	32.4
8	Scye depth	23.6	Shoulder	12.25
9	Neck size	39	Neck size	37
10	Sleeve length one piece sleeve	64.2	Top arm	28.4
11	Sleeve length two piece sleeve	81	Wrist	16
12	Inside leg	79	Ankle	24
13	Body rise	27.8	High ankle	21
14	Close wrist measurement	17.4	Nape to waist	41
15			Front shoulder to waist	41
16			Armhole depth	21
17			Waist to knee	58.5
18			Waist to hip	20.6
19			Waist to floor	104
20			Body rise	28





Fig. 1 Male and Female bodyscans and part of extracted measurements

Fit analysis tools within the CAD systems were tested using the pre-drafted pattern pieces. Tension mapping tools available in the systems were also evaluated.

### ***Case Study with a fashion company***

As mentioned earlier, a case study is conducted on the technical design team of a UK-based multinational fashion retailer having more than 2,000 stores across the UK and sourcing clothing items from more than 10 countries in the world. Purposive sampling technique was followed to identify the fashion company, which is using both 2D and 2D clothing CAD systems in their product development process. As a part of this case study, two semi-structured in-depth interviews were conducted with two technical designers of this chosen company to collect data as a part of this case study. Both participants are frequent users of 2D/3D CAD systems but they represent two different generations. One is at the early stage of career with less than three years of professional experience, while the other is at mid-level position of hierarchy having more than twenty years of professional experience. Both closed and open-ended questions were asked to understand their perception of virtual fashion technology and their needs from the technology. The interviews were audio recorded and transcribed anonymously following the research ethics policy of our university. A thematic analysis (Morris, 2015; King and Horrocks, 2019) of the interview data was done for synthesising the findings. This is to be noted that one case study including two interviews has not been deemed sufficient for reaching to any conclusion. Therefore, the research is being continued. However, this is being presented here as an initial finding from an on-going research.

## **Results & Discussion**

### ***Findings from the Comparative study***

#### **i) Avatar Morphing**

The CAD systems analysed in this research differ significantly from each other in numbers of anthropometric parameters they use for avatar morphing. As can be seen in the Table 3 and Figures 2, the systems A and B use more than sixty parameters for adjusting the size and shape of a male avatar, while C and D use thirty or less. Similarly in case of female avatar, system A and B use more than hundreds parameters, whereas C and D use forty or less (see Table 4 and Figure 3). In most cases system A, B and C allow direct input of numerical measurements as well as sliding-bar option. However, system D allows only sliding-bar option to adjust measurement, but no direct input. In general, direct measurement input was experienced as more accurate for avatar morphing. However, it has been experienced that none of the systems provided absolute freedom to adjust all avatar-morphing criteria to reproduce the target anthropometry completely. Tables 5 highlights the interconnected measurements, which are influenced by the change of others. This is a big challenge in accurate avatar morphing.

Table 2: Morphing categories and anthropometric parameters of male avatars

Morphing Category/Segment	Number of Parameters			
	A	B	C	D
Height	2	2	1	1
Body silhouette & shape	15	15	6	8
Torso length	9	2	4	3
Torso width	3	1	2	0
Torso circumference	7	5	5	4
Arms (lengths & circumference)	5	6	4	1
Legs (lengths & circumference)	9	8	7	2
Pose	13	24	9	0
Face	0	2	0	0
<b>Total =</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>19</b>
<i>i) How many accepts direct measurement input?</i>	33	39	2	0
<i>ii) How many needs sliding-bar adjustment only?</i>	30	16	30	19
<i>ii) How many offers both i &amp; ii options</i>	33	39	30	0




Fig. 2 Anthropometric parameters used by difference CAD systems for morphing a male avatar

Table 4 Morphing categories and anthropometric parameters of female avatar

Morphing Category/Segment	Number of Parameters			
	A	B	C	D
Height	2	2	1	1
Body silhouette	19	29	6	7
Torso length	11	2	5	5
Torso width	3	1	2	0
Bust	13	1	2	2
Torso circumference	8	6	6	4
Arms	6	7	4	1
Legs	13	9	7	1
Pose	12	27	9	0
Face	14	30	1	0
<b>Total =</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>114</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>20</b>
<i>i) How many accepts measurement input?</i>	47	68	32	0
<i>ii) How many needs sliding-bar adjustment?</i>	54	46	34	20
<i>iii) How many offers both i &amp; ii options</i>	47	68	32	0




Fig. 3 Anthropometric parameters used by difference CAD systems for morphing a female avatar

Table 5: Interconnected measurement parameters of male and female avatars

<b>CAD Systems</b>	<b>Interconnected Parameters in Male Avatars</b>	<b>Interconnected Parameters in Female Avatars</b>
<b>A</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Chest and under-chest</li> <li>- Outseam, hip height, high-hip height and waist to hip (in case of manual input)</li> <li>- Biceps and upper biceps are interconnected</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Body depth, inseam, hip height, high hip height and low thigh height</li> <li>- Bust, over bust, under bust</li> <li>- Knee and calf</li> <li>- Bicep and upper bicep</li> </ul>
<b>B</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Shoulder and chest</li> <li>- Waist, high waist, hips and rise,</li> <li>- Thigh and knee</li> <li>- Outside leg, rise and inseam measurements</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Bust, cup and under-bust</li> <li>- Hip, belly and high hip</li> <li>- Outside leg and inseam</li> <li>- Thigh, knee, calf and ankle</li> <li>- Armhole, biceps, arm, wrist.</li> <li>- Wrist, over arm measurement</li> </ul>
<b>C</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Height influences across back, across front, shoulder length &amp; slope, back height, bust, waist, mid hip and pelvis.</li> <li>- No other parameters are interconnected.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Bust, underbust, neck to bust apex</li> <li>- Waist-floor side length, bust apex, inseam and body rise</li> </ul>
<b>D</b>	- None	- None

The standard body measurements used for pattern cutting include only 14 and 21 size parameters for man and woman respectively (see Table 1), which are far less from the number of anthropometric parameters used by most of the CAD systems for avatar morphing. While the systems A, B and C can utilise most of the measurements from Table 1 for male and female avatar morphing, system D uses only very few ones. When size and shape were modified using the measurements from Table 1, significant differences in appearance and shape could be noticed (see figure 4 and 5).






Fig. 4 Male avatars morphed using the measurement from table 1




Fig. 5 Female avatars morphed using the measurement from table 1

Following the SizeUK measurement definitions, as high as 144 measurements could be extracted from both the male and female scans. These measurements include individual measurements for both left and right limbs and parts of the body. However, as clothing is usually made symmetrical, average of the left and right limbs/parts in relevant cases, for example biceps, thigh girth etc., are enough for avatar morphing. Systems A, B and C utilise majority of the torso measurements from bodyscans for morphing both male and female avatar morphing. However, visible differences in the appearance and shape can be noticed among the morphed avatars (see Figures 6 & 7) as the CAD systems include some morphing criteria that do not accept any numerical measurement inputs. Moreover, bodyscanning system offers opportunities of extracting many critical measurements from body that can be used for effective avatar morphing. For example, additional measurement can be extracted from shoulder area of bodyscans (see Figure 8) for better reproduction of shape on virtual avatar. Currently none of the CAD system makes use of them.




Fig. 6 Male avatars morphed using extracted measurements from bodyscan




Fig. 7 Female avatars morphed using extracted measurements from bodyscan




Fig. 8 Shoulder Girth and width measurements from bodyscan

ii) Fit Analysis

All of the four systems included in this study offer 360-degree rotation of virtual prototypes to facilitate visual analysis of fit. In addition, there also several technical fit assessment tools, such as tension, pressure, stretch and ease mapping tools, are available within the systems. These tools offer both subjective and objective analysis of fit in combination of visual check of the simulated fit on the computer screen (Sayem et al. 2010, Lim and Istook, 2011). This provides an opportunity to review and forecast the clothing fit at the pre-manufacture stage and to take decision on the correctness of drafted pattern pieces. According to the suppliers, there are several benefits of using these 3D systems such as better communication of design throughout the supply chain and reduction of product development lead-time and costs.




Fig. 9 Examples of Tension mapping in two CAD systems

Figure 9 presents two examples of tension maps on virtual prototypes in two different systems covered in this research. These tension maps provide an idea about the tightness and closeness of virtual garments on virtual bodies. However, taking decision based on visual colour codes or colour bands of tension, pressure and stretch maps is somewhat a subjective approach, which can be quite misleading sometimes. As it can be seen in the Figure 10, the colour bands of the tension maps from two different fabrics look almost similar but maximum tension values are far different from each other. Therefore, a true objective approach would be to consider the numerical values of fabric tension, stretch and collision pressure of the virtual drape of a simulated garment to evaluate virtual fit and thus taking decision on the accuracy of pattern pieces (Sayem, 2017a, b).




Fig. 10 Tension maps produced on virtual prototype with same design but different fabrics

This is supported by the works of Kim (2009) and Kim and LaBat (2013) that only a visual check of virtual sample does not provide any conclusive clue for decision making on the state of virtual fit. Lim (2009) compared virtual simulations of women’s wear produced in two different systems utilising identical material properties and found that visual appearances of simulated garments differed in two systems. Power et al. (2011) also highlighted the limitation of visual assessment of virtual fit. They found that fabrics with vastly different properties appeared to have a very similar appearance in virtual simulations, which is also the case identified in this work (see Figure 10). This demands the use of an objective approach to meaningful evaluation of fit of virtual clothing. Lim and Istook (2011) and Sabina et al. (2014, 2015) took stretch and tension maps on virtual simulation of garments into account in addition to drape image to evaluate fit. Power (2013) indicated that virtual simulations with insignificant visual difference could show significant differences in pressure map.

### ***Findings from the case study***

Findings from the case study covering two interviews are discussed under the following three themes – “advantage of virtual prototyping”, “barriers in implementation”, and “needs for further improvement”. It has become apparent from the study that the 3D system is mainly being used by the technical design but not by the creative design team. It is already mentioned in the methodology section that only one case study is not considered to be enough to validate the findings of this research. At the time of the preparation of this paper, it was not possible to include any more cases as no response from the target companies was received. However, the case covered in this work is a big fashion company, which has been using 2D CAD systems

for long and adopted 3D CAD system in the recent years. Therefore, the findings from this case study will also provide an insight on the stakeholder perception and needs of virtual fashion technology.

i) Advantage of virtual prototyping

Both participants stated that the use of virtual prototyping technology help reduce product development lead-time and number of physical prototypes. Their buying team can review the virtual designs and prototypes and can recommend changes before any physical prototype is attempted to be made. This results in better communication of design and reduces the chances of any error in the product development phase as visual evidences can overcome any language barrier both in verbal and written forms. This delivers a positive impact on their work process and they can engage better with designers, buyers, merchandisers and prototype makers; although the technology is not being used by the creative designers primarily.

ii) Barriers in implementation

It is revealed from the case study that technophobia, high upfront cost and need for training are the main barriers for implementing this technology. However, the young designers who are trained with 3D digital tools during their academic study do not find it difficult to use this technology. Another barrier to implement this technology is the difficulty of sharing virtual prototypes with vendors. Different clothing manufacturers use different CAD systems, so the participants found it difficult to share the 3D design with their clothing vendors who do not have the same system. Both participants reported that the high upfront cost is one of the major barriers in acquiring such technology.

iii) Needs for further improvement

The participants of the interviews also highlighted some limitations and areas of improvement in the existing CAD systems based on their professional experience. They do not think the look of the mannequins that come with 3D Systems are fashionable enough. This is an area that needs to be improved. This is also supported by the findings of the comparative study presented in previous section. Pixelation of the 3D view of virtual prototype is another area of improvement. The participants also feel that the system should facilitate importation of 3D prototypes from other systems similar to the options of digital 2D patterns pieces.

## **Conclusion**

The aim of this paper was to check how smart the available 3D virtual prototyping systems are in reality. A comparative study on different CAD systems and a case study on industrial users were conducted. The findings from the comparative study show none of the 3D systems are free from limitations when it comes to avatar morphing. This also reiterated in the findings from the case study. Although the virtual technology can reduce the product development lead-time and need for physical prototypes, the technology is not used by the creative designers at present. It is also found that technophobia among the professionals, high upfront cost of the systems and need for training are the main barriers for implementing this technology. The limitation of this research is the limited number of case study. It is found very difficult to convince fashion companies to take part in this study. However, the work is in progress and the number of case studies will be increased to establish the findings of the study.

## References

- Aldrich, W. (2012), *Metric pattern cutting for menswear*. 5<sup>th</sup> edition, Oxford: Blackwell Scientific Publications.
- Aldrich, W. (2015), *Metric pattern cutting for womenswear*. 6<sup>th</sup> edition, Oxford: Blackwell Scientific Publications.
- Ernst, M. (2009), CAD/CAM Powerful. *Textile Network*, 4, 20-21
- King, N. and Horrocks, C. (2019), *Interviews in qualitative research*, Sage publications, London
- Kim, D. (2009), *Apparel Fit Based on Viewing of 3D Virtual Models and Live Models* (Unpublished doctoral Thesis). The University of Minnesota.
- Kim and LaBat (2013), An exploratory study of users' evaluations of the accuracy and fidelity of a three-dimensional garment simulation, *Textile Research Journal*, 83 (2), 171-184.
- Lim, H.S. (2009), *Three Dimensional Virtual Try-on Technologies in the Achievement and Testing of Fit for Mass Customization* (Unpublished doctoral Thesis). North Carolina State University.
- Lim, H. and Istook, C.L. (2011), Drapes simulation of three-dimensional virtual garment enabling fabric properties. *Fibers and Polymers*, 12 (8), 1077-1082.
- Morris, A. (2015), *A practical introduction to in-depth interviewing*, Sage publication, London.
- Poterfield, A. and Lamar, A.M.T. (2017), Examining the effectiveness of virtual fitting with 3D garment simulation, *International Journal of Fashion Design, Technology and Education*, 10 (3), 320-330.
- Power, J. (2013), Fabric objective measurements for commercial 3D virtual garment simulation. *International Journal of Clothing Science and Technology*. 25(6), 423-439.
- Power, J.; Apeagyei, P.R. and Jefferson, A.M. (2011), *Integrating 3D Scanning Data & Textile Parameters into Virtual Clothing*. Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on 3D Body Scanning Technologies. Hometrica Consulting, Lugano, Switzerland, 213-224. ISBN 978-3-033-03134-0
- Sabina, O.; Elena, S.; Emilia, F. and Adrina S. (2014), Virtual Fitting – innovative technology for customize clothing design, *Procedia Engineering*. 69, 55-564.

Sabina, O.; Emilia, F.; Manuela, A.; Alexandra, M.; Georgeta, P. and Adrian, S. (2015), Applied 3D virtual try-on for bodies with atypical characteristics, *Procedia Engineering*. 100, 672-681.

Sayem, A S M; Kennon, R. and Clarke, N. (2010), 3D CAD systems for the clothing industry. *International Journal of Fashion Design, Technology and Education*, 3 (2), 45–53, URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/17543261003689888>

Sayem, ASM (2017a) Objective analysis of the drape behaviour of virtual shirt, part 1: avatar morphing and virtual stitching. *International Journal of Fashion Design, Technology and Education*, 1-12, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/17543266.2016.1223810>

Sayem, ASM (2017b) Objective Analysis of the Drape Behaviour of Virtual Shirt, Part 2: Technical Parameters and Findings. *International Journal of Fashion Design, Technology and Education*. 10(2), 180-189.