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Strategic sustainable development of Industry 4.0 through the lens of social responsibility: The role of human resource practices
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Abstract
Research on sustainable development is significantly influenced by the trade-off between the economic, social and environmental performance of businesses. Industry 4.0 development is a key business priority due to the promise of exponential increase in productivity, time efficiencies and cost reduction. However, Industry 4.0 development has been slow. Notably, human actors remain central to Industry 4.0, while the social responsibility component of sustainable development is a key prerogative for industry, championed through the UN sustainable development goals and European Commission. Therefore, we evaluate human-related impediments for Industry 4.0 and critically explore how human resource management (HRM) can overcome these barriers using a socially responsible orientation. First, we analyse the human-related challenges to Industry 4.0 through a thematic literature review. Thereafter, through an integrative literature review of different research streams (Industry 4.0, HRM and social responsibility), we critically argue novel perspectives on how human resource practices can enable sustainable development of Industry 4.0 in a socially responsible manner. Herein, we address a crucial literature gap. Our findings reveal numerous people-related barriers, including change resistance, digital skills gap, employment threats widening socio-economic inequalities, lack of industry-wide collaboration, leadership and organisational culture challenges. We show that HRM can be a crucial enabler for sustainable Industry 4.0 development through socially responsible human resource practices. These include strategic multistakeholder collaborations, holistic talent management, change leadership, inclusive knowledge sharing, sponsoring education research and codesigning curricula, smart technology for upskilling and retention and rewarding inclusive Industry 4.0 ideas. We conclude with future research directions.

List of Abbreviations/Acronyms: AI, artificial intelligence; CPS, cyber-physical systems; CV, curriculum vitae; EC, European Commission; EFFRA, European Factories of the Future Research Association; FabLabs, fabrication laboratories; HE, higher education; HR, human resource; HRM, human resource management; ILO, International Labour Organization; IoT, Internet of Things; Ind4.0, Industry 4.0; OECD, Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development; SDG, Sustainable Development Goal; SME, small and medium enterprise; UK, United Kingdom; US, United States of America; UN, United Nations.
The Fourth Industrial Revolution, or Industry 4.0 (Ind4.0), was first popularised by the German federal government in 2011 (Kang et al., 2016; Lasi et al., 2014). This refers to the development and employment of disruptive technologies aimed at economising and improving efficiencies in industrial capabilities. The manufacturing industry can significantly benefit from Ind4.0 implementation, especially through instantaneous data transmission and tailor-made manufacturing as it encompasses real-time digitised interlinking of humans, objects, machines and systems (de Sousa Jabbour, Jabbour, Foropon, & Godinho Filho, 2018). Ind4.0 is en route to aiding sustainable development in the manufacturing industry through automating and digitising technologies including smart manufacturing, cloud manufacturing, the Internet of Things (IoT), cyber-physical systems (CPS), augmented reality (Kang et al., 2016; Pacchini et al., 2019), bulk customisation and servitisation (Oztelmel & Gursev, 2020; Wang et al., 2017). Further potential benefits of Ind4.0 digital manufacturing include real-time tracking and traceability of transactions in supply chains (Upadhyay et al., 2021), waste reduction, recycling and facilitating circular economy outcomes (Nascimento et al., 2019). Thereby, exponentially enhancing production agility and cost efficiencies of lean (Buer et al., 2018; Raj et al., 2020; Upadhyay et al., 2020) through digital manufacturing. Notably, PwC reported surplus revenue of 110 billion euro in the European industry through digitisation of goods and services. Furthermore, McKinsey & Company has predicted a 45% to 55% jump in productivity using Ind4.0 automation technologies (Caylar et al., 2016).

The many evidential and potential benefits have led to high levels of governmental strategic investment, in the long-term sustainable development of Ind4.0 digital technologies in manufacturing industries. Notable governmental investments include the United States, China, South Korea, Japan, Singapore, the United Kingdom, Germany and the European Commission (EC) (Liao et al., 2017; Oesterreich & Teuteberg, 2016). Yet, despite recognition of the benefits, the rate of Ind4.0 adoption has been slow across the sector (Fantini et al., 2020; Nankervis et al., 2021; Raj et al., 2020). Several challenges are emerging in relation to Ind4.0 execution, including impact on social factors (Nascimento et al., 2019), which may account for the slow development. These challenges include implementation complexities, skills gaps and threats to existing jobs (Kamble et al., 2018; Luthra & Mangla, 2018). Arguably, these barriers have social responsibility implications.

Sustainable and socially responsible development is a crucial prerogative for industry. This is strongly evidenced by the United Nations (UN) 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) (UN, 2015) and the EC (2011); both emphasising the need to ensure industrial innovation and development (SDG 9), while protecting holistic societal interests including the employment, welfare and well-being of existing and future generations (SDG 8) (Denoncourt, 2020; EC, 2011; International Labour Organization [ILO], 2018; UN, 2015). This presents industry with the conundrum of apparently competing priorities in implementing Ind4.0-based digital manufacturing technology, which can have negative social responsibility repercussions for human employment, skills and decent work. Yet human input remains crucial to the successful integration and operation of Ind4.0 in digital manufacturing (Li et al., 2019), and Ind4.0 can offer significant opportunities for sustainable development (Ghobakhloo et al., 2021). Therefore, it is imperative to find ways to overcome the barriers to Ind4.0 development (Pinheiro et al., 2021). Herein, we argue that human resource management (HRM) can act as an enabler in the sustainable development of Ind4.0 digital manufacturing in a socially responsible manner.

Therefore, this article aims to identify and evaluate the human-related barriers to Ind4.0 digital manufacturing and critically explore how HRM can help overcome the barriers with a socially responsible orientation. While there have been some studies on Ind4.0 implementation challenges (Kamble et al., 2018; Luthra & Mangla, 2018; Raj et al., 2020), there is a lack of research on the role of HRM in facilitating socially responsible Ind4.0 sustainable development. This is despite an emerging body of literature on sustainable and socially responsible HRM (Barrena-Martínez et al., 2017, 2019b; Beer et al., 2015; Macke & Genari, 2019; Shen, 2011). This article contributes to bridging this gap through an integrative review of the barriers to Ind4.0 implementation in digital manufacturing and the role of HRM as an enabler, through the social responsibility lens of sustainability.

This article is structured as follows. Following this introduction (Section 1) is the methodology adopted in this study (Section 2). Thereafter, we present the findings and discussion (Section 3) in two parts. First (Section 3.1), we identify and analyse the human-related barriers to digital manufacturing through a content review analysis; thereafter (Section 3.2), we conduct an integrative literature review of the human barriers to Ind4.0 in the digital manufacturing context, Ind4.0 and HRM, socially responsible HRM and socially responsible Ind4.0. Through this integrative analysis, we argue how HRM practices can help overcome the human-related barriers to sustainable Ind4.0 development in digital manufacturing, with a social responsibility orientation. Thereafter, we conclude (Section 4) by discussing future research directions.
2 | RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

2.1 | Review approach and research question

The core of this research adopts an integrative literature review methodology (Torraco, 2005) combined with elements of a systematic review (Denyer & Tranfield, 2009). We employ Denyer and Tranfield's (2009) steps in organising this review: research question, identifying studies, selecting studies, synthesising studies and disseminating outcomes (Denyer & Tranfield, 2009). Thereafter, in synthesising studies and disseminating the results, we adopt the integrative review approach, critically combining different literature streams (Torraco, 2005). An integrative review is recommended, where rapidly growing, unique emergent bodies of literature are analysed to generate novel thinking, bridging a crucial gap (Post et al., 2020; Torraco, 2016). Therefore, we employ an integrative narrative review as we critically synthesise distinct research streams on the new yet fast-growing literature on Ind4.0 and barriers to digital manufacturing, as well as Ind4.0 and HRM, analysed through the critical lens of social responsibility. Through this review, we address a crucial literature gap, on the following question:

- How can HRM enable overcoming human-related barriers to the sustainable development of Ind4.0 digital manufacturing, in a socially responsible manner?

Therein, this leads to two key objectives for this review. The first objective is to investigate the human-related barriers to the sustainable development of Ind4.0 digital manufacturing. The second objective is to evaluate how HRM practices can help overcome these barriers and enable sustainable Ind4.0 development, in a socially responsible manner.

2.2 | Identifying studies

We searched academic databases including Business Source Premier, Scopus and Science Direct, to identify the relevant studies. Thereafter, we adopted the snowballing technique of identifying relevant articles cited in the articles found through the database search. We surveyed the literature using different combinations of keywords including industry 4.0, the fourth industrial revolution, 4th industrial revolution, digital manufacturing, barriers, obstacles, challenges, human resource, human resource management, talent development, socially responsible and social responsibility. This helped to achieve the widest coverage of pertinent articles (Denyer & Tranfield, 2009). We retrieved a total 192 relevant articles from the database searches of the different research streams (Table 1).

2.3 | Study selection

To be included in the review, we stipulated that the key search terms would be present in the title, abstract or keywords of the articles. The following clarifies the inclusion and exclusion criteria:

- Ind4.0 and digital manufacturing: We included articles which addressed Ind4.0 and digital manufacturing, for example, smart factories and IoT.
- HRM: We include articles, which refer to HRM and human resource (HR) actions using terms like HR development, talent development and competence development. We draw on articles relating to Ind4.0 and being socially responsible.
- Social responsibility: We include Ind4.0 articles, linked with human factors of social responsibility. We also included HRM articles focusing on being socially responsible towards human actors.
- Language: We only included articles written in English.
- Publication type: We included scholarly articles that were peer reviewed. Additionally, we also draw on relevant policy documents (e.g., UN and EC publications). We, however, do not include conference proceedings.

Given the relatively new area of research, with Ind4.0 research commencing in 2011, both theoretical/conceptual and empirical studies were included. Furthermore, socially responsible HRM is also a novel area of research, so both theoretical and empirical studies were reviewed, in synthesising these research streams. After applying the above inclusion and exclusion criteria, and removing duplicates, 76 relevant articles were retained and reviewed.

2.4 | Examination and synthesis of studies

First, all relevant articles were thematically appraised to identify barriers/challenges to implementing Ind4.0 digital manufacturing. Thereafter, we analytically identified and evaluated the key themes emerging in terms of the challenges, arguably entwined with social responsibility issues for the human workforce in manufacturing organisations.

Second, we apply the integrative review approach and critically synthesise the disparate and emerging research streams on ‘Ind4.0 and social responsibility’, ‘human resources and social responsibility’ and ‘Ind4.0 and human resources’ in order develop new perspectives.
on how HRM solutions can be applied to facilitate sustainable Ind4.0 development in a socially responsible way (Figure 1).

2.5 | Dissemination of outcomes

This article is the pioneering route to disseminating the findings of this study, to fellow academics and practitioners. The following sections illustrate our analytical findings and discussions on the human barriers to sustainable development of Ind4.0 in digital manufacturing. Thereafter, generate knowledge on how HRM can enable overcoming these barriers with a social responsibility orientation.

3 | FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 | Human-related challenges/barriers to sustainable development of Ind4.0

The success of Ind4.0 is highly dependent on human actors (Neumann et al., 2021; Sgarbossa et al., 2020), as these systems are anthropogenic, that is, designed, delivered and dependent on human decisions (Schneider & Sting, 2020). This review evaluates the pertinent human-related challenges and barriers to sustainable Ind4.0 development in digital manufacturing. The literature review points to themes and issues of automation, digital skills gaps, threat to current employment, widening socio-economic inequalities, collaboration challenges, leadership and organisational culture. These thematic barriers, which have emerged from the literature, are evaluated below, followed by a critical integrative analysis of how HRM can help overcome these barriers in socially responsible ways in Section 3.2.

3.1.1 | Automation and resistance to change

A central feature of Ind4.0 manufacturing is the automation of work processes using digital technologies like robotics, IoT, big data and blockchain (Awan et al., 2021; Dutta et al., 2020; Upadhyay et al., 2021). Despite the financial and productivity gains (Ghobakhloo & Fathi, 2019), digitisation of processes leads to significant disruption to existing work patterns (Ehret & Wirtz, 2017) and existing jobs fulfilled by human labour (Raj et al., 2020). Artificial intelligence and machine learning are being adopted intensively to augment human–machine interactive collaborations (Huang et al., 2010; Rotatori et al., 2021). This has made it possible to effectively debunch big composite tasks into smaller ones. This involves using digitised systems like robotics to complete and outsource smaller tasks to other parts of the global supply chain, for reduced costs. However, this type of disruptive technology often requires a major overhaul in working processes (Broring et al., 2017; Kamble et al., 2018; Kiel et al., 2017) and requires extensive and risky alterations to existing business models (Herrmann et al., 2014; Müller, Buliga, & Voigt, 2018; Porter & Heppelmann, 2015). This shake-up to the status quo can reveal non-malleable organisational cultures (Rana & Sharma, 2019; Veile et al., 2019; Whysall et al., 2019) resulting in resistance to sustainable development of digital manufacturing (Horváth & Szabó, 2019; Karadayi-Usta, 2020). Moreover, smart manufacturing has a direct impact on the job prospects of existing workers (Frey & Osborne, 2017), making current skills redundant (Rana & Sharma, 2019), leading to visible social concerns and resistance to digitising and changing the processes (Haddud et al., 2017). The resultant impact of threats to employability is a core social responsibility issue for HRM, which we will revisit in this article.

3.1.2 | Digital skills gap

Developing and implementing Ind4.0 in the manufacturing industry requires sophisticated digital expertise. There is a visible gap in the skills needed to develop and implement digital manufacturing (Liboni et al., 2019; Raj et al., 2020). Firms need a workforce able to build the ‘smart’ infrastructure, to harness the benefits of automation and digitisation (Petrillo et al., 2018). Therefore, their workforce needs new skills, including big data, cloud computing, cybersecurity, robotics and additive manufacturing (Devi et al., 2020; Petrillo et al., 2018). To remain au courant, they will need to update their qualifications and skills perpetually (Herrmann et al., 2014). Policymakers like the EC and EFFRA are also recognising this drastic shift in skill-set requirements, emphasising the need for the current and future workforce to specialise in Ind4.0-related skills and technology to survive and capitalise on the Ind4.0 revolution (Fantini et al., 2020; Fareri et al., 2020). Developing a talent pool with high-level skills and qualifications is highly crucial to the sustainable development of digital manufacturing (Dutta et al., 2020; Kazancoglu & Ozkan-Ozen, 2018).
3.1.5 | Leadership and organisational culture barriers

The literature review also suggests that lack of relevant leadership skills and existing organisational cultures are inadvertently posing as impediments to the sustainable development of Ind4.0 digital manufacturing. The deficiency in digital awareness and understanding of the benefits and implications of Ind4.0 appears to extend to leaders at the top (Majumdar et al., 2021; Nankervis et al., 2021). Therefore, there is a lack of clarity in the vision and strategy for digital operations (Luthra & Mangla, 2018; Raj et al., 2020). This in turn tends to directly influence the organisational culture, creating a dearth in digital culture development, which requires interdisciplinary collaboration (Luthra & Mangla, 2018; Whysall et al., 2019). Furthermore, concerns about loss of control and transfer of decision making to floor level can make top management hesitant about the widespread development and implementation of Ind4.0 (Majumdar et al., 2021; Rotatori et al., 2021), thereby impeding the digital culture development, which is crucial for sustainable Ind4.0 development. In addition, the gap between the extant competencies of middle management and the rapidly changing technological competency requirements means experienced middle management talent who can be critical as change agents and lead change sustainably are being overlooked in favour of younger ‘emerging leaders’ (Whysall et al., 2019). This can also make it extremely challenging to successfully transform the organisational culture and facilitate sustainable and socially responsible Ind4.0 development.

Table 2 provides a summary of all the human-related challenges discussed above.

3.2 | Role of HRM in enabling socially responsible Ind4.0

Having analysed the main human-related barriers to sustainable Ind4.0 development, we conduct an integrated review of the literature on ‘Ind4.0 and HRM’, ‘socially responsible Ind4.0’ and ‘socially responsible HRM’. The purpose of this is to generate new perspectives on how HRM practices can help overcome the barriers, to enable sustainable Ind4.0 development in a manner, which is socially responsible, employee centric and creates decent work. Socially responsible HRM encompasses practices designed to emphasise social responsibility in all HRM functions, benefit employees and motivate and facilitate socially responsible abilities in the workforce, with humanitarian and people-centric outcomes as the focus (Shen & Zhang, 2019; Shen & Zhu, 2011; Zhao et al., 2021). We argue that HRM has a strategic yet complex role to play in the sustainable development of Ind4.0 smart manufacturing, and as such, the different HR practices argued below will be interconnected and integrated. Based on our integrative review, Figure 2 summarises the key HR practices we recommend. It indicates the interlinkages between the proposed HR practices and the outcomes they can yield, finally leading to sustainable and socially responsible Ind4.0 development.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Human-related challenges/barriers</th>
<th>Themes</th>
<th>Subthemes</th>
<th>Details</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Industry-wide factors</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lack of industry-wide standardisation/collaboration</td>
<td>Including need for vertical and horizontal integration</td>
<td>Fantini et al. (2020); Luthra et al. (2020); Agostini and Filippini (2019); Li et al. (2019); Kamble et al. (2018); Luthra and Mangla (2018); Müller, Buliga, and Voigt (2018); Müller, Kiel, and Voigt (2018); Herrmann et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Knowledge and educational factors</strong></td>
<td>Need for digital skills and higher qualifications</td>
<td>Including digital skills gap</td>
<td>Devi et al. (2020); Dutta et al. (2020); Fantini et al. (2020); Fareri et al. (2020); Li et al. (2020); Luthra et al. (2020); Raj et al. (2020); Rotatori et al. (2021); Liboni et al. (2019); Kamble et al. (2018); Kazancoglu and Ozkan-Ozen (2018); Basl (2017); Haddud et al. (2017); Kiel et al. (2017); Oesterreich and Teuteberg (2016); Herrmann et al. (2014)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Knowledge sharing challenges</strong></td>
<td>Need for the field of education to evolve to effectively prepare workforce for Ind4.0</td>
<td>Including education through the business community, government programmes and traditional education providers</td>
<td>Majumdar et al. (2021); Rotatori et al. (2021); Karadavyi-Usta (2020); Whysall et al. (2019)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Socio-economic factors</strong></td>
<td>Threat/disruption to existing employment</td>
<td>Including loss of blue-collar jobs and impact on older workers</td>
<td>Nankervis et al. (2021); Dutta et al. (2020); Schneider and Sting (2020); Liboni et al. (2019); Rana and Sharma (2019); Buer et al. (2018); Kamble et al. (2018); Kazancoglu and Ozkan-Ozen (2018); Xu et al. (2018); Frey and Osborne (2017); Haddud et al. (2017)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inequality</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Including need for higher qualifications and job threats widening the gap in socio-economic status</td>
<td>Xu et al. (2018); Evangelista et al. (2014)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Organisational-level factors</strong></td>
<td>Leadership</td>
<td>Poor leadership in digital operations vision and strategy</td>
<td>Raj et al. (2020); Luthra and Mangla (2018)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lack of understanding and commitment of top management/low leadership readiness</td>
<td>Majumdar et al. (2021); Rotatori et al. (2021); Luthra and Mangla (2018)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lack of change management competencies or ineffective change management processes</td>
<td>de Sousa Jabbour, Jabbour, Godinho Filho, and Roubaud (2018); Müller, Kiel, and Voigt (2018); Basl (2017); Kiel et al. (2017)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lack of awareness of Ind4.0 implications and benefits</td>
<td>Nankervis et al. (2021); Dutta et al. (2020); Kamble et al. (2018); Luthra and Mangla (2018); Müller, Buliga, and Voigt (2018); Basl (2017); Haddud et al. (2017)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Organisational structure</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Inadequate organisational structure</td>
<td>Majumdar et al. (2021); Raj et al. (2020); Basl (2017); Kiel et al. (2017)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Organisational culture**       |        | Including the lack of digital culture and need for culture change | Whysall et al. (2019); Veile et al. (2019); Rana and...
TABLE 2  (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Themes</th>
<th>Subthemes</th>
<th>Details</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resistance to change and conflict</td>
<td>Conflicts between workers due to changing working conditions</td>
<td>Resistance to change</td>
<td>Sharma (2019); de Sousa Jabbour, Jabbour, Foropon, and Godinho Filho (2018); de Sousa Jabbour, Jabbour, Godinho Filho, and Roubaud (2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Kiel et al. (2017)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIGURE 2  HR practices to enable Ind4.0 sustainable development in a socially responsible manner. Source: Developed from integrative review [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
3.2.1 | Strategic HRM leading to socially responsible strategic talent development

HRM can facilitate sustainable development by adopting a strategic approach to implementing digital manufacturing in a socially responsible manner. Moreover, this entails a long-term vision and extended planning with all strategic partners and stakeholders, including the workforce. HR professionals can play a strategic role in navigating the challenges of multistakeholder strategic partnerships, with collaborating and competing firms, and government bodies (Brunetti et al., 2020; Galang & Osman, 2016), facilitating vertical and horizontal integration in the digital supply chain.

Furthermore, HR departments need to be a strategic business partner to business decisions (Galang & Osman, 2016), so that socially responsible decisions are made in implementing Ind4.0 technology, causing the least possible disruption to employment. They need to find ways to establish responsible labour policies that create value for employees (Sorribes et al., 2021), to encourage collective adoption of sustainable Ind4.0 digital manufacturing. For example, HR can aid the step change to smart factories by incentivising socially responsible solutions (He et al., 2020) like retrofitting technology to old machines (Arnold et al., 2016; Müller, Kiel, & Voigt, 2018), so that employees can benefit (Jia et al., 2019) through job retention as existing workers can still operate them with nominal upskilling.

3.2.2 | HRM led socially responsible change for Ind4.0

HR specialists can contribute significantly by becoming an effective change agent (Long et al., 2013) and by equipping manufacturing firm managers and leaders with change management (Sony & Naik, 2020) and transformational leadership skills (Bag et al., 2021) through appropriate training and consultancy. HR professionals can also focus on developing digital awareness and competencies of management, so that they can effectively lead as role models. Change generates fear (Majumdar et al., 2021), hence generating awareness (Dutta et al., 2020) and providing socially responsible opportunities for employee training (Shen & Benson, 2016) can enhance employee commitment (Shen & Zhu, 2011) and thereby play a crucial role in advancing sustainable digital manufacturing. Hence, HR departments can contribute by generating awareness of the benefits and trends in Ind4.0 digitalisation for manufacturing and highlighting the drawbacks and threats of not adapting to Ind4.0 changes. In this vein, they can also contribute via identifying and nurturing agile and ethically responsible leaders (Herold, 2016), as well as leaders who demonstrate systemic thinking and emotional sensitivity (Whysall et al., 2019), to champion digital manufacturing (Narula et al., 2014).

Sustainable development of Ind4.0 in a socially responsible way will require HR to facilitate a change in organisational culture (Rana & Sharma, 2019; Whysall et al., 2019) and the cultural mindset (Long et al., 2013) of employees, while safeguarding the well-being of the workforce. Interpersonal relationship building skills and open channels of communication will be crucial for effectively implementing this change (Agostini & Filippini, 2019; Baran et al., 2019). HR departments can achieve sustainable and socially responsible changes by leveraging the Ind4.0 benefits whereby the technology allows for flexibility, mobility and work-life balance of employees (Rana & Sharma, 2019).

HRM can also aid sustainable and socially responsible digital development by facilitating a learning culture and developing knowledge sharing processes and networks within manufacturing firms and the industry. Knowledge sharing by the workforce can be crucial to Ind4.0 implementation (Li et al., 2019), yet role ambiguity and threat to jobs can deter knowledge sharing and create distrust (Jia et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2014). Therefore, socially responsible HRM centred on generating value for employees will build trust, respect and knowledge sharing (Jia et al., 2019). Developing a learning organisation will foster much needed value co-creation (Li et al., 2020). This can help quicken the pace of change in an inclusive and sustainable manner. A core function of HR departments is to foster team learning (Rana & Sharma, 2019), and HR professionals can enable this, by developing systems that support and reward knowledge sharing among the workforce (Nankervis et al., 2021). A learning organisation can effectively permeate new knowledge and learning at all levels within the firm including the individual, team and organisational level (Marsick & Watkins, 1999). HR professionals can help develop this approach to facilitate a learning culture leading to effective and sustainable transitions to Ind4.0 smart manufacturing.

3.2.3 | Smart HRM for smart learning

There is increasing recognition that generations Y and Z will make up an increasing percentage of the workforce, a generation that has grown up with IT and smart technology. Furthermore, continuous upskilling will be imperative for employees in digital manufacturing firms to remain competitive and technologically au courant (Herrmann et al., 2014). HR professionals themselves need to become digitally competent and current (Rana & Sharma, 2019; Ulrich et al., 2017). Therefore, HR departments can make use of smart technology itself and harness data analytics for effective and continuous talent development (Herrmann et al., 2014). For example, AI and big data can be employed to spot skills gap in workforce talent profiles for training, augmented reality can be designed to deliver online and simulated training (Herrmann et al., 2014) and IoT and blockchain technology can be used to set and track individual performance goals, which staff can self-monitor. Thereby, smart technology itself can help spot expertise shortages in advance, identify staff at risk of losing employment and invest in upskilling staff in implementing, operating and maintaining digital manufacturing technology in smart factories. This will help achieve sustainable Ind4.0 development in an efficient and socially responsible way.
Furthermore, human talent can be developed by investing in both physical and digital ‘learning/teaching factories’ as well as fabrication laboratories (FabLabs) which can be used for designing, testing and knowledge sharing across the industry and regions (Herrmann et al., 2014). The technique of using smart technology to identify and offer necessary training to individuals in the workforce will also facilitate socially responsible equality (Nie et al., 2018). This will also increase human and intellectual capital (Barrena-Martínez et al., 2019a; Mahmood & Mubarik, 2020) and support equality and diversity (Barrena-Martínez et al., 2019b) which is imperative to sustainable and socially responsible Ind4.0 implementation.

Moreover, socially responsible HRM can play a vital role by providing employee-oriented in-house learning, development coaches, targeted mentoring and external consultancy support. They can also facilitate reverse mentoring, where generation Y and Z employees can mentor the older workforce in becoming digitally competent (Brunetti et al., 2020). These socially responsible employee-centric HR practices will in turn generate employee commitment and organisational citizenship (Barrena-Martínez et al., 2019b; Newman et al., 2016) contributing to the vision of sustainable development of Ind4.0.

3.2.4 | HRM collaboration with education providers

To enhance the sustainable development of Ind4.0, HR professionals also need to collaborate with higher education (HE) provision in designing inclusive and innovative curricula, which prepares the future graduate for digital manufacturing and smart factories. They can also contribute through research collaborations (Majumdar et al., 2021) and knowledge transfer partnerships with universities. These may include development of sophisticated cobot or collaborative robot systems facilitating safe close working between people and robots (Chiarini, 2021; Franklin et al., 2020) and fostering coproduction and codesign among practitioners and academics, of sustainable Ind4.0 pathways (Massaro et al., 2021) in a socially responsible way. Whyssall et al. (2019) found that it takes 2 years for university graduates to become useful to the hiring firm. There is increasing recognition of this lack of work readiness, and education content and delivery styles are being altered to remain au courant with Ind4.0 (Xu et al., 2018). HR professionals also need to work with HE in designing and delivering learning and vocational training through Ind4.0 platforms, learning factories and FabLabs. Moreover, HR professionals can work with educational providers and professional and policymaking bodies in designing new Ind4.0 relevant National Vocational Qualifications. The future of digital manufacturing rests on higher order skills of technicians, engineers and doctorates who excel at research, design and manufacturing and need to be part of the digital manufacturing supply chain. Hereby, addressing the needs of the future graduate, the industry and the wider society will help meet the sustainable development and social responsibility imperatives. Furthermore, HR professionals will also benefit by collaborating with HE and professional bodies in upskilling and developing their own Ind4.0 competencies (Nankervis et al., 2021) (i.e., people data analytics), which they can then utilise in talent spotting and talent development.

3.2.5 | Socially responsible holistic recruitment, retention and redeployment policies

Ind4.0 implementation is leading to the need for new recruitment and selection criteria. Alongside focusing on recruiting talent with multidisciplinary digital and engineering skills, HRM can help by moving from ‘lateral hiring’ of talent from competitors to addressing the overall shortage in the new type of talent needed, through investing in holistic talent management (Whyssall et al., 2019). This can help sustainable development of Ind4.0 competencies. Therefore, HR departments need to create internal systems to upskill new recruits internally to make them work ready, while simultaneously working with education providers in developing new skills needed for graduates to be work ready for digital manufacturing (Section 3.2.4). Moreover, HR departments need to recruit trainers, dedicated to reskilling and upskilling existing staff through training, coaching and learning factories, to minimise job losses due to changing job profiles and engage in socially responsible redeployment efforts and decent employment. Furthermore, socially responsible HR requires the development of inclusive talent development and retention practices, focusing on ensuring internal redeployment and job opportunities for middle managers and the ageing workforce (Sgarbossa et al., 2020; Whysall et al., 2019). Such socially responsible HR practices will in turn create a socially responsible employer brand, attracting high calibre candidates (Barrena-Martínez et al., 2019b). This will enable holistic socially responsible recruitment and retention of talent and create a diverse talent base, leading to sustainable Ind4.0 development.

Moreover, HR professionals can help by strategically forecasting the need for new skills and proactively training and equipping staff with the skills needed for digital manufacturing, including middle managers, older workers and blue-collar workers (Lin-Hi et al., 2019; Whyssall et al., 2019). This type of strategic talent management will help ensure socially responsible advancement towards Ind4.0, whereby staff will feel valued and protected from fears of external risks (He et al., 2020) to their job security. HRM can also help by identifying redeployment opportunities for staff in job profiles, which will potentially become redundant with further digitisation in manufacturing.

Furthermore, HR professionals can employ Ind4.0 people analytics technology to speed up recruitment and selection by using cobots to sift through job applications and curriculum vitae (CVs), as well as track individual performance, training access, competency development, experience and performance feedback to identify attrition risks (Fareri et al., 2020). This can help in increasing talent retention in digital manufacturing, through HR identifying internal redeployment opportunities. Furthermore, socially responsible HRM rewards extra-role activities and organisational citizenship, information, which could be, retrieved through people analytics. These HR initiatives will help
achieve socially responsible cost efficiencies, enhance employee satisfaction and well-being (Abdelmotaleb & Saha, 2020; Celma et al., 2018) and thereby advance the sustainable development of Ind4.0.

4 | CONCLUSIONS, LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

To conclude, this paper contributes to knowledge and practice, by analysing and organising the key people-related challenges and barriers to the sustainable development of Ind4.0. This article is the first of its kind to propose key solutions to how HR tools and practices can help to overcome these barriers, while ensuring socially responsible employee welfare and decent work. Firms and HR professionals can accelerate the pace of digital manufacturing development by applying the HR practices argued in this study. The present study shows that these HR practices will foster employee commitment to the vision of sustainable Ind4.0 development by enabling collective advancement in Ind4.0 implementation, thereby benefiting multiple stakeholders, including the employers, the workforce, customers and the wider industry. Hence, we show HRM can be a crucial enabler for sustainable Ind4.0 development through socially responsible HR programmes. These include strategic multistakeholder collaborations, holistic talent management, change leadership, inclusive knowledge sharing, sponsoring education research and codesigning curricula, smart technology for upskilling and rewarding inclusive Ind4.0 ideas.

Thereby, implementing these practices will also help sustainable development by improving talent retention and reducing turnover. Overall, in facilitating sustainable development of Ind4.0, these HR practices will significantly support socially responsible aspirations of equality, diversity, inclusion, intellectual capital generation, inter-generational value cocreation, decent employability and socio-economic protection.

A limitation of this study is that it was unable to specifically differentiate between HR prerogatives for sustainable Ind4.0 development, in developed and developing economies. Future studies exploring the differences between the challenges for developed and developing countries will be beneficial. This is particularly relevant as technological replacement of human processes has a huge impact on the livelihood of people in developing countries, where a disproportionate number of people survive by providing cheap labour. Furthermore, disseminating inclusive digital education is an extremely costly prospect in developing countries, where the inequalities between the rich and the poor are very stark.

Moreover, this study has not distinguished between large manufacturing organisations and small and medium enterprises (SMEs). Digital manufacturing development is, understandably, being pioneered by large, financially secure organisations in the industry. However, these firms are entwined with numerous SMEs in their supply chains. Although SMEs are becoming aware of the benefits of Ind4.0 (Dutta et al., 2020), they still have a long distance to cover as they navigate the impact and role of Ind4.0 in their businesses (Li et al., 2019). SMEs find it very expensive to change business models and need more standardisations in the sector (Müller, Buliga, & Voigt, 2018; Müller, Kiel, and Voigt (2018)), to catch up with global competition (Dutta et al., 2020). Therefore, more research in this field, and how HRM could specifically aid this transformation, will be valuable to the sector.

HR as a profession is significantly guided and informed by legislative frameworks in different countries. Investigating this aspect in relation to Ind4.0 development was beyond the scope of this article. However, to facilitate sustainable and socially responsible development of Ind4.0, it would be helpful to comprehend the nature of legislative frameworks needed (Ghobakhloo et al., 2021). Therefore, future studies focusing on this aspect will be highly beneficial for governance and regulatory authorities, to appropriately guide and govern sustainable Ind4.0 development.
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