

Please cite the Published Version

Niepsch, Daniel, Clarke, Leon J, Tzoulas, Konstantinos ¹⁰ and Cavan, Gina ¹⁰ (2021) Distinguishing atmospheric nitrogen compounds (nitrate and ammonium) in lichen biomonitoring studies. Environmental Science: Processes & Impacts, 23 (12). pp. 2021-2036. ISSN 2050-7887

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1039/d1em00274k

Publisher: Royal Society of Chemistry (RSC)

Version: Accepted Version

Downloaded from: https://e-space.mmu.ac.uk/628843/

Usage rights: O In Copyright

Additional Information: This is an Author Accepted Manuscript of an article published in Environmental Science: Processes & Impacts.

Enquiries:

If you have questions about this document, contact openresearch@mmu.ac.uk. Please include the URL of the record in e-space. If you believe that your, or a third party's rights have been compromised through this document please see our Take Down policy (available from https://www.mmu.ac.uk/library/using-the-library/policies-and-guidelines)

Distinguishing atmospheric nitrogen compounds (nitrate and ammonium) in lichen biomonitoring studies

- 3 Daniel Niepsch¹, Leon J. Clarke¹, Konstantinos Tzoulas¹, Gina Cavan¹
- ¹Department of Natural Sciences, Faculty of Science and Engineering, Manchester Metropolitan
 University, M1 5GD, Manchester, UK
- 6 Corresponding author: <u>Daniel.Niepsch@gmx.de</u>
- 7 ORCIDs:
- 8 Daniel Niepsch https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0177-1996
- 9 Leon J. Clarke <u>https://orcid.org 0000-0002-2278-4139</u>
- 10 Konstantinos Tzoulas <u>https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5363-2050</u>
- 11 Gina Cavan <u>https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8429-870X</u>
- 12 Table of contents



- 13
- 14 Nitrogen speciation (NO_{3⁻} and NH_{4⁺}) method from lichen material, using 0.05 g
- lichen, 3 mL of 3% KCl and 6 hours extraction.

16 **Abstract**

Nitrogen speciation, i.e. distinguishing nitrate (NO₃) and ammonium (NH₄⁺), is 17 commonly undertaken in soil studies, but has not been conducted extensively for 18 lichens. Lichen total nitrogen contents (N wt%) reflect airborne atmospheric nitrogen 19 loadings, originating from anthropogenic sources (e.g. vehicular and agricultural/ 20 livestock emissions). Albeit nitrogen being an essential lichen nutrient, nitrogen 21 compound (i.e. NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺) concentrations in the atmosphere can have deleterious 22 effects on lichens. Moreover, N wt% do not provide information on individual nitrogen 23 compounds, i.e. NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ which are major constituents of atmospheric 24 particulate matter (e.g. PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5}). This study presents a novel method to 25 separate and quantify NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ extracted from lichen material. An optimal 26 approach was identified by testing different strengths and volumes of potassium 27 chloride (KCI) solutions and variable extraction times, i.e. the use of 3% KCI for 6 hours 28 29 can achieve a same-day extraction and subsequent ion chromatography (IC) analysis for reproducible lichen nitrate and ammonium concentration determinations. 30 31 Application of the method was undertaken by comparing urban and rural Xanthoria parietina samples to investigate the relative importance of the two nitrogen compounds 32 33 in contrasting environments. Findings presented showed that lichen nitrogen compound concentrations varied in rural and urban X. parietina samples, suggesting 34 different atmospheric nitrogen loadings from potentially different sources (e.g. 35 agricultural and traffic) and varied deposition patterns (e.g. urban layout impacts). 36 Despite potential impacts of nitrogen compounds on lichen metabolism, the approach 37 presented here can be used for quantification of two different nitrogen compounds in 38 lichen biomonitoring studies that will provide specific information on spatial and 39 temporal variability of airborne NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ concentrations that act as precursors of 40 particulate matter, affecting air quality and subsequently human health. 41

Keywords: Air quality, nitrogen speciation (NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺), *Xanthoria parietina*, Ion
chromatography (IC)

44 **1. Introduction**

Reactive nitrogen in the atmosphere comprises nitrogen oxides (NOx) and 45 reduced nitrogen compounds (NH_x), which originate from different sources, including 46 fossil fuel combustion and agricultural processes^{1,2}. Nitrate (NO₃) is a key plant 47 nutrient and is released into the environment by anthropogenic sources, such as the 48 production and use of fertilisers, and via fossil fuel combustion as nitric oxides^{3,4}. 49 Anthropogenic emissions of ammonia (NH₃) into the atmosphere are mainly derived 50 from animal waste, chemical fertilizers and biomass burning, accounting for 277 51 kilotons of atmospheric emissions in the UK⁵. Atmospheric ammonia (NH₃) is rapidly 52 deposited within 4 to 5 km of its source, but can be converted to ammonium (NH₄⁺) in 53 the atmosphere and then be transported distances of 100–1,000 km^{4,6,7}. However, 54 road traffic emissions release both nitrate and ammonia compounds^{4,8} and it is 55 suggested that NH₃ is now the dominant nitrogen species emitted by vehicles in urban 56 environments^{9–12}. NH₃ may now be the dominant nitrogen species emitted by vehicles, 57 due to improved NO_x reduction efficiency of three-way catalysts, varied gradients of 58 roads, varied traffic speeds (i.e. stop-and-go), and vehicle age9-12. Humans are 59 exposed to airborne pollutants via inhalation, ingestion and to a minor extent through 60 dermal, or skin, contact¹³. Health impacts induced by exposure to nitrate and 61 ammonium specifically are reported for the cardiovascular and respiratory systems¹⁴⁻ 62 ¹⁶. Because these nitrogen compounds are important precursors of secondary 63 particulates (i.e. PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀)^{17,18}, it is crucial to obtain information on the spatial 64 distribution of airborne NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ within urban environments, as these pollutants 65 66 negatively affect air quality and human health^{5,11,18}. The current EU/UK Air Quality Directive (2008/50/EC) is set out to monitor airborne pollutant levels and to minimise 67 human health risks from particulate matter (PM_{2.5/10}), sulphur dioxide and nitrogen 68 oxides (SO_x and NO_x), ozone (O₃) and carbon monoxide (CO). Hence, local authorities 69 in the UK use urban air quality monitoring stations to continuously record these 70 airborne pollutants, including NO_x and nitrogen dioxide (NO₂). However, other nitrogen 71 72 compounds, i.e. nitrate (NO₃-), ammonia (NH₃) and ammonium (NH₄+), are not continuously measured by common air quality monitoring stations, due to their 73 significant spatial heterogeneity and the requirement for costly equipment¹⁹. It is 74 75 therefore important to apply different approaches, to provide additional information about airborne NO_3^- and NH_4^+ pollution across different environments (e.g. urban and rural).

A biomonitoring approach, using organisms that are part of an ecosystem, can be 78 used to provide quantitative information (i.e. a measured concentration of a 79 compound) that reflect the environmental conditions in a region²⁰. Lichens have been 80 shown to be useful organisms for biomonitoring of atmospheric pollution and air 81 quality²⁰ and distributions of specific lichen species can reflect varying levels of 82 atmospheric pollution, because different lichens (e.g. crustose, foliose and fruticose) 83 exhibit differential sensitivity to specific airborne pollutants^{21,22}. They consist of fungal 84 (mycobiont: Ascomycetes), basidiomycete yeasts and photosynthetic (photobiont) 85 partners, the latter either a green-algae and/or cyanobacteria, and lack roots and 86 cuticle compared to higher plants^{21,23,24}. Recent studies also suggest the importance 87 of lichen-associated bacteria (more than 800 types of bacteria on single lichen 88 individual) and their ability to fix nutrients (e.g. nitrogen)²⁵⁻²⁸. Due to these 89 morphological features, lichens take up nutrients and also airborne pollutants directly 90 91 from the surrounding atmosphere, by dry and/or wet deposition^{20,29}. Lichens are commonly used as biomonitors where costly equipment is not viable, because they 92 are (a) widely distributed, (b) perennial, (c) long-living, (d) slow growing and (e) able 93 to bioaccumulate air pollutants^{20,30}. Thus, they provide an integrated pollution profile 94 ²⁰. For example, the nitrophytic lichen *Xanthoria parietina* flourishes in nitrogen-rich 95 habitats, e.g. areas enriched in NOx and NH3, showing less sensitivity to high nitrogen 96 compounds than acidophytic lichens^{4,22,31–33}. It is found ubiquitously across urban 97 environments, as well as in proximity to domestic livestock, due to increased 98 atmospheric nitrogen concentrations in such areas^{31,34,35}. Consequently, X. parietina 99 is ideally suited for development and application of a lichen nitrate and ammonium 100 extraction method, across both urban and rural settings. 101

Lichen total nitrogen contents (N wt%) can reflect airborne nitrogen loads from anthropogenic impacts (e.g. vehicular and agricultural/livestock emissions) and can be used to investigate spatial variability in the atmospheric burden of total nitrogen compound pollutants. However, such an approach cannot distinguish between the different nitrogen compounds that can be present in the atmosphere, e.g. NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺. Albeit nitrogen being an essential nutrient for lichens, nitrogen compounds such

as NO3⁻ and NH3/NH4⁺ reportedly impact on lichen species distribution and 108 metabolism^{4,35–39}. Moreover, both nitrogen compounds can be constituents of 109 atmospheric particulate matter (e.g. PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5}) that are closely linked to human 110 health risks, contributing to approximately 29,000 premature deaths in the UK^{40,41}. 111 Hence, additional monitoring of individual nitrogen compounds, e.g. by applying an 112 easy-to-use lichen biomonitoring approach, will provide further insights into 113 deteriorated air quality, potential identification of areas that are more likely to have 114 elevated levels of PM and associated human health risks. 115

Distinguishing nitrate and ammonium compounds within environmental samples 116 117 has previously been restricted to soil analyses and has not been applied to the same extent for lichens. Soil nitrate can be extracted using concentrated salt solutions, whilst 118 ammonium can be extracted using potassium (K) solutions, with 1 mol L⁻¹ and 2 mol 119 L⁻¹ potassium chloride (KCI) solutions widely used^{42,43}. In contrast, there is little 120 published data on the extraction and separation of nitrate and ammonia compounds 121 from lichens. Naeth and Wilkinson (2008) applied a water extraction and ion 122 123 chromatography analysis method to extract nitrate and ammonium from lichens sampled proximal to a diamond mine in Canada⁴⁴. Sims et al. (2017) measured 124 solubilised NO₃⁻ using a nitrate ion selective electrode (NO₃⁻ ISE) for the crustose 125 126 lichen *Buellia dispersa* to assess nitrate pollution in the Las Vegas Valley⁴⁵. However, these methods used solvents, i.e. deionised water, that might be insufficient to extract 127 fully all nitrate and ammonium from lichens, or suffer from analytical limitations such 128 as interfering anions (e.g. bicarbonate, carbonate and phosphate for NO₃⁻ ISE)⁴⁶. 129 Therefore, there is need for a new method to simultaneously extract both nitrogen 130 compounds from biomonitors (i.e. lichens), in air quality assessment studies. 131

This study aims: (i) to evaluate, if an optimal nitrate (NO_3) and ammonium (NH_4) 132 chemical extraction protocol for application to lichen material, using KCI solutions of 133 different strengths that potentially increase extraction efficiency, with subsequent 134 analysis by ion chromatography (IC) can be developed; (ii) if the method can be 135 applied to separate the established lichen total nitrogen content measurement into two 136 constituent nitrogen compounds, i.e. nitrate (NO₃) and ammonium (NH₄⁺), as well as 137 to quantify the concentrations of the two different nitrogen compounds; and (iii) if the 138 developed extraction method can be applied to lichen samples collected from urban 139

(City of Manchester, UK) and rural (a poultry farm near Shrewsbury, UK) 140 environments, in order to complete a test investigation of the relative importance of 141 nitrate and ammonium compounds to the atmospheric nitrogen loading and 142 atmospheric deposition in these two contrasting areas. The developed chemical 143 extraction technique extends the scope of lichen biomonitoring studies by enabling 144 quantification of different atmospheric nitrogen compounds, particularly in areas not 145 continuously monitored by automated air quality stations. However, further method 146 validation is necessary, to fully identify potential impacts of nitrogen compounds on 147 148 lichen N wt%. For instance, temporal variability (monthly or seasonal changes) could be recorded, to investigate N-deposition (i.e. wet and dry) in more detail. Additionally, 149 applying stable-nitrogen isotopes (e.g. $\delta^{15}N$)⁴⁷⁻⁴⁹ in lichens could aid the 150 understanding of potential NO₃⁻ and/or NH₄⁺ impacts on lichens and identify potential 151 sources^{48,49}. 152

153

2. Materials and Methods

154 2.1 Case study areas – urban and rural environments

Greater Manchester is a major urban conurbation in the northwest of England, UK, 155 with 2.7 million inhabitants⁵⁰. The City of Manchester (hereafter Manchester), is the 156 centre of this conurbation and covers an area of about 11,500 hectares, with ca. 157 550,000 inhabitants⁵¹. Local airborne pollutant data for Manchester reveal particular 158 problems regarding particulate matter (PM) and nitrogen dioxide (NO₂), and 159 associated human health problems (i.e. cardiovascular and respiratory diseases), 160 caused by deteriorated air quality and air pollution⁴¹. The contrasting rural environment 161 investigated (Fig. S1) is located near the small town Wem and covers an area of 366 162 hectares with 6,100 inhabitants⁵², north of Shrewsbury, Shropshire, UK. It was chosen 163 for comparison because of its remote and rural location, with atmospheric NO₃⁻ and 164 NH₄⁺ being primarily influenced by the agricultural surroundings, such as farm land 165 and livestock, e.g. poultry⁵³, whereas the Manchester urban environment is 166 characterised by predominance of industrial, domestic and vehicular nitrogen 167 emissions⁵⁴. No continuous recording data on individual nitrogen compound pollution 168 (i.e. nitrate and ammonia/ammonium) are available for either location. 169

170 2.2 Lichen sampling and preparation

In the context of a larger biomonitoring study to assess spatial variability of air quality in Manchester⁴⁷, urban *X. parietina* lichen samples (N=87; **Fig. 1**) were sampled from available and accessible street trees (e.g. *Acer* sp., *Fraxinus* sp. and *Tilia* sp.) following a general north-east/south-west transect across the centre of Manchester, during two sampling periods in 2016/2017 and 2018.

Potential sampling location across Manchester city centre were explored in March/April 2016 (prior to lichen sampling), based on tree species, tree abundance, site accessibility and visible lichen growth on twigs and branches (i.e. *X. parietina*). It is obvious (**Fig. 1**) that sampling locations within the city centre were limited, due to low tree cover. It was not possible to sample lichens from one tree species only, because of diverse ornamental and planted trees across the city centre area; however, tree species with similar bark substrate acidity²³, were sampled for lichens.

Environmental factors, such as light availability and illumination, precipitation, 183 humidity, bark age, corrugation and acidity are important factors for lichen community 184 development^{20,30,55}. For instance, light availability is a crucial parameter for the growth 185 186 photobiont (during thallus hydration), which consequently is vital for the lichen-forming fungus^{30,56}. However, less acidic conditions are generally found in upper parts of a 187 188 tree⁵⁵ and the presence of nitrophtytic species on twigs and branches suggest the presence of nitrogen compounds and similar environmental conditions (e.g. 189 eutrophication) across Manchester that impact on lichen colonisation and succession, 190 e.g. favouring the growth of nitrogen-preferring species such as X. parietina⁵⁷. Small 191 branches and twigs (2-4 m above ground) were sampled from trees, using a tree 192 pruner (locations in Fig. 1), from the cardinal direction facing the closest road. 193 Depending on lichen coverage (and accessibility) on individual trees, one or more 194 cardinal directions (clockwise rotation) were sampled and lichen samples were 195 combined into a single sample. Collected twigs with lichens were stored in paper bags 196 and returned to the laboratory; lichen material then was carefully scraped off the bark 197 using a stainless-steel scalpel, non-lichen detritus was removed under an illuminated 198 magnifying glass and dry lichen samples were ground into powder and homogenised 199 using an agate pestle and mortar and stored in glass vials (in the dark at 20°C - room 200 201 temperature, separated from chemicals) until chemical analyses. Due to the long initial sampling period (June 2016 to October 2017), certain sites (N=17, **Fig. 1**) were resampled in 2018, from the exact same trees for *X. parietina*, to investigate any temporal variability in lichen nitrogen chemistry. Re-visited sites were chosen based on measured total nitrogen contents (wt% N)⁴⁷, because different wt% N potentially indicate varying N-compound inputs.

A single urban *X. parietina* lichen sample, of which sufficient lichen material (~6.5 g) was sampled, was used for methodological development (**Fig. 1**, red cross). Only one site was used for method development to allow for comparison of extracted $NO_3^$ and NH_4^+ concentrations between experiments. This 'test site' was located close to a major 'A road' leading into Manchester city centre, which is used by ~30,000 vehicles daily⁵⁸ thereby indicating that road traffic emissions most likely influences airborne NO_3^- and NH_4^+ at this location.

X. parietina lichens (N=12; Fig. S1) also were sampled from a rural environment, 214 around a rural poultry farm in May 2018. These lichens were collected from oak 215 (Quercus spp.) and hawthorn (Crataegus spp.) trees, using the same procedure as 216 undertaken in the urban environment. Crataegus spp. is a more shrub-like, smaller 217 tree⁵⁹, which was sampled for X. parietina, requiring conscientious comparison. 218 However, it was primarily sampled in proximity to the poultry farm, generally 219 completely covered with lichens, suggesting a surplus of atmospheric nitrogen. 220 Although different tree species were sampled for twigs and branches, compared to the 221 222 urban environment, ammonia (NH₃) emissions in rural environments are reportedly related to increases in bark pH⁶⁰. 223

Thus, suggesting bark eutrophication that favours the growth of nitrogenpreferring *X. parietina* and subsequently allow for comparison between environments. Rural lichens were sampled on a general north-east/south-west transect away from the poultry farm, both in close proximity (i.e. 50 to 500 m) and at greater distances (i.e. 1-3 km). Sampling locations were selected where there was public access, i.e. fenced and hedged agricultural fields and private property could not be sampled. Site-specific data for urban and rural sampling sites are displayed in **Tab. S1** and **S2**.



231

Fig. 1: *X. parietina* lichen sampling locations sites (i.e. trees, displayed with site-IDs; N=87; sampled between June 2016 and October 2017), including method development site (red cross), within Manchester city centre; Circled sampling sites (green, N=17) were re-sampled in 2018.

Automated air-quality monitoring stations (Oxford Road and Piccadilly Gardens) and location of study area within Greater Manchester and the UK also are shown (contains Ordnance Survey data © Crown copyright and database right 2010-21. OpenStreetMap® is open data, licensed

236 under the Open Data Commons Open Database License (ODbL) by the OpenStreetMap Foundation (OSMF).

237 2.3 Experimental setup to extract NO_3^- and NH_4^+ from lichen material

To assess extractability of NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ from lichen material, methodological 238 development was based on soil extraction studies for inorganic nitrogen that have 239 applied potassium chloride (KCI) solutions, with 2M KCI being most commonly 240 applied⁴³. A KCI-based extraction protocol was also considered appropriate for 241 lichens. Influences of extraction solvent concentration (in % KCl), solvent volume to 242 lichen mass ratio (in m/v), time of extraction (in hours), and mixing (vortexing and non-243 244 vortexing), were all tested to determine an optimal extraction procedure, i.e. to obtain reproducible NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ concentrations from lichen material (Tab. S3). A low 245 246 sample mass-to-extraction solvent volume ratio (1:100 m/v) was used to begin methodological development, i.e. 0.05 g lichen material and 5 mL solvent was chosen 247 to effectively extract NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ and minimise potential solution saturation when 248 using a higher m/v-ratio. Experimental set-up and test variables and associated 249 250 rationale are summarised in Tab. S3.

About 0.05 g of lichen was weighed into 12 mL plastic tubes (101 x 16.5 mm, 251 Sarstedt), in triplicate for each extraction procedure variable tested, and extraction 252 solvent was added to the tubes (Fig. 2). Potassium chloride (KCl, ≥99%, Sigma-253 Aldrich) extraction solutions were prepared fresh before each sample extraction. 254 Tubes containing lichen material and solvent were cautiously shaken (non-vortexing), 255 256 to release lichen material stuck to the bottom of the tube, or were vortexed (30 sec. at 257 1000 rpm) utilizing a digital vortex mixer (VWR) to potentially fully mix and disperse lichen powder material. Vortexing was included to investigate potential cell wall 258 259 breakdown and release of intra-cellular nitrogen compounds, in addition to nitrogen compounds adsorbed to the lichen surface. Lichen samples were left for the specified 260 extraction times (6 h or 24 h) and then centrifuged for 20 minutes at 4000 rpm. 261 Subsequently, 0.5 mL supernatant was pipetted out and passed through 0.2 µm nylon 262 syringe filters (Fisher Scientific) into new tubes (Fig. 2). Filtered extraction solvents 263 were diluted to 1% KCl strength (using 18.2 M Ω ultrapure water) and the 264 concentrations of the two individual nitrogen compounds determined using a Thermo 265 Scientific (Dionex) ICS-5000 ion chromatography (IC) system. Blanks were included 266 during each extraction experiment, these were handled in exactly the same way as 267

- the lichen samples (i.e., vortexing and filtering) and used for blank subtractions before
- 269 further data analysis.



270

Fig. 2: Schematic overview of lichen extraction procedure, including extraction and centrifuging (1), pipetting of supernatant (1a) and syringe filtering (2b and 2c), and dilution of extracted sample solution (3) prior to IC analysis (4a) and data evaluation and extraction (4b)

274 2.4 Quantification of NO_3^- and NH_4^+ by ion chromatography (IC)

²⁷⁵ Ion chromatography is one commonly used method to determine anions (NO₃⁻) ²⁷⁶ and cations (NH₄⁺), and is particularly recommended for speciation analyses, due to ²⁷⁷ simultaneous determination, short measurement time, good reproducibility and high ²⁷⁸ sensitivity⁶¹. This study used a Dionex-ICS 5000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, UK) in the ²⁷⁹ Department of Natural Sciences, Manchester Metropolitan University.

Calibration standards were made up in DI or 1% KCl, to ensure matrix matching with the final diluted (where necessary) lichen solvent extracts. Calibration standards (six point) were made up from 'Dionex Seven Anion Standard II' (Thermo Scientific, UK) and 'Dionex Six Cation Standard I' (Thermo Scientific, UK). Anions (nitrate; NO₃⁻) were analysed using an AG18 guard column (2 mm x 50 mm) and an AS18 separation column (2 mm x 250 mm). A potassium hydroxide eluent gradient was generated electrolytically using an EGC III KOH cartridge, starting at 18 mM KOH with a slope of 1.96 mM/min for 16 minutes. For cations (ammonium; NH_4^+) a CG16 guard column (3 mm x 50 mm) and CS16 separation column (3 mm x 250 mm) were used. Methanesulfonic acid (MSA) at 39 mM was pumped isocratically during the analysis. Both signals, for anion: NO_3^- and cation: NH_4^+ , were measured using suppressed conductivity.

Certified reference material (CRM, 'Simple Nutrients - Whole volume', Sigma-292 Aldrich, UK) for anions (certified as NO₃-N: 10.5±0.187 mg L⁻¹, converted to NO₃-: 293 46.48 mg L⁻¹ using IUPAC atomic weights for nitrogen and oxygen), and a diluted 294 calibration standard (3.125 mg L⁻¹; Dionex Six Cation Standard I) for cations, were 295 included within each measurement batch (i.e. separate extraction experiments) to 296 check accuracy and precision (both instrumental and between extraction 297 experiments). Overall accuracy (N=62; Tab. S4; Fig. S2) of reference material 298 concentration determinations was 98% for NO₃⁻ and 105% for NH₄⁺, with individual 299 300 sample batch accuracy ranging between 93% and 104% (NO₃), and from 94% to 116% (NH₄⁺). Overall precision (N=62; **Tab. S4**; **Fig. S2**) was <5 %RSD (relative 301 302 standard deviation in %) for NO_{3⁻} and <8 %RSD for NH₄⁺, with individual batch precision ranging between 1% and 6% (for NO₃) and 1% and 9% (for NH₄). Batch-303 to-batch correction for any variability in IC-determined NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ concentrations 304 305 in lichen material extracts was not undertaken, because of good repeatability for each analytical batch. 306

Lower limits of detection (LLD), calculated as three times the standard deviation 307 of replicate procedural blank measurements, were calculated separately for each 308 309 analytical batch and ranged between 0.09 and 0.41 mg L⁻¹ for NO₃⁻, and from 0.01 to 0.13 mg L⁻¹ for NH₄⁺. Average procedural blank concentrations of NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ were 310 used for blank subtraction, sometimes resulting in measured analyte concentrations 311 below LLD for some extracted lichen material, these measurements were excluded 312 from further analysis (for experiments undertaken and extracted lichen NO₃- and NH₄+, 313 which are displayed as N/A in Tab. S1). 314

315 2.5 Statistical analysis

Data visualisation and statistical testing were performed using Minitab 19 (State College, PA, USA), Origin (OriginLab Corporation, MA, USA) and open-source software R (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, AUT) and jamovi (The jamovi
 project, AUS)^{62–65}. Mapping of data was performed using QGIS 3.12 (QGIS
 Association, CH)⁶⁶.

Dataset normality was tested using Shapiro-Wilk, because of its higher statistical 321 power compared to other statistical tests, e.g. Kolmogorov-Smirnoff, irrespective of 322 sample size⁶⁷. Outcomes of the Shapiro-Wilk test for dataset normality informed the 323 use of parametric or non-parametric tests for dataset comparisons. Comparison of 324 nitrogen compound concentrations resulting from different lichen sampling months 325 (temporal variability) was undertaken using Wilcoxon test statistics (NO3; non-326 327 parametric) and paired t-test (NH4⁺; parametric), respectively. Nitrogen compound concentrations extracted from urban and rural lichen samples were compared using a 328 329 Mann-Whitney test (two-tailed; non-parametric).

330 3. Results and Discussion

331 3.1 Influence of solvent type, concentration and volume on extractability of lichen 332 NO_3^- and NH_4^+

333 During experiment 1, extracted NO₃⁻ concentrations showed higher replicate variability with increasing solvent strength, i.e. 7.5% and 15% KCI (Fig. 3a). For the 334 single lichen test sample, the lowest extracted NO₃ concentration was found for 335 deionised water (DI; 1.03 mg kg⁻¹), whereas the highest was for 15% KCI (94.85 mg 336 kg⁻¹; Fig. 3a). Furthermore, application of 15% KCl resulted in highly variable and 337 inconsistent NO₃⁻ concentrations for all tested solvent volumes (Fig. 3a). More 338 reproducible extracted NO₃ concentrations were recorded using 1% KCl for all 339 different extraction solvent volumes (although recorded NO3⁻ for 1 mL and 2 mL 340 showed concentrations below the limit of detection, <LLD). Deionised water 341 extractions showed a slight increase of minimum extracted NO₃⁻ concentration with 342 increasing extraction volumes, an observation comparable to results for 1% KCI (Fig. 343 3a). 344

Minimum and maximum extracted ammonium concentrations generally increased 345 with increasing solvent volume in experiment 1 (Fig. 3c). However, extracted NH₄⁺ 346 concentrations were more variable for the higher concentration 7.5% and 15% KCI 347 348 solvents (Fig. 3c), an outcome comparable to the extracted NO_3^- concentrations. Hence, a potential saturation of smaller extraction volumes and potential impact, i.e. 349 350 dissolution of the lichen material, on NH4⁺ concentrations when using more concentrated solvents (e.g. 15% KCl; Fig. 3c) is suggested. Replicate extracted NH4⁺ 351 concentrations for less concentrated KCI solutions (3% and 6% KCI) were more 352 reproducible in experiment 2, albeit with the highest extracted NH₄⁺ concentration 353 (49.93 mg kg⁻¹; **Fig. 3d**) using 5 mL 1% KCl being an outlier with no plausible 354 explanation. 355

Overall, extracted NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ concentrations were most variable for replicates using the higher concentration KCl solutions (i.e. 7.5% and 15% KCl), suggesting that these solvents are too concentrated for a reproducible extraction of the target nitrogen compounds from lichen material. Reducing KCl solvent concentrations to 6% and 3% improved the repeatability of the more variable (cf. ammonium) NO₃⁻ extractions, with 2 mL and 3 mL volumes of 3% KCl resulting in the most reproducible concentrations
 (for 0.05 g of lichen material).

Using concentrated salt solutions (e.g. KCI) with a high soil weight-to-solution ratio 363 (e.g. 1:10 m/v) to remove biologically available nutrients from soil samples has been 364 found to be preferable over deionised water⁴². The primary aim of this study was to 365 identify, if KCI solutions, rather than deionised water, are beneficial for extracting 366 nitrogen compounds and findings presented demonstrate that a low sample mass-to-367 solution ratio (e.g. 1:40 and 1:60 m/v) is appropriate for the most reproducible 368 extraction of NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ from lichen material. Interestingly, in contrast to the 369 370 expected increased extraction efficiency by high strengths KCI solutions, reduced KCI strengths were found preferable (i.e. 3% KCI). However, the single lichen test sample 371 used for methodological development was collected from a potentially highly polluted 372 urban environment, such that higher sample mass-to-extraction solution ratios might 373 374 be more appropriate for lichen samples from less polluted environments. Nonetheless, because of the potential for saturation when using smaller solvent volumes, a 1:60 375 376 ratio (0.05 g of lichen material and 3 mL KCl) has been identified as the optimal analytical protocol. But, given the limited number of studies that have attempted to 377 quantify separately lichen NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ contents there is scope to test other solvents 378 379 (e.g., BaCl₂, NaHCO₃ and NH₄Cl, that have also been applied in soil studies)⁴² to improve further extraction of nitrogen compounds from lichen material. 380

381 3.2 Influences of extraction time and mixing procedure on extractability of lichen 382 NO_{3⁻} and NH_{4⁺}

Because of variable concentrations for replicates for both nitrogen compounds 383 with a 24-hour extraction of lichen material (Experiments 1 and 2 were extracted for 384 24 hours; Fig. 3), a considerably reduced extraction time was investigated. A 6-hour 385 approach was assessed as a means of minimising variability in extracted NO₃⁻ and 386 NH4⁺ and to facilitate same-day extraction and quantification of the two nitrogen 387 compounds (Experiment 3, **Tab. S3**). Overall, highest extracted NO₃⁻ concentration 388 was recorded using a 6-hour extraction, ranging from 95.7 to 105.1 mg kg⁻¹ (Fig. 4), 389 whereas 24-hour extraction NO₃⁻ concentrations were below the analytical run LLD (2) 390 mL: <0.18 mg L⁻¹; 3 mL: <0.11 mg L⁻¹; missing bars in **Fig. 4**) for the tested solvent 391 392 volumes, which is comparable to some NO₃⁻ concentrations from experiment #1 and ³⁹³ #2. In contrast, reproducible ammonium concentrations were recorded for replicates ³⁹⁴ for both 24- and 6-hour extraction times, with slightly higher concentrations when left ³⁹⁵ for 24 hours using 3 mL of 3% KCI (**Fig. 4**). However, these higher NH₄⁺ concentrations ³⁹⁶ were considered negligible in comparison to 6-hour extracted NH₄⁺ concentrations ³⁹⁷ (using 2 mL or 3 mL of solvent; **Fig. 4**), because of results obtained for NO₃⁻ ³⁹⁸ concentrations that were <LLD in this experiment (**Fig. 4**).

Gradual increases of NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ concentrations with increasing extraction time 399 have been reported for soil extraction studies^{42,43}. Damage to lichen cells (i.e. algal 400 and fungal) over time and subsequent release of intra-cellular nitrogen compounds 401 402 could explain the differences between tested extraction times, which could also be evident when using an aggressive mixing procedure (i.e. vortexing; discussed below). 403 404 Also, microbial reduction of NO₃⁻ in aqueous solutions (e.g. soil extracts) when organic matter is present has been reported⁴⁶ and could be an explanation for the 24-hour 405 extraction NO₃ concentrations being below the LLD (e.g. excluded values in Fig. 3 406 and **Fig. 4**). NO₃⁻ in solutions can reportedly be reduced to nitrite and ammonium by 407 UV light and can form metal-nitrate complexes^{68–70}. Lichen extracts for 24 hours were 408 extracted in the laboratory at room temperature, suggesting potential UV-light 409 reduction. Further, metal ions in the lichen extracts (e.g. Mg, Ni, Cu and Zn)⁶⁹ could 410 have formed complexes with present NO₃ over the prolonged extraction period. 411 Lichens are associated with bacteria (i.e. from their substrate they grow on and on 412 their surface)^{25,27,28} that most likely acted as nitrate reducers (i.e. oxidation of nitrate 413 by bacteria in the presence of organic matter)⁴⁶ during the completed KCI extraction 414 experiments. Boric acid (1 mol L⁻¹) could have been used as a preservation solution 415 for 24-hour extraction times⁴⁶, to minimise potential NO₃⁻ reduction in the lichen-KCl-416 solution, but testing this approach was not within the scope of this study. However, 417 this study has identified an optimal extraction method (first aim), using a reduced 418 extraction time (i.e. 6 hours) to achieve same-day extraction and subsequent IC 419 analysis. Thus, the developed method was able to extract (and quantify) the two target 420 421 nitrogen compounds from lichen material (second aim).

Potential release of intra-cellular nitrogen through aggressive mixing (vortexing cf. non-vortexing) of the lichen-solvent mixture also was investigated; this test did not show any significant statistical differences in extracted nitrate or ammonium concentrations (**Fig. 4**), suggesting that the sample state, i.e. lichen ground to a fine powder, is suitable to extract the two different nitrogen compounds. Therefore, when
lichen material is ground to a fine powder, the "total" amount of nitrogen compounds,
i.e. a combination of adsorbed (i.e. particulate) and intra-cellular NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺, is
likely extracted.



430

Fig. 3: (a) NO₃⁻ and (c) NH₄⁺ extracted concentrations [mg kg⁻¹] for experiment #1 (triplicate extractions) and (b) NO₃⁻ and (d) NH₄⁺ extracted concentrations [mg kg⁻¹] for experiment #2 (triplicate extractions for 3% and 6% KCl only, due to sample mass restrictions for further method testing and results obtained by experiment #1), error-bars represent IC-CRM %RSD: NO₃⁻: 6.42% and NH₄⁺: 6.30%); experiment #1 presented as range plot (minimum to maximum), due to high variability of recorded concentrations using more concentrated solvents (7.5% and 15% KCl), experiment #2 presented as bar graphs (showing proportionately extracted NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺) due to more reproducible concentrations obtained for replicate extractions for lower concentration KCl solutions (3% and 6% KCl); both grouped by solvent and volume used [in mL].Values <LLD (*) for analytical runs were excluded.



438

439 Fig. 4: Nitrate and ammonium extracted concentrations [mg kg⁻¹] (log₁₀-scale; triplicate extractions for tested variables) for 2 mL and 3 mL volumes of 3% KCl, for 24- (24h) and 6-hour (6h) extraction times and mixing technique: vortexing (v) and non-vortexing (nv); presented as bar chart, 440 error-bars represent IC-CRM %RSD: NO₃: ±0.91%; NH₄⁺: ±0.76% (24 h) and NO₃: ±1.63% NH₄⁺: ±1.07% (6 h); no bars for NO₃⁻ represent 441 excluded values, because concentrations were below the analytical run detection limits (<0.18 mg L⁻¹ for 2 mL and 24 hours; <0.11 mg L⁻¹ for 3 442

443 mL and 24 hours)

3.3 Optimised extraction method to compare rural and urban lichen nitrate andammonium concentrations

The optimised chemical extraction method (3 mL of 3% KCl and a 6-hour extraction 446 time) was applied to urban and rural lichen samples, to test the method and compare 447 the relative contributions of different airborne nitrogen compounds to the lichen 448 nitrogen content, in contrasting environments. Generally, NO₃- and NH₄+ concentration 449 ranges in urban X. parietina samples were higher compared to rural counterparts (Fig. 450 **S3**). NO₃ was from 9.96–143 mg kg⁻¹ in urban (N=87) and from 1.07–46 mg kg⁻¹ in 451 rural (N=12) lichen samples. NH4⁺ concentrations ranged from 3.18-28 mg kg⁻¹ 452 (urban) and 8.54–13 mg kg⁻¹ (rural), respectively. Mann-Whitney test statistics showed 453 significant (p<0.01) differences between rural and urban X. parietina samples for 454 455 nitrate concentrations, but not for ammonium (p=0.27).

Highest extracted NO₃⁻ concentration in the rural environment was recorded in close 456 proximity (<150 m) to the poultry farm. Poultry manure contains nitrate that is bound 457 to particulates or occurs as nitric acid^{71–73} most likely influencing extracted lichen NO₃⁻ 458 concentrations. Comparably, NH₄⁺ concentrations in rural X. parietina also were 459 460 highest in the vicinity of the poultry farm (>12 mg kg⁻¹) suggesting primarily agricultural and livestock pollutant sources, e.g. from animal waste and chemical fertiliser^{4,53}. 461 462 Poultry farm emissions directly deposited on the lichen surface apparently affected recorded lichen nitrogen compound concentrations. Certainly, agricultural practices 463 (e.g. fertilizer use) could have also influenced recorded lichen NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺. For 464 instance, nitrogenous fertilisers in the form of ammonium nitrate (NH4NO3) are 465 commonly used in the UK and agricultural activities are responsible for 88% of annual 466 (2016) UK NH₃ emissions, and its reaction product NH₄⁺, in rural environments; the 467 latter is primarily emitted at ground level^{48,53}. 468

By comparison, higher and more variable concentration ranges for the urban environment *X. parietina*, for both NO_3^- and NH_4^+ , suggest a more complex mixture of airborne nitrogen compounds (oxidised – NO_x and reduced NH_x) and indicate the importance of both atmospheric nitrogen compounds in an urban surrounding, i.e. from varying potential pollution sources such as traffic, industrial and domestic emissions⁷⁴, when compared to the rural environment. For instance, Longley *et al.* (2005) reported that traffic is a significant source of ammonia (and NO_x) in the city centre of 476 Manchester⁷⁵, which could explain elevated NH₄⁺ concentrations recorded in urban 477 lichens. However, NH₄⁺ concentrations were elevated in both environments (no 478 statistically significant difference), although likely linked to different sources, whereas 479 NO_3^- concentrations are potentially related to increased NO_x emissions in the urban 480 environment. Comparably, Niepsch *et al.* (2021) reported elevated NO₂ concentrations 481 across Manchester⁷⁶.

Overall, this study was able to identify the relative importance of 'speciated' atmospheric nitrogen compounds (third aim) in diverse environments. Nonetheless, additional research focussing on NO_3^- and NH_4^+ concentrations in more N-sensitive lichens could provide further information on "critical" levels of atmospheric concentrations and deposition. Such an approach could be further combined with stable-nitrogen ($\delta^{15}N$) isotope ratios of lichens for source apportionment studies⁴⁷.

488 3.4 Temporal variability of NO_3^- and NH_4^+ in urban X. parietina samples in 489 Manchester

Lichen sampling, from the exact same tree, was repeated in 2018 to investigate potential temporal variability superimposing on spatial variability of both nitrogen compounds. Extracted NO_3^- concentrations were not statistically significantly (Wilcoxon test; p=0.06) different at re-visited sites (in 2018; **Fig. S4**, **Tab. S5**), whereas ammonium concentrations (**Fig. S4**) were significantly different (paired t-test; p<0.01) between sampling periods 2016/2017 and re-visited in 2018.

Seasonal variability of atmospheric NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ concentrations and particulate 496 matter (e.g. containing NH₄NO₃) in urban environments has been reported, being 497 particularly elevated during cold seasons^{77–82}. Such seasonal trends for particulate 498 matter (PM₁₀ at Oxford Road and PM_{2.5} at Piccadilly Gardens) were also recorded at 499 automated air quality monitoring stations^{83,84} during this study's sampling intervals, 500 with lichen NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ concentrations also suggesting temporarily variable 501 nitrogen compound concentrations across the Manchester city centre area. Temporal 502 variation in NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ due to atmospheric process i.e. volatilization of particulate-503 NH₄⁺ during warmer periods^{78,82,85} could not be accounted for and were out of scope 504 for investigation by this study. Further, a potential wash-off effect during precipitation 505 events cannot be fully excluded and the recorded temporal variation could be related 506

to removal of adsorbed NO_3^- and NH_4^+ during a rainy period prior to sampling. Hence, potential differences from dry and wet deposition of NO_3^- and NH_4^+ during lichen sampling periods cannot be fully accounted for in this study.

Nevertheless, to minimise such an effect, sampling was undertaken during dry 510 days (throughout the sampling intervals) only. Additionally, prior to grinding and 511 chemical extraction, this study's X. parietina samples were not washed, such that 512 deposited NO₃/NH₄+-containing particles and/or nitric acid adsorbed to the lichen 513 surface were included during the extraction process^{73,86}. To successfully investigate 514 seasonal patterns of nitrate and ammonium concentrations, and to minimise effects of 515 516 atmospheric reactions and removal of NO₃ and NH₄⁺ containing particulates during wetter seasons, this study's findings suggest a more frequent lichen sampling can 517 yield a higher density temporal dataset. Additionally, incorporation of meteorological 518 parameters that affect ambient NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ concentrations (e.g. air temperature 519 and relative humidity), as well as speciation of particulate matter for nitrogen 520 compounds⁷⁷ into a lichen biomonitoring approach could be used to explain further 521 522 any temporal variability of lichen-extracted NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺. Thus, using lichens to extract different nitrogen compounds can provide information on temporal variability 523 524 of particulate pre-cursors, specifically at sampling locations that are not covered by 525 continuous airborne pollutant measurement stations.

526 3.5 Spatial variability of NO_3^- and NH_4^+ in X. parietina samples in Manchester

Spatially variable NO_3^- concentrations (9.96–143 mg kg⁻¹) were evident across the Manchester study area, with elevated NO_3^- generally measured at roadside/road junction locations in the north-east and south-east of the study area, whereas lower NO_3^- concentrations were recorded within Manchester city centre (**Fig. 5a**). In contrast, lichen NH_4^+ (3.18–27.7 mg kg⁻¹) was highest within the city centre area and along major roadside locations (**Fig. 5b**).

Varying lichen NO_3^- concentrations have been reported around a diamond mine in Canada and for the Los Angeles Valley (USA), the latter with more comparable (14– 564 mg kg⁻¹) NO_3^- concentrations to those recorded in this study^{44,45}. However, comparison between studies is difficult, because of the different lichen species utilised (e.g. terricolous, crustose and foliose [this study])^{44,45} methodologies applied for

extraction of nitrogen compounds (e.g. deionised water, Naeth and Wilkinson, 2008; 538 KCl solution, this study) and analysis (e.g. ion-selective electrode, Sims et al., 2017; 539 ion chromatography, Naeth and Wilkinson, 2008 and this study). Nonetheless, spatial 540 variability of lichen-extracted NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ across Manchester suggest site-specific 541 influences on airborne nitrogen compounds. NO₃ is an important constituent of NO_x 542 (i.e. primarily sourced from vehicular emissions in Manchester)⁴¹ and could have been 543 deposited as fine particulates on the lichen surface in close proximity to major roads⁶⁰. 544 Indeed, elevated lichen NO₃ concentrations along the road network (Fig. 5) suggest 545 546 rapid deposition (as HNO₃ and/or particulate-NO₃) in the vicinity of "localised" sources^{45,73}. Slightly elevated lichen-NO₃ (>29 mg kg⁻¹) in more residential 547 surroundings (e.g. in the northeast of the research area; Fig. 5a) suggest possible 548 additional NO_x sources, e.g. from domestic combustion and energy generation⁷⁴. 549

Lichen-NH₄⁺ concentrations (**Fig. 5b**) suggest traffic-related NH_x as the main cause 550 of ammonium pollution, e.g. from three-way catalyst cars and connected increases in 551 airborne NH₃ and subsequently NH₄+9,11,12. Elevated ammonia/ammonium 552 concentrations from vehicular sources (i.e. diesel vehicles) have been reported for 553 urban areas and higher vehicular nitrogen emissions have been linked to slow traffic 554 flow and driving speed^{9–11,78,85,87}. Thus, higher lichen NH₄⁺ concentrations within 555 Manchester city centre could be related to driving patterns (i.e. slow driving speed and 556 stop-and-go traffic), which are particularly slow during peak hours (i.e. AM and PM 557 peaks)⁸⁸. Vehicular emitted NH₃ greatly enhances the formation and growth of 558 secondary aerosols^{11,89} and elevated lichen NH₄⁺ concentrations could indicate 559 predominantly adsorption of secondary particulates (e.g. NH₄NO₃ and/or (NH₄)₂SO₄). 560 Notably NH₄⁺ primarily occurs in the fine particulate fraction and higher lichen-NH₄⁺ 561 within the city centre suggest 'canyoning' effects (i.e. by higher buildings within the city 562 centre area) and potential ineffective removal of particulates (by wind and rain) within 563 the densely built-up area^{6,87,90}. 564

The presence of other nitrogen species (e.g. NO and NO₂), as well as ozone (O₃), and atmospheric reactions between airborne pollutants⁸¹ within Manchester's city centre also could explain spatial (and temporal) differences recorded for lichen extracted NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ concentrations. Indeed, an effect of sampling location (i.e. distance to potential pollution source) and sampling direction has been reported^{44,45}. Local pollution sources (e.g. industrial and/or vehicular) and urban characteristics (e.g.
open spaces, building heights and building density) are important considerations when
applying a lichen biomonitoring approach.

In this study, lichens were sampled from street trees across Manchester, within more densely built-up, open and residential surroundings and varying distances to roads. Although the findings of this study suggest vehicular emissions as the primary cause of recorded NO_3^- and NH_4^+ concentrations, a more complex mixture of "local" pollution sources (NO_x and NH_x) and potential urban layout effects are also suggested (**Fig. 5**).

Ecological indicators, such as lichens, are influenced by environmental factors on 579 different spatial scales (locally and regionally) as well as by multiple pollutants⁹¹. 580 Cytotoxic effects of high pollutant concentrations (e.g. NO_3^- and NH_4^+) on lichens have 581 been reported and molecular mechanisms of lichen nitrogen metabolism are not yet 582 fully understood, but need to be considered when using lichens in air quality 583 assessment studies^{4,39,92}. However, it has been hypothesised that lichen fruiting 584 bodies (apothecia) and nitrogen-fixating, free-living or vascular plant associated 585 bacteria could function as nitrogen sinks and fixators on the lichen surface, when 586 exposed to high pollutant concentrations^{4,26,39,93}. In this study, the N-tolerant lichen X. 587 parietina was utilised, whose tolerance to high nitrogen deposition rates is potentially 588 589 linked to a low cation exchange capacity and subsequently limited cell wall binding 590 sites^{35,94}. Potential impacts of elevated nitrogen compounds and other pollutants (e.g. airborne metals)^{44,95} on lichens, meteorological parameters (e.g. wind, temperature 591 and precipitation)95,96, as well as potential effects by the urban surrounding and 592 subsequent pollutant dispersion patterns^{8,97,98} were out of scope for this study, but 593 should be considered for inclusion in further research on spatial distribution of lichen 594 extracted NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺. 595

Notwithstanding some limitations of this study, lichen-extracted (*X. parietina*) NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ concentrations, using the novel approach, provide information on spatial variability of two important airborne nitrogen compounds. However, little standardisation for lichen sample pre-extraction preparation (i.e. washing procedure) is available²⁹, and comparison of washed and unwashed lichen samples could allow for determination of deposited against intra-cellular nitrogen compounds. However,

24

602 Gaio-Oliveira et al. (2001) reported no difference for total nitrogen between washed and unwashed X. parietina samples and that organic nitrogen in lichens in the ranges 603 of 20–30 mg (per gram of dry weight)⁹⁹, whereas NO₃ and NH₄⁺ on the thallus surface 604 are in the µg ranges^{94,99}. Thus, they suggested no significant contribution of dry 605 deposited nitrogen to the total lichen nitrogen. Further Munzi et al. (2017) reported a 606 pH alkalinisation of lichen surfaces when exposed to elevated NO₃⁻ concentrations, 607 whereas an acidification was observed during NH₄⁺ exposure¹⁰⁰. Hence, a pH-608 dependent competition of pollutants (e.g. metals) and nutrients (e.g. nitrogen) for 609 binding sites¹⁰¹, that may affect elemental uptake, is suggested. 610

611 Due to the importance of NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ in particulate formation⁴⁰, "total" concentrations obtained by a lichen extraction approach applied in this study, provide 612 crucial insights into atmospheric nitrogen compound concentrations. Hence, a lichen 613 biomonitoring approach can provide a simple and easy-to-use strategy to identify 614 areas of human health concern, because airborne pollutant concentrations (e.g. 615 PM_{2.5/10}) are only measured by two automated monitoring stations within the city centre 616 617 of Manchester. Subsequently, poor air quality and associated human health impacts by nitrogen compounds are potentially underestimated across Manchester and affects 618 a larger area than covered by continuously monitored monitoring locations (e.g. at 619 620 Piccadilly Gardens and at Oxford Road). Such an approach can be further investigated and supported using passive and/or active monitoring programmes and of course, the 621 exact same lichen biomonitoring approach can easily be applied to other urban 622 623 environments.



Fig. 5: Colour-graduated maps of urban *X. parietina* extracted nitrate (a) and ammonium (b) concentrations [mg kg⁻¹]; displayed with major road network (motorway, A- and B- roads) and automated air quality monitoring stations across Manchester city centre (Contains Ordnance Survey data © Crown copyright and database right 2010-21. OpenStreetMap® is open data, licensed under the Open Data Commons Open Database License (ODbL) by the OpenStreetMap Foundation (OSMF)

631 3.6 Nitrogen compounds and potential impacts on lichens

In principle, lichens are able to use inorganic nitrogen (e.g. NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺) to 632 fulfil their nitrogen demand⁴. Although this study recorded spatial and temporal 633 variability of nitrogen compounds (NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺) across Manchester, it is worth 634 noting that both compounds can be incorporated into the lichen (e.g. in proteins), but 635 also may have toxic effects on lichens. However, little is known about lichen nitrogen 636 species assimilation, with nitrate assimilation being less effective than ammonium 637 assimilation under laboratory conditions^{4,39,102,103}. Pavlova and Maslov (2008) reported 638 that the mycobiont is responsible for nitrate assimilation in green-algal (*Trebouxia* sp.) 639 lichens¹⁰⁴. Lichens (*X. parietina*) analysed in this study also contain the green-algal 640 species *Trebouxia*^{4,35}, suggesting that the mycobiont in this lichen is also responsible 641 for nitrate assimilation. Moreover, it has been reported that different nitrogen 642 compounds cause changes in the protein profile of lichens, specifically induced by the 643 fungal partner¹⁰⁰. For instance, the algal partner in *X. parietina* (*Trebouxia* spp.) was 644 found to respond to increased NO_3^{-} by changes in photosynthetic proteins¹⁰⁰. 645

On the contrary, NH₄⁺ is known for its toxicity to lichens and needs to be rapidly 646 converted to its non-toxic storage form^{4,39,92}. However, it is known that green-algal 647 lichens have a higher affinity for ammonium and nitrophytic lichens (i.e. X. parietina) 648 increase their photosynthetic capacity even at high NH₄⁺ concentrations^{4,35,102,103,105}. 649 Gaio-Oliveira et al. (2005b) further hypothesised that the presence of apothecia (lichen 650 fruiting bodies) could function as nitrogen sinks, when exposed to high NH₄⁺³⁹. Cation 651 exchange capacity and polyamine production have also been proposed to oppose 652 detrimental effects by NH₄^{+36,94,106}. For instance, a reduction in K⁺ and Mg²⁺ in X. 653 parietina was proposed as an avoidance mechanism for ammonium-induced 654 membrane damage or solute leaching³⁶. 655

Despite the potential influences of elevated NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ concentrations on lichens, inorganic nitrogen fractions are most likely linked to environmental sources⁹⁴. Hence, spatial and temporal variability recorded in this study are potentially linked to (locally) variable concentrations of anthropogenically emitted NO_x and NH_x. Nonetheless, further research is necessary to incorporate lichen metabolism into biomonitoring studies.

662 **4. Conclusion**

Although lichen total N contents (N wt%) can be used to investigate spatial variability in the total atmospheric burden of nitrogen compound pollutants, such an approach does not distinguish between different nitrogen compounds. The main aim of this study was to develop an optimised method for extracting and quantifying $NO_{3^{-}}$ and $NH_{4^{+}}$ from lichen material, to extend and improve airborne nitrogen lichen biomonitoring studies by distinguishing two important constituents in particulate matter formation for improved air quality assessment studies.

The favoured extraction method consists of 3 mL of 3% (~0.5 mol L⁻¹) KCl solution, 670 671 using a same-day (6 hour) extraction and subsequent analysis (by ion chromatography) approach, which allows for reproducible extraction of NO₃ and NH₄⁺ 672 from lichen material. This new method was applied to urban and rural lichen samples 673 (Xanthoria parietina) to compare contrasting environments and to assess temporal 674 and spatial variability of nitrogen compounds in Manchester (UK) city centre. This 675 study's results suggest NH_x as the major pollutant across the rural environment, 676 whereas both NO_x and NH_x were recorded for the urban environment. Thus, the new 677 nitrogen speciation method can be used for determination and quantification of 678 airborne nitrogen compounds via a lichen biomonitoring approach, providing additional 679 680 information on airborne NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ pollution. Moreover, both nitrogen compounds are not commonly covered by continuous air quality monitoring programmes (e.g. in 681 the UK and the EU) and are important precursors for airborne particulate matter. 682 Elevated NO₃ and NH₄⁺ across Manchester (UK) suggest poor air quality and potential 683 human health impacts on a larger scale than covered by continuous air quality 684 measurement stations (e.g. at Piccadilly Gardens and at Oxford Road). 685

686 Combining a lichen biomonitoring approach for analysis of different nitrogen 687 compounds, e.g. total N wt% and separation of two constituent nitrogen chemical 688 species, will allow for improved assessment of the relative importance of these 689 different compounds in diverse environments. Analysis of additional environmental 690 compartments (e.g. nitrate and ammonium in soil) in combination with speciation of 691 airborne particulate matter for NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ will extend air quality assessment and 692 human health risk studies. Using lichen stable-isotope-ratio signatures (e.g. δ^{15} N values) alongside the nitrogen speciation approach presented here also will aid in theidentification of potential airborne nitrogen pollution sources in greater detail.

695 Author contributions

Daniel Niepsch: Resources, investigation, validation, methodology, formal analysis,
writing – original draft; Leon J. Clarke: project formulation and administration,
methodology, funding acquisition, supervision, writing – review & editing;
Konstantinos Tzoulas: writing – review & editing; Gina Cavan: writing – review &
editing

701 Conflict of Interest

702 There are no conflicts of interest to declare

703 Acknowledgements

DN was supported by a Manchester Metropolitan University Environmental Science Research Centre (MMU-ESRC 2015-02) PhD studentship. The authors would like to thank Dave McKendry for assistance during IC analysis. The authors also would like to thank the independent reviewers and associate editor for their valuable feedback to improve the manuscript.

709 **References**

- H. B. Olsen, K. Berthelsen, H. V. Andersen and U. Søchting, Xanthoria parietina as a monitor
 of ground-level ambient ammonia concentrations, *Environ. Pollut.*, 2010, **158**, 455–461.
- M. A. Sutton, C. E. R. Pitcairn and C. P. Whitfield, *Bioindicator and biomonitoring methods for* assessing the effects of atmospheric nitrogen on statutory nature conservation sites, 2004.
- ATSDR, Toxicological Profile: Nitrate and Nitrite, Atlanta, GA, 2015.
- M. Hauck, Ammonium and nitrate tolerance in lichens, *Environ. Pollut.*, 2010, **158**, 1127–1133.
- 716 5 NAEI, Pollutant Information: Ammonia,
 717 http://naei.beis.gov.uk/overview/pollutants?pollutant_id=21, (accessed 1 May 2018).
- S. V. Krupa, Effects of atmospheric ammonia (NH3) on terrestrial vegetation: A review,
 Environ. Pollut., 2003, **124**, 179–221.
- J. Webb, H. Menzi, B. F. Pain, T. H. Misselbrook, U. Dämmgen, H. Hendriks and H. Döhler,
 Managing ammonia emissions from livestock production in Europe, *Environ. Pollut.*, 2005,
 135, 399–406.
- 8 S. R. Gadsdon and S. A. Power, Quantifying local traffic contributions to NO₂ and NH₃
 concentrations in natural habitats, *Environ. Pollut.*, 2009, **157**, 2845–2852.
- G. A. Bishop and D. H. Stedman, Reactive Nitrogen Species Emission Trends in Three Light /Medium-Duty United States Fleets, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2015, 49, 11234–11240.
- F. Stritzke, O. Diemel and S. Wagner, TDLAS-based NH3 mole fraction measurement for
 exhaust diagnostics during selective catalytic reduction using a fiber-coupled 2.2-μm DFB
 diode laser, *Appl. Phys. B Lasers Opt.*, DOI:10.1007/s00340-015-6073-5.
- K. Sun, L. Tao, D. J. Miller, D. Pan, L. M. Golston, M. A. Zondlo, R. J. Griffin, H. W. Wallace,
 Y. J. Leong, M. M. Yang, Y. Zhang, D. L. Mauzerall and T. Zhu, Vehicle Emissions as an
 Important Urban Ammonia Source in the United States and China, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*,
 2017, **51**, 2472–2481.
- J. N. Cape, Y. S. Tang, N. Van Dijk, L. Love, M. A. Sutton and S. C. F. Palmer, Concentrations of ammonia and nitrogen dioxide at roadside verges, and their contribution to nitrogen deposition, *Environ. Pollut.*, 2004, **132**, 469–478.
- M. Kampa and E. Castanas, Human health effects of air pollution, *Environ. Pollut.*, 2008, **151**, 362–367.
- 14 WHO, Health risks of air pollution in Europe HRAPIE project, Copenhagen, 2013.
- S. Y. Kim, J. L. Peel, M. P. Hannigan, S. J. Dutton, L. Sheppard, M. L. Clark and S. Vedal, The temporal lag structure of short-term associations of fine particulate matter chemical constituents and cardiovascular and respiratory hospitalizations, *Environ. Health Perspect.*, 2012, **120**, 1094–1099.
- J. Y. Son, J. T. Lee, K. H. Kim, K. Jung and M. L. Bell, Characterization of fine particulate matter and associations between particulate chemical constituents and mortality in Seoul, Korea, *Environ. Health Perspect.*, 2012, **120**, 872–878.
- A. H. Berner and J. D. Felix, Investigating ammonia emissions in a coastal urban airshed using
 stable isotope techniques, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 2020, **707**, 134952.
- B. Gu, L. Zhang, R. Van Dingenen, M. Vieno, H. J. Van Grinsven, X. Zhang, S. Zhang, Y.
 Chen, S. Wang, C. Ren, S. Rao, M. Holland, W. Winiwarter, D. Chen, J. Xu and M. A. Sutton,
 Abating ammonia is more cost-effective than nitrogen oxides for mitigating PM 2.5 air pollution, *Science (80-.).*, 2021, **374**, 758–762.
- P. Pinho, C. Barros, S. Augusto, M. J. Pereira, C. Máguas and C. Branquinho, Using nitrogen concentration and isotopic composition in lichens to spatially assess the relative contribution of atmospheric nitrogen sources in complex landscapes, *Environ. Pollut.*, 2017, 230, 632–638.

- P. B. C. Forbes, L. van der Wat and E. M. Kroukamp, in *Comprehensive Analytical Chemistry*,
 ed. P. Forbes, Elsevier B.V., 2015, vol. 70, pp. 53–108.
- T. H. Nash III, *Lichen Biology*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, New York, Melbourne,
 Madrid, Cape Town, Sangapore, Sao Paulo, Delhi, 2nd ed., 2008.
- F. S. Dobson, *Lichens An Illustrated Guide to the British and Irish Species*, The Richmond
 Publishing Co. Ltd., Slough, 6th ed., 2011.
- 762 23 U. Kirschbaum and V. Wirth, *Flechten erkennen Umwelt bewerten*, Hessisches Landesamt
 763 für Umwelt und Geologie, Wiesbaden, 3. edition., 2010.
- 764 24 T. Spribille, V. Tuovinen, P. Resl, D. Vanderpool, H. Wolinski, M. C. Aime, K. Schneider, E.
 765 Stabentheiner, M. Toome-heller, G. Thor, H. Mayrhofer and J. P. Mccutcheon, Basidiomycete
 766 yeasts in the cortex of ascomycete macrolichens, DOI:10.1126/science.aaf8287.
- 767 25 M. Grimm, M. Grube, U. Schiefelbein, D. Zühlke, J. Bernhardt and K. Riedel, *Front. Microbiol.*,
 2021, 12.
- 769 26 N. Desnoues, M. Lin, X. Guo, L. Ma, R. Carreño-Lopez and C. Elmerich, *Microbiology*, 2003.
- S. Fernández-Brime, L. Muggia, S. Maier, M. Grube and M. Wedin, Bacterial communities in an optional lichen symbiosis are determined by substrate, not algal photobionts, *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.*, 2019, **95**, 1–11.
- K. Almendras, J. García, M. Carú and J. Orlando, Nitrogen-fixing bacteria associated with
 Peltigera cyanolichens and Cladonia chlorolichens, *Molecules*, ,
 DOI:10.3390/molecules23123077.
- P. B. C. Forbes, in *Comprehensive Analytical Chemistry*, ed. P. B. C. Forbes, Elsevier B.V.,
 Amsterdam, Boston, London, New York, Oxford, Paris, San Diego, San Francisco, Singapore,
 Sydney, Tokyo, 2015, vol. 70, pp. 239–267.
- V. Shukla, U. D.K. and R. Bajpai, *Lichens to Biomonitor the Environment*, Springer India, New Delhi, 2014.
- L. B. Sparrius, Response of epiphytic lichen communities to decreasing ammonia air
 concentrations in a moderately polluted area of The Netherlands, *Environ. Pollut.*, 2007, 146,
 375–379.
- C. M. Van Herk, E. A. M. Mathijssen-Spiekman and D. De Zwart, Long distance nitrogen air
 pollution effects on lichens in Europe, *Lichenologist*, 2003, **35**, 347–359.
- P. Pinho, C. Branquinho, C. Cruz, Y. S. Tang, T. Dias, A. P. Rosa, C. Máguas, and M. A. S.
 Martins-Loução, M.-A. Parto and M. A. Sutton, in *Atmospheric Ammonia: Detecting Emission Changes and Environmental Impacts*, eds. M. A. Sutton, S. Reis and S. Baker, Springer
 Netherlands, Dordrecht, 2009, pp. 109–123.
- C. M. Van Herk, Bark pH and susceptibility to toxic air pollutants as independent causes of
 changes in epiphytic lichen composition in space and time, *Lichenologist*, 2001, 33, 419–441.
- G. Gaio-Oliveira, L. Dahlman, K. Palmqvist and C. Máguas, Ammonium uptake in the
 nitrophytic lichen *Xanthoria parietina* and its effects on vitality and balance between symbionts,
 Lichenol., 2004, **36**, 75–86.
- S. Munzi, C. Branquinho, C. Cruz and S. Loppi, Nitrogen tolerance in the lichen Xanthoria
 parietina: The sensitive side of a resistant species, *Funct. Plant Biol.*, 2013, 40, 237–243.
- S. Munzi, C. Cruz, C. Branquinho, P. Pinho, I. D. Leith and L. J. Sheppard, Can ammonia
 tolerance amongst lichen functional groups be explained by physiological responses?, *Environ. Pollut.*, 2014, **187**, 206–209.
- Boli St. Paoli, I. Maslaňáková, A. Grassi, M. Bačkor and S. Loppi, Effects of acute NH3 air pollution
 on N-sensitive and N-tolerant lichen species, *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.*, 2015, **122**, 377–383.
- 39 G. Gaio-Oliveira, L. Dahlman, K. Palmqvist, M. A. Martins-Loução and C. Máguas, Nitrogen

- uptake in relation to excess supply and its effects on the lichens *Evernia prunastri* (L.) Ach and
 Xanthoria parietina (L.) Th. Fr., *Planta*, 2005, **220**, 794–803.
- 805 40 M. Wang, W. Kong, R. Marten, X. C. He, D. Chen, J. Pfeifer, A. Heitto, J. Kontkanen, L. Dada, 806 A. Kürten, T. Yli-Juuti, H. E. Manninen, S. Amanatidis, A. Amorim, R. Baalbaki, A. Baccarini, 807 D. M. Bell, B. Bertozzi, S. Bräkling, S. Brilke, L. C. Murillo, R. Chiu, B. Chu, L. P. De Menezes, 808 J. Duplissy, H. Finkenzeller, L. G. Carracedo, M. Granzin, R. Guida, A. Hansel, V. Hofbauer, J. 809 Krechmer, K. Lehtipalo, H. Lamkaddam, M. Lampimäki, C. P. Lee, V. Makhmutov, G. Marie, S. 810 Mathot, R. L. Mauldin, B. Mentler, T. Müller, A. Onnela, E. Partoll, T. Petäjä, M. Philippov, V. Pospisilova, A. Ranjithkumar, M. Rissanen, B. Rörup, W. Scholz, J. Shen, M. Simon, M. Sipilä, 811 G. Steiner, D. Stolzenburg, Y. J. Tham, A. Tomé, A. C. Wagner, D. S. Wang, Y. Wang, S. K. 812 Weber, P. M. Winkler, P. J. Wlasits, Y. Wu, M. Xiao, Q. Ye, M. Zauner-Wieczorek, X. Zhou, R. 813 Volkamer, I. Riipinen, J. Dommen, J. Curtius, U. Baltensperger, M. Kulmala, D. R. Worsnop, J. 814 Kirkby, J. H. Seinfeld, I. El-Haddad, R. C. Flagan and N. M. Donahue, Rapid growth of new 815 atmospheric particles by nitric acid and ammonia condensation, Nature, 2020, 581, 184-189. 816
- 817 41 D. Regan, *Manchester Public Health Annual Report 2018*, Manchester, 2018.
- 818 42 D. L. Jones and V. B. Willett, Experimental evaluation of methods to quantify dissolved organic
 819 nitrogen (DON) and dissolved organic carbon (DOC) in soil, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 2006, 38,
 820 991–999.
- 43 D. G. Maynard and Y. P. Kalra, in *Soil sampling and Methods of Analysis*, ed. M. R. Carter,
 kewis Publishers, Boca Raton, 1st editio., 1993, pp. 25–38.
- M. A. Naeth and S. R. Wilkinson, Lichens as Biomonitors of Air Quality around a Diamond
 Mine, Northwest Territories, Canada, *J. Environ. Qual.*, 2008, **37**, 1675.
- 45 D. Sims, A. Hudson, J. Park, V. Hodge, H. Porter and W. Spaulding, Buellia dispersa (Lichens)
 Used as Bio-Indicators for Air Pollution Transport: A Case Study within the Las Vegas Valley,
 Nevada (USA), *Environments*, 2017, 4, 94.
- 828 46 EPA, Method 9210A Potentiometric Determination of Nitrate, 2007.
- 829 47 D. Niepsch, Manchester Metropolitan University, 2019.
- 83048S. H. Boltersdorf and W. Werner, Source attribution of agriculture-related deposition by using
total nitrogen and δ15N in epiphytic lichen tissue, bark and deposition water samples in
Germany, *Isotopes Environ. Health Stud.*, 2013, **49**, 197–218.
- S. H. Boltersdorf and W. Werner, Lichens as a useful mapping tool?—an approach to assess atmospheric N loads in Germany by total N content and stable isotope signature, *Environ. Monit. Assess.*, 2014, **186**, 4767–4778.
- 836 50 GMCA, 2017 Air Quality Annual Status Report (ASR) for Greater Manchester, Manchester,
 837 2018.
- 838 51 Manchester City Council, State of the City Report 2019, 2019, 1–68.
- 839 52 Shropshire Council, 2018, 1–46.
- 840 53 AQEG, Air Pollution from Agriculture, 2018.
- 841 54 NAEI, Data Selector Nitrogen dioxides (NO_x), http://naei.beis.gov.uk/data/data 842 selector?view=air-pollutants.
- 843 55 K. Broad, *Lichens in southern woodlands*, 1989.
- L. Dahlman and K. Palmqvist, Growth in two foliose tripartite lichens, Nephroma arcticum and
 Peltigera aphthosa: Empirical modelling of external vs internal factors, *Funct. Ecol.*, 2003, **17**,
 821–831.
- 847 57 R. Larsen Vilsholm, P. A. Wolseley, U. Søchting and P. J. Chimonides, Biomonitoring with
 848 lichens on twigs, *Lichenologist*, 2009, **41**, 189–202.
- 58 DfT, Road traffic statistics, https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/road-traffic-estimates-

- 850 great-britain-jan-to-mar-q1-2014%5Cnhttps://www.gov.uk/government/collections/road-traffic-851 statistics.
- 852 59 P. Sterry, Collins Complete Guide to British Trees, HarperCollins Publisher Ltd., London, 2007.
- 85360C. M. Van Herk, in Lichens in a changing pollution environment: English Nature Research854Reports, eds. P. Lambley and P. A. Wolseley, English Nature, Peterborough, 2003, pp. 13–20.
- R. Michalski and I. Kurzyca, Determination of nitrogen species (Nitrate, Nitrite and Ammonia
 lons) in Environmental Samples by Ion Chromatography, *Polish J. Environ. Stud.*, 2014, **15**, 5–
 18.
- 858 62 Minitab, 2019.
- 859 63 OriginLab, 2018.
- 860 64 R Core Team, 2019.
- 861 65 The jamovi project, 2020.
- 862 66 QGIS Development Team, 2019.
- 863 67 N. M. Razali and Y. B. Wah, Power comparisons of Shapiro-Wilk, Kolmogorov-Smirnov,
 864 Lilliefors and Anderson-Darling tests, *J. Stat. Model. Anal.*, 2011, **2**, 21–33.
- 865 68 J. C. Fanning, The chemical reduction of nitrate in aqueous solution, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*,
 2000, **199**, 159–179.
- 867 69 I. Panas, A. Snis, F. Acke and T. Johnson, Transition metal complexes with (NO)₃- anions,
 868 *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 1999, **302**, 431–436.
- C. C. Addison, N. Logan, S. C. Wallwork and C. D. Garner, Structural aspects of co-ordinated nitrate groups, *Q. Rev. Chem. Soc.*, 1971, 25, 289–322.
- K. H. Nahm, Evaluation of the nitrogen content in poultry manure, *Worlds. Poult. Sci. J.*, 2005,
 59, 77–88.
- M. M. Twigg, C. F. Di Marco, S. Leeson, N. van Dijk, M. R. Jones, I. D. Leith, E. Morrison, M.
 Coyle, R. Proost, A. N. M. Peeters, E. Lemon, T. Frelink, C. F. Braban, E. Nemitz and J. N.
 Cape, Water soluble aerosols and gases at a UK background site Part 1: Controls of PM2.5
 and PM10 aerosol composition, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 2015, **15**, 8131–8145.
- J. Riddell, P. E. Padgett and T. H. Nash, Physiological responses of lichens to factorial
 fumigations with nitric acid and ozone, *Environ. Pollut.*, 2012, **170**, 202–210.
- 879 74 DEFRA, Clean Air Strategy 2019, 2019.
- I. D. Longley, J. D. Whitehead, H. Coe and M. W. Gallagher, Winter and summer ammonia
 emissions in the City of Manchester, UK, related to traffic and background sources measured
 using an Aerodyne Quantum Cascade-TDLAS, *WIT Trans. Ecol. Environ.*
- 76 D. Niepsch, L. J. Clarke, K. Tzoulas and G. Cavan, Spatiotemporal variability of nitrogen
 dioxide (NO₂) pollution in Manchester (UK) city centre (2017–2018) using a fine spatial scale
 single-NOx diffusion tube network, *Environ. Geochem. Health*, DOI:10.1007/s10653-02101149-w.
- K. Juda-Rezler, M. Reizer, K. Maciejewska, B. Błaszczak and K. Klejnowski, Characterization
 of atmospheric PM2.5 sources at a Central European urban background site, *Sci. Total Environ.*, DOI:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.136729.
- J. D. Whitehead, I. D. Longley and M. W. Gallagher, Seasonal and diurnal variation in atmospheric ammonia in an urban environment measured using a quantum cascade laser absorption spectrometer, *Water. Air. Soil Pollut.*, 2007, **183**, 317–329.
- M. A. H. Khan, W. C. Morris, L. A. Watson, M. Galloway, P. D. Hamer, B. M. A. Shallcross, C.
 J. Percival and D. E. Shallcross, Estimation of Daytime NO₃ Radical Levels in the UK Urban
 Atmosphere Using the Steady State Approximation Method, *Adv. Meteorol.*, 2015, 2015, 1–9.

- A. Geyer, B. Alicke, R. Ackermann, M. Martinez, M. Harder, W. Brune, P. di Carlo, E. Williams,
 T. Jobson, S. Hall, R. Shetter and J. Stutz, Direct observations of daytime NO₃: Implications
 for urban boundary layer chemistry, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 2003, **108**, 4368.
- 81 A. K. Benton, J. M. Langridge, S. M. Ball, W. J. Bloss, M. Dall'Osto, E. Nemitz, R. M. Harrison
 900 and R. L. Jones, Night-time chemistry above London: Measurements of NO3 and N2O5 from
 901 the BT Tower, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 2010, **10**, 9781–9795.
- B2
 B2
 B3
 B3
 B4
 B5
 B5
 B6
 B6
 B6
 B7
 B
- 83 Air Quality England, *Air Pollution Report Manchester Oxford Road (MAN1)*, Manchester,
 2018.
- 906 84 Air Quality England, Air Pollution Report Manchester Piccadilly (MAN7), Manchester, 2018.
- 85 C. Perrino, M. Catrambone, D. Menno Di Bucchianico and I. Allegrini, Gaseous ammonia in
 908 the urban area of Rome, Italy and its relationship with traffic emissions, *Atmos. Environ.*, ,
 909 DOI:10.1016/S1352-2310(02)00469-7.
- 86 L. Paoli, A. Vannini, Z. Fačkovcová, M. Guarnieri, M. Bačkor and S. Loppi, One year of
 911 transplant: Is it enough for lichens to reflect the new atmospheric conditions?, *Ecol. Indic.*,
 912 2018, 88, 495–502.
- 87 T. Tzamkiozis, L. Ntziachristos and Z. Samaras, Diesel passenger car PM emissions: From
 914 Euro 1 to Euro 4 with particle filter, *Atmos. Environ.*, 2010, 44, 909–916.
- 88 Highway Forecasting and Analytical Services, *HFAS Report 1843 Transport Statistics*916 *Manchester 2014, Appendix 3: Traffic flow, road accidents and congestion plots*, Manchester,
 917 2015.
- 89 T. Liu, X. Wang, W. Deng, Y. Zhang, B. Chu, X. Ding, Q. Hu, H. He and J. Hao, Role of
 919 ammonia in forming secondary aerosols from gasoline vehicle exhaust, *Sci. China Chem.*, ,
 920 DOI:10.1007/s11426-015-5414-x.
- 90 B. J. Finlayson-Pitts and J. N. Pitts, *Chemistry of the Upper and Lower Atmosphere Theory, Experiments, and Applications*, Academic Press, New York, 1st edn., 1999.
- 91 M. C. Ribeiro, P. Pinho, E. Llop, C. Branquinho, A. J. Sousa and M. J. Pereira, Multivariate
 924 geostatistical methods for analysis of relationships between ecological indicators and
 925 environmental factors at multiple spatial scales, *Ecol. Indic.*, 2013, 29, 339–347.
- 92 92 B. Neuhauser, M. Dynowski, M. Mayer and U. Ludewig, Regulation of NH4+ Transport by
 927 Essential Cross Talk between AMT Monomers through the Carboxyl Tails, *PLANT Physiol.*, ,
 928 DOI:10.1104/pp.106.094243.
- 929 93 I. Martinez-Argudo, R. Little, N. Shearer, P. Johnson and R. Dixon, Nitrogen fixation: key 930 genetic regulatory mechanisms, *Biochem. Soc. Trans.*, , DOI:10.1042/bst0330152.
- 931 94 G. Gaio-Oliveira, C. Branquinho, C. Maguas and M. A. Martins-Loucao, The concentration of 932 nitrogen in nitrophilous and non-nitrophilous lichen species, *Symbiosis*, 2001, **31**, 187–199.
- 933 95 D. F. Levia, Nitrate sequestration by corticolous macrolichens during winter precipitation 934 events, *Int. J. Biometeorol.*, 2002, **46**, 60–65.
- 935 96 EEA, Air quality in Europe 2015 report, 2015.
- 936 97 B. Loubet, W. A. H. Asman, M. R. Theobbald, O. Hertel, Y. S. Tang, P. Robin, M. Hassouna,
 937 U. Dammgen, S. Genermont, P. Cellier and M. A. Sutton, in *Atmospheric Ammonia*, 2009, pp.
 938 205–263.
- 98 M. Kurppa, A. Hellsten, M. Auvinen, S. Raasch, T. Vesala and L. Järvi, Ventilation and air
 940 quality in city blocks using large-eddy simulation-urban planning perspective, *Atmosphere*941 (*Basel*)., 2018, **9**, 1–27.
- 942 99 A. L. Capelao, C. Maguas, C. Branquinho, C. Cruz and M. A. Martins-Loucao, *Lichens as*

- 943 biomonitors of atmospheric ammonium/ammonia deposition in Portugal (IAEA-TECDOC--944 1152), 2000.
- S. Munzi, L. J. Sheppard, I. D. Leith, C. Cruz, C. Branquinho, L. Bini, A. Gagliardi, G. Cai and
 L. Parrotta, The cost of surviving nitrogen excess: energy and protein demand in the lichen
 Cladonia portentosa as revealed by proteomic analysis, *Planta*, 2017, **245**, 819–833.
- 101 L. Paoli, A. Vannini, F. Monaci and S. Loppi, Competition between heavy metal ions for
 binding sites in lichens: Implications for biomonitoring studies, *Chemosphere*, 2018, **199**, 655–
 660.
- 102 K. Palmqvist and L. Dahlman, Responses of the green algal foliose lichen *Platismatia glauca* to increased nitrogen supply., *New Phytol.*, 2006, **171**, 343–56.
- 103 L. Dahlman, J. Persson, K. Palmqvist and T. Näsholm, Organic and inorganic nitrogen uptake
 in lichens., *Planta*, 2004, **219**, 459–67.
- E. A. Pavlova and A. I. Maslov, Nitrate uptake by isolated bionts of the lichen Parmelia sulcata,
 Russ. J. Plant Physiol., 2008, **55**, 475–479.
- 957 105 G. Gaio-Oliveira, L. Dahlman, K. Palmqvist and C. Máguas, Responses of the lichen Xanthoria
 958 parietina (L.) Th. Fr. to varying thallus nitrogen concentrations, *Lichenologist*, 2005, **37**, 171–
 959 179.
- S. A. Pirintsos, S. Munzi, S. Loppi and K. Kotzabasis, Do polyamines alter the sensitivity of
 lichens to nitrogen stress?, *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.*, 2009, **72**, 1331–1336.

962

Supplementary Information

Distinguishing atmospheric nitrogen compounds (nitrate and ammonium) in lichen biomonitoring studies

Daniel Niepsch¹, Leon J. Clarke¹, Konstantinos Tzoulas¹, Gina Cavan¹

¹Department of Natural Sciences, Faculty of Science and Engineering, Manchester Metropolitan University, M1 5GD, Manchester, UK

Corresponding author: Daniel.Niepsch@gmx.de

ORCIDs:

Daniel Niepsch - <u>https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0177-1996</u> Leon J. Clarke – <u>https://orcid.org 0000-0002-2278-4139</u> Konstantinos Tzoulas – <u>https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5363-2050</u> Gina Cavan - <u>https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8429-870X</u>



Fig. S1: Lichen sampling sites of *Xanthoria parietina* collected from around a poultry farm near Shrewsbury, UK; displayed with OSGB 1936 XY-coordinates [see Tab. S2] and its location (blue rectangle in inset map) in relation to Greater Manchester (UK) (base map: Google Satellite, 2018), OpenStreetMap® is open data, licensed under the Open Data Commons Open Database License (ODbL) by the OpenStreetMap Foundation (OSMF).

Tab. S1: Extracted nitrate (NO ₃ ⁻) and ammonium (NH ₄ ⁺) concentrations (mg kg ⁻¹) using 3%
KCI for urban (Manchester, UK) lichen sampling sites (as displayed in main article Figure 1;
N=87; Xanthoria parietina); British National Grid (OSGB1936) coordinates included; N/A -
indicating no data available

Site ID	Coordinates	NO₃⁻ (mg/kg)	NH₄⁺ (mg/kg)	Site ID	Coordinates	NO₃⁻ (mg/kg)	NH₄⁺ (mg/kg)
	V. 20E002	(116/ 16/			V: 202060		
1	V. 200803	87.15	5.53	46	X: 302900 V: 306568	33.88	12.89
	V: 295269				V: 206120		6.10
2	V. 200022	37.57	5.77	47	N. 200120 V. 200949	73.40	
	V: 296160				V: 205260		7.00
3	A. 300100	40.62	6.18	48	A. 303309	62.03	
	Y: 399492				Y: 282850		
4	X: 384/98	50.42	5.94	49	X: 382850	28.11	5.26
	Y: 397104				Y: 396595		<u> </u>
5	X: 385420	12.56	7.44	50	X: 383349	N/A	11.84
	Y: 398339				Y: 396842	-	
6	X: 384382	43.36	5.19	51	X: 386167	45.85	3.99
	Y: 399591				Y: 399073		
7	X: 386190	142.73	5.68	52	X: 383902	12.87	11.69
	Y: 399774				Y: 398788		
8	X: 383876	45.73	7.10	53	X: 385829	46.77	12.86
	Y: 396960				Y: 398555	-	
9	X: 384467	36.75	5.61	54	X: 385366	24.86	7.69
	Y: 399200		0.02	•••	Y: 397922		
10	X: 385359	76.08	10 41	55	X: 382990	64 36	15 69
10	Y: 396932	70.00	10.41		Y: 396950	04.50	10.09
11	X: 385886	37.66	6.96	56	X: 385387	96.65	10.49
	Y: 399523	37.00	0.90	50	Y: 396705	50.05	10.49
12	X: 384072	N/A	2 10	57	X: 384938	66.20	10 10
12	Y: 396768	N/A	5.10	5,	Y: 399397	00.30	10.15
12	X: 385123	0.06	7 4 4	EQ	X: 384921	100.04	8 04
15	Y: 397837	7.44		Y: 396860	100.04	0.04	
14	X: 386434	01 20	0.00	50	X: 383705	27 40	9.35
14	Y: 399454	91.29	9.00	59	Y: 397171	27.40	
15	X: 384231	04.24	11.96	60	X: 386302	45.18	7.99
15	Y: 398977	94.34	11.80	60	Y: 399221		
10	X: 384548	00.50	11.02	61	X: 382857	25.59	6.59
10	Y: 397247	88.52	11.03	01	Y: 396932		
17	X: 385166	F 4 01	7 20	(2)	X: 385366	33.06 43.52	12.37 9.35
1/	Y: 399865	54.01	7.39	62	Y: 398773		
10	X: 385704	10.00	11.20	6.2	X: 382661		
18	Y: 398035	49.68	11.28	63	Y: 397036		
10	X: 386158	100.51	5.06	6.4	X: 385843	37.94	4.43
19	Y: 399834	109.51		64	Y: 399434		
	X: 384901	54.00	0.50		X: 386381	57.85	5.77
20	Y: 399510	Y: 399510 54.00	8.59	65	Y: 399468		
	X: 386261 Y: 399667	4.28	66	X: 385410	97.72	17.57	
21				Y: 396748			
	X: 386283				X: 385326		
22	Y: 399611	35.44	4.17	67	Y: 397120	46.71	12.92
	X: 385312	X: 385312		68	X: 385373	47.44	18.98
23	Y: 398998	35.57	5.11		Y: 396782		
	X: 384890			X: 386415			
24	Y: 397470	90.59	8.80	69	Y: 399289	50.67	18.22
25	Y: 397470 X: 385760 Y: 398209 31.30			X: 382786			
		31.30	11.91	70	Y: 397728	77.03	24.03

Site ID	Coordinates OSGB1936	NO₃ ⁻ (mg/kg)	NH₄⁺ (mg/kg)	Site ID	Coordinates OSGB1936	NO₃⁻ (mg/kg)	NH₄⁺ (mg/kg)
26	X: 384960 Y: 398477	N/A	11.11	71	X: 384869 Y: 397365	88.59	23.35
27	X: 385684 Y: 399549	136.43	5.96	72	X: 386215 Y: 399738	137.97	10.19
28	X: 383832 Y: 397110	26.22	10.89	73	X: 384873 Y: 397055	34.49	16.56
29	X: 385024 Y: 396794	59.61	7.14	74	X: 385838 Y: 399661	44.82	16.58
30	X: 384382 Y: 397558	93.59	14.84	75	X: 383917 Y: 397652	25.03	27.74
31	X: 384295 Y: 398297	21.89	12.65	76	X: 383616 Y: 397107	50.51	17.65
32	X: 385089 Y: 399557	56.82	8.13	77	X: 385143 Y: 398190	10.60	11.82
33	X: 384256 Y: 397121	20.30	10.83	78	X: 383290 Y: 397932	19.53	18.76
34	X: 382892 Y: 397730	38.65	5.58	79	X: 382488 Y: 397017	19.52	22.98
35	X: 385653 Y: 399279	62.31	5.32	80	X: 385774 Y: 398723	49.57	13.79
36	X: 383277 Y: 397664	75.80	10.59	81	X: 385199 Y: 399664	59.54	18.50
37	X: 385454 Y: 399341	53.51	5.33	82	X: 385422 Y: 396810	85.03	20.49
38	X: 385749 Y: 399415	62.48	6.64	83	X: 383156 Y: 398139	58.93	24.71
39	X: 384843 Y: 397402	78.99	9.58	84	X: 384734 Y: 398978	62.95	14.87
40	X: 385938 Y: 399381	24.65	4.97	85	X: 383273 Y: 397492	52.09	9.31
41	X: 383741 Y: 397851	N/A	13.29	86	X: 383517 Y: 398334	N/A	9.87
42	X: 385597 Y: 398946	38.01	6.17	87	X: 384516 Y: 398751	N/A	7.27
43	X: 384969 Y: 397257	64.43	7.48				
44	X: 386352 Y: 399353	115.85	9.65				
45	X: 383263 Y: 396662	30.39	7.69				

Tab. S2: Extracted nitrate (NO_3^-) and ammonium (NH_4^+) concentrations (mg kg⁻¹) using 3% KCl of rural lichen samples (as displayed in Fig. S1; N=12, *Xanthoria parietina*); British National Grid (OSGB1935) coordinates included; N/A – no data available

Site ID	Coordinates OSGB1936	NO₃⁻ (mg kg⁻¹)	NH₄⁺ (mg kg⁻¹)
1	X: 351324 Y: 332751	15.60	9.18
2	X: 351492 Y: 332760	5.81	9.46
3	X: 351338 Y: 333049	34.84	12.17
4	X: 351423 Y: 333210	45.96	13.06
5	X: 351508 Y: 333084	11.62	13.37
6	X: 351431 Y: 332962	38.46	11.38
7	X: 351055 Y: 331839	24.93	10.24
8	X: 350712 Y: 330755	3.85	9.82
9	X: 348328 Y: 331336	9.99	11.55
10	X: 347883 Y: 332358	1.07	8.54
11	X: 350236 Y: 333611	N/A	8.99
12	X: 351006 Y: 333452	11.38	9.41

Tab. S3: Summary of experiment setups and variables tested for nitrate and ammonium extractions from *X. parietina* lichens; Solvents: DI – ultrapure water (18.2MΩ); 15% KCI – ~2M; 7.5% KCI – ~1M; 6% KCI – ~0.75M; 3% KCI – ~0.5M and 1% KCI M ~0.2M

Experiment	Variables	Rationale
		KCl concentrations ($2M - 15\%$ and $1M - 7.5\%$) as commonly applied in soil-N
		studies and their applicability to lichen material. Reduction of KCI concentration to
	Solvents: DI, 1%, 7.5% and 15% KCI	1% KCI to reduce necessary dilutions prior to IC analysis (max. concentration of
#1	Solvent volume [mL]: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5	1% KCI on instrument) and reduce potential matrix effects. DI used for comparison
	Extraction time [hours]: 24 (non-vortexing)	(as applied in Naeth & Wilkinson 2018). Testing of lower solvent volumes to
		concentrate extracted NO3 ⁻ /NH4 ⁺ from lichen material and investigate potential
		saturation of smaller volumes.
	Solvents: DI, 1%, 3% and 6% KCI Solvent volume [mL]: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 Extraction time [hours]: 24 (non-vortexing)	Lowered KCI concentrations to 3% and 6% (together with DI and 1% KCI) to
#2		evaluate extractability of NO_{3}^{-} and NH_{4}^{+} from 'weaker' KCI solutions and to
#2		potentially reduce variability of extracted concentrations, as seen in Experiment
		#1.
	Solvents: 3% KCl Volume [mL]: 2, 3 Extraction time [hours]: 6, 24 (vortexing and non- vortexing)	Consistent results obtained for 3% KCl using 2 mL and 3 mL of solvent. Therefore,
		'same-day' (6 hours) extraction and analysis by IC conducted to assess data
#3		variability (and potential in-tube reactions, i.e. by bacteria). Vortexing included to
		investigate potential cell wall breakdown and intra-cellular release of N-
		compounds.

Tab. S4: Certified and measured nitrate (in CRM – Simple Nutrients – Whole Volume; Sigma-Aldrich) and ammonium (material made up from Dionex Six Cation Standard I calibration standard (serial dilution to 3.125 mg L⁻¹ – mid-range) concentrations for all analytical batches (N=62 individual measurements, in N=9 batches), with overall accuracy (%), overall precision (coefficient of - %CV) and lower limits of detection (LLD; expressed as three times standard deviation of replicate procedural blank measurements) ranges for procedural blanks (N=46). *CRM NO₃-N converted to NO₃⁻ using the IUPAC atomic weights (N=14.007 and O=15.999), using a conversion factor of 4.426644 and resulting in 46.48 mg L⁻¹ NO₃.

	Certified value [mg L ⁻¹] ± 1xSD	Measured value [mg L ⁻¹] ± 1xSD	Accuracy (%) - overall	Precision (%CV) - overall	LLD [mg/l] (Min-Max)
Nitrate (NO ₃ -)*	10.50 ± 0.187 (NO ₃ ⁻ as N) Converted to 46.48 mg L ⁻¹ NO ₃	45.6 ± 2.12	98%	4.64	0.09 – 0.41
Ammonium (NH ₄ +)	400 ± 3 Diluted to: 3.125	3.28 ± 0.25	105%	7.52	0.01 – 0.13



Fig. S2: Nitrate (a) and ammonium (b) concentrations determined for the CRM solutions Table S3) for each KCI extraction batch (N=62 individual measurements in N=9 batches), displayed with number of individual analyses per batch (error bars displayed as 1x standard deviation); red reference lines represent certified values (nitrate $- NO_3$ ⁻: 46.48 mg L⁻¹, certified as NO₃-N: 10.5 mg L⁻¹ and ammonium $- NH_4^+$: 3.125 mg L⁻¹)



Fig. S3: Comparison of 3% KCl extracted nitrate (NO_3^-) [top] and ammonium (NH_4^+) [bottom] concentrations recorded in *X. parietina*, sampled in Manchester (urban) and around a poultry farm (rural); displayed as box-plots (25th to 75th quartile, 1.5x IQR whiskers, median line [red], mean value [white square] and outliers [black diamonds])

Tab. S5: Comparison of nitrate (NO_3^{-1}) and ammonium concentrations (NH_4^+) [in mg kg⁻¹] in *X. parietina* (N=17) for different sampling periods undertaken in 2016/17 (1) and the same sites re-visited in 2018 (2) [see site ID – **Tab. S1**; **Fig. S4** for graphical comparison] to investigate any temporal variability.

	NO₃⁻ (1) [mg kg⁻¹]	NO ₃ ⁻ (2) [mg kg ⁻¹]	NH₄⁺ (1) [mg kg⁻¹]	NH₄⁺ (2) [mg kg⁻¹]
1 (ID: 58)	100	107	8.04	2.41
2 (ID: 23)	35.6	5.94	5.11	2.72
3 (ID: 43)	64.4	112	7.48	2.90
4 (ID: 18)	49.7	3.36	11.3	2.70
5 (ID: 16)	88.5	17.6	11.03	2.73
6 (ID: 19)	110	4.43	5.06	1.96
7 (ID: 26)	N/A	29.1	11.1	3.72
8 (ID: 36)	75.8	26.9	10.6	4.26
9 (ID: 14)	91.3	18.5	9.00	3.69
10 (ID: 67)	46.7	12.4	12.9	2.57
11 (ID: 2)	37.6	126	5.77	3.80
12 (ID: 9)	36.8	N/A	5.61	1.90
13 (ID: 60)	45.2	16.5	7.99	3.34
14 (ID: 82)	85.03	71.8	2.43	2.43
15 (ID: 59)	27.4	29.3	9.35	3.36
16 (ID: 4)	50.4	9.9	5.94	1.72
17 (ID: 15)	94.3	16.2	11.9	3.89



Fig. S4: Boxplots (25th to 75th percentile, 1.5x IQR whiskers, median line [red dotted], mean value [white square] and outliers [black diamonds]) of nitrate [left] and ammonium [right] concentrations for the same sites at two different sampling periods: (1) 2016/17 and (2) 2018.