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The gait profile score characterises walking performance impairments in young stroke survivors
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ABSTRACT

Background: The Gait Profile Score (GPS) provides a composite measure of the quality of joint movement during walking, but the relationship between this measure and metabolic cost, temporal (e.g. walking speed) and spatial (e.g. stride length) parameters in stroke survivors has not been reported.

Research Question: The aims of this study were to compare the GPS (paretic, non-paretic, and overall score) of young stroke survivors to the healthy able-bodied control and determine the relationship between the GPS and metabolic cost, temporal (walking speed, stance time asymmetry) and spatial (stride length, stride width, step length asymmetry) parameters in young stroke survivors to understand whether the quality of walking affects walking performance in stroke survivors.

Methods: Thirty-nine young stroke survivors aged between 18 and 65years and 15 healthy age-matched able-bodied controls were recruited from six hospital sites in Wales, UK. Joint range of motion at the pelvis, hip, knee and ankle, and temporal and spatial parameters were measured during walking on level ground at self-selected speed with calculation of the Gait Variable Score and then the GPS.

Results: GPS for the paretic leg (9.40° (8.60 – 10.21) p < 0.001), non-paretic leg (11.42° (10.20 – 12.63) p < 0.001) and overall score (11.18° (10.26 – 12.09) p < 0.001)) for stroke survivors were significantly higher than the control (4.25° (3.40 – 5.10), 5.92° (5.11 (6.73)). All parameters with the exception of step length symmetry ratio correlated moderate to highly with the GPS for the paretic, non-paretic, and/or overall score (ρ < −0.732 (p < 0.001)).

Significance: The quality of joint movement during walking measured via the GPS is directly related to the speed and efficiency of walking, temporal (stance time symmetry) and spatial (stride length, stride width) parameters in young stroke survivors.

1. Introduction

Adults who have had a stroke walk slower and less efficiently with distinct differences and/or asymmetry in temporal (e.g., stance time), spatial (e.g., stride length, step length), joint kinematic and kinetic parameters of the paretic and non-paretic limbs when compared to healthy able-bodied controls [1–12]. Muscle weakness and/or spasticity, impaired balance, and increased reluctance to bear weight on the paretic limb can cause abnormally increased or decreased joint range of motion, reduced joint moments and decreased power absorption and/or generation by muscles groups of the lower limb (e.g., calf muscles) [3,8–12].

Whilst gait kinematics and kinetics provide in-depth detail regarding the biomechanical function of the lower limb during walking, interpreting this data clinically can be challenging [13]. It also generates vast
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amount of data and parameters which are important to assess [14], but identifying which and how these parameters are related to walking performance parameters such as metabolic cost and walking speed is difficult.

In response to this, several researchers have developed gait assessment measures that provide an overall and composite representation of gait kinematics and the quality of walking which can be easily interpreted in a clinical setting. These include the Gait Deviation Index [15], Gillette Gait Index [16] and the Gait Profile Score (GPS) [13].

The GPS has gained considerable popularity and has been widely reported in a variety of clinical populations due to its simplicity and good reliability [13,17–20]. It is derived by calculating the root mean square difference between the angular trajectories of a participant compared to a reference healthy able-bodied sample with no pathology [13]. The GPS provides an indication of the quality of movement and because it is measured in degrees it offers a more direct clinical interpretation for what the score outcome means (i.e., enabling a direct comparison to a healthy-able-bodied individual) [13,18]. A GPS of between 5° and 6° has been routinely reported for healthy able-bodied control population [13,18,21,22], therefore inferring scores above this indicate poor quality of walking or an abnormal gait pattern.

Only three studies have reported the GPS in stroke survivors [19,20,22]. Fukuchi et al. [22] used the GPS to develop a specific GPS sensitive to walking speed and Devetak et al. [19] tested its intra and inter-session reliability. Bigoni et al. [20] reported that the GPS was fairly correlated to clinical measures such as the 10-metre walk test, functional ambulation category and a good correlation to the Berg Balance Scale. No study has investigated the relationship between the GPS and the efficiency of walking, temporal, and spatial parameters, and yet these are commonly altered post-stroke which we can hypothesise are caused by abnormal joint kinematics.

There are relatively few gait studies in young stroke survivors, and none have measured the GPS in young stroke survivors. Understanding how the quality and quantity of walking is affected post-stroke and its relationship to walking speed and metabolic cost may help better inform rehabilitation programmes for young stroke survivors [1]. As young stroke survivors are less likely to be affected by age-related changes associated with bone degeneration and muscle weakness, capturing the GPS in young stroke survivors may help to determine the true effect of a stroke on this gait measure without the confounding factor of age.

The aims of this study were to: 1. Compare the GPS (paretic, non-paretic and overall score) of young stroke survivors to the GPS of the healthy able-bodied control, and 2. Determine the relationship between the GPS scores and metabolic cost, temporal (walking speed, stance time asymmetry) and spatial (stride length, stride width, step length asymmetry) parameters in young stroke survivors. We hypothesise that young stroke survivors will walk with a higher GPS than the control and that the higher the GPS the slower the walking speed and less efficient young stroke survivors are at walking, suggesting a strong relationship between these variables.

2. Methods

This study was approved by the NHS Research Ethics Committee (Wales Regional Ethics Committee 6 18/WA/0265) and Health Research Authority (UK) and Manchester Metropolitan University Research Ethics Committee. Informed written consent to take part in this study was obtained from each participant and thirty-nine individuals aged between 18 and 65 years were recruited and agreed to participate from six health boards in Wales, UK between September 2018 and October 2018. Inclusion criteria for stroke participants were 18–65 years of age, haemorrhage or infarct stroke within the last 13-years that is evident from a computerised tomography (CT) scan, singular lesion that occurred in one hemisphere in the brain and able to walk continuously for at least three minutes. Stroke participants with multiple lesions in different hemispheres in the brain or a lesion in the brainstem were excluded.

Participants who had a stroke but were also diagnosed with a disease that was the predominant health concern that limited their ability to walk, were also excluded from this study.

Fifteen control participants were recruited and agreed to participate. Inclusion criteria was no history of stroke, neurological, musculoskeletal, cardiovascular, auto immune, or respiratory disease. Very physically active (e.g., elite athletes) individuals, or participants who smoke or have smoked in the past were excluded from this study.

2.1. Outcome measures

2.1.1. Demographic data

Demographic data included age, body mass, height, time since stroke, type of stroke, and whether the right or left side was predominantly affected by the stroke was used to determine the paretic and non-paretic limb. A summary of demographic measures are presented in the Supplemental Data Table 3 and here [1].

3. Methods

3.1. Joint kinematics

An eight-camera optoelectronic motion capture system (Oqus, Qualisys motion capture system, Qualisys, Sweden) was placed around a 15 m walkway with four Kistler force plates embedded within a walkway to capture three-dimensional walking biomechanics. Retro-reflective markers were placed on anatomical landmarks to define joint centres and body segments using the marker set previously described in previous stroke research [23]. Please see Table 1 (Supplementary data). Motion analysis data was collected at 120 Hz and ground reaction forces at 1200 Hz. A static standing trial was recorded for each participant to calculate a participant-specific calculation of the location of joint centres and then participants completed seven walking trials of approximately 5 m in length. The average of these walking trials was then used per joint to calculate the GPS.

Data were digitised in Qualisys Track Manager, Qualisys, Sweden and then exported for modelling and analysis within Visual 3D (C-Motion, Rochelle, USA). A model specific to the height and body mass of each participant was created. The inertial parameters were calculated for the pelvis, hip, knee, ankle, and foot using inverse dynamics. This allows specific constraints to be applied at the joints of the virtual model so to limit rotation and or translation. The pelvis permitted six degrees of freedom, but only sagittal, coronal, and transverse plane rotation was permitted at all other joints. Gait events (initial contact and foot off) were defined from contact with the force plates. Visual 3D was used to calculate and extract wave form angles for the pelvis, hip, knee, ankle, and foot for each plane of motion.

3.2. Calculation of GPS

The GPS [13] was calculated from the gait variable score which is calculated by the root mean square difference between joint angles of each participant and the average of the healthy able-bodied participants during the gait cycle. There are fifteen gait variable scores. Four from the sagittal plane (pelvis anterior/posterior tilt, hip flexion/extension, knee flexion/extension, ankle dorsiflexion/plantarflexion), two from the coronal plane (pelvis up/down, hip adduction/abduction) and three from the transverse plane (pelvis internal/external, hip internal/external and foot progression) for each leg and only pelvis kinematics from the paretic/left leg were used.

3.3. Temporal and spatial parameters

Gait events (initial contact and foot off) were defined from contact with force plates and used to calculate temporal and spatial parameters.
Temporal parameters included walking speed, stance time and spatial parameters included stride length, stride width, and step length. Symmetry ratios for step length and stance time were calculated using the recommended calculation by other studies [1,4]. See Supplementary Table 2 for description of temporal and spatial parameters calculation.

3.4. Metabolic cost

To capture metabolic energy expenditure during walking, participants were fitted with a gas analyser (Cortex Metalyser, Biophysik) which measures oxygen consumption. All participants walked at their self-selected speed for three minutes up and down a 15 m long runway with timing gates situated 5 m from either end of the runway to measure walking speed. The last minute of oxygen consumption data from each participant was used for analysis. Oxygen consumption underwent normalisation to body mass and to calculate the metabolic cost of walking, which is a measure of efficiency, oxygen consumption was divided by walking speed, which indicates the millilitres of oxygen uptake required per kilogram of bodyweight to cover a metre of ground.

3.5. Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was conducted using SPSS Version 24. All data were checked for normality using the Shapiro-Wilko test and measures of skewness and kurtosis. Mean and 95% confidence interval were calculated for each parameter. The GPS for the paretic, non-paretic and overall score, metabolic cost, temporal, and spatial parameters of young stroke survivors were compared to the healthy able-bodied control (left leg score was compared to paretic and non-paretic leg) using Mann Whitney U tests with a Bonferroni correction. The GPS of the paretic leg was compared to the non-paretic leg for young stroke survivors using a Mann Whitney U test with Bonferroni correction. The GPS for the paretic, non-paretic and overall score was correlated to metabolic cost, temporal and spatial parameters using a Spearman’s rho correlation.

4. Results

4.1. Demographic data

Young stroke survivors were thirty-two male and seven females, aged 49.60 years (45.98–53.22) and time since stroke 12.9 months (9.2–16.6) (Table 1). All with confirmed stroke diagnosis by CT scan (n = 10 haemorrhage, n = 29 ischaemic), the paretic limb was the right for n = 20 and left for n = 19 participants. Supplementary Data Table 3 provides demographic and neurological data for stroke participants. Control participants were seven male and eight females (Table 1).

4.1.1. Comparison of the GPS, temporal and spatial parameters and metabolic cost of young stroke survivors compared to the healthy able-bodied control

GPS for the paretic leg (9.40° (8.60–10.21) p < 0.001), non-paretic leg (11.42° (10.20–12.63) p < 0.001) and overall score (11.18° (10.26–12.09) p < 0.001) were significantly shorter and less efficient (0.14 ml/kg/m (0.14–0.15)) (Table 2). The GPS of the paretic leg was significantly less than the than the non-paretic leg (p = 0.010). Young stroke survivors walked significantly slower and less efficiently (0.81 m/s (0.69–0.93) p < 0.001, 0.15 ml/kg/m (0.14–0.15)) (Table 2). Step length asymmetry ratio (0.95 (0.91–0.99)) p < 0.001) and width 0.26 m (0.18–0.34) p < 0.001) were significantly shorter and wider for young stroke survivors compared to the control (1.33 m (1.24–1.41), 0.12 m (0.11–0.14)) (Table 1). Step length symmetry ratio was greater (1.25 (0.89–1.62) p < 0.001) and stance time symmetry ratio (0.95 (0.91–0.99)) p < 0.001) less for young stroke survivors compared to the control (0.93 (0.87–0.98), 1.01 (0.96–1.05)) (Table 2).
4.1.2. Relationship between the GPS, temporal and spatial parameters, and metabolic cost in young stroke survivors

All gait parameters with the exception of step length symmetry ratio correlated moderate to highly to the GPS (Table 3, Fig. 1). Higher correlations were observed between the GPS of the paretic leg and the overall score than the non-paretic leg across all parameters. Walking speed correlated negatively and moderately to the GPS for the paretic leg ($\rho = -0.543$ ($p < 0.001$) and overall score ($\rho = -0.397$ ($p = 0.012$)), whilst metabolic cost correlated positively and strongly to the GPS for the paretic ($\rho = 0.644$ ($p < 0.001$)) and overall score ($\rho = 0.472$ ($p = 0.002$) (Table 3, Fig. 1). Stride length correlated negatively and strongly to the GPS for the paretic ($\rho = -0.732$ ($p < 0.001$)), moderately to the non-paretic ($\rho = -0.418$ ($p = 0.008$)) and overall score ($\rho = -0.572$ ($p < 0.001$)). Similarly, stride width correlated with the GPS positively and moderately for the paretic leg ($\rho = 0.543$ ($p = 0.001$), and the overall score ($\rho = 0.408$ ($p = 0.01$) (Table 3, Fig. 1). Stance time symmetry ratio was negatively and moderately correlated, but only for the non-paretic leg ($\rho = -0.499$ ($p = 0.001$)) and overall score ($\rho = -0.454$ ($p = 0.004$)) (Table 3, Fig. 1). Table 4.

5. Discussion

This study reports for the first time a measure of the GPS in young stroke survivors. The GPS correlated against key gait variables and shows that a stroke not only affects the magnitude of joint movement (as previously reported [8,12,24], but also the quality of movement measured via the GPS and is related to the speed and efficiency of walking, temporal (stance time symmetry) and spatial (stride length, stride width) parameters in young stroke survivors. It can be challenging to choose which individual parameters may be most useful to measure gait performance, but the GPS provides a composite measure of the quality of gait which correlates to other easily measured walking performance variables.

The GPS correlated moderately with nearly all gait parameters, except for step length symmetry ratio. Moderate and strong correlations were recorded between the GPS of the paretic leg and overall score with walking speed, metabolic cost, stride length and stride width. The results indicate that with a higher GPS, walking speed will decrease, metabolic cost increases, stride length shortens, and stride width widens. The
results also suggest that the quality of joint kinematics of the non-paretic limb is more affected than the paretic limb which was also reported by others [19]. We can hypothesise that this is due to compensation strategies by the non-paretic limb to accommodate for the reduced function of the paretic limb.

The two measures of symmetry are affected in the same way, with a negative correlation to a decrease in the quality of walking. The GPS is higher in young stroke survivors compared to the able-bodied control group (n = 15), in the stroke group the ratio between male and female participants was uneven with n = 32 (male) and n = 7 (female) while for the control it was relatively even at n = 7 (male) and n = 8 (female). Other studies [28] have reported differences in joint kinematics between males and females so this may have caused some differences between our stroke group and control. GPS uses lower limb data only and therefore whilst it provides a measure of lower limb quality of movement during walking, it does not include the effect of the movement of the torso, head and arms on lower limb movement. Although we captured which side was paretic or non-paretic, we did not capture which was the dominant side as this may also affect walking performance if the dominant side is affected following a stroke compared to non-dominant side.

### 6. Conclusion

The GPS is higher in young stroke survivors compared to the able-bodied control. This study reports that the GPS is strongly related to the efficiency of walking, temporal, and spatial parameters. This suggests that it is not only the magnitude of joint movement that is affected post-stroke, but also the quality of that movement which may help to inform rehabilitation for stroke survivors.
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**Table 4**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Stroke (n = 39)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Walking Speed (m/s)</td>
<td>-0.543 (p &lt; 0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabolic Cost (ml/kg/m)</td>
<td>0.644 (p = 0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stride Length (m)</td>
<td>-0.732 (&lt;0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stride Width (m)</td>
<td>0.543 (p = 0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step length symmetry ratio</td>
<td>0.06 (p = 0.723)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stance time symmetry ratio</td>
<td>0.256 (p = 0.115)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Table 4** presents Spearman Rho correlation coefficients for Gait Profile Score (paretic, non-paretic limbs and overall score) correlated to walking speed, metabolic cost, stride length, stride width, step length asymmetry and stance time asymmetry for young stroke survivors.


