


**Please cite the Published Version**

Highton, J, Mullen, T  and Twist, C (2017) Influence of knowledge of task endpoint on pacing and performance during simulated rugby league match play. *International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance*, 12 (9). pp. 1192-1198. ISSN 1555-0265

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.1123/ijsp.2016-0603>

**Publisher:** Human Kinetics

**Version:** Accepted Version

**Downloaded from:** <https://e-space.mmu.ac.uk/628322/>

**Usage rights:**  In Copyright

**Additional Information:** This is an Author Accepted Manuscript of a paper accepted for publication in *International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance*, published by and copyright Human Kinetics.

**Enquiries:**

If you have questions about this document, contact [openresearch@mmu.ac.uk](mailto:openresearch@mmu.ac.uk). Please include the URL of the record in e-space. If you believe that your, or a third party's rights have been compromised through this document please see our Take Down policy (available from <https://www.mmu.ac.uk/library/using-the-library/policies-and-guidelines>)

1 **Abstract**

2 **Purpose:** To examine the influence of knowledge of exercise duration on pacing and  
3 performance during simulated rugby league match-play. **Methods:** Thirteen male university  
4 rugby players completed three simulated rugby league matches (RLMSP-i) on separate days  
5 in a random order. In a control trial, participants were informed that they would be  
6 performing 2 x 23 min bouts (separated by 20 min) of the RLMSP-i (CON). In a second trial,  
7 participants were informed that they would be performing 1 x 23 min bout of the protocol,  
8 but were then asked to perform another 23 min bout (DEC). In a third trial, participants were  
9 not informed of the exercise duration and performed 2 x 23 min bouts (UN). **Results:**  
10 Distance covered and high intensity running was higher in CON ( $4813 \pm 167$  m;  $26 \pm 4.1$   
11  $\text{m}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ ) than DEC ( $4764 \pm 112$  m;  $25.2 \pm 2.8$   $\text{m}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ ) and UN ( $4744 \pm 131$  m;  $24.4$   $\text{m}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ ).  
12 Compared to CON, high intensity running and peak speed was typically higher for DEC in  
13 bout 1 and lower in bout 2 of the RLMSP-i, whilst UN was generally lower throughout.  
14 Similarly, DEC resulted in an increased heart rate, blood lactate and rating of perceived  
15 exertion than CON in bout 1, whereas these variables were lower throughout the protocol in  
16 UN. **Conclusions:** Pacing and performance during simulated rugby league match-play is  
17 dependent on an accurate understanding of the exercise end-point. Applied practitioners  
18 should consider informing players of their likely exercise duration to maximise running.

19

20 **Key Words:** Deception. Team Sports. Fatigue. Rating of Perceived Exertion.

21

22

23

24

25

26 **Introduction**

27 Pacing, defined as the distribution of energy expenditure during athletic competition, has  
28 been well-defined in continuous 'closed-loop' exercise<sup>1</sup>. Indeed, an athlete's pacing strategy  
29 is fundamental to success in events where maintaining the highest average speed is the goal<sup>2</sup>.  
30 However, in team sports that comprise different actions such as standing, walking, jogging,  
31 sprinting and tackling, pacing is less well understood.

32

33 In rugby league, the amount and type of work that athletes complete appears to be related to  
34 playing position<sup>3</sup>, playing standard<sup>4</sup>, nature of the opposition<sup>5</sup>, playing home or away<sup>5</sup> and  
35 whether the player is playing for the whole match or part of it<sup>6</sup>. Furthermore, running  
36 intensity fluctuates during a match more stochastically than 'continuous' exercise<sup>7</sup>, but in a  
37 predictable enough manner such that common traits are apparent. That is, there is often a  
38 gradual reduction in total and high-intensity running over the course of a game, and a period  
39 of repeated high-intensity efforts is followed by a transient reduction in energy expenditure  
40 relative to the match average<sup>8</sup>.

41

42 Accordingly, it appears that several different variables influence an athlete's movement  
43 characteristics such that they 'pace' their efforts during exercise. However, the precise way in  
44 which athletes do this is unclear. During continuous exercise, it is thought that athletes  
45 regulate their exercise in accordance with the principle of teleoanticipation<sup>9</sup>, whereby work is  
46 distributed based on a complex interplay between a feed-forward template developed for the  
47 exercise and feedback from peripheral and central physiological systems. For this model of  
48 exercise regulation, the athlete must know the exercise duration to adopt an appropriate  
49 pacing strategy that enables safe completion<sup>10,11</sup>. Interestingly, deception of the exercise end-

50 point affects performance during both continuous exercise<sup>12</sup> and repeated sprints<sup>13</sup>, such that  
51 more work is completed in the early stages of exercise.

52

53 However, only one study has examined this phenomenon when athletes are required to  
54 perform intermittent activity specific to team sports. Gabbett et al.<sup>14</sup> reported that players  
55 covered greater distance during a 12-minute small-sided game when they were deceived  
56 about the exercise duration (130.6 m·min<sup>-1</sup>) rather than informed of it accurately (123.3  
57 m·min<sup>-1</sup>), whilst they also performed ~2 m·min<sup>-1</sup> more high-intensity running in the initial 6  
58 min of the game. Thus, an athlete's pacing strategy is seemingly influenced by a manipulated  
59 understanding of exercise duration in stochastic and intermittent sports. However, Gabbett  
60 and colleagues' use of short duration training activities limits the extrapolation of their  
61 findings to match play, given that an individual's pacing strategy is clearly influenced by  
62 exercise duration<sup>1</sup>. Furthermore, match play includes physical contacts and collisions, which  
63 are known to add a significant physiological cost to exercise<sup>15</sup>, and influence work done via  
64 running<sup>16</sup>. Finally, Gabbett et al.<sup>14</sup> did not include any physiological measurements, thus  
65 mechanistic insight on changes in running during teams sports are limited. As such, whilst  
66 this work provided valuable information on pacing during training, it remains unclear  
67 whether an individual's understanding of exercise duration influences work during activity  
68 more closely aligned to the demands of rugby. Accordingly, the aim of this study was to  
69 examine the influence of knowledge of exercise duration on pacing strategy and  
70 physiological responses during simulated rugby league match play.

71

72

73

74

75 **Methods**

## 76 Participants

77 After approval from the Faculty of Life Sciences Research Ethics Committee, 13 male  
78 university standard rugby players volunteered to participate in the study (age =  $22 \pm 3$  years,  
79 stature =  $1.77 \pm 0.02$  m, body mass =  $82.7 \pm 8.0$  kg, predicted  $\dot{V}O_{2\max} = 54 \pm 4$  ml·kg<sup>-1</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>).  
80 All participants provided written informed consent and completed a health questionnaire  
81 before starting the study. Participants engaged in rugby related training for approximately 4  
82 hours and participated in one competitive match per week.

83

## 84 Design

85 Participants completed four visits in a repeated measures design. On the initial visit,  
86 participants completed a multi-stage fitness test<sup>17</sup> before familiarisation with an isokinetic  
87 dynamometer and the rugby league movement simulation protocol (RLMSP-i). In the  
88 following three visits, in a random order, participants completed the same RLMSP-i where  
89 they were: a) accurately informed of the exercise duration (CON); b) deceived of the exercise  
90 duration (DEC); c) not told how long the exercise duration would be (UN). Each visit was  
91 separated by seven days and performed at the same time of day. Participants were instructed  
92 to refrain from strenuous exercise in the 48 h before each trial, and completed a food diary in  
93 the 48 h before the first visit, which they were then asked to replicate before subsequent  
94 trials.

95

## 96 Methodology

97 On an artificial 3G playing surface, participants completed the RLMSP-i, which accurately  
98 replicates the average speed, distance and playing time of elite interchange rugby league  
99 players<sup>18</sup>. Before the protocol, participants performed a 10 min standardised warm-up

100 consisting of varied running intensities and dynamic stretches. Environmental conditions  
101 were recorded for each trial. CON, DEC and UN were performed at a similar temperature  
102 ( $25.1^{\circ}\text{C} \pm 4.7^{\circ}\text{C}$ ,  $24.1^{\circ}\text{C} \pm 2.4^{\circ}\text{C}$ ,  $22.6^{\circ}\text{C} \pm 2.9^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) and relative humidity ( $32.2\% \pm 10\%$ ,  
103  $30.3\% \pm 7.1\%$ ,  $33.8\% \pm 7.9\%$ ).

104

105 The simulation protocol consists of 2 x 23 min bouts of intermittent exercise separated by 20  
106 min. Running alone, participants were instructed to perform various activities in time with an  
107 audio signal from a CD, with distances demarcated by cones positioned on a 28.5 m track,  
108 details for which have been described in detail elsewhere<sup>18</sup>. Before the protocol, participants  
109 were given standardised instructions that were specific to each trial. Briefly, in CON,  
110 participants were instructed that they would be performing 2 x 23 min exercise bouts. In  
111 DEC, participants were told that they would be performing 1 x 23 min bout, but upon  
112 completion of this bout were asked to complete a further 23 min. In UN, participants were  
113 told that they would be exercising for an unknown period of time up to 80 min, but again  
114 performed 2 x 23 min bouts. Thus, participants completed the same exercise protocol in each  
115 trial, but received different instructions on the exercise duration. In each trial a clock was  
116 made visible to the participants to gauge the duration of the exercise bout.

117

118 During the protocol, movement speeds and heart rate (HR) were recorded using a global  
119 positioning system (GPS) positioned in a custom made vest positioned between the  
120 participant's scapulae (MinimaxX S5, firmware 6.75, Catapult Innovations, Melbourne,  
121 Australia). Total distance, high-intensity running ( $> 14 \text{ km}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$ ) sprint speed and average HR  
122 were calculated and analysed per quartile of each 23 min exercise bout ( $\sim 5.75 \text{ min}$ ). These  
123 variables possess adequate reliability to detect a meaningful change in performance ( $\text{CV}\% =$   
124  $1.1\text{-}2.9\%$ <sup>18</sup>). Satellite availability during experimental trials was 12-19. A rating of perceived

125 exertion (RPE) was provided at the end of each quartile, while blood lactate was recorded  
126 from a fingertip capillary blood sample (Lactate Pro, Arkray, Kyoto, Japan) ~ 5 min before  
127 the protocol and immediately after each 23 min bout. Peak isokinetic knee extension torque at  
128  $60 \text{ deg}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$  (Biodex Multi-Joint Sytem 3, Biodex Medical, USA) was also recorded ~ 1 h  
129 before and ~ 30 min after exercise, with the peak value from five repetitions of the dominant  
130 limb taken for analysis. Participants were restrained to minimise any extraneous movement,  
131 with the dynamometer lever arm positioned at the participants' malleoli. The mass of the  
132 limb was recorded to allow for gravitational correction of recorded torque, and participants  
133 received visual feedback of their torque production to encourage maximal effort.

134

135 Statistical analysis

136 All data are presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD). Changes in movement,  
137 physiological and perceptual responses to the RLMSP-i were analysed using qualitative  
138 inferences based on effect sizes and associated 90% confidence intervals<sup>19</sup>. Effects were  
139 classified as small (mean difference greater than 0.3 x pooled SD), moderate (0.9 x pooled  
140 SD) or large (1.6 x pooled SD), with the following thresholds for likelihood based on  
141 confidence intervals; <0.5% most unlikely, 0.5-5% very unlikely, 5-25% unlikely, 25-75%  
142 possibly, 75-95% likely, 95-99.5% very likely, >99.5% most likely. Statements based on the  
143 size and likelihood of an observed effect are made in italics for clarity, whilst differences are  
144 presented as the change;  $\pm 90\%$  confidence interval.

145

## 146 **Results**

147 Movement characteristics during the RMPSP-i

148 From the first to the second exercise bout, there was a *most likely moderate* decline in  
149 distance covered in CON ( $-2.6; \pm 1 \text{ m}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ ), with a *most likely large* and *very large* decline

150 in UN ( $-3.8; \pm 1.3 \text{ m}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ ) and DEC ( $-4.0; \pm 1.4 \text{ m}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ ), respectively. For high intensity  
151 running, there was a *most likely small*, *moderate*, and *large* decline between bouts for CON ( $-$   
152  $2.5; \pm 0.7 \text{ m}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ ), UN ( $-2.7; \pm 1.1 \text{ m}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ ) and DEC ( $-3.4; \pm 1.1 \text{ m}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ ), respectively.

153

154 There was a *possible small* decrease in the total distance covered in the RLMSP-i during the  
155 DEC trial compared to CON ( $-49.1; \pm 56.9 \text{ m}$ ), but no difference between CON and UN or  
156 DEC and UN (Figure 1a). However, for high intensity running, the difference between CON  
157 and UN was *possibly moderate* ( $-1.6; \pm 2.0 \text{ m}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ ), and *possibly small* ( $-0.8; \pm 1.2 \text{ m}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ )  
158 between CON and DEC and DEC and UN, respectively (Figure 1).

159

160 \*\*\*\*\*Insert Figure 1 about here\*\*\*\*\*

161

162 Specific meaningful differences in pacing during the RLMSP-i are shown in Figure 2. Most  
163 notably, DEC resulted in participants covering a *moderately lower* ( $-3.4; \pm 2.2 \text{ m}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ )  
164 distance in the initial quartile of the second bout of exercise, and generally less high intensity  
165 running over the second bout (but most notably in the final quartile). In the UN trial, there  
166 was a general *small* decline in distance covered and high intensity running compared to CON  
167 in each quartile, with a larger difference observed in the final quartile of the protocol ( $-3.2; \pm$   
168  $2.6 \text{ m}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ ). The peak speed that participants achieved in each quartile was *possibly higher*  
169 in DEC for bout 1, but lower for bout 2, particularly in the final quartile ( $-1.3; \pm 1 \text{ km}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$ ).  
170 Much like HIR, UN resulted in a *possible* reduction in peak speed across quartiles, but  
171 especially in the final quartile of the protocol ( $-1.8; \pm 1.1 \text{ km}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$ ).

172

173 \*\*\*\*\*Insert Figure 2 about here\*\*\*\*\*

174



175  
176 Physiological and perceptual responses  
177 Heart rate and RPE was *possibly* higher in in the final quartile of bout 1 in DEC compared to  
178 CON ( $3.8; \pm 5.8 \text{ b}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ ). In UN, heart rate was *possibly* lower than CON in the final quartile  
179 of bout 2 ( $-4.1; \pm 7.4 \text{ b}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ ), whilst RPE was *likely* lower for most of the quartiles of the  
180 RLMSP-i. At the end of bout 1, blood lactate concentration was *possibly* higher in DEC  
181 compared to CON ( $1; \pm 1 \text{ mmol}\cdot\text{l}^{-1}$ ), and lower in UN compared to CON ( $1.8; \pm 1.4 \text{ mmol}\cdot\text{l}^{-1}$ ).  
182 At the end of bout 2, there was a *likely moderately* higher blood lactate concentration in CON  
183 compared to UN and DEC (Table 1). *Small* decrements in isokinetic peak torque of the knee  
184 extensors after the RLMSP-i were apparent in the CON and DEC trials only (Table 2).

185

186 \*\*\*\*\*Insert Tables 1 and 2 about here\*\*\*\*\*

187

## 188 **Discussion**

189 This is the first study to examine the influence of knowledge of the task end-point on pacing  
190 during exercise designed to simulate the match demands of a contact team sport. Our data  
191 indicate that an individual's understanding of the exercise duration is a key determinant of  
192 pacing strategy and performance during this type of exercise. Specifically, participants  
193 appeared to cover the greatest distance and perform more high intensity running when they  
194 were correctly informed of their exercise duration, whilst the opposite was true when  
195 participants were unaware of the exercise duration. With regard to the pacing strategy  
196 adopted during the RLMSP-i, participants covered less distance, performed less high  
197 intensity running and attained a lower peak sprint speed in most bout quartiles compared to  
198 when they were correctly informed of the exercise duration. Contemporaneous changes in the

199 physiological and perceptual responses to movement tended to reflect the change in external  
200 load observed in each condition.

201

202 The general reduction in exercise intensity in UN was also associated with a reduced RPE  
203 and blood lactate concentration throughout the RLMSP-i compared to CON. Our observation  
204 that individuals voluntarily lower their exercise intensity and physiological exertion when  
205 they are unaware of the exercise duration is consistent with previous research in continuous<sup>20</sup>  
206 and repeated-sprint exercise<sup>13</sup>. This down regulation of pacing strategy is thought to be the  
207 consequence of participants maintaining a metabolic reserve to reach the unknown exercise  
208 end-point without premature exhaustion or severe disturbance to homeostasis<sup>21</sup>. According to  
209 contemporary pacing theories<sup>9,10,11,22,23</sup>, individuals are thought to undertake exercise with a  
210 pre-set template of their exercise intensity, which is a function of their understanding of the  
211 exercise duration and previous experience. However, during exercise this template undergoes  
212 dynamic alterations based on feedback to the central nervous system from peripheral  
213 structures regarding the amount of homeostatic disturbance<sup>24</sup>. The sum of these afferent  
214 signals is thought to be reflected in an individual's RPE, which can then be continually  
215 compared to the 'template' RPE set before exercise<sup>11</sup>. As such, it is unsurprising that  
216 participants adopted a lower RPE – and indeed attained an average RPE of only 14 at the end  
217 of the RLMSP-i - in the face of an unknown exercise duration in the present study. We  
218 propose that this would ensure a sufficient metabolic reserve was maintained to complete  
219 upcoming unknown events with minimal risk of a severe disturbance to homeostasis. Indeed,  
220 that participants' isokinetic muscle function remained unchanged after completing the  
221 RLMSP-i in the UN condition indicates minimal fatigue was present. This seemingly resulted  
222 in a sub-optimal performance given that participants completed 1.4% less distance, 6.2% less

223 high-intensity running, and produced a maximal sprint that was 4.8% lower than when they  
224 were correctly informed of the exercise duration.

225

226 Further evidence that participants maintained a significant metabolic reserve in the UN trial is  
227 the lack of an end-spurt. That is, participants failed to increase their running speed towards  
228 the end of the protocol, as is commonly observed during several types of self-paced  
229 exercise<sup>25</sup>. An end-spurt results from a reduced uncertainty of the remaining exercise  
230 duration, and thus no metabolic reserve needs to be maintained and motor unit recruitment  
231 and RPE increases with a reduced threat of homeostatic failure<sup>11</sup>. This relationship between  
232 exercise intensity, RPE and remaining exercise time has been described using a ‘hazard  
233 score’, which is the product of remaining exercise duration and momentary RPE<sup>26</sup>. Whilst in  
234 events of known duration individuals are willing to increase exercise intensity when the end-  
235 point approaches (as the hazard score will be low), it seems that when participants are  
236 unaware of the exercise duration during simulated team sport exercise, the possibility of an  
237 extended exercise duration results in a hazard score which cannot be calculated and thus an  
238 end-spurt does not occur.

239

240 Interestingly, an end-spurt was evident in the CON and DEC trials, but this occurred at  
241 different times. That is, participants increased their peak speed in the final quartile of bout 1  
242 in DEC (the time they believed the protocol would finish), whereas this occurred at the end of  
243 bout 2 in CON. Participants seemed to increase their exercise intensity across bout 1 in DEC  
244 compared to CON, whilst this condition produced the highest peak sprint speed of all trials.  
245 However, much like UN, deception of the exercise end-point seemed to result in a pacing  
246 strategy that was sub-optimal across the two exercise bouts of the RLMSP-i, as peak speed

247 was reduced in bout 2, and participants completed 1.1% and 4% less distance covered and  
248 high-intensity running, respectively.

249

250 Whilst our findings are consistent with others who have examined knowledge of the exercise  
251 end-point on endurance<sup>20,25,27</sup>, repeated-sprint performance<sup>13</sup> and repeated maximal voluntary  
252 contractions<sup>28</sup>, they are different to the only study to investigate the effect of knowledge of  
253 task end-point in team sport athletes. Gabbett et al.<sup>14</sup> reported that rugby players covered a  
254 greater total distance and high intensity running during small-sided games when they were  
255 deceived compared to when the duration of exercise was known. Participants also increased  
256 relative distance during the unknown compared to known condition, although the unknown  
257 condition comprised more low intensity activity. The reason for the discrepant findings of  
258 Gabbett et al.<sup>14</sup> are not clear, although it was proposed that their participants were likely to  
259 have a prior knowledge of the usual duration of training games, and thus an ‘unknown’ and  
260 ‘deception’ trial might have had limited influence on participants’ pacing strategy.  
261 Furthermore, the shorted exercise duration (~6-12 min) employed by Gabbett et al.<sup>14</sup> might  
262 have encouraged a different pacing strategy to that observed in the present study. Indeed,  
263 shorted exercise durations are typically associated with an ‘all-out’ pacing strategy<sup>1</sup>, rather  
264 than the even pacing observed here.

265

#### 266 Practical Applications

267 For the first time we provide evidence that contact team sport players regulate their  
268 movement activity based on an understanding of the match end-point, adopting a pacing  
269 strategy similar to other forms of closed-looped exercise. We believe this has potential  
270 applied implications for team sports athletes. Most importantly, to maximise running, team  
271 sport players who have the potential to be interchanged should be informed of their likely

272 exercise duration. This might include telling an interchange player how long their bout will  
273 be (or indeed how many bouts they are likely to be involved with) or accurately informing  
274 players that they will be playing a whole match. Where possible, practitioners might also  
275 consider providing players with regular information on how far away they are from being  
276 interchanged to allow an end-spurt in exercise intensity to occur. Interestingly, if increased  
277 exercise intensity in a single bout is desirable, this can potentially be achieved by telling the  
278 athlete they are exercising for a shorter duration. However, this is likely to have implications  
279 for any subsequent exercise bout if they are to be repeatedly interchanged.

280

281 The present study did not include an analysis of participants' contact intensity, which is likely  
282 to have important implications for pacing during rugby league matches<sup>16</sup>. As such, future  
283 studies may wish to examine the influence of knowledge of task end-point on individuals  
284 pacing of contact intensity in addition to energy expenditure associated with running.  
285 Furthermore, the implications of knowledge of task end-point on pacing during repeated  
286 training sessions warrants attention, as this study would indicate that intensity - and therefore  
287 potential training stimulus - can be altered based on an individual's understanding of the  
288 exercise duration.

289

## 290 Conclusions

291 This study has demonstrated that knowledge of task end-point influences performance,  
292 pacing and physiological and perceptual responses to simulated rugby league match-play. To  
293 ensure an optimal pacing strategy, players should be accurately informed of their likely  
294 exercise duration.

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302 **Acknowledgements:** None.303 **Conflict of Interest:** None.304 **References**

- 305 1. Abbiss CR, Laursen PB. Describing and understanding pacing strategies during athletic  
306 competition. *Sports Med.* 2008;38:239-252.
- 307 2. Hettinga FJ, de Koning JJ, Hulleman M, Foster C. Relative importance of pacing strategy  
308 and mean power output in 1500-m self-paced cycling. *Br J Sports Med.* 2012;46:30-35.
- 309 3. Waldron M, Twist C, Highton J, Worsfold P, Daniels M. Movement and physiological  
310 match demands of elite rugby league using portable global positioning systems. *J Sports Sci.*  
311 2011;29:1223-1230.
- 312 4. Johnston RD, Gabbett TJ, Jenkins DG. Pacing strategies adopted during an intensified  
313 team sport competition depend on playing standard and physical fitness. *Int J Sports Physiol*  
314 *Perf.* 2015 [Epub ahead of print]. Doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1123/ijssp.2015-0005>.
- 315 5. Kempton T, Coutts AJ. Factors affecting exercise intensity in professional rugby league  
316 match-play. *J Sci Med Sport.* 2015 [Epub ahead of print]. Doi: 10.1016/j.jsams.2015.06.008.
- 317 6. Waldron M, Highton J, Daniels M, Twist C. Preliminary evidence of transient fatigue and  
318 pacing during interchanges in rugby league. *Int J Sports Physiol Perf.* 2013;8:157-164.
- 319 7. Black GM, Gabbett TJ. Match intensity and pacing strategies in rugby league: an  
320 examination of whole-game and interchanged players, and winning and losing teams. *J*  
321 *Strength Cond Res.* 2014;28:1507-1516.
- 322 8. Waldron M, Highton J. Fatigue and pacing in high-intensity intermittent team sport: an  
323 update. *Sports Med.* 2014;44:1645-1658.
- 324 9. Ulmer HV. Concept of an extracellular regulation of muscular metabolic rate during heavy  
325 exercise in humans by psych-physiological feedback. *Experientia.* 1996;52:416-420.
- 326 10. Noakes TD. Time to move beyond a brainless exercise physiology: the evidence for  
327 complex regulation of human exercise performance. *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab.*  
328 2011;36(1):23-35.
- 329 11. Tucker R. The anticipatory regulation of performance: the physiological basis for pacing  
330 strategies and the development of a perception-based model for exercise performance. *Br J*  
331 *Sports Med.* 2009;43:392-400.

- 332 12. Williams EL, Jones HS, Sparks S, Marchant DC, Micklewright D, McNaughton LR.  
333 Deception studies manipulating centrally acting performance modifiers: a review. *Med Scie*  
334 *Sports Exerc.* 2014;46:1441-1451.
- 335 13. Billaut F, Bishop DJ, Schaerz S, Noakes TF. Influence of knowledge of sprint number on  
336 pacing during repeated-sprint exercise. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2011;43:665-672.
- 337 14. Gabbett TJ, Walker B Walker S. Influence of prior knowledge of exercise duration on  
338 pacing strategies during game-based activities. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform.* 2015;10:298-  
339 304.
- 340 15. Johnston RD, Gabbett TJ. Repeated-sprint and effort ability in rugby league players. *J*  
341 *Strength Cond Res.* 2011;25:2789-2795.
- 342 16. Mullen T, Highton J, Twist C. The internal and external responses to a forward-specific  
343 rugby league simulation protocol performed with and without physical contact. *Int J Sports*  
344 *Physiol Perform.* 2015;10:746-753.
- 345 17. Ramsbottom R, Brewer J, Williams C. A progressive shuttle run test to estimate maximal  
346 oxygen uptake. *Br J Sports Med.* 1988;22:141-144.
- 347 18. Waldron M, Highton J, Twist C. The reliability of a rugby league movement-simulation  
348 protocol designed to replicate the performance of interchanged players. *Int J Sports Physiol*  
349 *Perf.* 2013;8:483-489.
- 350 19. Batterham A, Hopkins WG. Making meaningful inferences about magnitudes. *Int J*  
351 *Sports Physiol Perform.* 2006;1:50-57.
- 352 20. Eston R, Stansfield R, Westoby P, Parfitt G. Effect of deception and expected exercise  
353 duration on psychological and physiological variables during treadmill running and cycling.  
354 *Psychophysiology.* 2012;49:462-469.
- 355 21. Smits BLM, Pepping G-J, Hettinga FJ. Pacing and decision making in sport and  
356 exercise: the roles of perception and action in the regulation of exercise intensity. *Sports*  
357 *Med.* 2014;44:763-775.
- 358 22. Marcora S. Do we really need a central governor to explain brain regulation of exercise  
359 performance? *Eur J Appl Physiol.* 2008;104:929-931.
- 360 23. St Clair Gibson A, Lambert EV, Rauch LHG, Tucker R, Baden DA, Foster C, Noakes  
361 TD. The role of information processing between the brain and peripheral physiological  
362 systems in pacing and perception of effort. *Sports Med.* 2006;36:705-722.
- 363 24. Hampson DB, St Clair Gibson A, Lambert MI, Noakes TD. The influence of sensory cues  
364 on the perception of exertion during exercise and central regulation of exercise performance.  
365 *Sports Med.* 2001;31:935-952.
- 366 25. Swart J, Lamberts RP, Lambert MI, Lambert EV, Woolrich RW, Johnston S, Noakes TD.  
367 Exercising with reserve: exercise regulation by perceived exertion in relation to duration of  
368 exercise and knowledge of end-point. *Br J Sports Med.* 2009;43:775-781.
- 369 26. de Koning JJ, Foster C, Bakkum A, Kloppenburg S, Thiel C, Joesph T, Cohen J, Porcari  
370 JP. Regulation of pacing strategy during athletic competition. *PLoS ONE.* 2011;6:e15863.

371 27. Mauger A, Jones AM, Williams CA. Influence of feedback and prior experience on  
372 pacing during a 4-km cycle time trial. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2009;41(2):451-458.

373 28. Halperin I, Aboodarta SJ, Basset FA, Byrne JM, Behm DG. Pacing strategies during  
374 repeated maximal voluntary contractions. *Eur J Appl Physiol.* 2014;114:1413-1420.  
375

### 376 **Figure Legends**

377 **Figure 1.** Total (a) and high intensity (b) distance over the whole RLMSP-i

378

379 **Figure 2.** Changes in (a) distance covered, (b) High intensity distance, and (c) Peak speed  
380 during the RLMSP-i. Q = quartile for a given bout. ▲Denotes a meaningful difference  
381 between CON and UN. ○Denotes a meaningful difference between CON and DEC.

382

383

384

385

386

387



**Table 1.** Physiological and perceptual measurements throughout the RLMSP-i during different trials. Data are mean  $\pm$  SD.

	Bout 1				Bout 2				
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	
Heart Rate (b $\cdot$ min $^{-1}$ )	CON	159 $\pm$ 24	167 $\pm$ 23	169 $\pm$ 21	167 $\pm$ 22	160 $\pm$ 23	166 $\pm$ 21	166 $\pm$ 21	167 $\pm$ 22
	UN	158 $\pm$ 11	166 $\pm$ 11	166 $\pm$ 11	165 $\pm$ 12	159 $\pm$ 10	164 $\pm$ 12	163 $\pm$ 12	162 $\pm$ 13*
	DEC	162 $\pm$ 15	171 $\pm$ 12	171 $\pm$ 12	172 $\pm$ 12*	160 $\pm$ 11	166 $\pm$ 12	167 $\pm$ 13	167 $\pm$ 12
RPE (6-20)	CON	12.5 $\pm$ 1.2	14 $\pm$ 1.8	14.7 $\pm$ 1.5	15.4 $\pm$ 1.6	12.9 $\pm$ 1.4	13.8 $\pm$ 1.5	14.6 $\pm$ 1.8	15.3 $\pm$ 1.6
	UN	11.8 $\pm$ 1.6 <sup>#</sup>	13 $\pm$ 1.7 <sup>#</sup>	13.8 $\pm$ 1.8 <sup>#</sup>	14.1 $\pm$ 1.5 <sup>#</sup>	12.3 $\pm$ 1.2*	13.2 $\pm$ 1.7*	13.7 $\pm$ 1.8 <sup>#</sup>	14 $\pm$ 1.5 <sup>#</sup>
	DEC	12.6 $\pm$ 1.6	14 $\pm$ 1.2	15.1 $\pm$ 1.6*	16.2 $\pm$ 1.6*	12.8 $\pm$ 2.1	14.1 $\pm$ 2*	14.7 $\pm$ 1.6	14.7 $\pm$ 1.8*
Blood Lactate (mmol $\cdot$ l $^{-1}$ )	CON	3 $\pm$ 1.6	-	-	4.7 $\pm$ 3.2	-	-	-	5.6 $\pm$ 3.9
	UN	2.6 $\pm$ 0.8	-	-	2.9 $\pm$ 1.3 <sup>#</sup>	-	-	-	2.8 $\pm$ 1.7 <sup>#</sup>
	DEC	2.7 $\pm$ 1	-	-	5.7 $\pm$ 2.6*	-	-	-	3 $\pm$ 1.8 <sup>#</sup>

Q= bout quartile. CON = control trial, UN = unknown duration trial and DEC = deception trial. \* possible difference to CON, <sup>#</sup> likely difference to CON. All effects were *small*, with the exception of post measurements of blood lactate compared to CON, which were *moderate*.

**Table 2.** Changes in isokinetic peak torque at 60 deg $\cdot$ s $^{-1}$  in the knee extensors

	Pre	Post
CON (N $\cdot$ m)	239.3 $\pm$ 48.6	224.2 $\pm$ 50.7*
UN (N $\cdot$ m)	236.3 $\pm$ 49.8	235.2 $\pm$ 51.9
DEC (N $\cdot$ m)	236.9 $\pm$ 37.2	225.6 $\pm$ 40.4 <sup>#</sup>

\* possible *small* difference to Pre. <sup>#</sup> likely *small* difference to Pre.

