

# **Please cite the Published Version**

Grao, M, Ratova, M and Kelly, P 🕩 (2021) Design and optimisation of a low-cost titanium dioxidecoated stainless steel mesh photocatalytic water treatment reactor. Journal of Cleaner Production, 297. ISSN 0959-6526

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.126641

Publisher: Elsevier

Version: Accepted Version

Downloaded from: https://e-space.mmu.ac.uk/627766/

Usage rights:

(cc) BY-NC-ND Creative Commons: Attribution-Noncommercial-No Derivative Works 4.0

Additional Information: This is an Author Accepted Manuscript of an article published in Journal of Cleaner Production.

## Enquiries:

If you have questions about this document, contact openresearch@mmu.ac.uk. Please include the URL of the record in e-space. If you believe that your, or a third party's rights have been compromised through this document please see our Take Down policy (available from https://www.mmu.ac.uk/library/using-the-library/policies-and-guidelines)

### 1 Abstract

2 Photocatalysis has been extensively studied in recent years for environmental wastewater 3 treatment applications. Although promising, it has yet to be globally adopted, as it faces many 4 challenges; namely cost, complexity and efficiency. This present work focuses on the 5 optimisation of a bespoke photocatalytic water treatment reactor. Contrary to other studies, 6 the reactor was exclusively built from inexpensive and readily available consumer market 7 parts, to facilitate a widespread adoption of this water treatment method. Photocatalytic  $TiO_2$ 8 was synthesised and immobilised on stainless steel woven mesh in a one-step process, via 9 reactive pulsed DC magnetron sputtering. A two-levels augmented screening design template 10 was used to optimise the performance of the bespoke photocatalytic reactor, consisting of 20 11 experimental runs. Five independent variables were studied, UV light intensity, number of 12 TiO<sub>2</sub>-coated mesh layers, coating thickness, water flowrate and initial dye concentration. 13 Methylene blue dye solution was used as a model pollutant and the removal percentage after 14 5 h was used as a response. A linear regression model was built from the experimental results 15 and revealed that all first-order terms, with the exception of flowrate, were significant 16 contributors to the model pollutant removal. Increasing the coating thickness and the number 17 of TiO<sub>2</sub>-coated mesh layers did improve the removal rate of methylene blue. These benefits 18 cancelled each other when both variables were at their highest levels, due to a decreased light 19 permeability through the mesh. ANOVA, lack-of-fit, and R<sup>2</sup> analysis confirmed the 20 significance of the linear regression model. Optimised conditions were identified, leading to 21 the removal of more than 90 % of the model pollutant after 5 h of UV-A illumination. The 22 calculated pseudo-first-order constant was as high as  $14.5 \times 10^{-5}$  s<sup>-1</sup>, while the quantum yield 23 was estimated to be  $4.22 \times 10^{-6}$  molecules/photons and the figure of merit was calculated at

24	1.14. This substrate/catalyst combination proved to be effective at degrading methylene blue,
25	with no evident performance degradation after 10 repeated cycles, equivalent to 360 h of
26	consecutive use. This present work demonstrates that it is possible to build an efficient
27	photocatalytic reactor from inexpensive computer enthusiast parts, combined with a highly
28	scalable and industry friendly photocatalyst production technique.
29	Keywords: Photocatalysis; water treatment reactor; titanium dioxide; magnetron sputtering;
30	design of experiments; stainless steel mesh.
31	Highlights
32	• TiO <sub>2</sub> coatings were deposited by magnetron sputtering onto steel mesh;
33	• Coatings were crystalline without further thermal treatment applied;
34	• Low-cost water treatment reactor was constructed from consumer market parts.
35	• Influence of variable parameters was studied for optimisation of reactor performance;
36	• Photocatalytic efficiency remained stable over 10 consecutive cycles of use.
37	
38	1. Introduction

According to the data published by the World Health Organisation (WHO), in 2017 almost a third of the global population did not have access to safely managed, available and uncontaminated drinking-water services (World Health Organization, 2019). It is predicted that by 2025, half of the world's population will be living in water stressed areas. Use and consumption of unsanitary water is known to result in rapid spread of diarrhoea, cholera, dysentery, typhoid, and polio; thriving and praying on the most vulnerable populations. In
the near future, water scarcity and imbalance is predicted to be one of the many consequences
of climate change (Anser et al., 2020; Zhao et al., 2019; Zhu et al., 2020).

47 To address this pressing issue, environmentally friendly and sustainable processes must be 48 developed and implemented to treat unsanitary water. Photocatalysis could be the answer, as 49 it only requires a photocatalyst and an appropriate light source to operate. This advanced oxidation process (AOP) involves the absorption of photons of sufficient energy, by a 50 51 semiconductor photocatalyst, to promote valence band electrons to the conduction band and 52 form electron-hole pairs (equation (1)). These charge carriers diffuse to the photocatalyst's 53 surface, react with water and oxygen molecules to form highly reactive radical species, 54 through a series of chain reactions, as described by equation (2), (3), (4), (5), (6), (7), (8), (9), 55 (10) and (11) (Fatima et al., 2019). These radical species progressively oxidise organic 56 pollutants into less harmful intermediate products, until complete mineralisation is achieved 57 (equation (12)).

- 69 (12) Pollutant +  $OH^{\bullet} \rightarrow intermediate \rightarrow CO_2 + H_2O$
- 70

The most widely used photocatalyst is crystalline titanium dioxide (TiO<sub>2</sub>), whose 71 72 photocatalytic properties were discovered by Fujishima and Honda in 1969 (Fujishima and 73 Honda, 1972). It is non-toxic, stable, inexpensive and has been extensively used for water 74 electrolysis, dve-sensitised solar cells, air/water purification, self-cleaning coatings, self-75 cleaning glass, self-sterilising coatings, etc. (Byrne et al., 2018). Photocatalysts are most 76 commonly studied in powder form, where they generally possess higher activity than thin 77 films, but they then require post-treatment separation to safely discharge the treated water. 78 This major drawback limits the scalability and widespread adoption of powder-based 79 photocatalytic water treatment systems. Efforts were made to use immobilised 80 photocatalysts, as they do not require any post-treatment separation, but their effectiveness 81 can be limited by mass and photon transfer. These limitations can be mitigated when catalyst 82 thickness, reactant proximity, catalyst surface area and light permeability are considered 83 carefully (Sundar and Kanmani, 2020).

In his critical review, Juan José Rueda-Marquez identified several barriers, which hinder the
development of real world photocatalytic water treatment applications (Rueda-Marquez et
al., 2020):

- 87
  - Most studies are performed on a laboratory scale.
- There is a lack of attention given to photocatalyst reusability.
- More than 60% of studies are performed on powders.
- Upfront and operational water treatment cost are rarely included.

91 This study aims at addressing some of the points raised by Rueda-Marquez et al., by building 92 and optimising a photocatalytic reactor, made with inexpensive components and loaded with 93 stainless steel mesh coated with  $TiO_2$  thin films. The upfront and operating cost of this proof 94 of concept were both included in this study, while the reusability was assessed for 360 h of 95 consecutive use.

96 Practical use of photocatalytic materials for water and wastewater treatment can be facilitated 97 through their integration into so-called photocatalytic reactors. Although there is a wide 98 variety of reactor types (fluidized bed, optical fibre monolith, micro-channel, annular etc.), 99 they usually share common features, such as the presence of a reactor vessel, a light source, 100 a photocatalyst and a form of solution agitator. Photocatalytic reactors aimed towards 101 wastewater treatment can generally be classified in two categories: slurry type and 102 immobilised type. Regardless of the chosen type, the main attributes of an ideal 103 photocatalytic reactor should be the following (Colmenares and Xu, 2016): high quantum 104 efficiency, high catalyst specific surface area, efficient mass transfer, low cost and low 105 toxicity. To enable efficient transfer from a laboratory environment to real-world 106 applications, the photocatalyst manufacturing process should be scalable and the reactor 107 components should be inexpensive.

108 It is common practice for free form photocatalysts to be immobilised by spray coating (Cortes 109 et al., 2019; Lasa et al., 2005), which involves slurry preparation, air spraying and calcination 110 steps. In an earlier study, 304 stainless steel mesh was successfully coated with photocatalytic 111 TiO<sub>2</sub>, in a one-step process, demonstrated its efficiency against a range of model pollutants 112 and identified  $O_2^{\bullet-}$  and  $OH^{\bullet}$  as the photocatalytic reaction's main driving force (Grao et al., 113 2020). The photocatalyst was deposited by reactive magnetron sputtering, in a one-step 114 process, which represents a significant time and economic gain, especially for high volume 115 manufacturing, compared to multi-step chemical techniques. Magnetron sputtering is 116 reproducible, highly scalable and provides excellent control over chemical and 117 morphological properties (Kelly and Arnell, 2000). This stainless-steel mesh substrate was 118 chosen for its inexpensiveness, flexibility, durability and, importantly, ability to let light pass 119 through. Layers of stainless-steel woven mesh can be stacked in a photocatalytic reactor, 120 increasing the catalyst load whilst maintaining light permeability. TiO<sub>2</sub> coated stainless steel 121 mesh was integrated in a bespoke photocatalytic reactor, LCPR-I (Low-Cost Photocatalytic 122 Reactor-I). Key parameters were optimised to maximise the reactor's efficiency, based on its 123 ability to degrade a model pollutant; methylene blue (MB). Five parameters were varied 124 along an augmented screening design template to identify the most important parameters and 125 optimise the process: UV-A light intensity, number of TiO<sub>2</sub>-coated mesh layers, coating 126 thickness, water flowrate and initial dye concentration.

This reactor fabrication process did not involve any expensive components (glass, quartz, membranes, air injector etc.) and it was almost exclusively built from components available in an everyday computer store, making this system easily accessible and affordable. The photocatalyst deposition process is scalable, reproducible and already widely established in high volume manufacturing processes. The combined affordability, simplicity and efficiency of this proof of concept represents a sustainable option to treat wastewater and help to bridge the gap between materials research and real-world applications.

**2.** Materials and Methods

135 2.1.Deposition process

136 Titanium dioxide thin films were deposited in a single-stage process in a Nordiko sputtering 137 rig (Fig. 1), under a high vacuum, achieved through a combination of rotary (BOC Edwards 138 80) and turbo molecular (Leybold TMP1000) pumps. A single directly cooled 300x100 mm 139 titanium target (99.5% purity) was fitted onto a Gencoa Ltd unbalanced type II magnetron. 140 The distance between the target and the substrate was kept at 50 mm for all deposition runs. 141 The argon flow rate was kept constant at 50 sccm for all deposition runs. The oxygen flow 142 was regulated by a Speedflo®<sup>TM</sup> controller from Gencoa Ltd., to produce stoichiometric TiO<sub>2</sub> 143 films and to minimise target poisoning. The magnetron was powered by an Advanced Energy 144 Pinnacle Plus power supply in pulsed DC mode operating at a power of 2 kW, frequency of 145 100 kHz and 60% duty. The coatings were deposited for either 1 or 2 h onto  $15 \times 12.5$  and  $15 \times 9$  cm<sup>2</sup> sheets of stainless steel 304 mesh, with a 0.223 mm aperture and a wire diameter 146 147 of 0.14 mm (purchased from the Mesh Company, Warrington, UK); the substrate was 148 ultrasonically pre-cleaned in acetone prior to deposition. All chemicals used were purchased 149 from Sigma Aldrich, unless stated otherwise.



151 Figure 1. Schematic representation of the Nordiko sputtering rig.

## 152 2.2.Characterisation

153 The thin film morphology was evaluated by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) using a 154 Zeiss Supra 40 VP-FEG-SEM. The deposited film thicknesses were estimated from their 155 cross-sectional SEM micrographs. The crystallinity of the coatings was assessed by X-ray diffraction (XRD), on a Panalytical Xpert system, with CuKa1 radiation at 0.154 nm, in 156 157 grazing incidence mode at  $3^{\circ}$  angle of incidence over a scan range from 20 to  $70^{\circ}$  (2 $\theta$ ), the accelerating voltage and applied current were 40 kV and 30 mA, respectively. The optical 158 159 band gap of the TiO<sub>2</sub> coatings on mesh substrates was estimated using the Tauc plot method 160 (Tauc et al., 1966), by measuring the optical absorbance of TiO<sub>2</sub> coatings on soda lime glass slides produced under the same conditions. The absorbance spectrum and correspondingTauc plot are given in supplementary materials (S1).

163 2.3.Photocatalytic performance assessment

164 The photocatalytic performances of the bespoke water treatment reactor were assessed by 165 monitoring its ability to degrade methylene blue under UV-A light. The reactor was loaded 166 with TiO<sub>2</sub> coated mesh and filled with an aqueous methylene blue (purchased from Alfa Aesar) solution of 500 mL at a concentration of either 1 or 5 µmol.L<sup>-1</sup>. The reactor was left 167 168 in the dark at room temperature for 12 h under continuous solution circulation to reach 169 adsorption-desorption equilibrium. Once reached, the UV-A source (Sankyo Denki BLB 170 lamps, peak output at 365 nm) was powered up for 24 h. The methylene blue main absorption 171 peak at 664 nm was monitored every 1 h with an Ocean Optics USB4000 UV-visible 172 spectrometer. Between each test, both the coated mesh sheets and the reactor were thoroughly 173 rinsed with distilled water. The reactor's photocatalytic degradation efficiency was 174 calculated using equation (13) and used as a response to optimise the photocatalytic 175 degradation process, with  $A_0$  and  $A_t$  as MB's main absorbance peak at 0 and 5 h of UV irradiation, respectively. The pseudo-first-order rate constant  $(k_{\alpha})$ , quantum yield (QY) and 176 177 figure of merit (FOM) were calculated as performance metrics for the best photocatalytic 178 reactor configuration (run No. 16). The pseudo-first-order rate was obtained by plotting  $Ln(A_0/A_t)$  against time and calculating the plot's gradient. QY is used as a metric to quantify 179 180 how effectively a semiconductor can utilise absorbed photons to decompose a pollutant, it was obtained using equation (14), with r (mol.cm<sup>-2</sup>.s<sup>-1</sup>) the reaction rate and  $\varphi$  (mol.cm<sup>-2</sup>.s<sup>-1</sup>) 181 182 the flux of absorbed photons (He et al., 2020). The figure of merit is a performance indicator 183 which takes into account the volume of treated solution, the amount of catalyst, the treatment time and the energy consumption of the system. FOM was calculated using equation (15) and graded between 0 and 100 using a conversion factor used to index 85 different photocatalytic systems (Anwer et al., 2019).

187 (13) *MB removal* (%) = 
$$\frac{A_0 - A_t}{A_0} \times 100$$

188 (14) 
$$QY = \frac{number \ of \ reacted \ molecules}{number \ of \ absorbed \ photons} = \frac{r}{\varphi}$$

189 (15) 
$$FOM = \frac{Product obtained (L)}{Catalyst dosage(g.L^{-1}) \times Time(h) \times Energy consumption(Wh.\mumol^{-1})}$$

190

191 The photon flux was obtained by integrated irradiance measurement, from 300 to 410 nm, 192 with a USB4000 UV-visible spectrometer from Ocean Optics. Due to the photocatalyst's 193 wide bandgap (3.2 eV), it is assumed that wavelengths over 410 nm cannot excite electrons 194 from the valence to the conduction band. To obtain an estimation of the number of absorbed 195 photons, irradiance measurements were performed by drilling a hole in the middle of the 196 reactor and inserting the optic fibre in the MB filled reactor after 2.5 h of reaction, with and 197 without the coated mesh. The irradiance measurement spectra are provided in supplementary 198 materials (S2).

### 199 2.4.Durability and reusability assessment

To evaluate the durability and reusability of the coated mesh, 10 consecutive MB removal tests were performed with the most efficient configuration (run No. 16), using the same photocatalytic activity assessment apparatus. A small square of 0.25 cm<sup>2</sup> was cut from the coated mesh sample, to verify the thin film's integrity by Raman mapping analysis. Afterwards, the sample was soldered back to its original position, for further testing and the

operation was repeated 2 additional times. Raman mapping was performed after the 1<sup>st</sup>, 5<sup>th</sup> 205 206 and 10<sup>th</sup> tests using a DXR Raman microscope from Thermo Scientific. The Raman spectra were analysed over a range of 100 - 1000 cm<sup>-1</sup> and the Raman maps were constructed using 207 the integrated intensities of the main anatase peak at 144 cm<sup>-1</sup> (Ohsaka et al., 1978). The laser 208 209 was operated at a wavelength of 532 nm, with a power of 10 mW, 900 lines per mm grating, 210 a long working distance (LWD) microscope objective with magnification of ×50, an estimated spot size of 1.1 µm and a 25 µm pinhole. 10201 Raman spectra were acquired per 211 212 analysis, using a step size of 50 µm along the Y and X axes.

- **3. Results**
- 214 3.1.Coating deposition and characterisation

215 Stainless steel 304 woven mesh was coated with TiO<sub>2</sub> by pulsed DC reactive magnetron 216 sputtering in a single-step process at ambient temperature. To evaluate the impact of coating 217 thickness on the reactor's photocatalytic capabilities, two deposition times were used, 1 and 218 2 h. Table 1 summarises the conditions used to obtain these two types of coatings and their 219 respective characteristics. The coatings obtained at the two deposition times were examined 220 by FEG-SEM and their top view and cross-sectional micrographs are given in Fig. 2. The top 221 view of the two conditions revealed a dense microstructure with crystal-like features, as 222 shown in Fig. 2(A, C). An analysis of the samples' cross-section, in Fig. 2(B, D), highlighted 223 the columnar aspects of the coatings. This might be a result of the angled deposition of  $TiO_2$ 224 on the curved surface of the stainless-steel substrate, which could promote columnar growth 225 through shadowing effects. Average thicknesses of  $1.1 \pm 0.1$  and  $1.6 \pm 0.1$  µm were 226 measured for the 1 and 2 h depositions, respectively.



228 Figure 2. FEG-SEM micrographs of the  $TiO_2$  coated mesh samples; (A) 1 h deposition top-

- view; (B) 1 h deposition cross-section; (C) 2 h deposition top-view; (D) 2 h deposition cross-
- 230 section.
- 231 *Table 1. Deposition conditions and characteristics of the two coating types.*

Deposition parameters	Condition 1	Condition 2	Units
Deposition time	1	2	h
Power	2	2	kW
Frequency	100	100	kHz
Base pressure	0.3	0.3	(10 <sup>-2</sup> ) Pa
Working pressure	44	44	(10 <sup>-2</sup> ) Pa

Gas	Ar/O <sub>2</sub>	Ar/O <sub>2</sub>	-
Distance target-substrate	5	5	cm
Characterisation			
Crystalline phase(s)	Anatase	Anatase + Rutile	-
Thickness	$1.1 \pm 0.1$	1.6± 0.1	μm

233	The XRD analysis of the TiO <sub>2</sub> coated mesh revealed the presence of well-defined diffraction
234	peaks, corresponding to crystalline TiO <sub>2</sub> for both deposition times (Fig. 3). Diffraction peaks
235	at 44.42° (111), 51.58° (200), 75.48° (220) were identified as austenite stainless steel using
236	the JCPDS card 00-003-0397, arising from the substrate material. The diffraction pattern of
237	the 1 h deposition (Fig. 3(A)) revealed anatase diffraction peaks at 25.35° (101), 37.93° (004)
238	and 38.61° (112) identified with the JCPDS card 96-720-6076. After 2 h of deposition (Fig.
239	3 (B)), anatase 25.35° (101), 37.93° (004), 38.61° (112), 48.10° (200), 53.89° (105), 55.29°
240	(211) and rutile diffraction peaks at 54.32° (211), 62.74° (002) were identified with the
241	JCPDS cards 96-720-6076 and 96-900-4145, respectively.
242	For both deposition times, crystalline titanium dioxide structures were obtained in a one-step

process without any thermal treatment. Increasing the coating's thickness gave rise to new anatase diffraction peaks and to the appearance of an additional rutile phase. Anatase and rutile mixtures are known to have an enhanced photocatalytic activity compared to each polymorph on its own (Bickley et al., 1991). This rutile and anatase mixture could result in an increase photocatalytic activity for the 2 h deposition samples.



Figure 3. XRD analysis of the TiO<sub>2</sub>-coated mesh samples; (A) 1 h deposition; (B) 2 h
deposition

251 3.2.Photocatalytic reactor design

The LCPR-I design inspiration can be traced to the Photo-CREC-Water I, developed at the Chemical Reactor Engineering Centre (CREC) of the University of Western Ontario, by Lasa et al. (2005). Likewise, the catalyst was immobilised on stainless steel mesh, albeit using another immobilisation technique, and the reactor was operated in batch mode. Unlike Photo-CREC-Water I, this bespoke reactor utilises an external light source and does not involve quartz or glass components, significantly reducing the system's cost and increasing its durability. With the exception of the UV-A lamps, the set-up was built exclusively from affordable and readily available components, purchased from the computer enthusiast market. Fig. 4 provides a schematic representation of the bespoke water treatment photocatalytic reactor loaded with TiO<sub>2</sub>-coated stainless-steel mesh photocatalyst.

262



263

*Figure 4. Schematic representation of LCPR-I utilising TiO<sub>2</sub>-coated stainless-steel mesh.* 

In brief, the system is comprised of a cylindrical reactor made from PMMA (OD: 5 cm, h: 24 cm), transparent PVC tubing (OD: 16 mm, ID: 10 mm), a 12 V pump, a flowmeter, a temperature probe and an acrylic analysis cell. The reactor was placed in an aluminium enclosure with a UV-A irradiation source, detailed in Section 2.3. Depending on the design matrix experiment, either  $1 \times 15$  W or  $2 \times 15$  W light bulbs were used, the pump's voltage

was varied between 6 and 12 V to adjust the flowrate. The reactor was loaded with either 15 × 12.5 cm<sup>2</sup> or 15 × 12.5 + 15 × 9 cm<sup>2</sup> cylinder(s) of stainless steel 304 sheets of mesh, coated on both sides with TiO<sub>2</sub> with varying thickness levels. A full breakdown of the upfront price of the LCPR-I is given in supplementary data (S3).

274 3.3.Design of experiments

275 The independent variables used for this design of experiments array are presented in Table 276 2, including corresponding levels and coding. The augmented screening design was 277 constructed and analysed using the JMP 14 SW statistical software from SAS. The studied 278 variables were UV light intensity (W)  $(X_1)$ , number of TiO<sub>2</sub>-coated mesh layers  $(X_2)$ , coating thickness ( $\mu$ m) (X<sub>3</sub>), flow rate (L min<sup>-1</sup>) (X<sub>4</sub>), and initial dye concentration ( $\mu$ mol.L-1) (X<sub>5</sub>); 279 280 these variables were varied along two levels; low (-1) and high (+1); all variable parameters 281 were chosen to be within operational range of the proposed reactor and therefore, no design 282 modifications were required. Noise factors, namely, temperature (K) and power consumption 283 (W) were also recorded during each experiment. Although temperature is known to positively 284 influence photocatalytic reactions (Gupta et al., 2012; Hu et al., 2010; Yamamoto et al., 285 2013); the measured variations were considered too low to have a significant impact (Table 286 3). Z. Shams-Ghahfarokhi et al. reported an increased decolorization efficiency at higher 287 temperatures, with significant improvements only occurring above 333K (Shams-288 Ghahfarokhi and Nezamzadeh-Ejhieh, 2015). The power drawn by the system was measured 289 directly at the wall with a wattmeter. Power consumption varied from 37 to 67 W, depending 290 on the levels of the independent operating variables (Table 3). The pH values of the media were measured before and after each experiment; this value was 6, regardless of variable 291 292 experimental parameters, therefore, was not discussed further in work progression. To assess

293 the contribution of photolysis, a run termed No. 0 was performed with two sets of uncoated 294 mesh, 1  $\mu$ mol.L<sup>-1</sup> of initial dye concentration and 30 W UV light. Photolysis seemed to 295 account for less than 10 % of the dye degradation after 5 h of UV irradiation.

296 *Table 2. Experimental ranges and levels of the independent operating variables.* 

Variahlas	Symbol	∐nit	Range and	Range and levels		
v arrabits	Symbol	Omt	-1	+1		
UV light	X <sub>1</sub>	W	15	30		
Number of TiO <sub>2</sub> -coated mesh layers	$X_2$	_	1	2		
Coating thickness	X <sub>3</sub>	μm	1.1	1.6		
Flowrate	$X_4$	L.min <sup>-1</sup>	5.14	9.54		
Initial dye concentration	X5	µmol.L <sup>-1</sup>	1	5		
Uncontrollable variables: Temperatur	e (K) and Powe	er consumption (W	)			

297

298 Table 3. Design matrix for the 5 tested independent variables with the experimental and

299 *predicted responses.* 

						MB removal (%)		Uncontrollab	le variables
Run No.	<b>X</b> 1	<b>X</b> <sub>2</sub>	<b>X</b> <sub>3</sub>	<b>X</b> 4	<b>X</b> 5	Exposimontal	Dradiated	Temperature	Power
						Experimental	rreulcieu	(K)	drawn (W)
0	+1	-	-	-1	-1	9.9	-	299	53
1	+1	+1	-1	-1	+1	64.8	60.8	300	54
2	-1	-1	+1	+1	+1	45.2	43.8	300	50
3	-1	+1	-1	+1	+1	36.4	44.0	300	49
4	+1	-1	-1	-1	+1	37.6	44.3	300	53
5	-1	+1	+1	-1	-1	61.3	70.8	298	37

6	+1	-1	-1	+1	-1	72.4	72.6	303	67
7	-1	-1	-1	+1	-1	54.9	55.8	299	50
8	+1	+1	+1	+1	-1	82.5	87.6	303	67
9	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	58.4	59.3	306	66
10	-1	+1	-1	-1	-1	73.2	72.3	300	37
11	+1	-1	+1	-1	-1	88.7	89.0	300	54
12	-1	-1	+1	-1	+1	37.0	43.8	298	38
13	+1	+1	-1	+1	+1	60.1	60.8	303	66
14	+1	-1	+1	-1	+1	70.3	60.6	300	53
15	-1	+1	+1	+1	-1	77.6	70.8	300	49
16	+1	+1	-1	-1	-1	93.0	89.2	300	54
17	-1	-1	-1	+1	+1	26.8	27.4	300	37
18	+1	-1	+1	+1	-1	85.2	89.0	302	67
19	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	64.1	55.8	297	37
20	-1	+1	+1	-1	+1	52.1	42.4	296	38

301 The two-levels design matrix with the corresponding experimental and predicted results for 302 each statistical combination of independent variables are displayed in Table 3. The predicted 303 values were obtained by fitting a regression model to the experimental data, to determine the 304 optimal operating conditions. Regression coefficients were determined to develop a 305 regression model, based on significant main or interaction effects. In the case of a 2-levels experiment, the regression coefficients are calculated by dividing the estimates of effects  $E_f$ 306 by 2. A regression model (16) can then be designed, with  $\hat{y}$  as the predicted response,  $\beta_0$  as 307 308 the intercept,  $\beta_i$  as a regression coefficient,  $\beta_{ij}$  as the interaction between the process parameters  $X_i$  and  $X_j$ , and ' $\varepsilon$ ' as the random error component. 309

310 (16) 
$$\hat{y} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \dots + \beta_{12} X_1 X_2 + \beta_{12} X_1 X_2 + \dots + \varepsilon$$

311 Using a backward selection method, a regression model was generated with all factors and 2<sup>nd</sup> order interactions (Bruce and Bruce, 2017). Statistically significant independent variables 312 and 2<sup>nd</sup> order interactions were identified using a half-normal plot. This graphical tool uses 313 314 estimated effects to visually assess the significance of factor(s) and interaction(s) (Daniel, 315 1959). Insignificant factors or interactions should fall along a straight line, while significant 316 one's should form outliers. As displayed in Fig. 5, initial dye concentration (X<sub>5</sub>), UV light 317 intensity (X1), number of TiO2-coated mesh layers (X2), coating thickness (X3) and the 318 interaction between coating thickness and number of  $TiO_2$ -coated mesh layers (X<sub>2</sub>X<sub>3</sub>) seem 319 to stand out as significant. Flowrate does not seem to play any significant role in the MB 320 removal, suggesting that the lowest selected level is appropriate, and that mass transfer does 321 not bottleneck the reaction. The parameter estimates report (Table 4) presents the significance 322 and direction of the chosen parameters and interaction, with their associated t-ratios and p-323 values. All selected parameters have an absolute t-ratio greater than 1.96 and p-values smaller 324 than 0.05, confirming the statistically significance of their effects.

325



327 *Figure 5. Half-normal plot for all independent variables and 2<sup>nd</sup> order interactions.* 

329 Table 4. Parameter estimates for significant independent variables and 2nd order330 interactions.

Term	Estimate (%)	Std Error (%)	t-ratio	p-value
Intercept	62.0	1.5	42.3	< 0.0001
$X_1$	8.4	1.5	5.6	<0.0001
$X_2$	3.8	1.5	2.6	0.0212
X <sub>3</sub>	3.7	1.5	2.5	0.0242
X <sub>5</sub>	-14.2	1.5	-9.5	<0.0001
$X_2X_3$	-4.4	1.5	-2.9	0.0112

(X<sub>1</sub>) UV light; (X<sub>2</sub>) number of TiO<sub>2</sub>-coated mesh layers; (X<sub>3</sub>) Coating thickness; (X<sub>4</sub>) Flowrate; (X<sub>5</sub>) Initial dye concentration

331

332 Statistically non-significant predictors were successively taken away from the model until333 only statistically significant ones remained. Using the parameter estimates, the following

regression model (17), was designed to predict MB removal percentage, after 5 h, by the
TiO<sub>2</sub>-coated mesh reactor.

336 (17) 
$$\hat{y} = 62 + 8.4 \times X_1 + 3.8 \times X_2 + 3.7 \times X_3 - 14.2 \times X_5 - 4.4 \times X_2 X_3$$

337 The quality of the fit for this model was assessed using an analysis of variance (ANOVA), 338 presented in Table 5. The F-ratio was used to assess whether the designed model differed 339 significantly from a model where all predicted values are equal to the response mean. If the 340 null hypothesis is true, the F-ratio should be close to 1, *i.e.* the chosen parameters do not 341 describe accurately the actual data variations (Dougherty, 2011; Nguyen et al., 2019). The 342 associated p-values measured the probability of obtaining a F-ratio, as large as the one 343 observed, with all parameters set to zero except the intercept. Small p-values (<0.05) would 344 indicate that the observed large F-ratio is unlikely to be obtained by pure chance alone and 345 that the null hypothesis can be rejected. The large F-ratio (28.895) and small p-value 346 (<0.0000), obtained with the ANOVA confirm that the model describes accurately the data 347 variations and that the chosen parameters are significant.

Besides, the accuracy of fit between the experimental values and the model was assessed by analysing the lack-of-fit (LOF) (Nguyen et al., 2019). The regression model fits the experimental data well, as the mean square of the lack-of-fit error (0.004) was close to the pure error (0.005). The lack-of-fit for this model was statistically insignificant with a large p-value (0.610) and a F-ratio close to 1 (0.850), confirming that this model can be used for both prediction and optimisation.

The model's goodness-of-fit was confirmed by the  $R^2$  (0.91) and  $R^2_{adjusted}$  (0.88) for experimental data points plotted against the predicted values (Montgomery, 2008). The  $R^2_{adjusted}$  is a modified version of  $R^2$  which takes into account the model's number of predictors. The small gap between  $R^2$  (0.91) and  $R^2_{adjusted}$  (0.88) indicates that the experimental data variations are in accordance with the model and that the predicted responses are trustworthy (Table 5). Plotting the data against the predicted responses (Fig. 6(A)) highlighted the agreement of the model with the experimental values. The normal probability plot of the residuals, shown in Fig. 6(B), approximately forms a straight line, supporting the assumptions that the error terms are normally distributed.

363 Overall, the analysis of variance, lack of fit, and  $R^2$  results all confirmed that the model was 364 statistically significant and could be used to predict and optimise the % removal of MB after

- $365 \quad 5 \text{ h using the TiO}_2$ -coated mesh photocatalytic reactor.
- 366

Table 5. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Lack-of-fit (LOF) for MB removal efficiency of
the TiO<sub>2</sub>-coated stainless-steel mesh-based photoreactor.

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Ratio	p-value
Model	5	0.620	0.124	28.895	< 0.0000
Error	14	0.060	0.004		
C. Total	19	0.680			
Lack of fit	9	0.036	0.004	0.850	0.610
Pure error	5	0.024	0.005		
Total error	14	0.060			

 $R_{squared} = 0.9117, Adjusted R_{squared} = 0.8801$ 



Figure 6. Diagnostic plots for the photocatalytic MB removal % after 5 h: (A) Experimental
by predicted plot; (B) Normal probability plot of residuals.

373 3.4.Durability and reusability assessment

374 The best set of conditions (sample No. 16), predicted by the model and verified 375 experimentally, was used to assess the reusability potential of the TiO<sub>2</sub>-coated stainless-steel 376 mesh. As displayed in Fig. 7, no apparent reduction in photocatalytic activity was observed 377 after 10 consecutive cycles or 360 h of testing. In Fig. 8, the Raman mapping confirmed this 378 trend, with no obvious change in the coating's integrity being observed between the first 379 analysis and after 180 and 360 h of testing. The visual differences observed in Fig. 8(D) are 380 due to the bending of the sample in certain areas (mainly lower left) after multiple handlings, 381 while performing repeated analysis. This bending of the mesh resulted in out of focus 382 analysis, which is why some threads appear bare whilst other apertures appear coated.



384 *Figure 7. Reusability assessment of the TiO*<sub>2</sub>*-coated mesh; MB removal percentage after 5h* 

<sup>385</sup> for 10 consecutive cycles.



Figure 8. Raman maps with integrated intensities of (A) the main anatase peak at 144 cm<sup>-1</sup>
of the same area after the (B) 1st, (C) 5th and (D) 10th MB degradation cycle.

389 **4. Discussion** 

386

The influence of five parameters was investigated for their role in the removal of methylene blue after 5 h, in this bespoke photocatalytic reactor. Using a linear regression model, UV light intensity  $(X_1)$ , number of TiO<sub>2</sub>-coated mesh layers  $(X_2)$ , coating thickness  $(X_3)$  and initial dye concentration  $(X_5)$  were identified as the most important and influential

parameters. Flowrate (X<sub>4</sub>) had no effect on the MB removal rate, at the minimum (5.14 L 394 min<sup>-1</sup>) and maximum (9.54 L min<sup>-1</sup>) operating conditions of the 12 V pump. This is consistent 395 396 with the findings of de Lasa et.al, who concluded that mass transfer was not limiting the 397 removal of MB in their photocatalytic reactor, for flowrates equal or higher than 1.7 L.min<sup>-1</sup> 398 (Lasa et al., 2005). Decreasing the initial dye concentration  $(X_5)$  resulted in an increased MB 399 removal rate, which could be the result of a lower consumption of radical species by 400 intermediary products (Ahmed et al., 2011; Ajmal et al., 2014). Increasing the UV light 401 intensity  $(X_1)$  improved the MB removal, as it is known to increase the photogeneration of 402 excitons and of radical species (Ajmal et al., 2014; Cassano and Alfano, 2000; Chen et al., 403 2007). Increasing the coating thickness  $(X_3)$  and the number of TiO<sub>2</sub>-coated mesh layers  $(X_2)$ 404 also improved the MB removal rate. The former has been reported as having a positive effect 405 on photocatalytic activity, with significant improvements occurring between 100 and 500 406 nm, and to a lesser extent between 500 nm and 2 µm (Daviðsdóttir et al., 2014). Increasing 407 the latter comes down to increasing the catalyst load, which is known to improve the reaction 408 rate (Yunus et al., 2017). Interestingly, a significant negative interaction between the coating 409 thickness  $(X_3)$  and the number of TiO<sub>2</sub>-coated mesh layers  $(X_2)$  seemed to play a role in the 410 MB removal efficiency. Overlapping two sets of mesh coated with TiO<sub>2</sub> for 2 h could hinder 411 light permeability, in turn decreasing the efficiency of the system. It was confirmed 412 experimentally, by measuring the UV light intensity (at 365 nm) received by a UVP UVX 413 Radiometer detector, after passing through the reactor loaded with different mesh 414 configurations (Fig. 9). Decreases of 12.7 and 13.8 % were observed, respectively, for one 415 and two sets of coated stainless-steel mesh, when the coating thickness increased from 1.1 to

416 1.6 μm. These values are only indicative, as the measurements were performed without the417 reflective surfaces.



*Figure 9. UV light intensity measured for different mesh configurations; (a) 1 set of mesh, 1* 

*h deposition; (b) 1 set of mesh, 2 h deposition; (c) 2 sets of mesh, 1 h deposition; (d) 2 sets* 

- 421 of mesh, 2 h deposition.

Parameters	Unit	LCPR-I
Catalyst	-	TiO <sub>2</sub>
Optical bandgap	eV	3.2
Synthesis method	-	Pulsed DC Reactive Magnetron sputtering

*Table 6. Summary of reactor characteristics* 

Coating thickness	μm	1.6	
Pollutant	-	Methylene blue	
Catalyst loading	g.L <sup>-1</sup>	0.34	
Initial concentration	µmol.L <sup>-1</sup>	1	
Light power (peaked at 365 nm)	mW/cm <sup>2</sup>	6.2	
Degradation efficiency after 5 h of UV- A irradiation	%	93	
Power consumption	Wh.µmol <sup>-1</sup>	627	
Flux of absorbed photons ( $arphi$ )	10 <sup>-8</sup> mol.cm <sup>-2</sup> .s <sup>-1</sup>	1.90	
Reaction rate $(r)$	10 <sup>-14</sup> mol.cm <sup>-2</sup> .s <sup>-1</sup>	8.01	
Quantum yield $(QY)$	10 <sup>-6</sup> molecule.photon <sup>-1</sup>	4.22	
*Figure of merit (FOM)	$\mu mol.Wh^{-1}.h^{-1}.g^{-1}$	1.14	
*FOM classification: best (100), good (30 - 10), average (10 - 1) and below average (<1)			

425 The characteristics and performance metrics of this bespoke reactor are summarised in Table 426 6. The LCPR-I displayed rather average QY and FOM levels. This can be explained by the composition of the reactor walls (PMMA), which absorbed >80 % of incident UV-A light, 427 428 as shown in supplementary materials. Despite this limited UV-A transmittance, LCPR-I still 429 managed to achieve a FOM of 1.14, achieving better performance than >40 % of the 85 430 systems reviewed by Anwer et al. (2019). It should be noted though, that as a metric, the 431 FOM parameter tends to favour powder-form photocatalysts, due to the "catalyst dosage" 432 component used in equation (15). Specific surface area is known to be positively correlated 433 with photocatalytic activity (Amano et al., 2010), which is negligible when comparing 434 powder-form photocatalysts of similar specific surface area. This is not the case for 435 immobilised photocatalysts, as photocatalytic reactions only occur on the film's exposed 436 surface, which is orders of magnitude smaller than their powder counterpart. This is 437 especially true for thin films produced by reactive magnetron sputtering, which tend to form 438 dense columnar structures (Kelly and Arnell, 2000).

Whilst the results of the current study are encouraging, there is still room for improvement for this proof of concept. The interaction between coating thickness and the number of  $TiO_2$ coated mesh layers was identified as having a negative impact on the MB removal efficiency. To increase the catalyst load whilst maintaining light permeability, different strategies can be implemented, such as simultaneously irradiating the reactor from different angles and/or using different mesh aperture sizes.

445 Future work will be aimed at coating the LCPR-I mesh, using reactive magnetron sputtering, 446 with a sunlight-activated photocatalyst, instead of TiO<sub>2</sub>, and evaluating its effectiveness 447 against micro-organisms, pharmaceuticals and real-world wastewater samples. Fouling was 448 not investigated in this study due to the use of deionised water, but it will be in future work, 449 as microbial presence in wastewater would produce biofouling and affect the photoreactor's 450 performance. Using sunlight not only would reduce the upfront cost of the system by over 451 60%, but it would considerably reduce the amount of irradiation absorbed by the reactor 452 walls, resulting in more efficient water-treatment performance.

453

#### 5. Conclusions

In this study, a bespoke photocatalytic reactor (LCPR-I) was built from low cost consumer
market parts and used to degrade a model pollutant, methylene blue. The reactor utilises

456 crystalline TiO<sub>2</sub>-coated woven stainless-steel mesh photocatalyst, produced in a one-step 457 process by reactive pulsed DC magnetron sputtering. This deposition process is sustainable and addresses the technical viability and economic feasibility challenges faced by 458 459 photocatalytic waste treatment. The methylene blue removal percentage after 5 h was 460 optimised by investigating the influence of UV light intensity, number of TiO<sub>2</sub>-coated mesh 461 layers, coating thickness and water flowrate. All factors, with the exception of flowrate, were 462 found to have an influence on the removal process efficiency. 30 W UV-A, 2 layers of mesh coated with 1.1 µm of TiO<sub>2</sub> and a flowrate of at least 5.14 L.min<sup>-1</sup> were found to be the 463 464 optimum conditions, leading to the removal of more than 90 % of the model pollutant under 465 5 h. The coated stainless-steel woven mesh has proven to be durable as the photocatalytic activity of the material remained unchanged after 360 h of consecutive use. The findings of 466 467 this study, as well as the proposed reactor design, may be of considerable interest for those 468 involved in practical implementation of sustainable and efficient photocatalytic water 469 treatment processes.

#### 470 **References**

- Ahmed, S., Rasul, M.G., Brown, R., Hashib, M.A., 2011. Influence of parameters on the
  heterogeneous photocatalytic degradation of pesticides and phenolic contaminants
  in wastewater: A short review. Journal of Environmental Management 92, 311–330.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2010.08.028
- 475 Ajmal, A., Majeed, I., Malik, R.N., Idriss, H., Nadeem, M.A., 2014. Principles and
  476 mechanisms of photocatalytic dye degradation on TiO2 based photocatalysts: a
  477 comparative overview. RSC Advances 4, 37003–37026.
  478 https://doi.org/10.1039/C4RA06658H
- Amano, F., Nogami, K., Tanaka, M., Ohtani, B., 2010. Correlation between Surface Area
  and Photocatalytic Activity for Acetaldehyde Decomposition over Bismuth
  Tungstate Particles with a Hierarchical Structure. Langmuir 26, 7174–7180.
  https://doi.org/10.1021/la904274c
- Anser, M.K., Yousaf, Z., Usman, B., Nassani, A.A., Qazi Abro, M.M., Zaman, K., 2020.
  Management of water, energy, and food resources: Go for green policies. Journal of Cleaner Production 251, 119662. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.119662

486	Anwer, H., Mahmood, A., Lee, J., Kim, KH., Park, JW., Yip, A.C.K., 2019.
487	Photocatalysts for degradation of dyes in industrial effluents: Opportunities and
488	challenges. Nano Res. 12, 955–972. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12274-019-2287-0
489	Bickley, R.I., Gonzalez-Carreno, T., Lees, J.S., Palmisano, L., Tilley, R.J.D., 1991. A
490	structural investigation of titanium dioxide photocatalysts. Journal of Solid State
491	Chemistry 92, 178–190, https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-4596(91)90255-G
492	Bruce P Bruce A 2017 Practical Statistics for Data Scientists: 50 Essential Concents
493	O'Reilly Media. Inc.
494	Byrne, C., Subramanian, G., Pillai, S.C., 2018. Recent advances in photocatalysis for
495	environmental applications. Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering 6.
496	3531–3555. https://doi.org/10.1016/i.jece.2017.07.080
497	Cassano A E Alfano O M 2000 Reaction engineering of suspended solid heterogeneous
498	nhotocatalytic reactors Catalysis Today 58 167–197
499	https://doi.org/10.1016/S0920-5861(00)00251-0
500	Chen L-O Wang D Zhu M-X Gao C-L 2007 Photocatalytic degradation of
501	dimethoate using nanosized TiO2 nowder Desalination 207 87-94
502	https://doi.org/10.1016/i.desal.2006.06.012
502	Colmenares IC Xu V - I (Eds.) 2016 Heterogeneous Photocatalysis: From
504	Fundamentals to Green Applications, Green Chemistry and Sustainable
505	Technology Springer Verlag Berlin Heidelberg
505	Cortos MALPM Hamilton IWI Sharma DK Brown A Nolan M Grov KA
500	Drume IA 2010 Formal quantum efficiencies for the nhotosetalytic reduction of
507	Byrne, J.A., 2019. Formal quantum efficiencies for the photocatalytic reduction of CO2 in a gas where here here here a cost and the most of the photocatalytic reduction of the photocatalytic reductin of the photocatalytic reduction of the photocatalytic
508	CO2 in a gas phase batch reactor. Catalysis Today, SI: Proc PSS201/ 520, 75–61.
509	nups://doi.org/10.1010/j.cauod.2018.10.04/
510	Daniel, C., 1959. Use of Half-Normal Plots in Interpreting Factorial Two-Level
511	Experiments. Technometrics 1, $311-341$ .
512	$\frac{1}{1000} \frac{1}{1000} \frac{1}{1000} \frac{1}{1000} \frac{1}{1000} \frac{1}{10000} \frac{1}{10000000000000000000000000000000000$
513	Daviosdottir, S., Shabadi, R., Galca, A.C., Andersen, I.H., Dirscherl, K., Ambat, R., 2014.
514	Investigation of DC magnetron-sputtered 1102 coatings: Effect of coating
515	thickness, structure, and morphology on photocatalytic activity. Applied Surface
516	Science 313, 67/–686. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2014.06.047
517	Dougherty, C., 2011. Introduction to Econometrics. Oxford University Press.
518	Drinking-water, 2019. World Health Organization. https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-
519	sheets/detail/drinking-water (accessed 1.13.20).
520	Fatima, R., Afridi, M.N., Kumar, V., Lee, J., Ali, I., Kim, KH., Kim, JO., 2019.
521	Photocatalytic degradation performance of various types of modified TiO2 against
522	nitrophenols in aqueous systems. Journal of Cleaner Production 231, 899–912.
523	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.05.292
524	Fujishima, A., Honda, K., 1972. Electrochemical photolysis of water at a semiconductor
525	electrode. Nature 238, 37–38.
526	Grao, M., Amorim, C., Brito Portela Marcelino, R., Kelly, P., 2020. Crystalline TiO2
527	supported on stainless steel mesh deposited in a one step process via pulsed DC
528	magnetron sputtering for wastewater treatment applications. Journal of Materials
529	Research and Technology 9, 5761–5773. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmrt.2020.03.101
530	Gupta, V.K., Jain, R., Mittal, A., Saleh, T.A., Nayak, A., Agarwal, S., Sikarwar, S., 2012.
531	Photo-catalytic degradation of toxic dye amaranth on TiO2/UV in aqueous

532	suspensions. Materials Science and Engineering: C 32, 12–17.
533	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msec.2011.08.018
534	Haro-Monteagudo, D., Palazón, L., Beguería, S., 2020. Long-term sustainability of large
535	water resource systems under climate change: A cascade modeling approach.
536	Journal of Hydrology 582, 124546. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2020.124546
537	Hashempour, Y., Nasseri, M., Mohseni-Bandpei, A., Motesaddi, S., Eslamizadeh, M.,
538	2020. Assessing vulnerability to climate change for total organic carbon in a system
539	of drinking water supply. Sustainable Cities and Society 53, 101904.
540	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2019.101904
541	He, X., Wang, A., Wu, P., Tang, S., Zhang, Y., Li, L., Ding, P., 2020. Photocatalytic
542	degradation of microcystin-LR by modified TiO2 photocatalysis: A review. Science
543	of The Total Environment 743, 140694.
544	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.140694
545	Hu, Q., Liu, B., Zhang, zhengzhong, Song, M., Zhao, X., 2010. Temperature effect on the
546	photocatalytic degradation of methyl orange under UV-vis light irradiation. Journal
547	of Wuhan University of Technology-Mater. Sci. Ed. 25, 210-213.
548	https://doi.org/10.1007/s11595-010-2210-5
549	Kelly, P.J., Arnell, R.D., 2000. Magnetron sputtering: a review of recent developments and
550	applications. Vacuum 56, 159–172. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0042-207X(99)00189-
551	Х
552	Lasa, H. de, Serrano, B., Salaices, M., 2005. Photocatalytic Reaction Engineering. Springer
553	US.
554	Montgomery, D.C., 2008. Design and Analysis of Experiments. John Wiley & Sons.
555	Nguyen, T.T., Nam, SN., Son, J., Oh, J., 2019. Tungsten Trioxide (WO 3 )-assisted
556	Photocatalytic Degradation of Amoxicillin by Simulated Solar Irradiation. Scientific
557	Reports 9, 9349. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-45644-8
558	Ohsaka, T., Izumi, F., Fujiki, Y., 1978. Raman spectrum of anatase, TiO2. Journal of
559	Raman Spectroscopy 7, 321–324. https://doi.org/10.1002/jrs.1250070606
560	Rasifaghihi, N., Li, S.S., Haghighat, F., 2020. Forecast of urban water consumption under
561	the impact of climate change. Sustainable Cities and Society 52, 101848.
562	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2019.101848
563	Rivadeneira Vera, J.F., Zambrano Mera, Y.E., Pérez-Martín, M.A., 2020. Adapting water
564	resources systems to climate change in tropical areas: Ecuadorian coast. Science of
565	The Total Environment 703, 135554.
566	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.135554
567	Rueda-Marquez, J.J., Levchuk, I., Fernández Ibañez, P., Sillanpää, M., 2020. A critical
568	review on application of photocatalysis for toxicity reduction of real wastewaters.
569	Journal of Cleaner Production 258, 120694.
570	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.120694
571	Shams-Ghahfarokhi, Z., Nezamzadeh-Ejhieh, A., 2015. As-synthesized ZSM-5 zeolite as a
572	suitable support for increasing the photoactivity of semiconductors in a typical
573	photodegradation process. Materials Science in Semiconductor Processing 39, 265–
574	2/5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mssp.2015.05.022
575	Sundar, K.P., Kanmani, S., 2020. Progression of Photocatalytic reactors and it's
576	comparison: A Review. Chemical Engineering Research and Design 154, 135–150.
5//	nttps://doi.org/10.1016/j.cnerd.2019.11.035

- Tauc, J., Grigorovici, R., Vancu, A., 1966. Optical Properties and Electronic Structure of
   Amorphous Germanium. Physica Status Solidi B Basic Research 15, 627–637.
   https://doi.org/10.1002/pssb.19660150224
- Yamamoto, A., Mizuno, Y., Teramura, K., Shishido, T., Tanaka, T., 2013. Effects of
  reaction temperature on the photocatalytic activity of photo-SCR of NO with NH3
  over a TiO2 photocatalyst. Catal. Sci. Technol. 3, 1771–1775.
  https://doi.org/10.1039/C3CY00022B
- Yunus, N.N., Hamzah, F., So'aib, M.S., Krishnan, J., 2017. Effect of Catalyst Loading on
  Photocatalytic Degradation of Phenol by Using N, S Co-doped TiO2. IOP Conf.
  Ser.: Mater. Sci. Eng. 206, 012092. https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-
- 588 899X/206/1/012092
- Zhao, Haoran, Qu, S., Guo, S., Zhao, Huiru, Liang, S., Xu, M., 2019. Virtual water scarcity
   risk to global trade under climate change. Journal of Cleaner Production 230, 1013–
   1026. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.05.114
- 592 Zhu, J., Wang, X., Zhang, Q., Zhang, Y., Liu, D., Cai, A., Zhang, X., 2020. Assessing
  593 wetland sustainability by modeling water table dynamics under climate change.
  594 Journal of Cleaner Production 263, 121293.
- 595 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.121293

597