



**Manchester
Metropolitan
University**

Dos'Santos, Thomas ORCID logoORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2715-0116>, Thomas, Christopher, Comfort, Paul and Jones, Paul A (2022) Biomechanical Effects of a 6-Week Change of Direction Speed and Technique Modification Intervention: Implications for Change of Direction Side step Performance. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, 36 (10). pp. 2780-2791. ISSN 1064-8011

Downloaded from: <https://e-space.mmu.ac.uk/627372/>

Version: Accepted Version

Publisher: Lippincott, Williams & Wilkins

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1519/jsc.0000000000003950>

Usage rights: Creative Commons: Attribution-Noncommercial 4.0

Please cite the published version

<https://e-space.mmu.ac.uk>

1 **Biomechanical Effects of a Six-Week Change of Direction Speed and Technique**

2 **Modification Intervention: Implications for **Change of Direction Side-Step** Performance**

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 ABSTRACT

21 The aim of this study was to evaluate biomechanical effects of change of direction (COD)
22 speed and technique modification training on COD performance (completion time, ground
23 contact time [GCT], and exit velocity) during 45° (CUT45) and 90° (CUT90) side-step cutting.
24 A non-randomized, controlled 6-week intervention study was administrated. 15 male
25 multidirectional sport athletes (age:23.5±5.2 years;height:1.80±0.05 m;mass:81.6±11.4 kg)
26 formed the intervention group (IG) who participated in two 30-minute COD speed and
27 technique modification sessions per week, while 12 male multidirectional sport athletes
28 (age:22.2±5.0 years;height:1.76±0.08 m;mass:72.7±12.4 kg) formed the control group (CG)
29 and continued their normal training. All subjects performed six trials of the CUT45 and CUT90
30 task whereby pre-to-post intervention changes in lower-limb and trunk kinetics and kinematics
31 were evaluated using three-dimensional motion and ground reaction force analysis. Two-way
32 mixed analysis of variances revealed significant main effects for time (pre-to-post changes) for
33 CUT45 completion time, exit velocity and CUT90 completion time ($p \leq 0.045$, $\eta^2 = 0.152-0.539$),
34 and significant interaction effects of time and group were observed for CUT45 completion
35 time, GCT, exit velocity and CUT90 completion time ($p \leq 0.010$, $\eta^2 = 0.239-0.483$), with the IG
36 displaying superior performance post-intervention compared to the CG ($p \leq 0.109$, $g = 0.83-$
37 1.35). Improvements in cutting performance were moderately to very largely associated
38 ($p \leq 0.078$, r or $\rho = 0.469-0.846$) with increased velocity profiles, increased propulsive forces
39 over shorter GCTs, and decreased knee flexion. COD speed and technique modification is a
40 simple, effective training method, requiring minimal equipment, that can enhance COD
41 performance which practitioners should consider incorporating into their **pitch- or court-based**
42 training programs.

43 **Keywords:** side-step; side-stepping; cutting; kinetics, external cues

44 INTRODUCTION

45 Change of direction (COD) ability is a fundamental movement associated with successful
46 performance in multidirectional sports (7, 29). Athletes can perform a range of angled
47 directional changes in sport (11, 12), and there are various different COD actions (side-step,
48 crossover cut, split step, pivot, spin maneuverer) performed which have their own distinct
49 biomechanical differences, advantages, and disadvantages (11). Specifically, side-step cutting
50 is characterised by a lateral foot plant to push-off towards the opposite direction (11), and this
51 is an important and frequently performed action linked to **decisive agility moments, such as**
52 **evading tackles to gain territorial advantage and pressing opponents (defensive agility) in**
53 **multidirectional sports (11, 16, 40). Additionally, athletes also sprint and side-step to**
54 **predetermined locations on a pitch or court (29), such as wide-receiver running a pre-planned**
55 **“slant” route in American Football or a baseball player running around the bases to score a run.**
56 Consequently, training interventions that can enhance an athlete’s mechanical ability to side-
57 step cut are of great interest to practitioners working in cutting dominant sports.

58 Specifically, COD speed is defined as “the ability to decelerate, reverse or change
59 movement direction and accelerate again” (22) and the determinants of COD speed are
60 multifaceted, underpinned by interactions between velocity (linear speed), mechanics (kinetics,
61 technique and postures), deceleration (braking strategy), and physical capacity (strength
62 capacity, maximal and rapid force production, neuromuscular control, and muscle activation)
63 (11-13, 24, 28). Results of a recent meta-analysis confirmed that plyometric training, strength
64 training, COD speed training, and combined training methods positively enhance COD speed
65 and manoeuvrability performance (14). However, it worth noting that COD speed and
66 manoeuvrability performance during the successful training interventions is generally assessed
67 via completion time using electronic timing gates (31). Thus, because the majority of the time
68 during these assessments is spent linear sprinting, these tasks are biased towards faster athletes

69 and confounded by linear speed (31). Importantly, the underlying biomechanical mechanisms
70 which are responsible for enhanced COD speed performance are currently unclear. By only
71 assessing COD performance using completion time, it is uncertain whether an athlete's
72 mechanical ability to COD has indeed improved, or whether reductions in completion times
73 have improved due to improvement linear speed capabilities. Consequently, for a better
74 understanding of whether training interventions improve an athlete's mechanical ability to
75 **change direction**, more detailed analysis into COD biomechanics such as kinetics, ground
76 contact time (GCT), and velocity of centre of mass (COM) are required, which are suggested
77 to be better measures of COD ability (31, 33).

78 Kinetic, technical, and mechanical determinants of faster side-step cutting (30-90°)
79 performance include greater medio-lateral propulsive forces (MLPF) over short GCTs, greater
80 ankle power and plantar-flexor moments, torso lean and pelvis rotation towards the intended
81 direction of travel, greater hip power and extensor moments, rapid knee and hip extension,
82 wider lateral foot plants, reduced hip and knee flexion, low COM, faster velocity profiles, and
83 greater penultimate foot contact (PFC) horizontal braking forces (HBF) (for 90° cuts only) (11,
84 13, 19, 24, 27, 28, 37). Therefore, teaching and modifying athletes' side-step cutting technique
85 by promoting the aforementioned favourable postures and mechanics associated with faster
86 cutting (e.g., reduced knee flexion, medial trunk lean and pelvis rotation, greater MLPFs, faster
87 velocity profiles, greater PFC braking forces) could be an effective and simple training strategy
88 for enhancing side-step cutting performance (5, 9, 23). For example, in male soccer players,
89 six-weeks' COD speed and technique modification training focusing on earlier and greater PFC
90 braking, trunk lean towards the intended direction of travel, and rapid and forceful push-off
91 improved 70° side-cutting performance completion times and COD deficits (9). Acutely,
92 modifying knee flexion range of motion (ROM) has shown to influence GCT and exit velocity
93 during 45° side-step cutting (5), and 6-weeks' 180° COD technique modification training (PFC

118 Subjects

119 **Thirty** men from multidirectional sports (amateur/semi-professional level) were recruited and
120 participated in this study. Based on previous work (23), a minimum sample size of 14 per group
121 was determined from an *a priori* power analysis using G*Power (Version 3.1, University of
122 Dusseldorf, Germany) (15). This was based upon an effect size of 0.74 (pre-to-post change
123 completion time), a power of 0.80, and alpha level of 0.05.

124 Sixteen males (soccer $n = 12$, rugby $n = 4$; age: 23.5 ± 5.2 years; height: 1.80 ± 0.05 m;
125 mass: 81.6 ± 11.4 kg) were recruited for the IG. Conversely, fourteen men (soccer $n = 9$, rugby
126 $n = 4$, field hockey $n = 1$; age: 22.2 ± 5.0 years; height: 1.76 ± 0.08 m; mass: 72.7 ± 12.4 kg)
127 acted as the CG and continued their normal sport and resistance training sessions. The
128 investigation was approved by the Institutional Ethics Review Board (HSR1617-131), and all
129 subjects were informed of the benefits and risks of the investigation prior to signing an
130 institutionally approved consent form to participate in the study. All subjects from both groups
131 possessed at least five years' training experience in their respective sport and had never
132 sustained a severe knee injury prior to testing. All subjects had minimum one years' resistance
133 training experience, all performed two resistance training sessions a week and were all in a
134 strength mesocycle. At the time of the training intervention, all subjects completed two skills
135 sessions and played one competitive match a week. All of the procedures were carried out
136 during the competitive season to ensure that no large physical changes were made as a result
137 of the conditioning state . To remain as an active subject in the study and used for further
138 analysis, subjects were not allowed to miss more than two of the 12 sessions in total (i.e., \geq
139 83% compliance rate). Subsequently, due to match-related injuries or illness, one and two
140 subjects withdrew from the IG and CG, **respectively**, resulting in sample sizes of 15 and 12

141 (Figure 1). Subjects in the IG completed on average 11.9 ± 0.4 sessions ($98.3 \pm 3.5\%$), with 12
142 subjects completing 12 (100%) sessions and three completing 11 sessions (91.7%).

143 Procedures

144 The warm up, cut, marker placement, and 3D motion analysis and procedures were based on
145 previously published methodologies (8, 24, 25, 28). In summary, each subject performed six
146 trials of the 45° and 90° (5 m entry and 3 m exit) side-step cut (right limb push- off) as fast as
147 possible and were provided with standardized footwear to control for shoe-surface interface
148 (Balance W490, New Balance, Boston, MA, USA). Completion time was assessed using two
149 sets of Brower timing lights placed at hip height at the start and finish (Draper, UT, USA), and
150 subjects adopted a two-point staggered stance, 0.5 m behind the start line. Marker and force
151 data were collected over the PFC and final foot contact (FFC) using ten Qualisys Oqus 7
152 (Gothenburg, Sweden) infrared cameras (240 Hz) operating through Qualisys Track Manager
153 software (Qualisys, version 2.16 (Build 3520), Gothenburg, Sweden) and GRF's were
154 collected from two 600 mm \times 900 mm AMTI (Advanced Mechanical Technology, Inc,
155 Watertown, MA, USA) force platforms (Model number: 600900) embedded into the running
156 track sampling at 1200 Hz, respectively. Using the pipeline function in visual 3D, joint
157 coordinate (marker) and force data were smoothed using a Butterworth low-pass digital filter
158 with cut-off frequencies of 15 and 25 Hz, respectively, based on *a priori* residual analysis (39)
159 and visual inspection of motion data. The kinematic model process was based on previous
160 reported methodologies (8, 24, 25, 28). Lower limb joint moments were calculated using an
161 inverse dynamics approach (39) through Visual 3D software (C-motion, version 6.01.12,
162 Germantown, USA) and were defined as external moments and normalised to body mass. Joint
163 kinematics and GRFs were also calculated using Visual 3D, while GRF braking and propulsive
164 characteristics were normalised relative to body weight, with vertical, anterior-posterior, and

165 medio-lateral corresponding to Fz, Fx, and Fy, respectively. Horizontal COM velocity profiles
166 over key instances of the COD were calculated as described previously (24, 25).

167 Supplemental digital content 2 provides a full description the variables examined,
168 definitions, and calculations. Briefly, the following kinetic and kinematics were examined
169 during the FFC for both tasks: GCT and velocity at FFC (touchdown) and exit velocity (toe-
170 off); mean and peak MLPF; hip, knee, ankle dorsi-flexion moments and ROM (sagittal plane
171 joint moment and angles); lateral trunk flexion angle, forward trunk inclination angle, pelvis
172 rotation angle, initial foot progression angle (IFPA), and lateral foot plant distance (trunk,
173 pelvis, and foot variables). Additionally, mean horizontal propulsive force was examined
174 during the FFC for CUT90, mean HBF was examined during the FFC and PFC only during
175 CUT90, while PFC GCT and approach velocity (velocity at PFC) was also examined.
176 Completion time, GCT, and exit velocity were the considered primary outcome variables while
177 approach velocity, velocity at FFC, and cut angle were also secondary variables to assess
178 cutting performance. Five trials were used in the analysis of each subject based and the average
179 of individual trial peaks for each variable were calculated (8). A subset of the sample ($n=10$)
180 performed the cuts on two separate occasions separated by 7 days to establish between-session
181 reliability. The reliability measures for COD biomechanics data was considered high (intraclass
182 correlation coefficient = 0.704-0.958, coefficient of variation = 2.4-11.9%).

183 6-week COD speed and technique modification training intervention

184 A six-week COD speed and technique modification intervention described in Supplemental
185 digital content 1, was performed by the IG twice a week (30 minutes per session), with
186 minimum 48 hours between sessions. The intervention was slightly adapted from a previously
187 successful six-week COD speed and technique modification training intervention (9), which
188 focused on pre-planned low intensity decelerations, cuts, and turns (weeks 1-2), before

213 observed for time and group. Partial eta squared effect sizes were calculated for all ANOVAs
214 with the values of 0.010-0.059, 0.060-0.149, and ≥ 0.150 considered as small, medium, and
215 large, respectively (4).

216 Pre-to-post changes in variables for each group were assessed using paired sample t-
217 tests (parametric) and Wilcoxon-sign ranked tests (non-parametric). Magnitudes of differences
218 were assessed using Hedges' *g* effect sizes with 95% CIs, and interpreted as trivial (≤ 0.19),
219 small (0.20–0.59), moderate (0.60–1.19), large (1.20–1.99), very large (2.00–3.99), and
220 extremely large (≥ 4.00) (21). Mean changes were also calculated and interpreted as ratios
221 relative to the smallest worthwhile change (SWC). The SWC was calculated as $0.2 \times$ between-
222 subject SD. Comparisons in post-intervention primary outcome variables and changes in
223 outcome variables between the IG and CG were also assessed using independent sample t-tests
224 or Mann-Whitney U tests, with effect sizes as outlined above. Furthermore, to link changes in
225 COD performance with cutting kinetic and kinematic changes, Pearson's correlations
226 (parametric) or Spearman's correlations (non-parametric) were calculated with 95% CIs, with
227 *p* values Bonferroni corrected to control for type 1 error. Correlations were interpreted as trivial
228 (0.00-0.09), small (0.10–0.29), moderate (0.30–0.49), large (0.50–0.69), very large (0.70–
229 0.89), nearly perfect (0.90–0.99), and perfect (1.00) (20). A correlation cut-off value of ≥ 0.40
230 was considered relevant (36). Thus, correlations greater than this value are only reported.
231 Statistical significance was defined as $p \leq 0.05$ for all tests.

232 RESULTS

233 Results of the two-way mixed ANOVAs are presented in Tables 2 and 4, and pre-to-post
234 changes in cutting biomechanics for the IG and CG are presented in Tables 3 and 5, containing
235 descriptive statistics, *p* values, effect sizes, and mean differences.

236 CUT45: Pre-to-post changes in cutting biomechanical variables

237 ***Insert Table 2 here***

238 Large and significant interaction effects of time and group for all CUT45 performance
239 variables were observed (Table 2), with the IG displaying moderately to largely better
240 performance variables post-intervention compared to the CG ($p \leq 0.109$, $g = 0.62-1.21$).
241 Moderate and significant improvements in completion time, exit velocity, approach velocity,
242 and velocity at FFC were observed for the IG only, while a small and significantly shorter GCT
243 was also observed (Table 3). Medium to large significant interaction effects of time and group
244 were observed for CUT45 peak and mean MLPF, and knee flexion angle (KFA) ROM (Table
245 2). Intervention group subjects produced significantly small to moderately greater peak and
246 mean MLPFs, greater IFPAs, and smaller knee and ADFA ROMs post-intervention (Table 3).
247 Control group subjects demonstrated significantly greater IFPAs post-intervention only (Table
248 3).

249 ***Insert Figure 2 here***

250 ***Insert Table 3 here***

251 CUT90: Pre-to-post changes in performance biomechanical variables

252 ***Insert Table 4 here***

253 A large and significant interaction effect of time and group for CUT90 completion time was
254 observed (Table 4), with the IG showing significantly faster post-intervention completion times
255 ($p = 0.031$, $g = -1.35$) compared to the CG. Additionally, a medium and non-significant
256 interaction effect was observed for exit velocity (Table 4), with the IG showing significantly
257 greater exit velocities ($p = 0.035$, $g = 0.83$) post-intervention compared to the CG. A large and
258 moderate significant improvement was observed for completion time and exit velocity (Table
259 5 and Figure 3), respectively, for the IG only. Medium to large significant interaction effects

260 of time and group were observed for CUT90 mean MLPF, peak and mean HPF, FFC mean
261 HBF, FFC peak ADFM, IFPA, and knee and ADFA ROM (Table 4). **Intervention group**
262 **subjects** produced significantly small to moderately greater peak ADFMs, greater peak and
263 mean MLPFs, greater peak and mean HPFs, and greater FFC and PFC mean HBFs (Table 5).
264 Additionally, **IG subjects** demonstrated significantly small to moderately greater forward trunk
265 inclination angles, greater pelvic rotation angles, greater IFPAs, smaller KFA ROM, and
266 greater ADFA ROM post-intervention (Table 5). **Control group** subjects produced significantly
267 greater peak MLPFs and greater forward trunk inclination angles post-intervention only (Table
268 5).

269 ***Insert Figure 3 here***

270 ***Insert Table 5 here***

271 Associations between changes in performance primary outcome variables with cutting
272 mechanical and technical variables

273 Improvements in CUT45 completion time were very largely associated with increased exit
274 velocity; largely associated with decreased FFC GCT; and moderately associated with
275 decreased KFA ROM, increased mean MLPF, and increased FFC velocity (Table 6).
276 Additionally, CUT45 FFC GCT **improvements** were very largely associated with decreased
277 **KFA ROM**; and largely associated with increased approach and exit velocity (Table 6). Finally,
278 CUT45 exit velocity **improvements** were very largely associated with increased FFC velocity;
279 and largely associated with decreased KFA ROM and FFC GCT, and increased approach
280 velocity (Table 6).

281 Improvements in CUT90 completion time were largely associated with increased peak
282 KFM, increased FFC peak HBF, and decreased PFC GCT; and moderately associated with

283 increased ADFA ROM (Table 6). Additionally, CUT90 FFC GCT improvements were very
284 largely associated with increased FFC velocity, and decreased hip and knee flexion ROM; and
285 largely associated with increased exit velocity and lateral foot plant distance (Table 6). Finally,
286 CUT90 exit velocity improvements were very largely associated with increased FFC velocity;
287 largely associated with decreased FFC GCT, decreased HFA ROM, increased PFC mean HBF;
288 and moderately associated with increased FFC peak KFM, and lateral foot plant distance (Table
289 6).

290 ***Insert Table 6 here***

291 DISCUSSION

292 The aims of this study were two-fold: 1) to examine the biomechanical effects of a 6-week
293 COD speed and technique modification intervention on side-step cutting performance; and 2)
294 to understand which technical and mechanical changes during cutting explained improvements
295 in performance. The primary findings were that COD speed and technique modification
296 resulted in meaningful improvements in cutting performance, which were meaningfully greater
297 than the CG (Tables 2-5 Figures 2-3), supporting the study hypotheses. The positive
298 improvements in cutting performance were primarily attributed to increases in velocity at key
299 instances of the PFC and FFC, increases in propulsive force over shorter GCTs, and reduced
300 knee flexion, supporting the study hypotheses. Consequently, COD speed and technique
301 modification appears to be a training strategy effective for improving COD performance, which
302 practitioners can simply and easily administer on the pitch or court.

303 COD speed training has been reported to enhance COD completion time (9, 14);
304 however, the technical and biomechanical mechanisms underpinning the improvements in
305 COD performance are relatively unknown, and it is not fully understood whether the
306 improvements in completion time were attributed to improvements in COD ability or linear

307 speed (31). This study is one of the first to provide a novel insight into the mechanical and
308 technical changes underpinning improvements in 45° and 90° cutting performance. Although
309 clear improvements in cutting completion times were observed in the present study (Table 3 &
310 5, Figure 2-3), importantly shorter GCTs, greater propulsive forces, and enhancements in
311 velocity at key instances of the COD were observed. Thus, these results confirm that the
312 athletes' mechanical ability to COD has indeed improved via COD speed and technique
313 modification training with external verbal cues. External cueing has been recommended for
314 speed development (38) and has been shown to enhance COD performance (32), while
315 promoting changes in side-stepping technique (2). Additionally, external cues are reported to
316 be effective for motor skill development and retention (2, 11, 38). In the present study, we
317 found the specific external cues, in addition to COD speed and technique modification training,
318 “slam on the bakes”, “cushion and push the ground away”, and “face towards the direction of
319 travel”, to be effective in improving side-step performance and promoting favourable
320 techniques and mechanics linked to faster performance (Table 3 & 5).

321 Importantly, the present study was able to explore some of the underlying technical and
322 mechanical mechanisms responsible for enhanced cutting performance. The key and primary
323 changes in cutting mechanics were as follows: increased velocity at key instances of the PFC
324 and FFC, increased mean and peak propulsive forces in shorter FFC GCTs, reduced knee
325 flexion ROM, and greater PFC mean HBFs (90° cut only) which were moderately to very
326 largely associated with improvements in cutting performance (Table 6). The fact that the
327 abovementioned variables were associated with improvements in COD performance are
328 unsurprising because they have been identified as key determinants of faster COD performance
329 (11, 13, 19, 27, 28, 37). For example, Hader et al. (18) demonstrated greater minimum
330 velocities and velocity maintenance were strong determinants of faster 45° and 90° cut
331 performance, thus increasing an athlete's ability to attain greater horizontal displacements in

332 shorter times. Greater propulsive forces increase impulse which, based on the impulse-
333 momentum relationship, leads to greater changes in momentum, thus velocity (11), and this
334 was confirmed by the moderate association between MLPF changes and exit velocity (Table
335 6).

336 Additionally, shorter GCTs are associated with faster performance (10, 27, 34, 36, 37),
337 which is advantageous because the athletes will spend less time braking and propelling, thus
338 less time performing the COD action, and potentially greater utilisation of the stretch-
339 shortening cycle (3, 36). IG subjects demonstrated smaller ADFA ROMs for CUT45
340 performance post-intervention (Table 3). Reduced ankle dorsi-flexion has been reported to play
341 a critical role in early acceleration sprint performance, mostly likely contributing to a stiffer
342 ankle joint; thus, potentially contributing to the faster performance observed in the present
343 study. Finally, reduced KFA ROM is important for reducing GCT and increasing exit velocity,
344 as confirmed by the results from this study and previous research (5). This potentially results
345 in a rapid transition from braking (eccentric) to propulsion (concentric) and therefore
346 facilitating a shorter GCT and greater utilisation of the stretch shortening cycle (increased
347 elastic energy) to permit more effective force generation (3). **This positive adaptation could be**
348 **attributed to the COD speed and technique modification training with the external cue to “push**
349 **or punch the ground away.”**

350 High propulsive forces (10, 19, 28, 33, 34, 36) over short GCTs (10, 27, 28, 34, 36, 37)
351 are strong determinants of faster COD performance. The finding of increased propulsive force
352 production in shorter GCTs following COD technique modification training is a positive
353 outcome (Tables 3 & 5), and is similar to de Hoyo et al. (6) who found 10-weeks eccentric
354 overload training resulted in likely to almost certain increases in relative peak braking and
355 propulsive forces, shorter braking and propulsive times thus shorter GCTs, and increases in

356 relative total and braking impulse during side-step cutting. Unfortunately, de Hoyo et al. (6)
357 did not examine completion time or exit velocity; however, improvements in completion time
358 training have been observed following flywheel eccentric training (17, 35); thus, it is speculated
359 that the improved side-step kinetics from flywheel training should improve COD speed
360 performance. Similarly, Bencke et al. (1) reported improvements in vertical propulsive force,
361 GCT, and concentric phase duration following 12-weeks neuromuscular warm-up,
362 corroborating the mechanism of improved completion time in the present study.

363 To author's knowledge, King et al. (26) is the only other research group to document
364 changes in cutting biomechanics and some performance indices following a training
365 intervention. No significant changes in approach velocity were observed following a
366 multicomponent training programme (resistance training, running drills, and COD drills with
367 focus on intersegmental control); however, a small reduction in GCT was observed which is
368 an important determinant of COD performance. Unfortunately, King et al. (26) did not examine
369 completion time or exit velocity, but did report reductions in lateral trunk flexion, increased
370 pelvic rotation towards the intended direction, increased COM to centre of pressure distance,
371 increased ankle plantar-flexor moments, and decreased peak KFA, which have all been
372 associated with faster cutting completion times (5, 19, 27, 37). Although no significant changes
373 in lateral trunk flexion or foot plant distance were observed in the present study (Tables 3 &
374 5), similar to King et al. (26), subjects post-intervention in the IG demonstrated increased pelvic
375 rotation, decreased KFA ROM, and increased ADFMs, which have previously been associated
376 with faster cutting performance (5, 19, 27, 37). Nevertheless, the results from this study confirm
377 that COD speed and technique modification training with external verbal coaching cues
378 regarding technique result in positive improvements in side-stepping performance. Therefore,
379 practitioners should consider implementing this form of training in their **pitch- or court-based**
380 training programs when working with multidirectional athletes.

381 It is worth noting that the present study has several limitations. Firstly, it should be
382 acknowledged that only CUT45 and CUT90 side-stepping was investigated with a right limb
383 push-off. As the biomechanical demands are angle- and task-dependent (12, 31), caution is
384 advised extrapolating the findings from the present study to CODs of different angles, actions
385 and populations. Therefore, further research is necessary that investigates the effect of COD
386 technique modification on sharper CODs and different COD actions (i.e. crossover cut, split
387 step). Similarly, it is worth acknowledging that the cutting actions were examined in a pre-
388 planned, controlled laboratory environment. Future research should consider investigating the
389 effect of COD speed and technique modification training on agility performance. Lastly, it is
390 unknown whether the technique can be maintained for extensive periods and unknown what
391 happens to cutting biomechanics when this form of training is discontinued. Thus, further
392 investigation into short- and long-term retention of modified cutting technique is required to
393 improve our understanding of training prescription and dosages. **Notwithstanding these**
394 **limitations, COD provides the mechanical and physical basis for agility (29), thus**
395 **improvements in the mechanical ability to change direction (i.e., fast mover) should partially**
396 **transfer to improved agility (7, 29, 30). Additionally, athletes sprint and change direction to**
397 **pre-determined locations on a court or pitch (29), thus the findings of this study have important**
398 **implications for the development of effective COD speed training programs.**

399 PRACTICAL APPLICATIONS

400 This is the first study to comprehensively examine the biomechanical effects of COD speed
401 and technique modification on side-step cutting performance, finding meaningful
402 improvements in side-step cutting performance. The positive improvements in performance
403 were primarily attributed to increases in velocity at key instances of the COD, increases in
404 propulsive force production over shorter GCTs, and decreased KFA ROM. Consequently, 6-

405 weeks' COD speed and technique modification training with externally directed verbal
406 coaching cueing (“slam on the bakes, cushion and push the ground away, and face towards the
407 direction of travel”) is an effective training strategy to enhance COD performance. Practitioners
408 should therefore consider incorporating this form of training (2 × 30-minute sessions a week)
409 simply and easily into their pitch- or court-based training programs to enhance side-step cutting
410 performance.

411 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

412 The authors would like to thank Callum Stratford, Alistair McBurnie, Laura Smith, and Steve
413 Horton for their assistance with data collection. This study was funded by the National
414 Strength and Conditioning Association (NSCA) Foundation, as part of the Doctoral Grant
415 Scheme (awarded 2018). Funding was used for subject recruitment only. Thomas Dos'Santos,
416 Christopher Thomas, Paul Comfort, and Paul Jones declare that they have no conflicts or
417 competing interests relevant to the content of this article, and the findings of the study do not
418 represent the views of the NSCA.

419 REFERENCES

- 420 1. Bencke J, Næsborg H, Simonsen EB, and Klausen K. Motor pattern of the knee joint muscles
421 during side-step cutting in European team handball. *Scand J Med Sci Spor* 10: 68-77, 2000.
- 422 2. Benjaminse A, Welling W, Otten B, and Gokeler A. Transfer of improved movement
423 technique after receiving verbal external focus and video instruction. *Knee Surgery, Sports*
424 *Traumatology, Arthroscopy* 26: 955-962, 2018.
- 425 3. Bosco C, Komi PV, and Ito A. Prestretch potentiation of human skeletal muscle during
426 ballistic movement. *Acta Physiologica Scandinavica* 111: 135-140, 1981.
- 427 4. Cohen J. Statistical analysis for the behavioral sciences. *Hillsdale: Lawrence Erlbaum*, 1988.
- 428 5. Dai B, William EG, Michael TG, Darin AP, Robin MQ, and Bing Y. The Effects of 2
429 Landing Techniques on Knee Kinematics, Kinetics, and Performance During Stop-Jump and
430 Side-Cutting Tasks. *Am J Sport Med* 43: 466-474, 2014.
- 431 6. de Hoyo M, Sañudo B, Carrasco L, Mateo-Cortes J, Domínguez-Cobo S, Fernandes O, Del
432 Ojo JJ, and Gonzalo-Skok O. Effects of 10-week eccentric overload training on kinetic
433 parameters during change of direction in football players. *J Sports Sci* 34: 1380-1387, 2016.
- 434 7. DeWeese BH and Nimphius S. Program Design Technique for Speed and Agility Training,
435 in: *Essentials of Strength Training and Conditioning*. GG Haff, NT Triplett, eds. Champaign:
436 Human Kinetics, 2016, pp 521-558.

- 437 8. Dos'Santos T, Comfort P, and Jones PA. Average of trial peaks versus peak of average
438 profile: impact on change of direction biomechanics. *Sport Biomech* 19: 483-492, 2020.
- 439 9. Dos'Santos T, McBurnie A, Comfort P, and Jones PA. The Effects of Six-Weeks Change of
440 Direction Speed and Technique Modification Training on Cutting Performance and
441 Movement Quality in Male Youth Soccer Players. *Sports* 7: 205, 2019.
- 442 10. Dos'Santos T, Thomas C, Jones PA, and Comfort P. Mechanical determinants of faster
443 change of direction speed performance in male athletes. *J Strength Cond Res* 31: 696-705,
444 2017.
- 445 11. Dos'Santos T, McBurnie A, Thomas C, Comfort P, and Jones PA. Biomechanical
446 Comparison of Cutting Techniques: A Review and Practical Applications. *Strength Cond J*
447 41: 40-54, 2019.
- 448 12. Dos'Santos T, Thomas C, Comfort P, and Jones PA. The effect of angle and velocity on
449 change of direction biomechanics: an angle-velocity trade-off. *Sports Med* 48: 2235-2253,
450 2018.
- 451 13. Dos'Santos T, Thomas C, Comfort P, and Jones PA. The Role of the Penultimate Foot
452 Contact During Change of Direction: Implications on Performance and Risk of Injury.
453 *Strength Cond J* 41: 87-104, 2019.
- 454 14. Falch HN, Rædergård HG, and van den Tillaar R. Effect of Different Physical Training Forms
455 on Change of Direction Ability: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Sports Medicine-
456 Open* 5: 53, 2019.
- 457 15. Faul F, Erdfelder E, Buchner A, and Lang A-G. Statistical power analyses using G* Power
458 3.1: Tests for correlation and regression analyses. *Behav Res Methods* 41: 1149-1160, 2009.
- 459 16. Fox AS, Spittle M, Otago L, and Saunders N. Offensive agility techniques performed during
460 international netball competition. *Int J Sports Sci Coach* 9: 543-552, 2014.
- 461 17. Gonzalo-Skok O, Tous-Fajardo J, Valero-Campo C, Berzosa C, Bataller AV, Arjol-Serrano
462 JL, Moras G, and Mendez-Villanueva A. Eccentric-overload training in team-sport functional
463 performance: Constant bilateral vertical versus variable unilateral multidirectional
464 movements. *Int J Sports Physiol and Perform* 12: 951-958, 2017.
- 465 18. Hader K, Palazzi D, and Buchheit M. Change of Direction Speed in Soccer: How Much
466 Braking is Enough? *Kineziologija* 47: 67-74, 2015.
- 467 19. Havens KL and Sigward SM. Cutting mechanics: relation to performance and anterior
468 cruciate ligament injury risk. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 47: 818-824, 2015.
- 469 20. Hopkins WG. Measures of reliability in sports medicine and science. *Sports Med* 30: 1-15,
470 2000.
- 471 21. Hopkins WG. A scale of magnitudes for effect statistics. *A new view of statistics*: Retrieved
472 from <http://sports.org/resource/stats/effectmag.html>, 2002.
- 473 22. Jones P, Bampouras TM, and Marrin K. An investigation into the physical determinants of
474 change of direction speed. *J Sports Med Phys Fitness* 49: 97-104, 2009.
- 475 23. Jones PA, Barber OR, and Smith LC. Changing pivoting technique reduces knee valgus
476 moments. Free Communication. Journal of Sports Sciences. *J Sports Sci (BASES Annual
477 Conference)* 33: S62, 2015.
- 478 24. Jones PA, Dos' Santos T, McMahon JJ, and Graham-Smith P. Contribution of Eccentric
479 Strength to Cutting Performance in Female Soccer Players. *J Strength Cond Res*: Published
480 ahead of print 2019.
- 481 25. Jones PA, Thomas C, Dos'Santos T, McMahon J, and Graham-Smith P. The Role of
482 Eccentric Strength in 180° Turns in Female Soccer Players. *Sports* 5: 42, 2017.
- 483 26. King E, Franklyn-Miller A, Richter C, O'Reilly E, Doolan M, Moran K, Strike S, and Falvey
484 E. Clinical and biomechanical outcomes of rehabilitation targeting intersegmental control in
485 athletic groin pain: prospective cohort of 205 patients. *Br J Sports Med* 52: 1054-1062, 2018.
- 486 27. Marshall BM, Franklyn-Miller AD, King EA, Moran KA, Strike S, and Falvey A.
487 Biomechanical factors associated with time to complete a change of direction cutting
488 maneuver. *J Strength Cond Res* 28: 2845-2851, 2014.

- 489 28. McBurnie A, Dos' Santos T, and Jones PA. Biomechanical Associates of Performance and
490 Knee Joint Loads During an 70-90° Cutting Maneuver in Sub-Elite Soccer Players. *J Strength*
491 *Cond Res*: Published Ahead of print., 2019.
- 492 29. Nimphius S. Increasing Agility, in: *High-Performance Training for Sports*. D Joyce, D
493 Lewindon, eds. Champaign, IL.: Human Kinetics, 2014, pp 185-198.
- 494 30. Nimphius S. Training change of direction and agility, in: *Advanced Strength and*
495 *Conditioning*. A Turner, P Comfort, eds. Abdingdon, Oxon, United Kingdom: Routledge,
496 2017, pp 291-308.
- 497 31. Nimphius S, Callaghan SJ, Bezodis NE, and Lockie RG. Change of Direction and Agility
498 Tests: Challenging Our Current Measures of Performance. *Strength Cond J* 40: 26-38, 2017.
- 499 32. Porter J, Nolan R, Ostrowski E, and Wulf G. Directing attention externally enhances agility
500 performance: A qualitative and quantitative analysis of the efficacy of using verbal
501 instructions to focus attention. *Front Psychol* 1: 216, 2010.
- 502 33. Spiteri T, Cochrane JL, Hart NH, Haff GG, and Nimphius S. Effect of strength on plant foot
503 kinetics and kinematics during a change of direction task. *Eur J Sports Sci* 13: 646-652, 2013.
- 504 34. Spiteri T, Newton RU, Binetti M, Hart NH, Sheppard JM, and Nimphius S. Mechanical
505 determinants of faster change of direction and agility performance in female basketball
506 athletes. *J Strength Cond Res* 28: 2205–2214, 2015.
- 507 35. Tous-Fajardo J, Gonzalo-Skok O, Arjol-Serrano JL, and Tesch P. Enhancing Change-of-
508 Direction Speed in Soccer Players by Functional Inertial Eccentric Overload and Vibration
509 Training. *Int J Sports Physiol and Perform* 11: 66-73, 2015.
- 510 36. Welch N, Richter C, Franklyn-Miller A, and Moran K. Principal Component Analysis of The
511 Associations Between Kinetic Variables In Cutting And Jumping, And Cutting Performance
512 Outcome. *J Strength Cond Res*: Published ahead of print, 2019.
- 513 37. Welch N, Richter C, Franklyn-Miller A, and Moran K. Principal Component Analysis of the
514 Biomechanical Factors Associated With Performance During Cutting. *J Strength Cond Res*:
515 Published Ahead of Print, 2019.
- 516 38. Winkelman NC. Attentional Focus and Cueing for Speed Development. *Strength Cond J* 40:
517 13-25, 2018.
- 518 39. Winter DA. *Biomechanics and motor control of human movement*. John Wiley & Sons, 2009.
- 519 40. Zahidi NNM and Ismail SI. Notational analysis of evasive agility skills executed by attacking
520 ball carriers among elite rugby players of the 2015 Rugby World Cup. *Movement Health Ex*
521 7: 99-113, 2018.

522