Education for Democratic Intercultural Citizenship, Wiel Veugelers (2019)

Leiden and Boston: Brill, 194 pp.,

ISBN 978-9-00441-194-4, €45.00/ $54.00

Reviewed by Simon Massey (ORCID ID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0550-0613)

Contact: Manchester Metropolitan University, 343 Geoffrey Manton Building, 4 Rosamond Street West, Manchester M15 6LL, UK.

E-mail: S.Masey@mmu.ac.uk

Education for Democratic Intercultural Citizenship + (EDIC+) is the result of a strategic partnership in Education for Democratic Citizenship funded by an Erasmus + programme. The partnerships aimed to respond to some concerns about young people’s disengagement with European democracy. Erasmus provided an opportunity for universities to work together in developing EDIC that not only teaches students to become intercultural citizens, but also encourages them to. The book offers a well-informed and realistic suggestion to providing university students an opportunity to learn intercultural values by working alongside students from other cultures, gain experience in contributing to democracy through their learning and (Veugelers argues) provide more opportunities for future European Economic Growth.

Chapters 2–8 consider each partaking University’s module, along with their theoretical framework, curriculum guidelines and participating students’ experiences. With each University providing their own field of expertise, the chapters complement one another in highlighting, for various reasons, why EDIC+ could serve as a contributor to the conservation of European Democracy. Kesidou’s Chapter 8 (or module) highlights this,
discussing children refugees’ democratic right to an education that has not stood during a
time of alarming increases in nationalism and racism.

Reading this book created a desire for a critical discussion on the pedagogic approach
of EDIC+ practitioners across the partaking universities. Whilst Veugelers’ Chapter 11
provides an informative description of the five methodological elements for all modules, a
separate debate would arguably demonstrate further how this programme encourages
*Education for Democratic Intercultural Citizenship* in the authentic learning processes. The
very values of the book and EDIC+’s intentions, such as inclusivity, would arguably be most
met through student-centred learning, as students communicate with one another and learn of
their differences.

A pedagogic similarity noticed, was the use of group work to assess students’
understanding in a range of tasks across a number of the participating institutions. This
reflected the overall aims of EDIC+ by improving student confidence and motivation, whilst
gaining skills such as communication and teamwork ([Salas et al. 2009](#)). Whilst these methods
are known for eliciting higher student satisfaction ([Burke 2011](#)), improving such skills would
also arguably contribute to the future European Economic Growth Veugelers refers to in this
book.

In conclusion, *Education for Democratic Intercultural Citizenship* is a highly
recommended read. Bhatti sums up EDIC+ in Chapter 10; it is one thing to explore the
meaning of democracy and another to teach future practitioners to consider policy and
facilitate their participation in research, whilst EDIC+ aims to do both. The safe environment
created by practitioners can provide an opportunity for students to feel comfortable exploring
democracy by engaging in the democratic processes when learning. Reading this book shows
just how much impact practitioners can have in their work to tackle present-day challenges. If
that is not enough, simply reading the inspiring comments from students who participated in
this programme provides evidence of why a programme such as EDIC+ is needed more than ever.
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