

## Please cite the Published Version

Alali, Mohammad H, Vianna, Lauro C, Lucas, Rebekah AI, Junejo, Rehan T and Fisher, James P (2020) Impact of whole-body passive heat stress and arterial shear rate modification on radial artery function in young men. Journal of Applied Physiology, 129 (6). pp. 1373-1382. ISSN 8750-7587

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1152/japplphysiol.00296.2020

Publisher: American Physiological Society

Version: Accepted Version

Downloaded from: https://e-space.mmu.ac.uk/626848/

Usage rights: O In Copyright

**Additional Information:** This is an Author Accepted Manuscript of a paper accepted for publication in Journal of Applied Physiology, published by and copyright American Physiological Society.

### **Enquiries:**

If you have questions about this document, contact openresearch@mmu.ac.uk. Please include the URL of the record in e-space. If you believe that your, or a third party's rights have been compromised through this document please see our Take Down policy (available from https://www.mmu.ac.uk/library/using-the-library/policies-and-guidelines)

1	
2	
3	Impact of whole-body passive heat stress and arterial shear rate
4	modification on radial artery function in young men
5	
6	Authors: Mohammad H Alali <sup>1</sup> , Lauro C Vianna <sup>2</sup> , Rebekah A I Lucas <sup>1</sup> , Rehan T Junejo <sup>3,4</sup> &
7	James P Fisher <sup>5</sup>
8	
<ul> <li>9</li> <li>10</li> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> </ul>	Institutions: <sup>1</sup> School of Sport, Exercise & Rehabilitation Sciences, College of Life & Environmental Sciences, University of Birmingham, UK; <sup>2</sup> NeuroVASQ – Integrative Physiology Laboratory, Faculty of Physical Education, University of Brasília, Brasília, DF, Brazil; <sup>3</sup> Department of Life Sciences, Manchester Metropolitan University, UK; <sup>4</sup> Liverpool Centre for Cardiovascular Science, University of Liverpool, UK; <sup>5</sup> Faculty of Medical & Health Sciences, Department of Physiology, University of Auckland, New Zealand.
18	
19	
20	Address for correspondence: James P. Fisher, PhD, Faculty of Medical & Health Sciences,
21	Department of Physiology, University of Auckland, 85 Park Road, Grafton, Auckland 1023,
22	New Zealand. Email: jp.fisher@auckland.ac.nz
23	
24	
25	
26	

#### 27 <u>ABSTRACT</u>

28 We sought to determine how whole-body heating acutely influences radial artery 29 function, characterized using flow mediated dilation (FMD) and low-flow mediated 30 constriction (L-FMC), and the mechanistic role of shear rate modification on radial artery 31 functional characteristics during heating. Eleven young healthy men underwent whole-body 32 heating (water-perfused suit) sufficient to raise core temperature  $+1^{\circ}$ C. Trials were repeated 33 with (Heat+WC) and without (Heat) the application of a wrist cuff located distal to the radial 34 artery examined, known to prevent increases in mean and anterograde shear rate but increase 35 retrograde shear. Radial artery characteristics were assessed throughout each trial, with FMD 36 and L-FMC assessed prior to and upon reaching the target core temperature. Heat markedly 37 increased radial artery mean and anterograde shear rate, along with radial artery diameter and 38 blood flow (P<0.05). Heat+WC abolished the heat-induced increase mean and anterograde 39 shear rate (P > 0.05), but markedly increased retrograde shear (P < 0.05). Concomitantly, 40 increases in radial artery diameter and blood flow were decreased (Heat+WC vs Heat, 41 P < 0.05). Heat attenuated FMD (8.6±1.2 vs. 2.2±1.4%, P < 0.05), whereas no change in FMD 42 was observed in Heat+WC (7.8±1.2 vs. 10.8±1.2%, P>0.05). In contrast, L-FMC was not 43 different in either trial (P>0.05). In summary, acute whole-body heating markedly elevates 44 radial artery shear rate, diameter and blood flow, and diminishes FMD. However, marked 45 radial artery vasodilation and diminished FMD are absent when these shear rate changes are 46 prevented. Shear rate modifications underpin the radial artery response to acute whole-body 47 heat-stress, but further endothelial-dependent vasodilation (FMD) is attenuated likely as the 48 vasodilatory range limit is approached.

#### 50 New and Noteworthy:

We observed that acute whole-body heating elevates radial artery shear rate, diameter and blood flow. This results in a diminished flow-meditated dilatation (FMD) but does not change low-flow mediated constriction (L-FMC). Preventing shear rate changes during whole-body heating reduces radial artery vasodilation, reverses FMD reductions but has no affect on L-FMC. These findings indicate that shear rate changes underpin conduit artery responses to acute whole-body heat-stress, but further endothelial-dependent flow-mediated vasodilation is attenuated as the vasodilatory range limit is approached.

58

### 59 ABBREVIATIONS

60 BP, blood pressure; ECG, electrocardiograph; EDHF, endothelium-derived 61 hyperpolarizing factors; eNOS, endothelial nitric oxide synthase; FMD, flow mediated 62 dilatation; Heat, whole body heat stress sufficient to raise core temperature by 1 °C; Heat + 63 WC, whole body heat stress sufficient to raise core temperature by 1 °C with concurrent 64 inflation of a cuff placed around the right wrist to 75 mmHg; HR, heart rate; LBNP, lower 65 body negative pressure; L-FMC, low-flow mediated constriction; MAP, mean arterial 66 pressure; NO, nitric oxide; SR<sub>AUC</sub>, shear rate area under the curve; T<sub>pill</sub>, temperature pill 67 telemetry system; T<sub>sk</sub>, Mean skin temperature; TVR, Total vessel reactivity;

#### 69 **INTRODUCTION**

70 Endothelial-dependent processes provide an important mechanism whereby arterial 71 diameter adapts in response to localized changes in blood flow (5, 20). Conversely, 72 endothelial dysfunction disrupts vascular homeostasis and is integral to the pathophysiology 73 of many cardiovascular diseases (22, 51). The flow mediated dilatation (FMD) technique 74 provides a widely-used, non-invasive method of assessing endothelial function in response to 75 an acute, marked increase in blood flow shear stress (10). However, it is less widely 76 recognized that the acute reductions in arterial blood flow shear stress can evoke a low-flow 77 mediated constriction (L-FMC) (16, 29). L-FMC has promising clinical utility and 78 compliments the information provided by FMD (17, 18). However, in contrast to FMD, 79 limited work has explored the mechanisms underlying L-FMC or considered how it is 80 affected by environmental factors, such as temperature.

81 Exposure to a hot environment results in pronounced cardiovascular autonomic 82 adjustments that includes an increase in sympathetic nervous system activity, heart rate, and 83 cardiac output, along with elevations in conduit artery and skin blood flow (11). Notably, 84 local forearm heating increases brachial artery diameter, anterograde shear rate and FMD 85 (44). While studies in animals and *in-vitro* studies of human endothelial cell cultures have 86 shown an increased anterograde shear rate upregulates the release of endothelial nitric oxide 87 synthase (eNOS) and cytochrome-related endothelium-derived hyperpolarizing factors 88 (EDHF) (4, 9, 19, 28), this fails to occur with increases in retrograde shear rate, and instead 89 there is an augmented release of endothelial derived vasoconstrictor molecules, such as 90 endothelin-1 (49, 50, 54). Experimental induction of an increase in retrograde arterial shear 91 rate in the human brachial artery can be achieved by inflation of pneumatic cuff (30-75 92 mmHg) placed distal to the site of investigation (8, 44, 47), and this maneuver prevents the 93 brachial artery vasodilation during local heating (36). Acute increases in sympathetic 94 vasoconstrictor activity can also increase retrograde shear rate and attenuate FMD (25, 35, 95 44). Unlike local forearm heating, acute whole-body passive heat stress evokes major 96 systemic cardiovascular effects along with sympatho-excitation, both of which have the 97 potential to modify artery blood flow pattern and functional characteristics. However, the 98 influence of whole-body passive heat stress on radial arterial shear rate and function is 99 incompletely understood.

100 In contrast to FMD, the influence of heat stress on L-FMC has not been considered, 101 and whether L-FMC is modulated by the manipulation of local shear rate either 102 independently or with concomitant heat stress is unknown. The L-FMC response to heating 103 cannot be assumed to track that of FMD. While FMD and L-FMC responses complement one 104 another in healthy and clinical populations, they are not significantly correlated (16, 17). 105 Like FMD, L-FMC is at least partly endothelium mediated (5), but unlike FMD, L-FMC is 106 not altered by pharmacological antagonism of nitric oxide synthase (16). Therefore, non-107 endothelial factors, such as an increase in sympathetic nerve activity, cannot be discounted as 108 contributing to L-FMC (14). Thus, during whole-body passive heat stress, both increases in 109 sympathetic nerve activity and anterograde shear rate could potentially modify L-FMC.

110 The objectives of this investigation were twofold. First, to characterize the effect of 111 whole-body passive heat stress on radial artery blood flow pattern, FMD and L-FMC. 112 Secondly, to determine whether the influence of whole-body passive heat stress on FMD and 113 L-FMC is mediated by a change in local shear rate. To achieve this, the influence of whole-114 body passive heat stress (sufficient to raise core temperature +1 °C) on radial artery blood 115 flow pattern, FMD and L-FMC was investigated. Heating trials were conducted both with 116 and without the addition of a cuff, inflated to 75 mmHg, placed around the wrist that was 117 distal to the radial artery being examined. We hypothesized that; 1) whole-body passive heat 118 stress would augment anterograde shear rate and subsequently increase FMD and L-FMC via

- 119 endothelium mediated mechanisms, and 2) such increases in FMD and L-FMC would be
- 120 prevented if increases in anterograde shear rate were prevented, and retrograde shear rate
- 121 augmented, during whole-body passive heat stress (i.e., with a wrist cuff).

#### 122 METHODS

123 Ethical Approval.

Ethical Approval for this study was received from the University of Birmingham, Science Technology Engineering and Mathematics Ethical Review (approval number ERN\_18-0523). All study procedures were undertaken in accordance with the ethical standards outlined in the *Declaration of Helsinki*, except for registration in a database. Written informed consent was obtained from all study participants following a verbal and written explanation of the study objectives and procedures.

130

### 131 Participant characteristics.

132 Thirteen healthy men were recruited. All participants were normotensive, 133 normothermic ( $36.2 - 37.6 \,^{\circ}$ C), non-smokers and medication free. Prior to experimental trials 134 participants were requested to adhere to the following instructions: no food or beverages  $\geq 6$ 135 hours, no alcohol or caffeine for  $\geq 12$  hours, no polyphenol rich food/beverages for  $\geq 18$  hours, 136 no vigorous exercise for  $\geq 48$  hours and no vitamin supplements for  $\geq 72$  hours. Eleven 137 participants completed the experiment, with two participants withdrawing from the study 138 after first trial due to personal reasons.

139

### 140 *Experimental measures.*

Heart rate (HR) was measured using a standard lead II surface electrocardiogram, and
systolic and diastolic blood pressure (BP) obtained non-invasively from left brachial artery
by automated sphygmomanometer (Tango+, SunTech Medical Instruments, Raleigh, NC,
USA). Core (intestinal) temperature was measured using an ingestible temperature pill
telemetry system (T<sub>pill</sub>; Jonah<sup>TM</sup> Core Body Temperature, Respironics, Bend, OR, USA).
Data were transmitted wirelessly to monitoring device (EQ02+ LifeMonitor, Equivital,

Hidalgo, Cambridge, U.K) and then gathered with embedded application software (eqView
mobile, Equivital, Hidalgo, Cambridge, U.K). Skin temperature was measured by using
thermistors located at four sites (chest<sub>sk</sub>, biceps<sub>sk</sub>, thigh<sub>sk</sub> and calf<sub>sk</sub>) (Squirrel SQ2010 Data
Logger; Grant, Cambridge, UK).

151 Right radial artery diameter and blood flow velocity were obtained using duplex 152 Doppler ultrasound (Terason uSmart 3300, Teratech Corporation, Burlington, MA, USA) 153 with the arm supported at heart level. The radial artery was insonated 10 - 15 cm distal to the 154 medial epicondyle using a multi-frequency linear-array probe (Terason uSmart 15L4) 155 operating at 4-15 MHz and fixed on an adjustable holder throughout the experiment. B-mode 156 imaging was used to measure radial artery diameter and pulse-wave mode to obtain radial 157 artery peak blood velocity. Measurements were made in accordance with recent technical 158 recommendations (34, 45). FMD studio software was used to record Doppler images as video 159 files and offline analysis conducted using automated edge detection and wall tracking 160 algorithms (Cardiovascular Suite Version 3.4.1, FMD Studio, Pisa, Italy).

161

162 Experimental Protocol.

163 Prior to experimental trials, participants attended a familiarization session during 164 which study procedures were explained and methods demonstrated. Participants then returned 165 for three separate experimental trials to investigate the impact of whole-body passive heat 166 stress on radial artery endothelial function and blood flow pattern. Trials were conducted on 167 three days separated by at least 24 hours and completed within 14 days. The three 168 experimental trials were; 1) whole-body passive heat stress sufficient to raise core 169 temperature by 1 °C (Heat), 2) whole-body passive heat stress sufficient to raise core 170 temperature by 1 °C with concurrent inflation of a cuff placed around the right wrist to 75 171 mmHg in order to modify the blood flow pattern of the right radial artery (Heat + WC), and 3) a Time Control trial with neither whole body heat stress nor wrist cuff inflation. The order
of the Heat and Heat + WC trials was randomized by a coin toss. By necessity the Time
Control trial was always performed last; its duration determined by the average of the Heat
and Heat + WC trials.

176 All experimental sessions and data collection were conducted at the same time of day 177 for a given individual. For the Heat and Heat + WC trials, participants came to the laboratory 178 and swallowed the T<sub>pill</sub> with water two hours prior to testing. The T<sub>pill</sub> was not provided for 179 Time Control trial. Experimental sessions commenced with securing skin temperature 180 thermistors to the participants and then putting on a tube-lined water-perfused suit covering 181 the entire body surface with the exception of the head and right forearm. Participants then 182 rested in a supine position on a medical examination table and were instrumented for 183 collection of the experimental measures outlined above. An inflatable cuff was placed around 184 the right wrist to modify the blood flow pattern as described above (Heat + WC) and was also 185 used for the assessment of L-FMC and FMD (described below). The suit was perfused with 186 water at a thermo-neutral temperature (34°C) for 15 min and temperature and hemodynamics 187 recorded. An assessment of radial artery function (L-FMC and FMD) was then made, 188 consisting of a 1 min baseline, followed by 5 min wrist cuff inflation to  $\ge 220$  mmHg, and a 3 189 min post-cuff inflation recovery period (16). In the Heat trial, the temperature of the water 190 perfusing the suit was then adjusted to 48 °C and applied until core temperature increased by 191 1°C. In the Heat + WC trial, the wrist cuff was inflated to 75 mmHg to modify radial artery 192 flow pattern (47), and the whole body heat stress protocol was replicated as in the Heat trial. 193 Once core temperature was elevated by  $1^{\circ}$ C (the desired amount) in the Heat and Heat + WC 194 trials, radial artery function testing (L-FMC and FMD) was repeated. During the Time 195 Control trial, the temperature of water perfusing the suit was maintained at a thermo-neutral 196 temperature (34°C) and pre and post intervention recordings of L-FMC and FMD were made

197 as in other two trials (Heat and Heat + WC).

198

199 Data analysis

200 Mean skin temperature  $(T_{sk})$  was calculated as (38):

$$T_{sk}(C^{\circ}) = 0.3 x (Biceps_{sk} C^{\circ} + Chest_{sk} C^{\circ}) + 0.2 x (Thigh_{sk} C^{\circ} + Calf_{sk} C^{\circ})$$

201

202 Mean arterial pressure (MAP) was calculated as (39):

$$MAP (mmHg) = Diastolic BP(mmHg) + [0.33 + (HR x 0.0012)] x [Systolic BP(mmHg) - Diastolic BP (mmHg)]$$

203

204 Radial artery blood flow was calculated as:

Blood Flow (ml/min) = Mean Blood Velocity (cm/s) 
$$x \pi x$$
 radius (cm)<sup>2</sup>  $x 60$  (s/min)

206

207 Radial artery vascular conductance was determined by dividing arterial blood flow (ml/min)

208 by mean arterial pressure (mmHg).

Arterial Wall Shear Rate (SR, s<sup>-1</sup>) = 
$$\frac{4 \times \text{Mean Blood Velocity (cm/s)}}{\text{Diameter (cm)}}$$

Anterograde and retrograde shear rate were calculated using anterograde and retrogradeblood velocities, respectively.

212 Core temperature (Heat and Heat + WC only), skin temperature, HR, BP and radial 213 artery characteristics were obtained prior to the start of intervention, and then every 5 min 214 during the intervention (Heat, Heat + WC, Time Control trials). In order to make between 215 trial comparisons of the temporal response pattern for temperature and cardiovascular 216 variables, values were selected that corresponded to 25%, 50%, 75% and 100% of total trial duration. A 20 s average was used to provide radial artery measure for a given participanteach time point.

219 For radial artery function testing, L-FMC was defined as the change from average 220 baseline diameter to the average diameter of the last 30 s of wrist cuff occlusion, while FMD 221 was taken as the change from the average baseline diameter to the maximal post cuff 222 occlusion diameter (16). L-FMC and FMD responses are presented as relative (%) and 223 absolute (mm) change (45). Total vessel reactivity (TVR) was calculated as the change from 224 the average diameter of the last 30 s of wrist cuff occlusion to the maximal diameter post cuff 225 deflation divided by the average baseline diameter (37) and is presented as a relative (%) 226 change. TVR was used to assess the vascular reactivity range (6). The time-to-peak diameter 227 and shear rate area under the curve ( $SR_{AUC}$ ), calculated as an integral, were determined from 228 cuff deflation until maximum artery dilation. A ratio of L-FMC against change in mean shear 229 rate (difference between baseline shear rate and shear rate during last 30 s of cuff occlusion; 230 L-FMC-to- $\Delta$  mean SR ratio, au) and FMD against SR<sub>AUC</sub> (FMD-to-SR<sub>AUC</sub> ratio, au) were 231 calculated and the values multiplied by 1000 (26, 34). Recent guidelines suggest considering 232 whether allometric scaling is necessary when evaluating FMD (3). Accordingly, baseline and 233 nadir / peak diameters were natural log-transformed for slope and upper bound 95% 234 confidence intervals (CI). Further allometric scaling for baseline diameters was not 235 performed as the slope of the relationship between log(peak diameters) and log(baseline 236 diameters) did not deviate significantly from 1 (i.e., all slopes > 0.86 and all upper bound 237 95% CI < 1.42).

238

239 Statistical Analysis.

All statistical analyses were conducted using Statistical Package for Social Sciences
(SPSS, version 21.0). One-way repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used

242	to test for differences in total trial durations (Heat, Heat +WC, Time Control). Two-way
243	repeated measures ANOVA was used to test for differences in core and skin temperature,
244	cardiovascular responses, radial artery characteristics and blood flow pattern with respect to
245	time (baseline, 25%, 50%, 75% and 100% of intervention duration), trial (Heat, Heat + WC,
246	Time Control), and their interaction. Two-way repeated measures ANOVA was also used to
247	investigate main effects of time (Pre vs. Post intervention), trial (Heat, Heat + WC, Time
248	Control) and their interaction, for radial artery function (e.g., L-FMC and FMD). An analysis
249	of covariance (ANCOVA), with $SR_{AUC}$ as covariate, was used to statistically assess the FMD
250	response for the shear rate stimulus ( $SR_{AUC}$ -corrected-FMD%) (48). Significant main effects and
251	interactions were investigated <i>post hoc</i> using Students t-tests with Bonferroni adjustment. P <
252	0.05 was recognized as being statistically significant. Data are presented as mean (SD) unless
253	stated.

#### 255 <u>RESULTS</u>

### 256 *Core and mean skin temperature.*

257 Total duration was not different between the Heat (78.6  $\pm$  9.5 min) and Heat + WC 258  $(76.3 \pm 12.2 \text{ min})$  and Time Control  $(77.5 \pm 9.1)$  trials (P = 0.554). Core temperature 259 increased progressively from baseline during Heat and Heat + WC trials, with both being 260 different from baseline after 50%, 75% and 100% of intervention duration, respectively (all P 261 < 0.05) and this increase in core temperature was similar between heat trials (P = 0.922; 262 Figure 1). Mean skin temperature also increased from baseline during the Heat  $(32.8 \pm 0.68)$ 263 °C) and Heat + WC ( $32.7 \pm 0.83$  °C) trials (P < 0.05 vs. baseline and Time Control trial after 264 25% of intervention duration and beyond), but was not different between the Heat and Heat + 265 WC trials (Heat vs. Heat + WC: baseline; after 25%, 50%, 75% and 100% of intervention 266 duration, P = 1.00; P = 0.089; P = 0.620; P = 1.00; P = 0.166, respectively). Mean skin 267 temperature during Time Control trial remained between 32 and 34°C throughout the trial 268 (Time Control after 25%, 50%, 75% and 100% of intervention duration vs. baseline: P =269 1.00; P = 0.994; P = 0.955; P = 0.994, respectively).

270

271 *HR and BP*.

HR progressively increased from baseline during both whole-body passive heat stress trials (Heat and Heat + WC, P < 0.05 vs. baseline and Time Control at 25% intervention duration and beyond; Figure 2). Systolic BP also increased during the Heat and Heat + WC trials (P < 0.05), and diastolic BP fell slightly during the heating trials.

276

### 277 Radial artery characteristics.

278 Mean and anterograde shear rate increased progressively and robustly throughout the
279 Heat trial (P < 0.05 vs. baseline and Time Control at 25% intervention duration and beyond;</li>

Figure 3), while retrograde shear rate decreased slightly from baseline values and was significantly different to Time Control at 75% and 100% intervention duration (P < 0.05 vs. Time Control). In the Heat + WC trial, increases in mean and anterograde shear rate were abolished (P < 0.05 vs. Heat), while increases in retrograde shear rate were pronounced (P < 0.05 vs. baseline and Heat). In the Time Control trial, radial artery mean, anterograde and retrograde shear rates remained unchanged from baseline (P > 0.05).

286 During the Heat trial, radial artery diameter, velocity, blood flow and vascular 287 conductance all increased progressively and markedly (P < 0.05 vs. baseline and Heat + WC 288 at all intervention durations; Table 1 and Figure 4). In contrast, these radial artery 289 characteristics remained close to baseline values throughout the Heat + WC and Time 290 Control trials; the exceptions being Heat + WC radial artery diameter which increased 291 slightly at 50% and 75% of intervention duration, and Time Control vascular conductance 292 which fell slightly at 100% of intervention duration (both P < 0.05 vs. Baseline). At baseline, 293 radial artery blood flow, velocity and vascular conductance were slightly but significantly 294 elevated in the Time Control trial compared to the Heat trial (P < 0.05).

295

## 296 Radial artery function responses.

297 Table 1 provides radial artery characteristics before and after intervention in the Time 298 Control, Heat and Heat + WC trials. At baseline, FMD, L-FMC and TVR % were not 299 different between the Heat, Heat + WC and Time Control trials (FMD % between trials at the 300 baseline, all P=1.00; L-FMC % between trials at the baseline, all P=1.00; TVR % at the 301 baseline, Heat vs. Heat +WC P=0.511, Heat vs. Time Control P=1.00, Heat+WC vs. Time 302 Control P=0.764, Figure 5.). Following whole body heating (Post) in the Heat trial, FMD % 303 was significantly decreased (P < 0.05 vs. Pre and Heat + WC, Figure 5), while FMD % was 304 unchanged in either the Heat + WC or the Time Control trials (Heat + WC vs. Pre, P = 0.176;

- 305 Time Control vs. Pre, P = 0.464). No between trial differences in L-FMC % were observed
- 306 either at baseline or following intervention in the Heat, Heat + WC and Time Control trials (P
- 307 > 0.05, Figure 5.). Following intervention in the Heat + WC trial, TVR% was increased (Pre
- 308 vs Post, P < 0.05 vs. baseline and Time Control).

#### 309 **DISCUSSION**

310 The objectives of this investigation were to characterize the effect of whole-body 311 passive heat stress on radial artery blood flow pattern and functional characteristics (i.e., 312 FMD and L-FMC), and to determine whether the influence of whole-body passive heat stress 313 on FMD and L-FMC is mediated by a change in local shear rate (as induced via inflation of 314 pneumatic cuff (75 mmHg) placed distal to the site of investigation). We observed that 315 whole-body heating (i.e., Heat trial), sufficient to raise core temperature by +1 °C, markedly 316 and progressively increased radial artery mean and anterograde shear rate, along with radial 317 artery diameter, velocity and blood flow. Contrary to our hypothesis, whole-body passive 318 heat stress attenuated FMD, whereas L-FMC was unchanged. As expected, the addition of a 319 cuff, inflated to 75 mmHg around the wrist distal to the radial artery being examined (i.e., 320 Heat + WC trial), abolished the heat-induced increase mean and anterograde shear rate, but 321 markedly increased retrograde shear. Associated with this, no changes in either radial artery 322 blood velocity, diameter, blood flow or vascular conductance were observed. Moreover, 323 neither FMD nor L-FMC were different following Heat + WC. Collectively, these findings 324 suggest that whole-body passive heat stress (+1 °C core temperature) acutely elevates radial 325 artery mean and anterograde shear rate, leading to radial artery vasodilatation and diminished 326 FMD, but unchanged L-FMC. However, when whole-body heating induced increases in 327 radial artery mean and anterograde shear rate are prevented, and instead retrograde shear is 328 increased, both radial artery vasodilation and the diminished FMD are prevented.

In healthy adults brachial artery FMD has been shown to be enhanced following whole-body passive heat therapy (60 minutes sessions for 8 weeks) (7). Moreover, regular whole-body heating for 3-4 weeks improves endothelial function, maximal  $O_2$  uptake (33), circulating NO metabolite concentrations and reduces oxidative stress markers in chronic heart failure patients (15). While a single session of whole-body heating offers protection 334 from ischemia-reperfusion associated reductions in endothelial function (7). Local heating 335 (42 °C) is known to evoke cutaneous vasodilation, increase limb blood flow and shear stress 336 without producing major systemic cardiovascular effects (19). Moreover, local unilateral 337 limb heating prevents physical inactivity (43) and hyperglycemia (21) induced reductions in 338 FMD. Given this, we hypothesized that acutely applied whole-body passive heat stress would 339 cause an enhanced FMD secondary to an augmented anterograde shear rate and upregulated 340 release of endothelial NO synthase and EDHF (4, 12, 19, 28). This was only partly correct, in 341 that anterograde shear was increased during whole-body passive heat stress, but rather than 342 observing an increase in FMD, a decrease was found.

343 A poor FMD response under normothermic conditions is associated with increased 344 future cardiovascular risk (40, 41, 55) and indicative of endothelial dysfunction. Thus, the 345 reduced FMD during acute whole-body passive heat stress might be interpreted as a reduction 346 in endothelial function. However, it is more likely that the reduction in FMD during acute 347 whole-body passive heat stress was mediated by thermoregulatory-related radial artery 348 vasodilation, which reduced the capacity for subsequent vasodilation during the radial FMD 349 test. Indeed, the peak diameter observed during FMD prior to Heat (i.e., Pre, 2.79 mm) was 350 lower than that observed at baseline following heating (i.e., Post, 3.30 mm; Table 1). 351 Moreover, the SR<sub>AUC</sub> was diminished during the FMD following whole body heating (Heat trial;  $SR_{AUC}$  16.4 vs. 7.50 x10<sup>3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> for Pre vs. Post, respectively), and when FMD was 352 353 corrected for this attenuated SRAUC2 no difference in FMD was noted. An alternative 354 explanation is that an elevated sympathetic vasoconstrictor tone resulting from acute whole-355 body heat stress reduced the FMD response in the current study. Some acutely applied 356 sympatho-excitatory maneuvers have been shown to attenuate FMD (25). Indeed, reductions 357 in FMD following strenuous dynamic exercise are reportedly prevented by alpha-adrenergic 358 blockade suggestive of a sympathetically mediated reduction in FMD (2). Although chronic whole-body passive heat stress has been shown to decrease circulating norepinephrine concentrations in heart failure patients (33), the extent and direction of any acute sympathoexcitatory adaptive changes here remains unclear.

362 The inflation of a cuff (to 75 mmHg) distal to the artery being examined is an 363 established method of manipulating shear rate (47). In the present study, wrist cuff inflation 364 abolished the heat-induced increase in mean and anterograde shear rate, but markedly 365 increased retrograde shear (i.e., Heat + WC trial). Associated with this, and in stark contrast 366 to the Heat trial, no increases in either radial artery diameter, velocity, blood flow or vascular 367 conductance were observed. It should be noted that the wrist cuff was positioned distal to the 368 portion of the radial artery being interrogated and therefore did not directly occlude flow to 369 where the vessel was being imaged. Further, it seems unlikely that the wrist cuff inflation to 370 75 mmHg, which is lower than mean BP and much lower than systolic BP, was sufficient to 371 reduce downstream radial artery blood flow into the hand; yet this possibility cannot be 372 completed excluded (27). Nonetheless, such an effect should not have severely compromised 373 hand circulation as no participants reported altered sensation in the hands. Notably, while 374 Heat diminished FMD, it was preserved during Heat + WC likely as a consequence of the 375 greater SR<sub>AUC</sub> during the FMD. This provides further support for the contention that the 376 attention in FMD for the Heat trial was mediated by the reduction in shear stimulus and not a 377 true change in endothelial vasodilator function. Heat and Heat + WC trials were well matched 378 in so far as the evoked increases in core temperature, blood pressure and heart rate were not 379 different, suggesting that a non-specific systemic factor was not involved. The between trial 380 difference in FMD is likely explained by the wrist cuff preventing an increase in radial artery 381 mean and anterograde shear rate, and thus no radial artery vasodilatation occurring. 382 Therefore, with the radial artery at a baseline level in the Heat + WC trial, the FMD response 383 was normal, despite core temperature being raised.

384 We hypothesized that whole-body passive heat stress would augment L-FMC, 385 whereas it remained unchanged. Among the various suggested mechanisms underlying L-386 FMC is an endothelial contribution (13). Indeed, L-FMC is attenuated by inhibition of 387 endothelial derived hyperpolarizing factors, prostaglandins (16) and the endothelin receptor 388 antagonist BQ-123 (40). Notably, FMD was diminished with whole-body passive heat stress 389 and it is well established that FMD is at least in part determined by endothelial dependent 390 mechanisms. Given this, one might have expected L-FMC to change similarly, but despite 391 the augmented baseline diameter this was not the case. A sympathetic mechanism has also 392 been postulated to contribute to L-FMC, and whole-body passive heat stress is well known to 393 increase sympathetic activity. Inflation of a wrist cuff (i.e., Heat + WC trial) had no influence 394 on L-FMC. This further supports the concept that manipulating shear rate, such that increases 395 in anterograde shear rate are prevented and retrograde shear rate augmented, has a minimal 396 effect on L-FMC. Elliott et al., (14) observed an augmented L-FMC following dynamic 397 exercise and among the potential mechanisms suggested was an increase in sympathetic 398 nerve activity. It might have been reasonable to expect that with the prevailing vasodilation, 399 meaning more scope for vasoconstriction, along the increase in retrograde shear to attenuate 400 endothelial function, a more pronounced L-FMC would have been exhibited. Further, it could 401 also have been expected that the increased retrograde shear during Heat + WC would have 402 worsened endothelial function (47) and attenuated L-FMC. However, this was also not 403 observed.

404

## 405 Experimental Considerations

Herein we assessed the radial artery and studies are required to verify these findings in other conduit vessels (e.g., coronary arteries). While the relationship between the brachial artery FMD and acetylcholine infusion responses with bradykinin, acetylcholine, adenosine 409 and dobutamine infusion responses of the coronary vessels has been determined (31, 32, 41, 410 42), to the best of our knowledge such an investigation has not been carried out for any other 411 peripheral conduit vessels. While some previously published investigations have examined 412 the radial artery (e.g., (13, 14, 16, 52)), human studies of peripheral vascular function more 413 commonly examine the brachial artery. Similar blood flow and shear patterns would be 414 expected in both the radial and brachial arteries during passive whole-body heat stress (46). A 415 notable difference between the brachial and radial arteries relates to the propensity to observe 416 an L-FMC response, with this being more commonly seen in the radial artery (52).

417 Radial artery function was only assessed at a single time point following the whole-418 body passive heat stress intervention. As such we were unable to ascertain the time-course of 419 the vascular response, and specifically determine how long the whole-body heat stress related 420 decrement in FMD persisted for in the post-heat period, and if/when a conversion to an 421 augmented FMD response occurred. It is a limitation that only health young men were 422 studied. There are important sex-differences and ovarian hormone effects on vascular 423 function (24). Unfortunately, resource and logistical issues meant that we were unable to 424 study young women at a standard phase of their menstrual cycle (e.g., early follicular phase) 425 for the three separate experimental sessions that our study design necessitated, potentially 426 over several months. Additional studies are required to ascertain whether sex-differences are 427 present in our findings, and the extent to which they similarly manifest in patient populations 428 in whom underlying impairments in vascular function are reported (e.g., healthy ageing, 429 hypertension).

The use of a wrist cuff inflation to 75 mmHg is an established method to alter shear rate patterns, particularity during experimental conditions in which shear stress is elevated (e.g. (8)). Despite its utility, this model of shear rate manipulation simultaneously decreases anterograde and increases retrograde shear rates, respectively. As such, we are unable to state 434 definitively whether the FMD response following Heat + WC is mediated by attenuated mean 435 and anterograde shear, or is it driven by the large increase in retrograde shear, or a 436 combination of both. The wrist cuff and associated changes in shear pattern lead to a 437 diminished blood flow response to whole-body heating. However, blood flow was not 438 significantly reduced below baseline or time control values. We assume that forearm 439 metabolic rate was not different between trials and as such do not expect differences in 440 downstream tissue oxygen to have occurred and contributed to the vascular responses 441 observed. We cannot discount the possibility that wrist cuff inflation may have evoked 442 venous distension and a reflex increase in vasoconstrictor sympathetic nerve activity (23, 30). 443 The inclusion of assessments of sympathetic nerve activity (1) or blood based biomarkers of 444 vascular function (53) would have provided additional mechanistic insight and strengthened 445 this study.

446

### 447 Conclusions

Collectively, these findings suggest that whole-body passive heat stress acutely elevates radial artery mean and anterograde shear rate, leading to a vasodilatation of the radial artery and a diminished FMD, but not L-FMC. Preventing these shear rate induced changes reduces radial artery vasodilation and the acutely diminished FMD. Therefore, shear rate modifications appear to underpin the conduit artery response to acute whole-body heatstress, but further endothelial-dependent flow-mediated vasodilation is attenuated as the vasodilatory range limit is approached.

## 456 **TABLES**

457 <u>**Table 1**</u>. Radial artery characteristics before (Pre) and after (Post) the Time Control, Heat and eat + WC trials.

	Time Control		Heat		Heat + WC		P values		
	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Trial	Time	Interaction
Baseline									
Diameter (mm)	2.66 (0.35)	2.63 (0.34)	2.57 (0.31)	3.30 (0.43)*†‡	2.53 (0.35)	2.79 (0.36)*	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Velocity (cm/s)	15.17 (6.9)	7.53 (6.6)*	8.07 (6.2)‡	39.48 (7.8)*†‡	9.48 (7.72)	11.59 (6.5)	< 0.001	< 0.05	< 0.001
Blood flow (ml/min)	54.04 (33.0)	26.18 (23.7)*	25.6 (20.3)‡	204.17 (54.2)*†‡	31.32 (31.2)	45.60 (32.7)	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Mean shear rate $(s^{-1})$	227.2 (98.0)	113.3 (96.9)*	130.3 (102.1)‡	485.3 (105.2)*†‡	147.5 (112.4)	165.2 (88.4)	< 0.001	0.022	< 0.001
L-FMC									
Nadir Diameter (mm)	2.55 (0.34)	2.55 (0.34)	2.45 (0.27)	3.08 (0.41)*†‡	2.47 (0.36)	2.65 (0.31)	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
$\Delta$ Diameter (mm)	-0.11 (0.06)	-0.08 (0.06)	-0.12 (0.09)	-0.22 (0.27)	-0.06 (0.08)	-0.15 (0.12)	0.174	0.195	0.162
Mean shear rate $(s^{-1})$	22.8 (6.2)	26.2(7.5)	21.4 (11.0)	74.0 (30.6)*†‡	23.5 (8.2)	109.2 (59.9)*‡	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.001
$\Delta$ Mean shear rate (s <sup>-1</sup> )	204.4 (103.0)	87.1 (90.4)*	108.9 (104.5)‡	411.2 (93.6)*†‡	123.9 (111.1)	56.0 (57.2)	< 0.001	0.229	< 0.001
L-FMC-to- $\Delta$ mean SR ratio (au)	-0.029(0.038)	-0.064(0.082)	-0.110 (0.234)	-0.020 (0.025)	-0.004 (0.092)	-0.209 (0.348)	0.548	0.231	0.075
FMD									
Peak Diameter (mm)	2.88 (0.41)	2.79 (0.40)	2.79 (.35)	3.37 (0.46)*†‡	2.73 (0.40)‡	3.10 (0.40)*‡	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
$\Delta$ Diameter (mm)	0.21 (0.14)	0.17 (0.12)	0.22 (0.11)	0.07 (0.15)*†	0.20 (0.14)	0.30 (0.11)	0.038	0.334	< 0.05
Time to peak diameter (s)	80.72 (32.68)	77.18 (46.95)	90.36 (41.62)	97.72 (152.58)	76.54 (42.49)	118.6 (39.66)	0.326	0.097	0.265
$SR_{AUC}(x10^3 s^{-1})$	19.33 (6.7)	16.58 (5.7)	16.4 (6.56)	7.50 (7.0)†‡	17.8 (8.5)	29.57 (11.6)*‡	< 0.001	0.507	< 0.05
$FMD$ -to- $SR_{AUC}$ ratio (au)	0.40 (0.26)	0.62 (0.97)	0.63 (0.46)	0.66 (1.24)	0.46 (0.27)	0.42 (0.19)	0.641	0.689	0.819
SR <sub>AUC</sub> -corrected-FMD (%)	7.696 (4.60)	6.526 (4.60)	8.73 (4.60)	3.156 (5.25)	7.856 (4.60)	9.712 (5.42)	0.224	0.154	0.066

- 459 Values are means (SD). L-FMC, low-flow mediated constriction; FMD, flow mediated dilatation; SR<sub>AUC</sub>, shear rate area under curve. P values
- 460 represent 2-way repeated ANOVA results (Trial; Time Control, Heat and Heat + WC: Time; Pre and Post: Interaction, Trial x Time). P value for
- 461 SR<sub>AUC</sub>-corrected-FMD (%) represent ANCOVA results. \* P < 0.05 vs. Pre; † P < 0.05 vs. Heat + WC; ‡ P < 0.05 vs. Time Control.

462

#### 464 **FIGURE LEGENDS**

465 **Figure 1. Core and skin temperatures.** 

466 Whole-body passive heat stress (Heat) and whole-body heat stress with wrist cuff (Heat + 467 WC) evoked similar increases in core and skin temperature. Skin temperature was not 468 changed from baseline in the Time Control trial. Values are mean  $\pm$  SE. \* P < 0.05 vs. 469 baseline (BL);  $\ddagger$  P < 0.05 vs. Time Control.

470

### 471 **Figure 2.** Cardiovascular responses.

472 Heart rate (HR), systolic blood pressure (systolic BP), diastolic blood pressure (Diastolic 473 BP), mean arterial pressure (MAP) responses were similar in the whole-body passive heat 474 stress (Heat) and whole-body heat stress with wrist cuff (Heat + WC) trials. Values are mean 475  $\pm$  SE. \* P < 0.05 vs. baseline (BL); † P < 0.05 vs. Heat + WC; ‡ P < 0.05 vs. Time Control.

476

### 477 **Figure 3. Radial artery blood flow pattern.**

478 Mean, anterograde and retrograde shear rate during the whole-body passive heat stress 479 (Heat), whole-body heat stress with wrist cuff (Heat + WC) and Time Control trials. Values 480 are the mean  $\pm$  SE. \* P < 0.05 vs. baseline (BL); † P < 0.05 vs. Heat + WC; ‡ P < 0.05 vs. 481 Time Control.

482

### 483 Figure 4. Radial artery characteristics

Radial artery blood flow, diameter, velocity and vascular conductance during whole-body
passive heat stress (Heat), whole-body heat stress with wrist cuff (Heat + WC) and Time

486 Control trials. Values are the mean  $\pm$  SE. \* P < 0.05 vs. baseline (BL); † P < 0.05 vs. Heat +

487 WC;  $\ddagger P < 0.05$  vs. Time Control.

### 489 Figure 5. Radial artery function

- 490 Radial artery flow mediated dilatation (FMD), low-flow mediated constriction (L-FMC), and
- 491 total vascular range (TVR) during the whole-body passive heat stress (Heat), whole-body
- 492 heat stress with wrist cuff (Heat + WC) and Time Control trials. Values are the mean  $\pm$  SE. \*
- 493 P < 0.05 vs. baseline (BL);  $\dagger P < 0.05$  vs. Heat + WC;  $\ddagger P < 0.05$  vs. Time Control.
- 494
- 495
- 496

### 497 **REFERENCES**

498 1. Adlan AM, Paton JF, Lip GY, Kitas GD, and Fisher JP. Increased sympathetic 499 nerve activity and reduced cardiac baroreflex sensitivity in rheumatoid arthritis. J Physiol 500 595: 967-981, 2017. 501 Atkinson CL, Lewis NC, Carter HH, Thijssen DH, Ainslie PN, and Green DJ. 2. 502 Impact of sympathetic nervous system activity on post-exercise flow-mediated dilatation in 503 humans. J Physiol 593: 5145-5156, 2015. 504 Atkinson G, Batterham AM, Thijssen DH, and Green DJ. A new approach to 3. 505 improve the specificity of flow-mediated dilation for indicating endothelial function in 506 cardiovascular research. Journal of hypertension 31: 287-291, 2013. 507 4. Bellien J, Favre J, Iacob M, Gao J, Thuillez C, Richard V, and Joannidès R. 508 Arterial stiffness is regulated by nitric oxide and endothelium-derived hyperpolarizing factor 509 during changes in blood flow in humans. Hypertension 55: 674-680, 2010. 510 Birk GK, Dawson EA, Atkinson C, Haynes A, Cable NT, Thijssen DH, and 5. 511 Green DJ. Brachial artery adaptation to lower limb exercise training: role of shear stress. 512 Journal of applied physiology 112: 1653-1658, 2012. 513 Black CD, Vickerson B, and McCully KK. Noninvasive assessment of vascular 6. 514 function in the posterior tibial artery of healthy humans. Dyn Med 2: 1, 2003. 515 7. Brunt VE, Howard MJ, Francisco MA, Ely BR, and Minson CT. Passive heat 516 therapy improves endothelial function, arterial stiffness and blood pressure in sedentary 517 humans. The Journal of physiology 594: 5329-5342, 2016. 518 Carter HH, Dawson EA, Birk GK, Spence AL, Naylor LH, Cable NT, Thijssen 8. 519 DH, and Green DJ. Effect of SR manipulation on conduit artery dilation in humans. 520 Hypertension 61: 143-150, 2013. 521 Casey DP, Ueda K, Wegman-Points L, and Pierce GL. Muscle contraction induced 9. 522 arterial shear stress increases endothelial nitric oxide synthase phosphorylation in humans. 523 Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol 313: H854-H859, 2017. 524 10. Corretti MC, Anderson TJ, Benjamin EJ, Celermajer D, Charbonneau F, 525 Creager MA, Deanfield J, Drexler H, Gerhard-Herman M, and Herrington D. 526 Guidelines for the ultrasound assessment of endothelial-dependent flow-mediated 527 vasodilation of the brachial artery: a report of the International Brachial Artery Reactivity 528 Task Force. Journal of the American College of Cardiology 39: 257-265, 2002. 529 Crandall C, and Wilson T. Human cardiovascular responses to passive heat stress. 11. 530 Comp Physiol 5: 17–43. 2015. 531 12. Davis SL, Fadel PJ, Cui J, Thomas GD, and Crandall CG. Skin blood flow 532 influences near-infrared spectroscopy-derived measurements of tissue oxygenation during 533 heat stress. Journal of applied physiology 100: 221-224, 2006. 534 13. Dawson EA, Alkarmi A, Thijssen DH, Rathore S, Marsman DE, Cable NT, Wright DJ, and Green DJ. Low-flow mediated constriction is endothelium-dependent: 535 536 effects of exercise training after radial artery catheterization. Circulation: Cardiovascular 537 Interventions 5: 713-719, 2012. 538 14. Elliott RO, Alsalahi S, and Fisher JP. Impact of acute dynamic exercise on radial 539 artery low-flow mediated constriction in humans. European journal of applied physiology 540 118: 1463-1472, 2018. 541 15. Fujita S, Ikeda Y, Miyata M, Shinsato T, Kubozono T, Kuwahata S, Hamada N, 542 Miyauchi T, Yamaguchi T, Torii H, Hamasaki S, and Tei C. Effect of Waon therapy on 543 oxidative stress in chronic heart failure. Circ J 75: 348-356, 2011. 544 16. Gori T, Dragoni S, Lisi M, Di Stolfo G, Sonnati S, Fineschi M, and Parker JD.

545 Conduit artery constriction mediated by low flow: a novel noninvasive method for the

546 assessment of vascular function. Journal of the American College of Cardiology 51: 1953-547 1958, 2008. 548 17. Gori T, Grotti S, Dragoni S, Lisi M, Di Stolfo G, Sonnati S, Fineschi M, and 549 **Parker JD**. Assessment of vascular function: flow-mediated constriction complements the 550 information of flow-mediated dilatation. Heart 96: 141-147, 2010. 551 Gori T, Muxel S, Damaske A, Radmacher M-C, Fasola F, Schaefer S, Schulz A, 18. 552 Jabs A, Parker JD, and Münzel T. Endothelial function assessment: flow-mediated dilation 553 and constriction provide different and complementary information on the presence of 554 coronary artery disease. European heart journal 33: 363-371, 2012. 555 19. Green DJ, Carter HH, Fitzsimons MG, Cable NT, Thijssen DH, and Naylor LH. 556 Obligatory role of hyperaemia and shear stress in microvascular adaptation to repeated 557 heating in humans. The Journal of physiology 588: 1571-1577, 2010. 558 Green DJ, Hopman MT, Padilla J, Laughlin MH, and Thijssen DH. Vascular 20. 559 adaptation to exercise in humans: role of hemodynamic stimuli. Physiological reviews 97: 560 495-528, 2017. 561 Greyling A, Schreuder TH, Landman T, Draijer R, Verheggen RJ, Hopman MT, 21. 562 and Thijssen DH. Elevation in blood flow and shear rate prevents hyperglycemia-induced 563 endothelial dysfunction in healthy subjects and those with type 2 diabetes. J Appl Physiol 564 (1985) 118: 579-585, 2015. 565 22. Hambrecht R, Adams V, Erbs S, Linke A, Krankel N, Shu Y, Baither Y, Gielen 566 S, Thiele H, and Gummert J. Regular physical activity improves endothelial function in 567 patients with coronary artery disease by increasing phosphorylation of endothelial nitric 568 oxide synthase. Circulation 107: 3152-3158, 2003. 569 23. Haouzi P, Hill JM, Lewis BK, and Kaufman MP. Responses of group III and IV 570 muscle afferents to distension of the peripheral vascular bed. J Appl Physiol (1985) 87: 545-571 553, 1999. 572 24. Hashimoto M, Akishita M, Eto M, Ishikawa M, Kozaki K, Toba K, Sagara Y, 573 Taketani Y, Orimo H, and Ouchi Y. Modulation of endothelium-dependent flow-mediated 574 dilatation of the brachial artery by sex and menstrual cycle. *Circulation* 92: 3431-3435, 1995. 575 25. Hijmering ML, Stroes ES, Olijhoek J, Hutten BA, Blankestijn PJ, and Rabelink 576 TJ. Sympathetic activation markedly reduces endothelium-dependent, flow-mediated 577 vasodilation. J Am Coll Cardiol 39: 683-688, 2002. 578 Junejo RT, May S, Alsalahi S, Alali M, Ogoh S, and Fisher JP. Cerebrovascular 26. 579 carbon dioxide reactivity and flow mediated dilation in young healthy South Asian and 580 Caucasian European men. American Journal of Physiology-Heart and Circulatory 581 Physiology 2020. 582 Junejo RT, Ray CJ, and Marshall JM. Cuff inflation time significantly affects 27. 583 blood flow recorded with venous occlusion plethysmography. Eur J Appl Physiol 119: 665-584 674, 2019. 585 28. Kinlay S, Creager MA, Fukumoto M, Hikita H, Fang JC, Selwyn AP, and Ganz 586 **P**. Endothelium-derived nitric oxide regulates arterial elasticity in human arteries in vivo. 587 Hypertension 38: 1049-1053, 2001. 588 29. Levenson J, Simon A, and Pithois-Merli I. Brachial arterial changes in response to 589 wrist occlusion in normotensive and hypertensive men. American Journal of Physiology-590 Heart and Circulatory Physiology 253: H217-H224, 1987. Mansur DE, Campos MO, Mattos JD, Paiva ACS, Rocha MP, Videira RLR, 591 30. 592 Macefield VG, Nobrega ACL, and Fernandes IA. Muscle sympathetic nerve activity and 593 hemodynamic responses to venous distension: does sex play a role? Am J Physiol Heart Circ 594 Physiol 316: H734-H742, 2019.

Matsuo S, Matsumoto T, Takashima H, Ohira N, Yamane T, Yasuda Y, 595 31. 596 Tarutani Y, and Horie M. The relationship between flow-mediated brachial artery 597 vasodilation and coronary vasomotor responses to bradykinin: comparison with those to 598 acetylcholine. J Cardiovasc Pharmacol 44: 164-170, 2004. 599 Nardone M, Miner S, McCarthy M, C.I. A, and H E. Noninvasive Microvascular 32. 600 Indices Reveal Peripheral Vascular Abnormalities in Patients With Suspected Coronary 601 Microvascular Dysfunction. *Canadian Journal of Cardiology* 36: 1289-1297, 2020. 602 33. Ohori T, Nozawa T, Ihori H, Shida T, Sobajima M, Matsuki A, Yasumura S, and 603 Inoue H. Effect of repeated sauna treatment on exercise tolerance and endothelial function in 604 patients with chronic heart failure. Am J Cardiol 109: 100-104, 2012. 605 Padilla J, Johnson BD, Newcomer SC, Wilhite DP, Mickleborough TD, Fly AD, 34. 606 Mather KJ, and Wallace JP. Normalization of flow-mediated dilation to shear stress area 607 under the curve eliminates the impact of variable hyperemic stimulus. Cardiovascular ultrasound 6: 44, 2008. 608 609 35. Padilla J, Young CN, Simmons GH, Deo SH, Newcomer SC, Sullivan JP, 610 Laughlin MH, and Fadel PJ. Increased muscle sympathetic nerve activity acutely alters 611 conduit artery shear rate patterns. American Journal of Physiology-Heart and Circulatory 612 *Physiology* 298: H1128-H1135, 2010. 613 36. Pyke KE, Hartnett JA, and Tschakovsky ME. Are the dynamic response 614 characteristics of brachial artery flow-mediated dilation sensitive to the magnitude of increase 615 in shear stimulus? Journal of applied physiology 105: 282-292, 2008. 616 Rakobowchuk M, Harris E, Taylor A, Baliga V, Cubbon RM, Rossiter HB, and 37. 617 Birch KM. Heavy and moderate interval exercise training alters low-flow-mediated 618 constriction but does not increase circulating progenitor cells in healthy humans. 619 Experimental physiology 97: 375-385, 2012. 620 Ramanathan NL. A New Weighting System for Mean Surface Temperature of the 38. 621 Human Body. J Appl Physiol 19: 531-533, 1964. 622 39. Razminia M, Trivedi A, Molnar J, Elbzour M, Guerrero M, Salem Y, Ahmed A, 623 Khosla S, and Lubell DL. Validation of a new formula for mean arterial pressure 624 calculation: the new formula is superior to the standard formula. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv 625 63: 419-425, 2004. 626 40. Spieker LE, Lüscher TF, and Noll G. ETA receptors mediate vasoconstriction of 627 large conduit arteries during reduced flow in humans. Journal of cardiovascular 628 pharmacology 42: 315-318, 2003. 629 41. Takase B, Hamabe A, Satomura K, Akima T, Uehata A, Ohsuzu F, Ishihara M, 630 and Kurita A. Close relationship between the vasodilator response to acetylcholine in the 631 brachial and coronary artery in suspected coronary artery disease. Int J Cardiol 105: 58-66, 632 2005. 633 42. Tarutani Y, Matsumoto T, Takashima H, Yamane T, and Horie M. Brachial 634 artery flow-mediated vasodilation is correlated with coronary vasomotor and fibrinolytic 635 responses induced by bradykinin. Hypertens Res 28: 59-66, 2005. 636 43. Teixeira AL, Padilla J, and Vianna LC. Impaired popliteal artery flow-mediated 637 dilation caused by reduced daily physical activity is prevented by increased shear stress. J 638 Appl Physiol (1985) 123: 49-54, 2017. 639 Thijssen DH, Atkinson CL, Ono K, Sprung VS, Spence AL, Pugh CJ, and Green 44. 640 DJ. Sympathetic nervous system activation, arterial shear rate, and flow-mediated dilation. 641 Journal of Applied Physiology 116: 1300-1307, 2014. 642 Thijssen DH, Black MA, Pyke KE, Padilla J, Atkinson G, Harris RA, Parker B, 45. 643 Widlansky ME, Tschakovsky ME, and Green DJ. Assessment of flow-mediated dilation

644 in humans: a methodological and physiological guideline. American Journal of Physiology-645 Heart and Circulatory Physiology 300: H2-H12, 2011. Thijssen DH, Dawson EA, Black MA, Hopman MT, Cable NT, and Green DJ. 646 46. 647 Heterogeneity in conduit artery function in humans: impact of arterial size. Am J Physiol 648 Heart Circ Physiol 295: H1927-1934, 2008. 649 Thijssen DH, Dawson EA, Tinken TM, Cable NT, and Green DJ. Retrograde flow 47. 650 and shear rate acutely impair endothelial function in humans. *Hypertension* 53: 986-992, 651 2009. 652 48. Thijssen DHJ, Bruno RM, van Mil A, Holder SM, Faita F, Greyling A, Zock PL, 653 Taddei S, Deanfield JE, Luscher T, Green DJ, and Ghiadoni L. Expert consensus and 654 evidence-based recommendations for the assessment of flow-mediated dilation in humans. 655 Eur Heart J 40: 2534-2547, 2019. 656 Topper JN, Cai J, Falb D, and Gimbrone MA. Identification of vascular 49. 657 endothelial genes differentially responsive to fluid mechanical stimuli: cyclooxygenase-2, manganese superoxide dismutase, and endothelial cell nitric oxide synthase are selectively 658 659 up-regulated by steady laminar shear stress. Proceedings of the National Academy of 660 Sciences 93: 10417-10422, 1996. 661 50. Topper JN, and Gimbrone Jr MA. Blood flow and vascular gene expression: fluid 662 shear stress as a modulator of endothelial phenotype. Molecular medicine today 5: 40-46, 663 1999. 664 Watts K, Beye P, Siafarikas A, Davis EA, Jones TW, O'Driscoll G, and Green 51. 665 DJ. Exercise training normalizes vascular dysfunction and improves central adiposity in 666 obese adolescents. Journal of the American College of Cardiology 43: 1823-1827, 2004. 667 Weissgerber TL, Davies GA, and Tschakovsky ME. Low flow-mediated 52. 668 constriction occurs in the radial but not the brachial artery in healthy pregnant and 669 nonpregnant women. J Appl Physiol (1985) 108: 1097-1105, 2010. 670 Wilhelm EN, González-Alonso J, Chiesa ST, Trangmar SJ, Kalsi KK, and 53. 671 **Rakobowchuk MJPR**. Whole-body heat stress and exercise stimulate the appearance of 672 platelet microvesicles in plasma with limited influence of vascular shear stress. 5: e13496, 673 2017. 674 54. Ziegler T, Bouzourene K, Harrison VJ, Brunner HR, and Havoz D. Influence of 675 oscillatory and unidirectional flow environments on the expression of endothelin and nitric 676 oxide synthase in cultured endothelial cells. Arteriosclerosis, thrombosis, and vascular 677 biology 18: 686-692, 1998. 678

# **FIGURES**



# Figure 1



Figure 2



# Figure 3.



Figure 4.



Figure 5.