

Please cite the Published Version

Khan, AA, Junejo, RT, Alsharari, R, Thomas, GN, Fisher, JP and Lip, GYH (2021) A greater burden of atrial fibrillation is associated with worse endothelial dysfunction in hypertension. Journal of Human Hypertension, 35 (8). pp. 667-677. ISSN 0950-9240

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41371-020-0383-8

Publisher: Springer Nature [academic journals on nature.com]

Version: Accepted Version

Downloaded from: https://e-space.mmu.ac.uk/626839/

Usage rights: O In Copyright

Additional Information: This is an Author Accepted Manuscript of an article published in Journal of Human Hypertension by Springer.

Enquiries:

If you have questions about this document, contact openresearch@mmu.ac.uk. Please include the URL of the record in e-space. If you believe that your, or a third party's rights have been compromised through this document please see our Take Down policy (available from https://www.mmu.ac.uk/library/using-the-library/policies-and-guidelines)

1 2	A greater burden of atrial fibrillation is associated with worse endothelial dysfunction in hypertension				
3	Short tit	le: Endothelial function in AF and hypertension			
4	Ahsan A Khan, MRCP ¹				
5	Rehan T Junejo, PhD ^{2,3}				
6	Reem Alsharari, MSc ⁴				
7	Graham N Thomas, PhD ¹ *				
8	James P Fisher, PhD ⁵ *				
9	Gregory Y.H. Lip, MD ^{3,6} *				
10	1 Institute of Applied	Health Research University of Birmingham United Kingdom			
11	2 School of Sport Eve	preise and Pehabilitation Sciences, College of Life and			
11	2. School of Sport, Exe	ncos University of Pirmingham United Kingdom			
12	Environmental Sciences, University of Birmingham, United Kingdom				
15	3. Liverpool Centre for Cardiovascular Science, Institute of Ageing and Chronic Disease,				
14	University of Liverp				
15	4. Institute of Cardiov	ascular Sciences, University of Birmingham, United Kingdom			
16	5. Department of Physiology, Faculty of Medical and Health Sciences, University of				
17	Auckland, New Zea	and			
18	6. Aalborg Thrombosi	s Research Unit, Department of Clinical Medicine, Faculty of			
19	Health, Aalborg Uni	versity, Denmark			
20	*Joint senior authors				
21	Correspondence to:				
22	Professor Gregory Lip	gregory.lip@liverpool.ac.uk			
23	Full mailing address	University of Liverpool, William Henry Duncan Building, 6 West			
24		Derby Street, Liverpool, L7 8TX			
25	Telephone number	0151 794 9020			
26	Word count: 4224 (not including abstract, figures, tables and references)				

28 Abstract

29

30 Atrial fibrillation (AF) and hypertension often co-exist and both are associated with 31 endothelial dysfunction. We hypothesised that AF would further worsen endothelium-32 dependent flow-mediated dilatation (FMD) in hypertension patients compared to those 33 without AF. In a cross-sectional comparison, we measured brachial artery diameter at rest 34 and during reactive hyperaemia following 5 minutes of arterial occlusion in two patient 35 groups: AF (and hypertension) (n = 61) and hypertension control groups (n = 33). The AF 36 (and hypertension) subgroups: permanent AF (n = 30) and paroxysmal AF (n = 31) were also 37 assessed. The permanent AF patients received heart rate and blood pressure (BP) control 38 optimisation and were then followed up after eight weeks for repeat FMD testing. There 39 was no significant difference in FMD between AF (and hypertension) group and 40 hypertension control group (4.6%, 95% Cl [2.6 – 5.9%] vs 2.6%, 95% Cl [1.9 – 5.3%]; p=0.25). 41 There was a significant difference in FMD between permanent AF and paroxysmal AF groups 42 (3.1%, 95% CI [2.3 – 4.8%] vs 5.9%, 95% CI [4.0 – 8.1%]; p=0.02). Endothelium-dependent 43 FMD response showed a non-significant improvement trend following eight weeks of heart 44 rate and BP optimisation (3.1%, 95% CI [2.3 – 4.8%] (baseline) vs 5.2%, 95% CI [3.9 – 6.5%] 45 (follow up), p=0.09). Presence of AF generally does not incrementally worsen endothelial 46 dysfunction in hypertension patients, although the duration and frequency of AF (paroxysmal AF to permanent AF) does lead to worsening endothelial function. Eight weeks 47 48 of BP optimisation did not significantly improve endothelial dysfunction as measured by 49 FMD.

Page **3** of **26**

51 Introduction

52 Atrial fibrillation (AF) is associated with increased morbidity including stroke, heart failure, thromboembolic complications and high mortality.¹ Hypertension accounts for more cases 53 54 of AF than other risk factors, increasing the risk of AF two-fold.² In the Framingham study, 55 for example, hypertension heralded an excess risk of AF by 50% in males and 40% in 56 females.³ Among individuals with a confirmed diagnosis of AF, hypertension is present in about 60% to 80% of these patients.⁴ These 2 conditions often co-exist in the same patient, 57 58 and their prevalence is increasing globally. It is widely perceived that the combination of 59 these conditions confers a worse prognosis than either alone.⁵

60 Beat to beat variation in blood flow dynamics during AF has been related to presence of 61 endothelial dysfunction.⁶ It is well established that the endothelium plays a fundamental 62 role in the regulation of vascular tone by releasing a variety of vasodilatory substances, 63 particularly nitric oxide (NO). NO modulates vascular smooth muscle tone by exerting its 64 effects at a cellular level. A key consequence of normal endothelial function in vivo is the 65 ability to release NO in response to physiological stimuli, such as increased flow, reflecting 66 endothelial flow-mediated dilatation (FMD).⁷

67 Impaired FMD is associated with cardiovascular risk factors and provides important 68 prognostic information. FMD measurement using high-resolution ultrasound has become a 69 reliable and reproducible technique for assessment of endothelial dysfunction.⁸ When blood 70 flow through a vessel increases, the resultant increase in shear stress on the vascular 71 endothelium causes endothelium-dependent vasodilation. The magnitude of this 72 vasodilatory response can be used as an index of endothelial function.

Page **4** of **26**

Several studies have previously shown impaired FMD as a marker of endothelial dysfunction in patients with various atherosclerotic risk factors, including advanced age, hypertension, hypercholesterolaemia, diabetes mellitus, tobacco use and postmenopausal status.⁹⁻¹² FMD is also found to be impaired in patients with AF.¹³⁻¹⁶ Since AF and hypertension, commonly co-exist, we hypothesised that endothelium-dependent FMD will be reduced in patients with AF (and hypertension) compared to hypertensive controls and this may partly explain the poor prognosis in such patients.

We therefore aimed to assess whether presence of AF leads to worsening of endothelial dysfunction in hypertensive patients through assessment by FMD, to assess whether there are any differences in FMD between permanent AF and paroxysmal AF, and lastly whether improvement in blood pressure (BP) control can lead to improvement in FMD.

84 Methods

85 Participants were provided with detailed information sheets, and written informed consent 86 was obtained from all participants, in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (2013). 87 Eligible participants underwent screening against inclusion and exclusion criteria before 88 being invited to take part in the study (see supplementary material). The study was 89 approved by the Health Research Authority (HRA) and National Research and Ethics Service 90 (NREC) Committee London – Camden & Kings Cross (18/LO/1064). Anonymized data and 91 materials have been made publicly available at the Harvard Dataverse and can be accessed 92 at https://doi.org/10.7910/DVN/QKG7DL.

A total of 94 participants were recruited from the atrial fibrillation and hypertension
 services at Sandwell and West Birmingham Hospitals NHS Trust between October 2018 –

95 March 2019. We recruited 2 groups of patients: AF (and hypertension) (n = 61) and 96 hypertension control (n = 33). Patients with AF were stable on rate control and antithrombotic medication. The AF (and hypertension) group was further subdivided into 97 98 permanent AF (n = 30) and paroxysmal AF (n = 31). Permanent AF was defined as an episode 99 of AF in which efforts to restore normal sinus rhythm had either failed or been abandoned. 100 Paroxysmal AF was defined as an episode of AF that terminates spontaneously or with 101 intervention in less than seven days. The hypertension control group included patients with 102 hypertension (defined as previous diagnosis of hypertension or clinic BP of \geq 140/90 mmHg) 103 but not AF. These patients had additional cardiovascular risk factors similar to the other two 104 AF groups and acted as the control group.

105 Initially, a cross-sectional age and clinical characteristics-matched comparison of the two 106 main groups, AF (and hypertension) versus hypertension control was carried out. This was 107 followed by the two subgroups of AF (and hypertension) group. Lastly, the patient group 108 with permanent AF (and hypertension) (n = 30) were studied longitudinally with a single 109 follow-up interval of 8 weeks duration following optimisation of their heart rate (HR) and BP 110 medication. The medication optimisation was carried out by a single clinician with 111 experience in managing these conditions and involved either increasing the dosage of 112 existing cardiovascular medication or addition of a new medication (for which the 113 prescription was provided) according to participants' needs, allergy status, known 114 contraindications and clinical indication. These patients underwent the same measurements 115 as at their first visit.

Page 6 of 26

117 Experimental protocol

118 Participants were expected to fast from food, water, caffeine and withhold their 119 cardiovascular medications, except anticoagulation, for at least 12 hours prior to their 120 appointment. They were advised to refrain from smoking for at least 4 hours, physical 121 exercise for 12 hours and drinking alcohol for at least 24 hours prior to their appointment. 122 At the experimental appointment, a detailed medical history was taken from the 123 participants including medications history and a physical examination carried out. This 124 included anthropometric measurements such as height and weight to determine BMI 125 (weight/height²; kg/m²). An ECG was performed on all participants to determine rhythm.

Baseline blood samples to test for full blood count, renal, liver and thyroid function, fasting glucose, lipid, and clotting profile, were taken from participants from their left antecubital fossa if they have not had these tests taken within 6 months of their study appointment. A full transthoracic echocardiogram study was performed if a participant did not have a recent echocardiogram. Subsequent measurements were performed in a temperature-controlled room under uniform conditions with participants resting quietly in the supine position on a medical examination couch.

133 <u>Measurements</u>

Three serial BP readings were taken non-invasively from the left brachial artery using an automated sphygmomanometer over 5 minutes to determine an average. Vascular function was assessed by measuring brachial artery blood flow velocity and diameter. The measurements were obtained from the right arm positioned at heart level by Doppler ultrasound (CX50 CompactXtreme; Philips, Amsterdam, Netherlands) by a single

Page **7** of **26**

139 experimenter, using a 10-MHz multi-frequency linear-array transducer. B-mode imaging was 140 used to measure arterial diameter, and peak blood velocity was simultaneously measured 141 using the pulse-wave mode. Measurements were made in accordance with recent technical recommendations.¹⁷ The ultrasound machine was connected via a HDMI AV.io (Epiphan 142 143 Video Systems Inc, California, USA) video grabber to a laptop with a dedicated FMD 144 software, QUIPU Cardiovascular Suite (Quipu srl, Pisa, Italy) with edge-detection capability 145 and real-time processing and recording of B-mode ultrasound image sequence, removing the need for ECG gating.¹⁸ This software utilises image based automated edge detection and 146 147 wall tracking algorithms working independently of investigator influence. This system has been used and validated in other studies involving human participants.^{18, 19} 148

149 Participants lay supine on the couch with their right arm extended out and had a narrow 150 inflatable cuff (5-cm width; Hokanson, Bellevue, WA) placed 5 – 7 cm distal to the medial 151 epicondyle. The arm was positioned in a comfortable position. The brachial artery was imaged 10-15 cm proximal to the medial epicondyle at 60° insonation angle in the 152 153 longitudinal plane. Duplex imaging was used to obtain a B-mode image of vessel diameter 154 and pulse-wave mode for peak blood velocity. Ultrasound measurements were made in accordance with technical recommendations.¹⁷ Following 1 minute of baseline diameter 155 156 recording, the arterial occlusion cuff was inflated to 50 mmHg above systolic BP for 5 157 minutes. Following this, the cuff was rapidly deflated and arterial image recording continued 158 for further 2 minutes. Recordings were screen captured and stored as video files and off-line 159 analysis carried out with automated edge detection and wall tracking software 160 (Cardiovascular Suite version 3.4.1; FMD Studio, Pisa, Italy).

Page **8** of **26**

162 Data analysis

163 Patients were matched for age and clinical characteristics to reduce chances of 164 confounders. Body mass index (BMI) was expressed as the ratio of the participants' weight 165 and their height squared. Digitally recorded data were extracted in an anonymized manner. 166 Mean arterial pressure (MAP) was the mean blood pressure over each cardiac cycle. 167 Brachial artery FMD was taken as the maximal change in brachial artery diameter following 168 cuff deflation. The time to peak diameter was obtained between the cuff deflation and the 169 maximal artery dilation, and the time to peak blood flow (reactive hyperaemia) was 170 obtained between cuff deflation and maximal flow velocity. Shear rate (positive shear rate 171 area to peak) was calculated as an integral between the cuff deflation and the maximal 172 artery dilation. FMD was expressed as absolute (mm) and relative change (%) in diameter. 173 Based on recent guidelines, covariate-corrected FMD was presented, adjusting for 174 differences in baseline diameter between the two groups using analysis of covariance (ANCOVA).²⁰ 175

176 Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics are presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD) or median with interquartile range, as appropriate for continuous variables. Categorical variables are expressed as numbers and percentages. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS software (version 26.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois). Continuous variables were tested for normality using the Shapiro-Wilk test. If passed, data was analysed using independent Student's t-test between the two groups. Data found to be not normally distributed were analysed with Mann-Whitney U test. For longitudinal comparison, continuous variables

Page **9** of **26**

184 were tested for normality using the Shapiro-Wilk test. If passed, data was analysed using 185 Student's paired t-test. Data found to be not normally distributed were analysed with 186 Wilcoxon Signed Rank test. A p value of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. 187 Associations between FMD and co-variates were assessed before and after adjustment for 188 potential confounders (age, sex, BMI) using linear regression analysis.

189 To test specific hypothesis 1 ("Patients with AF and hypertension will have worse 190 parameters of vascular function compared to hypertension control group"), we recruited 94 191 patients in total, split between 2 groups (a) AF and hypertension (b) hypertension control. 192 This part of the study was powered based on independent t-test, comparing the flow-193 mediated dilatation values across the two groups. Skalidis et al reported a mean FMD of 8.1 194 (standard deviation (SD) = 3.6) in a pre-treatment (i.e. cardioversion) AF group.⁶ Assuming 195 our SD is similar, the minimum sample size was computed as 18 patients per group at 90% 196 power, 5% alpha and effect size of 1.14.

197 To test specific hypothesis 2 ("Patients with permanent AF and hypertension will have 198 worse parameters of vascular function compared to patients with paroxysmal AF and 199 hypertension"), we recruited 61 patients in total, split between the 2 groups. This part of 200 the study was powered based on an independent t-test, assessing the difference in FMD 201 between permanent AF and paroxysmal AF. Mazaris *et al* reported a mean FMD of 4.09 (SD 202 = 1.67) in permanent AF group compared to mean FMD of 6.83 (SD = 1.38) in paroxysmal AF 203 group.¹⁶ Assuming our SD is similar, the minimum sample size was computed as 8 patients 204 per group at 90% power, 5% alpha and effect size of 1.79.

205 To test specific hypothesis 3 ("Eight weeks of intensive anti-hypertensive and 206 anticoagulation therapy will improve vascular function in patients with permanent AF and 207 hypertension") we recruited 30 patients and tested them before and after intensification of 208 their antihypertensive and anticoagulation treatment. This part of the study was powered 209 based on a paired t-test, assessing the change in flow mediated dilation from pre- to post-210 treatment. It was assumed that the mean pre- intervention flow mediated dilation would be 8.1 (SD=3.6), as per Skalidis et al, and that the effect size would be 1.06.⁶ If this is the case, 211 212 then the minimum number of patients required is 12 at 90% power and 5% alpha.

213 Results

214 <u>Matched AF (and hypertension) group vs matched hypertension control group</u>

215 Participants from AF (and hypertension) group and hypertension control group were 216 matched for age and clinical characteristics (see table 1). Participants' medication history is 217 displayed in figure 1. There were no significant differences in age, sex, height, weight and 218 BMI. Past medical history of all participants between the groups was similar except that 219 participants in hypertension control group had significantly more patients with a 220 background of chronic kidney disease (CKD) (p = 0.01). The CHA₂DS₂-VASc score and HAS-221 BLED score were similar between the two groups. The mean heart rate was significantly 222 lower in the hypertension control group (p = 0.02). There were no significant differences in 223 mean blood pressure (systolic and diastolic) between the two groups, baseline glycaemia 224 control (HBA1c), kidney function (creatinine clearance) and left ventricular ejection fraction 225 (EF (%)).

Page **11** of **26**

226 Baseline diameter of brachial artery was significantly smaller in the AF (and hypertension) 227 group compared to hypertension control group (4.6 mm, 95% confidence interval (CI) [4.4 – 228 4.9 mm] vs 5.2 mm, 95% CI [4.8 – 5.6 mm]; p = 0.02) (see table 2). Following 5 minutes of 229 forearm ischaemia, there was no significant difference in absolute FMD between AF (and 230 hypertension) group and hypertension control group (0.2 mm, 95% CI [0.1 - 0.3 mm] vs 0.2 231 mm, 95% CI [0.1 - 0.3 mm]; p = 0.61) or FMD percentage (4.6%, 95% CI [2.6 - 5.9%] vs 2.6%, 232 95% CI [1.9 - 5.3%]; p = 0.25) respectively. The FMD (%) means were adjusted for baseline 233 diameter and showed no significant difference between the two groups (4.9%, 95% CI [3.8 – 234 6.0%] (AF (and hypertension) group) vs 4.3%, 95% CI [2.8 – 5.9%] (hypertension control 235 group), p = 0.56).

The peak diameter was significantly different between the two groups (4.9 mm, 95% CI [4.6 -5.2 mm] (AF (and hypertension) group) vs 5.4 mm, 95% CI [5.0 – 5.8 mm] (hypertension control group); p = 0.03). There were no significant differences in time to peak diameter and shear rate between the two groups (p = 0.07 and p = 0.41 respectively). No variables were identified on univariate and stepwise multivariate analysis as independent predictors of reduced FMD.

242 Permanent AF (and hypertension) vs PAF (and hypertension) groups

Participants in the two AF subgroups (permanent AF vs paroxysmal AF) were well matched
for age, sex, clinical characteristics including height, weight, BMI, mean blood pressure,
HBA1c, creatinine clearance and left ventricular EF (%) (see table 3). Participants'
medication history is displayed in figure 1. There was a significantly higher incidence of

Page **12** of **26**

ischaemic heart disease in paroxysmal AF group (p<0.001) and mean heart rate was found to be significantly slower in participants in paroxysmal AF group (p = 0.003).

249 On FMD measurement, there were no significant difference in baseline diameter between 250 the two groups (permanent AF (4.5 mm, 95% CI [4.2 – 5.0 mm]) vs paroxysmal AF (4.8 mm, 251 95% CI [4.6 – 5.1 mm]) p = 0.67) (see table 4). Following 5 minutes of forearm ischaemia, 252 there was a significant difference in absolute FMD change between permanent AF and 253 paroxysmal AF (0.1 mm, 95% CI [0.1 – 0.2 mm] vs 0.3 mm, 95% CI [0.2 – 0.4 mm]; p = 0.01 254 respectively). There was also a significant difference in FMD percentage between the two 255 groups (3.1%, 95% CI [2.3 – 4.8%] (permanent AF) vs 5.9%, 95% CI [4.0 – 8.1%] (paroxysmal 256 AF); p = 0.02). This difference persisted with correction for baseline diameter (3.9%, 95% CI 257 [2.8 – 5.0%] (permanent AF) vs 5.9%, 95% CI [4.8 – 7.0%] (paroxysmal AF); p = 0.01).

There was no significant difference in peak diameter (p = 0.49), time to peak diameter (p = 0.23) and shear rate (p = 0.40) between the two groups. Presence of permanent AF (Spearman's rho 0.295; p = 0.02) and ischaemic heart disease (Spearman's rho 0.280; p = 0.03) were identified as independent predictors of reduced FMD on univariate analysis (p = 0.03) but only permanent AF was identified as an independent predictor of reduced FMD on stepwise multivariate analysis (R² 0.090; F 5.855; p = 0.02).

264 <u>Permanent AF (and hypertension) group – longitudinal comparison</u>

Following optimisation of HR and BP medication, patients with permanent AF (and hypertension) were followed up after eight weeks and FMD repeated (see table 5). There was significant improvement in mean heart rate (77 beats per minute (bpm) \pm 18 (baseline) vs 72 bpm \pm 17 (follow up), p = 0.01), systolic BP (140 mmHg [128 – 148] (baseline) vs 131

Page **13** of **26**

269 mmHg [122 – 146] (follow up), p = 0.03), diastolic BP (81 mmHg \pm 13 (baseline) vs 77 mmHg 270 \pm 12 (follow up), p = 0.02) and mean arterial pressure (MAP) (100 mmHg \pm 9 (baseline) vs 97 271 mmHg \pm 13 (follow up), p = 0.01).

272 Both groups had a similar baseline brachial artery diameter (p = 0.34). Endothelium-273 dependent FMD response was better following eight weeks of HR and BP optimisation but 274 this 68% relative improvement did not reach statistical significance (3.1%, 95% CI [2.3 – 275 4.8%] (baseline) vs 5.2%, 95% CI [3.9 – 6.5%] (follow up), p = 0.09). The FMD (%) means 276 were adjusted for baseline diameter and showed no significant difference between the two 277 groups (4.0%, 95% CI [3.0 – 4.9%] (baseline) vs 5.1%, 95% CI [4.2 – 6.1%] (follow up), p = 278 0.09). The difference was also not significant in absolute change in diameter (0.14 mm, 95% 279 CI [0.11 – 0.25 mm] (baseline) vs 0.20 mm, 95% CI [0.17 – 0.28 mm] (follow up), p = 0.15). 280 The time to peak diameter, peak diameter and shear rate stimulus were similar between the 281 two groups (table 5). No variables were identified on univariate or stepwise multivariate 282 analysis as independent predictors of reduced FMD.

283 **Discussion**

This is the first study investigating whether the presence of AF worsens the endothelial dysfunction seen in patients with hypertension. The results are consistent with other studies looking at FMD in hypertension and AF individually and confirms that endothelial dysfunction is present.^{9, 13-16, 21} Our findings extend previous work by demonstrating that the presence of AF generally does not incrementally worsen endothelial dysfunction, nor was AF an independent predictor of endothelial dysfunction on multivariate analysis. However, permanent AF compared to paroxysmal AF does have significantly worse FMD parameters

Page 14 of 26

with permanent AF being an independent predictor on multivariate analysis. Lastly, we did
not find any significant improvement in FMD following 8 weeks of HR and BP optimisation in
permanent AF and hypertension patients.

294 There are potentially several reasons that may explain the lack of differences seen between 295 AF (and hypertension) and hypertension control group in our study. These can be broadly 296 categorised into oxidative stress, inflammation and the role of endothelial nitric oxide 297 synthase (eNOS). Increase in systemic oxidative stress is thought to play a part in endothelial 298 dysfunction seen in patients with hypertension, whereas a reduction has been shown to 299 reverse endothelial dysfunction.²² Risk factors for AF are similar to those of atherosclerosis 300 and hypertension, diseases known to be perpetuated by oxidative stress. This can explain 301 why the addition of AF does not significantly worsen endothelial dysfunction seen in 302 patients with hypertension.

303 Inflammation has also been implicated in the pathophysiology of hypertension as well as initiation and perpetuation of AF and AF-related adverse effects.^{23, 24} Endothelial 304 dysfunction seen in hypertension relates to local vascular inflammation and systemic 305 inflammation.²⁵ Also, inflammation contributes to the pathophysiology of AF, both directly 306 and through AF-promoting cardiovascular conditions that have an inflammatory aetiology.²⁶ 307 308 FMD has been shown to be inversely associated with serum C-reactive protein (CRP) levels in chronic AF patients, implying disruption by inflammation.²⁷ Since inflammation plays an 309 310 important role in causing endothelial dysfunction in both conditions, it is perhaps 311 unsurprising that we did not see a significant difference in the FMD response between the 312 groups, suggesting that endothelial perturbation seen in AF may reflect underlying 313 comorbidities rather than AF per se. Interestingly, endothelial dysfunction itself enhances

Page 15 of 26

oxidative stress and leads to increase in recruitment of proinflammatory agents promoting a
 vicious cycle.²⁸ The complex interplay involving oxidative stress and inflammation seen in
 both conditions is summarised in figure 2.

317 eNOS, a key regulator of vascular tone is found to be reduced or dysfunctional in both hypertension and AF.^{29, 30} eNOS produces NO to mediate relaxation of blood vessels and 318 319 preservation of vascular function. When eNOS is deprived of its critical cofactor 320 tetrahydrobiopterin or its substrate L-arginine, it results in synthesis of large volumes of 321 reactive oxygen species such as peroxynitrite (superoxide) instead of NO, leading to nitric 322 oxide synthase (NOS) uncoupling. Superoxide production by uncoupled eNOS further 323 sustains oxidative stress in the vasculature, resulting in endothelial dysfunction, impaired endothelium-dependent vasorelaxation and elevated BP.²⁹ This inadvertently leads to tissue 324 325 damage that promotes pathological remodelling of the myocardium contributing to initiation and propagation of AF.³¹ Since the aetiology and pathophysiology of endothelial 326 327 dysfunction are similar in both hypertension and AF, this supports our finding that AF and 328 hypertension had similar effect on the FMD with no significant difference seen between the 329 two groups. Our study also suggests that AF, as opposed to hypertension, is perhaps the 330 dominant condition responsible for endothelial dysfunction in these patients as permanent 331 AF group showed a worse FMD compared to paroxysmal AF group and 8 weeks of intensive 332 hypertensive therapy revealed a non-significant improvement trend in FMD.

Interestingly, we were able to see a significant difference in FMD between permanent AF and paroxysmal AF groups with more impaired FMD noted in permanent AF group. This suggests that frequency and duration of AF episode or type of AF may be important in progression of endothelial dysfunction. Our findings are similar to other studies showing

Page **16** of **26**

that patients with permanent AF have worse FMD compared to patients with paroxysmal AF.^{15, 16, 32} However, unlike previous studies, our study included patients with AF and hypertension, which has not been looked at before.

340 Although, our study did show that improvement in HR and BP can lead to improvement in 341 FMD in hypertensive patients despite the presence of AF, however this 68% improvement 342 did not reach statistical significance (p = 0.09). These results are similar to the study 343 performed by Modena and colleagues who looked at hypertensive patients (without AF) and 344 showed that 6 month of BP optimisation led to improvement in FMD and was associated with a more favourable prognosis.³³ Thus, longer-term improvement in FMD may have a 345 prognostic implication.³⁴ Furthermore, it supports previous work showing modulation of 346 endothelial function is possible and that endothelial dysfunction is a reversible condition.²⁵ 347

348 Our study has several important clinical implications. We have been able to show that 349 endothelial dysfunction is present in patients with AF and hypertension. This may explain 350 the increased risk of stroke and heart attack in these patients as endothelial function may 351 be involved in the pathophysiology of these conditions, in addition to the prothrombotic 352 state seen in AF. We have been able to show that increased frequency and duration of AF 353 leads to worsening of endothelial function and thus these patients may benefit from closer 354 monitoring and perhaps consideration for AF ablation. We have also shown that 355 improvement in HR and BP leads to improvement in FMD, although it was not significant in 356 our study. Nevertheless, it does suggest that endothelial function may be a reversible 357 condition if risk factors such as blood pressure are controlled and optimised.

Page **17** of **26**

359 Strengths and limitations

360 We did not use nitrate to assess for endothelium-independent vasodilation as this has been studied previously in both AF and hypertension.^{9, 13, 15, 21} Furthermore, use of intra-arterial 361 362 acetylcholine would have been advantageous to investigate brachial artery endothelial function but FMD is a well established surrogate.¹⁷ Given the widespread prevalence of AF 363 364 in hypertensive patients, the inclusion of separate hypertension groups with and without 365 AF, is a strength of our study. There have been limited studies looking at vascular function in 366 patients with AF arrhythmia and therefore this makes our study unique. Participants in our 367 group were well-matched for age, sex composition, comorbidities, CHA2DS2-VASc score, 368 HAS-BLED score, BMI, BP, glycaemic control and LV systolic function. Nonetheless, the 369 hypertension control group did have a significantly higher number of patients with CKD 370 which may have been a source of bias. We accommodated for this and other potential 371 confounders by utilisation of linear regression analysis. The longitudinal comparison of 372 permanent AF (and hypertension) group in assessing FMD response to intervention has not 373 been looked at before. The utilisation of edge detection software, assessment of shear rate 374 and correcting for differences in group baseline diameters shows robustness of our 375 methodological approach.

In contrast, our study has some limitations. Endothelial function was examined using the well-established brachial artery flow mediated dilatation technique in accordance with recent technical recommendations, however we acknowledge that this may not provide an optimal assessment of endothelial dysfunction.¹⁷ Second, it would have been useful to compare our findings with a healthy control group and/or a group with AF but no hypertension as the relation between hypertension and AF is bi-univocal. Third, the use of

Page **18** of **26**

382 anti-hypertensives and other concomitant medications may have influenced endothelial 383 function long term which cannot be excluded. Additionally, whilst we were able to show 384 reduction in HR and BP in our longitudinal study, the short duration of 8 weeks may not be 385 enough to reveal significant improvement in endothelial function. Fourth, we did not 386 measure other potential causes for endothelial dysfunction such as changes in free fatty 387 acids, inflammatory cytokines, inflammatory markers such as c-reactive protein (CRP), nitric 388 oxide synthase expression and endothelin. However, this real world cohort has ecological 389 validity and makes our observations more representative of the clinic. Future studies should 390 look at whether and how endothelial function progresses in patients with AF over time and 391 compare it to patients with hypertension to assess if there are any differences.

392 Conclusions

The presence of AF generally does not incrementally worsen endothelial dysfunction in hypertension, nor was AF an independent predictor of endothelial dysfunction on multivariate analysis. However, duration and frequency of AF leads to worsening endothelial function as demonstrated in our study. Eight weeks of BP optimisation did not give a significant improvement in endothelial dysfunction as measured by FMD.

399 <u>Summary Table</u>

What is known about topic?

- Atrial fibrillation and hypertension commonly co-exist and the combination of these two conditions confers a worse prognosis than either alone.
- Endothelial dysfunction is present in both atrial fibrillation and hypertension.
- Flow-mediated dilatation is a reliable tool to assess endothelial function.

What this study adds?

- Presence of AF generally does not incrementally worsen endothelial dysfunction in hypertension patients
- The duration and frequency of AF (paroxysmal AF to permanent AF) does lead to worsening endothelial function.
- There is potential for endothelial dysfunction to improve following optimisation of BP suggesting modulation of endothelial function is possible in patients with permanent AF and hypertension.

401 Acknowledgements

402 The time and effort expended by all the participants is greatly appreciated.

403 **Conflict of Interest**

- 404 Authors declare no conflict of interests for this article.
- 405 GYHL has served as a consultant for Bayer/Janssen, BMS/Pfizer, Biotronik, Medtronic,
- 406 Boehringer Ingelheim, Microlife and Daiichi-Sankyo; and a speaker for Bayer, BMS/Pfizer,
- 407 Medtronic, Boehringer Ingelheim, Microlife, Roche and Daiichi-Sankyo. No personal fees
- 408 received.

409 Sources of Funding

410 None

412 413	1. Kannel WB, Wolf PA, Benjamin EJ and Levy D. Prevalence, incidence, prognosis, and
414	predisposing conditions for atrial fibrillation: population-based estimates. Am J Cardiol.
415	1998; 82: 2n-9n.
416	2. Lau YF, Yiu KH, Siu CW and Tse HF. Hypertension and atrial fibrillation: epidemiology,
417	pathophysiology and therapeutic implications. J Hum Hypertens. 2012; 26: 563-9.
418	3. Benjamin EJ, Levy D, Vaziri SM, D'Agostino RB, Belanger AJ and Wolf PA. Independent risk
419	factors for atrial fibrillation in a population-based cohort. The Framingham Heart Study.
420	JAMA. 1994; 271: 840-4.
421	4. Nabauer M, Gerth A, Limbourg T, Schneider S, Oeff M, Kirchhof P, et al. The Registry of
422	the German Competence NETwork on Atrial Fibrillation: patient characteristics and initial
423	management. Europace. 2009; 11: 423-34.
424	5. Dzeshka MS, Shantsila A, Shantsila E and Lip GYH. Atrial Fibrillation and Hypertension.
425	Hypertension. 2017; 70: 854-861.
426	6. Skalidis EI, Zacharis EA, Tsetis DK, Pagonidis K, Chlouverakis G, Yarmenitis S, et al.
427	Endothelial Cell Function During Atrial Fibrillation and After Restoration of Sinus Rhythm.
428	Am J Cardiol. 2007; 99: 1258-1262.
429	7. Joannides R, Haefeli WE, Linder L, Richard V, Bakkali EH, Thuillez C, et al. Nitric oxide is
430	responsible for flow-dependent dilatation of human peripheral conduit arteries in vivo.
431	Circulation. 1995; 91: 1314-9.
432	8. Corretti MC, Anderson TJ, Benjamin EJ, Celermajer D, Charbonneau F, Creager MA, et al.
433	Guidelines for the ultrasound assessment of endothelial-dependent flow-mediated
434	vasodilation of the brachial artery. A report of the International Brachial Artery Reactivity
435	Task Force. 2002; 39: 257-265.

References

437	function with essential hypertension assessed by ultrasonography. Am Heart J. 1996; 132:
438	779-782.
439	10. Simons LA, Sullivan D, Simons J and Celermajer DS. Effects of atorvastatin monotherapy
440	and simvastatin plus cholestyramine on arterial endothelial function in patients with severe
441	primary hypercholesterolaemia. Atherosclerosis. 1998; 137: 197-203.
442	11. Lekakis J, Papamichael C, Anastasiou H, Alevizaki M, Desses N, Souvatzoglou A, et al.
443	Endothelial dysfunction of conduit arteries in insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus without
444	microalbuminuria. Cardiovasc Res. 1997; 34: 164-168.
445	12. Thomas GN, Chook P, Yip TW, Kwong SK, Chan TY, Qiao M, et al. Smoking without
446	exception adversely affects vascular structure and function in apparently healthy Chinese:
447	implications in global atherosclerosis prevention. Int J Cardiol. 2008; 128: 172-7.
448	13. Freestone B, Chong AY, Nuttall S and Lip GY. Impaired flow mediated dilatation as
449	evidence of endothelial dysfunction in chronic atrial fibrillation: relationship to plasma von
450	Willebrand factor and soluble E-selectin levels. Thromb Res. 2008; 122: 85-90.
451	14. Borschel CS, Rubsamen N, Ojeda FM, Wild PS, Hoffmann BA, Prochaska JH, et al.
452	Noninvasive peripheral vascular function and atrial fibrillation in the general population. J
453	Hypertens. 2019; 37: 928-934.
454	15. Komatsu T, Kunugita F, Ozawa M, Satoh Y, Yoshizawa R, Owada S, et al. Relationship
455	between Impairment of the Vascular Endothelial Function and the CHA2DS2-VASc Score in
456	Patients with Sinus Rhythm and Non-valvular Atrial Fibrillation. Intern Med. 2018; 57: 2131-
457	2139.

9. liyama K, Nagano M, Yo Y, Nagano N, Kamide K, Higaki J, et al. Impaired endothelial

- 458 16. Mazaris S. TD, Siasos G., Zisimos K., Oikonomou E., Kokkou E., Konsola T., Lazaros G.,
- 459 Chrysohoou C., Stefanadis C. The role of endothelial function on paroxysmal and chronic

460 atrial fibrillation. Circ J. 2014; 130.

461 17. Thijssen DHJ, Bruno RM, van Mil ACCM, Holder SM, Faita F, Greyling A, et al. Expert

462 consensus and evidence-based recommendations for the assessment of flow-mediated

- 463 dilation in humans. Eur Heart J. 2019; 40: 2534-2547.
- 464 18. Gemignani V, Bianchini E, Faita F, Giannarelli C, Plantinga Y, Ghiadoni L, et al. Ultrasound

465 measurement of the brachial artery flow-mediated dilation without ECG gating. Ultrasound

- 466 Med Biol. 2008; 34: 385-91.
- 467 19. Junejo RT, May S, Alsalahi S, Alali M, Ogoh S and Fisher JP. Cerebrovascular carbon
- 468 dioxide reactivity and flow-mediated dilation in young healthy South Asian and Caucasian
- 469 European men. American Journal of Physiology-Heart and Circulatory Physiology. 2020; 318:

470 H756-H763.

471 20. Atkinson G and Batterham AM. Allometric scaling of diameter change in the original
472 flow-mediated dilation protocol. Atherosclerosis. 2013; 226: 425-427.

473 21. Felmeden DC, Spencer CGC, Chung NAY, Belgore FM, Blann AD, Beevers DG, et al.
474 Relation of thrombogenesis in systemic hypertension to angiogenesis and endothelial
475 damage/dysfunction (a Substudy of the Anglo-Scandinavian Cardiac Outcomes Trial
476 [ASCOT]). The American Journal of Cardiology. 2003; 92: 400-405.

477 22. Kizhakekuttu TJ and Widlansky ME. Natural antioxidants and hypertension: promise and

478 challenges. Cardiovasc Ther. 2010; 28: e20-32.

479 23. Schiffrin EL. The immune system: role in hypertension. Can J Cardiol. 2013; 29: 543-8.

480 24. Guo Y, Lip GY and Apostolakis S. Inflammation in atrial fibrillation. J Am Coll Cardiol.

481 2012; 60: 2263-70.

- 482 25. Dharmashankar K and Widlansky ME. Vascular endothelial function and hypertension:
- 483 insights and directions. Curr Hypertens Rep. 2010; 12: 448-455.
- 484 26. Harada M, Van Wagoner DR and Nattel S. Role of inflammation in atrial fibrillation
 485 pathophysiology and management. Circulation journal : official journal of the Japanese
 486 Circulation Society. 2015; 79: 495-502.
- 487 27. Tousoulis D, Zisimos K, Antoniades C, Stefanadi E, Siasos G, Tsioufis C, et al. Oxidative
- 488 stress and inflammatory process in patients with atrial fibrillation: the role of left atrium
- 489 distension. Int J Cardiol. 2009; 136: 258-62.
- 490 28. Guazzi M, Casali M, Berti F, Rossoni G, Colonna VD and Guazzi MD. Endothelium-
- 491 mediated modulation of ergoreflex and improvement in exercise ventilation by acute
- 492 sildenafil in heart failure patients. Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2008; 83: 336-41.
- 493 29. Li Q, Youn J-Y and Cai H. Mechanisms and consequences of endothelial nitric oxide
 494 synthase dysfunction in hypertension. J Hypertens. 2015; 33: 1128-1136.
- 495 30. Cai H, Li Z, Goette A, Mera F, Honeycutt C, Feterik K, et al. Downregulation of
- 496 endocardial nitric oxide synthase expression and nitric oxide production in atrial fibrillation:
- 497 potential mechanisms for atrial thrombosis and stroke. Circulation. 2002; 106: 2854-8.
- 498 31. Fares F, Smith Y, Azzam N, Zafrir B, Lewis BS and Amir O. The 894G Allele of the
- 499 Endothelial Nitric Oxide Synthase 3 (eNOS) is Associated with Atrial Fibrillation in Chronic
- 500 Systolic Heart Failure. J Atr Fibrillation. 2012; 5: 757-757.
- 501 32. Siasos G, Mazaris S, Zisimos K, Oikonomou E, Kokkou E, Konsola T, et al. THE IMPACT OF
- 502 ATRIAL FIBRILLATION ON ENDOTHELIAL DYSFUNCTION. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2015; 65: A477.
- 503 33. Modena MG, Bonetti L, Coppi F, Bursi F and Rossi R. Prognostic role of reversible
- 504 endothelial dysfunction in hypertensive postmenopausal women. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2002;
- 505 40: 505-10.

- 506 34. Ghiadoni L, Taddei S and Virdis A. Hypertension and endothelial dysfunction: therapeutic
- 507 approach. Curr Vasc Pharmacol. 2012; 10: 42-60.

508 Legends

- 509 Figure 1
- 510 Medication use by class of drugs
- 511 ACE inhibitor = Angiotensin converting enzyme; ARB = Angiotensin receptor blocker
- 512 Figure 2

513 Complex interplay between hypertension, AF, oxidative stress, inflammation and 514 endothelial dysfunction

515 **Table 1**

516 Descriptive data are presented as numbers (with percentages). Normally distributed data 517 are expressed as mean \pm standard deviation. Non-normally distributed data are displayed as 518 median with interguartile ranges. Statistical differences were tested for matched groups 519 using an independent t-test for normally distributed data and Mann-Whitney U test for non-520 normally distributed data. Categorical data was compared using Chi-square test. Where Chi-521 square test was not valid, Fisher's Exact Test was used. Significance $p \leq 0.05$. - = unable to 522 calculate p value as sample size too small/statistical test not valid 523 AF = atrial fibrillation; TIA = Transient Ischaemic Attack; COPD = Chronic Obstructive 524 Pulmonary Disease; BMI = Body Mass Index; bpm = beats per minute; BP = blood pressure;

- 525 HbA1c = Haemoglobin A1C; CrCl = Creatine Clearance (Cockroft-Gault method); TSH = 526 Thyroid Stimulating Hormone; INR = International Normalised Ratio
- 527

528 **Table 2**

529

530Normally distributed data are expressed as mean [95% confidence intervals (CI)]. Identified531by superscript a. Non-normally distributed data are displayed as median [95% CI]. Identified532by superscript b. Statistical differences were tested for matched groups using independent533t-test (for parametric data) or Mann-Whitney U test (for non-parametric data). Significance534 $p \le 0.05$.

535 AF = atrial fibrillation; FMD = flow-mediated dilatation; FMDc = FMD % mean [95% CI] 536 adjusted for baseline diameter

537 Table 3

538 Descriptive data are presented as numbers (with percentages). Normally distributed data 539 are expressed as mean \pm standard deviation. Non-normally distributed data are displayed as 540 median with interquartile ranges. Statistical differences were tested using an independent t-541 test for normally distributed data and Mann-Whitney U test for non-normally distributed 542 data. Categorical data was compared using Chi-square test. Where Chi-square test was not 543 valid, Fisher's Exact Test was used. Significance $p \le 0.05$. - = unable to calculate p value as 544 sample size too small/statistical test not valid

545 AF = atrial fibrillation; TIA = Transient Ischaemic Attack; COPD = Chronic Obstructive 546 Pulmonary Disease; BMI = Body Mass Index; bpm = beats per minute; BP = blood pressure; 547 HbA1c = Haemoglobin A1C; CrCl = Creatine Clearance (Cockroft-Gault method); TSH = 548 Thuroid Stimulating Hormono: INP = International Normalised Patio

- 548 Thyroid Stimulating Hormone; INR = International Normalised Ratio
- 549

550 Table 4

551 Normally distributed data are expressed as mean [95% confidence intervals (CI)]. Identified

552 by superscript a. Non-normally distributed data are displayed as median [95% CI]. Identified

553 by superscript b. Statistical differences were tested using independent t-test (for parametric

data) or Mann-Whitney U test (for non-parametric data). Significance $p \le 0.05$.

555 AF = atrial fibrillation; FMD = flow-mediated dilatation

556

557 **Table 5**

Normally distributed data are expressed as mean \pm standard deviation for descriptive data and mean [95% confidence interval (CI)] otherwise. Identified by superscript a. Nonnormally distributed data are displayed as median with interquartile ranges for descriptive data and median [95% CI] otherwise. Identified by superscript b. Normality test was performed using Shapiro-Wilk test. Statistical differences were tested using paired t-test (if passed) or Wilcoxon signed rank test (if failed). Significance $p \le 0.05$. AF = atrial fibrillation; bpm = beats per minute; BP = blood pressure; FMD = flow mediated dilatation

Figure 1







Table 1 – Demographics and clinical characteristics of matched AF (and hypertension) group and hypertension control group

	AF + hypertension	Hypertension control	Matched groups
	group	group	р
	(n = 40)	(n = 20)	
Demographics			
Age, years	66 ± 7	65 ± 7	0.71
Sex			
Male	29	15	0.84
Female	11	5	
Ethnicity			
Caucasians, n (%)	34 (85%)	10 (50%)	-
Blacks, n (%)	3 (7.5%)	6 (30%)	
Asians, n (%)	3 (7.5%)	3 (15%)	
Mixed, n (%)	0 (0%)	1 (5%)	
Clinical characteristics			
Heart failure, n (%)	2 (5%)	0 (0%)	0.55
IHD, n (%)	5 (12.5%)	5 (25%)	0.28
Diabetes Mellitus, n (%)	10 (25%)	8 (40%)	0.23
Previous stroke/TIA, n (%)	5 (12.5%)	5 (25%)	0.28
Asthma/COPD, n (%)	5 (12.5%)	2 (10%)	0.57
Chronic liver disease, n (%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	-
Chronic kidney disease, n (%)	1 (2.5%)	5 (25%)	0.01
Anaemia, n (%)	0 (0%)	2 (10%)	0.11
Thyroid disorder, n (%)	3 (7.5%)	4 (20%)	0.21
Hypercholesterolaemia, n (%)	19 (47.5%)	11 (55%)	0.58
Arthritis, n (%)	24 (60%)	8 (40%)	0.14
CHA ₂ DS ₂ -VASc score	2 [2 – 4]	3 [1-4]	0.74
HAS-BLED score	1 [1 - 1]	2 [1 – 2]	0.06
Smoking status			
Never smoked, n (%)	19 (47.5%)	13 (65%)	-
Ex-smoker, n (%)	18 (45%)	7 (35%)	
Current, n (%)	3 (7.5%)	0 (0%)	
Alcohol			
None, n (%)	9 (22.5%)	5 (25%)	0.54
Recommended, n (%)	31 (77.5%)	15 (75%)	
Height (cm)	170.1±8.9	169.4 ± 11.1	0.80
Weight (kg)	95.5 ± 18.4	92.3±14.7	0.50
BMI (kg/m ²)	32.9 ± 5.2	32.1 ± 4.2	0.58
Heart rate (bpm)	70 [60 – 82]	63 [58 – 67]	0.02
Systolic BP (mm/Hg)	142 [133 – 152]	148 [135 – 175]	0.12
Diastolic BP (mm/Hg)	83±14	85 ± 13	0.53
Mean Arterial Pressure (MAP) (mm/Hg)	103 ± 15	109 ± 16	0.23
HbA1c (mmol/mol)	41 [39 – 48]	45 [38 – 56]	0.32
CrCl (mL/min)	98.8±29.6	85 ± 28.1	0.09
Ejection fraction (%)	58±11	62±7	0.14

Table 2 – Differences in flow mediated dilatation (FMD) between matched AF (and hypertension) and hypertension control groups – cross sectional comparison

	AF + hypertension group	Hypertension control group	Matched groups
	(n = 40)	(n = 20)	р
Baseline diameter (mm)	4.6 [4.4 – 4.9] ^a	5.2 [4.8 – 5.6] ^a	0.02
Peak diameter (mm)	4.9 [4.6 – 5.2] ^a	5.4 [5.0 – 5.8] ^a	0.03
Absolute FMD change (mm)	0.2 [0.1 – 0.3] ^b	0.2 [0.1 – 0.3] ^b	0.61
FMD (%)	4.6 [2.6 – 5.9] ^b	2.6 [1.9 – 5.3] ^b	0.25
FMDc (%)	4.9 [3.8 – 6.0] ^a	4.4 [2.7 – 6.0] ^a	0.60
Time to peak diameter (sec)	58 [40 – 90] ^b	36 [21 – 65] ^b	0.07
Shear rate (Positive shear rate area to peak) [sec1]	4421 [2800 – 6077] ^b	3300 [1296 – 6887] ^b	0.41

Table 3 – Demographics and clinical characteristics of permanent AF (and hypertension) group and paroxysmal AF (and hypertension) group

	Permanent AF +	Paroxysmal AF +	р
	hypertension group	hypertension group	
	(n = 30)	(n = 31)	
Demographics			
Age, years	70 ± 8	72 ± 11	0.64
Sex			
Males	22	20	0.46
Females	8	11	
Ethnicity			
Caucasians, n (%)	28 (93.3%)	25 (80.6%)	-
Blacks, n (%)	1 (3.3%)	3 (9.7%)	
Asians, n (%)	1 (3.3%)	3 (9.7%)	
Mixed, n (%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	
Clinical characteristics			
Heart failure, n (%)	3 (10%)	0 (0%)	0.11
IHD, n (%)	0 (0%)	10 (32.3%)	<0.001
Diabetes Mellitus, n (%)	7 (23.3%)	7 (22.6%)	0.81
Previous stroke/TIA, n (%)	5 (16.7%)	2 (6.5%)	0.26
Asthma/COPD, n (%)	9 (30%)	4 (12.9%)	0.10
Chronic liver disease, n (%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	-
Chronic kidney disease, n (%)	0 (0%)	1 (3.2%)	1.00
Anaemia, n (%)	1 (3.3%)	1 (3.2%)	1.00
Thyroid disorder, n (%)	1 (3.3%)	4 (12.9%)	0.35
Hypercholesterolaemia, n (%)	14 (46.7%)	15 (48.4%)	0.89
Arthritis, n (%)	14 (46.7%)	16 (51.6%)	0.70
CHA ₂ DS ₂ -VASc score	3 [2 – 4]	3 [2 – 4]	0.56
HAS-BLED score	1 [1 - 1]	1 [1 - 1]	0.18
Smoking status			
Never smoked, n (%)	13 (43.3%)	17 (54.8%)	-
Ex-smoker, n (%)	15 (50%)	13 (42%)	
Current, n (%)	2 (6.7%)	1 (3.2%)	
Alcohol			
None, n (%)	9 (30%)	10 (32.3%)	0.85
Recommended, n (%)	21 (70%)	21 (67.7%)	
Height (cm)	169.3 ± 8.4	167.3 ± 10.1	0.40
Weight (kg)	89.6 ± 19.1	87.2 ± 21.7	0.66
BMI (kg/m ²)	31.1±5.1	31.0 ± 6.3	0.95
Heart rate (bpm)	77 [68 – 86]	62 [58 – 70]	0.003
Systolic BP (mm/Hg)	140 [128 – 148]	144 [134 – 153]	0.24
Diastolic BP (mm/Hg)	81±13	76±15	0.16
Mean Arterial Pressure (MAP) (mm/Hg)	101 ± 12	101 ± 16	0.87
HbA1c (mmol/mol)	41 [38 – 46]	41 [40 - 51]	0.94
CrCl (mL/min)	86.2 ± 30.8	75.9 ± 38.1	0.72
Ejection fraction (%)	55 [55 – 62]	62 [55 – 68]	0.22

Table 4 – Differences in now mediated diatation (FIVID) between bermanent AF and baroxysmal AF groups – cross sectional com	able 4 – Differences in flow mediated dilatatic	MD) between permanent AF and paroxysmal AF (roups – cross sectional comparisor
---	---	--	------------------------------------

	Permanent AF + hypertension group	Paroxysmal AF + hypertension group	Р
	(n = 30)	(n = 31)	
Baseline diameter (mm)	4.5 [4.2 – 5.0] ^b	4.8 [4.6 – 5.1] ^b	0.67
Peak diameter (mm)	4.7 [4.4 – 5.2] ^b	5.2 [4.6 – 5.3] ^b	0.49
Absolute FMD change (mm)	$0.1 [0.1 - 0.2]^{b}$	0.3 [0.2 – 0.4] ^b	0.01
FMD (%)	3.1 [2.3 – 4.8] ^b	5.9 [4.0 – 8.1] ^b	0.02
FMDc (%)	3.9 [2.8 – 5.0] ^a	5.9 [4.8 – 7.0] ^a	0.01
Time to peak diameter (sec)	50 [29 – 85] ^b	80 [36 – 93] ^b	0.23
Shear rate (Positive shear rate area to peak) [sec1]	4592 [2278 – 5734] ^b	4800 [2800 – 8102] ^b	0.40

<u>Table 5 – Haemodynamic and FMD data for longitudinal comparison of Permanent AF (and hypertension)</u> <u>group</u>

	Permanent AF +	Permanent AF +	р
	hypertension group	hypertension group	
	(Baseline)	(Follow up)	
	[n = 30]	[n = 30]	
Clinical characteristics	Mean \pm SD / Median	Mean \pm SD / Median	
	[IQR]	[IQR]	
Weight (kg)	89.6±19.1	90.1 ± 19.4	0.13
BMI (kg/m ²)	31.1±5.1	31.2±5.2	0.11
Heart rate (bpm)	77 ± 18	72 ± 17	0.01
Systolic BP (mm/Hg)	140 [128 – 148]	131 [122 – 146]	0.03
Diastolic BP (mm/Hg)	81±13	77 ± 12	0.02
Mean Arterial Pressure (MAP) (mm/Hg)	100 ± 9	97 ± 13	0.01
CHA ₂ DS ₂ -VASc score	3 [2 – 4]	3 [2 – 4]	1.00
HAS-BLED score	1 [1 - 1]	1 [1 - 1]	1.00
FMD measurements	Mean [95% CI] ^a /	Mean [95% CI] ^a /	
	Median [95% CI] ^b	Median [95% Cl] ^b	
Baseline diameter (mm)	4.5 [4.2 – 5.0] ^b	4.4 [4.1 – 5.1] ^b	0.34
Peak diameter (mm)	4.9 [4.5 – 5.3] ^a	4.8 [4.5 – 5.2] ^a	0.69
Absolute FMD change (mm)	0.14 [0.11 – 0.25] ^b	0.20 [0.17 – 0.28] ^b	0.15
FMD (%)	3.1 [2.3 – 4.8] ^b	5.2 [3.9 – 6.5] ^b	0.09
FMDc (%)	4.0 [3.0 – 4.9] ^a	$5.1 [4.2 - 6.1]^{a}$	0.09
Time to peak diameter (sec)	50 [29 – 85] ^b	72 [36 – 102] ^b	0.29
Shear rate stimulus (Positive shear rate area to	4592 [2278 – 5734] ^b	3961 [3526 – 8190] ^b	0.54
peak) [sec1]			