

#### Please cite the Published Version

Thomas, Christopher, Dos'Santos, Thomas , Comfort, Paul and Jones, Paul A (2024) Male and female soccer players exhibit different knee joint mechanics during pre-planned change of direction. Sports Biomechanics, 23 (1). pp. 118-131. ISSN 1476-3141

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/14763141.2020.1830160

Publisher: Taylor and Francis

Version: Accepted Version

Downloaded from: https://e-space.mmu.ac.uk/626766/

Usage rights: O In Copyright

**Additional Information:** This is an author accepted manuscript of a paper accepted for publication in Sports Biomechanics, published by and copyright Taylor and Francis.

#### **Enquiries:**

If you have questions about this document, contact openresearch@mmu.ac.uk. Please include the URL of the record in e-space. If you believe that your, or a third party's rights have been compromised through this document please see our Take Down policy (available from https://www.mmu.ac.uk/library/using-the-library/policies-and-guidelines) Male and female soccer players exhibit different knee joint mechanics
 during pre-planned change of direction

3 Christopher Thomas<sup>a</sup>\*, Thomas Dos'Santos<sup>a</sup>, Paul Comfort<sup>a</sup> and Paul A.
4 Jones<sup>a</sup>

5 <sup>a</sup> Directorate of Sport, Exercise and Physiotherapy. University of Salford, Salford,

6 Greater Manchester, UK

7 Address author correspondence to Christopher Thomas at <u>c.thomas2@edu.salford.ac.uk</u>

8 Christopher Thomas is a PhD fellow in Biomechanics and Strength and Conditioning at the9 University of Salford, UK.

10 Thomas Dos'Santos is a PhD student in Biomechanics and Strength and Conditioning at the11 University of Salford, UK.

Paul Comfort is a Reader in Strength and Conditioning and Program Leader of the MSc Strengthand Conditioning at the University of Salford, UK

Paul A. Jones is a Lecturer in Biomechanics and Strength and Conditioning at the University ofSalford, UK.

16

17

# Male and female soccer players exhibit different knee joint mechanics during pre-planned change of direction

20 Change of direction manoeuvres are important in soccer and associated with non-21 contact anterior cruciate ligament injury, yet it is not known how the mechanics 22 differentiate between males and females during 180° turns. Twenty-eight soccer 23 players (14 male and 14 female) performed 180° turns with ground reaction forces 24 collected over penultimate and final contacts. A two-way (contact x limb) 25 multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) were run to examine differences 26 between contact (penultimate and final) or limb (dominant and nondominant) for 27 sagittal plane hip, knee and ankle peak angles and moments, and frontal plane knee 28 abduction moments and angles between sexes. Average horizontal GRF was 29 increased on the dominant limb, compared to nondominant and for the final contact 30 compared to the penultimate contact. Knee abduction angles were increased in 31 females compared to males, while the opposite was true for knee abduction 32 moments. Statistically significant differences were evident, with increases in peak 33 vertical GRF, peak hip flexion angle, peak knee flexion angle, peak knee extensor 34 moment, and peak ankle dorsiflexion angle observed in the penultimate contact 35 compared to final contact. The results indicate the penultimate contact during turns 36 helps reduce loading on the final contact, yet male and female soccer players 37 exhibit different knee joint mechanics during pre-planned change of direction.

38 Keywords: word; agility; anterior cruciate ligament; turns; injury; knee abduction
39 moments, 180° turns

## 40 Introduction

It has previously been observed that female players have higher rates of non-contact anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) injuries compared with males (Myklebust, Maehlum, Holm, & Bahr, 1998). There is also evidence that knee joint mechanics are differentiated by sex during change of direction (CoD) tasks (cutting and turning), contributing to increased risk of ACL injury (Brophy, Silvers, Gonzales, & Mandelbaum, 2010; McLean, Huang, & van den Bogert, 2008; Sigward, Pollard, & Havens, 2012). Several studies have shown that females display increased knee abduction angles (Malinzak, Colby, 48 Kirkendall, Yu, & Garrett, 2001; McLean, Lipfert, & van den Bogert, 2004), knee 49 abduction moments (McLean, Huang, & van den Bogert, 2005; McLean et al., 2004; Sigward, Cesar, & Havens, 2015; Sigward et al., 2012), vertical ground reaction forces 50 51 (GRFs) (Yu, Lin, & Garrett, 2006) and smaller knee flexion angles (Malinzak et al., 2001; 52 McLean et al., 2004; Yu et al., 2006) as compared with their male counterparts during 53 cutting and turning. Moreover, video analysis studies have revealed postures at initial 54 contact such as a dorsiflexed ankle (Boden, Torg, Knowles, & Hewett, 2009), abducted 55 hip (Olsen, Myklebust, Engebretsen, & Bahr, 2004), extended knee joint (Boden et al., 56 2009; Krosshaug et al., 2007; Olsen et al., 2004), and laterally flexed and rotated torso 57 (Stuelcken, Mellifont, Gorman, & Sayers, 2016) to be associated with ACL injuries 58 during CoD. Similarly, laboratory studies have found these lower limb postures to 59 increase knee abduction moments (Dempsey, Lloyd, Elliott, Steele, & Munro, 2009; 60 Jones, Herrington, & Graham-Smith, 2016a, 2016b), which could lead to increased ACL 61 strain (McLean, Su, & van den Bogert, 2003) and subsequent injury (Hewett et al., 2005). 62 Previous studies have investigated the influence of sex on CoD biomechanics (Fedie, 63 Carlstedt, Willson, & Kernozek, 2010; McLean et al., 2005, 2004; Sigward et al., 2015, 2012). Pollard et al. (2018) demonstrated healthy male and female participants exhibit 64 65 similar lower extremity biomechanics during a 45° side-step. These contrasting findings 66 may be due to inconsistency in how the dominant limb is defined, or the velocity and 67 magnitude of the CoD. For example, sex differences in knee abduction moments during 68 110° turns have been observed, with females greater than males, but no differences were observed in 45° cuts (Sigward et al., 2015). Similarly, females were found to exhibit 69 70 increased knee valgus angles and internal knee adductor moments during 45 and 110° 71 cutting when compared with males (Sigward et al., 2012). Sharper CoD (i.e. 180° turns) increase the relative lower body loading compared to shallow CoD (<60°) and thus, 72

73 require substantial braking over several footfalls prior to push-off. Previous work found 74 lower knee flexion angles, yet higher knee abduction angles during a 180° turn compared 75 to a 45° cut (Cortes, Onate, & Van Lunen, 2011), while reductions in knee flexion angle 76 have been observed with sharper CoDs (Schreurs, Benjaminse, & Lemmink, 2017). 77 Furthermore, the knee joint has been found to play a primary role during the deceleration 78 phase of shaper CoDs (Havens & Sigward, 2015a). Further studies on the kinetics and 79 kinematics during turning between male and female soccer players are required to fully 80 understand the biomechanical requirements of 180° CoD and help optimise CoD 81 performance and minimise knee joint loading.

82 It has been previously observed that up to 70% of non-contact ACL injuries occur during 83 a cutting or CoD maneuver (Boden, Feagin, & Garrett, 2000; Boden, Sheehan, Torg, & 84 Hewett, 2010). Previous research (Brophy et al., 2010), suggests limb dominance 85 (kicking vs. support limb) to influence knee joint mechanics and ACL injury, specifically 86 in soccer players. Although non-contact ACL injuries were evenly distributed (kicking 87 limb = 30; support limb = 28), 74% (20/27) of males suffered a non-contact ACL injury 88 on the kicking limb, compared with 32% (10/31) of females. There have been several 89 studies in the literature reporting the influence of limb dominance on CoD biomechanics 90 (Bencke et al., 2013; Brown, Wang, Dickin, & Weiss, 2014; Greska, Cortes, Ringleb, 91 Onate, & Van Lunen, 2016; Marshall et al., 2014; Mok, Bahr, & Krosshaug, 2018; Pollard 92 et al., 2018), and can be defined as the preferential use of one side of the body when 93 performing a motor task, typically resulting in a more skilful and therefore dominant side 94 (Maloney, 2019). For example, the preferred limb to kick a ball in soccer or change direction is typically used to indicate limb dominance, and as such, could provide coaches 95 96 and researchers information whether a limb is at heightened risk of increased loading, and 97 thus potential for injury, or not. Early work shows no differences in knee joint mechanics

(knee flexion angle, knee abduction angle, knee internal rotation angle, and knee 98 99 abduction moment) during weight acceptance between preferred and non-preferred limbs 100 in female soccer players (Brown et al., 2014). In contrast, 20 collegiate female soccer 101 players were found to exhibit similar CoD biomechanics (hip and knee moments, and 102 GRFs) between dominant kicking) and nondominant (support) limbs (Greska et al., 103 2016). Moreover, the dominant limb displayed increased peak knee flexion angles, 104 increased peak internal knee abduction moments, and increased peak vertical GRFs, 105 while the nondominant limb exhibited increased knee abduction angles at initial contact 106 and peak value and increased vertical GRF at peak knee abduction moment. Recently, 107 Thomas et al., (2017) reported that female soccer players adopt different braking strategies between dominant and nondominant limbs in 180° turns, whereby increased 108 109 horizontal braking force is placed on the penultimate contact by the nondominant limb 110 when turning off the dominant limb. Conversely, an increased force is placed on the final 111 contact when turning off the nondominant limb.

112 Improving our understanding of limb dominance during CoD may provide further insight 113 into the potential mechanisms of increased loading and help drive performance and injury 114 prevention programmes. Therefore, the primary aim of this study was to investigate 115 differences in kinematics (lower-limb joint angles) and kinetics (GRFs and moments) in the sagittal and frontal planes, between males and females during 180° turns. The 116 secondary aim was to investigate differences in braking strategy (penultimate vs. final 117 contact) on the dominant vs. nondominant limbs during 180° turns in male and female 118 119 soccer players. Finally, this study aimed to explore kinematic and kinetic differences between penultimate and final contact of 180° turns. It was hypothesised that female 120 121 players would exhibit increased knee abduction angles and knee abduction moments 122 compared to males (Sigward et al., 2015, 2012). Furthermore, it was hypothesised that 123 female soccer players would demonstrate increased horizontal GRF during the final 124 contact when turning off the nondominant limb (Thomas et al., 2017). It was hypothesised 125 that the penultimate contact would demonstrate increased knee joint flexion angles, peak 126 horizontal GRF, but lower average horizontal GRF during compared to the final contact 127 (Jones et al., 2016b)

128 Methods

#### 129 Participants

130 This study included 28 male (n = 14; age = 24.5 ± 4.2 years; height =  $1.79 \pm 0.05$  m; body 131 mass =  $78.5 \pm 9.6$  kg) and female (n = 14; age =  $20.6 \pm 0.6$  years; height =  $1.65 \pm 0.07$  m; 132 body mass =  $56.2 \pm 6.6$  kg) soccer players. All participants were of semi-professional 133 level and did not suffer from an ACL injury in the past, or any other lower-limb injury 134 within the last 6 months. Each player was in the preseason phase of training during his or 135 her participation in this study. All participants read and signed a written informed consent 136 form before participation, with consent from the parent or guardian of all participants 137 under the age of 18. Approval for the study was provided by the University of Salford's 138 Institutional Ethics Committee.

#### 139 Experimental Protocol

Lower-limb kinetic and kinematic data were collected during 180° turns (505 CoD test), performed as fast as possible, on an indoor track (Mondo, SportsFlex, 10 mm; Mondo America Inc., Mondo, Summit, NJ, USA). The 505 involved running towards two force platforms, whereby the first force platform was used to measure GRFs from the penultimate foot contact (2nd to last foot contact with the ground during a pivot before moving into a new intended direction), whilst the 2nd force platform was used to measure 146 GRFs from the final contact (last foot contact with the ground during a pivot before 147 moving into a new intended direction). Players were instructed to sprint to a line marked 148 on the central portion of 2nd force platform, 15 m from the start, planting their left or 149 right foot on the line, turn 180° and sprint back 5 m through the finish. Prior to maximal 150 trials participants performed at least 3 submaximal trials, turning off each limb at 75% of 151 perceived maximum effort. Players performed a minimum of six acceptable trials (3 left 152 and 3 right) in a randomised and counterbalanced order. If participants slid, turned 153 prematurely, or missed the force platform, the trial was discarded and subsequently 154 performed after a 2-minute rest.

155 Before the turn task, reflective markers (14 mm spheres) were placed on the 156 following bony landmarks: right and left iliac crests; anterior superior iliac spine; 157 posterior superior iliac spine; greater trochanter; medial epicondyle; lateral epicondyle; 158 lateral malleoli; medial malleoli; heel; and fifth, second, and first metatarsal heads using 159 double-sided adhesive tape. Each player wore a four-marker 'cluster set' (four 160 retroreflective markers attached to a lightweight rigid plastic shell) on the right and left 161 thigh and shin which approximated the motion of these segments during the dynamic 162 trials. All participants wore lycra shorts and female participants wore a compression top 163 (Champion Vapor, Champion, Winston-Salem, NC, USA). Standardised footwear 164 (Balance W490, New Balance, Boston, MA, USA) was provided for all participants to 165 control for shoe-surface interface.

#### 166 Data Analysis

3D motions of these markers were collected during the turn trials using 10 Qualisys Oqus
7 (Gothenburg, Sweden) infrared cameras (240 Hz) operating through Qualisys Track
Manager software (Qualisys, version 2.16, build 3520, Gothenburg, Sweden). The GRFs
were collected from two 600 mm x 900 mm AMTI (Advanced Mechanical Technology,

171 Inc, Watertown, MA, USA) force platforms (Model number: 600900) embedded into the 172 running track, sampling at 1200 Hz. From a standing trial, a lower extremity and trunk 6 173 degrees of freedom kinematic model was created for each player, including pelvis, thigh, 174 shank and foot using Visual3D software (C-motion, version 6.01.12, Germantown, USA). 175 This kinematic model was used to quantify the motion at the hip, knee and ankle joints 176 using a Cardan angle sequence  $x_{-y-z}$  (Grood & Suntay, 1983). The local coordinate 177 system was defined at the proximal joint centre for each segment. The static trial position 178 was designated as the subject's neutral (anatomical zero) alignment, and subsequent 179 kinematic measures were related to this position. Segmental inertial characteristics were 180 estimated for each participant (Dempster, 1955). The model used a CODA pelvis orientation (Charnwood Dynamics Ltd., Leicestershire, UK) (Bell, Brand, & Pedersen, 181 182 1989) to define the location of the hip joint centre. The knee and ankle joint centres were 183 defined as the mid-point of the line between lateral and medial markers. Lower limb joint 184 moments were calculated using an inverse dynamics approach (Winter, 2009) through 185 Visual3D software and were defined as external moments.

186 The trials were time normalised for each subject, for ground contact time of the 187 turn task. Initial contact was defined as the point after ground contact that the vertical 188 GRF was higher than 20 N and end of contact was defined as the point where the vertical 189 GRF subsided past 20 N for both penultimate and final contact. The weight acceptance 190 phase of ground contact was defined as from the instant of instant contact (vertical GRF 191 >20 N) to the point of maximum knee flexion during ground contact as used previously 192 (Havens & Sigward, 2015b; Jones et al., 2016b; Jones, Thomas, Dos'Santos, McMahon, 193 & Graham-Smith, 2017). Joint coordinate and force data were smoothed in Visual3D with 194 a Butterworth low pass digital filter with cut-off frequencies of 12 and 25 Hz, 195 respectively. Cut-off frequencies were selected based on a priori residual analysis 196 (Winter, 2009) and visual inspection of the motion data.

197 For comparisons between penultimate and final contact, peak and average vertical 198 (Fz) and horizontal (Fx) GRFs were determined along with peak hip, knee and ankle 199 dorsiflexion angles and peak hip, knee and ankle moments in the sagittal plane during the 200 weight acceptance phase, and analysed in Microsoft Excel (version 2016, Microsoft 201 Corp., Redmond, WA, USA). Furthermore, peak knee abduction angles and knee 202 abduction moments were calculated during the final contact. Joint moment data were 203 normalised to body mass (Nm/kg). To evaluate the deceleration strategy from penultimate 204 to final contact, a final contact/penultimate contact horizontal (Fx component) horizontal 205 GRF ratio was also calculated (Jones et al., 2016b). In line with recent research 206 (Dos'Santos, Comfort, & Jones, 2020), data were analysed based on the average of trial 207 peaks.

#### 208 Statistical Analyses

209 Data are presented as either mean  $\pm$  SD. Normality of data was assessed by Shapiro-210 Wilk's statistic, while homogeneity of variances was examined using Levene's test. A 211 two-way (contact x limb) multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) was used to 212 determine if differences exist between foot contact (penultimate and final) or limb 213 (dominant and nondominant) and between sexes (male and female) when considering all 214 dependent variables in the sagittal plane. Separate  $2 \times 2$  (limb  $\times$  sex) repeated-measures 215 ANOVA were run to examine differences in completion time, horizontal GRF ratio, knee 216 abduction angles and knee abduction moments. Where significant differences were 217 found, Bonferroni post hoc analyses were completed to detect differences between 218 groups. The dominant limb was defined as the limb with the fastest time to completion 219 during CoD. All statistical analyses were performed in the Jamovi Project for Windows 220 (Jamovi Project, 2019) and the criterion for statistical significance was set at  $p \le 0.05$ .

221 Results

Table 1 shows repeated measures ANOVAs for force-time characteristics, while Table 2 shows repeated measures ANOVAs for sagittal and frontal plane peak joint angle and moment data.

The average approach speed for males  $(5.2 \pm 0.3 \text{ m/s})$  was significantly faster (p <0.001) than females  $(4.7 \pm 0.3 \text{ m/s})$ . A significant difference (p <0.001) in completion time was observed between limbs whereby the dominant limb was faster than nondominant. Average horizontal GRFs were increased (p = 0.013) for dominant limb compared with nondominant.

A significant difference (p = 0.034) in average horizontal GRF ratio was observed between sexes, with females demonstrating an increased ratio than males. There was no other statistically significant main effect or interaction for both average and peak horizontal GRF ratio.

\*\* Insert Table 1 around here \*\*

For the variables peak hip flexion angle, peak vertical GRF, peak knee flexion angle, peak knee extensor moment and peak ankle dorsiflexion angle, although an interaction was not present, there were main effects for contact, indicating increased values in the penultimate compared to final contact when both sexes were combined. Yet, the opposite was true for peak plantarflexor moment whereby values were increased in the final contact compared to penultimate contact. There was no main effect or interaction present for the variable peak horizontal GRF.

242

# \*\* Insert Table 2 around here \*\*

A significant difference (p < 0.001) in peak knee abduction angle was observed between sexes, with females demonstrating increased angles than males. In contrast, peak knee abduction moments were significantly (p = 0.012) increased for males compared to females. Furthermore, significant differences (p = 0.006) were noted between males and females in peak hip flexion angle, with males demonstrating increased values than females. When considering completion time, males were significantly faster (p < 0.001) compared to females.

250

# **Discussion and Implications**

251 Although previous studies have considered the influence of the limb dominance 252 on knee injury risk factors during pre-planned tasks (Brown, Donelon, Smith, & Jones, 253 2016; Brown et al., 2014; Greska et al., 2016), this is the first study to evaluate the 254 interaction of penultimate and final contact on such factors in both males and females. 255 This is important given that turning movements are common in both sexes (Bloomfield, 256 Polman, & O'Donoghue, 2007; Boden et al., 2010; Brophy et al., 2010). The aims of this 257 study were to: (1) evaluate sex differences in lower-limb kinetics and kinematics between 258 males and females during 180° turns, (2) compare lower-limb kinetics and kinematics 259 between dominant and nondominant limbs, and (3) explore kinetic and kinematic differences between penultimate and final contacts of 180° turns. The most striking result 260 261 to emerge from the data is that females demonstrated increased knee abduction angles 262 compared to males (p <0.001), but the opposite was evident when analysing knee 263 abduction moment (p = 0.012). Consistent with previous research, these results suggest 264 that CoD biomechanics are sex-specific and thus, should be interpreted accordingly when 265 informing training and injury prevention interventions. Specifically, practitioners must 266 acknowledge from a technique perspective the 'performance-injury conflict' when 267 coaching and performing CoD, ensuring players have optimal CoD mechanics and 268 physical capacity to tolerate the associative knee joint loading.

269 Our primary finding was that knee abduction angles were increased in females 270 compared to males, whereas knee abduction moments were increased in males compared

271 to females. This is in agreement with previous work whereby increased knee abduction 272 angles were observed in females compared to males (Sigward et al., 2015), yet in the 273 same study, females exhibited increased knee abduction moments, which is in contrast to 274 our findings. A possible explanation for this might be that Sigward et al. (2015) used a 275 110° side-step whilst the current study used a 180° turn. Recent work (Schreurs et al., 276 2017) indicates knee valgus moments tend to stabilise when changing direction to 277 magnitudes >90° in both males and females. It could be that athletes subconsciously 278 restrain this moment from becoming increased when changing direction to increased 279 magnitudes (90-180°). Yet, the male players in the current study demonstrated 280 significantly (p <0.001) faster time to completion than females, due to faster average 281 approach speeds, thus likely contributing to great knee abduction moments. This is in 282 agreement with previous research reporting increases in knee abduction moments from 283 faster running velocities during 60° (Kimura & Sakurai, 2013) and 135° (Nedergaard, 284 Kersting, & Lake, 2014) CoD. This finding has important implications for developing 285 performance and injury prevention programmes. Specifically, faster and sharper CoD 286 increase knee joint loading but are also required for successful performance to evade or 287 close an opponent; thus, causing a performance-injury conflict from a technique 288 perspective (Dos'Santos, Thomas, Comfort, & Jones, 2018), but can be mediated by an 289 athlete's physical capacity. Further research might explore the influence physical 290 capacities (strength and power measures) on lower-limb kinetics and kinematics, as this 291 may help drive sex-specific CoD and ACL prevention programming.

This study has shown that average horizontal GRF was lower for the penultimate contact compared to the final contact. This finding is consistent with that of (Jones et al., 2016b) who found lower average horizontal GRF in the penultimate contact relative to the final contact. The same authors also found peak vertical GRF values to be higher in 296 the penultimate contact compared to the final contact, which also agrees with the findings 297 of the current study. Also, ground contact time were shown to be longer in the final 298 contact of turns than the penultimate contact  $(0.52 \pm 0.08 \text{ s vs.} 0.38 \pm 0.07 \text{ s})$ , resulting in 299 an increased horizontal braking impulse (impulse = force x [change in momentum]) in 300 the final contact (Jones et al., 2016b). Taken together, these findings indicate, during 301 turns, the need to bring the horizontal velocity to zero before turning and accelerating 302 back the other way, therefore more substantial braking takes place during the final 303 contact. This may present a problem when athletes may not have the physical capacities 304 (neuromuscular control, high levels of strength) to cope with the increased loading. Thus, 305 it is essential to develop holistic training programmes to optimally prepare and enhance 306 CoD performance and reduce risk of injury. Specifically, strength, plyometric, sprint, 307 CoD and combination training are all found to be effective modalities of improving CoD 308 ability (Falch, Rædergård, & van den Tillaar, 2019), while others have found reductions 309 in KAM resulting from technique modification interventions (Dempsey et al., 2009; 310 Jones, Barber, & Smith, 2015). Most recently, Dos'Santos (Dos'Santos, McBurnie, 311 Comfort, & Jones, 2019) found improvements in CoD completion time and cutting 312 technique following a 6-week CoD technique intervention in male youth soccer players, 313 indicating CoD technique training, in addition to normal skills and strength training 314 improves cutting performance and movement quality. Indeed, athletes with increased 315 levels of isokinetic eccentric knee extensor strength are shown to be better able to 316 decelerate during the penultimate contact from faster approach velocities during 180° 317 turns (Jones et al., 2017). Furthermore, peak horizontal braking forces during penultimate 318 contact are shown to significantly associate with CoD performance times (Graham-Smith, 319 Atkinson, Barlow, & Jones, 2009) and horizontal GRF ratio (Dos' Santos, Thomas, Jones, 320 & Comfort, 2017), with faster athletes demonstrating significantly lower horizontal

braking force ratio than slower athletes. These findings may help us to understand the
interaction between strength, speed, and technique regarding CoD performance and risk
of injury.

324 In this study, females demonstrated increased average horizontal GRF ratio 325 compared to males, indicating an increased proportion of braking took place during the 326 final contact relative to the penultimate contact, compared to males. This result is in 327 accord with recent studies indicating faster CoD performances to exhibit lower horizontal 328 GRF ratio as compared with slower performances (Dos' Santos et al., 2017). Also, earlier 329 studies found lower horizontal GRF ratio to associate with lower knee abduction moments 330 during turns (Jones et al., 2016a), yet this was in female participants and turning off one 331 leg only. Further work is required to evaluate the role of the penultimate contact and final 332 contact in 180° turns in male and female soccer players to better understand the optimal 333 technique for changing direction.

334 The joint angle data revealed a significant main effect for peak hip flexion angle, 335 indicating increased hip flexion was observed during the penultimate contact compared 336 to final contact. This finding is likely to be related to help absorb loading through an 337 increased range of motion compared with final contact, thus facilitating longer braking 338 force application, thus impulse, resulting in an increased reduction in whole-body 339 velocity (impulse = change in momentum). Indeed, the role of the penultimate contact 340 has been described as a 'preparatory step' demonstrating hip and knee flexion throughout 341 the stance phase as the athlete transitions from penultimate contact to final contact (Jones 342 et al., 2016b). This helps provide an optimal body position at final contact (lower centre 343 of mass) and allows the final contact leg to be planted out in front of the body. Another 344 important finding was that males exhibited increased hip flexion angles during weight 345 acceptance than females. There are similarities between the finding in this study and those

346 described by (Sigward et al., 2015) whereby males demonstrated increased hip abduction 347 angle at initial contact than females. It seems possible that these results are because male 348 athletes better prepare themselves for the CoD by either absorbing GRFs (hip flexion) or 349 pre-rotate to the new direction (hip abduction). This finding, while preliminary, suggests 350 that male athletes may better self-regulate their CoD technique, which may lead to a faster 351 overall CoD performance. Indeed, earlier work suggests a lack of hip flexion/extensor 352 moments to be a gender technique deficit, potentially leading to increased knee loading 353 (Pollard, Sigward, & Powers, 2007).

354 For the variables peak knee flexion angle and peak knee extensor moment, there 355 were significant main effects for contact, with increased values observed during 356 penultimate contact compared to final contact. These results match those of earlier studies 357 whereby the penultimate contact resulted in increased knee flexion angles and knee 358 extensor moments in 180° turns (Graham-Smith et al., 2009; Greig, 2009; Jones et al., 359 2016b). These results are likely to be related to the fact that, during 180° turns, the knee 360 goes through an increased range of knee flexion during penultimate contact compared to 361 final contact. These findings suggest that increased knee flexion is maintained in the 362 transition from penultimate contact to final contact to lower centre of mass and allow for 363 an optimal final contact, with data showing maximum knee flexion typically occurs at the 364 end of penultimate contact ground contact in 180° turns (Jones et al., 2016b). Another 365 finding was that increased peak ankle dorsiflexion angle was observed in the penultimate 366 contact compared to final contact, but the opposite was true for peak plantarflexor 367 moment, with increased values in the final contact compared to penultimate contact. 368 These findings are in agreement with those who found increased ankle dorsiflexor 369 moments during final contact compared to penultimate contact (Jones et al., 2016b). 370 These results may be explained by the fact that participants initially made the final contact with a forefoot plant, evoking an ankle dorsiflexor moment, whereas during penultimate
contact an initial rearfoot plant may have led to increased plantarflexor moments.
Furthermore, increased ankle dorsiflexion occurs to help absorb the loading and facilitate
longer braking force application during penultimate contact in 180° turns.

375 Overall, the findings of this study provide insights into the role of limb dominance during the task of 180° turns. While many studies have explored biomechanical 376 377 differences between limbs during CoD (Brown et al., 2016; Mok et al., 2018; Pollard et 378 al., 2018; Thomas et al., 2017), this investigation is the first to examine the differences 379 between dominant and nondominant limb across penultimate contact and final contact, in 380 male and female soccer players. The results of this study indicate a significant main effect 381 for limb for the variable average horizontal GRF; whereby increased values were 382 observed when turning off the dominant limb compared to the nondominant limb. A way 383 of interpreting this might be that when turning with the dominant limb increased average 384 braking forces are experienced across the final two foot contacts, likely due to technical 385 and coordination differences. The other main effects observed in this study suggest 386 average horizontal GRFs are increased in the final contact than penultimate contact, 387 which agrees with past literature (Jones et al., 2016a, 2016b). This combination of 388 findings may provide some support for the conceptual premise that the role of limb 389 dominance on CoD biomechanics may be less in such shallow angles of direction change 390 (<90°), but more so for sharper CoD (90-180°). Thus, further research is required to 391 investigate whether limb dominance influences the braking strategy during these 392 manoeuvres.

A limitation of the current study is the pre-planned execution of the CoD task, whereas unanticipated CoD has been shown to elevate knee joint loads during cutting (Besier, Lloyd, Ackland, & Cochrane, 2001). In addition, it can only be assumed that

396 knee valgus and knee abduction moments are risk factors for ACL injury due to the lack 397 of evidence. Also, females wore compression garments, but males did not; therefore, it is 398 unknown the amount of movement artefact when comparing markers and clusters 399 attached to a compression garment compared with those attached to skin. Furthermore, 400 the findings of the current study can only be extrapolated to male and female soccer 401 participants performing 180° turns. Except for knee abduction angles and moments, this 402 study only featured lower-limb joint angles and moments in the sagittal plane. Despite 403 hip abduction and rotation angles, such as the motion on the frontal and transverse planes, 404 are commonly investigated in cutting studies (Kristianslund, Faul, Bahr, Myklebust, & 405 Krosshaug, 2014; Kristianslund & Krosshaug, 2013), whole-body deceleration takes place in the sagittal plane during 180° turns. In future studies, it might be possible to 406 407 investigate the influence of these parameters on braking strategy and knee joint mechanics 408 during 180° turns.

- 409 Acknowledgements
- 410 The authors thank the participants for taking part in the study.

## 411 Disclosure Statement

412 No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

## 413 References

- 414 Bell, A. L., Brand, R. A., & Pedersen, D. R. (1989). Prediction of hip joint centre
- 415 location from external landmarks. *Human Movement Science*, 8(1), 3–16.
- 416 Bencke, J., Curtis, D., Krogshede, C., Jensen, L. K., Bandholm, T., & Zebis, M. K.
- 417 (2013). Biomechanical evaluation of the side-cutting manoeuvre associated with
- 418 ACL injury in young female handball players. *Knee Surgery, Sports*
- 419 *Traumatology, Arthroscopy, 21*(8), 1876–1881.

420	Besier, T. F., Lloyd, D. G., Ackland, T. R., & Cochrane, J. L. (2001). Anticipatory
421	effects on knee joint loading during running and cutting maneuvers. Medicine
422	and Science in Sports and Exercise, 33, 1176–1181.
423	Bloomfield, J., Polman, R., & O'Donoghue, P. (2007). Turning movements performed
424	during FA Premier League soccer matches. Journal of Sports Science and
425	Medicine, 6(10), 9.
426	Boden, B. P., Feagin Jr, J. A., & Garrett Jr, W. E. (2000). Mechanisms of anterior
427	cruciate ligament injury. Orthopedics, 23, 573.
428	Boden, B. P., Sheehan, F. T., Torg, J. S., & Hewett, T. E. (2010). Non-contact ACL
429	injuries: Mechanisms and risk factors. Journal of the American Academy of
430	Orthopaedic Surgeons, 18, 520–527.
431	Boden, B. P., Torg, J. S., Knowles, S. B., & Hewett, T. E. (2009). Video analysis of
432	anterior cruciate ligament injury abnormalities in hip and ankle kinematics.
433	American Journal of Sports Medicine, 37, 252–259.
434	Brophy, R., Silvers, H. J., Gonzales, T., & Mandelbaum, B. R. (2010). Gender
435	influences: The role of leg dominance in ACL injury among soccer players.
436	British Journal of Sports Medicine, 44(10), 694–697.
437	Brown, N., Donelon, T., Smith, L. C., & Jones, P. A. (2016). An investigation into the
438	effect of limb preference on knee mechanics and braking strategy during a 180°
439	change of direction task: An exploratory study. Journal of Sports Sciences,
440	34(sup1), s57. https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2016.1260807
441	Brown, S., Wang, H., Dickin, D. C., & Weiss, K. J. (2014). The relationship between
442	leg preference and knee mechanics during sidestepping in collegiate female
443	footballers. Sports Biomechanics, 13, 351-361.

444	Cortes, N., Onate, J., & Van Lunen, B. (2011). Pivot task increases knee frontal plane
445	loading compared with sidestep and drop-jump. Journal of Sports Sciences, 29,
446	83–92.
447	Dempsey, A. R., Lloyd, D. G., Elliott, B. C., Steele, J. R., & Munro, B. J. (2009).
448	Changing sidestep cutting technique reduces knee valgus loading. American
449	Journal of Sports Medicine, 37, 2194–2200.
450	Dempster, W. T. (1955). Space requirements of the seated operator: Geometrical,
451	kinematic, and mechanical aspects of the body, with special reference to the
452	limbs. Michigan State Univ East Lansing.
453	Dos' Santos, T., Thomas, C., Jones, P. A., & Comfort, P. (2017). Mechanical
454	determinants of faster change of direction speed performance in male athletes.
455	Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research, 31(3), 696–705.
456	Dos'Santos, T., Comfort, P., & Jones, P. A. (2020). Average of trial peaks versus peak
457	of average profile: Impact on change of direction biomechanics. Sports
458	<i>Biomechanics</i> , 19(4), 483–492.
459	Dos'Santos, T., McBurnie, A., Comfort, P., & Jones, P. A. (2019). The effects of six-
460	weeks change of direction speed and technique modification training on cutting
461	performance and movement quality in male youth soccer players. Sports, 7(9),
462	205.
463	Dos'Santos, T., Thomas, C., Comfort, P., & Jones, P. A. (2018). The effect of angle and
464	velocity on change of direction biomechanics: An angle-velocity trade-off.
465	Sports Medicine, 48(10), 2235–2253.
466	Falch, H. N., Rædergård, H. G., & van den Tillaar, R. (2019). Effect of different
467	physical training forms on change of direction ability: A systematic review and
468	meta-analysis. Sports Medicine-Open, 5(1), 53.

469	Fedie, R., Carlstedt, K., Willson, J. D., & Kernozek, T. W. (2010). Effect of attending to
470	a ball during a side-cut maneuver on lower extremity biomechanics in male and
471	female athletes. Sports Biomechanics, 9, 165–177.
472	Graham-Smith, P., Atkinson, L., Barlow, R., & Jones, P. (2009). Braking characteristics
473	and load distribution in 180 degree turns. In Proceedings of the 5th Annual
474	UKSCA Conference., 6–7.
475	Greig, M. (2009). The influence of soccer-specific activity on the kinematics of an
476	agility sprint. European Journal of Sport Science, 9, 23-33.
477	https://doi.org/10.1080/17461390802579129
478	Greska, E. K., Cortes, N., Ringleb, S. I., Onate, J. A., & Van Lunen, B. L. (2016).
479	Biomechanical differences related to leg dominance were not found during a
480	cutting task. Scandinavian Journal of Medicine and Science in Sports, 27(11),
481	1328–1336.
482	Grood, E. S., & Suntay, W. J. (1983). A joint coordinate system for the clinical
483	description of three-dimensional motions: Application to the knee. Journal of
484	Biomechanical Engineering, 105, 136–144.
485	Havens, K. L., & Sigward, S. M. (2015a). Joint and segmental mechanics differ
486	between cutting maneuvers in skilled athletes. Gait and Posture, 41, 33–38.
487	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gaitpost.2014.08.005
488	Havens, K. L., & Sigward, S. M. (2015b). Whole body mechanics differ among running
489	and cutting maneuvers in skilled athletes. Gait and Posture, 42, 240-245.
490	Hewett, T. E., Myer, G. D., Ford, K. R., Heidt, R. S., Colosimo, A. J., McLean, S. G.,
491	Succop, P. (2005). Biomechanical measures of neuromuscular control and
492	valgus loading of the knee predict anterior cruciate ligament injury risk in

- 493 female athletes a prospective study. *American Journal of Sports Medicine*, *33*,
  494 492–501.
- 495 Jamovi Project, jamovi. (2019). Jamovi (Version 0.9)[Computer Software].
- 496 Jones, P. A., Herrington, L. C., & Graham-Smith, P. (2016a). Technique determinants
- 497 of knee abduction moments during pivoting in female soccer players. *Clinical*498 *Biomechanics*, *31*, 107–112.
- Jones, P. A., Herrington, L., & Graham-Smith, P. (2016b). Braking characteristics
  during cutting and pivoting in female soccer players. *Journal of*
- 501 *Electromyography and Kinesiology*, *30*, 46–54.
- Jones, P. A., Thomas, C., Dos'Santos, T., McMahon, J. J., & Graham-Smith, P. (2017).
  The role of eccentric strength in 180° turns in female soccer players. *Sports*,
  504 5(2), 42.
- Jones, P., Barber, O., & Smith, L. (2015). D2. S2. 5 (3). Changing pivoting technique
  reduces knee valgus moments: Taken from Day 2. Free Communications–
- 507 Biomechanics and Motor Behaviour. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 33(1), S62.
- 508 Kimura, K., & Sakurai, S. (2013). A sidestep cut preparation strategy decreases the
- 509 external load applied to the knee joint. *International Journal of Sport and Health*510 *Science*, 11, 109–117.
- 511 Kristianslund, E., Faul, O., Bahr, R., Myklebust, G., & Krosshaug, T. (2014). Sidestep
- 512 cutting technique and knee abduction loading: Implications for ACL prevention
  513 exercises. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 48, 779–783.
- 514 https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2012-091370
- 515 Kristianslund, Eirik, & Krosshaug, T. (2013). Comparison of drop jumps and sport-
- 516 specific sidestep cutting: Implications for anterior cruciate ligament injury risk
- 517 screening. *American Journal of Sports Medicine*, 41(3), 684–688.

518	Krosshaug, T., Nakamae, A., Boden, B. P., Engebretsen, L., Smith, G., Slauterbeck, J.
519	R., Bahr, R. (2007). Mechanisms of Anterior Cruciate Ligament Injury in
520	Basketball: Video Analysis of 39 Cases. American Journal of Sports Medicine,
521	35, 359-367. https://doi.org/10.1177/0363546506293899
522	Malinzak, R. A., Colby, S. M., Kirkendall, D. T., Yu, B., & Garrett, W. E. (2001). A
523	comparison of knee joint motion patterns between men and women in selected
524	athletic tasks. Clinical Biomechanics, 16, 438-445.
525	Maloney, S. J. (2019). The relationship between asymmetry and athletic performance: A
526	critical review. The Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research, 33(9), 2579-
527	2593.
528	Marshall, B. M., Franklyn-Miller, A. D., King, E. A., Moran, K. A., Strike, S. C., &
529	Falvey, E. C. (2014). Biomechanical factors associated with time to complete a
530	change of direction cutting maneuver. Journal of Strength and Conditioning
531	Research.
532	McLean, S. G., Huang, X., & van den Bogert, A. J. (2005). Association between lower
533	extremity posture at contact and peak knee valgus moment during sidestepping:
534	Implications for ACL injury. Clinical Biomechanics, 20, 863-870.
535	McLean, S. G., Huang, X., & van den Bogert, A. J. (2008). Investigating isolated
536	neuromuscular control contributions to non-contact anterior cruciate ligament
537	injury risk via computer simulation methods. Clinical Biomechanics, 23, 926-
538	936. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinbiomech.2008.03.072
539	McLean, S. G., Lipfert, S. W., & van den Bogert, A. J. (2004). Effect of gender and
540	defensive opponent on the biomechanics of sidestep cutting. Medicine and
541	Science in Sports and Exercise, 36, 1008–1016.

542	McLean, S. G., Su, A., & van den Bogert, A. J. (2003). Development and validation of a
543	3-D model to predict knee joint loading during dynamic movement. Journal of
544	Biomechanical Engineering, 125, 864–874.
545	Mok, KM., Bahr, R., & Krosshaug, T. (2018). Reliability of lower limb biomechanics
546	in two sport-specific sidestep cutting tasks. Sports Biomechanics, 17(2), 157-
547	167.
548	Myklebust, G., Maehlum, S., Holm, I., & Bahr, R. (1998). A prospective cohort study
549	of anterior cruciate ligament injuries in elite Norwegian team handball.
550	Scandinavian Journal of Medicine and Science in Sports, 8, 149–153.
551	Nedergaard, N. J., Kersting, U., & Lake, M. (2014). Using accelerometry to quantify
552	deceleration during a high-intensity soccer turning manoeuvre. Journal of Sports
553	Sciences, 32, 1897-1905. https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2014.965190
554	Olsen, OE., Myklebust, G., Engebretsen, L., & Bahr, R. (2004). Injury mechanisms for
555	anterior cruciate ligament injuries in team handball a systematic video analysis.
556	The American Journal of Sports Medicine, 32, 1002–1012.
557	Pollard, C. D., Norcross, M. F., Johnson, S. T., Stone, A. E., Chang, E., & Hoffman, M.
558	A. (2020). A biomechanical comparison of dominant and non-dominant limbs
559	during a side-step cutting task. Sports Biomechanics, 19(2), 271–279.
560	Pollard, C. D., Sigward, S. M., & Powers, C. M. (2007). Gender differences in hip joint
561	kinematics and kinetics during side-step cutting maneuver. Clinical Journal of
562	Sport Medicine, 17, 38–42.
563	Schreurs, M. J., Benjaminse, A., & Lemmink, K. A. (2017). Sharper angle, higher risk?
564	The effect of cutting angle on knee mechanics in invasion sport athletes. Journal
565	of Biomechanics, 63, 144–150.

566	Sigward, S. M., Cesar, G. M., & Havens, K. L. (2015). Predictors of frontal plane knee
567	moments during side-step cutting to 45 and 110 degrees in men and women:
568	Implications for anterior cruciate ligament injury. Clinical Journal of Sport
569	Medicine, 25, 529-534. https://doi.org/10.1097/jsm.000000000000155
570	Sigward, S. M., Pollard, C. D., & Havens, K. L. (2012). The influence of sex and
571	maturation on knee mechanics during side-step cutting. Medicine and Science in
572	Sports and Exercise, 44, 1497–1503.
573	https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0b013e31824e8813
574	Stuelcken, M. C., Mellifont, D. B., Gorman, A. D., & Sayers, M. G. L. (2016).
575	Mechanisms of anterior cruciate ligament injuries in elite women's netball: A
576	systematic video analysis. Journal of Sports Sciences, 34(16), 1516–1522.
577	Thomas, C., Dos'Santos, T., Kyriakidou, I., Cuthbert, M., Fields, C., & Jones, P. A.
578	(2017). An investigation into the effect of limb preference on knee mechanics
579	and braking strategy during pivoting in female soccer players: An exploratory
580	study. Presented at the The 8th Annual Strength and Conditioning Student
581	Conference, Middlesex University, London.
582	Winter, D. A. (2009). Biomechanics and motor control of human movement.
583	Yu, B., Lin, C. F., & Garrett, W. E. (2006). Lower extremity biomechanics during the
584	landing of a stop-jump task. Clinical Biomechanics, 21, 297–305.
585	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinbiomech.2005.11.003
586	
587	