ORIGINAL PAPER

Unexpected high forest turtle diversity in hill forests in northern Vietnam

Pham Van Thong[1,2], Olivier Le Duc[1,2], Benjamin Leprince[1,2], Cedric Bodres[1,2], Tomas Zuklin[1,2,3], Charlotte Ducotterd[1,4*], Luu Quang Vinh[5], Lo Van Oanh[5], Nguyen Tam Anh[5], John E. Fa[6,7], Luca Luiselli[8,9,10]

[1]Turtle Sanctuary and Conservation Center, 19 rue Béranger, 75003 Paris, France; emails: t.pham@turtle-sanctuary.org; o.leduc@turtle-sanctuary.org; c.bordes@turtle-sanctuary.org; b.leprince@turtle-sanctuary.org

[2]Associated Wildlife and Environment Conservation Community AWECC, 19 rue Béranger 75003 Paris, France

[3]Ecosystem Governance Thematic Group, Commission on Ecosystem Management, IUCN, Gland, Switzerland; email: t.zuklin@turtle-santuary.org

[4]Centre Emys, Protection et Récupération des tortues, Chavornay, Switzerland; email: c.ducotterd@turtle-sanctuary.org

[5]Vietnam National University of Forestry, street 21, Xuan Mai town, Chuong My district, 100000 Hanoi, Vietnam; email: qvinhfuv@yahoo.com.au; looanh56b@gmail.com; tamanhvfu@gmail.com

[6]Division of Biology and Conservation Ecology, School of Sciences and the Environment, Manchester Metropolitan University, Manchester M1 5GD, United Kingdom

[7]Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR), CIFOR Headquaters, Bogor 16115, Indonesia; email: jfa949@gmail.com

[8]Institute for Development, Ecology, Conservation and Cooperation, via G. Tomasi di Lampedusa 33 - 00144 Rome, Italy; email: l.luiselli@ideccngo.org

[9]Department of Applied and Environmental Biology, Rivers State University of Science and Technology, P.M.B. 5080, Port Harcourt, Nigeria

[10]Département de Zoologie et Biologie Animale, Faculté des Sciences, Université de Lomé, B.P. 1515, Lomé, Togo

[*]Corresponding author: Charlotte Ducotterd, charlotte.ducotterd@gmail.com

Abstract

Almost all Asian turtle species are considered threatened by the World Conservation Union (IUCN). We investigated the turtle fauna and their distribution in hilly forests in northern Vietnam, using a combination of 1) field surveys; 2) hunter interviews; 3) examination of hunter quarry, and 4) monitoring of hunting activity. We performed field surveys in bamboo and hilly secondary forests (500-1,350 m), applied 103 hunter interviews, and examined the same number of hunter bags. Overall, we found a total of 124 different individuals of as many as 9 turtle species (representing 18% of the currently known total chelonian fauna in the Indo-Burma region). Two species were the most dominant, the Impressed tortoise (*Manouria impressa*), which we found mostly as shells in villages, and the Indochinese box turtle (*Cuora galbinifrons*) sighted inside bamboo forests at different elevations. *C. galbinifrons* is considered one of the most critically endangered turtle species in the world. Given that there are still large expanses of unexplored bamboo forests and mixed bamboo - evergreen forest (over 5 million hectares) remaining throughout northern Vietnam, if our results are typical of other similar habitats, it is likely that *C. galbinifrons* may be more common than currently assessed. We therefore suggest that field surveys should be conducted as soon as possible to confirm whether these turtles are as seriously threatened as presently considered by the IUCN.

Key words: Chelonians; hunting surveys; Indo-Burma region; habitat; *Cuora galbinifrons*.

Introduction

As many as 83% (88 species) of all Asian turtle taxa (Geomydidae, Testudinidae, Trionychidae, Platysternididae) are considered threatened according to the World Conservation Union (IUCN), due to overhunting and habitat loss (Rhodin et al., 2018). Most Critically Endangered (CR) and Endangered (EN) species are found in Southeastern China, Southeast Asia, Indonesia, and northern India (Rhodin et al., 2018). However, the situation is particularly critical in Vietnam (Turtle Taxonomy Working Group et al., 2017; Pham, 2018), where there is also a taxonomically diverse turtle fauna (Bourret, 1941; Stanford et al., 2018). Despite the country's importance, Vietnam's turtles remain poorly known especially in terms of their ecology (Turtle Taxonomy Working Group et al., 2017; Van et al., 2019).

Most herpetological studies published to date in Vietnam are species lists (Nguyen et al., 2009; Nguyen and Ho, 1996) or just focus on the turtle trade in the region (Cheung and Dudgeon, 2006; Hendrie, 2001, 2000; Hendrie and Trang, 2000; Le Dien Duc and Broad, 1995; Pham Van et al., 2019; Tran et al., 2016; Van Dijk et al., 2000; Van et al., 2019). These trade studies, which are based on market surveys only, assume catastrophic declines of Vietnamese turtles despite the fact that no field data are available to support this (Das et al., 2016; Fritz et al., 2002; Le, 2007; Le et al., 2004; Ly et al., 2011; McCormack et al., 2014; Pham et al., 2018). Such lack of field evidence is exacerbated for some species e.g. *Cuora zhoui, Mauremys nigricans* that are only known from markets but have never been observed in the wild (Ben and John, 2012; Pham et al., 2017). The absence of detailed information on the species' abundance, distribution and ecology makes it difficult to develop adequate conservation actions for these highly threatened reptiles; field surveys are urgently needed.

In Vietnam, turtles are likely to be less common in the lowlands than in upland forests. Typical for other animal groups in the country, most turtle species may remain relatively undisturbed in the highlands, since most of these areas have escaped habitat disturbance and destruction by humans. However, because these mountainous areas are logistically difficult to survey, few studies have been undertaken here.

In this paper, we documented the composition, habitat distribution, and abundance of turtle species in a mature secondary hilly forest area in northern Vietnam. We used field surveys as well as gathered data on hunting practices of the area's inhabitants. Over two survey periods, during the rainy season, we were able to obtain first-time records of turtle species in a little-known area of their distribution. Our study can be used as an example of the fieldwork required to advance the conservation knowledge of such a threatened group of reptiles in one of the most biodiverse countries in the world.

Materials and methods

Study area

The study was conducted during two consecutive periods (12th to 23th May, 12th to 24th August 2019) in the Tam Thanh commune (N20°11′15″, E104°50′29″), Quan Son district, northern Vietnam (Fig. 1 and 2). The Tam Thanh commune adjoins the southern Laos border within the northern Indochina Subtropical Moist Forests (WWF), dominated by evergreen and semi-evergreen forests. The climate of the region is subtropical montane climate, with an average temperature of 23°C, maximum temperature of 40°C from May to July and lowest temperature of 2.6°C in December. Average rainfall is 1900 mm; the wet season is from May to October (> 100

mm rainfall) and the dry season from December to January. Humidity averages around 84% (online dataset in http://huyenquanson.vn/gioi-thieu/dieu-kien-tu-nhien/8)

Human activities have been responsible for some habitat modification and destruction, but 80% forest cover still remains. This forest area is considered important for the conservation of biodiversity in Vietnam (Sterling and Hurley, 2005). The Tam Thanh forest area (5,054.2 ha) is composed of 4,824.88 ha of natural forest and 85.02ha of plantation forest. Within the natural forest, 59.3% consists of evergreen and semi-deciduous forest, 28.2% bamboo forest, and 12.5% mixed evergreen and bamboo forests (Thanh Hoa FPD, 2019).

The forest in Tam Thanh commune is managed by a local board, where regulated extraction of timber and non-timber products is allowed by the local inhabitants (Regulation no.17/2015/QĐ-TTg, 2015). The commune had a total population in 2018 of 3,911 inhabitants of three main ethnicities: Thai, Muong, Kinh (Tam Thanh People Committee, 2019).

Protocol

Interviews were performed in the Tam Thanh, Son Lu, Nam Dong, Trung Thuong communes in the Quan Son district. Field surveys and the hunter monitoring survey were carried out in Tam Thanh forest.

Field surveys

In tropical and sub-tropical regions, the rainy season is the period of highest above ground activity for turtles (Luiselli, 2003). Thus, in our study, we conducted random walks during the rainy season, applying a time-constrained search effort (Akani et al., 1999a, 1999b). A team of two main researchers and seven local assistants undertook turtle searches between 0700 and 1700 Hanoi

time during each survey day. The first field survey was from 11th to 23th May 2019 at an elevation range of 500-750 m a.s.l while the second was from 14th to 24th August 2019 at elevations ranging between 900-1350 m a.s.l (Fig. 1). We followed random transects (1 to 4.5 km long depending on the quality of the surveyed habitat) within which we searched for turtles. Recording of searching time was stopped when an animal was found and measured. Hunting dogs were not used to allow for future comparisons of the data with those from other studies that did not employ dogs.

All individual turtles found during the field surveys or caught by local hunters and available in their homes, were examined and identified to species. For each individual, we recorded carapace length (CL), carapace width (CW), carapace height (CH) and body mass (BM). Length measurements were taken with a 30cm caliper (accuracy 0.1 cm), and turtle body mass was taken using a 5kg scale, (accuracy 1g). Hunters confirmed that all turtles recorded in this study came from the study area; all collected species are known to occur in the region (Stuart et al., 2001; Douglas et al., 2011).

Hunter interviews

Three Vietnamese researchers applied structured interviews, in Vietnamese, from 18th to 27th December 2018 and 12th to 20th May 2019. Hunters were asked the following questions:

(i) What kind of turtles occurs in this forest?

(ii) Can you describe the main morphological characteristics of each species?

(iii) Do you hunt turtles by chance, or do you use specific hunting techniques?

(iv) Which months/season do you normally go to hunt for turtles?

(v) Where did you hunt for turtles?

(vi) For what purpose do you use the hunted turtles?

148

149 **Hunter activity survey**

150 To obtain more detailed information on turtle hunting activities in the study area, we monitored
151 the movements of a hunter of Thai origin (41 years old). Using a smartphone application (Samsung
152 Health), the hunter was able to self-record the distance travelled during each hunting trip during
153 the hunting season (May to July 2019) as well as the number of hunting days in each month. A
154 trained dog accompanied the hunter. During all hunting surveys, the hunter recorded the number
155 of individuals and turtle species encountered.

156

157 **Habitat description**

158 We described the following microhabitat characteristics within a 10m radius around each point of
159 capture of turtles:

160 (i) Main habitat types: 1 = stream, 2 = evergreen forest, 3 = bamboo forest;

161 (ii) Canopy cover, estimated on the basis of the percentage of sunny spots on ground;

162 (iii) Ground temperature (°C, collected by B61200-1300 infrared thermometer);

163 (iv) Ground humidity (%, by AR827 Hygrometer);

164 (v) Slope angle (degree, using "Angle meter", an Android app for mobile phones).

165

166 **Statistical analyses**

167 To calculate turtle searching effort, we used the following formula:

168 $$S = \frac{x}{a * b}$$

with S = turtle relative frequency of observation; x = number of turtles found in a given transect; a = number of people involved in the time searching along a given transect; b = total time spent for a trip along a given transect.

To determine whether the turtle taxonomic composition of the study area was adequately assessed, we performed a rarefaction analysis using the total (i.e. the field observed + the hunters' collected) turtle sample. Sample-based rarefaction (or species accumulation curve) was implemented using the analytical solution known as "Mao's tau," with Standard Deviation (Colwell et al., 2004) . In the graphical plot, Standard Errors were converted to 95% confidence intervals. To evaluate statistically whether the observed number of species (on the basis of the observed number of individuals) was fully assessed, we used the Chao-1 index with 95% confidence intervals, after 9,999 bootstraps.

Carapace length was correlated with turtle body mass using a Pearson's correlation coefficient. Alpha was set at 5%, and means are presented ± 1 Standard Deviation. All statistical analyses were performed on PASW statistical software 18.0 version.

Results

Field surveys

A total of 21,700 min (i.e. 361.8 h), covering a total of 64.9 km transect length, were spent in the forest searching for turtles by the survey team (194.4 hours in May and 167.4 in August). During the May surveys, three *C. galbinifrons* and two *G. spengleri* were found, but only one *G. spengleri* was observed during the second survey (Fig. 3). In May, the overall turtle encounter rate was 0.014 individuals × person × hour (altitudinal range = 500-750 m a.s.l.), but 0.006 in August (altitudinal range = 900-1350 m a.s.l.). The encounter frequency for *C. galbinifrons* was 0.008 individuals ×

person × hour and that of *G. spengleri* was 0.005 individuals × person × hour. The observed density for *C. galbinifrons* was 0.057 individuals per km and 0.031 individuals per km for *G. spengleri*.

Microhabitat characteristics for each individual turtle observed are given in Appendix 1, body size measurements in Appendix 2. *C. galbinifrons* individuals were found at lower elevations (x = 657.2 ± 36 m a.s.l.) than *G. spengleri* (x = 772.3 ± 170.6 m a.s.l.), but sample sizes were too small for any statistical evaluation. Three *C. galbinifrons* were found in bamboo forest but all three *G. spengleri* individuals were observed in mixed bamboo-evergreen forest. No animals were found in surroundings of streams or water bodies, and only one *G. spengleri* individual was discovered in a rocky area of the forest with numerous crevices. Percentage forest cover was similar for both species (*C. galbinifrons*: 70.0 ± 15.8%; *G. spengleri*: 73.3 ± 7.6%) as well as the slope of the sighting site (*C. galbinifrons*: 21.2 ± 14.4°; *G. spengleri*: 26.3 ± 11.8°). Only one of six individuals (a *G. spengleri*) was observed nearby a forest trail, but all other individuals were in more remote sites.

Mean carapace length of *Manouria impressa* (n = 36) was 212.2 ± 47.1 mm (range 124-310 mm) and the mean body mass (only shells) was 429.8 ± 304.7 g (range 80-1,800 g). Carapace length and body mass were positively correlated ($r = 0.868$, $P < 0.0001$). Mean carapace length of *C. galbinifrons* was 156.3 ± 26.4 mm (range 67.2-187.5 mm; n = 16) and mean body mass was 619.2 ± 218.6 g (range 31-960 g; n = 16); as expected, carapace length and body mass were significantly positively correlated ($r = 0.898$, $P < 0.0001$; see Appendix 3). In *C. mouhotii,* mean carapace length was 161.4 ± 12.9 mm (range 147-176 mm; n = 5) and mean body mass was 506.6 ± 187.7 g (range 247-693 g; n = 5); in *G. spengleri*, mean carapace length was 102.8 ± 7.7 mm (range 91-112 mm; n = 7) and mean body mass was 149.6 ± 30.2 g (range 120-211 g; n = 7).

Sample sizes were too small in the latter two species to apply any correlation analysis between carapace length and body mass.

In hunter houses in the Tam Thanh commune and Nam Dong SHCA we recorded a total of 118 turtles (Fig. 4) of the two species found in the field as well as another seven species (Table 1); the turtle fauna in the study area being 9 species, 89% of them listed as threatened by IUCN Red List (CR, EN and VU; see IUCN, 2019).

The resulting individual rarefaction curve showed that the species' taxonomic diversity was adequately represented by our data (Fig. 5), with the Chao-1 index indicating that the theoretically predicted number of species (n = 9) was the same as the number of species actually observed in our surveys. *M. impressa* and *C. galbinifrons* were the two dominant species in hunter houses, where there was one singleton (*Cyclemys oldhamii*) and three doubletons (Table 1). Individuals of *M. impressa* were observed in villages as shells of dead animals that had been consumed by local people and then kept as decoration. On the other hand, all *C. galbinifrons* were found alive, recently caught individuals that hunters would sell to market traders.

Hunter and farmer interviews

A total of 103 independent interviews were conducted. Most interviewees belonged to the Thai ethnic group (details in Appendix 4). According to the majority of interviewees, the two most abundant species were *C. galbinifrons* and *M. impressa,* cited as the dominant species by 54.4% and 64.1% of the interviewees, respectively. All hunters accurately described the main morphological characteristics of the various turtle species, using local names for each (Table 1) and, as reported above, frequently showed shells or living animals to confirm their descriptions. According to the various interviewees, there were several ways to hunt turtles, depending on the

237 species targeted (Table 2). In general, most hunted terrestrial species such as *M. impressa, C.*
238 *galbinifrons,* and *C. mouhotii*) using hunting dogs, while aquatic species (i.e. *Palea steindachneri,*
239 *Sacalia quadriocellata*) were captured by hand if encountered. An individual of *C. galbinifrons*
240 was caught in a water puddle in the village. For all species, the hunting season was between March
241 and September (Table 2), and the main purpose for hunting was to sell to market traders or for
242 domestic consumption when selling prices fell.
243
244 **Hunter activity**
245 During the hunting season, the hunter covered a total of 87 km in May, 108.1 km in June and 169.3
246 km in July; a total of 17 days in the forest in May, 19 in June and 23 in July. During this period,
247 the hunter spent 90% of the time hunting and 10% walking. A total of 40 turtles were collected:
248 one *P. steindachneri,* one *G. spengleri,* 15 *M. impressa* and 23 *C. galbinifrons.* The frequency of
249 encounter was 0.002 individuals per km for *P. steindachneri* and *G. spengleri*, 0.035 for *M.*
250 *impressa* and 0.053 for *C. galbinifrons*. These hunting scores confirmed that *M. impressa* and *C.*
251 *galbinifrons* were clearly the two most common turtle species in the study area.
252
253 **Discussion**
254 Our results revealed that the turtle community in our study area was very diverse, with nine species
255 from four different families (Geoemydidae, Platysternidae, Trionychidae and Testudinidae).
256 Moreover, our saturation analyses showed that the community richness of the study area was
257 satisfactorily captured with the performed field effort. Although our study area fell within the
258 known range of all observed species (Stuart et al., 2001), this high species diversity was remarkable
259 compared to overall species richness of the Indo-Burma turtle hotspot, which include southern

China, Laos, Myanmar, Thailand, Vietnam, and Cambodia (Myers et al., 2000). Indeed, 18% of the species currently known in the Indo-Burma region (n = 50, see Mittermeier et al., 2015) were found in our study area. The observed species' richness was even more remarkable if we take into account that considerable portions of the study forest have been altered by human activities over a long period of time. In particular, it is noteworthy that the most abundant turtle species in our area was *C. galbinifrons*, from direct observations, individuals kept by hunters in their houses, interviewees' opinion, and from information obtained from a monitored hunter. In addition, we encountered *C. galbinifrons* in the field more frequently than any other reptile, including snakes (Pham et al., unpublished observations), and our density estimates (number of individuals per km transect) gave very similar values.

Our findings for *C. galbinifrons* is in direct contrast to what has been previously published which suggests that this is one of the rarest chelonian species in the world (Standford et al., 2018). It is unlikely that our study case is unique because considerable portions of bamboo forest (over 5 million hectares) are still found in northern Vietnam (MARD, 2016). These areas do not significantly differ from our study area in terms of habitat characteristics and human pressure on the natural environment. It is therefore likely that many more populations of *C. galbinifrons* are found in Vietnam since scientific exploration of these forests is minimal. On the other hand, the other sympatric species appeared clearly least abundant than *C. galbinifrons*. Therefore, we cannot exclude that these other species may be in more serious conservation status than currently considered. Further studies should aim to investigate in other forest areas, whether this turtle species is as threatened as supposed or whether it is just very elusive and therefore difficult to find by non-experienced researchers. There is no evidence of active field research on this species in Vietnamese forests. Furthermore, the listing of the species as Critically Endangered has been

283 extrapolated from trade data and not from field surveys is unsatisfactory (IUCN, 2016). Because
284 in our study *C. galbinifrons* was not found above 750 m elevation, it is possible that the species
285 may be restricted to bamboo forest patches up to around 700 m a.s.l., with *G. spengleri* able to
286 survive at higher elevations (from 650-1200 m a.s.l) (Blanck, 2013; Pham et al., 2018). Further
287 studies should be planned to evaluate whether *C. galbinifrons* is really absent from high mountain
288 forests in northern Vietnam. However, apart from the relative altitude of the records, *C.*
289 *galbinifrons* and *G. spengleri* appeared very similar in terms of microhabitat characteristics of their
290 sites of presence, and bamboo forests seemed to be particularly important habitats for these
291 threatened turtles (Ben-Zhi et al., 2005).

292 Our study also provides unique data on the morphometry of wild caught turtles since most
293 measurements known from captive individuals. These measurements will also be useful to enable
294 comparative studies of the geographic variation of these species within their distribution range. In
295 order to allow future authors to analyze the morphometric variation of these turtles across we
296 provide the raw data in the online supplemental material for this paper.

297

298 **Acknowledgements**

299 The present study was funded by Wildlife Conservation Society's John Thorbjarnarson Fellowship
300 for Reptile Research and by Turtle Sanctuary and Conservation Center NGO, Paris (France). We
301 would like to thank the Vietnam National University of Forestry, Hanoi, and the Thanh Hoa
302 province authorities for providing the necessary permissions for the interview survey and for the
303 field researches (request permissions code: 221, 243,244 ĐHLN-HTQT and respond permissions
304 code 5550/UBND-THKH, 1660/SNN&PTNT-KL, 195/CCKL-BTTN).

305

306 **References**

307 Akani GC, Barieenee IF, Capizzi D, Luiselli L (1999a) Snake communities of moist rainforest and
308 derived savanna sites of Nigeria: biodiversity patterns and conservation priorities.
309 Biodiversity and Conservation 8: 629–642

310 Akani GC, Luiselli L, Politano E (1999b) Ecological and conservation considerations on the reptile
311 fauna of the eastern Niger Delta (Nigeria). Herpetozoa 11: 141–53

312 Ben A, John BI (2012) *Mauremys nigricans* (Grey 1834) - Red-necked pond turtle, Chinese Red-
313 necked turtle, Kwangtung river turtle, Black-necked pond turtle. Conservation Biology of
314 Freshwater Turtles and Tortoises. A complilation project of the IUCN/SSC tortoise and
315 freshwater turtle speciallist group 1–9

316 Ben-Zhi Z, Mao-Yi F, Jin-Zhong X, Xiao-Sheng Y, Zheng-Cai L (2005) Ecological functions of
317 bamboo forest: research and application. Journal of Forestry Research 16: 143–147

318 Blanck T (2013) Zu Besuch bei *Cuora galbinifrons* auf Hainan, China. Reptilia (Münster) 18(99):
319 100–109

320 Cheung SM, Dudgeon D (2006) Quantifying the Asian turtle crisis: market surveys in southern
321 China, 2000–2003. Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems 16: 751–
322 770

323 Colwell RK, Mao CX, Chang J (2004) Interpolating, extrapolating, and comparing incidence-
324 based species accumulation curves. Ecology 85: 2717–2727

325 Das I, McCormack T, van Dijk PP, Hoang HV, Struijk R (2016) *Cuora mouhotii* (Gray 1862) –
326 Keeled Box Turtle. In: Rhodin AGJ, Pritchard PCH, Van Dijk PP, Saumure RA, Buhlmann
327 KA, Iverson JB, Mittermeier RA (Eds.) Conservation Biology of Freshwater Turtles and
328 Tortoises: A Compilation Project of the IUCN/SSC Tortoises and Freshwater Turtles
329 Specialist Group. Chelonian Research Monographs 5 (9): 099.1–12

330 Douglas H, Bui DP, Timothy EM, Hoang HV, Van Dijk PP (2011) Vietnam's Tortoises and
331 Freshwater Turtles - Identification book (Vietnamese)

332 Fritz U, Ziegler T, Herrmann H, Lehr E (2002) Intergradation between subspecies of *Cuora*
333 *galbinifrons* (Bourret, 1939) and *Pyxidea mouhotii* (Gray, 1862) in southern North
334 Vietnam (Reptilia: Testudines: Geoemydidae). Faunistische Abhandlungen. Staatliches
335 Museum für Tierkunde in Dresden 23: 59–74

336 Hendrie D (2001) FFI Progress Reports - Turtle Conservation and Ecology project. Indochina

337 Hendrie DB (2000) Status and conservation of tortoises and freshwater turtles in Vietnam.
338 Presented at the Asian turtle trade: proceedings of a workshop on conservation and trade
339 of freshwater turtles and tortoises in Asia. Chelonian Research Monograph*s*, pp. 63–73

340 Hendrie DB, Trang N (2000) Compiled Notes on the Wildlife Trade in Vietnam

341 Hughes JB, Hellmann JJ, Ricketts TH, Bohannan BJ (2001) Counting the uncountable: statistical
342 approaches to estimating microbial diversity. Apply Environment Microbiology 67: 4399–
343 4406.

344 IUCN (2016) *Cuora galbinifrons*: McCormack T, Shi H, Stuart B: The IUCN Red List of
345 Threatened Species 2016: e.T97357437A3078734.
346 https://doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2016-1.RLTS.T97357437A3078734.en

347 Le DD, Broad S (1995) Investigations into tortoise and freshwater turtle trade in Vietnam. IUCN

348 Le M (2007) Conservation of turtles in Vietnam: a survey of Cat Tien National Park. Oryx 41,
349 544–547.

350 Le M, Hoang T, Le D (2004) Trade Data and Some Comments on the Distribution of *Mauremys*
351 *annamensis* (Siebenrock, 1903). Asiatic Herpetological Research 10: 110–113

352 Luiselli L (2003) Comparative abundance and population structure of sympatric Afrotropical
353 tortoises in sex rainforest areas: the differential effects of "traditional veneration" and of
354 "subsistence hunting" by local people. Acta Oecologica 24: 157-163

355 Ly T, Hoang HD, Stuart BL (2011) Market turtle mystery solved in Vietnam. Biological
356 Conservation 144: 1767–1771

357 MARD (2016) QĐ 3158/QĐ-BNN-TCLN: Inform the status of Vietnam's forest type updated to
358 2015 (in Vietnamese)

359 McCormack TEM, Dawson JE, Hendrie DB, Ewert MA, Iverson JB, Hatcher RE, Goode JM
360 (2014) *Mauremys annamensis* (Siebenrock 1903) – Vietnamese Pond Turtle, Annam Pond
361 Turtle, Rùa Trung Bộ. Conservation Biology of Freshwater Turtles and Tortoises

362 Mittermeier RA, van Dijk PP, Rhodin AG, Nash S (2015) Turtle hotspots: an analysis of the
363 occurrence of tortoises and freshwater turtles in biodiversity hotspots, high-biodiversity
364 wilderness areas, and turtle priority areas. Chelonian Conservation and Biology 14: 2–10

365 Myers N, Mittermeier RA, Mittermeier CG, Da Fonseca GA, Kent J (2000) Biodiversity hotspots
366 for conservation priorities. Nature 403: 853–858

367 Nguyen VS, Ho TC, Nguyen Q (2009) Herpetofauna of Vietnam, Edition Chimaira. Frankfurt am
368 Main, Germany

369 Nguyen VS, Ho TC (1996) A checklist of amphibians and reptiles of Vietnam. Science
370 Technology Publishing House, Hanoi

371 Pham VT (2018) Distribution, threats and ecology of Black Breasted leaf turtle (*Geoemyda*
372 *spengler*i GMELIN, 1789) in Tay Yen Tu Nature reserve, Bac Giang province, Vietnam,

with notes on other sympatric species (Master thesis in Tropical Forestry). Vietnam National University of Forestry, Pp 80

Pham VT, Leprince B, Luong Xuan H, Nguy Thu Q, Le Duc O, Bordes C, Vuong Tien M, Luiselli L (2019) Observations of threatened Asian box turtles (*Cuora* spp.) on trade in Vietnam. Herpetological Journal 29: 178–183. https://doi.org/ht ps://doi.org/10.33256/hj29.3.178183

Pham VT, Blanck T, Thao AT, Tran VD (2017) A Computer modeling and interview survey combination to find the existence of the turtle from "nowhere" - Zhou's box turtle (*Cuora zhoui* Zhao, Zhou & Ye, 1990)

Pham VT, Vu TT, Dowson JE, Bui TT, Leprince B (2018) Natural history observations on the Endangered turtle *Geoemyda spengleri* in Tay Yen Tu Nature Reserve (Vietnam), with notes on other sympatric species. The Herpetological Bulletin 146: 1–7

Regulation no.17/2015/QĐ-TTg, 2015. Vietnam national regulation: Issuing the regulation on management of protection forests

Rhodin AG, Stanford CB, Van Dijk PP, Eisemberg C, Luiselli L, Mittermeier RA, Hudson R, Horne BD, Goode EV, Kuchling G (2018) Global conservation status of turtles and tortoises (Order Testudines). Chelonian Conservation and Biology 17: 135–161

Stanford CB, Rhodin AGJ, van Dijk PP, Horne BD, Blanck T, Goode E, Hudson R, Mittermeier RA, Currylow A, Eisemberg C, Frankel M, Georges A, Gibbons PM, Juvik JO, Kuchling G, Luiselli L, Haitao S, Singh S, WaldeA (2018) Turtle in trouble - The world's 25+ most endangered tortoise and freshwater turtle. Turtle Conservation Coalition

Sterling EJ, Hurley MM (2005) Conserving biodiversity in Vietnam: Applying biogeography to conservation research. Proceedings - California acadamy of sciences 56: 98

396 Stuart BL, van Dijk PP, Hendrie DB (2001) Photographic guide to the turtle of Thailand, Laos,
397 Vietnam and Cambodia. IUCN, Asia

398 Tam Thanh People Committee (2019) Report on social economic-security and defense for first six
399 months 2019 of Tam Thanh commune, Quan Son district, Thanh Hoa province

400 Thanh Hoa FPD (2019) Tam Thanh commune forest types. Exported from Thanh Hoa provincial
401 Forest Protection Department (FPD) database, forest inventory 2018

402 Tran KL, Pham VT, McCormack TEM, Hoang VH, Le M, Ngo MH (2016) Illegal turtle trade in
403 Bac Kan, Quang Ninh, Tuyen Quang Provinces and online illegal turtle trade. VNU Journal
404 of Science: Earth and Environmental Sciences 32: 291–299

405 Turtle Taxonomy Working Group, Rhodin AGJ, Iverson JB, Bour R, Fritz U, Georges A, Shaffer
406 HB, van Dijk PP (2017) Turtles of the World: Annotated Checklist and Atlas of Taxonomy,
407 Synonymy, Distribution, and Conservation Status (8th Ed.). In: Rhodin AGJ, Iverson JB,
408 van Dijk PP, Saumure RA, Buhlmann KA, Pritchard PCH, Mittermeier RA (Eds).
409 Conservation Biology of Freshwater Turtles and Tortoises: A Compilation Project of the
410 IUCN/SSC Tortoise and Freshwater Turtle Specialist Group. Chelonian Research
411 Monographs 7:1–292. doi: 10.3854/crm.7.checklist.atlas.v8.2017

412 Van Dijk PP, Stuart BL, Rhodin AGJ (2000) Asian turtle trade: proceedings of a workshop on
413 conservation and trade of freshwater turtles and tortoises in Asia. Chelonian Research
414 Monographs, pp. 1–164

415 Van TP, Luu VQ, Tien TV, Leprince B, Khanh LTT, Luiselli (2019) Longitudinal monitoring of
416 turtle trade through Facebook in Vietnam. Herpetological Journal 29: 48–56.

417 WWF (2019) Tropical and subtropical moist broadleaf forests. Southeastern Asia: China, Laos,
418 Myanmar, Thailand, and Vietnam. http://worldwildlife.org/ecoregions/im0137 (accessed
419 on 8 Sept. 2019)

420

421 **Table 1**: List of the various chelonian species observed during the present study in the hands of the interviewed hunters from villages
422 around Nam Dong SHCA and Tam Thanh commune. IUCN (2019) red list status and local names are also given.

Family	Species	Local name	IUCN red list	No. individuals	Alive	Dead
Geomydidae	*Cuora galbinifrons*	Táu Cặp, rùa hộp	CR	36	18	18
Geomydidae	*Cuora mouhotii*	Rùa đá, rùa núi, thọ khiểu, rùa gai	EN	13	10	3
Geomydidae	*Cyclemys oldhamii*	Rùa cứt trâu, táu nác	NE	1	0	1
Geomydidae	*Geoemyda spengleri*	Táu lửa, táu phay, rùa gai bé	EN	7	6	1
Geomydidae	*Sacalia quadriocellata*	Tàu khíu, cong long, rùa bốn mắt, rùa hôi	EN	2	2	0
Platysternidae	*Platysternon megacephalum*	Rùa mỏ vẹt, rùa đầu to, cạp cang	EN	6	6	0
Testudinidae	*Manouria impressa*	Táu hạc, rùa gối, tàu nam hiểng, rùa gai	VU	49	5	44
Trionychidae	*Palea steindachneri*	Pa phả nám, ba ba gai, ba ba khe	VU	2	1	1
Trionychidae	*Pelodiscus sinensis*	Pha mứn, ba ba trơn	VU	2	1	1
Total				118	49	69

423

424

425

426 **Table 2**: Synthesis of the interview data concerning the turtles at the study area. For the specific questions see the text.

Family	Species	No. mentioning interviews	%	Fishing-nets	By hand	Hunting dogs	By chance	Hunting season	Consumption	Decoration	Sell to traders	Traditional medicine	Pet
Geomydidae	*Cuora galbinifrons*	55	53.4		+	+	+	March-September		Y	Y	Y	
Geomydidae	*Cuora mouhotii*	34	33			+	+	March-September	Y		Y		
Geomydidae	*Cyclemys oldhamii*	5	4.8	+					Y				
Geomydidae	*Geoemyda spengleri*	15	14.6			+	+	March-September	Y		Y		Y
Geomydidae	*Sacalia quadriocellata*	14	13.6		+								Y
Platysternidae	*Platysternon megacephalum*	38	36.9		+			March-September					
Testudinidae	*Manouria impressa*	66	64.1			+	+	March-September	Y	Y	Y		
Trionychidae	*Palea steindachneri*	21	20.4	+	+				Y		Y		
Trionychidae	*Palea steindachneri/Rafetus swinhoei*	3	2.9										
Trionychidae	*Pelodiscus sinensis*	20	19.4		+				Y		Y		

427

428

429 **Figure 1:** Map of northern Vietnam, showing the study area, the survey tracks made by the
430 researchers, the sites of sighting for free-ranging turtles and for individuals kept in hunters' houses.



431

432

433 **Figure 2**: Main habitat types at the study area. Top left: general view of the study forest. Top right:
434 habitat of *Geoemyda spengleri* with the dominant plant being the bamboo *(Indosasa* sp.). Bottom
435 left: Habitat of *Cuora galbinifrons* in the bamboo forest (*Maclurochloa* ssp). Bottom right:
436 *Geoemyda spengleri* habitat in a rocky cave. Photos by Pham Van Thong.

437
438

Figure 3: Individuals of *Cuora galbinifrons* (above) and *Geoemyda spengleri* (below) observed in the wild at the study area. Photos by Pham Van Thong.

444 **Figure 4**: Some turtle individuals observed in the hunters' houses at the study area. Left:
445 *Platysternon megacephalum* in Pa village (photo by Nguyen Van Tai). Right: a group of seven
446 *Cuora galbinifrons* and three *Manouria impressa* (photo by Lo Van Ngoi).

447

448

449 **Figure 5:** Individual rarefaction curve for the total (i.e. the field observed + the hunters' collected)
450 turtle sample recorded during the present surveys. Blue lines would indicate 95% confidence
451 intervals after 9,999 bootstraps.



452

453 **ONLINE SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIALS**

454 **Appendix 1**. Summary of the microhabitat data for the various turtle individuals found in the field during the present surveys.

	Cuora galbinifrons	***Cuora galbinifrons***	***Cuora galbinifrons***	***Geoemyda spengleri***	***Geoemyda spengleri***	***Geoemyda spengleri***
Longitude	20.21035	20.21038	20.22073	20.2229	20.22212	20.1658
Latitude	104.78767	104.78607	104.79681	104.79681	104.79799	104.9297
Altitude (m)	650	669	612	684	664	969
Observation time	09:50	11:10	12:30	14:10	10:04	09:40
Presence of rocky area, rocky cave	0	0	0	0	0	1
Stream	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ground leave litter (mix bamboo and evergreen fallen leave)	0	1	0	1	1	1
Only bamboo leave litter	1	0	1	0	0	0
White mushroom	0	1	0	0	0	0
Bamboo forest cover (dominated by *Maclurochloa* sp.)	0	0	1	0	1	1
Bamboo forest cover (dominated by *Dendrocalamus* sp.)	0	1	0	1	1	0
Bamboo forest cover (dominated by *Schizostachyum* sp.)	1	0	0	1	1	0
Bamboo forest cover (dominated by *Indosasa* sp.)	0	0	0	1	0	0
Cake leave bush (*Phrynium placentarium*)	0	1	0	0	0	0
Forest ginger (*Amomum* sp.)	0	0	0	0	1	0
Forest banana (*Musa acuminata*)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fern (Polypodiidae)	0	0	0	0	0	1
Mix bamboo and evergreen forest	0	0	0	1	1	1
Fallen, rotten trees	0	0	0	0	0	0

Forest trail	0	0	0	1	0	0
Weather	Sunny day after heavy raining the day before	Sunny day after heavy raining the day before	Slightly raining	Slightly sunny	Sunny	Overcast, rain is coming
Temperature (°C)	25,2	29,4	22,2	23,4	23,6	22,6
Humidity (%)	87,7	84,6	94	94	89	90
Slope angle (degree)	10	10	40	40	19	20
Forest cover (%)	80	65	85	75	65	80

455

456

Appendix 2: Body size measurements of turtle individuals from the study area, CL=Carapace length, CW=Carapace width, SH=Shell height, BM=Body mass. Symbols for the source of individuals: WO=Wild observation, VO= Village observation.

Observation type	Species	CL (mm)	CW (mm)	SH (mm)	BM (g)
WO	*Cuora galbinifrons*	92	70	39	140
WO	*Cuora galbinifrons*	161	99	70	644
VO	*Cuora galbinifrons*	170	120	76	743
VO	*Cuora galbinifrons*	182	121	76	819
VO	*Cuora galbinifrons*	70	57	23	31
VO	*Cuora galbinifrons*	174	140	104	346
VO	*Cuora galbinifrons*	172	121	77	855
VO	*Cuora galbinifrons*	167	109	68	708
VO	*Cuora galbinifrons*	167	116	79	768
VO	*Cuora galbinifrons*	174	113	66	693
VO	*Cuora galbinifrons*	153	102	67	563
VO	*Cuora galbinifrons*	183	125	79	850
VO	*Cuora galbinifrons*	166	105.3	78.8	620
VO	*Cuora galbinifrons*	162	109	71	685
VO	*Cuora galbinifrons*	175	132	73	600
VO	*Cuora galbinifrons*	180	140	85	700
VO	*Cuora mouhotii*	147	103.5	60	554
VO	*Cuora mouhotii*	157	109	64	385
VO	*Cuora mouhotii*	174	110	-	693
VO	*Cuora mouhotii*	176	120	68	654
VO	*Cuora mouhotii*	153	105	63	247
WO	*Geoemyda spengleri*	109.5	69.5	38	211
WO	*Geoemyda spengleri*	91	68	34	140
WO	*Geoemyda spengleri*	97	63	35	157
VO	*Geoemyda spengleri*	109.1	71.86	-	146
VO	*Geoemyda spengleri*	102	71	38	124
VO	*Geoemyda spengleri*	98.7	70.6	41.3	149
VO	*Geoemyda spengleri*	112	75.5	37.8	120
VO	*Manouria impressa*	132	112	54	266

VO	*Pelodiscus sinensis*	75	73	31	5
VO	*Platysternon megacephalum*	123	89	32	329
VO	*Sacalia quadriocellata*	57	52.5	21	22
VO	*Sacalia quadriocellata*	80.2	69.7	-	50.5
WO	*Cuora galbinifrons*	166	114	72	779

Appendix 3: Correlation between carapace length and body mass in 16 *Cuora galbinifrons* from the study area.



Appendix 4: Summary of the composition of interviewees' profession and ethnicities.

Total no. interviewees	Interviewee's profession				Ethnicity		
	Hunters	Farmers	Wildlife traders	Other	Muong	Thai	Kinh
103	51	40	6	6	16	86	1