

# Please cite the Published Version

Littlewood, Christopher <sup>(D)</sup>, Astbury, Catrin, Bush, Howard, Gibson, Jo, Lalande, Stacey, Miller, Caroline, Pitt, Lisa, Tunnicliffe, Helen and Winstanley, Rachel (2021) Development of a physiotherapist-led exercise programme for traumatic tears of the rotator cuff for the SPeEDy study. Physiotherapy, 111. pp. 66-73. ISSN 0031-9406

# DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physio.2020.07.008

Publisher: Elsevier

Version: Accepted Version

Downloaded from: https://e-space.mmu.ac.uk/626209/

Usage rights: Creative Commons: Attribution-Noncommercial-No Derivative Works 4.0

**Additional Information:** This is an Author Accepted Manuscript of a paper accepted for publication in Physiotherapy, published by and copyright Elsevier.

#### Enquiries:

If you have questions about this document, contact openresearch@mmu.ac.uk. Please include the URL of the record in e-space. If you believe that your, or a third party's rights have been compromised through this document please see our Take Down policy (available from https://www.mmu.ac.uk/library/using-the-library/policies-and-guidelines)

# Development of a physiotherapist-led exercise programme for traumatic tears of the rotator cuff for the SPeEDy study

4

# 5 Chris Littlewood (Corresponding author) <sup>1, 2</sup>

- 6 1. School of Primary, Community and Social Care, Keele University, Staffordshire, UK.
- 7 2. Department of Health Professions, Faculty of Health, Psychology & Social Care, Manchester8 Metropolitan University
- 9 c.littlewood@mmu.ac.uk
- 10 Catrin Astbury<sup>3</sup>
- 11 3. The Robert Jones and Agnes Hunt Orthopaedic Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, Oswestry, UK.
- 12 catrin.astbury@nhs.net

# 13 Howard Bush <sup>4</sup>

14 4. University Hospitals Coventry & Warwickshire, Coventry, UK. <u>Howard.Bush@uhcw.nhs.uk</u>

# 15 Jo Gibson <sup>5</sup>

- 5. The Liverpool Upper Limb Unit, The Royal Liverpool and Broadgreen University Hospitals NHS
   Trust, Liverpool, UK. jogibson1@live.co.uk
- 18 Stacey Lalande <sup>6</sup>
- 19 6. Airedale General Hospital, Airedale NHS Foundation Trust, Keighley, UK.
- 20 <u>Stacey.Lalande@anhst.nhs.uk</u>

# 21 Caroline Miller <sup>7,8</sup>

- 22 7. Queen Elizabeth Hospital Birmingham, University Hospitals Birmingham NHS Foundation Trust,
- 23 Birmingham, UK.
- 24 8. School of Health Sciences, University of East Anglia, UK. <u>Caroline.Miller@uhb.nhs.uk</u>
- 25 Lisa Pitt <sup>9</sup>
- 26 9. Derby Shoulder Unit, University Hospitals Derby & Burton NHS Foundation Trust, Derby, UK.
- 27 lisapitt@nhs.net

#### 28 Helen Tunnicliffe <sup>10</sup>

- 29 10. Leicester Shoulder Unit, University Hospitals of Leicester NHS Trust, Leicester, UK.
- 30 <u>helen.tunnicliffe@uhl-tr.nhs.uk</u>

# 31 Rachel Winstanley <sup>11</sup>

- 32 11. Royal Stoke University Hospital, University Hospitals of North Midlands NHS Trust, Stoke, UK.
- 33 Rachel.Winstanley@uhnm.nhs.uk

# 34 Abstract

- 35 The SPeEDy study (Surgery versus physiotherapist-led exercise for traumatic tears of the rotator
- 36 cuff) is a two-arm, parallel group, pilot and feasibility randomised controlled trial aiming to evaluate
- 37 the feasibility of a future main trial. In this paper, the development process and the resultant
- 38 physiotherapist-led exercise programme used in the SPeEDy study is described. Thirteen
- 39 physiotherapists and three patients met to discuss and develop the key principles that should
- 40 underpin the exercise programme. Taking in to account the current research evidence and
- 41 incorporating expert clinical and patient opinion, the group developed an individualised, structured
- 42 and progressive physiotherapist-led exercise programme based on the principle of self dosing.
- 43 Exercise prescription within the programme is based on establishing the current functional capacity
- 44 of the patient in relation to the most challenging shoulder movements and is supported over
- 45 approximately six contact sessions across a 12-week period. The SPeEDy study aims to recruit 76
- 46 participants across eight hospitals and will provide high quality evidence about the feasibility of a
- 47 future main randomised controlled trial in a clinical area where there is a lack of evidence from
- 48 randomised controlled trials to support clinical decision-making.

# 49 Trial Registration

- 50 ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT04027205) Registered on 19 July 2019. Available
- 51 via https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04027205

# 52 Contribution of the Paper

- In line with current guidance, this paper reports the development process and the resultant
   physiotherapist-led exercise programme used in the SPeEDy study
- An individualised, structured and progressive physiotherapist-led exercise programme based
   on the principle of self-dosing is described. Exercise prescription within the programme is
   based on establishing the current functional capacity of the patient in relation to the most
   challenging shoulder movements and is supported over approximately six contact sessions
   across a 12-week period.
- The SPeEDy study aims to provide high quality evidence about the feasibility of a future main
   randomised controlled trial in a clinical area where there is a lack of evidence from
- 62 randomised controlled trials to support clinical decision-making.
- 63 Key Words
- 64 Physiotherapy; exercise; rotator cuff tear; shoulder

65

# 67 Background

68 Tears of the rotator cuff (RC) are regarded as a significant cause of shoulder pain and the rates of 69 surgery to repair the torn RC have risen approximately 200% over recent years across Europe and 70 the USA [1–4]. RC tears might be described in relation to size, e.g. small, medium, large, or location, 71 e.g. supraspinatus. Additional descriptors relate to depth, e.g. partial or full-thickess, and also 72 mechanism of onset, i.e. traumatic or non-traumatic. So, for example, one description of a RC tear 73 could be a traumatic, medium-sized full-thickness tear of supraspinatus. Depending upon the 74 assumed mechanism of onset, different treatment pathways are proposed in the current British 75 Elbow & Shoulder Society and British Orthopaedic Association guidelines [5]. It is suggested that 76 non-traumatic RC tears should exhaust non-surgical means prior to considering surgery, where in 77 contrast the guidelines suggest that traumatic RC tears should be regarded as a red flag referral and 78 urgent surgical opinion sought [5].

79 To date three randomised controlled trials (n = 252) comparing surgery to non-surgical treatment 80 have been undertaken and synthesised in a systematic review [6]. Non-surgical treatment in these 81 RCTs was mixed but typically included programmes of physiotherapist-led exercise based on varied 82 prescription parameters. The review concluded there is limited evidence that surgery is not more 83 effective than non-surgical treatment. But, of the 252 patients included in the systematic review, 84 only 40 (16%) were diagnosed with traumatic tears of the RC (24 randomised to surgery; 16 to 85 physiotherapist-led exercise). So, there is a lack of evidence from randomised controlled trials (RCTs) 86 to support clinical decision-making.

87

Recognising this uncertainty, in 2018, the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) funded a
pilot and feasibility RCT with this focus; the SPeEDy study (Surgery versus physiotherapist-led
exercise for traumatic tears of the RC). The aim of this RCT is to determine the feasibility of a future
main RCT to test the hypothesis that a programme of physiotherapist-led exercise is not inferior to

surgical repair of the RC in terms of clinical outcomes but is more cost-effective. In line with current
 guidance on development of complex interventions [7], this paper describes the development
 process and resultant programme of physiotherapist-led exercise used in the SPeEDy study.

95

# 96 Overview of the SPeEDy study

97

98 SPeEDy is a two-arm, parallel group, pilot and feasibility RCT with integrated Quintet Recruitment 99 Intervention [8] and qualitative interviews. Adult patients (≥18 years) diagnosed with a tear of the 100 RC following a traumatic incident thought to be of sufficient force to induce a tear which is 101 confirmed by diagnostic ultrasound or MRI scan undertaken as part of routine diagnostic work-up 102 will be eligible to participate. At this pilot stage, providing that patients are deemed suitable for 103 surgery or the programme of physiotherapist-led exercise by the attending clinician, they will be 104 eligible to participate. In the event that a patient is diagnosed with a tear of the RC following a 105 traumatic incident but are not deemed suitable by the attending clinician to participate in the RCT, 106 we will collect these reasons to determine the zone of equipoise for a future main RCT. We expect 107 such reasons might refer to the size or location of tear, age of the patient and co-morbidities but 108 these factors are not pre-specified in the research protocol. Participants will be randomly allocated 109 on a 1:1 ratio, stratified by tear size (large tear ≥3cm/ small to medium sized tear < 3cm/ or not 110 known), to either the programme of physiotherapist-led exercise or surgical repair plus usual postoperative rehabilitation. The recruitment target is 76 participants to the RCT with follow-up to six 111 112 months post-randomisation to enable a judgement about feasibility of a future main trial. The full 113 study protocol has been published at: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04027205

# Development of the SPeEDy physiotherapist-led exercise programme In January 2019, thirteen physiotherapists with an interest and clinical experience in assessing and

117 treating people with shoulder pain and three patients with experience of various musculoskeletal

pain presentations, including shoulder pain, participated in an intervention development day
facilitated by the lead author. Physiotherapists were invited via email to the lead authors
professional network and via Twitter. Patients were invited via the research user group at Keele
University.

122 First, an overview of the SPeEDy study was given to all attendees. Next, a description of the prior 123 systematic review research [6] was presented to the attendees and the varied approaches 124 recognised. In the absence of guidelines about the optimal exercise programme for RC tears and in 125 the context of much clinicial variation, guidance from prior and ongoing research [9,10] was shared 126 with the group to inform their thinking. This guidance included suggestions that the programme of 127 physiotherapist-led exercise should aim to include: 1) a minimal number of exercises that are 128 progressive in nature, i.e. become increasingly challenging as the capacity of the patient increases, 2) 129 resistance training as able, and 3) support over a minimum 12-week period [9,10]. The group were 130 also provided with manuals describing exercise programmes used in previous NIHR funded studies 131 for RC disorders [11,12] as a means of informing them what the expected output of the intervention 132 development process might look like. Finally, the group were asked to recognise some of the expected delivery challenges with reference to a heterogenous patient group, e.g. time since onset 133 134 of problem, severity, and functional ability, as well as the heterogenous nature of the 135 physiotherapists who would deliver the exercise programme in terms of knowledge, specialism and 136 experience.

137 After this, three sub-groups were formed to discuss and develop initial ideas about the

physiotherapist-led exercise programme. Following two hours of sub-group discussion, the wider
 group was reconvened and ideas discussed, developed and recommendations made.

140 After the intervention development day, the lead author circulated drafts of the manual detailing

141 the physiotherapist-led exercise programme with request for feedback from the participants before

142 finalising the programme and manual ready for use in the SPeEDy study.

# 143 The physiotherapist-led exercise programme

144

145 The physiotherapist led exercise programme is reported following the template for intervention

- 146 development and replication (TIDieR) checklist [13].
- 147
- 148 The SPeEDy study intervention is an individualised, structured and progressive physiotherapist-led
- 149 exercise programme based on the principle of self dosing with the aim of restoring functional
- 150 capacity to a level acceptable to the individual participant. Exercise prescription is based on
- 151 establishing the current functional capacity of the patient in relation to the most challenging
- 152 shoulder movements and is tailored by the physiotherapist to the individual patient and supported
- 153 over approximately six contact sessions across a 12-week period, as described below (figure 1).

154 During the first contact session, the physiotherapist asks the participant about treatment related goals linked to functional activities. For example, participants might have difficulty reaching to a 155 156 shelf at home, lifting at work, or sports-related difficulties, including serving at tennis etc. Once 157 these have been identified, the physiotherapist will break down the identified functional activities in 158 to component parts. For example, if the participant complains of difficulty reaching to a shelf, 159 predominantly an activity of forward-flexion of the shoulder, initial assessment of exercise capacity 160 will commence in relation to forward-flexion of the shoulder. Similarly, if the participant complains 161 of difficulty with a backhand shot at tennis, then an initial assessment of exercise capacity will 162 commence in relation to external rotation of the shoulder (moving from internal to external rotation 163 to mimic the functional movement with gradually increasing resistance).

There are nine exercise stages for each of the single plane movements of the shoulder. Stages one to three are active-assisted movements, stages four to six are active movements, and stages seven to nine are resisted movements using, for example, a resistive band or handweight. Stage one covers active-assisted movement to 30° (or early range), stage two moves to 90° (or mid-range), and stage three moves to 180° (or end-range). Stages four to six, and then seven to nine follow the same principle in terms of range of movement progression but with active or resisted movement as applicable.

To return to the example where reaching to a shelf is a significant problem, the assessment
commences with testing of active-assisted forward flexion starting with the arm by the side and
moving to 30° (figure 2).

174 Given the lack of research evidence supporting an optimal number of repetitions and sets and 175 considerable variation in clinical practice [9,14], a self-dosed approach is taken where the participant 176 is advised that the exercise should always be challenging to them. The participant could be 177 challenged in relation to pain response, fatigue or perceived exertion, or a combination but this 178 challenge should always be at a level that is acceptable to the individual participant. The level of 179 acceptable response is likely to vary between participants but they are re-assured that such 180 challenge does not equate to damage and they are guided by what is acceptable to them rather than 181 with reference to generic guidance that might not be acceptable to them and hence might serve as a 182 barrier to exercise adherence.

183 So, for example, a participant might commence repeated active-assisted forward flexion with the arm by the side and moving to 30° (stage one). The first 20 repetitions might be perceived as 184 185 challenging but acceptable, but repetitions beyond this become unacceptable. Then, to facilitate 186 exercise adherence via self-monitoring, the participant records the type of exercise performed and 187 the number of sets and repetitions in the exercise manual and diary provided (figure 3). This record 188 sets the target for the participant to meet and exceed during their next exercise session. Such an 189 approach facilitates progressive exercise. Participants are advised to aim for a minimum of one 190 exercise session per day, a minimum of five days per week, and up to three different exercise series 191 will be prescribed, e.g. forward-flexion, abduction (reaching out to the side away from the body), 192 and reaching behind back. Given the self-dosed nature of this programme, no upper limit is 193 prescribed providing the response remains within an individually acceptable limit. For example, 194 participants are asked to re-consider their approach to self-dosing if it was felt the number of 195 exercises undertaken was contributing to pain that impaired sleep.

196 If stage one exercises are perceived as not challenging, the participants move on to stage two 197 exercises. Multiple exercise prescriptions and progressions are detailed in relation to various 198 functional difficulties. These prescriptions and progressions are detailed in an information and 199 exercise booklet with photographs and descriptive text in relation to single plane shoulder 200 movements, i.e flexion, extension, abduction, lateral and medial rotation, as well as opportunity for 201 prescription of combined or functional movement, e.g. serving at tennis, bench press. These 202 combined or functional movements can be progressed through increasing repetitions, speed and/ or 203 resistance. As an exercise sequence (stages one to nine) ceases to become challenging, it is dropped 204 from the exercise prescription and a further sequence, e.g. combined or functional movement, 205 added if needed.

206 In this specific patient example, stage two exercise would be active-assisted forward-flexion of the shoulder with the aim of reaching 90° (figure 4). Following the same principles of progression, stage 207 208 three would incorporate active-assisted flexion to 180° (stage three). Although many participants 209 have exercise capacity greater than these initial stages, this assessment process is important in the 210 context of exercise prescription because it teaches the participants how to progress but also regress 211 their own exercise. This means that if the response to exercise becomes unacceptable when 212 exercising away from the physiotherapist, the participant has the understanding of how to regress 213 the exercise to maintain acceptable levels. Similarly, the patient also has understanding of how to 214 progress the exercise, as they feel able. Such progression is an important component of exercise 215 prescription [9].

216

Stages four, five and six, would include progression to active exercise; up to 30° for stage four, up to
90° for stage five, and then up to 180° for stage six.

Stages seven, eight and nine, would include progression to resisted exercise; up to 30° for stage
seven, up to 90° for stage eight (figure 5), and then up to 180° for stage nine (figure 6).

221

The final stage of the physiotherapist-led exercise programme includes functional restoration with exercise prescribed by the physiotherapist in relation to the specific functional difficulty rather than isolated movements. In this example, the participant would be encouraged to undertake repeated reaching to the shelf, initially with assistance, then without and then against resistance provided through an elastic training band or hand-weight.

The exercise approach described here enables adaptation to the individual participant who, in the context of this SPeEDy study, are likely to present with quite different levels of exercise capacity at the outset. The programme is prescribed and supported within existing NHS physiotherapy services where, following an initial consultation and exercise prescription, the patient will maintain responsibility for undertaking the exercise but returns to the physiotherapist, at individually negotiated and agreed time points over approximately six sessions across a 12-week time period, for follow-up self-management support and advice regarding exercise progression [15,16].

234 Adherence to the exercise programme is recorded in the exercise diary provided to the patient and 235 monitored by the physiotherapist. Alongside the exercise programme there is an educational 236 component, supported by the physiotherapist, that further emphasises the study specific 237 information, including a balanced view of the two interventions and uncertainty about the most 238 effective approach. This aspect of the educational component aims to identify and further discuss 239 any subsequent concerns about being randomised to what might be perceived as a simple 240 intervention, i.e. physiotherapist-led exercise, compared to a surgical intervention. This educational 241 component includes clear advice that improvement takes time and will involve asking the 242 participants to identify barriers to exercise, e.g. time, and discuss ways of managing this. 243

Intervention fidelity will be determined via case note review with reference to the important
components of the exercise programme and the number of physiotherapy contact sessions
attended.

247 Study Training

248

The physiotherapists who deliver the physiotherapist-led exercise intervention are all trained prior to the start of recruitment and treatment. No prior knowledge or experience is assumed, only that the physiotherapists are qualified. The focus of this training is on individualised exercise prescription and progression and is supplemented by a comprehensive manual providing clear guidance. The training takes the form of a workshop consisting of information provision and practical application of the exercise intervention.

# 255 Conclusion

256

257 Despite being such a common and burdensome problem, the optimal treatment pathway for

258 patients with symptomatic traumatic rotator cuff tears is unclear and a current research priority.

259 This paper describes the development and resultant physiotherapist-led exercise programe used in

the SPeEDy study which will evaluate the feasibility of a future main RCT capable of informing clinical

261 decision making with potential for direct patient benefit and efficiency savings for the NHS.

# 263 Declarations

# 264 Acknowledgements

- 265 The authors acknowledge the support of Stacey Lalande, Aidan O'Shea, Karen Larsen, Larry Koyoma,
- Laura Hedley, Elaine Wilmore, Ellie Richardson, Gordon Smith, James Roberts, Rachel Winstanley,
- 267 Catrin Astbury, Lisa Pitt, Andrew Cuff and members of the Research User Group from the Primary
- 268 Care Centre Versus Arthritis, Keele University who contributed to the development of the
- 269 physiotherapist-led exercise intervention.

# 270 Funding

- 271 CL is supported by a National Institute for Health Research Post-Doctoral Fellowship (PDF-2018-11-
- 272 ST2-005) for this research project. The views expressed in this publication are those of the author(s)
- and not necessarily those of the NHS, the National Institute for Health Research or the Department
- 274 of Health and Social Care.

# 275 Authors' contributions

- 276 CL led the intervention development day with support from CA, LP, SL, and RW. CL drafted the
- 277 manuscript and all other authors reviewed and provided feedback on drafts. All authors read and
- approved the final version of the manuscript.

#### 279 Ethics approval and consent to participate

- 280 This SPeEDy study has been reviewed and a favourable opinion provided by the South East Scotland
- 281 Research Ethics Committee (Reference: 19/SS/0098).

#### 282 Competing interests

283 All authors declare they have no competing interests.

284

#### References 286

- 287 [1] Ensor K, Kwon Y, DiBeneditto R, Zuckerman J, Rokito A. The rising incidence of rotator cuff 288 repairs. J Shoulder Elb Surg 2013;22:1628-32.
- 289 [2] Paloneva J, Lepola V, Äärimaa V, Joukainen A, Ylinen J, Mattila VM. Increasing incidence of
- 290 rotator cuff repairs--A nationwide registry study in Finland. BMC Musculoskelet Disord
- 291 2015;16:189. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12891-015-0639-6.
- 292 [3] Colvin A, Egorova N, Harrison A, Moskowitz A, Flatow E. National Trends in rotator cuff repair. 293 J Bone Jt Surg - Am Vol 2012;94:227–33.
- 294 [4] Longo UG, Salvatore G, Rizzello G, Berton A, Ciuffreda M, Candela V, et al. The burden of
- 295 rotator cuff surgery in Italy: a nationwide registry study. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg

2017;137:217-24. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00402-016-2610-x. 296

- 297 [5] Kulkarni R, Gibson J, Brownson P, Thomas M, Rangan A, Carr AJ, et al. BESS/BOA Patient Care
- 298 Pathways: Subacromial shoulder pain. Shoulder Elb 2015;7:135–43.
- https://doi.org/10.1177/1758573215576456. 299
- 300 [6] Ryösä A, Laimi K, Äärimaa V, Lehtimäki K, Kukkonen J, Saltychev M. Surgery or conservative

301 treatment for rotator cuff tear: a meta-analysis. Disabil Rehabil 2016;8288:1–7.

- 302 https://doi.org/10.1080/09638288.2016.1198431.
- 303 [7] Craig P, Dieppe P, Macintyre S, Mitchie S, Nazareth I, Petticrew M. Developing and evaluating complex interventions: the new Medical Research Council guidance. Br Med J 2008;337:979-304 83.
- 305
- 306 [8] Donovan JL, Rooshenas L, Jepson M, Elliott D, Wade J, Avery K, et al. Optimising recruitment
- 307 and informed consent in randomised controlled trials: the development and implementation
- 308 of the Quintet Recruitment Intervention (QRI). Trials 2016;17:283.
- 309 https://doi.org/10.1186/s13063-016-1391-4.

310	[9]	Littlewood C, Malliaras P, Chance-Larsen K. Therapeutic exercise for rotator cuff
311		tendinopathy: a systematic review of contextual factors and prescription parameters. Int J
312		Rehabil Res 2015;38:95–106. https://doi.org/10.1097/MRR.0000000000000113.
313	[10]	Littlewood C, Bateman M, Connor C, Gibson J, Horsley I, Jaggi A, et al. Physiotherapists'
314		recommendations for examination and treatment of rotator cuff related shoulder pain: A
315		consensus exercise. Physiother Pract Res 2019;40:87–94. https://doi.org/10.3233/PPR-
316		190129.
317	[11]	Littlewood C, Bateman M, Cooke K, Hennnings S, Cookson T, Bromley K, et al. Protocol for a
318		multi-centre pilot and feasibility randomised controlled trial with a nested qualitative study:
319		rehabilitation following rotator cuff repair (the RaCeR study). Trials 2019;20:328.
320		https://doi.org/10.1186/s13063-019-3407-3.
321	[12]	Stevenson K, Jackson S, Shufflebotham J, Roddy E, Foster NE. Development and delivery of a
322		physiotherapist-led exercise intervention in a randomised controlled trial for subacromial
323		impingement syndrome (the SUPPORT trial). Physiother (United Kingdom) 2017;103:379–86.
324		https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physio.2017.03.005.

- Hoffmann TC, Glasziou PP, Boutron I, Milne R, Perera R, Moher D, et al. Better reporting of
  interventions: template for intervention description and replication (TIDieR) checklist and
  guide. BMJ Br Med J 2014;348:g1687. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.g1687.
- Bury J, Littlewood C. Rotator cuff disorders: a survey of current (2016) UK physiotherapy
   practice. Shoulder Elb 2018;10:52–61.
- 330 [15] Hopewell S, Keene DJ, Maia Schlüssel M, Dritsaki M, Dutton S, Carr A, et al. Clinical and cost-
- effectiveness of progressive exercise compared with best practice advice, with or without
- 332 corticosteroid injection, for the treatment of rotator cuff disorders: Protocol for a 2x2
- factorial randomised controlled trial (the GRASP trial). BMJ Open 2017;7.
- 334 https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2017-018004.

- 335 [16] Littlewood C, Malliaras P, Mawson S, May S, Walters S. Development of a self-managed
- loaded exercise programme for rotator cuff tendinopathy. Physiotherapy 2013;99:358–62.
- 337 https://doi.org/doi: 10.1016/j.physio.2012.12.002.