

Please cite the Published Version

Chen, S, Zhang, L, Tang, Y, Shen, C, Kumar, R, Yu, K, Tariq, U and Bashir, AK (2020) Indoor temperature monitoring using wireless sensor networks: a SMAC application in smart cities. Sustainable Cities and Society, 61. p. 102333. ISSN 2210-6707

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2020.102333

Publisher: Elsevier

Version: Accepted Version

Downloaded from: https://e-space.mmu.ac.uk/626171/

Usage rights: Creative Commons: Attribution-Noncommercial-No Derivative Works 4.0

Additional Information: This is an Author Accepted Manuscript of a paper accepted for publication in Sustainable Cities and Society, published by and copyright Elsevier.

Enquiries:

If you have questions about this document, contact openresearch@mmu.ac.uk. Please include the URL of the record in e-space. If you believe that your, or a third party's rights have been compromised through this document please see our Take Down policy (available from https://www.mmu.ac.uk/library/using-the-library/policies-and-guidelines)

Indoor Temperature Monitoring Using Wireless Sensor Networks: A SMAC Application in Smart Cities

Shengbo Chen^{a,b}, Lanxue Zhang^a, Yuanmin Tang^c, Cong Shen^d, Roshan Kumar^e, Keping Yu^{f,g,*}, Usman Tariq^h, Ali Kashif Bashirⁱ

^aSchool of Computer and Information Engineering, Henan University, Kaifeng 475001, China ^bShengmeng Technology Ltd, Jiangxi, 343000, China

^cSchool of International Education College, Henan University, Kaifeng 475001, China

^dCharles L. Brown Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA

22904, USA

^eSchool of Miami College, Henan University, Kaifeng 475001, China

^fGlobal Information and Telecommunication Institute, Waseda University, Tokyo 169-8050, Japan ^gShenzhen Boyi Technology Company Ltd., Shenzhen 518125, China

^hCollege of Computer Science and Engineering Prince Sattam bin Abdulaziz University, Saudi Arabia

ⁱDepartment of Computing and Mathematics, Manchester Metropolitan University, United Kingdom

^{*}Corresponding author: Keping Yu (keping.yu@aoni.waseda.jp).

Indoor Temperature Monitoring Using Wireless Sensor Networks: A SMAC Application in Smart Cities

Abstract

SMAC (Social, Mobile, Analytics and Cloud) technologies aim to bridge the cyber, physical and social spaces. The use of wireless sensor networks to monitor indoor temperature is a typical application in smart cities. Rather than splitting the measured temperature and the design of a sensor network, a cyber-physical design approach is proposed by this paper for indoor temperature monitoring using wireless sensor network. The source sensors adopt sleep/wake scheduling, that is, source nodes wake up and sense the temperature periodically. The temperature data is sent to the cloud server via multi-hop relaying sensor nodes in an anycast way. Each sensor decides how to route packets based on its local information and dynamically adjust the sleep/wake duty cycle according to the sensed temperature: if the measured temperature is within normal range, the sensor wakes up infrequently to achieve higher energy efficiency; and vice versa. We first propose an optimal delay algorithm for anycast protocol. The simulation results show that our approach outperforms other heuristic schemes. Furthermore, we implement the proposed algorithm using TelosB sensors with TinyOS. Experiments demonstrate that the designed system can report a temperature anomaly within a small delay and achieve good long-term energy efficiency at the same time.

Keywords: SMAC, Intelligent Computing, Wireless Sensor Network, Temperature Monitoring

1 1. Introduction

SMAC (Social Mobile Analytics and Cloud) is a combination of disruptive technologies. These technologies are believed to drive next-generation data analysis, and infiltrate intelligence and decisionmaking into the physical world, thereby continuously shaping future cities and enhancing the human experience in real time. Social networks are related to data generated from social media platforms. The data shows the customer schedule on the subject of trends and the interests of customers [1, 2]. Mobile devices have become the largest business-building community because they allow users to update their ⁸ profile, learn about the latest promotions, and track location by simply connecting to wireless signals [3].
⁹ Analytics is now a cornerstone of innovation because it can make intelligent predictions about customer
¹⁰ behavior in a relatively short period of time by looking at billions of customer data records available in
¹¹ databases [4]. Cloud computing makes it easy for companies to access data by renting data from cloud
¹² providers without investing millions of dollars in building a data warehouse [5].

Some works have applied SMAC technologies to smart home, smart agriculture and other fields, which 13 achieved impressive results. The authors [6] use Internet of Things(IoT) and sensors to implement a 14 long-term environmental monitoring system. The detailed design and components of the platform take 15 into account the characteristics of low application cost, large number of sensors, fast deployment, long 16 life, low maintenance, and good service quality. Malche [7] describes Frugal Labs IoT Platform (FLIP) 17 for building IoT enabled Smart Home and introduces FLIP architecture with implementation of Smart 18 Home services. The system continuously monitors home air quality and sends alerts to users if there are 19 health risks. It also improves security because users can monitor all activities in their home. In addition, 20 the system makes better use of energy and resources through smart lighting and smart air conditioning 21 systems. In [8], the authors propose an environmentally sustainable home automation system model 22 and control home IoT appliances through an internet-connected device. This model utilizes Android as 23 the basic platform to ensure that the system can be widely used by customers, while the cost of the 24 system is quite low. A new wireless mobile robot is designed and implemented based on the IoT in 25 [9]. The main feature of this intelligent wireless robot is that it can perform tasks such as humidity 26 sensing, startle birds, spray pesticides, move forward/backward and turn on/off electric motors. The 27 robot has been shown to be very useful for intelligent agricultural systems. Chatap [10] investigates 28 the latest cluster protocols for heterogeneous wireless sensor networks (WSN), and concludes through 29 experiments that the proposed protocol has better performance than other existing cluster protocols in 30 a heterogeneous WSN environment. 31

While tons of data is collected, it requires high computation capacity. As cloud storage servers store a large amount of data [11], cloud computing plays a key role in processing these high-volume data. On the other hand, with the increasing computing capacity and communication capabilities, fogedge computing, as an important and effective supplement of cloud computing, has been widely used to deal with the local real-time data. In fog-edge computing, cloud elastic resources are extended to the edge of the network, such as wireless sensors, mobile devices, smart objects and other IoT devices [12, 13, 14, 15, 16], in order to reduce the latency and network congestion.

As an important component for SMAC in smart cities, wireless sensor networks have been widely 39 adopted for monitoring the physical environment. Temperature monitoring is one of the most successful 40 applications of wireless sensor network. It becomes even more important with the fast growth of cloud 41 computing, because cloud servers have to run in appropriate temperature range for purpose of achieving 42 near-optimal capability and security. Because of the low maintenance and installation cost, wireless 43 sensor networks have received lots of attention for monitoring indoor temperature [17]. Especially, 44 densely deployed wireless sensors can be used to scale the temperature in a building without requiring a 45 fixed wired connection [18]. The sensor networks can sense the temperature, which can be transmitted 46 to a controller. If a temperature anomaly occurs, the cloud server will trigger an alarm. 47

In the existing sensor networks, on behalf of assuring the temperature reported anomaly in time, the 48 sensors oblige to measure the environment often, which causes high energy consumption. Additionally, 49 if the sensor networks struggle to have a better energy efficiency by prolonging the sleep period, it 50 may not be capable to perceive the temperature anomaly in time, which can be unaccepted in certain 51 applications. Therefore, it is distinct that there is an elementary tradeoff between detection delay and 52 energy efficiency—the greater the duty cycle, the lower the energy consumption, but the longer the 53 detection latency. The vital problem is how sensors regulate the duty cycles and determine routing 54 based on local data and information. 55

In this paper, we propose an indoor temperature monitoring system using a cyber-physical method, 56 where both the restrictions of wireless sensor networks (i.e., energy consumption) and the demands of 57 the indoor temperature monitoring (i.e., low detection latency) are collectively considered. Exploring 58 the *graduality* characteristic of the variations in temperature is the key idea. Particularly, the sensors 59 are designed to regulate their own duty cycles according to the measured temperatures. The sensors 60 will wake up rarely to achieve a high energy efficiency when the measured temperature is normal. On 61 the other hand, if the temperature is anomalous, the sensor nodes reduce their duty-cycles in order that 62 the temperature anomaly alarm will be triggered in time. 63

Sleep/wake scheduling in wireless sensor networks has been widely investigated in the literature; 64 look the recent papers [19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24] and the references therein. [25, 26, 27] have proposed 65 synchronized sleep/wake protocols. But, such synchronization procedures cause attached communication 66 overhead and result in low energy efficiency. [28, 29, 30] propose asynchronous sleep/wake scheduling 67 protocols. In these protocols, every node wakes up independently for purpose of saving energy. However, 68 additional delay produces at every hop because each node obliges to wait for its next-hop node waking up 69 in order that the packet can be transmitted. In this paper, to solve the high detection latency problem, 70 we employ an asynchronized sleep/wake protocol, and adopt anycast packet-forwarding schemes (a.k.a. 71 opportunistic forwarding schemes). Such approach shows some characteristics resembling to both unicast 72 and multicast, and has been shown to reduce the end-to-end latency efficiently. In particularly, nodes 73 sustain multiple candidates of next-hop nodes and transmit their packets to the candidate node that 74 wakes up first in any cast packet-forwarding schemes. Therefore, the one-hop delay can be reduced 75 substantially by using anycast packet-forwarding scheme. Differing from the NQA algorithm proposed 76 in [31] which can make full use of the preprocessing time to improve the efficiency performance, our 77 designed indoor temperature monitoring system implements any cast, which not only has low detection 78 latency of the temperature anomaly, but also achieves better energy efficiency. 79

⁸⁰ The main contributions of this paper can be summarized as follows.

We put forward a cyber-physical design of indoor temperature monitoring system with wireless
 sensor networks, in which the configuration of sensor nodes is dynamically regulated according
 to the measured temperature. This design employs an asynchronized sleep/wake protocol, and
 adopts anycast packet-forwarding schemes. We first propose an delay optimal scheme subject to
 network lifetime constraint, which is proven by both analysis and simulations.

86

87

• We illustrate an implementation of this architecture using TelosB sensors and the TinyOS operation system, and a theoretical analysis of the detection delay is also provided.

We implement the proposed solution in a real-world platform, and experiment results are reported.
 In particular, latency and energy consumption measurements from these experiments prove the
 advantage of our approach.

4

91 2. System Model

We consider a wireless sensor network with N nodes. Assume there are multiple source nodes $N_{s_i}, N_{s_i} \in \mathbb{S}$, and multiple destination nodes $N_{d_i}, N_{d_i} \in \mathbb{D}$, where \mathbb{S} and \mathbb{D} are the set of source nodes and destination nodes. Each source node can detect events by sensing the environment, such as monitoring temperature. When an event is detected, the source node will generate a packet and transmit to the destination node via multi-hop replay. We assume that all destination nodes are homogeneous, that is, a packet can be transmitted to any destination node rather than a particular sink. Note that our solution can be easily extended to the case when destination nodes are heterogeneous.

99 2.1. Sleep-wake Scheduling

All sensor nodes use sleep-wake scheduling to improve the energy efficiency. When a node has a packet to transmit, it keeps sending beacon signals until it receives an ACK from some relay node. When a node wakes up from the sleep mode, it performs sensing immediately. If it senses a beacon from upstream node, it replies an ACK. Then the packet will be transmitted. If it does not detect any beacon, the node goes back to sleep immediately. The sleep period X_i for each node i is assumed to be an independent exponentially distributed random period of time with mean λ_i . In this paper, we assume the event is rare and most energy is consumed for wake-up. Therefore, when node i wakes up more frequently, that is, λ_i is larger, the node consumes energy faster, while achieving a smaller delay. On the other hand, when λ_i is smaller, the node will have a larger delay while guaranteeing a better energy efficiency. Hence, we define the expected lifetime for node i as

$$L_i = \frac{E_i}{\lambda_i e_i}, \ \forall i \in (1, \cdots, N), \tag{1}$$

where E_i is the total energy available for node *i*, and e_i is the expected energy consumption rate for each wake-up period. We assume both E_i and e_i are known in advance.

102 2.2. Anycast Protocol

Each node *i* has multiple candidate relay nodes, which we call forwarding set \mathbb{F}_i . The node picks the first wake-up node in \mathbb{F}_i to transmit the packet. Since we assume the sleep periods to be exponentially



Figure 1: Anycast model

distributed for all nodes, the time before the first node wakes up is also exponentially distributed with parameter $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{F}_i} \lambda_j$. This means that the delay for next hop will be greatly reduced. Fig. 1 shows an example for anycast protocol, where one sender node has two nodes in its forwarding set. Since node r_1 wakes up before node r_2 , the sender node sends the packet to node r_1 after receiving ACK.

We define the expected delay from node i to destination nodes as D_i . Denote p_{ij} as the probability that node j wakes up first in the forwarding set and T_p is the packet transmission time. The delay D_i consists of three components: the time period for the first forwarding node wakes up, the packet transmission time to the forwarding node, and the expected delay for the forwarding node. Hence, we will have

$$D_i = \frac{1}{\sum_{j \in \mathbb{F}_i} \lambda_j} + T_p + \sum_{j \in \mathbb{F}_i} p_{ij} D_j.$$
⁽²⁾

Also because the sleep period is exponentially distributed, we have

$$p_{ij} = \frac{\lambda_j}{\sum_{k \in \mathbb{F}_i} \lambda_k}.$$
(3)

109 2.3. Problem Formulation

The objective is to minimized the expected delay from each source node to the destinations, while guaranteeing the lifetime of each node i is above some threshold ϵ_i . Hence, the problem can be formulated

Problem A:
$$\min_{\vec{\lambda}_i, \vec{\mathbb{F}}_i} D_i$$

subject to $L_i \ge \epsilon_i, \forall i.$ (4)

110 3. Optimal Anycast Algorithm

In this section, we will first propose the optimal anycast algorithm, that is, the solution to Problem A. Then we verify the optimality through simulations.

113 3.1. Algorithm Description

¹¹⁴ We first describe our algorithm as follows:

115 OAA: Optimal Anycast Algorithm

(1) Each node *i* initializes its delay by $D_i = \infty$, $\lambda_i = \frac{E_i}{\epsilon_i e_i}$, and forwarding set $\mathbb{F}_i = \mathcal{C}_i$, where \mathcal{C}_i is the set of all nodes that are in the communication range of node *i*.

(2) For each iteration, each node i updates its delay by Eqn. (2) and Eqn. (3).

(3) For each iteration, each node i updates its forwarding set by

$$\mathbb{F}_i = \{ j \in \mathcal{C}_i | D_j \le D_i - T_p \}.$$
(5)

(4) If both D_i and \mathbb{F}_i keep the same from iteration h-1 to h for each node i, the algorithm stops. Otherwise, return to Step 2.

- The optimality of OAA is characterized by the following theorem:
- **Theorem 1**: The delay for each node is minimized under **OAA**.

123 *Proof.* We need to prove that the optimal forwarding set should be selected according to Eqn. (5).

Assume that the optimal forwarding set $\hat{\mathbb{F}}_i$ is not selected according to Eqn. (5). There will be two cases. Case 1: $\hat{\mathbb{F}}_i \supset \mathbb{F}_i$. This means that some node $j \in \hat{\mathbb{F}}_i$ has its delay $D_j > D_i - T_p$. Clearly, according to Eqn. (2), the delay D_i can be reduced if this node j is removed from the forwarding set.

Case 2: $\hat{\mathbb{F}}_i \subset \mathbb{F}_i$. This means that some node $k \notin \hat{\mathbb{F}}_i$ has its delay $D_k < D_i - T_p$. We will show that if we include node k in the forwarding set, the new delay \hat{D}_i is smaller than D_i . According to Eqn. (2) and (3), we have

$$\hat{D}_{i} - D_{i} = \frac{\sum_{j \in \mathbb{F}_{i} \cup k} \lambda_{j} D_{j} + 1}{\sum_{j \in \mathbb{F}_{i} \cup k} \lambda_{j}} - \frac{\sum_{j \in \mathbb{F}_{i}} \lambda_{j} D_{j} + 1}{\sum_{j \in \mathbb{F}_{i}} \lambda_{j}}$$
$$= \lambda_{k} \frac{\sum_{j \in \mathbb{F}_{i}} \lambda_{j} D_{k} - \sum_{j \in \mathbb{F}_{i}} \lambda_{j} D_{j} - 1}{\sum_{j \in \mathbb{F}_{i} \cup k} \lambda_{j} \cdot \sum_{j \in \mathbb{F}_{i}} \lambda_{j}}$$
(6)

From Eqn. 2, we have

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{F}_i} \lambda_j D_j = (D_i - T_p) \cdot \sum_{j \in \mathbb{F}_i} \lambda_j - 1.$$
(7)

Combining Eqn. 6 and 7, we have $\hat{D}_i - D_i < 0$ given that $D_k < D_i - T_p$. This means that the delay can be reduced if we include node k in the forwarding set.

Hence, we conclude that the optimal forwarding set should be selected according to Eqn. (5). \Box

131 3.2. Simulations

¹³² 3.2.1. One source to one destination

We randomly generate a network with 100 nodes containing one source node and one destination node. As shown in Fig. 2, the source node and the destination node are at the bottom-left and top-right corner, respectively. We assume that all nodes have the same lifetime constraint $\epsilon_i = 1, \forall i, \text{ i.e., } L_i \geq 1$, but with different energy availability $\frac{E_i}{e_i}$, which is in the range [5,15]. Thus, according to Eqn. (1), the sleep period for node *i* is generated following exponentially distribution with parameter $\lambda_i = \frac{E_i}{e_i}$. The transmission delay T_p is assumed to be 0.1 second.

We first use **OAA** to calculate the delay and the forwarding set for each node. Then for each run of the simulation, one packet is generated and transmitted to the destination via anycast. The red curve in Fig. 2 demonstrates an example of the routing path, while the green dash lines are the forwarding



Figure 2: Example for the anycast routing with one source and one destination

sets. We repeat running the simulation for 10000 times and average the delays for the source node. We
obtain the average delay of source node, which is 1.754 second, while the calculated expected delay is
1.753 second. We can see that the simulation result and the analytical result are almost identical.

Besides the anycast routing, we also simulate the deterministic routing scheme, where each node chooses the node with the minimum delay in its forwarding set as the next hop. In this case, the average source-to-end delay is 9.0210 second, which is 80.6% higher than the delay for anycast.

¹⁴⁸ We also compare the delays under different schemes, as shown in Fig. 3. The x-axis is the lifetime ¹⁴⁹ constraint ϵ_i , and the y-axis is the simualted delay. The blue curve is the delay of our **OAA** algorithm. ¹⁵⁰ The orange curve, labeled as "Deterministic routing" represents the scheme which always selects the ¹⁵¹ node with the smallest delay in the forwarding set. Similarly, the green and red curves, represent the ¹⁵² schemes that always choose 2 and 3 nodes in the forwarding set, respectively. It can be seen that the ¹⁵³ delay of our **OAA** algorithm is smaller than all other heuristic schemes.

¹⁵⁴ 3.2.2. One source to multiple destinations

The settings of this simulation are the same as the previous simulation except that there are three destination nodes, as shown in Fig. 4. The average delay for source node over 10000 runs is 1.107 second, while the analytical expected delay is 1.110 second for anycast routing. Similarly, the average delay for deterministic routing is 1.350 second, which is 17.8% higher than anycast routing.



Figure 3: The delay versus lifetime under different schemes



Figure 4: Example for the anycast routing with one source and three destinations



Figure 5: Example for the anycast routing with two sources and two destinations

159 3.2.3. Multiple Sources to Multiple Destinations

In this part, we use two source nodes and two destination nodes, as shown in Fig. 5, while other settings remain the same. The average delays for both source nodes over 10000 runs are 1.348 second and 1.203 second, respectively, while the analytical expected delays are 1.420 second and 1.204 second. Similarly, the average delays for deterministic routing are 1.682 second and 1.511 second, respectively, which shows that anycast routing achieves better average delays by 19.9% and 20.4% than deterministic routing, respectively.

¹⁶⁶ 4. Experiment Design

167 4.1. Hardware Platform

Our system is built based on the TelosB sensors with TinyOS operation systems [32]. TelosB has 168 a MSP430 microcontroller, as well as a CC2420 communication chipset using IEEE 802.15.4. It has 169 many superiorities, such as high data rate and low power rate. And the USB of TelosB can be used 170 for programming, debugging and collecting data. We show the TelosB hardware platform that we use 171 in this work in Fig. 6. In particular, SHT11 is the component for temperature sensing. SHT11 also 172 combines its sensor with signal-processing element on a footprint and a fully calibrated digital output 173 is provided. The CMOSens[®] technology provides good stability and reliability, which is connected to 174 TelosB through I^2C . 175



Figure 6: TelosB with SHT11.



Figure 7: Illustration of the network topology.

TinyOS is an open-source operation system designed for wireless sensor network, which can support complex, concurrent programs requiring low memory and low-power operations [33]. It has a lightweight thread technology, component-based architecture, active message communication model, and event-driven execution model. Component-based architecture enables quick development, as its library includes lots of key elements, like wireless protocols, sensor drivers, distributed services, and data collecting tools. The event-driven execution model can deal with greatly concurrent events and realize good energy efficiency.

183 4.2. Network Topology

In our implementation, we deploy nine sensors within six rooms, where the topology is shown in Fig. 7. The network consists of one source node, one destination node and seven relay nodes. The source node uses sleep-wake scheduling and measures the temperature. The destination node requires to receive the measured temperature from the source and then transmit to the server. As the destination node is out of the transmitting range of the source node, relaying nodes are needed for packets forwarding. The relay nodes are categorized into distinct layers, according to the hops away from the source. For the network in Fig. 7, node 1 and 2 can directly hear the packets from the source, thus they are called layer 1 nodes. Analogously, layer 2 consists of nodes 3, 4 and 5, and layer 3 includes nodes 6 and 7. Notice that the forwarding set is determined by the number of hops from the source, which is optimal in this setting.

When powering on the network, the initialization is done first in order that relay nodes are cat-194 egorized to diverse layers. A control frame including an 8-bit content *layernum* is used during the 195 initialization process. Particularly, once the source node wakes up, it will broadcast this packet includ-196 ing layernum=0x00 periodically for a long time, e.g., 5 second, to ensure that all adjacent nodes received 197 it. The source node then broadcasts *layernum*=0xff to claim the accomplishment of initialization. After 198 the control packet is received by a node, it will analyze the payload and make decision consequently. 199 More explicitly, if received *layernum* is not the same as 0xff and smaller than a local variable *mylayer* 200 (the default value is 0xfc), the node sets mylayer to be layernum+1. After layernum=0xff is received, 201 it begins to broadcast its own control packet with *layernum=mylayer* in a similar way as the source. 202 This procedure keeps iterating until each node gets its own layer. The procedure is shown in Fig. 8. 203 Node 1 and 2 figure out that they are layer 1 after receiving the control frame from the source. Equally, 204 Node 3, 4 and 5 know that they are layer 2, and Node 6 and 7 are layer 3. 205

In the data transmission, the transmitter's layer information is included in the beacon. Once a beacon is detected, the relay node extracts the layer number and drops the packets with a larger layer number. When the control packet with a layer number is received by the destination node, it will broadcast 0xfd to claim that it is the destination. Table 1 summarizes the functionalities of *layernum*.

210

Table 1: Functionality of *layernum* in control packet.



Figure 8: Illustration of the layer division process.

layernum	functionality
0x00-0xfb	mylayer = layernum + 1
	if my layer < layer num
0xfd	it is the destination node
0xfe	one of the following layer is down,
	broadcast again to check
0xff	start broadcasting

212 5. Cyber-Physical System Design

213 5.1. Sleep/Wake Scheduling

In order to reduce the energy consumed in wireless sensor networks, all nodes adopt the Low Power Listening (LPL) [34], which is a MAC-layer technique. Nodes wake up periodically to sense the channel. LPL has been realized in TinyOS.

We adopt a dynamic *Temperature-Determined Duty Cycle* (TDDC) scheme for all nodes in the system. Particularly, after the source sensor measures the temperature or the relay sensors parsed the temperature, they regulate the sleep-wake cycle according to a pre-determined function between the



Figure 9: Flow chart of the sensor node protocol.

duty cycle parameter and the temperature. If the temperature is abnormal, the nodes can reduce the sleep period in order to wake up more frequently for monitoring the temperature.

222 5.2. Anycast Protocol

In this subsection, we list the protocol by different sensor node categories.

224 5.2.1. Source Node

Fig. 9 illustrates the protocol flow chart for a source node. Once the sensor wakes up, we initiate a timer, which aims to determine the period to measure temperature. When the timer ends, the sensor node measures the temperature, regulates its duty cycle length, and broadcasts the packet. After the temperature is measured, the sensor keeps transmitting the beacon until receiving an ACK from layer node. It will then go back to sleep, the length of which is determined by its current measured temperature.

231 5.2.2. Relay Sensor

The protocol flow chart for a relay node is given in Fig. 10. A relay sensor wakes up based on its own duty-cycle period. When the relay node wakes up, it senses the channel immediately. If there are no beacon, the relay node goes back to sleep. Otherwise, relay node will send an ACK and receive the



Figure 10: Flow chart for the relay node protocol.

235 packet.

There are three packet categories. Data packets are the ones from the source, in which includes a 236 temperature value (16 bits), a counter number (8 bits), a layer information (8 bits), and a sample period 237 (16 bits). The sample period is to determine the duty cycle, i.e., the interval for wake up. Transmitting 238 a packet backwards is prevented by layer information. The counter aims to perceive possible duplication 239 of the packets. More specifically, the new packet will be dropped if the counter is same as the counter 240 for some previously received packet. *Control packets* aim for the initialization process, where a layer 241 number (8 bits) is embedded. *Command packets* are from the destination sensor. The target is to adjust 242 the wake-up interval of relay nodes. A counter number (8 bits) and a parameter (8 bits) are included 243 in the message. 244

245 5.2.3. Destination Sensor

The destination node and the server (a PC) are connected using USB. Since it is powered by USB, it keeps monitoring the channel. All received packets are forwarded to the server for post-processing.

248 5.3. Delay Analysis

Source-to-end delay is a key factor in designing alarming system. When temperature anomaly happens, it requires a small delay. In our system, the delay is primarily from relay nodes which adopts ²⁵¹ LPL strategy.

In this subsection, we will obtain the source-to-end delay theoretically, which sheds light on the system performance. Assume that the sleeping periods for all the relay nodes are an independent and identically distributed (i.i.d) uniform distribution, then we have the probability density function for any node.

Assume that there are *n* nodes in the next layer, whose time to wake-up are donated as X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n , respectively. Then, the delay for the first wake up node is given by $X = min(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$, and the distribution function is derived as:

$$F_X(x) = P(X \le t) = 1 - P(X > t)$$

= $1 - P(X_1 > t, X_2 > t, \dots X_n > t)$
= $1 - \prod_{i=1}^n P(X_i > t)$
= $1 - \prod_{i=1}^n [1 - F_{X_i}(x)]$

Thus, we have

$$F_X(x) = 1 - [1 - F_{X_i}(x)]^n = \begin{cases} 1, & x \ge t_m \\ 1 - (1 - \frac{x}{t_m})^n, & 0 < x < t_m \\ 0, & x \le 0 \end{cases}$$

and we can derive the pdf of X as

$$f_X(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{n}{t_m} (1 - \frac{x}{t_m})^{n-1}, & 0 < x < t_m \\ 0, & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

²⁵⁹ Therefore, we can write the expectation of this layer delay as

$$E_n(X) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x f_X(x) dx$$

=
$$\int_0^{t_m} x \frac{n}{t_m} (1 - \frac{x}{t_m})^{n-1} dx$$

=
$$\frac{t_m}{n+1}$$

Consider the network in Fig. 7, since there are two layer 1 nodes connecting to the source sensor, the delay is $E_2(X) = \frac{t_m}{3}$. Similarly, the delay to layer 2 is $\frac{1}{2} \times \frac{t_m}{3} + \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{t_m}{3} = \frac{t_m}{3}$, and the delay to layer 3 is $\frac{1}{4}E_1(X) + \frac{1}{2}E_2(X) + \frac{1}{4}E_1(X) = \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{t_m}{3} + \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{t_m}{2}$. Thus, the total delay is

$$E(X) = \frac{5}{2} \times \frac{t_m}{3} + \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{t_m}{2} = \frac{13}{12}t_m$$

It is noticed that t_m is a function of measure temperature in our design. When temperature raises, t_m will decline, which result in a smaller source-to-end delay.

²⁶⁵ 6. Implementation

266 6.1. Temperature Record

To mimic a anomalous temperature, a lighter is used to increase the temperature. The flame first gets closer to the source node in order that the temperature gets higher, and then remove away from the source. The recorded temperature is illustrated in Fig. 11. Each dot in the figure represents a data packet, the blue line denotes the time stamps when the controller receive a packet. We can see that the data packets are generated more frequently during high temperature, which can be expected from our design. An alarm will be triggered and displayed if the temperature is higher than an threshold (set to be 50 degree celsius in our design).

274 6.2. Relationship between Delay and Temperature

The source-to-end delay is measured and compared to the theoretical analysis in previous section. Note that the wake up period of relay nodes t_m is a function of the temperature: t_m declines when the temperature increases, and vice versa. To efficiently and effectively measure the delay, the destination



Figure 11: An example for temperature record.

²⁷⁸ node is allowed to transmit packets back to the source node. The packets from the source include a ²⁷⁹ counter number (named *count*). When the source node forwards a packet, it saves the current time in ²⁸⁰ *systime*[*count*]. When a packet is received by the destination, an ACK packet including the counter ²⁸¹ number will be forwarded back to the source node through a quick backward path, which consists of all ²⁸² relay nodes monitoring all the time rather than using LPL. So, the delay for the back path is relatively ²⁸³ small and is considered negligible comparing to the forward-path delay. The sensor node reads the timer ²⁸⁴ and calculates the delay when it receives the data packet.

 $_{285}$ btrpkt = (AckMsg*) payload;

- 286 $\operatorname{count} = \operatorname{btrpkt} -> \operatorname{ct};$
- $_{287}$ time_now = Timer1.getNow();
- 288 delay[count] = time_now-systime[count];

We report the average measured delay under different temperatures in Fig. 12, using the network topology and measurement strategy mentioned above. The theoretical result is also shown for comparison.

We can observe from Fig. 12 that the theoretical delay is always 100ms smaller than the measured delay, but the shape of both matches well. The key reason for the difference is as follows: it takes time for sending, receiving and processing packets, but they are not taken into account in the mathematical



Figure 12: Delay versus temperature.



Figure 13: Proportion of the wake-up state of node 1, 4 and 5.

analysis. Moreover, the delay will get shorter when temperature increases, and the overall trend coincides
with our mathematical analysis.

297 6.3. Wake-up State Proportion

As the relay sensors use the LPL strategy, they only wake up for a short time period to sense the channel. To transmit a packet, it needs a longer period since it has to wait for a wake-up node in its forwarding set. Since the time for sending a packet is much larger than the time for wake up, we neglect the latter one and only measure the former in this experiment.

When the sensor receives a packet, we record a system time stamp as *starttime* (32 bits). After the node receive an ACK, the current time will also be recorded as *finishtime* (32 bits). Hence, we can derive the wake-up delay for each packet by *finishtime – starttime*. It is noted that the delay is less than the true value, since the RF cannot be stopped immediately when the transmission finishes. Nevertheless, since the unmeasured delay is much smaller than the wake-up delay, ignoring it will not result in any meaningful mismatch.

We test node 1, 4 and 5 for 30 minutes when the temperature is 55.5 degrees, and the result is plotted in Fig. 13. As we can see, the ratio of the wake-up state is around 2% - 5%. In particular, for each 100 seconds, node 1 wakes up for 2.4 seconds; node 4 wakes up for 4.3 seconds and node 5 for 2.7 seconds. We thus conclude that our design has a small proportion for wake-up and high the energy efficiency compared to the case without LPL.

313 7. Conclusion

In this work, we develop a cyber-physical-social design approach for temperature monitoring with wireless sensor networks. The source nodes adopt sleep/wake scheduling, that is, wake up and sense the temperature with duty cycles, and forward the data to the destination through multi-hop relaying nodes with anycast protocol. We first show an optimal delay algorithm, which is proven analytically and via simulations. We further implement this anycast scheme on a sensor platform. We dynamically adjust the period of sleep/wake duty-cycle based on the measured temperature. Particularly, when the measured temperature is in the normal range, the sensor nodes wake up infrequently in order to achieve high energy efficiency. On the other hand, if the sensed temperature increases closer to a threshold, the sensors wake up more frequently so that the an alarm can be triggered in time. We implement our design using TelosB sensors with TinyOS, and we show that experimental delay matches our mathematical analysis. Besides, it can detect and report a temperature alarm with a small delay while achieving high energy efficiency over a long duration.

326 ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work is extension of our previous work published in the 2017 IEEE Wireless Communications and Networking Conference (WCNC), San Francisco, CA, 2017 [35]. This work was supported by Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (KAKENHI) under Grant JP18K18044, the Ji'An Finance & Science Foundation under Grant No. [2019]55, and the Grant for Ji'An Key Lab of computer-aided diagnosis for mental disease.

332 References

- [1] H. Elazhary, Internet of things (iot), mobile cloud, cloudlet, mobile iot, iot cloud, fog, mobile
 edge, and edge emerging computing paradigms: Disambiguation and research directions, Journal
 of Network and Computer Applications 128 (2019) 105 140.
- J. Loy-Benitez, Q. Li, K. Nam, C. Yoo, Sustainable subway indoor air quality monitoring and fault tolerant ventilation control using a sparse autoencoder-driven sensor self-validation, Sustainable
 Cities and Society 52 (2020) 101847.
- [3] T. Guelzim, M. Obaidat, B. Sadoun, Chapter 1 introduction and overview of key enabling technologies for smart cities and homes, in: M. S. Obaidat, P. Nicopolitidis (Eds.), Smart Cities and
 Homes, Morgan Kaufmann, Boston, 2016, pp. 1 16.
- [4] M. K. Saggi, S. Jain, A survey towards an integration of big data analytics to big insights for
 value-creation, Information Processing & Management 54 (5) (2018) 758 790, in (Big) Data we
 trust: Value creation in knowledge organizations.

- [5] S. Jegadeesan, M. Azees, P. M. Kumar, G. Manogaran, N. Chilamkurti, R. Varatharajan, C.-H.
 Hsu, An efficient anonymous mutual authentication technique for providing secure communication
 in mobile cloud computing for smart city applications, Sustainable Cities and Society 49 (2019)
 101522.
- [6] D. Punniamoorthy, V. S. Kamadal, B. Srujana Yadav, V. S. Reddy, Wireless sensor networks for
 effective environmental tracking system using iot and sensors, in: 2018 2nd International Conference
 on I-SMAC (IoT in Social, Mobile, Analytics and Cloud) (I-SMAC)I-SMAC (IoT in Social, Mobile,
 Analytics and Cloud) (I-SMAC), 2018 2nd International Conference on, 2018, pp. 66–69.
- [7] T. Malche, P. Maheshwary, Internet of things (iot) for building smart home system, in: 2017
 International Conference on I-SMAC (IoT in Social, Mobile, Analytics and Cloud) (I-SMAC),
 2017, pp. 65–70.
- [8] S. L. S. Sri Harsha, S. C. Reddy, S. P. Mary, Enhanced home automation system using internet of
 things, in: 2017 International Conference on I-SMAC (IoT in Social, Mobile, Analytics and Cloud)
 (I-SMAC), 2017, pp. 89–93.
- [9] K. L. Krishna, O. Silver, W. F. Malende, K. Anuradha, Internet of things application for implemen tation of smart agriculture system, in: 2017 International Conference on I-SMAC (IoT in Social,
 Mobile, Analytics and Cloud) (I-SMAC), 2017, pp. 54–59.
- [10] A. Chatap, S. Sirsikar, Review on various routing protocols for heterogeneous wireless sensor net work, in: 2017 International Conference on I-SMAC (IoT in Social, Mobile, Analytics and Cloud)
 (I-SMAC), 2017, pp. 440–444.
- ³⁶⁵ [11] Y. Xu, J. Ren, Y. Zhang, C. Zhang, B. Shen, Y. Zhang, Blockchain empowered arbitrable data
 ³⁶⁶ auditing scheme for network storage as a service, IEEE Transactions on Services Computing.
- ³⁶⁷ [12] F. Al-Turjman, A. Malekloo, Smart parking in iot-enabled cities: A survey, Sustainable Cities and
 ³⁶⁸ Society 49 (2019) 101608.

- [13] S. E. Bibri, The iot for smart sustainable cities of the future: An analytical framework for sensor based big data applications for environmental sustainability, Sustainable Cities and Society 38
 (2018) 230 253.
- ³⁷² [14] Z. A. Khan, Using energy-efficient trust management to protect iot networks for smart cities,
 ³⁷³ Sustainable Cities and Society 40 (2018) 1 15.
- Y. Xu, J. Ren, G. Wang, C. Zhang, J. Yang, Y. Zhang, A blockchain-based nonrepudiation network
 computing service scheme for industrial iot, IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics 15 (6)
 (2019) 3632–3641.
- ³⁷⁷ [16] M. Diaz, C. Martin, B. Rubio, State-of-the-art, challenges, and open issues in the integration of
 ³⁷⁸ internet of things and cloud computing, Journal of Network and Computer Applications 67 (2016)
 ³⁷⁹ 99 117.
- [17] I. Akyildiz, W. Su, Y. Sankarasubramaniam, E. Cayirci, Wireless sensor networks: a survey, Com puter Networks 38 (4) (2002) 393 422.
- [18] J. Li, J. He, A. Arora, Thermonet: fine-grain building comfort-efficiency assessment, in: Proceedings
 of the 3rd ACM Workshop on Embedded Sensing Systems for Energy-Efficiency in Buildings, 2011,
 pp. 43–44.
- I19] J. Kim, X. Lin, N. Shroff, P. Sinha, Minimizing delay and maximizing lifetime for wireless sensor
 networks with anycast, IEEE/ACM Transactions on Networking 18 (2) (2010) 515–528.
- ³⁸⁷ [20] Y. Z. Zhao, C. Miao, M. Ma, J. B. Zhang, C. Leung, A survey and projection on medium access
 ³⁸⁸ control protocols for wireless sensor networks, ACM Computing Surveys 45 (1) (2012) 1–37.
- [21] A. Bachir, M. Dohler, T. Watteyne, K. Leung, MAC essentials for wireless sensor networks, IEEE
 Communications Surveys and Tutorials 12 (2) (2010) 222–248.
- [22] S. K. Mohapatra, P. K. Sahoo, S.-L. Wu, Big data analytic architecture for intruder detection in
 heterogeneous wireless sensor networks, Journal of Network and Computer Applications 66 (2016)
 236 249.

24

- ³⁹⁴ [23] S. Tian, S. M. Shatz, Y. Yu, J. Li, Querying sensor networks using ad hoc mobile devices: A
 ³⁹⁵ two-layer networking approach, Ad Hoc Networks 7 (5) (2009) 1014 1034.
- ³⁹⁶ [24] M. Abujubbeh, F. Al-Turjman, M. Fahrioglu, Software-defined wireless sensor networks in smart
 ³⁹⁷ grids: An overview, Sustainable Cities and Society 51 (2019) 101754.
- ³⁹⁸ [25] W. Ye, J. Heidemann, D. Estrin, Medium access control with coordinated adaptive sleeping for ³⁹⁹ wireless sensor networks, IEEE/ACM Transactions on Networking 12 (3) (2004) 493–506.
- [26] T. van Dam, K. Langendoen, An adaptive energy-efficient MAC protocol for wireless sensor net works, in: Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Embedded Networked Sensor Systems,
 2003, pp. 171–180.
- [27] G. Lu, B. Krishnamachari, C. Raghavendra, An adaptive energy-efficient and low-latency MAC
 for data gathering in wireless sensor networks, in: 18th International Parallel and Distributed
 Processing Symposium, 2004, pp. 224–232.
- ⁴⁰⁶ [28] C. Schurgers, V. Tsiatsis, S. Ganeriwal, M. Srivastava, Optimizing sensor networks in the energy⁴⁰⁷ latency-density design space, IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing 1 (1) (2002) 70–80.
- [29] J. Polastre, J. Hill, D. Culler, Versatile low power media access for wireless sensor networks, in:
 Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Embedded Networked Sensor Systems, 2004,
 pp. 95–107.
- [30] J. Polastre, J. Hui, P. Levis, J. Zhao, D. Culler, S. Shenker, I. Stoica, A unifying link abstraction
 for wireless sensor networks, in: Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Embedded
 Networked Sensor Systems, 2005, pp. 76–89.
- ⁴¹⁴ [31] X. Wang, L. T. Yang, H. Li, M. Lin, J. Han, B. O. Apduhan, Nqa: A nested anti-collision algorithm
 ⁴¹⁵ for rfid systems, ACM Transactions on Embedded Computing Systems 18 (4).
- ⁴¹⁶ [32] Telosb, http://openwsn.berkeley.edu/wiki/TelosB.
- 417 [33] Tinyos, http://www.tinyos.net/.

- [34] C. Merlin, W. Heinzelman, Duty cycle control for low-power-listening MAC protocols, IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing 9 (11) (2010) 1508–1521.
- [35] C. Shen, S. Chen, A cyber-physical design for indoor temperature monitoring using wireless sensor
 networks, in: 2017 IEEE Wireless Communications and Networking Conference (WCNC), 2017,
 pp. 1–6.