


Please cite the Published Version

Thomas, C, Comfort, P, Jones, PA and Dos'Santos, T  (2017) Strength and Conditioning for Netball: A Needs Analysis and Training Recommendations. *Strength and Conditioning Journal*, 39 (4). pp. 10-21. ISSN 1524-1602

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1519/SSC.0000000000000287>

Publisher: National Strength and Conditioning Association

Version: Accepted Version

Downloaded from: <https://e-space.mmu.ac.uk/626035/>

Additional Information: This is an Author Accepted Manuscript of a paper accepted for publication in *Strength and Conditioning Journal*, published by and copyright National Strength and Conditioning Association.

Enquiries:

If you have questions about this document, contact openresearch@mmu.ac.uk. Please include the URL of the record in e-space. If you believe that your, or a third party's rights have been compromised through this document please see our Take Down policy (available from <https://www.mmu.ac.uk/library/using-the-library/policies-and-guidelines>)

Strength and Conditioning for Netball: A Needs Analysis and Training Recommendations

Christopher Thomas, MSc, CSCS, Paul Comfort, PhD, CSCS*D, Paul A. Jones, PhD, CSCS, and Thomas Dos'Santos, MSc, CSCS
Directorate of Sport, Exercise and Physiotherapy, University of Salford, Salford, Greater Manchester, United Kingdom

ABSTRACT

THERE IS A LACK OF STRENGTH AND CONDITIONING RESEARCH INTO THE DEMANDS AND THE SPECIFIC AREAS OF DEVELOPMENT REQUIRED TO OPTIMIZE PERFORMANCE AND REDUCE THE RISK OF COMMON INJURIES IN FEMALE NETBALL ATHLETES. NETBALL IS PREDOMINANTLY ANAEROBIC, CHARACTERIZED BY FREQUENT HIGH-INTENSITY MOVEMENTS THAT REQUIRE HIGH LEVELS OF STRENGTH, POWER, AND LOWER LIMB CONTROL. HOWEVER, THERE IS LIMITED RESEARCH IN THE PREPARATION OF FEMALE NETBALL PLAYERS FOR TRAINING AND COMPETITION. IN THIS REVIEW, WE PRESENT THE PHYSIOLOGICAL DEMANDS OF TRAINING AND COMPETITION, COMMON CAUSES OF INJURY, AND STRENGTH AND CONDITIONING TRAINING RECOMMENDATIONS TO ENHANCE PERFORMANCE AND REDUCE THE LIKELIHOOD OF INJURY IN FEMALE NETBALL PLAYERS.

INTRODUCTION

Netball is a team sport that has one of the largest participation rates within the British commonwealth, played on a 30.5 × 15.25 m court divided into thirds each measuring 10.17 m. Netball consists of four 15-minute quarters separated by 5 minutes of rest at half-time and 3 minutes between other quarters. Each team consists of 7 players on the court at one time, with each area of the court accessible to each player determined by position. The 7 different positions comprise center court (center [C], wing attack [WA], wing defense [WD]), shooters (goal attack [GA], goal shooter [GS]), and defenders (goal keeper [GK], goal defense [GD]). Thus, players are constantly involved in offensive and defensive maneuvers, affecting the technical, tactical, and physical demands of each position. To perform at high levels, players must be able to cope with the physical demands of the game.

During netball matches, published data demonstrate that a high level of aerobic conditioning is required for the sport with average heart rates (HRs) reported to be between 75 and 85% of the maximum HR (MHR) during match play (17,85). However,

although the performance within netball is primarily associated with a player's aerobic endurance (because of the duration of the game, 60 minutes), the performance, crucial moments, and the outcome of a netball match is dependent on the performance of decisive anaerobic activities (35,37). Netball matches show players change the intensity of the activity every ~6 seconds during the 60 minutes (26), with anaerobic variables such as the amount of running and sprinting bouts to be 25–202 and 5–81 times (26,35), respectively. This has shown to equate to running distances totaling between 143–1,758 and 69–555 m as sprinting (26). These decisive anaerobic components associated with netball (sprinting, turning, jumping, changing pace, cutting, and accelerating and decelerating the body) are forceful and explosive and require near-maximum levels of muscular strength and power production (18,67). Thus, it can be determined that physical qualities play an important role in the requisite performance of netball techniques.

KEY WORDS:

athletic qualities; netball; power; strength; testing; training

Netball Needs Analysis

This article aims to analyze the physiological and injury considerations of netball and provide practical recommendations for testing and developing strength and conditioning programs.

TIME-MOTION ANALYSIS

A high level of conditioning is important in netball given its intermittent nature, where players perform frequent high-intensity bouts of activity, interspersed by periods of low-intensity recovery. In game play, 6 specific movements have been identified, including stationary, walking, jogging, shuffling, running, and sprinting (35), with 35–52% of active game time spent walking across all positions (35).

Because of netball rules, players are required to distribute within 3 seconds of receiving the ball. Thus, short duration, high frequency of activity highlights the intermittent nature of netball. Fox et al. (35) found the average duration of work to be <6 seconds across all positions, with nearly all undertaken within 1–2 seconds. In netball, players are allowed one additional step before either stopping or passing a ball to a teammate; so, players may already preempt their next movement or play before landing or transitioning to another high-intensity activity. Center court players are shown to perform more frequent multidirectional movements than GK and GS, changing activity every 2.8 seconds with a work:rest ratio of 1:2 (26). These findings are expected because of the positional demands placed on GKs and GSs as they are restricted to the shooting circle only (35).

Analysis of English Superleague match play reveals that C players cover up to 8 km, whereas GK and GS cover on average 4.2 km (26). Additionally, GSs players cover more distance sprinting than GKs (370 ± 233 versus 69 ± 54 m), whereas GKs accumulate more distance shuffling than GSs ($2,037 \pm 233$ versus $1,430 \pm 272$ m). However, no analysis of WA, WD, GD, or GA positional demands was made. Given GD marks GA and GK marks GS, one could speculate that the physiological

demands placed on these players would be fairly matched. This notion is supported by findings by Chandler et al. (17) who found WA and WD players to exhibit similar player load (load per minute), likely because of similar court restrictions irrespective of their attacking and defensive duties, respectively. Fox et al. (35) revealed that GD and WD perform the highest average duration for shuffling, possibly because of defensive responsibilities as sprinting, passing, and catching are associated with attacking play. GD and WA are shown to be the second most active positions on court, after C players (32). These findings are likely because of the short high-intensity nature of the roles rather than the constant “running” nature of the C. Although video footage of player movement in these roles has been established, further research is required to directly investigate the physiological demands of these positions.

In support of time-motion analyses, research indicates that higher standard players accumulate greater load per minute (AU) in each position in all periods of the match (9.96 ± 2.50 versus 6.88 ± 1.88 AU) than lower standard players (22). Moreover, lower standard C players have demonstrated lower ($-7.7 \pm 10.8\%$) load per minute in the second half compared with the first half, whereas the difference between first and second halves' load per minute was unclear in higher standard players. These findings suggest that netball players progressing from lower to higher standard of competition need to develop the physical qualities required to enable them to perform at the required intensity. This substantiates previous research (95) that physical characteristics develop across age categories in academy netball players. Furthermore, accelerometer data have shown centers to exhibit significantly greater load per minute than all other positions in collegiate netball match play (17,32). Specifically, C players had higher forward, vertical, and sideward load per minute than all other positions, likely because of the least positional

restrictions. Fish and Greig (32) also found that GSs were exposed to the lowest load per minute, which was significantly lower than all other positions, except GKs. Similarly, Chandler et al. (17) found that GKs and GSs exhibited lower load per minute than all other positions. These findings suggest that the physical demands of netball are position specific because of court restrictions; however, it is essential that these athletes have highly developed aerobic energy systems to cope with the total distance covered, recover from high-intensity bouts, and the duration of the game.

PHYSIOLOGICAL RESPONSES TO TRAINING AND COMPETITION

To date, there is scarce information relating to the physical demands on netball match play and training-related activities (17,85). Steele (85) summarized the physiological responses to netball training and match play, revealing 50% of match play was performed at an average of 75–85% of MHR, whereas 43% of training time was spent <75% of MHR. This reveals that typical netball training activities do not meet the physical demands of competition. However, no comparisons of training modality were made, making it difficult to identify the most and least demanding training activities.

Research by Chandler et al. (17) investigated the physical demands during match play and training sessions classified as skills, game based, traditional conditioning, or repeated high-intensity effort training in collegiate netball players. Findings revealed that load per minute was significantly lower in match play (6.1 AU) and skills training (6.0 AU) than all other modes of training (9.0–18.5 AU). Additionally, mean HR of skills training was significantly lower (144 beats per minute) than match play (174 beats per minute) and all other modes of training (170–179 beats per minute), whereas peak HR for skills training (186 beats per minute) and traditional conditioning (185 beats per minute) was like match play (193 beats per minute). These results indicate that traditional conditioning may best

replicate the HRs observed during match play, but skills training may best replicate the movement demands of match play, in addition to incorporating technical aspects of play. Furthermore, greater accelerations were found in all planes of movement in game-based conditioning. These findings are likely because of this mode of training using reduced player numbers, larger playing area, and rule changes. Similar physiological responses have been observed during small-sided games (SSGs) in team sport practices (51). Another important finding was no difference in rating of perceived exertion between match play and training modes, indicating a mismatch in the physical and perceptual demands of netball training and competition. Therefore, more research is warranted into the perceived exertion of netball match play and common training sessions to effectively quantify training and competition loads. Taken together, these findings indicate that an integration of training modalities may be necessary to prepare netball players for the high-intensity demands of competition.

INJURY RISKS

Landing is a fundamental skill of many movements performed during netball. Given that running with ball in hand is a rule violation, players often perform leaps and bounds to evade opposition to receive and distribute a pass. Therefore, the choice of landing is dependent on the situation and needs of the player. These explosive jumps combined with abrupt landing decelerations impose hazardously high ground reaction forces (GRFs) on the lower body (83). These GRFs coupled with incorrect landing technique have been suggested as a primary cause of lower-body injuries among female netball players (83,85,87,88). Previous research has found the ankle to be the site most commonly injured in netball (84%), with 67% determined as lateral ligament sprains (52). Furthermore, research demonstrates that anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) rupture is the most common knee injury in netball. The fact that females tend to demonstrate greater

knee valgus angles and moments, decreased knee flexion, and higher GRFs during landing and cutting may in part explain this increase in ACL injury risk (33,48,78). However, appropriate lower limb control and strength training have been shown to reduce knee valgus angles and moments (44,69) and GRFs and increase hamstring strength while also improving performance (46,47). For example, Herrington (44) found that performing 3 × 15-minute sessions per week of jump training for 4 weeks reduced knee valgus angle by 9.8°–12.3° in female basketball players while increasing crossover hop distance jumped by 73.6%. Jump training focused on appropriate landing strategies while progressing from bilateral to unilateral exercises in multiple planes. Correct landing mechanics and strategies should be emphasized in closed-skill practices before progression to open-skill jump training activities to increase transference to netball (45). Stuelcken et al. (88) investigated 16 ACL injuries sustained by elite-level netball players, identifying 2 common scenarios for ACL injury to occur: (a) players received a perturbation in the air when jumping to receive or intercept a pass, leading to an unbalanced landing, and (b) rotation and lateral flexion of the trunk relative to foot alignment, before jump landing was completed. Additionally, 13/16 cases landed with a split- or single-leg technique, apparent knee valgus collapse was identified in 8/12 cases, and the positions in which most injuries occurred were C and WA (13/16 cases). These findings support those by Fox et al. (36) in that C, WA, and WD players perform more jump landings from leaping and hopping and are involved in multidirectional movements during play. Therefore, when training C, WA, and WD players, a wide range of bilateral and unilateral landing scenarios should be employed to ensure game specificity is met.

Previous research (50,59) has shown that netball players perform jump landing in forward, vertical, and lateral directions, with the majority performed

unilaterally. Similarly, Fox et al. (34) found unilateral landings to occur frequently in elite netball, concluding that emphasis should be placed on performing unilateral landings correctly, in a wide range of landing scenarios to ensure game specificity is met. Research has shown attacking players to frequently (66% of the time) perform jumps with turns while in flight, in addition to performing a subsequent jump upon landing 32% of the time (62). Therefore, it is likely that different landings from different jumps performed are specific to the positional demands and the in-game scenario.

Several studies have revealed that players produced landing forces of 2.4–5.7 times body weight (BW) for vertical GRF and 2.0–4.6 times BW for horizontal GRF when landing or coming to an abrupt stop during laboratory experiments (67,83,84) with the majority being unilateral landings and GRFs occurring within the first 30% of the landing phase, indicating the rate of loading to be an important factor in the risk of ACL injury.

ANAEROBIC QUALITIES: STRENGTH, POWER, SPEED, AND AGILITY

Sprinting and change of direction (COD) require high levels of relative strength to overcome inertia and control BW through acceleration and deceleration, respectively (81,82). Research has found GRFs of 1.65–4.22 times body mass (BM) during COD in volleyball athletes (6). Therefore, greater levels of maximum strength may improve an athlete's ability to hold static and dynamic positions, such as sprinting and COD (64,81,82), thus providing a greater acceleration, acceptance of higher eccentric forces, and greater frequency of repeated high-intensity exercise (24,25).

The normative research data for netball players range from 0.34 to 0.41 m and 0.35 to 0.46 m for squat jump (SJ) and countermovement jump (CMJ) height, respectively (93,95). These scores are greater than female basketball data (0.25–0.48 m) (41,61), illustrating superior strength and power

in female netball players. It has been reported that a strength discrepancy of $\geq 10\text{--}15\%$ between limbs is considered a significant muscle strength asymmetry (MSA) (57), but it is inconclusive whether such imbalances affect athletic performance or a greater risk of injury. Isometric asymmetrical differences have been observed between dominant and nondominant limbs for peak force and time-specific force values (1–3,73), with researchers reporting larger MSA in weaker female athletes compared with stronger athletes (3,73). Moreover, larger MSAs have been associated with lower jump heights and lower peak power in loaded and unloaded jumps (1). Therefore, strength training recommendations to reduce MSA are found to be equally proficient at improving jumping, landing, and COD on both limbs, thus highlighting the importance of maximum strength in netball players because of the high-intensity COD, jump landing, and injury risks associated with the sport. MSA has typically been assessed via isokinetic dynamometry, isometric midhigh pull, vertical jumping, and horizontal hop tasks (28,49,55,63,70), with the magnitude of MSA likely task dependent. Furthermore, Hewitt et al. (49,50) reported that using jump tests only performed in one direction may not represent an accurate player profile, as jump performance in one direction may not necessarily predict jump performance in another. Therefore, the inclusion of a unilateral measure of horizontal hop performance, such as a single hop for distance, is recommended.

When compared with Australian under 17 netball players (93,95), United Kingdom regional academy under 17 players had faster 5-m (1.25 ± 0.09 versus 1.15 ± 0.07 seconds) and 10-m sprint times (2.07 ± 0.10 versus 1.98 ± 0.08 seconds). These findings are similar to those found between under 19 age groups in the same athlete cohorts (5 m: 1.24 ± 0.08 versus 1.10 ± 0.07 seconds; 10 m: 2.06 ± 0.09 versus 1.94 ± 0.08 seconds) (93,95). However, these differences do not appear to be the product of superior strength levels.

Data from Australian netball scholarship players reveals similar lower limb maximum strength data, in terms of both absolute and relative strength performances (absolute: 71.4 ± 12.6 versus 71.5 ± 7.8 kg; relative: 1.0 ± 0.2 versus 1.1 ± 0.1 kg) in a 3 repetition maximum (RM) back squat (93). These findings suggest that maximal strength and speed development should be emphasized as part of a periodized training program, ensuring appropriate development of each component dependent on the athletes' specific needs.

Professional netball players have been reported to execute a change in activity pattern on average every 6 seconds (26,35). However, positional and court restrictions prevent players from achieving a maximal velocity. Therefore, the ability to change velocity or direction to evade a defender or when reacting to an attacker plays an important role in netball performance (35,37). Accordingly, agility and COD tests are commonly included in netball physical performance testing batteries to evaluate the physical attributes which underpin these performance qualities. The 505 is a commonly used test to assess COD in team sport athletes (5,72,81,82). Previous studies have reported 505 time to range from 2.43 to 2.59 seconds in netball players (5,95). These values are similar to those reported in female collegiate basketball players (2.43–3.03 seconds) (81,82), highlighting similar COD abilities between the 2 sports. In contrast, Farrow et al. (31) found that netball players were able to demonstrate faster time to completion during a reactive agility test than previously reported in female basketball players (3.57–3.83 versus 4.47–5.34 seconds) (81). Farrow et al. (31) also found that moderately and highly skilled netball players were able to perform agility testing significantly faster than less skilled players. This finding is consistent with findings of past studies (38,77,99), revealing agility testing can distinguish between higher and lower level players but COD testing cannot.

AEROBIC CAPACITY

Previous research suggests that aerobic and anaerobic performances are of high importance in team sport athletes, with higher level players achieving greater distances in the Yo-Yo intermittent recovery test level 2 compared with lower level players (4). Research using the Yo-Yo intermittent recovery test level 1 (Yo-Yo IR1) found that national team players from Australia achieve greater distances than under 17 and under 19 players (1,492 versus 1,013 and 1,320 m, respectively) (93). The Yo-Yo IR1 determines a player's ability to recover from and repeatedly perform high-intensity exercise (60). Research shows that peak speed reached during the Yo-Yo IR1 is related ($r = 0.75\text{--}0.83$) to $\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}$ -related variables in soccer players (16). However, the Yo-Yo IR1 test has limitations in testing and prescribing training in intermittent team sport athletes. Although the Yo-Yo IR is a popular test to administer, final velocity achieved during the Yo-Yo IR tests does not allow for individualized high-intensity training (HIT) (10,30). In contrast, final velocity (V_{IFT}) achieved during the 30-15 Intermittent Fitness Test (30-15_{IFT}) has been shown to be more accurate (coefficient of variation = 3%) for individualizing HIT in team sport athletes than when using continuously determined running speeds (10). Recent evidence suggests that the 30-15_{IFT} demonstrates high validity and reliability in athletes competing in handball, basketball, soccer, ice hockey, and rugby league (9,42,75). Thus, the 30-15_{IFT} is highly specific, not to netball but, to the HIT sessions commonly performed in intermittent team sports (11). Regional academy netball players demonstrate V_{IFT} values ranging from 16.40 to 18.14 km/h during the 30-15_{IFT} (95). The majority of these scores are similar to those reported in male and female handball, male soccer, and rugby league (11,75), illustrating that high levels of cardiorespiratory fitness are required for netball competition, despite the positional restrictions placed upon players. In general, it is

Table 1
Battery of field- and gym-based tests suitable for netball players

Skinfold assessment
Identifies body fat percentage. This assessment is to enable the regulation of nonfunctional mass, which would impede performance by reducing propulsion and exercise economy by the muscular system having to continuously overcome the body's inertia.
SJ
Measure of lower-body explosive performance. Allows the calculation of SSC performance using different equations including RSI, EUR, PSA. If coaches have access to a force platform, then the DSI can be calculated by the formula: SJ peak force/ isometric midhigh pull peak force.
Countermovement jump
Measure of lower-body explosive performance
Drop jump (0.3 m)
Measure of an athlete's SSC ability from dividing jump height by ground contact time to determine RSI. Additionally, if equipment is not available to measure ground contact time, researchers and practitioners can simply monitor jump height as the performance measure.
Single hop
Measure of MSA. Using jump tests only performed in one direction may not represent an accurate player profile, as jump performance in one direction may not necessarily predict jump performance in another (49,50). Additionally, horizontal hop tests are commonly used to assess both performance and injury risk (63,68).
5- and 10-m sprint
Evaluation of acceleration and short-sprint performance. Sprint distances are indicative of mean sprint durations during match play (26,34), given netball players rarely sprint distances to achieve a maximum velocity.
Modified 505 CODS
Assesses an athlete's ability to change direction. The modified 505 is recommended because of the inclusion of a single change in direction. Furthermore, as 505 time is highly influenced by linear sprint speed, a more isolated measure of CODS can be calculated via the COD deficit formula: mean modified 505 time – mean 10-m sprint time (71).
Isometric midhigh pull
Measure of isometric lower-body strength, which is strongly correlated with jumping (59), sprinting (94), and changing direction (81). Performance of this test requires the use of a force platform to determine an athlete's isometric force-time characteristics.
1RM back squat
Measure of maximum muscular strength, which as described is significantly related to jumping, sprinting, and changing direction. This should only be included once an athlete's technique is of sufficient standard.
30-15 _{IFT}
Measure of aerobic and anaerobic capacity, intereffort recovery ability, anaerobic speed reserve, and COD ability. The 30-15 _{IFT} allows prescription of individual HIT based on V _{IFT} achieved during the test to achieve the desired physiological responses and adaptations. The velocity attained during the last completed stage is noted as the player's V _{IFT}
30-15 _{IFT} = 30-15 intermittent fitness test; CODS = change of direction speed; EUR = eccentric utilization ratio; HIT = high-intensity training; MSA = muscle strength asymmetry; PSA = prestretch augmentation; RM = repetition maximum; RSI = reactive strength index; SSC = stretch-shorten cycle; V _{IFT} = maximal intermittent running velocity.

suggested that the aerobic fitness levels of female netball players should be developed to the highest levels because of the intermittent nature of the sport.

Research in rugby union has shown aerobic performance to strongly relate ($r = 0.75$) to distance covered during match play in elite rugby union players

(92). Furthermore, players who show greater levels of lower-body strength and high-intensity running ability (Yo-Yo IR1) demonstrate lesser change

Table 2
Example strength endurance program

Session 1				Session 2			
Exercise	Sets	Reps	Intensity (% 1RM)	Exercise	Sets	Reps	Intensity (% 1RM)
Back squat	2–3	10–12	70–80	Front squat	2–3	10–12	70–80
Split squat	2–3	10–12	70–80	RFESS	2–3	10–12	70–80
RDL	2–3	10–12	70–80	Deadlift	2–3	10–12	70–80
Press-ups	2–3	10–12	BW	Chin-ups	2–3	10–12	BW
Drop landings	2–3	4–6	0.30 m box	Nordic curls	3	3	BW

BW = body weight; RDL = Romanian deadlift; Reps = repetitions; RFESS = rear foot elevated split squat; RM = repetition maximum.

in creatine kinase levels after match play, despite performing more repeated high-intensity efforts than players of lower fitness and strength levels on Yo-Yo IR1 performance (56). Therefore, a higher level of aerobic conditioning is likely to enable the netballer to practice and compete longer at higher intensities.

FITNESS TESTING BATTERY

Based on the needs analysis conducted above, a suggested battery of tests have been identified to assist researchers and practitioners in determining a netball player's level of development for each of these physical qualities (Table 1). This information plays an important role in the evaluation of training effects and can provide a rationale with which to individualize strength training programs to improve

specific physical qualities required for successful netball performance.

PRACTICAL APPLICATIONS: STRENGTH TRAINING RECOMMENDATIONS

Several studies have documented the importance of relative maximum strength within athletic performance (91). This may be explained by the fact that peak GRFs and impulse are strong determinants of netball-specific actions, such as jumping, sprinting, and COD (27,54,80,81,96–98). Greater lower limb relative strength is required to overcome the inertia of BM and improves an individual's ability to accelerate and decelerate during actions, such as jumping, sprinting, and COD, thereby reducing injury risk and performance decrement (24,25,86).

McBride et al. (64) demonstrated that collegiate football players with a high

relative strength (≥ 2.1 kg/kg) in the back squat had significantly faster sprint times (10 and 40 yd) compared with players with a lower relative strength (< 1.9 kg/kg). These results are likely attributed to the fact that high levels of strength and acceleration are required to overcome the inertia of the BM. Similarly, Hori et al. (53) found that athletes with a greater 1RM hang power clean performance (top 50%) demonstrated significantly superior 20-m sprint and CMJ performances than those in the bottom 50%.

The strong relationships between relative maximum strength and netball-specific performance measures might be explained by the fact that athletes who exhibit greater strength levels are able to produce higher propulsive GRFs and impulse during actions, such as jumping, sprinting, and changing direction (27,54,80,81,96–98). Also, training-

Table 3
Example strength program

Session 1				Session 2			
Exercise	Sets	Reps	Intensity (% 1RM)	Exercise	Sets	Reps	Intensity (% 1RM)
Back squat	3–5	4–6	80–85	Deadlift	3–5	4–6	80–85
Lunge	3–5	4–6	80–85	Midhigh clean pull	3–5	4–6	120–140
RDL	3–5	4–6	80–85	Leg press	3–5	4–6	80–85
Military press	3–5	4–6	BW	Chin-ups	3–5	4–6	BW
Drop landings	2–3	4–6	0.30 m box	Nordic curls	3	3	BW

BW = body weight; RDL = Romanian deadlift; Reps = repetitions; RM = repetition maximum.

Table 4
Example power training program

Session 1				Session 2			
Exercise	Sets	Reps	Intensity (% 1RM)	Exercise	Sets	Reps	Intensity (% 1RM)
Jump shrug	3–5	4–6	30–45 ^a	Midhigh clean pull	3–5	4–6	40–60 ^a
Deadlift	3–5	4–6	80–85	Back squat	3–5	4–6	80–85
Push press	3–5	4–6	50–70 ^a	CMJ	3–5	4–6	BW
Depth jumps	3–5	4–6	BW	Nordics	3	3	BW

^aRepetitions, sets, and loads are all recommendations from National Strength and Conditioning Association (2015) with exceptions of jump shrug (percent 1RM hang power clean) (84), push press (20), and midhigh clean pull (21).

BW = body weight; CMJ = countermovement jump; Reps = repetitions; RM = repetition maximum.

induced increases in measures of maximum strength have been shown to result in improved jump, sprint, and COD performances (58,72,76,89,91) while reducing MSA in weaker athletes (7). It is therefore likely that being equally proficient in producing and accepting GRFs on both limbs for jumping, sprinting, and COD will improve netball performance because of the number of high-intensity bouts, unilateral jump landings, and unpredicted COD.

Previous research has shown that improvements in relative strength improve sprint performances (76,91).

Throughout a 20-week competitive season in female softball players (72), sprint performances were significantly faster (–1.7%, effect size [ES] = –0.20) from pre- to mid-training and 2.8% (ES = –0.53) from pre- to post-training. In addition, absolute and relative back squat 1RM significantly increased with small-to-moderate effect from pre- to posttraining (10.3%, ES = 0.73; 12.2%, ES = 0.48, respectively) and from pre- to mid-training values (10.7%, ES = 0.65; 10.7%, ES = 0.39, respectively). Similar to findings in youth soccer players (18),

Comfort et al. (19) found increases in relative strength were accompanied by improvements in sprint performance over 8 weeks of training in professional rugby league players.

High-power movements such as weightlifting exercises and similar movements such as depth jumps, jump squats, etc. are beneficial to improve netball-specific performance measures like jumping, sprinting, and COD because of the kinetic and kinematic similarities between the tasks (53,81,82). Although beneficial, many inconsistencies exist across research regarding the load to

Table 5
Example neuromuscular training program

Training phase	Training focus	Example exercise
Strength endurance mesocycle	Technique and landing mechanics—single plane	Bilateral exercises: drop landings, SJs in place, box jumps, broad jumps, forward jumps over hurdles
		Unilateral exercises: hop and holds, drop landings, split squats, walking lunges, reverse lunges, single-leg balance drills
Strength mesocycle	Eccentric and concentric strength—multiple plane	Bilateral exercises: drop landings, continuous jumps and stick, box jumps, broad jumps, lateral jumps over hurdles, zigzag jumps, 90° jumps
		Unilateral exercises: hop and holds, drop landings, walking lunges, reverse lunges, single-leg balance drills, 90° hops
Power mesocycle	Reactive strength—multiple plane	Bilateral exercises: depth jumps, depth jump to broad jump, continuous tuck jumps, continuous forward jumps over hurdles, box jumps, 180° jumps
		Unilateral exercises: continuous hop and stick, crossover hop, continuous lateral hops, split SJs, 180° hops

SJ = squat jump.

Table 6
Example HIT program

Training phase	Mode of HIT	Example session
Strength endurance mesocycle	Long and short intervals	LIT: 5 × 3-min intermittent running (90% MAS/77% V _{IFT}) interspersed with 90 s of passive recovery
		SIT: 2 sets of 12–15 × 15-s intermittent running (120% MAS/102% V _{IFT}) interspersed with 15 s of passive recovery
Strength mesocycle	Short intervals	2 sets of 12–15 × 15-s intermittent running (130% MAS/110% V _{IFT}) interspersed with 20 s of passive recovery
Power mesocycle	SSG	2 sets of 3–4 min games played 4 versus 4, interspersed with 2 min of passive recovery

HIT = high-intensity training; LIT = HIT with long intervals; MAS = maximal aerobic speed; SIT = HIT with short intervals; SSG = small-sided games; V_{IFT} = peak speed reached at the 30-15_{IFT}.

which produces optimum peak power output. Additionally, the optimal load may be specific to the exercise and joint, system, or bar power output (66). For example, during the clean pull from the floor, no difference in peak power has been observed between 90 and 120% 1RM power clean (40). In contrast, the greatest peak power output during the jump shrug occurs at 30–45% 1RM hang power clean (90), whereas the greatest peak power and velocity occur at 40% 1RM power clean during the midhigh clean pull (21). Peak power appears to occur at approximately 0–30% 1RM in jumping exercises (SJs, jump squats, loaded jumps, etc.) (23,65). Possible reasons for the inconsistencies in these findings are because of different measurement methods, knee angles, strength levels, and the varied training histories of subjects tested. Taken together, these findings indicate that to increase power, a variety of loading schemes for all exercises should be used and may be implemented (39). Furthermore, strength and power should be developed in a mixed manner to allow for a more complete adaptation across the entire force-velocity curve (39). Additionally, strength and power training should continue to be developed during the in-season, with recent work by Carr et al. (15) demonstrating the demands of the competitive cricket season and that current in-season training practices do not provide a sufficient stimulus to maintain strength, jump, and sprint performances

in English county cricketers. Rønnestad et al. (74) found that professional soccer players who performed one strength maintenance training session per week were better able to maintain strength, sprint, and jump performances compared with players who performed one strength maintenance training session every second week. Similarly, Silvestre et al. (79) found that collegiate soccer players who performed strength and plyometric sessions maintained maximum strength, sprint, and jump performances across a 16-week competitive season. Taken collectively, these data indicate performing in-season strength and power training results in maintenance of maximum strength, sprint, and jump performances (Tables 2–5).

PRACTICAL APPLICATIONS: CONDITIONING TRAINING RECOMMENDATIONS

Anaerobic performance is of high importance in netball players in order to be first to the ball before an opponent, perform frequent accelerations, sprints, and jumps and to tolerate the pace of the game. Similarly, high levels of aerobic performance are needed to play the duration of the match, maintain the level of intensity throughout the match, and recover quickly between high-intensity bouts. A number of studies have found that HIT has shown to induce substantial improvements in maximal aerobic

capacity and endurance performance (12,43). Billat (8) defined HIT as “repeated short (10 seconds to 1 minute) to long (2–4 minutes) bouts of rather high-intensity exercise interspersed with recovery periods.” Numerous HIT modalities, including short intervals, repeated sprint training, sprint interval training, and SSGs, have been used to successfully develop aerobic endurance in team sport athletes (12,43). Research has found that 2 times per week 6–12 minutes of intermittent running for 15 seconds (95% V_{IFT}) interspersed with 15 seconds of passive recovery, for 10 consecutive weeks, improved V_{IFT} and mean repeated sprint time in young handball players (13). In the same study, the authors found handball-specific SSGs to be an equally effective training mode for training adolescent handball players. Handball-specific SSGs were organized in 4-a-side teams, consisting of 2–4 × 2 minutes 30 seconds to 4-minute games, for 10 consecutive weeks, with additional coach encouragement and rule modifications to avoid game interruption and promote a high intensity of exercises during play. Dupont et al. (29) found that 2 series of 12–15 intermittent runs at 120% maximal aerobic speed (MAS) interspersed with 15 seconds of passive recovery, performed 1 time per week for 10 consecutive weeks, significantly improved 40-m sprint time and MAS in professional soccer players. Dupont et al. (29) also found that

performing 12–15, 40-m repeated sprints interspersed with 30 seconds of passive recovery, 1 time per week, also contributed to improved sprint and MAS performances. Furthermore, the soccer team won 77.8% of its games during the 10-week intervention period compared with 33.3% during the control period (normal technical and tactical skills, games, and matches). Buchheit et al. (14) found that performing sprint interval training for 4 consecutive weeks consisting of 3–6 repetitions of 30-second all-out shuttle sprints over 40 m, interspersed with 2 minutes of passive recovery, demonstrated improvements in V_{IFT} but only trivial changes in acceleration and repeated sprint measures, in well-trained male handball players. However, a number of studies show that the physiological responses to HIT sessions are highly variable and training modality specific (10). Therefore, choosing the “right” HIT modality, practitioners must consider (a) individual needs, (b) HIT responses to technical and skills sessions, and (c) integration into the micro- and meso-cycle when selecting and implementing HIT sessions (Table 6).

CONCLUSIONS

It is highly recommended that netball players develop high levels of relative bilateral and unilateral strength and power because it is likely to transfer to sprint and jump performances while improving lower limb control to reduce the risk of common injuries. Netball players should use HIT methods to improve their aerobic and anaerobic endurance in line with the physiological demands of competition. More research is needed to better understand the workloads of netball players of different competition levels and the strength and power characteristics of netball players to establish normative values for monitoring and assessment of netball player’s strengths and weaknesses.

Conflicts of Interest and Source of Funding: The authors report no conflicts of interest and no source of funding.

Christopher Thomas is a PhD student in *Biomechanics and Strength and Conditioning* at the University of Salford.

Paul Comfort is a Senior Lecturer and program leader of the *MSc Strength and Conditioning* at the University of Salford.

Paul A. Jones is a Lecturer in *Biomechanics and Strength and Conditioning* at the University of Salford, UK.

Thomas Dos’Santos is a PhD student in *Biomechanics and Strength and Conditioning* at the University of Salford.

REFERENCES

1. Bailey C, Sato K, Alexander R, Chiang CY, and Stone MH. Isometric force production symmetry and jumping performance in collegiate athletes. *J Trainology* 2: 1–5, 2013.
2. Bailey CA, Sato K, Burnett A, and Stone MH. Carry-over of force production symmetry in athletes of differing strength levels. *J Strength Cond Res* 29: 3188–3196, 2015.
3. Bailey CA, Sato K, Burnett A, and Stone MH. Force-production asymmetry in male and female athletes of differing strength levels. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform* 10: 504–508, 2015.
4. Bangsbo J, Iain FM, and Krstrup P. The Yo-Yo intermittent recovery test. *Sports Med* 38: 37–51, 2008.
5. Barber OR, Thomas C, Jones PA, McMahon JJ, and Comfort P. Reliability of the 505 change of direction test in netball players. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform* 11: 377–380, 2016.
6. Barnes JL, Schilling BK, Falvo MJ, Weiss LW, Creasy AK, and Fry AC. Relationship of jumping and agility performance in female volleyball athletes. *J Strength Cond Res* 21: 1192–1196, 2007.
7. Bazylar CD, Bailey CA, Chiang CY, Sato K, and Stone MH. The effects of strength training on isometric force production symmetry in recreationally trained males. *J Trainology* 3: 6–10, 2014.
8. Billat LV. Interval training for performance: A scientific and empirical practice. *Sports Med* 31: 13–31, 2001.
9. Buchheit M. The 30-15 intermittent fitness test: Reliability and implication for interval training of intermittent sport players.

Presented at: 10th European Congress of Sport Science; July 13–16, 2005; Belgrade, Serbia.

10. Buchheit M. The 30-15 intermittent fitness test: Accuracy for individualizing interval training of young intermittent sport players. *J Strength Cond Res* 22: 365–374, 2008.
11. Buchheit M. The 30–15 intermittent fitness test: 10 year review. *Myorobie J* 1: 1–9, 2010.
12. Buchheit M and Laursen P. High-intensity interval training, solutions to the programming puzzle: Part II: Anaerobic energy, neuromuscular load and practical applications. *Sports Med* 43: 927–955, 2013.
13. Buchheit M, Laursen P, Kuhnle J, Ruch D, Renaud C, and Ahmaidi S. Game-based training in young elite handball players. *Int J Sports Med* 30: 251–258, 2009.
14. Buchheit M, Mendez-Villanueva A, Quod M, Quesnel T, and Ahmaidi S. Improving acceleration and repeated sprint ability in well-trained adolescent handball players: Speed versus sprint interval training. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform* 5: 152–164, 2010.
15. Carr C, McMahon J, and Comfort P. Changes in strength, power and speed across a season in English county cricketers. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform* 12: 50–55, 2016.
16. Castagna C, Impellizzeri F, Chamari K, Carlomagno D, and Rampinini E. Aerobic fitness and yo-yo continuous and intermittent tests performances in soccer players: A correlation study. *J Strength Cond Res* 20: 320, 2006.
17. Chandler PT, Pinder SJ, Curran JD, and Gabbett TJ. Physical demands of training and competition in collegiate netball players. *J Strength Cond Res* 28: 2732–2737, 2014.
18. Chelly MS, Fathloun M, Cherif N, Amar MB, Tabka Z, and Van Praagh E. Effects of a back squat training program on leg power, jump, and sprint performances in junior soccer players. *J Strength Cond Res* 23: 2241–2249, 2009.
19. Comfort P, Haigh A, and Matthews MJ. Are changes in maximal squat strength during preseason training reflected in changes in sprint performance in rugby league players? *J Strength Cond Res* 26: 772–776, 2012.
20. Comfort P, Mundy PD, Graham-Smith P, Jones PA, Smith LC, and Lake JP. Comparison of peak power output during exercises with similar lower-limb kinematics. *J Trainology* 5: 1–5, 2016.

Netball Needs Analysis

21. Comfort P, Udall R, and Jones PA. The effect of loading on kinematic and kinetic variables during the midhigh clean pull. *J Strength Cond Res* 26: 1208–1214, 2012.
22. Cormack SJ, Smith RL, Mooney MM, Young WB, and O'Brien BJ. Accelerometer load as a measure of activity profile in different standards of netball match play. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform* 9: 283–291, 2014.
23. Cormie P, McCaulley GO, Triplett NT, and McBride JM. Optimal loading for maximal power output during lower-body resistance exercises. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 39: 340, 2007.
24. Cormie P, McGuigan MR, and Newton RU. Adaptations in athletic performance after ballistic power versus strength training. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 42: 1582–1598, 2010.
25. Cormie P, McGuigan MR, and Newton RU. Influence of strength on magnitude and mechanisms of adaptation to power training. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 42: 1566–1581, 2010.
26. Davidson A and Trewartha G. Understanding the physiological demands of netball: A time-motion investigation. *Int J Perform Anal Sport* 8: 1–17, 2008.
27. Dos'Santos T, Paul CT, Jones A, and Comfort P. Mechanical determinants of faster change of direction speed performance in male athletes. *J Strength Cond Res* 2016. [Epub ahead of print].
28. Dos'Santos T, Thomas C, Jones P, and Comfort P. Assessing muscle strength asymmetry via a unilateral stance isometric mid-thigh pull. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform* 2016. [Epub ahead of print].
29. Dupont G, Akakpo K, and Berthoin S. The effect of in-season, high-intensity interval training in soccer players. *J Strength Cond Res* 18: 584–589, 2004.
30. Dupont G, Defontaine M, Bosquet L, Blondel N, Moalla W, and Berthoin S. Yo-Yo intermittent recovery test versus the Universite de Montreal Track Test: Relation with a high-intensity intermittent exercise. *J Sci Med Sport* 13: 146–150, 2010.
31. Farrow D, Young W, and Bruce L. The development of a test of reactive agility for netball: A new methodology. *J Sci Med Sport* 8: 52–60, 2005.
32. Fish K and Greig M. The influence of playing position on the biomechanical demands of netball match-play. *J Athl Enhanc* 3: 1–5, 2014.
33. Ford KR, Myer GD, and Hewett TE. Valgus knee motion during landing in high school female and male basketball players. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 35: 1745–1750, 2003.
34. Fox A, Spittle M, Otago L, and Saunders N. An investigation of in-game landings in elite netball: Implications for injury risk. *J Sci Med Sport* 15: S229, 2012.
35. Fox A, Spittle M, Otago L, and Saunders N. Activity profiles of the Australian female netball team players during international competition: Implications for training practice. *J Sports Sci* 31: 1588–1595, 2013.
36. Fox AS, Spittle M, Otago L, and Saunders N. Descriptive analysis of landings during international netball competition: Enhancing ecological validity of laboratory testing environments. *Int J Perform Anal Sport* 13: 690–702, 2013.
37. Fox A, Spittle M, Otago L, and Saunders N. Offensive agility techniques performed during international netball competition. *Int J Sports Sci Coach* 9: 543–552, 2014.
38. Gabbett TJ, Kelly JN, and Sheppard JM. Speed, change of direction speed, and reactive agility of rugby league players. *J Strength Cond Res* 22: 174–181, 2008.
39. Haff GG and Nimphius S. Training principles for power. *Strength Cond J* 34: 2–12, 2012.
40. Haff G, Whitley A, McCoy L, O'Bryant H, Kilgore JL, Haff E, Pierce K, and Stone M. Effects of different set configurations on barbell velocity and displacement during a clean pull. *J Strength Cond Res* 17: 95–103, 2003.
41. Häkkinen K. Force production characteristics of leg extensor, trunk flexor and extensor muscles in male and female basketball players. *J Sports Med Phys Fitness* 31: 325–331, 1991.
42. Haydar B and Buchheit ML. 30–15 intermittent fitness test-application pour le Basketball. *Pivot* 2–5, 2009.
43. Helgerud J, Engen LC, Wisloff U, and Hoff J. Aerobic endurance training improves soccer performance. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 33: 1925–1931, 2001.
44. Herrington L. The effects of 4 weeks of jump training on landing knee valgus and crossover hop performance in female basketball players. *J Strength Cond Res* 24: 3427–3432, 2010.
45. Herrington LC and Comfort P. Training for prevention of ACL injury: Incorporation of progressive landing skill challenges into a program. *Strength Cond J* 35: 59–65, 2013.
46. Hewett TE, Ford KR, and Myer GD. Anterior cruciate ligament injuries in female athletes: Part 2, a meta-analysis of neuromuscular interventions aimed at injury prevention. *Am J Sports Med* 34: 490–498, 2006.
47. Hewett TE and Myer GD. Reducing knee and anterior cruciate ligament injuries among female athletes: A systematic review of neuromuscular training interventions. *J Knee Surg* 18: 82–88, 2005.
48. Hewett TE, Myer GD, Ford KR, Heidt RS, Colosimo AJ, McLean SG, Van den Bogert AJ, Paterno MV, and Succop P. Biomechanical measures of neuromuscular control and valgus loading of the knee predict anterior cruciate ligament injury risk in female athletes a prospective study. *Am J Sports Med* 33: 492–501, 2005.
49. Hewitt JK, Cronin JB, and Hume PA. Asymmetry in multi-directional jumping tasks. *Phys Ther Sport* 13: 238–242, 2012.
50. Hewitt J, Cronin J, and Hume P. Multidirectional leg asymmetry assessment in sport. *Strength Cond J* 34: 82–86, 2012.
51. Hill-Haas SV, Dawson B, Impellizzeri FM, and Coutts AJ. Physiology of small-sided games training in football: A systematic review. *Sports Med* 41: 199–220, 2011.
52. Hopper D, Elliott B, and Lalor J. A descriptive epidemiology of netball injuries during competition: A five year study. *Br J Sports Med* 29: 223–228, 1995.
53. Hori N, Newton RU, Andrews WA, Kawamori N, McGuigan MR, and Nosaka K. Does performance of hang power clean differentiate performance of jumping, sprinting, and changing of direction? *J Strength Cond Res* 22: 412–418, 2008.
54. Hunter JP, Marshall RN, and McNair PJ. Relationships between ground reaction force impulse and kinematics of sprint-running acceleration. *J Appl Biomech* 21: 31–43, 2005.
55. Impellizzeri FM, Rampinini E, Maffiuletti N, and Marcora SM. A vertical jump force test for assessing bilateral strength asymmetry in athletes. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 39: 2044–2050, 2007.
56. Johnston RD, Gabbett TJ, Jenkins DG, and Hulin BT. Influence of physical qualities on post-match fatigue in rugby league players. *J Sci Med Sport* 18: 209–213, 2015.
57. Kannus P. Isokinetic evaluation of muscular performance: Implications for muscle testing and rehabilitation. *Int J Sports Med* 15: S11–S18, 1994.
58. Keiner M, Sander A, Wirth K, and Schmidtbleicher D. Long-term strength training effects on change-of-direction

- sprint performance. *J Strength Cond Res* 28: 223–231, 2014.
59. Kraska JM, Ramsey MW, Haff GG, Fethke N, Sands WA, Stone ME, and Stone MH. Relationship between strength characteristics and unweighted and weighted vertical jump height. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform* 4: 461–473, 2009.
 60. Krstrup P, Mohr M, Amstrup T, Rysgaard T, Johansen J, Steensberg A, Pedersen PK, and Bangsbo J. The yo-yo intermittent recovery test: Physiological response, reliability, and validity. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 35: 697–705, 2003.
 61. Lamonte M, Mckinnex J, Quinn S, Bainbridge C, and Eisenmann P. Comparison of physical and physiological variables for female college basketball players. *J Strength Cond Res* 13: 264–270, 1999.
 62. Lavipour D. *Development of a Netball Specific Dynamic Balance Assessment [thesis, dissertation]*: Auckland University of Technology, Auckland, New Zealand, 2011.
 63. Lockie RG, Callaghan SJ, Berry SP, Cooke ERA, Jordan CA, Luczo TM, and Jeffriess MD. Relationship between unilateral jumping ability and asymmetry on multidirectional speed in team-sport athletes. *J Strength Cond Res* 28: 3557–3566, 2014.
 64. McBride JM, Blow D, Kirby TJ, Haines TL, Dayne AM, and Triplett NT. Relationship between maximal squat strength and five, ten, and forty yard sprint times. *J Strength Cond Res* 23: 1633–1636, 2009.
 65. McBride JM, Triplett-Mcbride T, Davie A, and Newton RU. A comparison of strength and power characteristics between power lifters, olympic lifters, and sprinters. *J Strength Cond Res* 13: 58–66, 1999.
 66. Moir GL, Gollie JM, Davis SE, Guers JJ, and Witmer CA. The effects of load on system and lower-body joint kinetics during jump squats. *Sports Biomech* 11: 492–506, 2012.
 67. Mothersole GA, Cronin JB, and Harris NK. Key prerequisite factors influencing landing forces in netball. *Strength Cond J* 35: 47–54, 2013.
 68. Munro AG and Herrington LC. Between-session reliability of four hop tests and the agility T-test. *J Strength Cond Res* 25: 1470–1477, 2011.
 69. Myer GD, Ford KR, Palumbo OP, and Hewett TE. Neuromuscular training improves performance and lower-extremity biomechanics in female athletes. *J Strength Cond Res* 19: 51–60, 2005.
 70. Newton RU, Gerber A, Nimphius S, Shim JK, Doan BK, Robertson M, Pearson DR, Craig BW, Hakinnen K, and Kraemer WJ. Determination of functional strength imbalance of the lower extremities. *J Strength Cond Res* 20: 971–977, 2006.
 71. Nimphius S, Geib G, Spiteri T, and Carlisle D. Change of direction deficit measurement in division I American football players. *J Aust Strength Cond* 21: 115–117, 2013.
 72. Nimphius S, McGuigan MR, and Newton RU. Changes in muscle architecture and performance during a competitive season in female softball players. *J Strength Cond Res* 26: 2655–2666, 2012.
 73. Owens E, Serrano A, Ramsey M, Mizuguchi S, Johnston B, and Stone M. Comparing lower-limb asymmetries in NCAA DI male and female athletes. *J Strength Cond Res* 25: S44–S45, 2011.
 74. Rønnestad BR, Nymark BS, and Raastad T. Effects of in-season strength maintenance training frequency in professional soccer players. *J Strength Cond Res* 25: 2653–2660, 2011.
 75. Scott TJ, Delaney JA, Duthie GM, Sanctuary C, Ballard DA, Hickmans JA, and Dascombe BJ. The reliability and usefulness of the 30-15 intermittent fitness test in rugby league. *J Strength Cond Res* 29: 1985–1990, 2015.
 76. Seitz LB, Reyes A, Tran TT, de Villarreal ES, and Haff GG. Increases in lower-body strength transfer positively to sprint performance: A systematic review with meta-analysis. *Sports Med* 44: 1693–1702, 2014.
 77. Serpell BG, Ford M, and Young WB. The development of a new test of agility for rugby league. *J Strength Cond Res* 24: 3270–3277, 2010.
 78. Sigward SM, Cesar GM, and Havens KL. Predictors of frontal plane knee moments during side-step cutting to 45 and 110 degrees in men and women: Implications for anterior cruciate ligament injury. *Clin J Sport Med* 25: 529–534, 2015.
 79. Silvestre R, Kraemer WJ, West C, Judelson DA, Spiering BA, Vingren JL, Hatfield DL, Anderson JM, and Maresh CM. Body composition and physical performance during a National Collegiate Athletic Association Division I men's soccer season. *J Strength Cond Res* 20: 962–970, 2006.
 80. Spiteri T, Cochrane JL, Hart NH, Haff GG, and Nimphius S. Effect of strength on plant foot kinetics and kinematics during a change of direction task. *Eur J Sport Sci* 13: 646–652, 2013.
 81. Spiteri T, Newton RU, Binetti M, Hart NH, Sheppard JM, and Nimphius S. Mechanical determinants of faster change of direction and agility performance in female basketball athletes. *J Strength Cond Res* 29: 2205–2214, 2015.
 82. Spiteri T, Nimphius S, Hart NH, Specos C, Sheppard JM, and Newton RU. Contribution of strength characteristics to change of direction and agility performance in female basketball athletes. *J Strength Cond Res* 28: 2415–2423, 2014.
 83. Steele J and Lafortune M. A kinetic analysis of footfall patterns at landing in netball: A follow-up study. ISBS-Conference Proceedings, Footscray, Victoria, Australia. 1989.
 84. Steele J and Milburn P. Effect of different synthetic sport surfaces on ground reaction forces at landing in netball. *J Appl Biomech* 4: 130–145, 1988.
 85. Steele JR. Biomechanical factors affecting performance in netball. Implications for improving performance and injury reduction. *Sports Med* 10: 88–102, 1990.
 86. Stone MH, Stone M, and Sands WA. *Principles and Practice of Resistance Training*: Human Kinetics, Champaign, Illinois, 2007.
 87. Stuelcken M, Greene A, and Smith R. Knee loading patterns in a simulated netball landing task. *Eur J Sport Sci* 13: 475–482, 2013.
 88. Stuelcken MC, Mellifont DB, Gorman AD, and Sayers MGL. Mechanisms of anterior cruciate ligament injuries in elite women's netball: A systematic video analysis. *J Sports Sci* 34: 1516–1522, 2015.
 89. Suchomel TJ. *The Acute Effects of Ballistic and Non-Ballistic Concentric-Only Half-Squats on Squat Jump Performance*. East Tennessee State University, Johnson City, Tennessee. 2015.
 90. Suchomel TJ, Beckham GK, and Wright GA. Lower body kinetics during the jump shrug: Impact of load. *J Trainology* 2: 19–22, 2013.
 91. Suchomel TJ, Nimphius S, and Stone MH. The importance of muscular strength in athletic performance. *Sports Med* 46: 1419–1449, 2016.
 92. Swaby R, Jones PA, and Comfort P. Relationship between maximum aerobic speed performance and distance covered in rugby union games. *J Strength Cond Res* 30: 2788–2793, 2016.

Netball Needs Analysis

93. Taylor KL, Bonetti DL, Tanner R, Tanner R, and Gore C. Netball players. *Physiol Tests Elite Athletes* 341–352, 2013.
94. Thomas C, Comfort P, Chiang C, and Jones PA. Relationship between isometric mid-thigh pull variables and sprint and change of direction performance in collegiate athletes. *J Trainology* 4: 6–10, 2015.
95. Thomas C, Ismail K, Comfort P, and Jones P. Physical profiles of regional academy netball players. *J Trainology* 5: 30–37, 2016.
96. Weyand PG, Lin JE, and Bundle MW. Sprint performance-duration relationships are set by the fractional duration of external force application. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol* 290: R758–R765, 2006.
97. Weyand PG, Sandell RF, Prime DNL, and Bundle MW. The biological limits to running speed are imposed from the ground up. *J Appl Physiol (1985)* 108: 950–961, 2010.
98. Weyand PG, Sternlight DB, Bellizzi MJ, and Wright S. Faster top running speeds are achieved with greater ground forces not more rapid leg movements. *J Appl Physiol (1985)* 89: 1991–1999, 2000.
99. Young W, Farrow D, Pyne D, McGregor W, and Handke T. Validity and reliability of agility tests in junior Australian football players. *J Strength Cond Res* 25: 3399–3403, 2011.