

Please cite the Published Version

Luiselli, L, Akani, GC, Eniang, EA, Di Vittorio, M, Petrozzi, F, Hema, EM, Ségniagbeto, GH, Dendi, D, Diagne, T, Chirio, L and Fa, John (2020) Age-stratified interview campaigns suggest ongoing decline of a threatened tortoise species in the West African Sahel. Biodiversity, 21 (3). pp. 136-141. ISSN 1488-8386

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/14888386.2020.1831604

Publisher: Taylor & Francis

Version: Accepted Version

Downloaded from: https://e-space.mmu.ac.uk/624844/

Usage rights: O In Copyright

Additional Information: This is an Author Accepted Manuscript of a paper accepted for publication by Taylor & Francis in Biodiversity.

Enquiries:

If you have questions about this document, contact openresearch@mmu.ac.uk. Please include the URL of the record in e-space. If you believe that your, or a third party's rights have been compromised through this document please see our Take Down policy (available from https://www.mmu.ac.uk/library/using-the-library/policies-and-guidelines)

Biodiversity

2	
3	
Δ	
-	
ر د	
6	
/	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
10	
20	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
21	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
12	
-⊤∠ ⁄\ว	
45	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
54	
55	
56	
5/	
58	
59	
60	

Age-stratified interview campaigns suggest ongoing decline of a threatened 1 tortoise species in the West African Sahel 2 Luca Luiselli^{a,b}, Godfrey C. Akani^{a,b}, Edem A. Eniang^c, Massimiliano Di 3 Vittorio ^d, Fabio Petrozzi ^e, Emmanuel M. Hema ^{b,f}, Gabriel Hoinsoudé 4 Ségniagbeto ^{b,g}, Daniele Dendi ^{a,b}, Tomas Diagne ^h, Laurent Chirio ⁱ and John E. 5 Fa ^{j,k} 6 7 ^a Niger Delta Ecology and Biodiversity Conservation Unit, Department of Applied and Environmental Biology, Rivers State University of Science and Technology, P. M. B. 5080 Nkpolu, Port Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria; e-8 9 mails: gakanina2000@yahoo.com; 10 ^b IDECC — Institute for Development, Ecology, Conservation and Cooperation, via G. Tomasi di Lampedusa 33, 11 I-00144 Rome, Italy. Email: l.luiselli@ideccngo.org; d.dendi@ideccngo.org 12 ^c Department of Forestry and Natural Environmental Management, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Uyo, 13 Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria; Email: edemeniang@yahoo.com 14 ^d Ecologia Applicata Italia s.r.l., via Jevonella 2, 90018 Termini Imerese (Palermo), Italy. Email: 15 divittoriomassimiliano@gmail.com 16 ^e Ufficio Tecnico di Ecologia Applicata Fano, via Edoardo Jenner 70, I-00151 Rome, Italy. Email: 17 fapetrozzi@gmail.com 18 ^f Université Ouaga 1 Professeur Joseph Ki ZERBO#CUP-D, laboratoire de Biologie et Ecologie Animales, 19 *Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso; Email: hema.emmanuel@yahoo.fr* 20 ^g University of Lome, Faculty of Sciences, Department of Zoology, BP: 6057 Lome Togo; Email: h segniagbeto@yahoo.fr 21 22 ^h African Chelonian Institute, Ngaparou-Mbour, Senegal; Email: africanci@gmail.com 23 ⁱ Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle — Département Systématique et Evolution, CNRS-EPHE-MNHN-UPMC 24 UMR 7205, Institut Systématique, Évolution, Biodiversité — CP 30 (Reptiles), 57, rue Cuvier, F-75005 Paris, 25 France; e-mail: lchirio@hotmail.com 26 ^jDivision of Biology and Conservation Ecology, School of Science and the Environment, Manchester Metropolitan 27 University, Manchester M1 5GD; e-mail: UK jfa949@gmail.com ^k Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR), CIFOR Headquarters, Bogor 16115, Indonesia 28 CONTACT: Luca Luiselli 🖾 l.luiselli@ideccngo.org 29

2	30	ABSTRACT
3 4	31	Face-to-face interviews with local populations are often used to determine the distribution
5	32	and population trends of elusive threatened species. Although interviewee responses may
6 7	33	suffer from some bias, historical trends in the status of a species can be investigated from
8	34	age-structured questionnaires. In this paper, we tested this idea by analysing separately
9 10	35	answers given by older (> 60 years age) and younger respondents (25-44 years old) on
11 12	36	the status of the African spurred tortoise, (Centrochelys sulcata), a charismatic large
13	37	reptile listed as Vulnerable in the IUCN Red List. We interviewed 619 persons
14 15	38	(hunters/farmers/cattle farmers) of different ages in three of the species' habitat countries
16	39	(Burkina Faso, Niger and Nigeria). Interviewees were asked whether in their experience
17 18	40	the tortoise was common, rare or absent. By using Generalized Linear Models we
19 20	41	showed that the probability to answer "common" increased with age in Nigeria and
20 21	42	Burkina Faso, whereas the probability of responding "absent" declined with age in
22 23	43	Nigeria and Niger. There were no significant effects of age for the answer 'rare' in any
24	44	country and no differences were found between villages in any of the studied countries.
25 26	45	From our data we conclude that spurred tortoises have been extirpated in 16.7% of study
27	46	sites. We argue that if statistical differences emerge between answers given by
28 29	47	respondents of various age classes on the population status of a target species, it is
30	48	possible to conclude that the species' situation may have significantly changed during the
31 32 33	49	last 30-40 years.

KEYWORDS

Face-to-face interviews; threatened species; traditional ecological knowledge; tortoise; Sahel; conservation

Introduction

Biodiversity

Global population trends may be determined using indirect evidence for rare/elusive taxa, especially in tropical and/or difficult-to-access regions (Hellier et al. 1999; Wang et al. 2004; Akani et al. 2013; Turvey et al. 2015; Pham et al. 2019). Face-to-face interviews with local inhabitants have been widely used to explore the likely presence, local distribution and apparent population trends (declining, stable, increasing) of several species of conservation concern (Charnley et al. 2007; Padmanaba et al. 2013; Demaya et al. 2019). However, this use of traditional knowledge can be affected by the difficulty of verifying the trustworthiness of answers given by informants (e.g., Knapp et al. 2010; St. John et al. 2010; Keane et al. 2011; Jenkins et al. 2011; Luiselli et al. 2017). Our study focussed on the African spurred tortoise (Centrochelys sulcata), the second

largest tortoise in the world (male weight > 100 kg; Branch 2008). The species has a wide distribution throughout much of the African Sahel (Branch 2008), with scattered populations due to the impact of anthropogenic factors such as cattle grazing and fires (Branch 2008; Petrozzi et al. 2016, 2017a, 2017b) and habitat-determined natural gaps in its distribution (Petrozzi et al. 2017c). Thus, although strongly suspected (Branch 2008), it is not known whether population sizes of this species have actually declined. In this paper, we use a large number of interviews to ascertain whether the species was more/less common now than in the past, by stratifying responses with respect to interviewee age. We argue that answers given by older respondents (> 60 years age) would indicate the population status of the target species 30-40 years ago, whereas answers provided by younger respondents (25-44 years old) would reflect the species' current population status.

76 Materials and methods

Protocol

Our study was based on 2015-2017 structured interview data, building on a previous study
from 1994 to 2007 that provided indirect information on the abundance of tortoises within the

known range of the African spurred tortoise (Vetter 2005; Chirio 2009; Trape et al. 2012), including four West and Central African Sahel countries, Central African Republic, Cameroon, Niger and Burkina Faso (Table 1). We also confirmed the status of the species from ad-hoc field surveys at each site. Using the results of these first surveys we developed more targeted questionnaires for a second phase of work e.g. we decided to only interview men because men hunt and women rarely spend time in the field. We applied the resulting questionnaire during 2015-2017 in a total of 30 villages (Fig. 1) in Nigeria (n = 13), Niger (n = 10) and Burkina Faso (n = 7). Villages were selected on the basis of historical records for the presence of the species in their surroundings and on their relative accessibility. CAR and Cameroon were not included in the surveys for security reasons. A total of 619 adult men were interviewed, Nigeria (n = 233), Niger (n = 209), and Burkina Faso (n = 177).

We recorded the age of each interviewee. All interviewees were classified into one of three groups: (i) >60 years age, (ii) 45-59 years old, and (iii) 21-44 years old. No person < 21 years old was interviewed. We obtained informed consent from all interviewees and their identity was kept anonymous in order to respect their privacy and minimize the risk of untrustworthy answers. All interviewees were informed that our study was merely for research purposes and had no social or political implications.

97 Interviewees were asked the following two questions:

(1) Have you ever encountered very large tortoises during your hunting/shepherding
activities? We explained to older interviewees that we were interested in their
comments even if the information provided was historical (as most older interviewees
had not hunted or tended livestock for some years at the time of the interviews).
(2) If yes, did you meet them frequently (i.e. at least 4-5 times a year) or rarely (i.e. no
more than 1-2 times in each year)?

We also asked interviewees whether the amount of time spent hunting and herding
livestock by them each year had declined so as to indirectly measure frequency of encounter

Page 5 of 20

1

Biodiversity

2 3	106	with tortoises. Since many interviewees did not want to answer personal lifestyle questions,
4 5	107	answers were only informally recorded, though they had no issues responding questions on the
6 7	108	status of our study species. A total of 153 interviewees (25% of all respondents) answered this
8 9 10	109	question; Nigeria ($n = 41$), Niger ($n = 59$) and Burkina Faso ($n = 53$).
11 12	110	If the interviewee answered affirmatively to questions (1) and (2), we also asked him to
13 14	111	show us the approximate size of shells of tortoises he had found in the field. This information
15 16 17	112	was crucial because adult African spurred tortoises (up to 100 kg in males) are readily
18 19	113	identified by their relatively large size and cannot be confused with any other sympatric species
20 21	114	(Branch 2008). When interviewees were clearly speaking of the wrong species, we did not
22 23 24	115	include any of their responses in our dataset. Interviewees were not asked whether they thought
25 26	116	the species was declining nor about the "current" status of the species. However, we
27 28	117	extrapolated this information by performing statistical comparisons between the frequency of
29 30	118	'yes frequently' answers to the question (2) among age classes, under the assumption that, in a
31 32 33	119	given village a lesser frequency of 'yes frequently' answers in younger interviewees compared
34 35	120	to the older respondents would indicate a decline in the population size of the species. To
36 37	121	minimize the probability of obtaining untrue answers from respondents, local assistants using
38 39 40	122	the native language performed all interviews. In addition, we interviewed each person
41 42	123	separately and independently from other interviews conducted in the same village. Since these
43 44	124	tortoises are popular pet animals in the Sahel region, it was explicitly explained to the
45 46 47	125	interviewees that we referred exclusively to specimens encountered in the wild. We kept the
47 48 49	126	length of each interview to 3-5 minutes to reduce inconveniencing the interviewee as much as
50 51	127	possible. As indirect evidence of the reliability of the interviewees' answers, (i) we observed
52 53	128	wild spurred tortoises in some sites where the respondents claimed that these reptiles were still
54 55 56	129	found (e.g., Baraboulé and Medjoari village (in Arly) in Burkina Faso; see Petrozzi et al., 2016,
57 58	130	2017a, 2017b), and (ii) examined shells of dead animals shown to us in sites where the older
59 60	131	respondents claimed they were common (e.g., Daura and Babura in Nigeria).

132 Assumptions

133 To interpret the answers given by our respondents, we used two main assumptions:

(1.i)Localities of occurrence of spurred tortoises were considered as highly reliable when more than 65% of interviewees, of any age group, described them as 'common' in the wild. This is of course just an arbitrary threshold, however indicating that most of the interviewed people were consistent in their opinion about the abundance of the tortoise, thus making highly unlikely that all of them were wrong or just lied to us. (1.ii) Extirpation of spurred tortoises from a given locality was assumed when, in a given locality, more than 65% of the interviewees in the 45-59 and >60 years groups combined reported that the tortoises were as common whereas 0% of the 25-44 years old people reported them as still present (either rare or common) in the wild. We considered in this case the different age categories because people older than 60 do not shepherd anymore as a general trend, being replaced in this task by younger persons.

145 Statistical analyses

To analyze the potential effect of 'level of hunting and shepherding' on the probability of encounter with tortoises, we performed a Spearman's rank correlation analysis by country (n =41 in Nigeria, n = 59 in Niger, n = 53 in Burkina Faso). In this analysis, the independent variable was the 'level of hunting and shepherding' and the dependent variable was the 'frequency of meeting with the tortoises'. For the independent variable, we attributed a relative score for the various types of interviewees' answers. When the interviewee answered that his 'level of shepherding' was 'stable' throughout the years, we attributed score =0, 'decreasing' (score = -1) and 'increasing' (score=1). For the dependent variable, we scored the answer 'absent' = 0, 'rare' =1, 'common' =2.

Generalized Linear Models (GLM) were used to model the interview results for the
different answer types and to quantify their relationship with site (village) and age classes
(three categories) of the interviewees (Hosmer and Lemeshow 2000). A single model was run

Biodiversity

for all countries, with country identity as the factor. In the model, the three answer types (i.e. common, rare, or absent) were the dependent variables and country and the three age classes were the independent variables. The identity link function and a Poisson distribution of error were used (McCullagh and Nelder 1989). The significant variables were computed using the 'all effects' (for the age classes) and the best subset procedure using Statistica 6.0 software. Parametric tests were used on normally-distributed variables; otherwise, non-parametric tests were used in our analyses. Normality and homoscedasticity was assessed by Kosmogorov-Smirnov test (P < 0.05). Statistica 6.0 software was used for all analyses. **Results** The results from the unstandardized interviews are summarized in the online supplemental materials (Table S1). Standardized interviews In Nigeria, the 'level of hunting and shepherding' was positively correlated with the probability of encounter with tortoises ($r_s = 0.529$, n = 41, P < 0.001), whereas there was no correlation in both Niger (r_s = -0.075, n = 59, P = 0.571) and Burkina Faso (r_s = -0.123, n = 53, P = 0.378). In most of the 30 localities, only respondents > 60 years age reported spurred tortoises as 'common' (Table 1). The only exception to this rule was Kafin Sarki (Nigeria), where the majority of the 45-50 year age group also reported the species as common (Table 1). However, in 6 out of 8 localities in Nigeria and in all 8 localities in Niger, none of the younger respondents (25-44 years old) considered the spurred tortoise to be common. There was a decrease in numbers of respondents who reported the tortoise as common with age in Niger and Nigeria (Table 2). GLM model results indicated that probability of common responses increased with age in Nigeria (estimate 1.27, P = 0.000025), Niger (estimate = 0.27; P = 0.000000) and Burkina Faso (estimate = 0.72; P = 0.002111). The probability of the tortoise being absent decreased

183 with age in Nigeria (estimate = -2,31; P=0.000000) and Niger (estimate = -0,21; P = 0.000000),

but not in Burkina Faso (P = 0.087). Effects of age classes on the answer 'rare' do not get

uncovered for any of the three countries (Nigeria: P = 0.403; Niger: P = 0.522; Burkina Faso: P = 0.488). There was no significant effect of village or country as variables in all analyses (Table S2). Extirpation of tortoises may have occurred in 16.7% of the sites (2 in Nigeria, 3 in Niger, and 0 in Burkina Faso) where old-age interviewees reported the species as common and the young interviewees as absent (Table 1).

Discussion

A main result of our study is that 'level of hunting and shepherding effort' did not influence the
type of answers on tortoise status in Burkina Faso and Niger, whereas it did in Nigeria.
Although based on a relatively low number of interviewees, we attributed these inter-country

differences to the rarity of the spurred tortoises in Nigeria (Vetter 2005; Petrozzi et al. 2015).

Older interviewees more frequently reported the tortoise as being common than did younger interviewees. Our GLM models showed that these differences were not by chance, and therefore that these differences really depended on the divergent experience that older and younger interviewees had with the African spurred tortoises in the field. The most plausible explanation for the different answers between older and younger respondents is that the African spurred tortoise has dramatically declined in many parts of its range, and that it may have even disappeared in several sites (over 15% of the surveyed sites) where it was once common. We doubt that other reasons (such us suboptimal 'research searching' by shepherds in some sites compared to others; differential levels of elusive habits of the tortoise by site and by interviewee's age group) can explain the observed pattern, given (i) the high number of interviewees and villages, and (ii) the heterogeneous social, political and cultural background of the various populations inhabiting Burkina Faso, Niger and northern Nigeria. However, it is possible that some of the older interviewees may have exaggerated the abundance of tortoises in their memory (a variant of the 'old times' sake' syndrome). Identification errors can be surely ruled out given the huge size of the species and its role as a 'pet animal' in local contexts.

Page 9 of 20

Biodiversity

1 2	210	Using percentage responses in which older interviewees declared the target species'
3	210	esting percentage responses in which order interviewees declared the target species
4 5	211	presence, it is possible to suggest that the spurred tortoise was widely distributed (and possibly
6 7	212	abundant) in Niger, but scarce in Burkina Faso. These patterns are consistent with the known
8 9 10	213	history of the distribution of this species in West Africa (Boulweydou 2008; Chirio 2009; Trape
11 12	214	et al. 2012; Petrozzi et al. 2016, 2017c).
13 14	215	Our study suggests that a stratified-by-age-interview approach may be useful to
15 16 17	216	determine patterns of decline in threatened species inhabiting unstable and/or difficult-to-access
18 19	217	regions of the world. Target species should be, as was the case of the African spurred tortoise,
20 21	218	(i) easily and non-ambiguously identified by the respondents and (ii) charismatic, in order to
22 23 24	219	minimise potential biases in the patterns of answer by the interviewees. Presently, we do not
24 25 26	220	have any evidence that our method can work well also with non-charismatic species.
27 28	221	The information collected from the interviews was coarse regarding abundance
29 30	222	(common, rare, absent) and with no temporal references other than the interviewee age, thus
31 32 33	223	these coarse resolutions could potentially hamper fine analyses of population trends (Turvey et
34 35	224	al. 2012, 2015). We intended to use broad categories of "abundance" in order to highlight
36 37	225	general population trends with minimizing the eventual lack of reliability by interviewees in a
38 39 40	226	geographic region where local communities are very often suspicious and reluctant in being
41 42	227	precise in their answers to scientists (our personal experience).
43 44	228	Acknowledgments
45 46 47	229	Field surveys were supported by the Mohamed Bin Zayed Species Conservation Fund (project
47 48 49	230	No. 13256954, to FP), the Turtle Conservation Fund (TCF 0606, 0688 and 0744, all to FP) and
50 51	231	IDECC-Institute for Development, Ecology, Conservation and Cooperation (to LL). We thank
52 53	232	the anonymous referees for having much improved the submitted draft. This research project
54 55 56	233	complied with the ethical guidelines developed by the British Sociological Association.
57 58	234	Disclosure statement
59 60	235	No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

References

- Akani, G.C., N. Ebere, D. Franco, and L. Luiselli. 2013. "Using local African communities' Ecological
 Knowledge to support scientific evidence of snake declines". *Herpetozoa* 25: 133-142.
- Anadón, J.D., A. Giménez, R. Ballestar, and I. Pérez. 2009. "Evaluation of local ecological knowledge
 as a method for collecting extensive data on animal abundance". *Conservation Biology* 23: 617–
 625.
- Boulweydou, A. 2008. Caractérisation de l'habitat de la Tortue sillonnée (*Geochelone sulcata*, Miller,
 1979 [sic]) dans le Massif de Termit (Zinder-Niger). Diplôme d' Etudes Approfondies en
 Biologie appliquée, option "Protection et restauration des écosystèmes soudaniens et Sahélosahariens", Université Abdou Moumouni de Niamey Projet Antilope Sahélo-Sahariennes,
 Faculté des Sciences, Département de Biologie, Laboratoire GARBA Mounkaila, Niamey,
 Niger.
- 248 Branch, B. 2008. Tortoises, terrapins & turtles of Africa. Cape Town: New Holland Publishing.
- Charnley, S., A.P. Fischer, and E.T. Jones. 2007. "Integrating traditional and local ecological
 knowledge into forest biodiversity conservation in the Pacific Northwest". *For. Ecol. Manage*.
 246: 14-28.
- 252 Chirio, L. 2009. "Inventaire des reptiles de la région de la Réserve de Biosphère Transfrontalière du W
 253 (Niger/Bénin/Burkina Faso: Afrique de l'Ouest)". *Bull. Soc. Herpetol. Fr.* 132: 13-41.
- Demaya, G. S., Benansio, J. S., Lado, T. F., Jubarah, S. K., Ladu, J. L. C., and Luiselli, L. 2019. Local
 Ecological Knowledge in South Sudan Can Help Conservation and Management of *Cyclanorbis elegans. Chelonian Conservation and Biology* 18: 259-264.
 - Hellier, A., A.C. Newton, and S.O. Gaona. 1999. "Use of indigenous knowledge for rapidly assessing
 trends in biodiversity: a case study from Chiapas, Mexico". *Biodivers. Conserv.* 8: 869-889.
- Hosmer, D.W., and S. Lemeshow. 2000. *Applied logistic regression analysis*. 2nd ed. New York: John
 Wiley and Sons.
- Keane, A.M., R.A. Andriamatsiaro, J.P.G. Jones, and E.J. Milner-Gulland. 2011. "Evidence for the
 effects of education and environmental engagement on knowledge of wildlife laws in
 Madagascar". *Conserv. Lett.* 4: 55-63.

1		
1 2 2	264	Knapp, E.J., D. Rentsch, J.M. Schmitt, J. Lewis, and S. Polasky. 2010. "A tale of three villages:
5 4 5	265	choosing an effective method for assessing poaching levels in western Serengeti, Tanzania".
5 6 7	266	<i>Oryx</i> 44: 178-184.
7 8 9	267	Jenkins, R.K.B., A. Keane, A.R. Rakotoarivello, V. Rakotomboavonjy, F.H. Randrianandrianina, H.J.
) 10 11	268	Razafimanahaka, S.R. Ralaiarimalala, and J.P.G. Jones. 2011. "Analysis of patterns of
12 13	269	bushmeat consumption reveals extensive exploitation of protected species in eastern
14 15	270	Madagascar". PLoS ONE 6(12): e27570. https://doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0027570.
16 17	271	Luiselli, L., F. Petrozzi, G.C. Akani, M. Di Vittorio, N. Amadi, N. Ebere, D. Dendi, G. Amori, and E.A.
18 19	272	Eniang. 2017. "Rehashing bushmeat - Interview campaigns reveal some controversial issues
20 21	273	about the bushmeat trade dynamics in Nigeria". Revue d'Ecologie (Terre et Vie) 72: 3-18.
22 23	274	McCullagh, P., and J.A. Nelder. 1989. Generalized linear models. London: Chapman and Hall/CRC.
24 25	275	Moro, M., A. Fischer, N. Czajkowski, D. Brennan, A. Lowassa, L. Naiman, and N. Hanley. 2013. "An
26 27	276	investigation using the choice experiment method into options for reducing illegal bushmeat
28 29	277	hunting in western Serengeti". Conserv. Lett. 6: 37-45.
30 31 22	278	Padmanaba, M., D. Sheil, and I. Basuki. 2013. "Accessing local knowledge to identify where species of
32 33 34	279	conservation concern occur in a tropical forest landscape". Environ. Manage. 52: 348-359.
35 36	280	https://doi.org/10.1007/s00267-013-0051-7.
37 38	281	Petrozzi, F., E.A. Eniang, G.C. Akani, N. Ebere, N. Amadi, E.M. Hema, T. Diagne, G.H. Segniagbeto,
39 40	282	L. Chirio, G. Amori, and L. Luiselli. 2017a. "Exploring the main threats to the threatened
41 42	283	African Spurred Tortoise Centrochelys sulcata in the West African Sahel". Oryx 52: 544-551.
43 44	284	1-8. https:// doi:10.1017/S0030605316001125.
45 46	285	Petrozzi, F., L. Luiselli, and E.A. Eniang. 2015. "Supplementary distribution data of Centrochelys
47 48	286	sulcata (Miller, 1779) in northern Nigeria (West Africa)". Herpetozoa 28: 92-94
49 50	287	Petrozzi, F., E.M. Hema, D. Sirima, B. Douamba, G.H. Segniagbeto, T. Diagne, N. Amadi, G. Amori,
51 52 53	288	G.C. Akani, E.A. Eniang, L. Chirio, and L. Luiselli. 2017b. "Distance-generated field density
55 55	289	estimates for the threatened Sahel tortoise <i>Centrochelys sulcata</i> ". Russ. J. Herpetol. 25: 83-87.
56 57	290	Petrozzi, F., E.M. Hema, D. Sirima, B. Douamba, G.H. Segniagbeto, T. Diagne, N. Amadi, G. Amori,
58 59	291	G.C. Akani, E.A. Eniang, L. Chirio, and L. Luiselli. 2017c. "Habitat determinants of the
60		

1 2	292	threatened Sahel tortoise <i>Centrochelvs sulcata</i> at two spatial scales". <i>Herpetol Conserv Biol.</i>
3	203	12: 402 400
5	293	12. 402-409.
6 7	294	Petrozzi, F., E.M. Hema, L. Luiselli, and W. Guenda. 2016. "A survey of the potential distribution of
8 9	295	the threatened tortoise Centrochelys sulcata populations in Burkina Faso (West Africa)". Trop.
10 11	296	<i>Ecol.</i> 57: 709-716.
12 13	297	Pham, V.T., Le Duc, O., Leprince, B., Bordes, C., Luu, V. Q., and Luiselli, L. 2019. "Hunters'
14 15	298	structured questionnaires enhance ecological knowledge and provide circumstantial survival
16 17 19	299	evidence for the world's rarest turtle". Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater
10 19 20	300	Ecosystems. Doi https://doi.org/10.1002/aqc.3225.
20 21 22	301	St John, F.A.V., J.M. Gibbons, and G. Edward-Jones. 2010. "Testing novel methods for assessing rule
23 24	302	breaking in conservation". Biol. Conserv. 143: 1025-1030.
25 26	303	Trape, J.F., L. Chirio, and S. Trape. 2012. Lézards, crocodiles et tortues d'Afrique occidentale et du
27 28	304	Sahara. Paris: IRD Editions.
29 30	305	Turvey, S.T., C.T. Trung, V.D. Quyet, H.V. Nhu, D.V. Thoai, V.C.A. Tuan, D.T. Hoa, K. Kacha, T.
31 32	306	Sysomphone, S. Wallate, C.T.T. Hai, N.V. Thanh, and N.M. Wilkinson. 2015. "Interview-based
33 34 25	307	sighting histories can inform regional conservation prioritization for highly threatened cryptic
35 36 37	308	species". J. Appl. Ecol. 52: 422-433. https://doi:10.1111/1365-2664.12382.
38 39	309	Vetter, H. 2005. Leopard and African spurred tortoise Stimochelys pardalis and Centrochelys sulcata,
40 41	310	Frankfurt-am-Main: Edition Chimaira.
42 43	311	Wang, J.X., H.M. Liu, H.B. Hu, and L. Gao. 2004. "Participatory approach for rapid assessment of plant
44 45	312	diversity through a folk classification system in a tropical rainforest: case study in
46 47	313	Xishuangbanna, China". Conserv. Biol. 18: 1139-1142.
48		
49 50		
51		
52		
53		
54		
55		
56		
5/		
50 59		

Biodiversity

Table 1 Raw data distribution of the three types of answer (tortoise is common, rare or absent) by

2 respondents' age in each village of the three countries. Highlighted in bold are those cases where

3 >60 common was coupled with 25-45 absent. Total sample sizes in each village and in each country

4 are also presented.

13										
14		> 60	> 60	> 60	45-60	45-60	45-60	25-45	25-45	25-45
15		common	rare	absent	common	rare	absent	common	rare	absent
16		NIGERIA	Ture	uosent	Common	Ture	uosent	common	Ture	uosent
17	Danna	NIOEKIA	2	Δ	n	n	5	0	1	7
18	Daura	0	2	U	2	2	5	U	1	
19	Babura	4	2	0	1	0	3	0	1	4
20	Medu	4	1	0	0	2	6	0	1	4
21	Nguru	3	1	0	0	1	3	0	0	5
22	Auyo	0	1	4	0	0	6	0	0	7
23	Geidem	0	1	5	0	0	5	0	0	8
24	Sabon Birni	0	1	3	0	0	5	0	0	8
25	Gwadabawa	Ő	0	5	Õ	Õ	2 4	Õ	Õ	0 7
27	Morilii	5	2	0	2	2	- -	0	2	5
28		J 4	1	0	2	ے 1	0	0	ے 1	5
29	Kalin Sarki	4	l	0	2	1	U	1	1	0
30	Botawa	3	0	l	2	3	0	U	I	6
31	Isa	6	2	0	4	3	0	1	3	7
32	Shanga	0	0	4	0	0	5	0	0	8
33	TOTAL	35	14	22	13	14	42	2	10	82
34		NIGER								
35	Zabori	6	0	0	2	5	0	0	0	7
36	Niamey	7	Ő	Ő	1	6	Ő	Ô	Ő	7
3/	Diney	7	Ô	0	1	6	0	Ň	3	5
38 20	Diffe	,	0	0	1	0	0	0	J 1	5
40	Salla	5	U	U	3	3	U	U	1	0
41	Madaoua	4	3	0	0	0	8	U	U	7
42	Dosso	6	1	0	0	5	1	0	4	4
43	Matamey	2	5	0	2	3	2	0	0	6
44	Maradi	6	0	0	1	3	2	0	3	5
45	Eroupa	6	1	0	1	3	2	0	5	2
46	Tatori	8	0	0	3	4	0	0	0	8
47	TOTAL	57	10	0	14	38	15	0	16	59
48	101111	BURKINA	FASO	Ũ	11	20	10	Ŭ	10	0,5
49	Vantahari		1	6	0	2	5	0	Ο	0
50	Madian	ے 1	1	2	0	2	5	0	0	9
51	Medjoari	l	3	3	0	3	4	0	1	9
52	Dori	0	I	8	0	0	9	0	0	10
55 54	Baraboulé	0	0	8	0	0	8	0	0	11
55	Thiou	0	0	9	0	0	11	0	2	7
56	Dokuy	1	3	1	0	1	9	0	3	5
57	Tansila	2	5	0	0	4	3	0	3	3
58	TOTAL	6	13	35	0	11	49	0	9	54

2

Table 2 Synopsis of the percent distribution of the three types of answer (tortoise is common, rare or absent) by respondents' age in each of the three countries (all

42 43

44 45 46 7

sample sizes for each village being cumulated). Standard Deviations are also presented after the means. 8 9 > 60 > 60 > 60 45-60 years 45-60 years 45-60 years 25-45 years 25-45 years 25-45 years Rare absent Rare absent absent common common rare common 60.05±43.87 Nigeria 46 ± 38 18.35 ± 11.28 35.6±46.8 20.07±25.31 19.88±21.71 1.66 ± 4.11 10.78±11.52 87.55±13.35 Niger 85.71 ± 24.28 14.29 ± 24.27 0 21.21±16.48 57.6±26.12 21.21±31.12 0 20.75 ± 26.3 79.25±26.3 82.9±20.22 Burkina Faso 12.16±12.11 28.07±29.63 59.93±40.07 0 21.07±24.16 78.93±24.16 0 17.1±20.22 10 URL: http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/tbid

- 1 2
- 3 11

- ,

- 1 Fig. 1. Map of the study region in the West African Sahel, showing the villages where
- 2 interviews were carried out. Abbreviations: WAN = Nigeria; NIG = Niger; BF = Burkina Faso;
- 3 1: Kantchari; 2: Dori; 3: Baraboulè; 4: Madjoari; 5: Thiou; 6: Dokuy; 7: Tansila; 8: Niamey; 9:
- 4 Diney; 10: Safia; 11: Madaoua; 12: Dosso; 13: Matamey; 14: Maradi; 15: Eroupa; 16: Tatori;
- 5 17: Zabori; 18: Daura; 19: Auyo; 20: Babura; 21: Shanga; 22: Gwadabawa; 23: Geidem; 24:
- 6 Botawa; 25: Kafin Sarki; 26: Isa; 27: Sabon Birni; 28: Moriki; 29: Medu; 30: Nguru.



ONLINE SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIALS

Results from the Unstandardized interviews

A summary of the unstandardized interview campaigns is given in Table S1. These unstandardized interviews provided very valuable information on relative abundance of the species, but also on its natural history within the different sites. Interestingly, part of the information provided by the interviewees was later confirmed by ad-hoc field surveys, thus showing the reliability and feasibility of using these interviews. For instance, the fact that the species was quite abundant in the Termit-Teneré area, as reported in the interviews, was later confirmed by transect surveys using Distance (Petrozzi et al., 2017b), and that above-ground activity and egg hatching occur during rainy season was also confirmed by field surveys (Petrozzi et al., 2017b, 2017c, and unpublished observations).

Biodiversity

Table S1 Synopsis of the non-standardized interviews on the population status and natural

16 history of African spurred tortoises. CAR = Central African Republic.

	No. Of	Locality	Coordinatas			
rear	interviewees	Locality	Coordinates	Declaration		
1004	15	Dimes (CAD)	10,28N+22,79E;	Dother common north of the town		
1994	15	Birao (CAR)	500 m	Rather common north of the town		
1005	4	Am Defelt (CAD)	10,46N+23,29E;	Uncommon in the bush around the		
1995	4	Am-Dalok (CAR)	500 m	village		
2000	2	Bouba Ndjida	8,72N+14,58E :	Formerly rather common in the protected		
2000	3	(Cameroon)	330 m	area; now most probably extinct		
2005	8		16,38N+11,47E ;	Ouite show have having a line		
		Termit (Niger)	550 m	Quite abundant during rainy season		
2007	5		12,04N+2,26E;	Very abundant hatchlings during rainy		
		La Tapoa (Niger)	250 m	season		
2007	5	Diapaga (Burkina	12,07N+1,79E;	Formerly rather common around the		
2007		Faso)	280 m	village; now very rare		

20 Table S2. GLM effect of the village on the probability of answers by interviewees in

21 the three surveyed countries

Nigeria Common 0.917 Rare 0.840 Absent 0.983 Niger Common 0.667 Rare 0.633 Absent 0.689 Burkina faso Common 0.391 Rare 0.494 Absent 0.852	NigeriaCommon0.917Rare0.840Absent0.983Niger0.667Rare0.633Absent0.689Burkina fasoCommon0.391Rare0.494Absent0.852	NigeriaCommon0.917Rare0.840Absent0.983NigerCommonCommon0.667Rare0.633Absent0.689Burkina fasoCommon0.391Rare0.494Absent0.852	Type of answer	P-value
Common 0.917 Rare 0.840 Absent 0.983 Common 0.667 Rare 0.633 Absent 0.689 Burkina faso Common 0.391 Rare 0.494 Absent 0.852	Common 0.917 Rare 0.840 Absent 0.983 Common 0.667 Rare 0.633 Absent 0.689 Burkina faso Common 0.391 Rare 0.494 Absent 0.852	Common 0,917 Rare 0,840 Absent 0,983 Common 0.667 Rare 0.633 Absent 0.689 Burkina faso 0 Common 0.391 Rare 0.494 Absent 0.852		Nigeria
Rare 0.840 Absent 0.983 Common 0.667 Rare 0.633 Absent 0.689 Burkina faso Common 0.391 Rare 0.494 Absent 0.852	Rare 0.840 Absent 0.983 Common 0.667 Rare 0.633 Absent 0.689 Common Common 0.391 Rare 0.494 Absent 0.852	Rare 0.840 Absent 0.983 Common 0.667 Rare 0.633 Absent 0.689 Burkina faso 0 Common 0.391 Rare 0.494 Absent 0.852	Common	0.917
Absent 0.983 Niger 0.667 Rare 0.633 Absent 0.689 Burkina faso Common 0.391 Rare 0.494 Absent 0.852	Absent 0.983 Niger 0.667 Rare 0.633 Absent 0.689 Burkina faso 0.391 Rare 0.494 Absent 0.852	Absent 0.983 Common 0.667 Rare 0.633 Absent 0.689 Burkina faso 0.391 Rare 0.494 Absent 0.852	Rare	0.840
Niger Common 0.667 Rare 0.633 Absent 0.689 Burkina faso 0.391 Rare 0.494 Absent 0.852	Niger Common 0.667 Rare 0.633 Absent 0.689 Eurkina faso 0.391 Rare 0.494 Absent 0.852	Niger Common 0.667 Rare 0.633 Absent 0.689 Burkina faso 0.391 Rare 0.494 Absent 0.852	Absent	0.983
Common 0.667 Rare 0.633 Absent 0.689 Burkina faso Common 0.391 Rare 0.494 Absent 0.852	Common 0.667 Rare 0.633 Absent 0.689 Burkina faso Common 0.391 Rare 0.494 Absent 0.852	Common 0.667 Rare 0.633 Absent 0.689 Burkina faso 0.391 Rare 0.494 Absent 0.852		Niger
Rare 0.633 Absent 0.689 Burkina faso 0.391 Rare 0.494 Absent 0.852	Rare0.633Absent0.689Burkina fasoCommon0.391Rare0.494Absent0.852	Rare 0.633 Absent 0.689 Burkina faso 0.391 Rare 0.494 Absent 0.852	Common	0.667
Absent0.689Burkina fasoCommon0.391Rare0.494Absent0.852	Absent 0.689 Burkina faso Common 0.391 Rare 0.494 Absent 0.852	Absent 0.689 Burkina faso 0.391 Rare 0.494 Absent 0.852	Rare	0.633
Burkina fasoCommon0.391Rare0.494Absent0.852	Burkina faso Common 0.391 Rare 0.494 Absent 0.852	Burkina faso Common 0.391 Rare 0.494 Absent 0.852	Absent	0.689
Common 0.391 Rare 0.494 Absent 0.852	Common 0.391 Rare 0.494 Absent 0.852	Common 0.391 Rare 0.494 Absent 0.852		Burkina faso
Rare0.494Absent0.852	Rare0.494Absent0.852	Rare 0.494 Absent 0.852	Common	0.391
Absent 0.852	Absent 0.852	Absent 0.852	Rare	0.494
			Absent	0.852