


Please cite the Published Version

Turner, MJ , Aspin, G and Gillman, J (2019) Maladaptive schemas as a potential mechanism through which irrational beliefs relate to psychological distress in athletes. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, 44. pp. 9-16. ISSN 1469-0292

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychsport.2019.04.015>

Publisher: Elsevier

Version: Accepted Version

Downloaded from: <https://e-space.mmu.ac.uk/624582/>

Usage rights:  In Copyright

Additional Information: This is an Author Accepted Manuscript of a paper accepted for publication in *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, published by and copyright Elsevier.

Enquiries:

If you have questions about this document, contact openresearch@mmu.ac.uk. Please include the URL of the record in e-space. If you believe that your, or a third party's rights have been compromised through this document please see our Take Down policy (available from <https://www.mmu.ac.uk/library/using-the-library/policies-and-guidelines>)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7

8
9

10 Maladaptive schemas as a potential mechanism through which irrational beliefs relate to
11 psychological distress in athletes.

12

13 Submitted: 2nd January 2019

14 Resubmitted: 22nd March 2019

15 2nd resubmission: 17th April 2019

16

17 Turner, M. J*., Aspin, G., & Gillman, J.

18 School of Life Sciences and Education, Staffordshire University

19

20

21 *corresponding author: School of Life Sciences and Education, Staffordshire University,

22 Sport and Exercise Office, Brindley Building, Leek Road, Stoke on Trent, Staffordshire, ST4

23 2DF. Email: m.turner@staffs.ac.uk

24

25

26 **Conflict of Interest:** Martin J. Turner declares that he has no conflict of interest. Gillian

27 Aspin declares that she has no conflict of interest. Jamie Gillman declares that he has no

28 conflict of interest

29

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

Abstract

Objectives

The psychological wellbeing of athletes, in particular the concept of psychological distress, is receiving growing research attention. Irrational beliefs as proposed in Rational Emotive Behaviour Therapy (REBT) have been shown to be positively related to the psychological distress of athletes, but the mechanisms by which irrational beliefs predict psychological distress remain unclear. The role of maladaptive schema, as proposed in Schema Therapy (ST), in the relationship between irrational beliefs and psychological distress has not yet been studied, despite the conceptual similarities between REBT and ST.

Design and method

Participants were self-selected triathletes ($n = 124$), duathletes ($n = 9$), swimmers ($n = 7$), cyclists ($n = 17$) and runners ($n = 57$). A single timepoint cross-sectional study design was used to investigate simple mediation models using the PROCESS macro.

Results

Results revealed that maladaptive schema fully mediated the positive relationship between irrational beliefs and symptoms of anxiety, and depression.

Conclusions

These findings suggest that maladaptive schema is a potential mechanism through which irrational beliefs predict psychological distress. Results may help practitioners begin to understand how REBT and ST may be applied in tandem for the benefit of greater athlete psychological wellbeing.

Keywords: CBT; emotion; sport; triathlete; duathlete

1 Maladaptive schemas as a potential mechanism through which irrational beliefs relate to
2 psychological distress in athletes.

3 Psychological distress is "a state of emotional suffering characterized by symptoms of
4 depression and anxiety" (Drapeau, Marchand, & Beaulieu-Prévost, 2012, p.105).
5 Psychological distress negatively impacts on individuals' social functioning and day-to-day
6 living (Wheaton, 2007), is a criterion for some psychological disorders (e.g., major
7 depression; generalized anxiety disorder; Paukert et al., 2009; Watson 2009). The prevalence
8 of psychological distress it is thought to range between 5% and 27% in the general population
9 (Benzeval & Judge, 2001; Chittleborough et al., 2011; Gispert et al., 2003; Kuriyama et al.
10 2009), reaching higher levels in populations exposed to specific risk factors such as stressful
11 work conditions (e.g., Marchand, Demers, & Durand 2005). Hence, psychological distress is
12 an important construct to examine in athlete populations, because the athletic context is rife
13 with high demands and pressure (Fletcher & Arnold, 2017; Hughes & Leavey, 2012; Nixdorf,
14 Frank, Hautzinger, & Beckmann, 2013), and taking part in sport at an elite level may present
15 some mental health risks. A systematic review concerning the mental health of elite athletes
16 (Rice et al., 2016) showed that major life events, including injury, were associated with
17 higher rates of distress, anxiety, and depression. Rice et al. (2016) report depression
18 prevalence rates for elite athletes of up to 34% (Armstrong & Oomen-Early, 2009; Hammond
19 et al., 2013), and depression and anxiety combined (psychological distress) of up to 26%
20 (Gouttebauge, Frings-Dresen, & Sluite, 2015). However, depression prevalence rates have
21 also been as low as 15% (Nixdorf et al., 2013), with population demographics, method of
22 assessment, and sample size, varying across studies.

23 Given that the objectives of many published studies of psychological distress are
24 essentially descriptive (Drapeau et al., 2012), there is a dearth in research concerning the
25 cognitive antecedents of psychological distress, particularly in athlete populations. When

1 viewed through a cognitive-behavioural lens, it is not yet fully understood whether and to
2 what extent cognitive mediators (e.g., thoughts, attitudes, and beliefs) are involved in the
3 mental health of athletes. The extant research in sport indicates that contextual factors are
4 predictive of psychological distress (Fletcher, Hanton, & Wagstaff, 2012; Hughes & Leavey,
5 2012; Nixdorf et al., 2013), but cognitive-behavioural approaches maintain that it is not
6 events that cause emotional reactivity, rather, a transaction occurs between the environment
7 and emotions through cognitive mediation (Fletcher, Hanton, & Mellalieu, 2006; Turner,
8 2016). One cognitive-behavioural approach to mental health that incorporates this
9 transactional viewpoint and has garnered growing interest in sport literature (e.g., Turner &
10 Bennett, 2018), is Rational Emotive Behaviour Therapy (REBT; Ellis, 1957).

11 In REBT it is not an event that causes psychological distress, but the beliefs that one
12 has about that event that causes psychological distress. In REBT there is an emphasis on
13 individuals' beliefs (rigid, extreme, and illogical; Dryden, 2009) about events as a risk factor
14 for psychological distress, rather than the adversity or stressor alone. Irrational beliefs
15 comprise a primary irrational belief and three secondary beliefs (awfulizing, low frustration
16 tolerance, and depreciation). Much research indicates that high irrational beliefs are
17 positively related to psychological distress (e.g., Browne, Dowd, & Freeman, 2010; Višlā,
18 Fluckiger, Holtforth, & David, 2015), and one study has reported a positive relationship
19 between irrational beliefs and psychological distress in athletes (Turner, Carrington, &
20 Miller, 2019), and another reported that irrational beliefs predicted increases in burnout in
21 Gaelic footballers (Turner & Moore, 2015). Additional research in athletic populations
22 needed to further investigate these initial findings. A greater understanding of how irrational
23 beliefs relate to psychological distress in athletes is important because REBT offers a
24 potentially effective approach to helping athletes to reduce psychological distress (Turner,

1 2016; Wood, Turner, & Barker, 2018), but due to scant research, the confident application of
2 REBT as an intervention for athlete psychological distress cannot be extolled.

3 The National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) in the United Kingdom,
4 guidelines for anxiety (NICE, 2011) and depression (NICE, 2016) both recommend
5 Cognitive Behavioural Therapy (CBT) as treatment. CBT is a family of therapies in which a
6 variety of approaches are presented, such as Cognitive Therapy (CT; Beck 1976), Acceptance
7 and Commitment Therapy (ACT; Hayes, 2018), REBT (Ellis, 1957), and Schema Therapy
8 (ST; Young, 1999). As such, it is reasonable to hypothesise that all of these CBTs can be
9 effective in athletes experiencing psychological distress, depending on the idiosyncrasies of
10 the athlete and the context, and that these approaches are not mutually exclusive. In REBT
11 theory, irrational beliefs are hypothesized to lead to automatic thoughts (e.g., Ellis 1994;
12 Beck 2008), and REBT and CT share some similar conceptual and practical ideas (Ellis,
13 2005). Some past research has elucidated mechanisms that are represented in other CBTs
14 through which irrational beliefs, as proposed within REBT, may predict psychological
15 distress. For example, research indicates that irrational beliefs and automatic thoughts, as
16 proposed in CT (Beck, 1976) may co-occur to atemporally predict psychological distress
17 (e.g., Buschmann, Horn, Blankenship, Garcia, & Bohan, 2018; Szentagotai & Freeman,
18 2007). This initial research has generated a need to further investigate how irrational beliefs
19 and cognitive constructs from other CBTs co-occur to predict psychological distress (David
20 & Szentagotai, 2006).

21 One CBT that has sparsely been investigated in sport literature is ST, which is
22 surprising given the that the core constructs of ST (maladaptive schemas) are salient to the
23 pursuit of personal goals. Maladaptive schemas are defined as “extremely stable and enduring
24 themes that develop during childhood, are elaborated throughout an individual’s lifetime, and
25 are dysfunctional to a significant degree. These schemas serve as templates for the processing

1 of later experience” (Young, 1999, p. 9). Maladaptive schemas are character traits (see
2 Young et al., 2003), that develop through a lack of fit between a child’s natural
3 developmental needs and their environment which should provide for those needs. A lack of
4 fit means the child’s needs are not sufficiently met and maladaptive schemas are the
5 consequence of the child’s attempt to cope with this deficit (Linehan, 1993). In adulthood
6 these schemas are activated in relevant situations and result in dysfunctional perceptions that
7 govern the way a person sees themselves, others, and the world in that moment.

8 Of particular relevance to athletes are the maladaptive schemas of unrelenting
9 standards, failure to achieve, and defectiveness. Unrelenting standards reflect a belief that
10 “one must strive to meet” (Ohanian & Rashed, 2012, p.172) very high internalized standards
11 of behaviour and performance (Young et al., 2003). Defectiveness is described as the feeling
12 that there is something wrong with you (Young et al., 2003), and failure to achieve reflects
13 the belief that one is fundamentally inadequate in areas of achievement (Ohanian & Rashed,
14 2012). Of the very sparse literature, one known study of handball and rugby players
15 (Gherghişan, 2015) found that unrelenting standards was particularly high across the samples.
16 Gherghişan (2015) also suggests that competitive environments may trigger maladaptive
17 schemas due to the emotional nature of competition, and the recalling of past experiences. In
18 non-athletic samples, unrelenting standards is one of the most often endorsed schemas
19 (Rijkeboer, Van den Bergh, & Van den Bout, 2005; Waller, Meyer, & Ohanian, 2001).

20 Not only are maladaptive schemas potential relevant for athletes, they may co-occur
21 with known associates of psychological distress to help explain the occurrence of athlete
22 psychological distress. Specifically, some researchers suggest that maladaptive schemas and
23 irrational beliefs share some conceptual characteristics (Sava, 2009; Szentagotai, Schnur,
24 DiGiuseppe, Macavei, Kallay, & David, 2005), whilst others indicate that some irrational
25 beliefs might be better thought of as schemas (DiGiuseppe, 1996). However, there is little

1 existing evidence to support the notion that irrational beliefs and maladaptive schemas are
2 positively related, and there are some conceptual differences that cast doubt on their supposed
3 similarities. For example, irrational beliefs are considered to be ‘irrational’ because they are
4 rigid, extreme, and illogical (Turner, 2016). These adjectives that are not associated with
5 maladaptive schemas in the revised and comprehensive definition of maladaptive schemas
6 (Young et al., 2013). In addition, although Sava (2009) posited that unrelenting standards are
7 similar to primary irrational beliefs, chiefly because both contain rigid demands on the self,
8 the data did not support this assertion. It could be argued that the maladaptive schemas
9 defectiveness (e.g., “there is something wrong with me”) and failure to achieve (e.g., “I have
10 achieved nothing”) are similar to the irrational belief of depreciation (e.g., “I am complete
11 failure”), as they all reflect an extreme negative view of the self (e.g., Young et al., 2003).
12 But apart from semantic similarities, there is no evidence to suggest that irrational beliefs and
13 maladaptive schemas are related. Whilst REBT and ST share a common taxonomy (both are
14 CBTs), each proposes different cognitive factors causing psychological distress, and in line
15 with this fact, there are differences in their affective mechanisms, and as a result, their core
16 therapeutic processes.

17 One common feature that irrational beliefs and maladaptive schemas do share, is that
18 they are both positively related to greater psychological distress. In particular, maladaptive
19 schema ‘failure to achieve’ and ‘defectiveness’ have been found to be positively related to
20 psychological distress (e.g., Calvete et al., 2005; Muris, 2006). Research has yet to examine
21 whether these findings remain in athlete samples. The aforementioned relationship between
22 irrational beliefs and psychological distress (Visla et al., 2016) have been supported in athlete
23 samples (Turner et al., 2019). But no research has examined the co-occurrence of irrational
24 beliefs and maladaptive schemas to predict psychological distress. In sum, there is some
25 debate concerning the conceptual similarities between irrational beliefs and maladaptive

1 schemas that have sparsely been investigated, and whilst the research examining REBT and
2 irrational beliefs in sport is burgeoning (e.g., Turner & Bennet, 2018), the theory and practice
3 of ST in sport remains relatively unexplored. A deeper understanding of whether and to what
4 extent irrational beliefs and maladaptive schemas co-occur to predict psychological distress
5 may offer a more complex understanding of mental health risk factors in athletes, and help
6 practitioners begin to understand how REBT and ST may be applied in tandem for the benefit
7 of greater athlete psychological wellbeing.

8 The purpose of the current study is to consider irrational beliefs and maladaptive
9 schemas in relation to psychological distress in a sample of athletes participating in one or all
10 of the sports of running, cycling, and swimming (e.g., duathletes, and triathletes). We seek to
11 understand the conceptual linkage between irrational beliefs and maladaptive schemas, and
12 how they interact to relate to athlete psychological distress, for the first time in research.
13 Cognitions do not exist within a vacuum, and constructs from difference CBTs can co-occur
14 (e.g., Buschmann et al., 2018) to explain psychological distress. Therefore, the aims of the
15 current study were twofold. First, we examined associations between the four core irrational
16 beliefs and maladaptive schemas (defectiveness, failure to achieve, and unrelenting
17 standards), a seldom undertaken endeavour. Second, we examined the effects of irrational
18 beliefs and maladaptive schemas on anxiety and depression symptomology (psychological
19 distress). It is not known, nor has it been it postulated, about the extent to which irrational
20 beliefs and maladaptive schemas co-occur to relate to athlete psychological distress. The
21 current study has one main hypothesis and an exploratory hypothesis. First, it was tentatively
22 hypothesised that the four core irrational beliefs would be positively related to all three
23 maladaptive schemas. Second, due to the novel and exploratory nature of the current study,
24 we made an exploratory hypothesis concerning the co-occurrence of irrational beliefs and
25 maladaptive schemas, and the interaction between these two constructs in the atemporal

1 prediction of psychological distress. On the basis of past research findings that automatic
2 thoughts account for the effect of irrational beliefs on distress in a mediation model
3 (Buschmann et al., 2018), we expected maladaptive schemas to account for the effect of
4 irrational beliefs on athletes psychological distress.

5 **Method**

6 **Participants**

7 Participants were 214 (*female* = 94) self-selected competitive triathletes (*n* = 124),
8 runners (*n* = 57), duathletes (*n* = 9), cyclists (*n* = 17), and swimmers (*n* = 7), who ranged in
9 age from 18 to 72 (*Mage* = 42.24, *SDage* = 10.54) based in the United Kingdom. 78 athletes
10 (*female* = 33) competed at club/regional level for an average of 3.40 years (*SD* = 2.60), 74
11 athletes (*female* = 28) competed at national level for an average of 4.20 years (*SD* = 3.00),
12 and 62 athletes (*female* = 33) competed at international level for an average of 5.60 years (*SD*
13 = 3.50). Females competed at their highest level for an average of 4.60 years (*SD* = 3.20), and
14 males competed at their highest level for an average of 3.90 years (*SD* = 3.10).

15 **Design**

16 A single timepoint cross-sectional study design was used to investigate simple
17 atemporal mediation models. The tested models were constructed in line with cognitive
18 behavioral theory (REBT and ST), such that psychological distress (anxiety and depression)
19 functioned as the Y variable, whilst irrational beliefs and maladaptive schemas functioned as
20 either the X or M variable. The models tested in the current study reflect the extent literature,
21 and the temporal order of the included variables is not ambiguous. However, the current
22 methodology does not include a temporal component, and therefore does not test cause and
23 effect, rather, we examine atemporal mediation effects (e.g., Winer, Cervone, Bryant,
24 McKinney, Liu, & Nadorff, 2016).

25 **Measures**

1 **Irrational Beliefs.** The irrational Performance Beliefs Inventory (iPBI; Turner et al.,
2 2018) is a measure of irrational beliefs in performance settings such as sport and in line with
3 REBT theory assesses four core irrational beliefs, namely primary irrational beliefs (e.g., “I
4 need others to think that I make a valuable contribution”), low-frustration tolerance (e.g., I
5 can’t stand not reaching my goals”), awfulizing (e.g., “It’s awful to not be treated fairly by
6 my peers”), and depreciation (e.g., “I am a loser if I do not succeed in things that matter to
7 me”). The iPBI comprises 28-items, 7-items for each core irrational beliefs. Items are rated
8 on a Likert-scale from 1 (*strongly disagree*) to 5 (*strongly agree*) with higher scores
9 indicating greater irrational beliefs. The four subscales were summed and averaged to form a
10 composite irrational beliefs variable (Turner et al., 2018). The iPBI is valid and reliable for
11 use with athletes (Turner et al., 2019; Turner & Allen, 2018), and internal consistency
12 (Cronbach’s alpha) in the current sample was between .75 and .88 for the four subscales
13 which shows good internal reliability and .93 for the composite score demonstrating excellent
14 internal reliability.

15 **Maladaptive schemas.** Three subscales, defectiveness, failure to achieve, and
16 unrelenting standards, were used from the full Young Schema Questionnaire (YSQ; Young,
17 2005); YSQ-S3 (Welburn, Coristine, Dagg, Pontefract, & Jordan, 2002). The YSQ has been
18 used with a wide variety of clinical (Calvete et al., 2005; Waller et al., 2001) and non-clinical
19 populations (Rijkeboer, van den Bergh & van den Bout, 2005). Each subscale is assessed
20 using 5-items about attitudes, beliefs, and expectations about the self and others, and
21 participants rated each item on a Likert-scale from 1 (*completely untrue of me*) to 6
22 (*describes me perfectly*). For example, the respondent is asked to respond to items such as
23 “I’m unworthy of the love, attention, and respect of others” (defectiveness), “I’m
24 incompetent when it comes to achievement” (failure to achieve), and “I must be the best at
25 most of what I do; I can’t accept second best” (unrelenting standards). The items produce

1 three subscale scores ranging from 5 to 30, with a higher score indicating greater maladaptive
2 schemas. The three subscales were summed and averaged to produce a total maladaptive
3 schemas variable for subsequent analyses. Internal consistency (Cronbach's alpha) was
4 between .84 and .91 for the three subscales and .86 for the total score, which shows good
5 internal reliability.

6 **Psychological distress.** In line with recent literature, psychological distress was
7 assessed using two separate and prominent measures of depression and anxiety
8 symptomology. Specifically, the Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9; Kroenke, Spitzer, &
9 Williams, 2001) was used to measure depression and is used nationally in NHS Increasing
10 Access to Psychological Therapies (IAPT) services as a standard measurement and screening
11 tool for depression. The PHQ-9 has 9-items that assess frequency in symptoms of depression
12 over the last two weeks, rated on a Likert-scale from 0 (*not at all*) to 3 (*nearly every day*). For
13 example, one item asks how often the respondent has been "feeling down, depressed, or
14 hopeless". The items produce a total score between 0-27, with a higher score indicating
15 greater depression symptoms. The range in PHQ-9 data was 0-12, and internal consistency
16 (Cronbach's alpha) was .85 showing good internal reliability.

17 The General Anxiety Disorder Questionnaire (GAD-7; Spitzer, Kroenke, Williams &
18 Lowe, 2006) was used to measure anxiety symptoms. Similar to the PHQ-9, the GAD-7 is
19 used in NHS IAPT services as a standard measurement and screening tool for anxiety. The
20 GAD-7 comprises 7-items that assess frequency of anxiety symptoms over the last two weeks
21 rated on a Likert-scale from 0 (*not at all*) to 3 (*nearly every day*). For example, one item asks
22 how often the respondent has been "feeling nervous, anxious, or on the edge". The items
23 produce a total score between 0 – 21, with a higher score indicating greater anxiety
24 symptoms. The range in PHQ-9 data was 0-11, and internal consistency (Cronbach's alpha)
25 was .89 showing good internal reliability. The total scores from the PHQ-9 and the GAD-7

1 were combined, known as the PHQ-ADS (e.g., Chilcot et al., 2018; Kroenke et al., 2016), to
2 form a single psychological distress variable.

3 **Procedure**

4 Participants were recruited using convenience, snowball, and random sampling via
5 social media. Convenience sampling was achieved by liaising with U.K. sport and exercise
6 psychologists and researchers to gain access to athlete groups with whom they worked.
7 Snowball sampling was achieved by encouraging participants, on completion of the survey,
8 to send the details of the study to other potential participants that may or may not take part in
9 sport. Random sampling was achieved via a social media campaign targeting sporting
10 organizations to engage their athletes in the project. We adopted multiple sample recruitment
11 devices to limit self-selection and sampling biases associated with a single approach to
12 sample recruitment. The four questionnaires (iPBI, YSQ-S3, PHQ-9, and GAD-7), along with
13 demographics questions (age, sex, sport competed, and current level), were distributed to
14 participants interested in taking part using the online survey platform Qualtrics. Research has
15 shown that online versions of questionnaires have the same psychometric properties as paper
16 versions (Riva, Teruzzi, & Anolli, 2003), but also allow data to be collected nationally and
17 multi-nationally.

18 The first page of the survey gave participants information about the study, and the
19 type of participants required. Participants needed to agree with a description of people being
20 competitive in sport, rather than just taking part, to continue. The next three questions
21 ensured that participants were eligible to take part. If they answered that they were under 18,
22 had a mental health problem, or did not consent to their data being used for research, they
23 automatically directed to the end thank you page. After giving consent to take part,
24 participants completed the questionnaire, after which they were thanked and then signposted
25 to independent support services in case the questionnaire triggered some discomfort around

1 psychological distress. Ethical approval was gained from a University Ethics Board and
2 informed consent was gained from all participants prior to all data collection. All procedures
3 in line with the ethical standards of the Helsinki Declaration.

4 **Analytic strategy**

5 In order to determine the sample size for mediation analyses, a power analysis was
6 conducted using G*Power (Faul, Erfelder, Buchner, & Lang, 2014). The analysis was based
7 off multiple linear regression, with a small-medium effect size (f^2) of .30 (consistent with past
8 research; Calvete et al., 2005), an alpha of .05, a standard power level of .80, and a total of 2
9 predictors. The results of the power analysis showed that a minimum of 36 participants would
10 be needed for each of the six tests ($n = 216$ total) for an appropriate power level.

11 Collected data were first screened for missing values, normality, and outliers. Missing
12 data (0.1%) were replaced using SPSS expectation maximisation. Although Kolmogorov-
13 Smirnov tests were significant, given the large sample size in the current study, the Central
14 Limit Theorem was applied to assume normality of the data. The data were checked for
15 normality and outliers more than two standard deviations from the mean (4%) were
16 Winsorized (Reifman & Keyton, 2010; Smith, 2011). Main data analyses were conducted in
17 two phases. First, Pearson's correlation analyses were conducted to examine the bivariate
18 associations between all self-report variables (Table 1).

19 Second, following similar research (e.g., Buschmann et al., 2018) simple atemporal
20 mediation analyses were conducted ($n = 6$ models), one for each combination of mediators.
21 To achieve this, irrational beliefs and maladaptive schemas are tested in simple atemporal
22 mediation (Winer et al., 2016) models to examine maladaptive schemas as a potential
23 mechanism through which irrational beliefs are related to psychological distress in the present
24 athlete sample. The atemporal mediation analyses conducted in the current study follow the
25 models presented by Szentagotai and Freeman (2007) and Buschmann et al. (2018). In the

1 present study, the extent to which maladaptive schemas account for the already distinguished
2 relationship between irrational beliefs and psychological distress (Turner et al., 2019), is
3 examined in an athlete sample. Importantly, alternate analyses test the atemporal mediational
4 effects of irrational beliefs on the, as yet to be determined, relationship between maladaptive
5 schemas and psychological distress in athlete populations. That is, we conducted three
6 mediation analyses in which maladaptive schemas acted as the mediator between irrational
7 beliefs and anxiety (model 1), depression (model 2), and psychological distress (model 5),
8 and three mediation analyses in which irrational beliefs acted as the mediator between
9 maladaptive schemas and anxiety (model 3), depression (model 4), and psychological distress
10 (model 6). Due to the range of athlete levels and ages in the current sample, age and
11 competitive level were entered into each model as covariates (e.g., Turner et al., 2019).

12 For mediation analyses, we used the PROCESS macro (model 4; Hayes, 2013) in
13 IBM SPSS was used. A bootstrapping procedure (with bias corrected confidence intervals
14 [CI]) was performed to estimate indirect effects (Preacher & Hayes, 2008). The bootstrapping
15 process involved 5,000 resamples and statistical significance of indirect effects was
16 determined using 95% CIs. Recent research has used PROCESS to conduct simple atemporal
17 mediation analyses with irrational beliefs and psychological distress (Turner et al., 2019).

18 **Results**

19 **Relationships between irrational beliefs and maladaptive schemas**

20 Pearson's correlation co-efficients (Table 1) revealed that the only non-significant
21 associations were between PIB and defectiveness, PIB and failure to achieve, and failure to
22 achieve and unrelating standards. Importantly for the mediation analyses, composite irrational
23 beliefs were positively and significantly related to total maladaptive schemas, anxiety, and
24 depression, and in addition, total maladaptive schemas was positively and significantly

1 related to anxiety, and depression. Subsequently, four simple mediation analyses were
2 conducted (Table 2).

3 **Co-occurrence of irrational beliefs and maladaptive schemas in relation to psychological** 4 **distress**

5 For Models 1, 2, and 5 (Figures 1a, 1b, and 1e) there was a significant overall effect,
6 and a significant indirect effect, indicating that total maladaptive schemas significantly
7 mediated the relationship between composite irrational beliefs and anxiety ($\beta = .14$, CI: .061,
8 .231), depression ($\beta = .18$, CI: .107, .271), and psychological distress ($\beta = .17$, CI: .097,
9 .255). In essence, the models in which maladaptive schemas acted as the mediator between
10 irrational beliefs and psychological distress, significant mediation was revealed. The analyses
11 showed that whilst irrational beliefs were positively (with a moderate effect; Preacher &
12 Kelly, 2011) related to psychological distress, the addition of maladaptive schemas weakened
13 (to non-significance, and small effects) the association between irrational beliefs and
14 psychological distress.

15 For Models 3, 4, and 6 (Figures 1c, 1d, and 1f) there was a significant overall effect,
16 but no significant indirect effects emerged, indicating that composite irrational beliefs did not
17 significantly mediate the relationship between total maladaptive schemas and anxiety ($\beta =$
18 .05, CI: -.019, .119), depression ($\beta = .04$, CI: -.027, .113), and psychological distress ($\beta = .05$,
19 CI: -.015, .119). In essence, the models in which irrational beliefs acted as the mediator
20 between maladaptive schemas and psychological distress, significant mediation was not
21 revealed. The analyses showed that maladaptive schemas was positively (with a moderate-
22 large effect) related to psychological distress, the addition of irrational beliefs did not
23 significantly weaken (to non-significance) the moderate-large association between
24 maladaptive schemas and psychological distress.

25 **Discussion**

1 The main aim of the current study was to explore the extent to which irrational beliefs and
2 maladaptive schemas co-occur to relate to psychological distress. Based on past research
3 investigating the role of automatic thoughts in the relationship between irrational beliefs and
4 psychological distress (Buschmann et al., 2018; Szentagotai & Freeman, 2007) we expected
5 maladaptive schemas to account for the relationship between irrational beliefs and
6 psychological distress in the current sample. To test this hypothesis, we conducted two sets of
7 simple atemporal mediation models. One set included maladaptive schemas as the mediator
8 variable between irrational beliefs (X) and psychological distress (Y; anxiety and depression
9 separately, and combined), and one set included irrational beliefs as the mediator variable
10 between maladaptive schemas (X) and psychological distress (Y; anxiety and depression
11 separately, and combined). We also examined associations between irrational beliefs and
12 maladaptive schemas, and the between-subjects differences between females and males
13 across irrational beliefs, maladaptive schemas, and psychological distress. It was
14 hypothesised that the four core irrational beliefs would be positively related to the three
15 maladaptive schemas.

16 Results demonstrated that maladaptive schemas fully atemporally mediated the
17 positive moderate relationship between irrational beliefs and symptoms of anxiety, and
18 depression. That is, whilst irrational beliefs were positively related to psychological distress,
19 the addition of maladaptive schemas weakened (to non-significance) the association between
20 irrational beliefs and psychological distress. Findings demonstrated that participants who
21 reported high irrational beliefs also reported high maladaptive schemas, and that this
22 association demonstrated a large effect. Importantly, it is the shared variance between
23 irrational beliefs and maladaptive schemas that is more strongly related to psychological
24 distress, rather than irrational beliefs alone. That is, with maladaptive schemas in the models,
25 there was little evidence that irrational beliefs influenced anxiety and depression, as the effect

1 was reduced from a moderate to a small, non-significant, effect. The direct effects between
2 irrational beliefs and anxiety and depression were moderate and significant, supporting
3 swathes of past research with general and clinical samples (see Visla et al., 2016, for a meta-
4 analysis) and some recent research with athletes (Turner et al., 2019). But the current study
5 suggests that the positive relationship between irrational beliefs and psychological distress is
6 explained through maladaptive schemas. This is important because it reveals that maladaptive
7 schemas is a potential mechanism through which irrational beliefs are related to
8 psychological distress, a finding demonstrated in past research but with automatic thoughts
9 (e.g., Buschmann et al., 2018) rather than maladaptive schemas. Indeed, irrational beliefs and
10 maladaptive schemas explained 44% of variance in psychological distress, which is
11 comparable to Buschmann et al. (2018) who reported that irrational beliefs and automatic
12 thoughts explained 56% of variance in depression. Therefore, maladaptive schemas and
13 automatic thoughts appears to be important mechanisms through which irrational beliefs
14 relate to psychological distress.

15 The atemporal mediation findings of the current study are potentially important
16 because they suggest a more complex relationship between irrational beliefs and
17 psychological distress, that researchers and practitioners should be mindful of when studying
18 and working with athletes presenting with high anxiety and or depression. In addition, the
19 correlational findings demonstrated significant positive relationships between specific
20 irrational beliefs and specific maladaptive schemas. The moderate positive associations
21 between irrational depreciation beliefs (as proposed in REBT) and maladaptive defectiveness
22 schema (as proposed in ST) are of particular note due to the potential conceptual convergence
23 between these two constructs. Depreciation reflects the global negative evaluation of the self,
24 others and life, such as “because I have failed, I am a complete failure”. In comparison,
25 defectiveness reflects beliefs that one is defective, bad, unwanted, inferior, or invalid

1 (Ohanian & Rashed, 2012). Therefore, defectiveness has much in common with depreciation
2 conceptually with their focus on negative, absolute, and global beliefs about the self.

3 Correlational and atemporal mediational analyses suggest that those with greater
4 psychological distress are more likely to also have greater irrational beliefs and maladaptive
5 schemas. Athletes suffering with symptoms of psychological distress require accurate and
6 comprehensive cognitive assessment that, on the basis of the current study, should include
7 both irrational beliefs and maladaptive. When assessing risk factors for psychological distress
8 it is important to understand how cognitions and beliefs interact to predict ill-being. But more
9 importantly, when intervening with psychological distress it may be appropriate to apply ST
10 and REBT in conjunction, in order to address both irrational beliefs and maladaptive
11 schemas. There is clearly a difference in how a practitioner would work with an athlete
12 depending on whether REBT or ST is adopted. REBT is more present and future oriented,
13 whilst ST is more about healing past pain. Therefore, an athlete whose psychological distress
14 symptomology stems from depreciation (as proposed in REBT) and defectiveness (as
15 proposed in ST), for example, may require disputation of depreciation as is common in REBT
16 (Turner, 2016), and require the use of rescripting imagery to create a feeling that an unmet
17 childhood need is indeed being met as used in ST (Arntz, 2012). This would involve
18 engaging the athlete in the empirical, logical, and pragmatic socratic challenging of the
19 depreciation belief, as well as encouraging the athlete to change the meaning of emotional
20 memories and images through imagery. Indeed, both REBT and ST include imagery as a
21 valuable technique to engender emotional change, but with fundamental differences. In
22 REBT, rational emotive imagery (REI; Maulstby, 1971) encourages individuals to imagine
23 experiencing the problematic emotion in their minds eye, identify the specific irrational
24 beliefs which are creating the emotions, and to change these to rational beliefs. Whereas in
25 rescripting imagery (in ST), individuals are asked to imagine the memory as vividly as

1 possible, and to imagine that the sequence of events is changed in a direction that the person
2 desires (Arntz, 2012). Here, in REI the core belief is the key focus of change, but in
3 rescripting imagery the perception of events is the key focus of change.

4 This brief example of a dual REBT-ST approach could help the athlete to reduce the
5 irrational beliefs of depreciation and the maladaptive schema of defectiveness. Of course, the
6 work between client and practitioner is more nuanced, and future research could apply an
7 integrated REBT-ST approach with athletes to record and examine its use and effectiveness.
8 In addition, this dual approach is relevant only to athletes expressing both high irrational
9 beliefs and high maladaptive schemas. On the evidence of past research, individuals can also
10 present with high irrational beliefs and related automatic thoughts (e.g., Szentagotai &
11 Freeman, 2007) in which case a dual REBT-CT approach to working with the client is
12 perhaps warranted.

13 Future research should explore a model in which irrational beliefs, maladaptive
14 schemas, and automatic thoughts co-occur to relate to psychological distress. In order to
15 achieve this model in athlete samples, researchers should consider developing and validating
16 athlete versions of psychometrics that assess maladaptive schemas (YSQ-S3), and automatic
17 thoughts (Automatic Thought Questionnaire). Recently, a sport-specific irrational beliefs
18 measure has been developed (iPBI-2; Turner & Allen, 2018), and should be used in future
19 research with athletes. Also, in the current study we did not assess all possible schemas due to
20 the procedural burden this would have placed on participants. Future research could assess
21 more than just defectiveness, failure to achieve, and unrelenting standards to fully understand
22 the prevalence of maladaptive schemas in athletes, and their cognitive, emotional, and
23 behavioural associates.

24 Clearly, we cannot infer cause (cognitions) and effect (emotions), as the analyses
25 demonstrate atemporal associations at a single timepoint. Whilst cognitive-behavioural theory

- 1 Arntz, A. (2012). Imagery rescripting as a therapeutic technique: review of clinical trials,
2 basic studies, and research agenda. *Journal of Experimental Psychopathology*, 3, 189–
3 208.
- 4 Beck, A. T. (1976) *Cognitive therapy and the emotional disorders*. New York: International
5 Universities Press.
- 6 Beck, A. (2008). The evolution of the cognitive model of depression and its neurobiological
7 correlates. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 165(8), 969–977.
- 8 Beck, A. T., Rush, A. J., Shaw, B. F., & Emery, G. (1979). *Cognitive therapy of depression*.
9 New York: The Guilford Press.
- 10 Benzeval, M., & Judge, K. (2001). Income and health: the time dimension. *Social science &*
11 *medicine*, 52(9), 1371-1390.
- 12 Buschmann, T., Horn, R. A., Blankenship, V. R., Garcia, Y. E., & Bohan, K. B. (2018). The
13 relationship between automatic thoughts and irrational beliefs predicting anxiety and
14 depression. *Journal of Rational-Emotive & Cognitive-Behavior Therapy*, 36(2), 137-162.
- 15 Browne, C. M., Dowd, E. T., & Freeman, A. (2010). Rational and irrational beliefs and
16 psychopathology. In D. David, S. J. Lynn & A. Ellis (Eds.), *Rational and irrational*
17 *beliefs in human functioning and disturbances: Implications for research, theory and*
18 *practice* (2010). New York: Oxford University Press.
- 19 Calvete, E., Estévez, A., López, de Arroyobe, E. & Ruiz, P. (2005). The schema
20 questionnaire--short form: Structure and relationship with automatic thoughts and
21 symptoms of affective disorders. *European Journal of Psychological Assessment*, 21(2),
22 90-99. doi:10.1027/1015-5759.21.2.90
- 23 Chilcot, J., Hudson, J. L., Moss-Morris, R., Carroll, A., Game, D., Simpson, A., & Hotopf,
24 M. (2018). Screening for psychological distress using the Patient Health Questionnaire
25 Anxiety and Depression Scale (PHQ-ADS): Initial validation of structural validity in

- 1 dialysis patients. *General Hospital Psychiatry*, 50, 15-19. doi:
2 10.1016/j.genhosppsy.2017.09.007.
- 3 Chittleborough, C. R., Winefield, H., Gill, T. K., Koster, C., & Taylor, A. W. (2011). Age
4 differences in associations between psychological distress and chronic
5 conditions. *International Journal of Public Health*, 56(1), 71-80.
- 6 David, D., & DiGiuseppe, R. (2010). Social and cultural aspects of rational and irrational
7 beliefs: A brief reconceptualization. *Rational and irrational beliefs*, 49.
- 8 David, D., Schnur, J., & Belloiu. (2002). Another search for the "hot" cognitions: Appraisal,
9 irrational beliefs, attributions and their relations to emotion. *Journal of Rational-Emotive
10 & Cognitive Behaviour Therapy*, 20(2), 93-131.
- 11 David, D., & Szentagotai, A. (2006). Cognitions in cognitive-behavioral psychotherapies;
12 toward an integrative model. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 26(3), 284-298.
- 13 DiGiuseppe, R. (1996). The nature of irrational and rational beliefs: Progress in rational
14 emotive behaviour theory. *Journal of Rational-Emotive & Cognitive-Behaviour Therapy*,
15 14(1), 5-28.
- 16 DiLorenzo, T. A., David, D., & Montgomery, G. H. (2007). The interrelations between
17 irrational cognitive processes and distress in stressful academic settings. *Personality and
18 Individual Differences*, 42(4), 765-776.
- 19 Drapeau, A., Marchand, A., Beaulieu-Prevost, D. (2012). Epidemiology of psychological
20 distress. In Luciano L'Abate (Ed.), *Mental illnesses: Understanding, prediction and
21 control*. Rijeka: Intech.
- 22 Dryden, W. (2009). *How to think and intervene like an REBT therapist*. London: Routledge.
- 23 Ellis, A. (2005). Discussion of "Science and philosophy: Comparison of cognitive therapy
24 and rational Emotive Behaviour therapy". *Journal of Cognitive Psychotherapy*, 19(2),
25 181-185.

- 1 Ellis, A. (1994). *Reason and emotion in psychotherapy*. Secaucus, NJ: Birch Lane Press.
- 2 Ellis, A. (1957). Rational psychotherapy and individual psychology. *Journal of Individual*
3 *Psychology, 13*, 38-44.
- 4 Ellis, A., & Dryden, W. (1997). *The practice of rational emotive behaviour therapy*. New
5 York: Springer Publishing Co.
- 6 Faul, F., Erdfelder, E., Lang, A., & Buchner, A. (2007). G*Power 3: A flexible statistical
7 power analysis program for the social, behavioral, and biomedical sciences. *Behavior*
8 *Research Methods, 39*, 175-191.
- 9 Fletcher, D., & Arnold, R. (2017). Stress in sport: The role of the organizational environment.
10 In C. R. D. Wagstaff (Ed.), *An organizational psychology of sport: Key issues and*
11 *practical applications* (pp. 83-100). London, UK: Routledge.
- 12 Fletcher, D., Hanton, S., & Mellalieu, S. D. (2006). An organizational stress review:
13 Conceptual and theoretical issues in competitive sport. In S. Hanton & S. D. Mellalieu
14 (Eds.), *Literature reviews in sport psychology* (pp. 321-373). Hauppauge, NY: Nova
15 Science.
- 16 Fletcher, D., Hanton, S., & Wagstaff, C. R. D. (2012). Performers' responses to stressors
17 encountered in sport organizations. *Journal of Sports Sciences, 30*, 349-358.
- 18 Gherghişan, A. (2015). The analysis of early maladaptive schemas which facilitate high
19 performance in women handball and rugby players, *Sport Science Review, 24*(3-4), 145-
20 169. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1515/ssr-2015-0013>
- 21 Gispert, R., Rajmil, L., Schiaffino, A., & Herdman, M. (2003). Sociodemographic and health-
22 related correlates of psychiatric distress in a general population. *Social Psychiatry and*
23 *Psychiatric Epidemiology, 38*(12), 677-683.

- 1 Gouttebauge, V., Frings-Dresen, M. H. W., & Sluiter, J. K. (2015). Mental and psychosocial
2 health among current and former professional footballers. *Occupational medicine*, 65(3),
3 190-196.
- 4 Hammond, T., Gialloredo, C., Kubas, H., & Davis IV, H. H. (2013). The prevalence of
5 failure-based depression among elite athletes. *Clinical Journal of Sport Medicine*, 23(4),
6 273-277. doi:10.1097/JSM.0b013e318287b870.
- 7 Hayes, A. F. (2013). *Introduction to mediation, moderation, and conditional process*
8 *analysis: A regression-based approach*. New York, NY: The Guilford Press.
- 9 Hayes, S. (2018). *State of the ACT evidence*. Association for Contextual Behavioral Science.
10 Retrieved from https://contextualscience.org/state_of_the_act_evidence. Retrieval date
11 19.07.2018.
- 12 Hughes, L., & Leavey, G. (2012). Setting the bar: Athletes and vulnerability to mental illness.
13 *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, 200(21), 95-96.
- 14 Jose, P. E. (2016). The merits of using longitudinal mediation. *Educational*
15 *Psychologist*, 51(3-4), 331-341. doi: [10.1080/00461520.2016.1207175](https://doi.org/10.1080/00461520.2016.1207175)
- 16 Kroenke, K., Spitzer, R. L., & Williams, J. B. (2001). The PHQ-9: Validity of a brief
17 depression severity measure. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, 16(9), 606-613.
- 18 Kroenke, K., Wu, J., Yu, Z., Bair, M. J., Kean, J., Stump, T., & Monahan, P. O. (2016).
19 Patient health questionnaire anxiety and depression scale: Initial validation in three
20 clinical trials. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 78(6), 716-727.
21 doi:10.1097/PSY.0000000000000322.
- 22 Kuriyama, S., Nakaya, N., Ohmori-Matsuda, K., Shimazu, T., Kikuchi, N., Kakizaki, M., &
23 Akhter, M. (2009). Factors associated with psychological distress in a community-
24 dwelling Japanese population: the Ohsaki Cohort 2006 Study. *Journal of*
25 *epidemiology*, 19(6), 294-302.

- 1 Linehan, M. M. (1993). *Cognitive-behavioral treatment of borderline personality disorder*
2 Guildford Press.
- 3 Marchand, A., Demers, A., & Durand, P. (2005). Does work really cause distress? The
4 contribution of occupational structure and work organization to the experience of
5 psychological distress. *Social science & medicine*, 61(1), 1-14.
- 6 Maultsby, M. (1971). Rational emotive imagery. *Rational Living*, 6(1), 24-27.
- 7 Muris, P. (2006). Maladaptive schemas in non-clinical adolescents: Relations to perceived
8 parental rearing behaviours, big five personality factors and psychopathological
9 symptoms. *Clinical Psychology and Psychotherapy*, 13, 405-413.
- 10 National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. (2016). *Depression in adults: Recognition*
11 *and management* NICE. doi:nice.org.uk/guidance/cg90
- 12 National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. (2011). *Generalised anxiety disorder and*
13 *panic disorder in adults: Management* NICE. doi:nice.org.uk/guidance/cg113
- 14 Nixdorf, I., Frank, R., Hautzinger, M., & Beckmann, J. (2013). Prevalence of depressive
15 symptoms and correlating variables among German elite athletes. *Journal of Clinical*
16 *Sport Psychology*, 7(4), 313-326.
- 17 Ohanian, V., & Rashed, R. (2012). Eight Schema Therapy. *Cognitive Behaviour Therapies*,
18 166.
- 19 Paukert, A. L., Phillips, L., Cully, J. A., Loboprabhu, S. M., Lomax, J. W., & Stanley, M. A.
20 (2009). Integration of religion into cognitive-behavioral therapy for geriatric anxiety and
21 depression. *Journal of Psychiatric Practice*, 15(2), 103-112.
- 22 Preacher, K. J., & Hayes, A. F. (2008). Asymptotic and resampling strategies for assessing
23 and comparing indirect effects in multiple mediator models. *Behavior Research*
24 *Methods*, 40(3), 879-891.

- 1 Preacher, K. J., & Kelley, K. (2011). *Effect size measures for mediation models: Quantitative*
2 *strategies for communicating indirect effects*. American Psychological Association.
3 doi:10.1037/a0022658
- 4 Reifman, A., & Keyton, K. (2010). Winsorize. In N. J. Salkind (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of*
5 *Research Design* (pp. 1636-1638). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- 6 Rice, S. M., Purcell, R., De Silva, S., Mawren, D., McGorry, P. D., & Parker, A. G. (2016).
7 The mental health of elite athletes: A narrative systematic review. *Sports Medicine*
8 *(Auckland, N.z.)*, 46(9), 1333–1353. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-016-0492-2>
- 9 Rijkeboer, M. M., Van den Bergh, H., & Van den Bout, J. (2005). Stability and
10 discriminative power of the young schema questionnaire in a Dutch clinical versus non-
11 clinical population. *Journal of Behavior Therapy and Experimental Psychiatry*, 36, 129-
12 144.
- 13 Riva, G., Teruzzi, T., & Anolli, L. (2003). The use of the internet in psychological research:
14 Comparison of online and offline questionnaires. *CyberPsychology and Behaviour*, 6(1),
15 73-80. doi:10.1089/109493103321167983.
- 16 Sava, F. A. (2009). Maladaptive schemas, irrational beliefs, and their relationship with the
17 Five-Factor Personality model. *Journal of Cognitive & Behavioral*
18 *Psychotherapies*, 9(2).
- 19 Smith, M. (2011). *Research methods in accounting* (2nd ed.). London: SAGE Publications
20 Ltd.
- 21 Spitzer, R. L., Kroenke, K., Williams, J. B., & Löwe, B. (2006). A brief measure for
22 assessing generalized anxiety disorder: The GAD-7. *Archives of Internal Medicine*,
23 116(10), 1092-1097. doi:10.1001/archinte.166.10.1092
- 24 Swann, C. F., Moran, A., & Piggott, D. (2015). Defining elite athletes: Issues in the study of
25 expert performance in sport psychology. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, 16(1), 3-14.

- 1 Szentagotai, A., & Freeman, A. (2007). An analysis of the relationship between irrational
2 beliefs and automatic thoughts in predicting distress. *Journal of Cognitive & Behavioral*
3 *Psychotherapies*, 7(1), 109.
- 4 Szentagotai, A., Schnur, J., DiGiuseppe, R., Macavei, B., Kallay, E., & David, D. (2005). The
5 organization and the nature of irrational beliefs: Schemas or appraisal? *Journal of*
6 *Cognitive and Behavioural Psychotherapies*, (2), 139-158.
- 7 Turner, M. J. (2016). Rational emotive behavior therapy (REBT), irrational and rational
8 beliefs, and the mental health of athletes. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 7
9 doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2016.01423
- 10 Turner, M. J., & Allen, M. S. (2018). Confirmatory factor analysis of the irrational
11 Performance Beliefs Inventory (iPBI) in a sample of amateur and semi-professional
12 athletes. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, 35, 126-130.
- 13 Turner, M. J., Allen, M. S., Slater, M. J., Barker, J. B., Woodcock, C., Harwood, C. G., &
14 McFayden, K. (2018). The development and initial validation of the irrational
15 performance beliefs inventory (iPBI). *European Journal of Psychological Assessment*,
16 doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1027/1015-5759/a000314
- 17 Turner, M. J., Carrington, S., & Miller, A. (2019). Psychological distress across sport
18 participation groups: The mediating effects of secondary irrational beliefs on the
19 relationship between primary irrational beliefs and symptoms of anxiety, anger, and
20 depression. *Journal of Clinical Sport Psychology*, 13(1), 17-40.
- 21 Turner, M. J., & Moore, M. (2015). Irrational beliefs predict increased emotional and
22 physical exhaustion in gaelic football athletes. *International Journal of Sport*
23 *Psychology*, 47, 187-199.

- 1 Visla, A., Flückiger, C., Grosse Holtforth, M., & David, D. (2015). Irrational beliefs and
2 psychological distress: A meta-analysis. *Psychotherapy & Psychosomatics*, *85*(1), 8-15.
3 doi:10.1159/000441231
- 4 Waller, G., Meyer, C., & Ohanian, V. (2001). Psychometric properties of the long and short
5 versions of the young schema questionnaire: Core beliefs among bulimic and
6 comparison women. *Cognitive Therapy and Research*, *26*, 137-147.
- 7 Watson, D. (2009). Differentiating the mood and anxiety disorders: A quadripartite
8 model. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology*, *5*, 221-247.
- 9 Welburn, K., Cristine, M., Dagg, P., Pontefract, A., & Jordan, S. (2002). The Schema
10 Questionnaire—Short Form: Factor analysis and relationship between schemas and
11 symptoms. *Cognitive Therapy and Research*, *26*(4), 519-530.
- 12 Wheaton, B. (2007). The twain meet: distress, disorder and the continuing conundrum of
13 categories (comment on Horwitz). *Health*, *11*(3), 303-319.
- 14 Winer, E. S., Cervone, D., Bryant, J., McKinney, C. E., Liu, R. T., & Nadorff, M. R. (2016).
15 Distinguishing mediational models and analyses in clinical psychology: Atemporal
16 associations do not imply causation. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, *72*(9), 947-955.
- 17 Wright, M. O., Crawford, E., & Castillo, D. (2009). Childhood emotional maltreatment and
18 later psychological distress among college students: the mediating role of maladaptive
19 schemas. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, *33*(1), 59-68.
- 20 Young, J. (2005). *Young schema questionnaire - short form 3*. New York: Schema Therapy
21 Institute.
- 22 Young, J. (1999). *Cognitive therapy for personality disorder* (3rd ed.). Sarasta, Florida:
23 Professional Resource Press.
- 24 Young, J., Klosko, J., & Weishaar, M. (2003). *Schema therapy: A practitioner's guide*. New
25 York: The Guildford Press.

1 **Compliance with Ethical Standards:**

2 *Ethical approval:* All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in
3 accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee
4 and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical
5 standards.

6

7

Conflicts of Interest

8 **Conflict of Interest:** All authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

9

10

11

Highlights

- 12 • Maladaptive schema have not been examined in relation to irrational beliefs.
- 13 • The atemporal mediational effect of schema on irrational beliefs and distress is examined.
- 14 • Maladaptive schema mediated the relationship between irrational beliefs and distress.
- 15 • Athletes with greater distress had greater irrational beliefs and greater schemas.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 Table 1. Pearson’s correlation co-efficients for irrational beliefs, maladaptive schemas, and psychological distress (n = 214).

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	Mean(<i>SD</i>)	95 % CI
Primary irrational beliefs (1)	-											26.58(4.25)	26 - 27.1
Low frustration tolerance (2)	.58**	-										26.10(4.79)	25.4 - 26.8
Awfulizing (3)	.82**	.59**	-									14.53(5.71)	13.8 - 15.4
Depreciation (4)	.47**	.58**	.56**	-								22.98(5.38)	22.3 - 23.8
Composite irrational beliefs (5)	.84**	.82**	.88**	.80**	-							22.52(4.14)	21.96 - 23.09
Defectiveness (6)	.07	.14*	.18*	.43**	.26**	-						8.54(3.56)	8.1 - 9.2
Failure to achieve (7)	.11	.14*	.19**	.39**	.26**	.54**	-					9.38(4.00)	8.8 - 9.9
Unrelenting standards (8)	.36**	.52**	.34**	.32**	.46*	.21**	.10	-				20.14(5.40)	19.5 - 20.9
Total maladaptive schema (9)	.28**	.42**	.35**	.52**	.48**	.74**	.70**	.71**	-			12.65(3.01)	12.24 – 13.06
Anxiety (10)	.15*	.21**	.20**	.27**	.48**	.35**	.33**	.16*	.36**	-		3.38(3.18)	2.94 – 3.81
Depression (11)	.15*	.24**	.19**	.35**	.29**	.42**	.37**	.24**	.44**	.67**	-	3.82(3.44)	3.35 – 4.29
Psychological distress (12)	.15*	.24**	.21**	.33**	.29**	.42**	.37**	.21**	.42**	.90**	.88**	7.68(7.35)	6.69 – 8.68

2 ** $p < .01$ level, * $p < .05$.




1 Table 2. Simple mediation analyses between composite irrational beliefs (X) and psychological distress (Y) (models 1, 2, and 5), between
 2 total maladaptive schemas (X) and psychological distress (Y) (models 3, 4, and 6).

Model Number	(M)	(Y)	YR ²	Total c=t(df)=, P	Direct c'=t(df)=, P	Indirect effect
1	TMS	Anxiety	$R_2 = .38 F(4,206) = 8.54, P < .001$.25 t(207) = 3.54, P = .001	.10 t(206) = 1.38, P = .17	.14 [.061 to .231]
2	TMS	Depression	$R_2 = .46 F(4,206) = 13.80, P < .001$.27 t(207) = 3.98, P < .001	.09 t(206) = 1.23, P = .22	.18 [.107 to .271]
3	CIB	Anxiety	$R_2 = .38 F(4,206) = 8.54, P < .001$.35 t(207) = 5.35, P < .001	.31 t(206) = 4.14, P < .001	.05 [-.019 to .119]
4	CIB	Depression	$R_2 = .46 F(4,206) = 13.80, P < .001$.44 t(207) = 6.94, P < .001	.40 t(206) = 5.62, P < .001	.04 [-.027 to .113]
5	TMS	Distress	$R_2 = .44 F(4,206) = 12.21, P < .001$.28 t(207) = 4.03, P < .001	.11 t(206) = 1.47, P = .144	.17 [.097 to .255]
6	CIB	Distress	$R_2 = .44 F(4,206) = 12.21, P < .001$.42 t(207) = 6.48, P < .001	.37 t(206) = 5.12, P < .001	.05 [-.015 to .119]

3 *Note.* TMS = total maladaptive schemas; CIB = composite irrational beliefs

4
 5
 6
 7
 8

1 Figure 1. Mediation diagrams for all six models (a, b, c, d, e, f). Values not in parentheses
 2 reflect bivariate (β) relations, and values in parentheses reflect multivariate relations
 3 accounting for other variables in the regression equation. Significance values were at $*p <$
 4 $.05$, $**p \leq .001$.
 5




6


7

8


d)



e)



f)



1

2