

Please cite the Published Version

Schofield, Emily, Brooker, Rob, Rowntree, Jennifer , Price, Elizabeth , Brearley, Francis and Paterson, Eric (2019) Plant-plant competition influences temporal dynamism of soil microbial enzyme activity. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 139. ISSN 0038-0717

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2019.107615

Publisher: Elsevier

Version: Accepted Version


Downloaded from: https://e-space.mmu.ac.uk/624036/

Usage rights: Creative Commons: Attribution-Noncommercial-No Derivative Works 4.0

Additional Information: Copyright Elsevier

Enquiries:

If you have questions about this document, contact openresearch@mmu.ac.uk. Please include the URL of the record in e-space. If you believe that your, or a third party's rights have been compromised through this document please see our Take Down policy (available from https://www.mmu.ac.uk/library/using-the-library/policies-and-guidelines)



Highlights

- 1. Soil enzyme activity was strongly associated with plant roots
- 2. Root axis activity was not temporally dynamic when in plant-plant competition
- 3. Root associated area was temporally dynamic in response to plant competition
- 4. Peak cellulase activity was delayed when in competition compared to isolation
- 5. Leucine aminopeptidase activity was delayed only in intra-cultivar competition

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	Plant-plant competition influences temporal dynamism of soil microbial enzyme activity
8	
9	E. J. Schofield ^{1,2*} , R.W Brooker ¹ , J. K. Rowntree ² , E.A.C Price ² , F.Q. Brearley ² , E. Paterson ¹
10	
11	¹ The James Hutton Institute, Craigiebuckler, Aberdeen, AB15 8QH, Scotland
12	² Ecology and Environment Research Centre, School of Science and the Environment,
13	Manchester Metropolitan University, Chester Street, Manchester, M1 5GD
14	* Correspondence:
15	E.J. Schofield
16	emily.schofield@hutton.ac.uk
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

23 Abstract

24 Root-derived compounds can change rates of soil organic matter decomposition (rhizosphere priming effects) through microbial production of extracellular enzymes. Such 25 soil priming can be affected by plant identity and soil nutrient status. However, the effect of 26 plant-plant competition on the temporal dynamics of soil organic matter turnover processes 27 28 is not well understood. This study used zymography to detect the spatial and temporal 29 pattern of cellulase and leucine aminopeptidase activity, two enzyme classes involved in soil 30 organic matter turnover. The effect of plant-plant competition on enzyme activity was 31 examined using barley (Hordeum vulgare) plants grown in i) isolation, ii) intra- and iii) inter-32 cultivar competition. The enzyme activities of leucine aminopeptidase and cellulase were measured from portions of the root system at 18, 25 and 33 days after planting, both along 33 34 the root axis and in the root associated area with detectable enzyme activity. The activities of cellulase and leucine aminopeptidase were both strongly associated with plant roots, and 35 increased over time. An increase in the area of cellulase activity around roots was delayed 36 37 when plants were in competition compared to in isolation. A similar response was found for 38 leucine aminopeptidase activity, but only when in intra-cultivar competition, and not when 39 in inter-cultivar competition. Therefore, plant-plant competition had a differential effect on enzyme classes, which was potentially mediated through root exudate composition. This 40 study demonstrates the influence of plant-plant competition on soil microbial activity and 41 42 provides a potential mechanism by which temporal dynamism in plant resource capture can be mediated. 43

44

45 1 - Introduction

One of the key processes governing plant nutrient acquisition is mineralisation of soil 46 organic matter (SOM) mediated by microbial communities, a process that can be 47 significantly influenced by plant roots (rhizosphere priming effects: Murphy et al., 2017). 48 Plant root exudates contain large quantities of labile carbon, and increase carbon availability 49 50 to the soil microbial community (Garcia-Pausas and Paterson, 2011; Kuzyakov et al., 2000). Addition of carbon causes an increase in the carbon to nitrogen to phosphorus ratio (C:N:P), 51 leading to nutrient "mining" by the soil microbial community to restore the stoichiometry of 52 53 these resources (Paterson, 2003), driven by extracellular enzyme production (Penton and Newman, 2007). These rhizosphere priming effects eventually lead to plant nutrient 54 55 acquisition through turnover of the soil microbial community (Hodge et al., 2000).

56 The breakdown of organic matter in the soil is driven by enzyme activity, the majority (90 - 95 %) of which is derived from the soil microbial community (Xu et al., 2014), 57 with some directly from plant roots (Spohn and Kuzyakov, 2013). Enzymatic activity is 58 59 temporally dynamic, changing in response to the prevailing environmental conditions and 60 associated plant community activity throughout the growing season (Bardgett et al., 2005). 61 The temporal dynamics of soil processes vary with abiotic conditions such as temperature 62 (Steinweg et al. 2012) and nutrient availability (Mbuthia et al. 2015). Therefore, using enzyme activity as a measure of a range of soil microbial community activities and the 63 influence of different factors on these processes, including plant-plant interactions, through 64 time. 65

66 As a focus for assessing temporal dynamism in soil enzyme activity, and the impact 67 on this of plant-plant interactions, this study chose two catabolic enzyme classes involved in 68 SOM breakdown and nitrogen cycling, cellulase (EC number: 3.2.1.4) and leucine

aminopeptidase (EC number 3.4.1.1). Both the spatial and temporal dynamics of catabolic 69 70 enzymes, including cellulase and leucine aminopeptidase can be examined using zymography. This method uses fluorescently labelled substrates to measure extracellular 71 enzyme activity in soil. The area and intensity of fluorescence can be calibrated and used for 72 73 spatial quantification of enzyme activity (Spohn and Kuzyakov, 2014). As this method is non-74 destructive, it allows a range of enzymes to be studied spatially and temporally (Giles et al., 75 2018), making it ideal to explore the impact of plant-plant competition on the temporal 76 dynamics of soil enzyme activity.

77 The intensity of competition between plants for nutrients can vary spatiotemporally (Caffaro et al., 2013); this can alter the temporal dynamics of nitrogen accumulation 78 79 (Schofield et al., 2019) when plants are in competition compared to isolation, with potential consequences for the temporal dynamics of soil microbial community enzyme activity. The 80 temporal dynamics of nitrogen and biomass accumulation have been studied in barley 81 82 (Hordeum vulgare) (Schofield et al., 2019). A delay in peak nitrogen uptake was found when 83 the Proctor cultivar was grown in intra-cultivar competition but not inter-cultivar 84 competition. This response may be due to a change in the temporal dynamics of root 85 associated soil enzyme activity influencing nutrient availability for plants. Therefore, to explore whether such changes in the timing of soil processes do occur, Proctor was chosen 86 as the focal cultivar of this study. 87

Two main approaches for analysing zymography images have emerged in the last decade. Spohn and Kuzyakov (2014) measured the root associated area of cellulase activity as a percentage of the total sampled area (root associated area) when assessing the activity of cellulases, chitinases and phosphatases in the presence of living and dead *Lupinus*

polyphyllus roots. Alternatively, Giles et al. (2018) took a root-centric approach, measuring 92 93 phosphatase activity along *Hordeum vulgare* root axis (root axis). The Spohn and Kuzyakov (2014) method takes a subsection of the greyscale values, excluding the lightest and darkest 94 95 pixels; in contrast Giles et al. (2018) used the total pixel range. The Spohn and Kuzyakov 96 (2014) method excludes pixels that are extremely bright, which may skew the total dataset. 97 However, by focussing on the extent of activity in terms of area instead of intensity of 98 activity along the root axis, a relatively small proportion of the soil volume, subtle temporal 99 dynamics of enzyme activity may be more easily detected.

100 This study aimed to determine the influence of plant-plant competition on the soil microbial community while keeping other environmental factors constant. We also took the 101 102 opportunity to use both approaches for analysing zymography images. Our aim was to 103 determine the effect of plant-plant competition on the temporal activity dynamics of the two enzyme classes, outside of the zone of most intense competition. Plant root 104 105 architecture can demonstrate a compensatory response to plant-plant competition (Caffaro 106 et al., 2013). It is expected that enzyme activity surrounding plant roots will show similar 107 trends to root architecture, with increased enzyme activity surrounding roots outside the 108 zone of most intense competition when the plants are in competition compared to isolation. 109 As competition can be less intense between more closely related individual plants, due to changes in the temporal dynamics of resource capture, it is expected that interactions 110 111 between more closely related individuals will promote less intense enzyme activity than 112 inter-cultivar competition.

113

114 **2 - Materials and methods**

115 2.1 - Soil characterisation

Soil was collected from an agricultural field that had previously been cropped with spring 116 barley (Hordeum sp.) and had been subject to standard fertilisation conditions (500 kg of N 117 ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ in the ratio of N 22 : P 4 : K 14) (Balruddery Farm, Invergowrie, Scotland, 56.4837° 118 N, 3.1314° W). The soil was then passed through a 3 mm sieve to homogenise the substrate. 119 120 The soil had an organic matter content (humus) of $6.2 \% \pm 0.3 \%$ SEM (loss-on-ignition, n = 4) and a mean pH (in water) of 5.7 ± 0.02 SEM (n = 4), a total inorganic nitrogen concentration 121 of $1.55 \pm 0.46 \text{ mg g}^{-1}$ (n = 4) and microbial C biomass (using a chloroform extraction) of 0.06 122 \pm 0.002 SEM mg g⁻¹ (n = 4). No fertilisation occurred during the experiment. 123

124

125 2.2 - Rhizobox preparation

126 Rhizoboxes (150 mm x 150 mm x 10 mm Perspex boxes with a removable side for access to roots) were packed to a bulk density of 1.26 g cm⁻³, ensuring the soil was level with the edge 127 of each box. Seeds of Proctor and Tammi barley (Hordeum sp.) cultivars were pre-128 129 germinated on damp tissue paper in the dark at room temperature for two days before planting. Three replicates of each treatment: Proctor alone (P), Proctor in intra-cultivar 130 131 competition (PP) and Proctor in inter-cultivar competition with Tammi (TP) were planted, as well as a bare soil control, giving 12 rhizoboxes in total. In the planted treatments, the 132 germinated seeds were placed on the surface of the soil, ensuring contact between the 133 134 emerging roots and soil surface, and then the side of the box was replaced and secured. In the planted treatments containing two plants, the germinated seeds were placed 2.5 cm 135 apart to ensure no aboveground interaction between the two plants. 136

The rhizoboxes were wrapped in foil to exclude light from the roots and placed at a
45° angle to encourage root growth over the soil surface. The rhizoboxes were kept in a
controlled environment cabinet (Jumo IMAGO 3000, Harlow, Essex, UK) at a constant 15°C,
65 % relative humidity and a 16/8 (day/night) (light intensity: 200 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹) photoperiod
for the duration of the experiment to mimic local springtime conditions. Each rhizobox was
watered weekly with sufficient water to maintain soil moisture at field capacity and prevent
root desiccation.

144

145 2.3 - Soil zymography

Enzyme activity was measured three times at weekly intervals between 18 and 39 days after 146 147 planting. This is the period prior to peak barley nitrogen accumulation rate found in our previous study (Schofield et al. 2019). Areas away from the competition zone between the 148 two plants were visually identified and labelled on the rhizobox rim to ensure 149 150 measurements of soil enzyme activity occurred at a consistent location throughout the study. These were roots of the focal individual that consistently did not encounter roots of 151 the other individual within the system. This setup was used to indicate whether a 152 153 compensatory or systemic response to plant-plant competition could be detected in soil 154 enzyme activity. Two fluorescently labelled substrates were selected for this study; 4-155 methylumbellferyl ß-D-cellobioside, a substrate of cellulase which was imaged at 365 nm 156 (excitation at 365 nm, emission at 455 nm) and L-leucine-7-amido-methylcoumarin 157 hydrochloride, a substrate of leucine aminopeptidase that was imaged at 302 nm (excitation 158

159 at 327 nm, emission at 349 nm) (Sigma-Aldrich, Reading, UK). Both substrates were diluted 160 to a 6 mM concentration, the concentration used in previous studies using methylumbellferyl ß-D-cellobioside (Spohn and Kuzyakov, 2014) and the optimum 161 162 concentration found during preliminary experiments (results not shown). A 47 mm diameter polyamide membrane (Whatman, GE Healthcare, Buckinghamshire, UK) was soaked in 300 163 µl of 6 mM of 4-methylumbellferyl ß-D-cellobioside or L-leucine-7-amido-methylcoumarin 164 165 hydrochloride. On sampling days, the side of each rhizobox was removed and a 1 % agarose 166 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) gel of 1 mm thickness was placed on the soil surface to protect the membrane from soil particles which could adhere to it and disrupt the final 167 image, whilst allowing the diffusion of extracellular enzymes (Spohn and Kuzyakov, 2014). 168 169 The membrane was then placed on top of the gel and the foil was replaced over the top to exclude light and minimise moisture loss during enzyme assays. 170

Previous studies have incubated similar substrate soaked membranes for between 171 30 minutes and 3 hours (Giles et al., 2018; Spohn and Kuzyakov, 2014). Therefore, a 172 173 preliminary study was carried out which found that, for this system, an incubation of 1 hour 174 gave a good level of resolution and UV intensity when viewed (results not shown). Following 175 incubation (1 h), the membrane was placed onto a fresh 1 % agarose gel to minimise 176 bubbling of the membrane during imaging. The membrane and gel were then placed in an UV imaging box (BioDoc-It² Imager, Analytik Jena, Upland, CA) and imaged at 365 nm (Spohn 177 178 and Kuzyakov, 2014). This was repeated for L-leucine-7-amido-methylcoumarin 179 hydrochloride, which was imaged at 302 nm (Ma et al., 2018). This order of substrate 180 sampling was maintained throughout the experimental period (Spohn and Kuzyakov, 2014). 181 The sampled area was marked on the rim of each rhizobox to ensure that the same area was

sampled each time for both enzymes. After sampling, the rhizobox was watered and

183 replaced in the controlled environment chamber.

184

185 2.4 - Calibration curves

Known dilutions of 4-Methylumbelliferone (the fluorescent tag of 4-methylumbellferyl ß-D-186 187 cellobioside) and 7-Amino-4-methylcoumarin (the fluorescent tag of L-leucine-7-amidomethylcoumarin hydrochloride) (1, 2, 4, 6 mM) were prepared and used to soak 188 189 membranes, using the same procedure as the experiment (Giles et al., 2018). The 190 membranes were then imaged using the same method and settings as the samples. The images were used to calculate the substrate concentration per mm² and provide the 191 calibration curve values from the sample images. This also informed the range of 8 bit 192 greyscale values (the integer brightness value per pixel between 0 - 255) sampled in the 193 194 percentage area analysis (Spohn and Kuzyakov, 2014). 195 196 2.5 - Root growth measurements 197 The roots of each rhizobox were photographed weekly from 4 - 39 days after planting using an iPhone 6 (8 - megapixel iSight camera with 1.5 μ m pixels, Apple Inc). The root 198 199 architecture photographs were then analysed using the SmartRoot plugin (Lobet et al.,

200 2011) of the ImageJ software (Schneider et al., 2012). The roots of each plant were manually

201 traced and labelled using the Trace tool. This was used to measure total root length over

time. Dry root biomass was also recorded at the end of the experiment by drying roots at

203 100 ° C for 24 hours.

The effect of time and treatment on the measured root architecture parameters were assessed using a Generalized Least Squares model using the nlme package in R (R statistical software, R Core Team, 2016). Time and treatment were included as fixed factors as well as the interaction between treatment and time. A covariate of rhizobox number and treatment was included to account for autocorrelation caused by the repeated measures in this study. This was followed by an ANOVA test (MASS package, R statistical software, R Core Team, 2016).

211

212 2.6 - Enzyme image analysis

213 The intensity and location of enzyme activity was analysed using two approaches: root axis 214 activity (Giles et al., 2018) and root associated area (Spohn and Kuzyakov, 2014). These two approaches differ in that the root axis activity records soil enzyme activity only along the 215 216 root itself, whereas the root associated area measures soil enzyme activity in the 217 surrounding rhizosphere as well. By comparing these two approaches the most appropriate image analysis method to study the temporal dynamics in root associated soil microbial 218 activity can be determined. Root associated area was defined as the percentage of the total 219 220 sampled area with greyscale values above a threshold defined by the calibration curves that 221 indicated enzyme activity.

222

223 <u>2.5.1 Root axis enzyme activity</u>

For this approach, root axis image analysis technique developed by Giles et al. (2018) was
used. Proctor roots contained within the sample area were tracked using the segmented

line tool in the Fiji image analysis software (Schindelin et al., 2012). The RProfile plugin
developed by Giles et al. (2018) was then used to extract a profile of greyscale values along
the sampled root. The nodes of the segmented line placed along the root were then
centralised and placed evenly along the sampled root to refine the data using the Python
script developed by Giles et al. (2018). The mean greyscale value was calculated for each
root (subsequently referred to as 'root axis activity').

232

233 <u>2.5.2 - Root associated area analysis</u>

234 To measure the root associated area of enzyme activity, the approach developed by Spohn 235 and Kuzyakov (2014) was used. Each image was first converted into an 8-bit greyscale image. The range of 80 - 170 Gray values was extracted from each image (informed by the 236 237 calibration curves) then split into 10 Gray value increments, and the area of each increment measured using Image J Software (Schneider et al., 2012). This was then expressed as a 238 239 percentage of the total membrane area (subsequently referred to root associated area). The percentage root associated area was then compared between treatments. The mean 240 enzyme activity rate was the most common enzyme activity rate, i.e. the rate with the 241 242 greatest percentage cover of the total sampled area.

243

244 2.7 - Statistical analysis

The effect of time and treatment on the root axis activity and root associated area were each assessed using a Generalised Least Squares model, accounting for repeated measures with an autocorrelation term, using the nlme package (Pinheiro et al., 2016) in R (R Core

Team, 2015). This was followed by an ANOVA test for significant differences using the MASS 248 249 package (Venables and Ripley, 2002) in R (R Core Team, 2015). The interaction between treatment and time was included as a fixed factor, to detect differences between 250 treatments in enzyme activity temporal dynamics, with an autocorrelation term for 251 252 treatment and rhizobox number. 253 3 - Results 254 255 3.1 - Total root growth 256 Total root length increased over time for all treatments (Table 1). There was a significant effect of treatment ($F_{(2,52)}$ = 5.45, P = <0.01) and time ($F_{(4,52)}$ = 45.04, P = <0.01) on total root 257 258 length but no significant interaction between treatment and time ($F_{(8,52)} = 1.27$, P = 0.28). There was no significant difference in total root biomass between the different treatments 259 at 33 days ($F_{(2,10)} = 0.78$, P = 0.48). 260

Treatment	Total root length (mm)	Root biomass (g)
Р	158 (±23.2)	0.036 (±0.004)
РР	138 (±15.5)	0.191 (±0.004)
ТР	153 (±42.4)	0.042 (±0.007)

261 Table 1 – Mean total root length and biomass at 33 days after planting of Proctor barley

262 plants in isolation (P), intra-cultivar competition (PP) and inter-cultivar competition (TP) (n =

263 3). Values in the brackets are the standard error of the mean (SEM).

264

265 3.2 - Root axis activity

Mean cellulase root axis activity at 33 days after planting ranged between 1.4 and 11.8 pmol mm⁻² h⁻¹ and leucine aminopeptidase between 4.5 and 6.3 pmol mm⁻² h⁻¹ (Figure 1). For cellulase activity there was a significant effect of treatment ($F_{(2,42)} = 5.03$, P = 0.01) but no significant effect of time ($F_{(2,42)} = 0.51$, P = 0.60) or interaction between treatment and time ($F_{(4,42)} = 0.94$, P = 0.45). However, there was no significant effect of time ($F_{(2,63)} = 2.92$, P = 0.06), treatment ($F_{(2,63)} = 2.74$, P = 0.07) or the interaction between the two factors ($F_{(4,63)} =$ 1.02, P = 0.40) for leucine aminopeptidase activity.

273

274 3.3 - Root associated area

275 The activity of both enzyme groups was highest nearest to the sampled roots, indicated by the brighter areas, and decreased with distance from them. The consistent sampling 276 position is shown for each pot in Figure 2. Cellulase activity was not solely localised to the 277 axis of sampled roots, and activity away from roots increased with time (Figure 3), with a 278 mean root associated area activity of 0.57 -2.10 pmol mm⁻² h⁻¹ 33 days after planting. When 279 Proctor was grown in isolation, the root associated area of cellulase activity was relatively 280 constant (53 – 58 %) (Figure 5a). However, when Proctor was in inter- or intra- cultivar 281 282 competition the initial percentage area was low (11 % in intra-cultivar competition and 13 % in inter-cultivar competition) but then rapidly increased to 25 days before stabilising at a 283 similar percentage as Proctor in isolation (47 % in intra-cultivar competition and 58% in 284 inter-cultivar competition) (Figure 5a). This shows a delay in the area of cellulase activity 285 when Proctor was in competition compared to isolation. This is demonstrated in Figure 3, 286 with darker images in the competition treatments at 18 days after planting compared to the 287 288 isolation treatment. The root associated area in which cellulase activity occurred in the

planted treatments showed a significant effect of treatment ($F_{(2,17)} = 4.72$, P = 0.02), time ($F_{(2,17)} = 44.98$, P = <0.01) and interaction between treatment and time ($F_{(2,17)} = 12.88$, P = <0.01). Model details are in Supplementary Figure 1.

Leucine aminopeptidase activity occurred beyond the immediate rhizosphere (Figure 292 4). Mean root associated area activity at 33 days after planting ranged from 0.91 to 3.48 293 pmol mm⁻² h⁻¹. When Proctor was grown in isolation and inter-cultivar competition, leucine 294 295 aminopeptidase root associated area steadily increased over time (Figure 5b). At 25 days, 296 the intra-cultivar competition root associated area was lower (31 %) than in isolation (48 %) 297 and inter-cultivar competition (52 %) (Figure 5b), indicating a delay in leucine aminopeptidase activity in intra-cultivar competition compared to isolation and inter-298 299 cultivar competition. This is demonstrated in Figure 4, with darker images in the intracultivar competition treatment at 18 days after planting compared to the isolation and 300 inter-cultivar competition treatments. There was a significant effect of treatment ($F_{(2,17)}$ = 301 31.72, $P = \langle 0.01 \rangle$, time ($F_{(2,17)} = 30.36$, $P = \langle 0.01 \rangle$ and a significant interaction between time 302 303 and treatment on the root associated percentage area of leucine aminopeptidase activity $(F_{(2,17)} = 7.42, P = <0.01)$. Model details are in Supplementary Figure 1. 304

305

306 4 - Discussion

This experiment aimed to determine the effect of plant-plant competition in barley on the temporal dynamics of nutrient cycling by measuring activity of cellulase and leucine aminopeptidase, two enzyme classes associated with nutrient turnover, specifically of carbon and nitrogen. Root axis activity for both enzyme classes was not significantly

temporally dynamic (the interaction between time and treatment) when the focal plant 311 (Proctor cultivar of barley) was in intra- and inter- cultivar competition compared to 312 313 isolation. However, using the Spohn and Kuzyakov (2014) root associated area approach, 314 cellulase activity was found to be delayed when in intra- and inter- cultivar competition compared to isolation (significant interaction between treatment and time). In contrast, 315 leucine aminopeptidase root associated area was delayed when in intra-competition, but 316 317 not inter-cultivar competition compared to isolation (significant interaction between 318 treatment and time). This demonstrates that the temporal dynamics of soil enzyme activity were influenced by plant-plant competition independent of other environmental factors, 319 320 that plant-plant competition did not have a uniform effect on different classes of soil 321 enzymes, and that the observed effects are also dependent on the method of 322 measurement.

323

324 4.1 - Root axis activity

Both cellulase and leucine aminopeptidase mean root axis activity was much higher than the 325 whole sampled area, 3 - 4 times higher for leucine aminopeptidase and 4 - 6 times for 326 327 cellulase. This is most likely due to the influence of plant root exudates, which provide a source of labile carbon, increase the rate of SOM mineralisation and, consequently, carbon 328 329 and nitrogen cycling in the rhizosphere compared to bulk soil (Bengtson et al., 2012; Murphy et al., 2017). However, along root activity did not vary significantly over time for 330 331 either enzyme class. The area of root system sampled was in the zone of maturation, a zone 332 associated with a stable rate of nutrient uptake (Giles et al., 2018). We hypothesised that 333 plant-plant competition would have changed the temporal dynamics of root axis enzymatic

activity, but it seems the inherent stability of this root zone was greater than the influence 334 of plant-plant competition. Other root zones are associated with uptake of specific 335 nutrients, for example the apical root zone is associated with iron absorption and the 336 elongation zone with sulphur uptake (Walker et al., 2003). Therefore, depending on the root 337 338 zone sampled and nutrient studied, there will likely be differing patterns of enzyme activity. 339 There is the potential for some enzyme activity to be produced by the plants 340 themselves: up to 10 % (Xu et al., 2014). Plant-derived leucine aminopeptidases genes have 341 been detected in the plant genome, and found to have a role in protein turnover (Bartling and Weiler, 1992). Plants also have cellulases, but these are used for remodelling of cell 342 343 walls and are not thought to be strong enough for large scale degradation of cellulose (Hayashi et al., 2005). Therefore, due to their intra-cellular roles, it is unlikely that plant-344 345 derived enzymes contributed to the enzyme activity outside of the plant roots detected in 346 this study.

347

348 4.2 - Root associated area

349 Cellulase and leucine aminopeptidase root associated area were not solely confined to the 350 root axis, with increased activity across the sampled areas, including background soil activity. Cellulase root associated area was temporally dynamic, with a delay in peak enzyme 351 activity (i.e. when the largest percentage area of membrane was recording either cellulase 352 353 or leucine aminopeptidase activity) when in competition compared to isolation. The 354 zymography assay measured total cellulase activity of multiple microbial functional groups 355 and did not differentiate between exo- and endo-glucanase activities. Exo-glucanases break 356 glucose from the end of cellulase polymers, whilst endo-glucanases break bonds within the

cellulose chains (Pappan et al., 2011). There may have been differing dynamics if endo- and
 exo-glucanase activity were examined separately.

359 Leucine aminopeptidase root associated area also demonstrated a delay in activity but only when Proctor was in intra-cultivar competition. This delay in leucine 360 aminopeptidase root associated area when in intra-cultivar competition echoes a similar 361 362 trend to the delay of 14.5 days in Proctor peak above-ground nitrogen accumulation rate 363 found in a previous study (Schofield et al., 2019). The mechanism that links these two 364 observations is not clear. Proctor plants may have delayed peak root exudate production when in intra-cultivar competition, influencing microbial activity to limit competition 365 between the two plants. However, there may also be further mechanisms, for example 366 involving plant-microbe signalling, already known to be important in recruitment of 367 368 microbial symbionts and plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (Chagas et al., 2018; Labuschagne et al., 2018). 369

370 As the same area was sampled consistently over the experiment, the sampled area 371 became increasingly far from the root tip, a known hotspot of soil microbial community 372 enzyme activity. This may have influenced the activity of the two enzyme classes. Phosphatase activity has previously been found to vary with distance from the root tip (Giles 373 374 et al. 2018), which may have influenced the results presented. However, there was no significant difference in root biomass or total root length between any of the treatments 375 (Table 1), indicating that the relative sampling position remained consistent across 376 377 treatments in this study. One benefit of sampling in the mature root zone is that it allows comparisons among treatments as the sampled areas were all a similar distance from the 378 root tip at each time point. The zone of maturation is a region of the root with less 379

exudation compared to the zone of elongation (Badri and Vivanco, 2009), but with root hairs that provide greater surface area for nutrient absorption (Gilroy and Jones, 2000). There may have also been an influence of root branching which occurred in some of the sampled areas due to plant foraging for nutrients (Forde, 2014). This hypothesis requires further sampling of a greater proportion of the root system for a high resolution of spatiotemporal trends in microbial enzyme activity with root branching.

386

387 4.3 - What role could root exudates have in the temporal dynamics of enzyme activity?

The different patterns of soil enzyme activity associated with the three treatments may have been driven by differences in root exudation, with changes in root exudate composition then affecting microbial activity. Plants select for a specific microbial community through root exudates (Hu et al. 2018; Shi et al. 2011). Therefore, root exudates may do more than simply increase the rate of nitrogen mineralisation (Mergel et al. 1998), and may also influence the timing of mineralisation by influencing soil microbial community composition.

Root exudation quality and quantity is known to change over time (van Dam and Bouwmeester, 2016) with root exudates increasing the carbon to nitrogen ratio in the rhizosphere, regulating mining of SOM by the soil microbial community (Chaparro et al., 2012; Meier et al., 2017). Exudates also act as a form of signalling between plants (van Dam and Bouwmeester, 2016), eliciting a change in root architecture (Caffaro et al., 2013), branching (Forde, 2014) and biomass allocation (Schmid et al., 2015). Therefore, the observed delay in soil enzyme activity could be regulated by temporally dynamic root

exudation. Root branching would have also increased the total root area within the
measurement areas, potentially increasing the total exudates available to the soil microbial
community and promoting greater enzymatic activity. Consequently, the active control of
root exudates instead of root biomass or surface area alone may be an important part of the
mechanism behind the observed shifts in soil microbial community activity. This is an
exciting avenue for future research.

408

409 4.4 - Temporal dynamics of enzyme activity in response to plant-plant competition

The soil enzyme classes in this study demonstrated different temporal patterns in activity in response to changes in plant-plant competition. Relative to the isolated-plant control, the temporal dynamics of cellulase root associated area were influenced by both intra- and inter-cultivar competition, whereas leucine aminopeptidase dynamics were only significantly influenced by intra-cultivar competition.

The influence of plant-plant competition on the temporal dynamics of root 415 416 associated enzyme area occurred beyond the immediate zone surrounding the root. This contrasts with the results of Ma et al. (2018), who found a strong localisation of leucine 417 418 aminopeptidase and cellulase activity close to plant roots across the whole root system. 419 Furthermore, they found that the root associated area did not increase over time around 420 lentil roots (Lens culinaris) and only began to increase around Lupin (Lupinus albus) roots 421 eight weeks into the study (Ma et al. 2018). This is much later than the barley in our study, where sampling occurred in the first month of growth, the period prior to peak nitrogen 422 accumulation rate in these barley cultivars (Schofield et al., 2019). This is likely to be a 423

424 period of soil microbial community priming to mine for nitrogen within soil organic matter
425 and may account for the differences between Ma et al.'s and our study. In our study the
426 extent of the rhizosphere and therefore activity of leucine aminopeptidase and cellulase
427 may have increased over time, as labile carbon in root exudates diffused away from roots
428 and the zone of nutrient depletion surrounding roots enlarged.

Our study does however have its limitations. The rhizobox system is a very artificial setup with roots growing in a single plane, which would influence root growth and development. This does not account for the 3D nature of root growth and interactions with the soil particles and the soil microbial community. More complex interactions and temporally dynamic responses may be occurring in a 3D system through localised changes in the soil microbial community. Therefore, development of the zymography method in order to sample 3D root systems is a natural avenue for future research.

436 The temporal dynamics of enzyme activity are likely to be strongly influenced by environmental conditions including temperature (Steinweg et al. 2012), soil moisture 437 438 (Barros et al. 1995) and soil nutrient concentration (Mbuthia et al. 2015). This study 439 demonstrates that the temporal dynamics of the two groups of enzymes, both involved in 440 nutrient turnover, were affected differently by plant-plant competition when grown in 441 constant environmental conditions. This could be due to the composition of root exudates and concentration of secondary metabolites that selected for a soil microbial community 442 with specific functions (Hu et al. 2018; Shi et al. 2016). Plants could have therefore regulated 443 444 soil microbial community activity through the differing sensitivity of microbial taxa to root exudates (Shi et al. 2011; Zhang et al. 2017). 445

446

447 **5 - Conclusions**

Root axis activity of leucine aminopeptidase and cellulase was not temporally dynamic in 448 response to plant-plant competition. Plant-plant competition influenced the root associated 449 area of the two enzymes in this study differently. The extent of root associated cellulase 450 area was delayed by inter- and intra-cultivar competition, whilst leucine aminopeptidase 451 452 root associated area was only delayed by intra-cultivar competition. This may have been mediated through root exudates selecting for specific microbial functions. Therefore, 453 454 conclusions concerning the temporal dynamics of nutrient cycling are likely to be dependent on the enzyme class being studied and method of image analysis used. Changes in these 455 temporal dynamics may have been mediated through changes in the quantity and 456 457 composition of root exudates by plants in competition, leading to a delay in peak soil enzyme activity. The extent of plant root influence was found to increase over time as 458 exudates diffused away from roots, an important factor in studies of the soil microbial 459 community activity. This study therefore demonstrates the close link between the temporal 460 461 dynamics of plant and microbial resource capture and the influence each process has on the other. 462

463

464 **References**

- Badri, D. V., Vivanco, J.M., 2009. Regulation and function of root exudates. Plant, Cell and
 Environment 32, 666–681. doi:10.1111/j.1365-3040.2009.01926.x
- 467 Bardgett, R.D., Bowman, W.D., Kaufmann, R., Schmidt, S.K., 2005. A temporal approach to
- 468 linking aboveground and belowground ecology. Trends in Ecology and Evolution 20,

469 634–641. doi:10.1016/j.tree.2005.08.005

470 Barros, N., Gomez-Orellana, I., Feijóo, S., Balsa, R., 1995. The effect of soil moisture on soil

471 microbial activity studied by microcalorimetry. Thermochimica Acta 249, 161–168.

472 doi:doi.org/10.1016/0040-6031(95)90686-X.

473 Bartling, D., Weiler, E.W., 1992. Leucine aminopeptidase from *Arabidopsis thaliana*.

474 Molecular evidence for a phylogenetically conserved enzyme of protein turnover in

475 higher plants. European Journal of Biochemistry 205, 425–431. doi:10.1111/j.1432-

476 1033.1992.tb16796.x

477 Bengtson, P., Barker, J., Grayston, S.J., 2012. Evidence of a strong coupling between root

478 exudation, C and N availability, and stimulated SOM decomposition caused by

479 rhizosphere priming effects. Ecology and Evolution 2, 1843–1852.

480 doi:10.1002/ece3.311

481 Caffaro, M.M., Vivanco, J.M., Botto, J., Rubio, G., 2013. Root architecture of Arabidopsis is

482 affected by competition with neighbouring plants. Plant Growth Regulation 70, 141-

483 147. doi:10.1007/s10725-013-9786-7

484 Chagas, F.O., Pessotti, R.D.C., Caraballo-Rodríguez, A.M., Pupo, M.T., 2018. Chemical

485 signaling involved in plant-microbe interactions. Chemical Society Reviews 47, 1652–

486 1704. doi:10.1039/c7cs00343a

487 Chaparro, J.M., Sheflin, A.M., Manter, D.K., Vivanco, J.M., 2012. Manipulating the soil

488 microbiome to increase soil health and plant fertility. Biology and Fertility of Soils 48,

489 489-499. doi:10.1007/s00374-012-0691-4

490	Forde, B.G., 2014. Nitrogen signalling pathways shaping root system architecture: An
491	update. Current Opinion in Plant Biology. 30 - 36 doi:10.1016/j.pbi.2014.06.004
492	Garcia-Pausas, J., Paterson, E., 2011. Microbial community abundance and structure are
493	determinants of soil organic matter mineralisation in the presence of labile carbon. Soil
494	Biology and Biochemistry 43, 1705–1713. doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2011.04.016
495	Giles, C.D., Dupuy, L., Boitt, G., Brown, L.K., Condron, L.M., Darch, T., Blackwell, M.S.A.,
496	Menezes-Blackburn, D., Shand, C.A., Stutter, M.I., Lumsdon, D.G., Wendler, R., Cooper,
497	P., Wearing, C., Zhang, H., Haygarth, P.M., George, T.S., 2018. Root development
498	impacts on the distribution of phosphatase activity: Improvements in quantification
499	using soil zymography. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 116, 158–166.
500	doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2017.08.011
501	Gilroy, S., Jones, D.L., 2000. Through form to function: Root hair development and nutrient
502	uptake. Trends in Plant Science.56 - 60 doi:10.1016/S1360-1385(99)01551-4
503	Hayashi, T., Yoshida, K., Woo Park, Y., Konishi, T., Baba, K., 2005. Cellulose metabolism in
504	plants. International Review of Cytology. 279-292 doi:10.1016/S0074-7696(05)47001-1
505	Hodge, A., Robinson, D., Fitter, A., 2000. Are microorganisms more effective than plants at
506	competing for nitrogen? Trends in Plant Science 5, 304–308. doi:10.1016/S1360-
507	1385(00)01656-3
508	Hu, L., Robert, C.A.M., Cadot, S., Zhang, X., Ye, M., Li, B., Manzo, D., Chervet, N., Steinger, T.,
509	van der Heijden, M.G.A., Schlaeppi, K., Erb, M., 2018. Root exudate metabolites drive
510	plant-soil feedbacks on growth and defense by shaping the rhizosphere microbiota.
511	Nature Communications 9, 2738. doi:10.1038/s41467-018-05122-7

512	Kuzyakov, Y., Friedel, J, Stahr, K., 2000. Review of mechanisms and quantification of
513	priming effects. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 32, 1485–1498. doi:10.1016/S0038-
514	0717(00)00084-5
515	Labuschagne, N., Madala, N.E., Piater, L.A., Mhlongo, M.I., Dubery, I.A., 2018. The chemistry
516	of plant-microbe interactions in the rhizosphere and the potential for metabolomics to
517	reveal signaling related to defense priming and induced systemic resistance. Frontiers
518	in Plant Science 9, 1–17. doi:10.3389/fpls.2018.00112
519	Lobet, G., Pagès, L., Draye, X., 2011. A Novel Image-Analysis Toolbox Enabling Quantitative
520	Analysis of Root System Architecture. Plant Physiology 157, 29–39.
521	doi:10.1104/pp.111.179895
522	Ma, X., Zarebanadkouki, M., Kuzyakov, Y., Blagodatskaya, E., Pausch, J., Razavi, B.S., 2018.
523	Spatial patterns of enzyme activities in the rhizosphere: Effects of root hairs and root
524	radius. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 118, 69–78. doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2017.12.009
525	Mbuthia, L.W., Acosta-Martínez, V., DeBruyn, J., Schaeffer, S., Tyler, D., Odoi, E., Mpheshea,
526	M., Walker, F., Eash, N., 2015. Long term tillage, cover crop, and fertilization effects on
527	microbial community structure, activity: Implications for soil quality. Soil Biology and
528	Biochemistry 89, 24–34. doi:doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2015.06.016.
529	Meier, I.C., Finzi, A.C., Philiips, R.P., 2017. Root exudates increase N availability by
530	stimulating microbial turnover of fast-cycling N pools. Soil Biology and Biochemistry
531	106, 119–128. doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2016.12.004
532	Mergel A., Timchenko A., Kudeyarov V. (1998) Role of plant root exudates in soil carbon
533	and nitrogen transformation. In: Box J.E. (eds) Root Demographics and Their

- 534 Efficiencies in Sustainable Agriculture, Grasslands and Forest Ecosystems.
- 535 Developments in Plant and Soil Sciences, vol 82. Springer, Dordrecht, pp. 43–54.
- 536 doi:10.1007/978-94-011-5270-9_3
- 537 Murphy, C.J., Baggs, E.M., Morley, N., Wall, D.P., Paterson, E., 2017. Nitrogen availability
- 538 alters rhizosphere processes mediating soil organic matter mineralisation. Plant and
- 539 Soil 417, 499–510. doi:10.1007/s11104-017-3275-0
- 540 Pappan, K., Wang, H., Prade, R., Segato, F., Mort, A., Lee, D., Squina, F., 2011. High-
- 541 temperature enzymatic breakdown of cellulose. Applied and Environmental
- 542 Microbiology 77, 5199–5206. doi:10.1128/aem.00199-11
- 543 Paterson, E., 2003. Importance of rhizodeposition in the coupling of plant and microbial
- 544 productivity. European Journal of Soil Science. 741-750 doi:10.1046/j.1351-
- 545 0754.2003.0557.x
- Penton, C.R., Newman, S., 2007. Enzyme activity responses to nutrient loading in subtropical
 wetlands. Biogeochemistry 84, 83–98. doi:10.1007/s10533-007-9106-2
- Pinheiro, J., Bates, D., DebRoy, S., Sarkar, D., Team, R.C., 2016. _nlme: Linear and Nonlinear
 Mixed Effects Models_.
- 550 Schindelin, J., Arganda-Carreras, I., Frise, E., Kaynig, V., Longair, M., Pietzsch, T., Preibisch, S.,
- 551 Rueden, C., Saalfeld, S., Schmid, B., Tinevez, J.-Y., White, D.J., Hartenstein, V., Eliceiri,
- 552 K., Tomancak, P., Cardona, A., 2012. Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image
- analysis. Nature Methods 9, 676–682. doi:10.1038/nmeth.2019
- 554 Schmid, C., Bauer, S., Bartelheimer, M., 2015. Should I stay or should I go? Roots segregate


- in response to competition intensity. Plant and Soil 391, 283–291. doi:10.1007/s11104015-2419-3
- 557 Schneider, C.A., Rasband, W.S., Eliceiri, K.W., 2012. NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image
- analysis. Nature Methods 9, 671–675. doi:10.1038/nmeth.2089
- 559 Schofield, E.J., Rowntree, J.K., Paterson, E., Brewer, M.J., Price, E.A.C., Brearley, F.Q.,
- 560 Brooker, R.W., 2019. Cultivar differences and impact of plant-plant competition on
- 561 temporal patterns of nitrogen and biomass accumulation. Frontiers in Plant Science 10,
- 562 215. doi:10.3389/FPLS.2019.00215
- 563 Shi, S., Nuccio, E.E., Shi, Z.J., He, Z., Zhou, J., Firestone, M.K., 2016. The interconnected
- rhizosphere: High network complexity dominates rhizosphere assemblages. Ecology
 Letters 19, 926–936. doi:10.1111/ele.12630
- 566 Shi, S., Richardson, A.E., O'Callaghan, M., DeAngelis, K.M., Jones, E.E., Stewart, A., Firestone,
- 567 M.K., Condron, L.M., 2011. Effects of selected root exudate components on soil
- 568 bacterial communities. FEMS Microbiology Ecology 77, 600–610. doi:10.1111/j.1574-
- 569 6941.2011.01150.x
- 570 Spohn, M., Kuzyakov, Y., 2014. Spatial and temporal dynamics of hotspots of enzyme activity
- 571 in soil as affected by living and dead roots-a soil zymography analysis. Plant and Soil
- 572 379, 67–77. doi:10.1007/s11104-014-2041-9
- 573 Spohn, M., Kuzyakov, Y., 2013. Distribution of microbial- and root-derived phosphatase
- activities in the rhizosphere depending on P availability and C allocation Coupling soil
- 575 zymography with 14C imaging. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 67, 106–113.
- 576 doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2013.08.015


- 577 Steinweg, J.M., Dukes, J.S., Wallenstein, M.D., 2012. Modeling the effects of temperature
- 578 and moisture on soil enzyme activity: Linking laboratory assays to continuous field
- data. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 55, 85–92.
- 580 doi:doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2012.06.015.
- 581 R Core Team, 2015. R: A language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation
- 582 for Statistical Computing. doi:doi:10.1007/978-3-540-74686-7
- van Dam, N.M., Bouwmeester, H.J., 2016. Metabolomics in the rhizosphere: tapping into
- 584 belowground chemical communication, Trends in Plant Science. 256-265
- 585 doi:10.1016/j.tplants.2016.01.008
- Venables, W.N., Ripley, B.D.I. 0-387-95457-0, 2002. Modern Applied Statistics with S.,
- 587 Fourth. ed. New York.
- Walker, T.S., Bais, H.P., Grotewold, E., Vivanco, J.M., 2003. Root exudation and rhizosphere
 biology. Plant Physiology 132, 44–51. doi:10.1104/pp.102.019661
- 590 Xu, Z., Yu, G., Zhang, X., Ge, J., He, N., Wang, Q., Wang, D., 2014. The variations in soil
- 591 microbial communities, enzyme activities and their relationships with soil organic
- 592 matter decomposition along the northern slope of Changbai Mountain. Applied Soil
- 593 Ecology 86, 19–29. doi:10.1016/j.apsoil.2014.09.015
- 594 Zhang, R., Vivanco, J.M., Shen, Q., 2017. The unseen rhizosphere root–soil–microbe
- 595 interactions for crop production. Current Opinion in Microbiology. 469-479
- 596 doi:10.1016/j.mib.2017.03.008
- 597

598	Figure 1 - Mean cellulase and leucine aminopeptidase activity (pmol mm ⁻² h^{-1}) along the root
599	axis of Proctor roots grown in isolation (P), intra- (PP) and inter- (TP) cultivar
600	competition ($n = 12$). A= Mean root axis cellulase activity, B = Mean root axis
601	leucine aminopeptidase. Boxplot shows the median, first and third quartiles
602	and whiskers the maximum and minimum values. Significant differences (P =
603	<0.05) denoted by asterisk.
604	
605	Figure 2 – Images of the sampled rhizoboxes, showing the consistent sampling location used
606	in this study and the relationship between root presence and soil enzyme activity.
607	
608	Figure 3 - Soil zymography images showing (pmol mm ⁻² h^{-1}) cellulase activity around Proctor
609	roots sampled from plants grown in isolation and competition as well as a bare soil control
610	(n = 3). A. = Bare soil control, B. = Proctor, C. = Proctor and Proctor, D. = Proctor and Tammi
611	
612	Figure 4 - Soil zymography images showing (pmol mm ⁻² h^{-1}) leucine aminopeptidase activity
613	around Proctor roots sampled from plants grown in isolation and competition as well as a
614	bare soil control (n = 3). A. = Bare soil control, B. = Proctor, C. = Proctor and Proctor, D. =
615	Proctor and Tammi
616	

Figure 5 – The mean percentage of sampled areas in which the activity of cellulase and
leucine aminopeptidase were recorded (n = 12). Cellulase activity (a) and leucine

- 619 *aminopeptidase (b) activity were sampled surrounding Proctor roots outside the competition*
- 620 zone of plants grown in isolation, intra-cultivar competition and inter-cultivar competition.
- 621 Significant differences (P = <0.05) denoted by asterisks.










Figure 3





Supplementary Material for online publication only Click here to download Supplementary Material for online publication only: Supplementary information.docx

Declaration of interests

 \boxtimes The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

□The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests: