

Please cite the Published Version

Fowler, David, Hodgekins, Joanne, Berry, Clio, Clarke, Timothy, Palmier-Claus, Jasper, Sacadura, Catarina, Graham, Adam, Lowen, Christine, Steele, Ann, Pugh, Katherine, Fraser, Samantha, Fitzsimmons, Mike and French, Paul  (2019) Social recovery therapy: a treatment manual. *Psychosis*, 11 (3). pp. 261-272. ISSN 1752-2447

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/17522439.2019.1607891>

Publisher: Taylor & Francis (Routledge)

Version: Accepted Version

Downloaded from: <https://e-space.mmu.ac.uk/623033/>

Additional Information: This is an Author Accepted Manuscript in *Psychosis* published by Taylor & Francis.

Enquiries:

If you have questions about this document, contact openresearch@mmu.ac.uk. Please include the URL of the record in e-space. If you believe that your, or a third party's rights have been compromised through this document please see our Take Down policy (available from <https://www.mmu.ac.uk/library/using-the-library/policies-and-guidelines>)

1 **Title: Social Recovery Therapy: A treatment manual.**

2 David Fowler^a, Joanne Hodgekins^{b,c}, Clio Berry^{a,d}, Timothy Clarke^c, Jasper
3 Palmier-Claus^{e,f,g}, Catarina Sacadura^d, Adam Graham^c, Christine Lowen^c, Ann
4 Steele^{e,d}, Kat Pugh^d, Samantha Fraser^d & Paul French^{e,h}.

5 *^aSchool of Psychology, University of Sussex, UK; ^bNorwich Medical School, University of*
6 *East Anglia, Norwich, UK; ^cResearch and Development, Norfolk and Suffolk NHS*
7 *Foundation Trust, Norwich, UK; ^dResearch & Development, Sussex Partnership NHS*
8 *Foundation Trust, Hove, UK; ^ePsychosis Research Unit, Greater Manchester Mental Health*
9 *NHS Foundation Trust, Manchester, UK; ^fDivision of Psychology and Mental Health, The*
10 *University of Manchester, Manchester, UK; ^gSpectrum Centre for Mental Health Research,*
11 *Lancaster University; ^hSchool of Psychological Sciences, The University of Liverpool,*
12 *Liverpool, UK.*

13

14 **Corresponding author:**

15 Professor David Fowler, School of Psychology, Pevensey II, University of Sussex, Falmer,
16 Brighton, BN1 9QH.

17 Email: d.fowler@sussex.ac.uk

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 **Title: Social Recovery Therapy: A treatment manual.**

29

30 **Abstract**

31 Social Recovery Therapy is an individual psychosocial therapy developed for people
32 with psychosis. The therapy aims to improve social recovery through increasing the
33 amount of time individuals spend in meaningful structured activity. Social Recovery
34 Therapy draws on our model of social disability arising as functional patterns of
35 withdrawal in response to early socio-emotional difficulties and compounded by low
36 hopefulness, self-agency and motivation. The core components of Social Recovery
37 Therapy include using an assertive outreach approach to promote a positive therapeutic
38 relationship, with the focus of the intervention on using active behavioural work
39 conducted outside the clinical room and promoting hope, values, meaning, and positive
40 schema. The therapy draws on traditional Cognitive Behavioural Therapy techniques
41 but differs with respect to the increased use of behavioural and multi-systemic work,
42 the focus on the development of hopefulness and positive self, and the inclusion of
43 elements of case management and supported employment. Our treatment trials provide
44 evidence for the therapy leading to clinically meaningful increases in structured activity
45 for individuals experiencing first episode and longer-term psychosis. In this paper we
46 present the core intervention components with examples in order to facilitate evaluation
47 and implementation of the approach.

48 **Keywords:** psychosis, Cognitive Behaviour Therapy, social recovery, social
49 functioning.

50 **Disclosure statement:** The authors report no conflict of interest.

51 **Data availability statement:** There are no datasets associated with this paper.

52 **Word counts:** Abstract 193, Manuscript 6500

53

54

55

56

57

58 **Introduction**

59 Social disability is a central issue for people with psychosis. Less than half of people with psychosis
60 will achieve social recovery, with only 20% of people with schizophrenia obtaining competitive
61 employment (Fusar-Poli, Byrne, Badger, Valmaggia, & McGuire, 2013; Harvey et al., 2009;
62 Marwaha et al., 2007; Tandon, Nasrallah, & Keshavan, 2009). The onset of psychosis is often
63 preceded by observable premorbid social decline, which can become entrenched over time (Hafner,
64 Löffler, Maurer, & Hambrecht, 1999; Häfner, Maurer, Trendler, an der Heiden, & Schmidt, 2005).
65 Social disability in populations defined as being at risk of psychosis increases the likelihood of
66 transition to first episode, other adverse mental health outcomes (e.g. anxiety, depression), and poorer
67 long-term functioning (Fowler et al., 2010). The economic cost of social disability in psychosis is
68 high (Mangalore & Knapp, 2007). Thus, there is a major need to offer evidence-based interventions
69 targeting social disability across the psychosis continuum.

70 Existing interventions for social functioning in psychosis, such as Individual Placement and
71 Support (IPS), can effectively increase employment for people who are work-seeking; yet intervention
72 effects are unclear for people with the greatest social disability and symptoms (van Rijn, Carlier,
73 Schuring, & Burdorf, 2016). Psychological interventions, such as Cognitive Behavioural Therapy
74 (CBT) and Cognitive Remediation Therapy (CRT), also appear to have only small and perhaps
75 temporary effects on functioning (Devoe, Farris, Townes, & Addington, 2018; Laws, Darlington,
76 Kondel, McKenna, & Jauhar, 2018). An intervention is thus indicated which combines the facilitation
77 of engagement in constructive economic activities such as employment, alongside the broader
78 identification and facilitation of meaningful structured and social activities, and with simultaneous
79 support for managing residual symptom, cognitive, and systemic barriers to social recovery.

80 Our group have developed an individual psychosocial therapy to facilitate social recovery in
81 psychosis. Social Recovery Therapy (SRT) is based on cognitive-behavioural models of social
82 disability and social recovery (Fowler et al., 2012). Our model of social disability posits that social
83 and occupational withdrawal develop as functional behavioural patterns of avoidance in response to
84 early socio-emotional difficulties which are maintained by a lack of hopefulness, self-agency and
85 motivation, and multi-systemic barriers to social recovery (Fowler et al., 2012). Social recovery
86 represents increased engagement in structured and social activities which are personally meaningful
87 and aligned with individual goals and values—structured activities can include employment,
88 education, caring, leisure, sports and housework. SRT uses *in-vivo* assertive outreach working
89 alongside traditional CBT techniques in order to promote personally meaningful structured activity,
90 whilst addressing multi-systemic barriers. SRT emphasises building a positive therapeutic alliance
91 and community working in order to engage people who struggle to access, participate in, or benefit
92 from traditional services. Data from the ISREP (Fowler et al., 2009; Fowler, Hodgekins, & French,

93 2017) and SUPEREDEN3 trials (Fowler et al., 2018) suggest SRT can effect clinically meaningful
94 increases in structured activity for people with social disability and psychosis. SRT also appears to be
95 cost-effective compared to standard community mental health care for psychosis (Barton et al., 2009).
96 The effectiveness of SRT for young people with complex emerging mental health problems, including
97 at risk mental states for psychosis, is being tested in the PRODIGY trial (Fowler et al., 2017).
98 Qualitative work suggests that SRT is highly acceptable, with clients valuing the emphasis on social
99 recovery-focused goals (Gee et al., 2016), the tailored and individualised nature of the intervention,
100 and the extent to which SRT is conducted outside of the traditional clinic setting in the individual's
101 local area (Gee et al., in preparation).

102 This paper will outline the SRT treatment protocol as employed in the ISREP,
103 SUPEREDEN3, and PRODIGY trials. Our aim is to facilitate dissemination, evaluation and
104 implementation of SRT into clinical practice. We intend for clinicians to use the contents flexibly,
105 with freedom to adapt as relevant to their psychological formulations of individuals' difficulties. More
106 information and resources are available on our website (www.socialrecoverytherapy.co.uk).

107

108 **General considerations in SRT**

109 The core principles of SRT are assertive engagement, collaboration, and a behavioural and multi-
110 systemic focus. This means emphasis on developing a positive therapeutic relationship and flexibly
111 adapting the therapy to individuals' interests, goals, difficulties, preferences and needs. SRT therapists
112 may need to spend multiple sessions on engagement whilst assessing and formulating the individual's
113 difficulties, allowing for missed appointments, periods of disengagement, and wider case
114 management-type work. The latter may help to address multi-systemic barriers to activity, whilst also
115 building trust between the individual and therapist. SRT therapists may find that they need to be more
116 directive than in other therapies, especially in the initial phases. In the context of individuals' fear,
117 avoidance, anticipatory anxiety and/or ambivalence, an assertive therapist stance is essential.
118 Therapists should engage in clear and transparent discussion from outset regarding the nature of SRT,
119 how therapeutic tasks are linked to the formulation, and how therapists may—with
120 permission—provide assertive encouragement to engage in feared situations throughout the
121 intervention. These techniques ensure that the work can be directive whilst still collaborative.

122 There are three phases. Stage 1 involves assessment and developing a social recovery
123 formulation whilst promoting hope for social recovery. Stage 2 involves identifying and working
124 towards medium to long-term goals through the consolidation of hope and positive identity and the
125 re/discovery of specific pathways toward activities guided by the individual's values. Stage 3 involves
126 the active promotion of structured, meaningful activities using active behavioural work and
127 supporting engagement with other organisations and institutions. The timing of the phases is

128 individually tailored, iterative and non-linear—with the introduction and use of cognitive and
129 behavioural techniques being idiosyncratic and formulation-driven. Therapists should remain
130 receptive, adaptable and person-centred throughout SRT, identifying and working collaboratively
131 towards activity-related goals that are meaningful to the individual, whilst using their knowledge of
132 cognitive-behavioural processes to target social disability mechanisms and maintenance factors.
133 Therapists should track progress collaboratively with the individual, through review of the
134 formulation and progress towards social recovery goals, and within their own supervision to guard
135 against therapist drift.

136

137 **Assessment**

138 Assessment begins with the identification of social recovery goals, the development of a problem and
139 goal list, and an in-depth assessment of a person’s interests and values (a sense of where they want to
140 go and what they want to be in life). Goals should be related to personally meaningful activity-based
141 changes, such as finding employment or increasing social contacts. Values work is informed by
142 Acceptance and Commitment Therapy (ACT; Hayes, Strosahl, & Wilson, 1999) and Personal
143 Construct theory (Kelly, 1955), and can be completed informally or as part of a structured SRT
144 values mapping exercise. Values assessment can help to identify long-term ambitions and priorities,
145 as well as fears for the future; motivating the individual to take immediate steps towards long-term
146 behaviour change. Interests can be identified using an SRT interest checklist and also through
147 serendipitous engagement in novel activities during behavioural work. The SRT therapist should
148 reflect on and revisit the individual’s goals, values and interests throughout therapy.

149 An essential part of the assessment process is gaining a shared understanding of barriers to
150 social recovery. Whilst discussing symptoms such as anxiety, depression, and psychotic experiences
151 are a key part of assessment, these are discussed in terms of impact on social recovery. For example, it
152 may be necessary to target suspicious thoughts using cognitive-behavioural techniques if it allows the
153 individual to access desired places or activities. Assessment of the wider environment and system can
154 help to identify practical barriers, such as poor access to finance or transport, or lack of awareness of
155 local resources.

156 Assessment information can be gained from the individual, the system around them, and real-
157 world observation. The latter is particularly helpful when the individual struggles to access or provide
158 information in more formal clinical settings. Leaving the clinic room provides a more complete and
159 real-time assessment of cognitions, emotions and behaviours as they naturally occur. It can also help
160 support engagement and the development of a positive therapeutic relationship; emphasising that the
161 SRT therapist ‘walks alongside’ the individual. There may be key learning points in SRT—potentially

162 following key behavioural interventions—at which point it is useful to review what new information
163 or skills the individual has developed, reassess the barriers to social recovery and re-formulate goals
164 for the next phase of therapy. Use of a timeline can also be helpful during the assessment phase,
165 especially for understanding the timing and impact of the first and subsequent psychotic episodes. For
166 example, someone who experienced an episode of psychosis whilst in the workplace or in a social
167 situation may experience shame and anxiety in relation to returning to those environments.

168

169 **Social recovery formulation**

170 Formulation begins at outset and continues throughout SRT. The focus is on understanding the
171 barriers preventing the individual from undertaking activity and achieving their desired goals and
172 values. Key aspects of the formulation will now be considered in more detail.

173 *Longitudinal formulation of social disability*

174 Social difficulties may be quite longstanding and date back to early adolescence or even childhood.
175 Understanding the individual's experience of school and early relationships is important as there may
176 have been a key event which triggered the onset of the difficulties. Memories or images from these
177 events may be activated by certain situations which the individual later avoids. Early social
178 withdrawal may have undermined individuals' development of the necessary social skills to engage
179 with their peers and transition into the workplace or higher education environments. Importantly, the
180 individual may prefer to initially discuss current maintenance cycles and thus it may be best to return
181 to historical issues later during the course of therapy.

182 *Social disability maintenance cycles*

183 It is likely that a number of maintenance cycles will need consideration in order to understand an
184 individual's social disability. Avoidance can serve many functions; perhaps arising from self-
185 regulation of unusual experiences or 'information-overload', manifesting as a safety behaviour in
186 anxiety-provoking situations, or functioning as a protective strategy to manage fears of failure.
187 Understanding what the individual is attempting to avoid is key. Avoidance clearly limits
188 opportunities for testing out fears and negative self-beliefs—whilst providing temporary and short-
189 term relief from associated anxiety—thus serving to maintain difficulties in the long-term. Other
190 maintenance factors can arise from feelings of hopelessness, and negative beliefs about the self, world
191 and others. Formulating social difficulties can identify a need for social skills training. Additionally,
192 attitudes towards symptoms such as anxiety or psychosis should be carefully considered, particularly
193 when individuals have adopted an attitude of 'waiting until I feel better' before engaging in activity.

194 Maintenance cycles may be usefully informed by published cognitive models, for example of
195 depression (Beck, Rush, Shaw, & Emery, 1979) and social anxiety (Clark & Wells, 1995).

196 *Multi-systemic factors*

197 Multi-systemic factors often play an important role in maintaining social disability and should be
198 considered within the longitudinal formulation and maintenance cycles. This includes reflection on
199 the role of relatives, friends, educators, employers and wider society. Families or others in the system
200 may unintentionally maintain individuals' problems by trying to protect them from further difficulties
201 yet inadvertently dissuading or preventing them from engaging in new activities. This may maintain a
202 sense of vulnerability and fear of failure. Similarly, educational institutions may promote avoidance to
203 try and reduce an individual's distress—for example, by allowing individuals to leave a classroom or
204 sending them home. Mental health stigma may also form a barrier to school or work engagement.
205 Individuals also often face practical barriers. For example, if an individual's difficulties impacted on
206 school attendance, this may have prevented their attainment of qualifications and skills needed to
207 engage with further education or work. Similarly, there may be financial barriers which complicate
208 engagement in social or community activities.

209 *Social recovery formulation revisited*

210 Following initial consideration of the social recovery formulation, behavioural work can be used to
211 test out underlying beliefs identified in the assessment and formulation phase and to try different
212 'ways of being' (e.g. dropping safety behaviours). It is not necessary—or perhaps not possible—to
213 have a full longitudinal formulation before behavioural or cognitive work can begin. Indeed, findings
214 from behavioural assessments and experiments should be incorporated into the formulation to further
215 refine the shared understanding of the individual's difficulties. Initial progress may be followed by a
216 re-emergence of symptoms or fears about the long-term future and, understandably, individuals may
217 revert to using safety-seeking strategies or avoidance in order to cope. Returning to the social
218 recovery formulation to understand this process is key to maintaining progress.

219

220 **Intervention**

221 *Behavioural work*

222 Behavioural work provides the foundation for change within SRT and we strongly encourage starting
223 this work early in the therapy. Behavioural activation and behavioural experiments are conducted in
224 line with the individual's goals and values, to ensure that new or returned-to activities are personally
225 meaningful; thus increasing the likelihood of maintaining structured activity gains

226 *Behavioural activation (BA)*

227 BA is especially important when depression is a barrier to social recovery. Emphasis is placed on the
228 meaning of activity, including achievement, intimacy, and enjoyment. The therapist and individual
229 work collaboratively to identify activities that seem both meaningful and potentially possible, and
230 then start to incorporate these into daily life. The therapist will ‘walk alongside’ individuals to aid
231 motivation and engagement in identified activities. These can be extremely varied from washing up at
232 home, attending a club, or experiencing a new activity together.

233 *Behavioural experiments (BE)*

234 BEs help to challenge thoughts and beliefs and support learning and reflection on alternative ways of
235 acting in and interpreting situations. SRT involves leaving the clinic or home environment as early in
236 treatment as possible. If necessary, the therapist should model the experiment first and then use
237 motivational interviewing and cognitive work to help encourage the individual to try to complete it
238 themselves. BEs need to be carefully planned and flexibly enacted in close collaboration with the
239 individual, with the proviso that therapists may need to be more directive in the early phase. Great
240 care is taken to debrief and reflect following all BEs; reflecting on whether predictions occurred,
241 whether safety behaviours were helpful or maintained the problem, and using outcomes for re-
242 formulating the presenting difficulties and identifying future goals and therapeutic tasks. Behavioural
243 work can be anxiety-provoking and may result in the enactment of safety-seeking and avoidant
244 behaviours, —including cancelling sessions. It is, therefore, important for SRT therapists to anticipate
245 this and discuss openly and honestly with individuals in advance. Key discussions points include
246 validating the individuals’ experience of anxiety and reflecting on how the behavioural work aligns
247 with the goals, values and the SRT formulation. Emotion regulation, mindfulness and relaxation
248 strategies, may also usefully support ongoing engagement in BEs.

249 BEs in SRT are sophisticated and multi-layered. In addition to providing the opportunity to
250 test, for example, the impact of dropping safety behaviours or addressing beliefs relating to voices or
251 paranoia, SRT BEs promote social recovery more broadly. This can include supporting a positive
252 therapeutic relationship, facilitating leaving the clinic room or home, engaging in new and enjoyable
253 experiences, identifying potential goals and interests, offering opportunities for the therapist to
254 provide *in-vivo* social skills training through modelling, using the individual’s engagement in BEs to
255 promote hopefulness and positive self-beliefs, and facilitating observation by or BE involvement from
256 others in the system to help generate multi-systemic support for SRT and social recovery.
257 Furthermore, SRT therapists will flexibly and creatively capitalise on gains in the moment—for
258 example, following a successful BE with a collaboratively designed extended or additional BE to be
259 conducted in the moment. Table 1 provides example SRT BEs.

260 INSERT TABLE ONE HERE

261 *Cognitive work*

262 Cognitive work is always informed by the social recovery formulation and augments the other
263 intervention components. The primary goal of cognitive work is to modify unhelpful cognitions which
264 serve to maintain inactivity and generate more realistic or helpful cognitions which can facilitate
265 meaningful structured activity. A variety of commonly cited CBT cognitive strategies can be used;
266 thought records, positive data logs, positive self-statements and appraising advantages and
267 disadvantages of alternative cognitions. SRT differs, however, from traditional CBT cognitive
268 strategies in foregrounding the promotion of hopefulness and positive sense of self (Hodgekins et al.,
269 in press). Due to the often long-term social difficulties, generating positive self-beliefs and hope for
270 social recovery can be something requiring gradual nurturing throughout SRT; scaffolded by a
271 positive therapeutic alliance and updated cognitions arising from exposure to positive experiences.
272 Initially, the therapist often ‘holds’ hope for the individual and acts as a ‘cheerleader’ in the
273 individual’s quest to engage in meaningful activities by modelling positive self-talk, and providing
274 support, encouragement and recognition of successes. Following cumulative therapeutic gains, a shift
275 is often noticed where hope is progressively owned by individuals themselves.

276 The outcome of behavioural work is purposefully used to challenge previously held negative
277 cognitions and generate alternative cognitions which can be further tested—with an ongoing
278 promotion of hope and positive self-beliefs. Evidence-gathering can support re-appraisal of
279 previously held beliefs about themselves, the world and other people (e.g. I am not capable; The
280 world is dangerous; Other people are untrustworthy), For example, asking individuals to re-rate
281 beliefs and monitor change throughout SRT can lead to modified beliefs, which, in turn, are
282 highlighted during sessions (e.g. using positive self-statements). This can help promote greater hope
283 and positive self-beliefs, and motivate individuals to further engage in activities that are consistent
284 with new belief systems (e.g. I am capable; The world is less dangerous; Others are okay).

285 *Multi-systemic work*

286 There may be complex multi-systemic barriers to social recovery which require consideration.
287 Members of the individual’s system, including mental health or other professionals, may discourage
288 the person from engaging in new or previous activities due to concerns about stress and triggering
289 relapse. Addressing attitudes of members of the social network and professionals may thus be
290 important in developing a social recovery-focused system in which SRT gains are maintained.
291 Sessions with families may be facilitated in which the SRT formulation may be shared to help
292 families better understand the problems, generate support for the SRT intervention, potentially

293 participate in BA and BE, and reduce behaviours such as over-protective parenting or language and
294 attitudes that undermine social recovery.

295 Multi-systemic work additionally includes ‘plugging-in’ individuals to institutions and
296 organisations relevant employment, education, training, and leisure opportunities—and potentially
297 advocating on behalf of the individual and family to support access to mental health or other services.
298 In many cases, this might involve incorporating the role of what would typically be expected in more
299 traditional care co-ordination or case management. Developing good links and relationships with local
300 vocational, education and voluntary agencies is recommended. Opportunities are explored
301 collaboratively with the individual and enrolment is informed by their values, goals and readiness to
302 change. Introducing individuals to other organisations also creates excellent opportunities for BA and
303 BE. Furthermore, the SRT therapist will work with these other institutions and organisations in order
304 to facilitate a social recovery-focused system around the individual, i.e. through working to address
305 factors in the wider system such as lack of funding and inadequate housing, advocating with
306 employers and educational providers regarding providing reasonable adjustments to support re-entry,
307 and discussing risk and care plans with mental health teams to ensure that such plans promote
308 engagement in structured activity.

309

310 **Implementation**

311 Regular and effective supervision is essential as often the factors causing social disability are complex
312 and bring challenges to the therapeutic process. Reviewing cases in supervision can help to formulate
313 the social disability and identify necessary innovative interventions. It is helpful if supervisors have
314 knowledge of local resources and services to aid in community and multi-systemic working. The
315 restorative function of supervision is very important within SRT as socially isolated individuals often
316 feel hopeless and despondent, which can vicariously affect therapists’ own hopefulness (Moorey,
317 2014). This could impact also on intervention effectiveness as professionals’ optimism regarding
318 patients’ capacity for social recovery is linked to therapeutic relationship development and social
319 outcomes (Berry & Greenwood, 2015, 2016; O’Connell & Stein, 2011). Access to both formal and
320 informal supervision are essential in supporting therapists, including the provision of rapid *ad hoc*
321 debriefing opportunities within a supportive team environment

322

323 As much of SRT takes place in community settings, therapists may encounter novel practical and
324 logistical challenges which necessitate collaborative problem-solving to accommodate ongoing
325 behavioural work. It is often useful for the therapist to drive individuals to new locations or
326 accompany them on public transport. Even in the absence of face-to-face sessions, therapists should

327 attempt to maintain regular contact via phone, text, email and letter in order to support continued
328 engagement. Regular discussion with other agencies can also help to re-engage individuals after
329 missed sessions.

330 It should be emphasised that although evidence supports the effectiveness of SRT in
331 psychosis (Fowler et al., 2017, 2018, 2009), and young people with emerging mental health problems
332 have expressed that they found the approach useful (Gee et al., 2016; Notley et al., 2015), SRT can be
333 challenging as it involves changing potentially long-term cognitive-behavioural patterns of avoidance.
334 Future investigations should further explore when and for whom SRT is most effective. In the
335 meantime, therapists should attempt to make SRT acceptable, useful and individually tailored to
336 individuals, introducing elements of humour and fun wherever possible —e.g. using characters from
337 beloved comics or films to personify cognitions and behaviours.

338

339 **Conclusions**

340 SRT is a specialised psychosocial therapy for increasing social recovery with an emerging evidence
341 base for individuals with complex mental health problems and social disability. It utilises assertive
342 outreach, multi-systemic principles, and cognitive-behavioural techniques to formulate and address
343 barriers to social recovery—ultimately promoting engagement in meaningful, structured activity. SRT
344 is distinct from CBT and other psychological interventions through its core philosophy of focusing
345 assessment, formulation and intervention on social recovery—with an emphasis on symptoms only
346 insofar as they present social recovery barriers. Furthermore, SRT’s core task is active behavioural
347 work guided by the individual’s values and goals, including sophisticated, multi-layered behavioural
348 experiments conducted with the SRT therapist in the community. Finally, SRT involves the therapist
349 working outside of the traditional bounds of psychological therapies in order to ‘walk alongside’
350 individuals as they enter into new structured activities, whilst additionally using multi-systemic
351 techniques to support families and activity providers in providing a facilitative environment for
352 maintaining social recovery.

353 **References**

- 354 Barton, G. R., Hodgekins, J., Mugford, M., Jones, P. B., Croudace, T., & Fowler, D. (2009).
355 Cognitive behaviour therapy for improving social recovery in psychosis: Cost-effectiveness
356 analysis. *Schizophrenia Research*, *112*(1–3), 158–163.
357 <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SCHRES.2009.03.041>
- 358 Beck, A. T., Rush, A. J., Shaw, B. F., & Emery, G. (1979). *Cognitive therapy of depression*. New
359 York, NY: Guildford Press.

Running head: Social Recovery Therapy: A treatment manual.

- 360 Berry, C., & Greenwood, K. (2015). Hope-inspiring therapeutic relationships, professional
361 expectations and social inclusion for young people with psychosis. *Schizophrenia Research*,
362 168(1–2). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2015.07.032>
- 363 Berry, C., & Greenwood, K. (2016). The relevance of professionals' attachment style, expectations
364 and job attitudes for therapeutic relationships with young people who experience psychosis.
365 *European Psychiatry*, 34, 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.EURPSY.2016.01.002>
- 366 Clark, D. M., & Wells, A. (1995). Social phobia: Diagnosis, assessment, and treatment. In R. G.
367 Heimberg, M. R. Liebowitz, D. A. Hope, & F. R. Schneier (Eds.), *A cognitive model of social*
368 *phobia* (pp. 69–93). New York, NY: Guilford Press.
- 369 Cross, S. P. M., Scott, J., & Hickie, I. B. (2017). Predicting early transition from sub-syndromal
370 presentations to major mental disorders. *British Journal of Psychiatry Open*, 3(5), 223–227.
371 <https://doi.org/10.1192/bjpo.bp.117.004721>
- 372 Devoe, D. J., Farris, M. S., Townes, P., & Addington, J. (2018). Interventions and social functioning
373 in youth at risk of psychosis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Early Intervention in*
374 *Psychiatry*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/eip.12689>
- 375 Fowler, D., French, P., Hodgekins, J., Lower, R., Turner, R., Burton, S., & Wilson, J. (2012). CBT to
376 address and prevent social disability in early and emerging psychosis. In *CBT for Schizophrenia*
377 (pp. 143–167). Oxford: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118330029.ch8>
- 378 Fowler, D., Hodgekins, J., & French, P. (2017). Social Recovery Therapy in improving activity and
379 social outcomes in early psychosis: Current evidence and longer term outcomes. *Schizophrenia*
380 *Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SCHRES.2017.10.006>
- 381 Fowler, D., Hodgekins, J., French, P., Marshall, M., Freemantle, N., McCrone, P., ... Birchwood, M.
382 (2018). Social recovery therapy in combination with early intervention services for enhancement
383 of social recovery in patients with first-episode psychosis (SUPEREDEN3): a single-blind,
384 randomised controlled trial. *The Lancet Psychiatry*, 5(1), 41–50. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(17)30476-5)
385 [0366\(17\)30476-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(17)30476-5)
- 386 Fowler, D., Hodgekins, J., Painter, M., Reilly, T., Crane, C., Macmillan, I., ... Jones, P. B. (2009).
387 Cognitive behaviour therapy for improving social recovery in psychosis: A report from the
388 ISREP MRC Trial Platform study (Improving Social Recovery in Early Psychosis).
389 *Psychological Medicine*, 39(10), 1627. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291709005467>
- 390 Fusar-Poli, P., Byrne, M., Badger, S., Valmaggia, L. R., & McGuire, P. K. (2013). Outreach and
391 support in South London (OASIS), 2001–2011: Ten years of early diagnosis and treatment for

- 392 young individuals at high clinical risk for psychosis. *European Psychiatry*, 28(5), 315–326.
393 <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.EURPSY.2012.08.002>
- 394 Gee, B., Berry, C., Hodgekins, J., Pugh, K., Notley, C., Lavis, A., ... Fowler, D. (2018). A qualitative
395 process evaluation of Social Recovery Therapy for enhancement of social recovery in first-
396 episode psychosis (SUPEREDEN3). *Manuscript in Preparation*.
- 397 Gee, B., Notley, C., Byrne, R., Clarke, T., Hodgekins, J., French, P., & Fowler, D. (2016). Young
398 people's experiences of Social Recovery Cognitive Behavioural Therapy and treatment as usual
399 in the PRODIGY trial. *Early Intervention in Psychiatry*, 12(5), 879–885.
400 <https://doi.org/10.1111/eip.12381>
- 401 Harvey, P. D., Helldin, L., Bowie, C. R., Heaton, R. K., Olsson, A.-K., Hjärthag, F., ... Patterson, T.
402 L. (2009). Performance-based measurement of functional disability in Schizophrenia: A cross-
403 national study in the United States and Sweden. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 166(7), 821–
404 827. <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2009.09010106>
- 405 Hayes, S. C., Strosahl, K., & Wilson, K. G. (1999). *Acceptance and commitment therapy: An*
406 *experiential approach to behavior change*. New York: Guilford Press. Retrieved from
407 [https://books.google.co.uk/books/about/Acceptance_and_Commitment_Therapy.html?id=ZCeB](https://books.google.co.uk/books/about/Acceptance_and_Commitment_Therapy.html?id=ZCeB0JxG6EcC)
408 [0JxG6EcC](https://books.google.co.uk/books/about/Acceptance_and_Commitment_Therapy.html?id=ZCeB0JxG6EcC)
- 409 Hodgekins, J., Lowen, C., French, P., Berry, C., Pugh, K., Fitzsimmons, M., ... Fowler, D. (2018).
410 Measuring adherence in Social Recovery Therapy with people with first episode psychosis.
411 *Manuscript Submitted*.
- 412 Kelly, G. A. (1955). *The psychology of personal constructs, Volumes 1 and 2*. London, U.K.:
413 Routledge.
- 414 Kelly, G. A. (1977). *Personal Construct Theory and the psychotherapeutic interview. Cognitive*
415 *Therapy and Research* (Vol. 1). Retrieved from
416 <https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007/BF01663999.pdf>
- 417 Laws, K. R., Darlington, N., Kondel, T. K., McKenna, P. J., & Jauhar, S. (2018). Cognitive
418 Behavioural Therapy for schizophrenia - outcomes for functioning, distress and quality of life: A
419 meta-analysis. *BMC Psychology*, 6(1), 32. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40359-018-0243-2>
- 420 Marwaha, S., Johnson, S., Bebbington, P., Stafford, M., Angermeyer, M. C., Brugha, T., ... Toumi,
421 M. (2007). Rates and correlates of employment in people with schizophrenia in the UK, France
422 and Germany. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 191(01), 30–37.
423 <https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.bp.105.020982>

Running head: Social Recovery Therapy: A treatment manual.

- 424 O’Connell, M. J., & Stein, C. H. (2011). The relationship between case manager expectations and
425 outcomes of persons diagnosed with schizophrenia. *Community Mental Health Journal*, *47*(4),
426 424–435. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10597-010-9337-x>
- 427 Sacadura, C., Berry, C., Melliush, S., & Fowler, D. (2018). Social Recovery Therapy: An
428 Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis of therapists’ experience of hope working with
429 complex clients. *Manuscript in Preparation*.
- 430 Tandon, R., Nasrallah, H. A., & Keshavan, M. S. (2009). Schizophrenia, “just the facts” 4. Clinical
431 features and conceptualization. *Schizophrenia Research*, *110*(1–3), 1–23.
432 <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SCHRES.2009.03.005>
- 433 van Os, J. (2013). The dynamics of subthreshold psychopathology: Implications for diagnosis and
434 treatment. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, *170*(7), 695–698.
435 <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2013.13040474>
- 436 van Rijn, R. M., Carlier, B. E., Schuring, M., & Burdorf, A. (2016). Work as treatment? The
437 effectiveness of re-employment programmes for unemployed persons with severe mental health
438 problems on health and quality of life: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Occupational
439 and Environmental Medicine*, *73*(4), 275–279. <https://doi.org/10.1136/oemed-2015-103121>
- 440
- 441
- 442
- 443

Running head: Social Recovery Therapy: A treatment manual.

Table 1. Examples of SRT behavioural experiments.

#	Experiment	Belief tested	Outcome	Learning	
0	Layer 1—Simple behavioural experiment (BE)	Simple BE designed in previous session	Simple belief tested, determined in previous session	Outcome of simple BE	Learning in relation to simple belief tested
	Layer 2—Additional promotion of social recovery	-	Additional or core belief/s, problems, values, goals etc. not explicitly discussed prior to simple BE but identified within social recovery formulation	Outcome of BE in relation to additional promotion of social recovery	Learning in relation to additional promotion of social recovery
	Layer 3—Capitalising in the moment	Additional miniature in-the-moment BE or other behavioural work added to or conducted shortly after the initial experiment	Consolidation of test of simple original belief and/or test of additional or core belief/s identified within social recovery formulation	Outcomes of additional behavioural work and/or BE	Learning in relation to simple, additional and/or core beliefs
1	Layer 1—Simple BE	To walk around the centre of town	If I go outside, people will negatively judge me	People didn't pay me much attention.	People aren't really focusing on me
	Layer 2—Additional promotion of social recovery	-	It's safer and more enjoyable to stay at home	Enjoyed being in the sun and saw an interesting new computer games shop	I can enjoy being outside of my house; I can be outside without anything bad happening

Running head: Social Recovery Therapy: A treatment manual.

	Layer 3—Capitalising in the moment	To go into the computer games shop and ask whether they purchase old games	If I talk to other people, I will get so nervous that they won't be able to understand what I'm saying	Had a fun conversation about old computer games with the shop owner and arranged to bring in some old games the following week	I am more capable of speaking with other people than I thought; Other people share my interests
2	Layer 1—Simple BE	To play badminton with SRT therapist	I won't enjoy it at all	Got into it and felt more motivated afterwards	I need to do things to improve my motivation; there are some things that I do enjoy
	Layer 2—Additional promotion of social recovery	-	I am not good at anything	Won badminton game against SRT therapist	Maybe if I stop worrying about failure, I can achieve more than I thought I could
	Layer 3—Capitalising in the moment	SRT therapist showed me a flyer at the gym advertising for volunteer tennis coaches	I can't have a job because I am not good at anything; there is no job I would enjoy doing	SRT therapist and I telephoned the number on the flyer together, and the lady who answered said that I should meet with her so she can help me find a volunteering opportunity	Maybe I could find a job I enjoy and could be good at; I am more capable than I thought I was
3	Layer 1—Simple BE	To go to a supermarket	I won't be able to stay in the supermarket because I will have a panic attack	Felt really anxious for the first ten minutes but didn't have a panic attack	I can go to supermarkets without having a panic attack; feeling really anxious doesn't necessarily mean I will have a panic attack

Running head: Social Recovery Therapy: A treatment manual.

	Layer 2—Additional promotion of social recovery	-	I am boring	Looked at the film magazines with the SRT therapist and talked about films we both like	I have interests that are shared with other people and can talk about them
	Layer 3—Capitalising in the moment	SRT therapist models dropping shopping and money all over the floor	People will be horrible to me if I am too slow or makes mistakes	People helped the SRT therapist pick up all her belongings	People can be kind and helpful when someone is slow or makes mistakes
4	Layer 1—Simple BE	To drive the SRT therapist around whilst we look at other drivers	If I look at other drivers, they will swear and act aggressively towards me	No other drivers swore at us or acted aggressively	Other people are not always aggressive
	Layer 2—Additional promotion of social recovery	-	I can be trusted to drive the SRT therapist back in time for her next appointment	I drove the SRT therapist safely back to my house in time to leave for her next appointment	I am trustworthy and responsible
	Layer 3—Capitalising in the moment	To carry on driving to a park in the next town	I can't drive somewhere I haven't been before because I will get lost	We did get a little lost but made it to the park in time to have a look around	I can find my way to somewhere new; it's normal to get a little lost sometimes; getting lost might not always be my fault but could be because something is poorly signposted

Running head: Social Recovery Therapy: A treatment manual.

Table 2: An SRT case example.

History	Jason was a 25-year old male who lives with his parents and sister. Jason described “overwhelming” social anxiety since about the age of 12 years, bullying at home and at school, and poor school attendance. At age 23 years, Jason experienced a month in which he could hear bullies from school making fun of him inside his head. Jason’s GP referred him to mental health services who identified a first episode of psychosis.
Presentation	Jason was doing 2.79 hours of structured activity per week; 2.33 preparing food and 0.46 helping his Grandad with his cleaning. Other than helping his Grandad, Jason did not leave the house and spent his time playing computer games. Jason took antipsychotic medication and saw his support worker at home fortnightly.
Engagement	Jason felt ambivalent about SRT and worried about meeting the therapist. Jason and the therapist agreed to meet for short sessions at home with his Mum present to begin with. The SRT therapist and Jason played computer games at the start of the initial sessions.
Assessment and formulation	<p><i>Problems and goals</i></p> <p>Jason’s goals included; do something productive, feel better about himself, work toward having a job, help his Grandad more, and make some friends.</p> <p>Jason’s problems included; feeling worried about talking to other people, others thinking he is boring and weird, feeling too anxious to try and go to college or get a job, and feeling belittled by his family.</p> <p><i>Values</i></p> <p>Jason identified that he valued helping other people and that he was living in accordance with this in helping his Grandad; although would like to provide more help, e.g. in the garden.</p> <p><i>Behavioural</i></p> <p>Initially the therapist and Jason used diaries to explore Jason’s activities, thoughts and feelings. Jason realised that staying at home made him feel safer but also bored and unhappy.</p>

The therapist supported Jason to go to the local shop to further explore what Jason found difficult about going out. Jason was able to tell the therapist how he felt walking to and around the shop; noticing what he did to feel safe, like avoid eye contact with everyone.

Longitudinal formulation

Jason's early experiences of feeling belittled at home and at school contributed to him feeling negative about himself, the world and other people. Experiencing psychosis had deepened the extent to which Jason felt different to others and had led to a sense of 'shattered self'; believing all stressful experiences must be avoided to prevent relapse.

Maintenance cycles

Jason noticed that his worries about being judged and mocked by others contributed to him staying inside; yet this maintained his worries. Jason learnt from the behavioural assessment that avoiding eye contact actually made him feel more anxious and more isolated from others.

Behavioural
work

Behavioural Activation

Jason and the therapist practiced being active outside the home; first going for a walk, then to a local café, then meeting in the town centre. Jason found doing these activities improved his mood. The therapist also encouraged Jason to visit his Grandad more regularly and Jason felt that this made him to feel purposeful and positive.

Behavioural experiments (BE)

The therapist and Jason designed a BE (Table 1, experiment 3) to help Jason work towards his goal of helping his Grandad go shopping. Through the BE, Jason learnt that although he felt uncomfortable in the busy shop, he did not have a panic attack and was able to extend the experiment by staying in the shop with the therapist and conducting another experiment. Furthermore, the therapist and Jason also spent some time looking at film magazines and found they liked a lot of the same films. The therapist invited Jason to think about his perception of himself as being boring- noticing that he could talk with others about shared interests. Other BEs focused on Jason's worries about others thinking he was 'weird'. The

therapist and Jason practiced focusing his attention externally when outside the home and considered how this reduced anxious thoughts.

Cognitive work The therapist helped Jason to identify examples of his survival and resilience ('self as hero') and consider examples of more specific strengths, e.g. Jason's ability to live with humour and Jason's kindness and compassion in helping his Grandad.

Jason and the therapist created in-session a survey based on Jason's beliefs about being mocked should he make a mistake in public. The therapist collected responses after the session and Jason found that people expressed mainly compassionate or disinterested (rather than cruel or punitive) views towards others.

Multi-systemic work The therapist and Jason met with Jason's Mum to share the SRT formulation. They discussed how Jason tended to avoid going out and talking with others due to his worries. Jason's Mum remembered that in his childhood, she had tended to talk to people on Jason's behalf. Jason's Mum identified that she felt frustrated with Jason always being at home and noticed that she sometimes criticised Jason in front of his sister. Jason and his Mum agreed to try and go to a café so Jason could practice trying to interact with other people. Jason reported realising that his Mum did care about him but sometimes felt frustrated and did not know how to help.

Towards the end of SRT, the therapist helped Jason to arrange to meet an advisor at a local college. The therapist and Jason arranged for Jason's Mum to accompany him but wait outside so Jason could try and attend the meeting alone. The therapist and Jason also had a session with Jason's support worker to plan how to best support Jason to continue increasing his activity beyond SRT.
