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Abstract
Purpose Multidrug efflux pumps such as ABCG2 confer drug resistance to a number of cancer types, leading to poor prognosis and outcome. To date, the strategy of directly inhibiting multidrug efflux pumps in order to overcome drug resistance in cancer has been unsuccessful. An alternative strategy is to target proteins involved in the regulation of multidrug efflux pump activity or expression. Pim1 kinase has been demonstrated to phosphorylate ABCG2, promote its oligomerisation and contribute to its ability to confer drug resistance.
Methods In the present manuscript, imidazo-pyridazine-based inhibitors of Pim1 were examined for their ability to overcome ABCG2-mediated drug resistance. Drug efficacy was measured as a cytotoxic response or an effect on transport by ABCG2. Protein expression patterns were assessed using western immuno-blotting.
Results The two Pim1 inhibitors increased the potency of flavopiridol, mitoxantrone, topotecan and doxorubicin, specifically in ABCG2-expressing cells. This effect was associated with an increase in the cellular accumulation of [3H]-mitoxantrone, suggesting direct impairment of the transporter. However, prolonged pre-incubation with the studied inhibitors greatly enhanced the effect on mitoxantrone accumulation. The inhibitors caused a significant time-dependent reduction in the expression of ABCG2 in the resistant cells, an effect that would improve drug efficacy.
Conclusion Consequently, it appears that the Pim1 inhibitors display a dual-mode effect on ABCG2-expressing cancer cells. This may provide a powerful new strategy in overcoming drug resistance by targeting proteins that regulate expression of efflux pumps.
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Introduction
Chemotherapy remains a vital treatment strategy in cancer, and unfortunately, the multidrug-resistant phenotype continues to confound its efficacy. Multidrug resistance comprises numerous cellular processes that are either inherent to the tissue or acquired during chemotherapy. The plasma membrane is at the front line of resistance and utilises a triad of drug efflux pumps from the ATP-binding cassette (ABC) family. The three pumps have distinct pharmacological profiles and distinct expression patterns in cancer. The focus of this investigation is on ABCG2, which is known to confer resistance to flavopiridol, mitoxantrone, methotrexate and topotecan [1, 2]. The protein is expressed in cultured cell lines of fibrosarcoma, myeloma, ovarian and breast cancer. Human cancers known to express the protein include digestive tract, lung and endometrial adenocarcinomas, soft-tissue sarcomas and haematological malignancies [2–6]. At the clinical level, there are no effective
strategies to overcome the resistant phenotype produced by ABCG2, although a number of compounds inhibit its activity in vitro. Developing strategies to overcome the action of ABCG2 are important, particularly given its wide expression profile in cancers and the number of cytotoxic drugs affected by its influence.

A homodimeric assembly of ABCG2 is required to generate a fully functional drug transport protein. The precise oligomeric status remains contentious with evidence from co-immunoprecipitation [7] and low-resolution cryo-EM studies indicating the presence of higher-order oligomers [8]. The protein is a member of the G-subfamily and comprises an amino-terminal nucleotide-binding domain (NBD) and a transmembrane domain (TMD) containing six helices [1]. This “reverse” topological arrangement is distinct from other subgroups of the ABC family. The protein is glycosylated on the asparagine at position 596 [9], which is located in the extracellular loop between TM helices 5 and 6. This loop also contains an intramolecular disulphide bond between cysteine residues at positions 592 and 608 and an intermolecular disulphide bond between pairs of cysteine 603 [10]. The precise roles of glycosylation and disulphide bond formation in ABCG2 function remain unresolved, but they are crucial to the folding and stability of the protein. The region at the interface between homodimeric units remains to be elucidated, although a GXXXG motif between positions 406 and 410 has been implicated [11].

ABCG2 has numerous consensus sites for phosphorylation, although there is little information on the state of its phosphorylation in cells [1, 12, 13]. However, phosphorylation of ABCG2 has been suggested to be a prerequisite for its expression at the plasma membrane with a report showing that activation of protein kinase B (AKT) results in an increase in the amount of ABCG2 at the plasma membrane, whilst inactivation of AKT results in internal retention of ABCG2 [12]. Moreover, the interaction has a functional consequence since it has been shown in haematopoietic stem cells that the efflux of the ABCG2 substrate Hoechst 33342 was positively correlated with the activity of AKT [14].

Activated AKT has also been shown to bind to the prolactin response element found on the Pim1 promoter [15]. Pim1 kinase is a serine/threonine kinase encoded by a proto-oncogene known to be expressed in several cancers [16]. Two isoforms of the kinase are known to be produced by alternative translation start sites yielding a 33 and 44 kDa protein. The 44 kDa kinase may exist as a dimer, whilst the 33 kDa isoform is monomeric [17]. Whilst the 33 kDa kinase regulates the cell cycle and transcription by phosphorylating numerous participants in these processes, including cdc25A, HP1 and p100 [18–21], the 44 kDa kinase has been shown to interact with ABCG2 [13]. It has been postulated that Pim1 phosphorylates the threonine at position 362 in ABCG2, which was proposed to modulate the dimerisation and/or multimerisation of ABCG2 [13]. The Thr362 residue in ABCG2 is found in a Pim1 compatible recognition sequence: (Lys)3–Ile–Thr–Val [22]. In a taxane-resistant prostate cancer cell line overexpressing P-gp, treatment with SGI-1776 (a novel inhibitor of Pim1) re-sensitised the cell line to paclitaxel, suggesting a potential role for Pim1 kinase in multidrug resistance [23].

Compounds based on an imidazo-[1,2-b]-pyridazine scaffold have been found to inhibit Pim1 kinase at nanomolar affinity in vitro [24–26] by forming hydrogen bond and hydrophobic interactions with the binding pocket, thereby perturbing ATP binding. This class of compounds displays selectivity for the Pim1 kinase domain over the Pim2 isoform. K00135 and K00486 (Fig. 1) were identified as the best compounds of this series to bind to Pim1 with a high affinity and inhibit its activity [27]. The present study investigated the effects of the Pim1 kinase inhibitors K00135 and K00486 on ABCG2-mediated drug resistance in the MCF-7 FLV1000 cell line. The data suggest that the inhibitors are able to overcome drug resistance by a dual mechanism involving direct inhibition of ABCG2 and by altering its expression.

**Fig. 1** Pim inhibitor structures. The chemical structures of the Pim1 kinase inhibitors K00135 (left panel) and K00486 (right panel). The inhibitors were based on the imidazo-[1,2-b]-pyridazine template molecule...
Materials and methods

Reagents

The imidazo-[1,2-b]-pyridazine-based Pim1 kinase inhibitors were synthesised in the Knapp Laboratory and stored at a concentration of 50 mM in DMSO. The ABCG2-specific inhibitor functremorgin C (FTC), doxorubicin, topotecan and mitoxantrone were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and prepared as 50 mM aliquots in DMSO. Mitoxantrone (Toctis) was prepared at 50 mM in DMSO, and flavopiridol (Biomol International) was prepared at 10 mM in DMSO. All compounds were stored at −20 °C. [3H]-mitoxantrone (148 GBq/mmol) was purchased from Moravek Biochemicals (Brea, USA). The anti-ABCG2 (BXP-21) and the anti-Pim antibody (12H8) were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology and the goat anti-mouse horseradish peroxidase-conjugated antibody from DAKO. The ECL Prime chemi-luminescence detection kit was purchased from GE. All plasticware was purchased from either Fisher Scientific UK or Greiner Bio-One.

Cell lines

A549 (lung), DLD-1 (colorectal), H226 (lung), HT-29 (colorectal), LnCaP (prostate), PC3 (prostate), MCF7 (breast) and the ABCG2-overexpressing MCF7FLV1000 cell lines were cultured in RPMI 1640 medium (Invitrogen Life Technologies) supplemented with 10% foetal calf serum and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Lonza). Flavopiridol (500 nM) was added to the medium of the MCF7FLV1000 cell line every fourth subculture to maintain selection pressure for ABCG2 expression. Cells were maintained for a further passage before use. The Jurkat and K562 leukaemic cell lines were grown under similar conditions but as suspension cultures. The P-gp-expressing MCF7FLV1000 cell lines were incubated in RPMI 1640 medium (Invitrogen Life Technologies) supplemented with 10% foetal calf serum and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Lonza). Flavopiridol (500 nM) was added to the medium of the MCF7FLV1000 cell line every fourth subculture to maintain selection pressure for ABCG2 expression. Cells were maintained for a further passage before use. The Jurkat and K562 leukaemic cell lines were grown under similar conditions but as suspension cultures. The P-gp-expressing and drug-resistant NCI/ADRRes cell line was cultured in the presence of 3 mM doxorubicin for a single passage every ten passages to maintain the selection pressure The resistant NCI/ADRRes cell line was cultured in the presence of 3 µM doxorubicin for a single passage every ten passages to maintain the selection pressure [35]. This cell line was formerly known as the MCF7Adr line and has been redesignated as described in Ref. [23, 28].

Cytotoxicity assays

Cells were seeded in flat-bottomed 96-well plates at a density of 2500 cells per well and allowed to attach to the surface for 24 h at 37 °C, 5% CO2. The effects of single drug treatment on the viability of the cell lines were evaluated after incubation for 5 days with various concentrations of Pim kinase inhibitors (10−8–10−4 M) or chemotherapeutic agents (10−12–10−4 M).

For combination drug treatment, MCF7 and MCF7FLV1000 cell lines were incubated with chemotherapeutic agents (10−12–10−4 M) in the absence or presence of FTC (0.3 µM), K00135 (0.1 or 0.3 µM), K00486 (0.3 or 1.0 µM) or an equivalent volume of DMSO as a vehicle/solvent control and allowed to incubate for 5 days at 37 °C, 5% CO2. Cells were subsequently fixed in 10% trichloroacetic acid for 30 min at 4 °C. The plates were then washed with five rounds of water and stained with 0.075% (w/v) sulforhodamine B (SRB) for 15 min at room temperature. Excess SRB was removed from the plates with four washes of 1% (v/v) acetic acid and the SRB-stained viable cells solubilised with 10 mM Trizma pH 10.5. Absorbance was detected on a SpectraMax Plus 384 (Molecular Devices) at 540 nm using SoftMax®Pro data acquisition and analysis software. For the nonadherent leukaemia cells, the MTS assay was used to measure cell density and viability as described [29].

Data from either assay were subsequently analysed and graphically depicted using GraphPad Prism software, using one-way ANOVA. Drug toxicity was quantified by nonlinear regression of the general dose–response equation:

\[
F = F_{\text{max}} - F_{\text{min}} \cdot \frac{\log_{10} \left( \frac{\text{IC}_{50}}{[\text{D}]} - 1 \right)}{\log_{10} \left( \frac{\text{IC}_{50} - 1}{\alpha} \right)} + F_{\text{min}}
\]

where \(F\) is the viable cell number, \(F_{\text{max}}\) and \(F_{\text{min}}\) are the maximum and minimum cell number, respectively, \(\text{IC}_{50}\) is the concentration causing 50% cell death, and \([D]\) is the logarithm of drug concentration. At least four independent experiments were performed, and relative resistance values were established by dividing the \(\text{IC}_{50}\) value of the MCF7FLV1000 cell line for each drug by the \(\text{IC}_{50}\) value of the MCF7 cell line.

Cellular accumulation of [3H]-mitoxantrone

ABCG2-mediated transport was assessed by the steady-state accumulation of [3H]-mitoxantrone. Fifty thousand cells well−1 were seeded in sterile 24-well plates and incubated at 37 °C, 5% CO2 overnight. Pim1 kinase inhibitors or FTC was added to the wells and allowed to incubate for various times as specifically indicated in the results. The medium was subsequently discarded from the plate and replaced with 0.5 ml of drug transport buffer (107 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris, HCl pH7.4, 26 mM NaHCO3, 5.3 mM KCl, 1.9 mM CaCl2, 1 mM MgCl2, 7 mM glucose) containing a total mitoxantrone concentration of 1 μM, which contained 40 nM of the tracer [3H]-mitoxantrone. FTC or Pim kinase inhibitor were also added to the transport buffer with radioligand where required. The plate was incubated as above for a period of 3 h before discarding the buffer and washing the wells three times with 0.5 ml of ice-cold PBS. The cells were lysed with 0.5 ml 0.1 M NaOH and the
amount of mitoxantrone determined by liquid scintillation with 3.5 ml of ReadyProtein scintillation fluid for 5 min. The radioactive decay was converted to nmoles of mitoxantrone accumulated per milligram of cell protein using the specific activity of the radioligand.

**Western immuno‑blot analysis**

Cells were washed with PBS and lysed with 1 % (w/v) SDS and subsequently sheared with a fine gauge needle. Protein concentration was determined using the detergent compatible DC Bio-Rad assay using BSA as a standard. Samples of lysate (5–20 μg as indicated in figure legends) were subjected to SDS-PAGE using 10 % (w/v) gels and then transferred to nitrocellulose. The nitrocellulose was blocked for 1 h with 5 % (w/v) nonfat dried milk in PBS containing 0.1 % (v/v) Tween 20. The antibodies were diluted according to the manufacturer’s protocols in 5 % (w/v) nonfat dried milk in PBS containing 0.1 % (v/v) Tween 20 and incubated with the nitrocellulose overnight. Following washing in PBS containing 0.1 % (v/v) Tween 20, the nitrocellulose was incubated with goat anti-mouse HRP secondary antibody for 1 h. The immuno-blots were developed using ECL Prime chemi-luminescence according to the manufacturer’s instructions, exposed to Hyperfilm ECL and analysed by densitometry using ImageJ software.

**Results**

Imidazo-[1,2-b]-pyridazine inhibitors have previously been demonstrated to interact with the nucleotide-binding site of Pim1. The two inhibitors (K00135 and K00486) used in this investigation (Fig. 1) were generated from structure–activity relationships with Pim1, and they represent two of the most potent available Pim1 inhibitors.

**Growth inhibitory effects of Pim1 kinase inhibitors in cultured cancer cell lines**

Initial studies with Pim1 kinase inhibitors explored their ability to prevent cell growth in a range of tumour cell lines including leukaemia and lymphoma cells, and cell lines derived from solid tumours. Both Pim1 inhibitors produced growth arrest in all the investigated cell lines in the micromolar range (Table 1), with potencies that did not differ significantly between K00135 and K00486; however, there were differences in potency when comparing between cell lines. Table 1 also shows the relative potencies of Pim1 inhibitors in comparison with conventional cytotoxic anti-cancer drugs in a number of cell lines derived from solid tumours. All cell lines used in this table express the Pim1 protein, and none have ABCG2 expression at levels detectable by western blotting (data not shown). The data were obtained following a 96-h exposure of cells to cytotoxic drugs or Pim1 inhibitors. Shorter incubation (24 h) with the Pim1 inhibitors did not alter the efficacy (i.e. the maximal effect on growth arrest), although the potencies of each compound were marginally reduced (data not shown). The Pim1 inhibitors are regarded as anti-proliferative agents, and therefore, the longer incubation period was chosen for comparison with the effects of cytotoxic anti-cancer drugs. As expected, the various anti-cancer drugs displayed considerable variation in the IC_{50} values for cytotoxicity, with a rank order of potency of: vinblastine > doxorubicin > mitoxantrone > etoposide > cisplatin.

**Table 1 Effects of Pim1 inhibitors on the growth of cultured human cancer cells**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>K562</th>
<th>Jurkat</th>
<th>PC3</th>
<th>LNCaP</th>
<th>HT-29</th>
<th>H226</th>
<th>DLD-1</th>
<th>A549</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K00135 (µM)</td>
<td>12 ± 6</td>
<td>2.0 ± 1.1</td>
<td>4.7 ± 2.0</td>
<td>3.9 ± 0.4</td>
<td>19 ± 16</td>
<td>13 ± 5</td>
<td>11 ± 5</td>
<td>28 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K00486 (µM)</td>
<td>3.9 ± 1.4</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.5</td>
<td>4.4 ± 1.5</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.5</td>
<td>11 ± 5</td>
<td>3.8 ± 2.3</td>
<td>4.4 ± 1.7</td>
<td>13 ± 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cisplatin (µM)</td>
<td>5.2 ± 1.8</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.9</td>
<td>3.8 ± 1.7</td>
<td>12 ± 8</td>
<td>4.7 ± 1.2</td>
<td>14 ± 5</td>
<td>11 ± 6</td>
<td>19 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etoposide (nM)</td>
<td>44 ± 8</td>
<td>89 ± 39</td>
<td>287 ± 47</td>
<td>175 ± 25</td>
<td>530 ± 170</td>
<td>102 ± 40</td>
<td>250 ± 119</td>
<td>467 ± 133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methotrexate (nM)</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.6</td>
<td>19 ± 9</td>
<td>0.67 ± 0.67</td>
<td>54 ± 19</td>
<td>10 ± 4</td>
<td>41 ± 17</td>
<td>25 ± 9</td>
<td>37 ± 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doxorubicin (nM)</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.8</td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>22 ± 4</td>
<td>7.6 ± 2.5</td>
<td>26 ± 10</td>
<td>66 ± 59</td>
<td>9.6 ± 2.3</td>
<td>47 ± 31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vinblastine (nM)</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.11 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.31 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.14</td>
<td>1.81 ± 0.91</td>
<td>0.76 ± 0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitoxantrone (nM)</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.8</td>
<td>0.11 ± 0.07</td>
<td>4.8 ± 1.4</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.6</td>
<td>6.4 ± 3.4</td>
<td>0.84 ± 0.32</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.7</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cells were seeded at 2 × 10^3 cells per well (total volume = 100 µl) in a 96-well tissue culture plate and incubated for 24 h prior to addition of drugs. Anti-cancer drugs and the two Pim kinase inhibitors were prepared in medium at double the desired concentration and 100 µl added to each well. Vinblastine was added in the final concentration range 10^−12–10^−8 M and all other drugs in the range 10^−12–10^−8 M. Cells were incubated for 96 h with the drugs. Cell number and viability were measured with the SRB assay for adherent cultures and with MTS for suspension cultures (i.e. K562 and Jurkat). Cell density was plotted as a function of cytotoxic drug concentration and the IC_{50} values (units shown in parentheses) determined using nonlinear regression of the general dose–response equation. The values shown represent mean ± SEM from four to six independent experiments.
The anti-cancer drugs displayed potencies in the nanomolar range, with the exception of cisplatin, which was effective at micromolar concentrations.

The primary focus of the investigation was to examine the relative efficacy of Pim1 inhibitors between drug-sensitive and multidrug-resistant cells expressing the ABC transporter ABCG2. The breast cancer cell line MCF7WT was exposed to increasing concentrations of flavopiridol to select for drug-resistant cells as described [2]. The resultant drug-resistant cell line is referred to as MCF7 FLV1000, and as shown in Fig. 2, it is associated with expression of ABCG2. Furthermore, the cell line displays expression of the 45kD and the 33kD isoforms of Pim kinase. The parental MCF7WT cell line does not express ABCG2 at levels detected by western immuno-blotting; however, it does express both Pim1 isoforms (data not shown). The experimental data in the parental cells were again best described with a variable slope (F test; P < 0.0001) with a value of −4.4. The difference is likely due to the complexity of eliciting cytotoxicity in cell lines that had been selected for drug resistance. The slope factor did not influence the value of potency (IC50) to produce a cytotoxic effect.

The similarity in potency for the Pim1 kinase inhibitors on drug-sensitive and drug-resistant (ABCG2-overexpressing) cells suggests that the compounds are not substrates for transport by ABCG2.

Fig. 2 Expression of ABCG2 and Pim1 in breast cancer cells. Cell lysates were prepared from the drug-resistant MCF7FLV1000 cell line in 1 % SDS and total protein determined colorimetrically. SDS-PAGE (10 % gels) and western blot analysis was performed on lysate samples containing 5, 10, 15 and 20 μg protein. The amounts loaded (μg) on each well are denoted by the values above the lanes. The left panel shows a blot probed with the anti-ABCG2 antibody BXP-21. The arrow indicates the location of the 72kD ABCG2 protein. The blot shown in the right panel was probed with the anti-Pim antibody 12H8. The arrows correspond to the 33 and 45kD isoforms of Pim1 kinase. Both proteins were detected using an anti-mouse secondary antibody with subsequent chemi-luminescence.

Fig. 3 Anti-proliferative effects of the imidazo-[1,2-b]-pyridazine-based Pim1 kinase inhibitors on breast cancer cells. The growth inhibitory effects of a K00135 and b K00486 were measured in MCF7 (open symbols, dashed lines) and MCF7FLV1000 (closed symbols, solid lines) cell lines. Cells (2.5 × 10^3 well⁻¹) were seeded in 96-well plates and grown with various concentrations (10⁻⁸–10⁻⁴ M) of the inhibitors for 5 days. The cell density following incubation with inhibitors was measured using the sulforhodamine B assay. The data were fitted with the variable slope dose–response curve using nonlinear regression and shown are the mean ± SD of three independent experiments.
Table 2 Effects of Pim1 inhibitors on anti-cancer drug efficacy in MCF7 and MCF7FLV1000 cells

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cytotoxic drug</th>
<th>Cell line</th>
<th>Chemosensitisation agent</th>
<th>Control (0.3 μM)</th>
<th>FTC (0.1 μM)</th>
<th>K00135 (0.3 μM)</th>
<th>K00135 (0.1 μM)</th>
<th>K00486 (0.3 μM)</th>
<th>K00486 (1.0 μM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flavopiridol</td>
<td>MCF7</td>
<td></td>
<td>52.6 ± 7.3</td>
<td>42.7 ± 5.9</td>
<td>57.7 ± 4.6</td>
<td>52.7 ± 5.9</td>
<td>44.7 ± 6.6</td>
<td>40.4 ± 5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MCF7FLV1000</td>
<td></td>
<td>2017 ± 78</td>
<td>193 ± 30*</td>
<td>728 ± 87*</td>
<td>201 ± 20*</td>
<td>347 ± 24*</td>
<td>153 ± 11*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Resistance</td>
<td></td>
<td>38.3</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitoxantrone</td>
<td>MCF7</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.9 ± 3.1</td>
<td>5.9 ± 1.9</td>
<td>8.7 ± 1.8</td>
<td>6.2 ± 0.9</td>
<td>6.3 ± 2.9</td>
<td>6.4 ± 1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MCF7FLV1000</td>
<td></td>
<td>525 ± 69</td>
<td>86 ± 4*</td>
<td>209 ± 51*</td>
<td>81 ± 21*</td>
<td>174 ± 49*</td>
<td>35 ± 12*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Resistance</td>
<td></td>
<td>40.7</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topotecan</td>
<td>MCF7</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.1 ± 1.3</td>
<td>4.5 ± 0.4</td>
<td>6.3 ± 0.7</td>
<td>5.2 ± 0.5</td>
<td>6.8 ± 1.1</td>
<td>4.9 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MCF7FLV1000</td>
<td></td>
<td>2736 ± 127</td>
<td>163 ± 27*</td>
<td>1315 ± 86*</td>
<td>353 ± 32*</td>
<td>571 ± 15*</td>
<td>177 ± 13*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Resistance</td>
<td></td>
<td>336</td>
<td>35.9</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>68.1</td>
<td>83.6</td>
<td>35.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doxorubicin</td>
<td>MCF7</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.5 ± 0.8</td>
<td>9.0 ± 1.2</td>
<td>11.1 ± 0.52</td>
<td>6.6 ± 0.6</td>
<td>12.8 ± 2.1</td>
<td>9.7 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MCF7FLV1000</td>
<td></td>
<td>69.9 ± 6.9</td>
<td>29.8 ± 3.7</td>
<td>62.6 ± 3.3*</td>
<td>34.7 ± 4.8*</td>
<td>34 ± 4.2*</td>
<td>24.5 ± 3.6*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Resistance</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cells were seeded at 2 × 10^3 cells per well in a 96-well tissue culture plate and incubated for 24 h prior to drug addition. Cytotoxic drugs were then added to the cells in the presence or absence of Pim1 inhibitors and the ABCG2 inhibitor fumitremorgin C. The plates were incubated for a further 5 days and the cell density measured using the sulforhodamine B assay. Cell density was plotted as a function of cytotoxic drug concentration and the IC_{50} values (μM) determined using nonlinear regression of the general dose–response equation. The values shown represent mean ± SEM from four independent experiments. The degree of resistance refers to the ratio of cytotoxic potency in the MCF7FLV1000 compared to the MCF7 cells. The symbol (*) represents a statistically significant (P < 0.01) difference from the control group (i.e. no Pim1 or ABCG2 inhibitor). Statistical comparisons were made using ANOVA, with the Bartlett’s post hoc test.

**Chemosensitisation of MCF7FLV1000 cells by Pim1 kinase inhibitors**

The ability of Pim1 inhibitors to produce growth inhibition even in drug-resistant cell lines suggests that they may facilitate the activity of conventional anti-cancer drugs. Therefore, the potency and efficacy of a series of genotoxic anti-cancer drugs in the absence or presence of Pim1 inhibitors were assessed in MCF7 and MCF7FLV1000 cells. Dose–response analysis was used to generate an IC_{50} for the anti-cancer drug and the degree of resistance calculated (Table 2). The concentrations of Pim1 inhibitors used were threefold to tenfold lower than the IC_{50} values obtained for their ability to effect cell growth when added alone.

The cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor flavopiridol inhibited growth of the parental MCF7 cell line with an IC_{50} of 52.6 ± 7.3 nM, and the potency was reduced to 2017 ± 78 nM in the ABCG2-expressing MCF7FLV1000 cells. The ratio of IC_{50} values indicates that the level of resistance to this drug was 38-fold. In the presence of the ABCG2 inhibitor FTC (0.3 μM), there was no significant effect on potency in MCF7 cells; however, the IC_{50} value was reduced to 193 ± 30 nM in the resistant line. The reduced potency revealed a significant reduction in the level of resistance to 4.5-fold by inhibiting the activity of ABCG2. Addition of Pim1 inhibitors also caused significant increase in the potency of flavopiridol and in each case reduces the level of drug resistance. Neither compound altered the efficacy or potency of Flavopiridol to generate growth inhibition in the drug-sensitive MCF7 cells.

Mitoxantrone, a topoisomerase II inhibitor, also displayed reduced potency in drug-resistant MCF7FLV1000 cells (IC_{50} = 525 ± 69 nM) compared to the parental MCF7 cells (IC_{50} = 12.9 ± 3.1 nM). This 40-fold resistance to mitoxantrone was reduced to 15-fold by 0.3 μM FTC. In the MCF7FLV1000 cells, the Pim1 inhibitor K00135 reduced the level of mitoxantrone resistance to 24-fold at a concentration of 0.1 μM (IC_{50} = 209 ± 51 nM) and a further reduction to 13-fold at a concentration of 0.3 μM (IC_{50} = 81 ± 21 nM). Similar reductions in mitoxantrone resistance were obtained for K00486, and once again, there were no effects of Pim1 inhibitors on the cytotoxicity of mitoxantrone towards MCF7 cells.

The greatest level of drug resistance observed in the MCF7FLV1000 cells (336-fold) was conferred against the topoisomerase I inhibitor topotecan. The potency of topotecan was improved from IC_{50} = 2736 ± 127 nM to IC_{50} = 163 ± 27 nM in the presence of 0.3 μM FTC, which represents a reduction in the level of resistance to 35-fold. The Pim1 inhibitor K00486 (1.0 μM) also reduced the level of topotecan resistance to 35-fold and improved the potency of the cytotoxic drug to 177 ± 13 nM. K00135 also improved the potency of topotecan, although the level of improvement was not as large as observed for K00486 at the concentrations tested.
The well-characterised R482G mutant isoform of ABCG2 imparts a number of changes in the cross-resistance pattern compared to the wild-type isoform. The latter has a considerably lower degree of resistance to the widely used anthracycline doxorubicin. Table 2 demonstrates that the degree of resistance in MCF7FLV1000 cells compared to their parental control was only eightfold, which was lower than the other compounds tested. Nonetheless, the degree of resistance was reduced to threefold by the ABCG2 inhibitor FTC at a concentration of 0.3 μM. Both K00135 and K00486 were able to potentiate the cytotoxicity of doxorubicin in the MCF7FLV1000 cells, but not the parental control.

The data in Table 2 demonstrate that expression of ABCG2 confers resistance to a number of anti-cancer drugs and that pharmacological inhibition of this transporter (by FTC) can overcome the phenotype. More importantly, inhibitors of Pim1 also produce a dose-dependent reduction in the degree of resistance by augmenting the potency of each of the anti-cancer drugs tested. The mechanism underlying how Pim1 inhibitors improve the potency of anti-cancer drugs requires further investigation.

The ability of K00135 and K00486 to overcome multidrug resistance in cells expressing ABCB1 was assessed using a similar strategy. As shown in Table 3, the NCI-ADR/Res cell line that expresses ABCB1 displayed considerable resistance (526-fold) to doxorubicin compared to the parental MCF7 cells. The ABCB1 inhibitor verapamil (3 μM) increased the potency of doxorubicin from IC₅₀ = 2736 ± 127 nM to IC₅₀ = 86 ± 14 nM (P < 0.05), exclusively in the drug-resistant cells. This represents a reduction in the degree of resistance from 526- to 32-fold. However, the addition of Pim1 inhibitors did not cause any alteration in the potency of doxorubicin or the degree of resistance. This indicates that the two compounds K00135 and K00486 produced inhibition specific to ABCG2 and not ABCB1.

### Table 3: Effects of verapamil and Pim1 inhibitors on anti-cancer drug efficacy in MCF7 and ABCB1-expressing NCI-ADR/Res cell lines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cytotoxic drug</th>
<th>Cell line</th>
<th>Chemosensitisation agent</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Verapamil 3 μM</th>
<th>K00486 0.3 μM</th>
<th>K00486 1 μM</th>
<th>K00135 0.1 μM</th>
<th>K00135 0.3 μM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Doxorubicin</td>
<td>MCF7</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.2 ± 1.4</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.5</td>
<td>3.3 ± 1.0</td>
<td>4.1 ± 1.0</td>
<td>4.5 ± 1.2</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NCI-ADR/Res</td>
<td></td>
<td>2211 ± 387</td>
<td>86 ± 14*</td>
<td>2076 ± 557</td>
<td>2232 ± 627</td>
<td>2950 ± 780</td>
<td>3094 ± 786</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resistance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>526</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>629</td>
<td>544</td>
<td>655</td>
<td>910</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cells were seeded at 2 × 10⁵ cells per well in a 96-well tissue culture plate and incubated for 24 h prior to drug addition. Cytotoxic drugs were then added to the cells in the presence or absence of Pim1 inhibitors and the ABCB1 inhibitor verapamil. The plates were incubated for a further 5 days and the cell density measured using the SRB assay. Cell density was plotted as a function of cytotoxic drug concentration and the IC₅₀ values (nM) determined using nonlinear regression of the general dose–response equation. The values shown represent mean ± SEM from four independent observations. The degree of resistance refers to the ratio of cytotoxic potency in the MCF7FLV1000 compared to the MCF7 cells. The symbol (*) represents a statistically significant (P < 0.01) difference from the control group (i.e. no Pim1 or ABCB1 inhibitor). Statistical comparisons were made using ANOVA, with the Bartlett’s post hoc test.

The effects of Pim1 inhibitors on the steady-state accumulation of [³H]-mitoxantrone in MCF7FLV1000 cells

The Pim1 inhibitors were shown in the previous section to overcome drug resistance to a number of anti-cancer drugs in cell lines selected for resistance to flavopiridol. The drug resistance of MCF7FLV1000 is primarily due to overexpression of the ABC transporter ABCG2. Consequently, the mechanism underlying the circumvention of drug resistance by the inhibitors is likely to involve altered accumulation of anti-cancer drugs. To investigate this mechanistic issue, the steady-state accumulation of the ABCG2 substrate [³H]-mitoxantrone was investigated in the two cell lines. Initially, the accumulation was measured in the presence, or absence, of the specific ABCG2 inhibitor FTC as shown in Fig. 4a. An incubation period of 3 h was required to achieve steady-state accumulation of the radiolabelled drug at a total concentration of 1 μM. The accumulation of [³H]-mitoxantrone in MCF7 cells was 2.44 ± 0.22 pmol mg⁻¹ in the absence of FTC, and the value was 1.47 ± 0.15 pmol mg⁻¹ in MCF7FLV1000 cells, which represents a statistically significant difference (P < 0.005). The addition of increasing concentrations of FTC (0.5–10 μM) did not alter the accumulation of [³H]-mitoxantrone in the MCF7 cells since the level at the highest FTC concentration (2.62 ± 0.21 pmol mg⁻¹) was not significantly different from the control value. In contrast, the ABCG2 inhibitor produced a dose-dependent increase in the accumulation of [³H]-mitoxantrone in MCF7FLV1000 cells to a level (2.61 ± 0.36 pmol mg⁻¹ at 10 μM FTC) that was identical to the sensitive MCF7 cells.

Parallel analysis was undertaken to assess the ability of Pim1 kinase inhibitors to modulate the steady-state accumulation of [³H]-mitoxantrone. These experiments were carried out either with the Pim1 kinase inhibitor present...
only during the transport incubation (acute treatment), or when the cells had been pre-incubated with the Pim1 inhibitor (for 21, 45 and 69 h; chronic treatment) (Fig. 4b, c). The data were normalised to the level of $[^{3}H]$-mitoxantrone accumulation observed in the absence of Pim1 inhibitor, which was set to a value of 1.0. The increased exposure to Pim inhibitors reveals any long-term cellular effects on the activity or expression of Pim kinase.

Figure 4b demonstrates that the addition of K00135 did not result in any alteration of $[^{3}H]$-mitoxantrone accumulation in the MCF7 cell line, regardless of the incubation time. In contrast, the acute addition of K00135 (2 μM) produced a 2.6-fold increase in the steady-state accumulation of $[^{3}H]$-mitoxantrone in MCF7 FLV1000 cells (comparable to the two-fold change in accumulation effected by FTC treatment; Fig. 4a). This result in MCF7 FLV1000 cells suggests a direct effect on the activity of ABCG2. Additionally, we observed that extended pre-incubation of these cells with K00135 produced a significant, and time-dependent, increase in the accumulation of $[^{3}H]$-mitoxantrone. These data suggest that the compound also produces a chronic effect on ABCG2 activity resulting from inhibition of Pim1 kinase.

Similarly, Fig. 4c shows the effects of K00486 (2 μM) on $[^{3}H]$-mitoxantrone accumulation in the two cell lines. Similarly, the Pim1 inhibitor did not generate any significant alterations in radiolabel accumulation in the drug-sensitive MCF7 cells. However, there were time-dependent alterations of $[^{3}H]$-mitoxantrone in the drug-resistant MCF7 FLV1000 cells. The accumulation was increased 1.9-fold where there was no pre-incubation with K00486, and following a 69-h pre-incubation, the level had been increased over fivefold. $[^{3}H]$-Mitoxantrone accumulation was also increased using a higher concentration of Pim1 inhibitors (i.e. 4 μM); however, this did not differ markedly from the observations reported for the lower concentration. In addition, the prolonged exposure of MCF7 FLV1000 cells to the direct-acting ABCG2 inhibitor FTC (5 μM) did not result in a similar time-dependent modification of mitoxantrone accumulation (data not shown).

In summary, the Pim1 inhibitors appear to display both short- and long-term effects on the accumulation of the ABCG2 substrate $[^{3}H]$-mitoxantrone in the MCF7 FLV1000 cell line. There were no effects in the drug-sensitive MCF7 cells, suggesting that this effect was specifically related to ABCG2. Short-term effects are likely to involve direct inhibition of the protein although the 3-h duration of this assay does not entirely rule out post-translational effects. The long-term effects are likely to involve altered expression and/or post-translational modification of ABCG2.
The expression data reveal a considerable reduction in the levels of ABCG2 in MCF7FLV1000 cells following the addition of Pim inhibitors. Thus, the ability of these compounds to overcome drug resistance is also related to the progressive diminution of ABCG2 expression. This effect is in addition to the ability to interact directly with ABCG2 and thereby impair cytotoxic drug efflux.

Discussion

The investigation has revealed that multidrug resistance conferred by ABCG2 was overcome by two imidazo-pyrididine derivatives, which had previously been developed as specific inhibitors of the serine/threonine kinase Pim1. Earlier studies have demonstrated that co-expression of Pim1 and ABCG2 produces increased resistance and that the proteins share a physical interaction [13]. In this study, we have shown that both inhibitors overcame ABCG2-mediated resistance by directly modulating transport of the substrate mitoxantrone. Further investigation on the transport process additionally revealed a longer-term effect that was associated with reduced expression of ABCG2 in the cancer cell lines. This two-pronged approach provides a novel alternative to the classical approach of exclusively targeting the transporter. Potent and selective modulation of regulatory kinase proteins may alleviate the problems with developing specific inhibitors of polyspecific drug transporters such as ABCG2, as we provided evidence that K00135 and K00486 are themselves not transport substrates of ABCG2.

Amongst the earliest reports on the function of Pim kinase were the observations that the protein weakly transformed mesenchymal cells to generate lymphoma and leukaemia [30, 31]. Furthermore, elevated expression of Pim kinase was observed in human myeloid leukaemia and lymphoma [32, 33]. As the biology of the kinase became more widely investigated, a role in solid tumours became apparent, with expression demonstrated in prostate, gastric, hepatic, bladder and colorectal tumours [16, 23, 34, 35]. The tumour growth stimulating properties of Pim kinase [36, 37] render the protein a target for therapeutic intervention in cancer. The present investigation demonstrated growth inhibition of a panel of cancer cell lines derived from haematological malignancies and solid tumours by two potent imidazo-pyridine-based inhibitors of Pim kinase. This efficacy further supports an inherent role for Pim kinases in tumour biology. The potency of the Pim inhibitors to produce growth inhibition was in the low micromolar range, which was similar to cisplatin, but lower than most cytotoxic chemotherapy drugs.

In the last decade, medicinal chemistry efforts have generated several classes of Pim kinase inhibitors [38, 39]. The "boom" in production of inhibitor compounds has benefited
from the availability of high-resolution structural data for Pim kinases [24]. For example, the K00135 inhibitor used in the present study co-crystallises with Pim1, and numerous derivatives have been developed from knowledge of the molecular interactions underpinning binding [24].

The ABCG2-expressing cells displayed considerable differences in the level of resistance to a range of anti-cancer drugs and other compounds, as shown in Table 1 and in the review by Nakanishi et al. [40]. The range of resistance (and the relative order) to anti-cancer drugs in the current investigation is in broad agreement with previous work using the MCF7FLV1000 cell line [2]. The mycotoxin FTC was one of the first inhibitors of ABCG2 to be identified by virtue of its ability to restore sensitivity of drug-resistant cell lines to topotecan, mitoxantrone and doxorubicin [41]. The potency of FTC to overcome ABCG2-mediated resistance was in the range 0.1–5 μM. In the present investigation, a fixed concentration of FTC (0.3 μM) produced a reduction in the extent of resistance by a factor of up to tenfold and thereby restored sensitivity to anti-cancer drugs to levels similar to the MCF7 cell line.

The potencies of K00135 and K00486 to inhibit Pim1 kinase have been demonstrated as 0.12 and 0.04 μM, respectively, whereas the potency to inhibit Pim2 kinase was reduced by up to 50-fold [24]. Both Pim1 kinase inhibitors, at concentrations of 0.1–1 μM, were able to reduce the extent of resistance to anti-cancer drugs in the MCF7FLV1000 cell line. Furthermore, the potencies and efficacies were similar to that of FTC. In addition, the potencies to overcome the resistant phenotype in ABCG2-expressing cells were similar to another imidazo-pyridazine compound SGI-1776, which reached late-stage clinical trials [42]. In contrast to the inhibitor SGI-1776, which was able to inhibit and overcome the actions of ABCB1 in cancer cell lines [42], K00135 and K00486 were selective for ABCB1. However, SGI-1776 differs markedly in chemical structure from K00135/K00486, despite the common imidazo-pyridazine moiety. It is therefore likely that the different substratues in SGI-1776 enhance its ability to interact directly with ABCB1.

These data clearly indicate that inhibition of Pim kinase can overcome the resistant phenotype produced by expression of ABCG2 and the subsequent focus was to ascertain the underlying mechanism. It has been widely demonstrated that ABCG2 confers resistance in the MCF7FLV1000 cell line by preventing accumulation of drugs such as mitoxantrone [2, 41]. The assay required a long incubation period (i.e. 3 h) in order that the accumulation of [3H]-mitoxantrone reached a steady state, which is in agreement with previous studies [2, 41]. The addition of both Pim kinase inhibitors increased the extent of mitoxantrone accumulation, which suggests a direct interaction between the Pim inhibitors and ABCG2. SGI-1776 was shown to produce similar effects on mitoxantrone accumulation in ABCG2 expressing cells [42]. Classically, inhibitors of multidrug efflux pumps from the ABC family have been generated to interact with the drug-binding site and thereby reduce the rate of substrate translocation. However, the Pim inhibitors used in this study were designed to bind at the catalytic domain of the kinase. The Pim1 protein has an ATP-binding domain that is distinct from most kinases [24, 38]. Structural data demonstrated that K00135 and K00486 do not bind to the “kinase hinge region” as predicted, but to the novel N-terminal helix within the catalytic region. Therefore, although they interact at the ATP-binding domain, they are not considered ATP mimetics. Consequently, this provides a high selectivity for the catalytic domain of Pim proteins and only interacted with one other protein from a panel of over fifty Ser/Thr kinases [24]. Therefore, it is highly unlikely that K00135 and K00486 interact with the ATP-binding domain of ABC transporters such as ABCG2.

Thus, the short-term effects of the Pim1-kinase inhibitors are likely to be mediated either through direct effects on ABCG2 activity per se, or via indirect effects on ABCG2 phosphorylation by Pim1. An investigation by Xie et al. [13] provides considerable evidence for the latter. For example, the two proteins are co-expressed in several drug-resistant cell lines and co-localise at the plasma membrane. The investigation also suggested that threonine-362 in ABCG2 was phosphorylated by Pim1. Knocking down the expression of Pim1 reduced the oligomerisation of ABCG2, which rendered the cells sensitive to mitoxantrone and doxorubicin. This suggests that Pim1 is an important factor in the multidrug-resistant phenotype conferred by ABCG2. This confirms our observation that pharmacological inhibition of Pim1 kinase is able to overcome ABCG2-mediated resistance. Moreover, it supports the proposal that Pim1 kinase inhibitor-mediated disruption of [3H]-mitoxantrone accumulation may be due to disruption of the stability of ABCG2 oligomers at the plasma membrane.

In parallel with post-translational effects on ABCG2 activity, we also have provided evidence for a chronic effect on ABCG2 expression level. Culture of MCF7FLV1000 cells revealed a hyperbolic increase in ABCG2 expression, which was severely reduced in the presence of both Pim1 kinase inhibitors. A precedent for this has already been observed; surface expression of ABCG2 was reduced in cells treated with another Pim kinase inhibitor, SGI-1776 [42]. Control of ABCG2 expression is complex, involves numerous overlapping pathways and is frequently cell, or tissue, specific [40, 43]. Two of the most frequently observed control factors for ABCG2 expression are Pim kinase and the PI3K/AKT signalling pathway [13, 14, 27]. For example, inhibition of BCR–ABL by imatinib in resistant K562 leukaemic cells was associated with post-transcriptional regulation of ABCG2 expression via PI3K/AKT [44]. It is tempting to
speculate that the K00135/K00486-mediated reduction in ABCG2 expression involves the coordinated effects of Pim kinase and the PI3K/AKT pathway at a transcriptional or post-transcriptional level. The pathway or mechanism of interaction and synergy between these two factors remains to be established to date.

The data indicate that Pim kinase inhibition by the two imidazo-pyridazine compounds is able to circumvent ABCG2-mediated multidrug resistance. The mechanism underlying this effect is by reducing the expression level of ABCG2 in the cells. Based on previous findings that Pim kinase and ABCG2 share a physical interaction [13], it is tempting to speculate that phosphorylation of ABCG2 is important in the stability of the protein at the plasma membrane. Moreover, it provides further proof that the drug-resistant phenotype may be circumvented or overcome via modulation of regulatory protein activity and in the case of ABCG2, Pim kinase is a key target.
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