

Please cite the Published Version

Bagley, L, McPhee, JS, Ganse, B, Müller, K, Korhonen, MT, Rittweger, J and Degens, H (2019) Similar relative decline in aerobic and anaerobic power with age in endurance and power master athletes of both sexes. *Scandinavian journal of medicine & science in sports*, 29 (6). pp. 791-799. ISSN 0905-7188

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/sms.13404>

Publisher: Wiley

Version: Accepted Version

Downloaded from: <https://e-space.mmu.ac.uk/622550/>

Usage rights: © In Copyright

Additional Information: This is an Author Accepted Manuscript of an article in *Scandinavian journal of medicine & science in sports*, published by and Copyright Wiley.

Enquiries:

If you have questions about this document, contact openresearch@mmu.ac.uk. Please include the URL of the record in e-space. If you believe that your, or a third party's rights have been compromised through this document please see our Take Down policy (available from <https://www.mmu.ac.uk/library/using-the-library/policies-and-guidelines>)

**SIMILAR RELATIVE DECLINE IN AEROBIC AND ANAEROBIC POWER WITH AGE
IN ENDURANCE AND POWER MASTER ATHLETES OF BOTH SEXES**

*Bagley L¹, McPhee JS², Ganse B³, Müller K⁴, Korhonen MT⁵, Rittweger J^{4,6},
Degens H^{1,7,8}*

¹: School of Healthcare Science, Manchester Metropolitan University, Manchester, UK; ²:
Department of Sport and Exercise Sciences, Manchester Metropolitan University,
Manchester, UK; ³:Department of Orthopaedic Trauma; RWTH Aachen University Hospital;
Aachen; Germany; ⁴: Institute of Aerospace Medicine; German Aerospace Center; Cologne;
Germany; ⁵: Gerontology Research Center; Faculty of Sport and Health Sciences; University of
Jyväskylä; Finland; ⁶: Department of Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine, University of
Cologne, Cologne, Germany, ⁷: Institute of Sport Science and Innovations; Lithuanian Sports
University; Kaunas; Lithuania, ⁸: University of Medicine and Pharmacy of Targu Mures,
Rumania

Running title: Anaerobic and aerobic power in master athletes

Address for correspondence:

Dr L. Bagley

School of Healthcare Science

Manchester Metropolitan University

M1 5GD, Manchester

UK

Tel: +441612471145

E-mail: Liam.Bagley@mmu.ac.uk

ABSTRACT

Lower physical activity levels in old age are thought to contribute to the age-related decline in peak aerobic and anaerobic power. Master athletes maintain high levels of physical activity with advancing age and endurance or power training may influence the extent to which these physical functions decline with advancing age. To investigate, 37-90-year-old power ($n=20$, 45% female) and endurance ($n=19$, 58% female) master athletes were recruited. Maximal aerobic power was assessed when cycling two-legged ($VO_{2Peak2-leg}$) and cycling one-legged ($VO_{2Peak1-leg}$), while peak jumping (anaerobic) power was assessed by a countermovement jump. Men and women had a similar $VO_{2Peak2-leg}$ ($mL \cdot kg^{-1} \cdot min^{-1}$, $p=0.138$) and similar ratio of $VO_{2Peak1-leg}$ to $VO_{2Peak2-leg}$ ($p=0.959$) and similar ratio of peak aerobic to anaerobic power ($p=0.261$). The $VO_{2Peak2-leg}$ ($mL \cdot kg^{-1} \cdot min^{-1}$) was 17% ($p=0.022$) and the peak rate of fat oxidation (FATmax) during steady-state cycling was 45% higher in endurance than power athletes ($p=0.001$). The anaerobic power was 33% higher in power than endurance athletes ($p=0.022$). The $VO_{2Peak1-leg}:VO_{2Peak2-leg}$ ratio did not differ significantly between disciplines, but the aerobic to anaerobic power ratio was 40% higher in endurance than power athletes ($p=0.002$). Anaerobic power, $VO_{2Peak2-leg}$, $VO_{2Peak1-leg}$ and power at FATmax decreased by around 7-14% per decade in male and female power and endurance athletes. The cross-sectional data from 37-90-year-old master athletes in the present study indicates that peak anaerobic and aerobic power decline by around 7-14% per decade and this does not differ between athletic disciplines or sexes.

Key words: master athletes, ageing, fatty acid oxidation, VO_{2Peak}

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Introduction

Ageing is accompanied by a progressive decline in bodily functions, ultimately resulting in death ^[1]. Such age-related decrements include a decrease in muscle mass, strength and power generating capacity ^[2], and reductions in aerobic fitness ^[3]. Similar changes are also seen during disuse ^[4]. It is thus likely that the reduction in physical activity in old age ^[5] contributes significantly to the age-related reduction in muscle power and maximal oxygen uptake.

Master athletes maintain high levels of physical activity into old age ^[6] and show impressive athletic feats ^[7] such as a 97-year-old man still cycling 5,000 km a year ^[8]. They have better physiological function ^[9], longer lifespan, lower hospitalisation ^[10] and better quality of life in comparison to sedentary people of the same age ^[11]. Thus, regular exercise helps to combat the effects of ageing ^[12] and this provides an opportunity to distinguish the effects of ageing *per se* from the age-related reductions in physical activity ^[7].

Low cardiopulmonary fitness and neuromuscular function, and high body fatness are common features of ageing and risk factors for disability and all-cause mortality ^[13, 14]. These changes are not only due to low activity levels, since even in master athletes, performance levels, cardiopulmonary fitness and neuromuscular function decline ^[15-18]. However, endurance and power training impose different stresses upon cardiopulmonary and neuromuscular systems, with for instance higher ground reaction forces produced during higher running speeds such as **when** sprinting ^[19, 20].

It remains unknown whether the characteristics that determine power performance, such as very high peak muscle power, decline with ageing at different rates from those that determine endurance performance, such as high cardiopulmonary fitness and muscle aerobic potential. Given that endurance and power training promote divergent adaptations, such as increased skeletal muscle cross-sectional area and power in power athletes ^[21], and increased cardiorespiratory fitness, oxidative and fat oxidation capacity in endurance athletes ^[22, 23], we hypothesised that the anaerobic power is better preserved during ageing in power than endurance athletes, while the aerobic and fat oxidation capacity is better preserved in endurance athletes.

80 **Methods**

81 **Participants**

82 The study conformed to the latest revisions of the Declaration of Helsinki ^[24] and was
 83 approved by the Ärztekammer Nordrhein ethics committee, Düsseldorf, Germany (number
 84 2012157). Volunteers were recruited and assessed at the 18th European Veterans Athletics
 85 Championships (EVACs) at Weinau Stadium, Zittau, Germany between 16-25 August 2012.

86
 87 Volunteers provided written informed consent prior to participation. Those with a history of
 88 cardiovascular, neuromuscular or metabolic disease, or those who had a leg fracture in the
 89 past two years were excluded from the study. Participants were grouped into endurance and
 90 power disciplines by their primary entered events. Running events ≥ 800 m were classified as
 91 endurance, and ≤ 400 m and throwers were classified as power athletes (according to IAAF
 92 classifications: <https://www.iaaf.org/disciplines>). The age-graded performance for the main
 93 event of each athlete was calculated using the World Master Athletics age-grading calculator:
 94 <http://www.howardgrubb.co.uk/athletics/wmalookup06.html>. Participant characteristics
 95 are shown in Table 1.

96 **Experiments**

97 *Peak jumping (anaerobic) power:* Peak jumping power as a measure of peak anaerobic power
 98 ^[20] was assessed in 29 athletes on a Leonardo force platform (Novotec Medical, Pforzheim,
 99 Germany). The participants were instructed to perform a two-legged countermovement jump
 100 with the aim to raise the head and trunk as far as possible while freely moving their arms.
 101 Participants made two or three submaximal jumps to acquaint themselves with the
 102 procedure. They then performed three maximal efforts, each separated by 60 s rest and the
 103 attempt that gave the highest power (W) was recorded. The system computed the take-off
 104 velocity from the ground reaction force as described by Cavagna ^[25]. Instantaneous power
 105 was calculated as the product of force and velocity: Power (W) = Force (N) x Velocity ($\text{m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$).

106
 107 *VO₂Peak_{2-leg} (aerobic power):* VO₂Peak_{2-leg} was determined on a cycle ergometer (Jaeger
 108 Ergocycle) with a MetaLyzer 3B - R2 (Cortex BioPhysik GmbH, Leipzig, Germany) to measure
 109 VO₂ and VCO₂. Participants started to cycle at a workload of 50 W and a cadence of 70 rpm.

Workload was increased every 3 min with 50 W for men and 30 W for women until the respiratory exchange ratio was higher than 1.0 for at least 1 min. From this point onwards, workload was increased by 20 W every minute until the age-predicted HRmax (220 – age) was exceeded, if the participant reached volitional exhaustion and/or the respiratory exchange ratio was >1.1. Heart rate was measured using a Polar heart rate monitor (Polar Oy, Kempele, Finland). The assessment was followed by a 5-min cool down at low cadence (~40 rpm) and workload (25-75 W). The average of the values in the last 30 seconds of the last step was taken as the $\text{VO}_2\text{Peak}_{2\text{-leg}}$. The maximal workload during the test was presented as maximal aerobic power.

FATmax (maximal fatty acid oxidation): The rate of fatty acid oxidation was estimated for each workload as described previously [26]:

$$\text{Rate of Fatty Acid Oxidation (g}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}) = (1.695 \times \text{VO}_2) - (1.701 \times \text{VCO}_2)$$

Where VO_2 and VCO_2 are given in $\text{L}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ and negligible urinary nitrogen excretion is assumed. FATmax was calculated by fitting the rate of fatty acid oxidation vs. $\%\text{VO}_{2\text{peak}_{2\text{-leg}}}$ with a polynomial, where the peak of the line was considered the maximal rate of fatty acid oxidation.

$\text{VO}_{2\text{Peak}_{1\text{-leg}}}$: The $\text{VO}_{2\text{Peak}_{1\text{-leg}}}$ during one-leg cycling was measured on a separate day from all other assessments in a subgroup of 18 participants with the same equipment and calibrations as the $\text{VO}_{2\text{Peak}_{2\text{-leg}}}$ assessment. This assessment was included to estimate the peak aerobic capacity of the active leg muscles. Where $\text{VO}_{2\text{Peak}_{2\text{-leg}}}$ may be limited by the cardio-respiratory supply of oxygen to the working muscles and/or by the uptake and utilisation of available oxygen within muscle fibres^[27, 28], the cardio-respiratory supply of oxygen to active leg muscles during one-legged cycling is not generally limiting. Therefore, the $\text{VO}_{2\text{Peak}_{1\text{-leg}}}$ more closely represents the leg muscle peak aerobic potential^[29].

For this assessment, the dominant leg was secured to the pedal on the cycle ergometer, while the non-exercising leg was positioned on a central platform on the cycle ergometer to limit extraneous movements. The participants were asked to minimise upper body movement during the exercise. The workload began at 20 W at 70 rpm for the first two minutes of the test, after which the workload was increased to 50 W for one minute and then by 10 W per minute until volitional exhaustion or a cadence of 70 rpm could not be maintained. The

VO₂Peak_{1-leg} (L·min⁻¹) was taken as the highest value of 30 s rolling averages, which in all cases occurred during the final minute of exercise.

Statistical analysis

Data were analysed using SPSS (v.24 IBM). A two-factor ANOVA was used with sex and athletic discipline (power vs. endurance) as between-factors. A discipline*sex interaction indicates that the effect of athletic discipline differs between men and women, determined by an additional post hoc independent samples t-test. A stepwise linear regression was performed with factors age, sex and discipline to assess the impact of these variables on the outcome measures, with adjusted R-values presented. Age-related changes in ratios of jumping power to VO₂Peak_{2-leg}, FATmax and the ratio of VO₂Peak_{1-leg}: VO₂Peak_{2-leg} were also analysed by this method. Statistical significance was accepted at p<0.05. Data are presented as mean (±SEM) unless stated otherwise.

Results

Participant characteristics

Participant characteristics are shown in Table 1. There was no significant difference in the age of the endurance and power athletes. Men were taller and had a larger body mass than women (p<0.001). The body mass of the power athletes was larger than that of endurance athletes (p=0.001). The BMI was higher in power than endurance athletes (p=0.001), but did not differ significantly between men and women (p=0.061). The AGP did not differ significantly between athletic discipline or between the sexes (p=0.973 and p=0.718, respectively).

Jumping (anaerobic) power

Men achieved a 64% higher jumping power than women (Table 2; p=0.002). However, when normalised to body mass, there was no longer a difference between the sexes in peak jumping power (Table 2; p=0.070). Power athletes achieved 58% higher power during vertical jumps compared with long distance runners (Table 2; p=0.003) and 33% higher power than distance runners when normalised to body mass (Table 2; p=0.022). The take-off velocity from the

jump was 19% higher in men than women (Table 2; $p=0.004$), and was 15% higher in power than endurance athletes (Table 2; $p=0.027$).

VO₂Peak_{2-leg}

Men displayed a 38% higher VO₂Peak_{2-leg} (L·min⁻¹) than women (Table 2; $p=0.001$), but this difference disappeared when normalised to body mass (mL·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹) (Table 2; $p=0.138$). VO₂Peak_{2-leg} (L·min⁻¹) did not differ significantly between power and endurance athletes (Table 2; $p=0.592$), but when expressed per body mass it was 17% higher in endurance athletes (Table 2; $p=0.022$). Power (W) at VO₂Peak_{2-leg} was 37% higher in men than women ($p=0.024$), but did not differ between power and endurance athletes ($p=0.817$).

FATmax

There was a sex * discipline interaction for FATmax (g·min⁻¹: $p=0.027$; mg·kg·min⁻¹: $p=0.019$) which was reflected by a higher FATmax (mg·kg·min⁻¹) in endurance than power athletes in men ($p<0.001$), but not in women ($p=0.529$) and a similar FATmax (mg·kg·min⁻¹) in male and female endurance athletes ($p=0.121$) and male and female power athletes ($p=0.067$) (Table 2; Figure 1). There were no effects of sex ($p=0.964$) or discipline ($p=0.144$) on the percentage of VO₂Peak_{2-leg} at which FATmax occurred.

VO₂Peak_{1-leg} (L·min⁻¹)

VO₂Peak_{1-leg} was similar in men and women ($p=0.159$), and in endurance and power athletes ($p=0.431$). During the single-leg cycling tests, HR_{Peak} reached 86±1% and 81±1% ($p=0.433$) of the values achieved during two-leg cycling for power and endurance athletes, respectively, with no difference between sexes ($p=0.252$). Power (W) at VO₂Peak_{1-leg} was not significantly different between sexes or disciplines whether normalised to body mass or not ($p>0.05$ in all cases). The ratio of VO₂Peak_{1-leg} to VO₂Peak_{2-leg} did not differ significantly between disciplines ($p=0.404$) or sexes ($p=0.959$).

Ratio of aerobic to anaerobic power

There was no significant difference ($p=0.261$) between men ($7.1\pm0.5\%$) and women ($8.4\pm0.6\%$) in the power at $VO_{2Peak2-leg}$ as a fraction of the jumping power. The same applied to the power at peak fat oxidation that was $3.4\pm0.4\%$ of power achieved during a vertical jump in both women and men ($p=0.589$). The power (W) at $VO_{2Peak2-leg}$ as a fraction of that achieved during a vertical jump was higher ($p=0.002$) in endurance ($9.2\pm0.6\%$) than power athletes ($6.6\pm0.4\%$). The power (W) at peak fat oxidation as a fraction of the jumping power was higher ($p=0.007$) in endurance ($4.1\pm0.4\%$) than in power athletes ($2.7\pm0.3\%$).

Age-related changes in aerobic and anaerobic power

In table 3 it can be seen that age was the primary determinant of jumping power and $VO_{2Peak2-leg}$, both in absolute terms and when normalised to body mass. Sex was the second factor determining absolute jump power and $VO_{2Peak2-leg}$, but discipline was more important than sex when jump power and $VO_{2Peak2-leg}$ were normalised to body mass (Table 3). For absolute FATmax there was a significant effect of age, but normalised to body mass the FATmax ($mL\cdot kg^{-1}\cdot min^{-1}$) was determined solely by athletic discipline (Table 3).

The aerobic:anaerobic power ratio was not significantly affected by age or sex, but was higher in endurance than power athletes ($p=0.001$; Table 2). However, the ratio of power at FATmax to that at $VO_{2Peak2-leg}$ was not affected by age, discipline or sex. The $VO_{2Peak1-leg}:VO_{2Peak2-leg}$ ratio was not significantly affected by age, sex or discipline.

Absolute jumping power (W) (7.4% per decade, $p<0.001$), relative jumping power (W/kg) (9.4% per decade, $p<0.001$, Fig. 2A), absolute $VO_{2Peak2-leg}$ ($L\cdot min^{-1}$) (11.2% per decade, $p<0.001$), relative $VO_{2Peak2-leg}$ ($mL\cdot kg^{-1}\cdot min^{-1}$) (9.0% per decade, $p<0.01$, Fig. 2B) and $VO_{2Peak1-leg}$ ($L\cdot min^{-1}$) (14.2% per decade, $p<0.001$) declined with advancing age.

Discussion

It is widely acknowledged that regular exercise is an effective way to combat or ameliorate the declines in physical function that occur with advancing age. Cross-sectional data from 37-90-year-old master athletes in the present study suggests that both peak anaerobic and

1
2
3 232 aerobic power decline by around 7-14% per decade and that this trajectory did not differ
4
5 233 between power or endurance athletes. Even though master athletes perform better than age-
6
7 234 matched non-athletes [30], the present results suggest age-related changes in the
8
9 235 neuromuscular and cardiopulmonary systems progress at similar rates, regardless of power
10
11 236 or endurance competitive specialisations.

12
13 237 The master athletes in the present study were amongst the most athletic Europeans for their
14
15 238 age, as reflected by the cohort mean AGP of $82.7 \pm 2.2\%$. To put this into context, a 75-year-
16
17 239 old male marathon time of 80% AGP is 3h:46m:53s and the 100 m sprint time is 16:50s.
18
19 240 Despite these high achievements, physiological function clearly declined with increasing age.

20
21 241

22
23 242 *Power vs. endurance athletes*

24
25 243 The counter-movement jump is indicative of maximal anaerobic power [31]. In line with
26
27 244 previous observations [15, 20] we observed that the jumping (anaerobic) power per body mass
28
29 245 of power athletes was 33% higher than that of endurance runners, reflecting the expected
30
31 246 greater muscle power in power than endurance athletes. A novel contribution of our study is
32
33 247 that we also collected measurements of peak aerobic power for the same participants and
34
35 248 can compare across age and across disciplines. In healthy young adults, $VO_{2Peak2-leg}$ during
36
37 249 whole body exercise is limited by the oxygen supply to the working muscles [32]. An indication
38
39 250 of the extent of the central limitation can be gained from the ratio of one- to two-leg cycling
40
41 251 VO_{2Peak} [29]. The similar ratio in endurance and power athletes suggests that the
42
43 252 cardiovascular limitations to two-leg cycling are similar in both athletic groups, despite the
44
45 253 very different competitive specialisation of these athletes.

46
47 254
48 255 The $VO_{2Peak1-leg}$ ($L \cdot min^{-1}$) was similar for endurance and power athletes, despite the leg
49
50 256 muscle mass being larger for power athletes than for endurance runners [33]. This is most likely
51
52 257 due to the higher oxidative potential per unit muscle mass of endurance runners compared
53
54 258 with power athletes [34] to compensate for lower muscle mass. In addition to the higher
55
56 259 oxidative capacity per unit muscle mass of endurance athletes [34], we found up to 45% higher
57
58 260 rate of fatty acid oxidation per unit body mass in endurance than power athletes at exercise
59
60 261 intensities of 30-70% of $VO_{2Peak2-leg}$. In line with this, previous studies have shown a
262
262 significant increase in muscle mitochondrial enzymes and those of fatty acid metabolism

following endurance training^[35]. A higher rate of fat oxidation, as we observed for endurance athletes, will make the muscle less dependent on glucose metabolism, sparing glycogen and thereby increasing prolonged endurance performance^[36]. Such an adaptation is not required in power athletes who rely on anaerobic ATP generation from creatine phosphate and by glycolysis for success in their discipline.

Interestingly, we found that the FATmax was higher in endurance than power athletes in men, but not in women. Nevertheless, like in male ($r=0.828$, $p=0.011$) we also observed in female ($r=0.702$, $p=0.016$) endurance athletes a correlation between body mass normalised FATmax and maximal aerobic capacity. Whatever the cause of the absence of a higher FATmax in the female endurance than power athletes, the FATmax appears to be related in both sexes and disciplines with maximal aerobic capacity.

Based on previously published jump data in masters sprinters^[15] and the $VO_{2Peak2-leg}$ data from endurance runners^[42], it was estimated that the proportion of total power that can be generated through aerobic processes is around 30% of the peak anaerobic power^[17]. This value is higher than the 9% and 7% we found in endurance and power athletes, respectively. The discrepancy may be due to the previous study deriving maximal anaerobic power data from master sprinters and the $VO_{2Peak2-leg}$ data from a different set of specifically-trained master endurance runners, while we calculated this ratio directly from measurements completed in the same individuals. The difference between 2-legged jumping and cycling is also apparent, in that cycling is an alternating limb exercise where every time only one leg produces power and little of the power is gained from musculo-tendinous elasticity, compared to the 2-legged jump^[43]. In any case, the aerobic power is only a small fraction of the anaerobic power and this was true regardless of endurance or power training specialisations. The fraction of anaerobic power that can be generated at the peak rate of fatty acid oxidation is even smaller, at 4% for endurance and just 3% for power athletes.

Ageing in power and endurance athletes

We **expected** that the anaerobic power would be better preserved during ageing in power than endurance athletes, while the $VO_{2Peak2-leg}$ would be better preserved in endurance

athletes. This is important as throughout life both anaerobic power ^[2] and $VO_2\text{Peak}_{2\text{-leg}}$ decrease with increasing age ^[3]. In this context it **was noted** that throughout the life span, the anaerobic power is larger in power athletes ^[15] and aerobic power larger in endurance athletes ^[16] than age-matched non-athletes. Similar to previous studies, we found that the rate of decline in peak jump power ^[15, 20] was similar in power and endurance athletes. The same applied to the decline in $VO_2\text{Peak}_{2\text{-leg}}$, which corresponds with other studies that showed that the age-related rate of decline in $VO_2\text{Peak}_{2\text{-leg}}$ was similar in endurance runners and non-athletes ^[42, 44], even though the absolute decline is faster in athletes ^[16]. This suggests that there is an inherent ageing process that cannot be delayed.

As a consequence of the similar rates of decline in anaerobic and aerobic power in both power athletes and endurance runners, and men and women, the aerobic:anaerobic power ratio remained constant with ageing and higher in endurance than power athletes. This corresponds with the similar relative age-related decrements in running speed records of endurance and power master athletes ^[17]. This consistent pattern of ageing appears to apply to the performance in many other athletic disciplines, including swimmers ^[45]. The age-related decrement is not limited to aerobic and anaerobic power, but also applies to the maximal rate of fat oxidation. While older untrained adults have lower rates of fatty acid oxidation than younger adults ^[37], the ratio of workload at maximal rate of fatty acid oxidation to workload at $VO_2\text{Peak}_{2\text{-leg}}$ did not show an age-related decline in either discipline or sex in our study. These proportional declines in work at maximal fatty acid oxidation, and maximal aerobic and anaerobic power suggest that physiological systems determining these parameters age proportionally, irrespective of athletic discipline, or even being an athlete at all.

Such a proportional age-related decline in physiological systems is also reflected by the stable ratio of one-leg to two-leg performance across the ages, irrespective of discipline. This indicates that in both endurance and power athletes the cardiovascular system remains the main limitation of whole body $VO_2\text{Peak}_{2\text{-leg}}$ during ageing and that the systems involved in oxygen utilisation age proportionally ^[16]. Thus in older endurance and power athletes, the oxygen delivering and consuming systems do not violate the principle of symmorphosis that assumes that structures are matched to functional demands ^[46].

324 *Study limitations*

325 In measurement of $\text{VO}_{2\text{peak}2\text{-leg}}$, athletes were stopped when they exceeded by more than
 326 10bpm the age-predicted maximal heart rate. **It is possible** that athletes did not achieve true
 327 **maximal oxygen uptake** in some cases even if their true maximal heart rate was greater than
 328 the methodological constraint that we applied for **study governance**. However, this bias
 329 applied to both sexes and to both power and endurance athletes equally. The present study
 330 was a cross-sectional design **and recruitment targeted very high performing athletes, which**
 331 **constrained recruitment to relatively low overall sample sizes, although this is commonplace**
 332 **for studies of high performing athletes and the results provide new insights into a model of**
 333 **ageing which is at the peak of physiological performance** [7]. While it is possible that the
 334 physiological profiles of the athletes are the product of heritable pre-disposition, the intensive
 335 exercise training programmes undoubtedly contributed to their outstanding physical
 336 capabilities. Furthermore, it is not possible to determine whether the divergent profiles of
 337 endurance and power athletes are due to their specific training programmes and/or to
 338 heritable factors.

339 *Perspective*

340 Master power athletes appear to exhibit a higher relative anaerobic power and lower relative
 341 aerobic power than master endurance athletes. However, the relative (%) annual decline in
 342 anaerobic power and aerobic power is similar in both athletic groups. The present data also
 343 suggests that during ageing there is a proportional decline in the power at the maximal rate
 344 of fat oxidation, irrespective of discipline and sex. It thus appears that there is an inherent,
 345 unavoidable (at least by exercise) ageing process that affects cardiopulmonary and
 346 neuromuscular systems important for exercise performance. **Despite** aerobic and anaerobic
 347 power declines with **advancing age in masters athletes**, the benefits of exercise during aging
 348 are evident as higher physical function than in age-matched non-athletes [30].

350 **References**

- 351 1. Degens, H., *The origin of senescence and death; an evolutionary enigma*. Creation
 352 Research Society Quarterly, 2008. **44**: p. 280-286.
- 353 2. Runge, M., Rittweger, J., Russo, C.R., Schiessl, H., Felsenberg, D., *Is muscle power*
 354 *output a key factor in the age-related decline in physical performance? A comparison*

- of muscle cross section, chair-rising test and jumping power. *Clin Physiol Funct Imaging*, 2004. **24**(6): p. 335-40.
3. Fleg, J.L., Lakatta, E.G., *Role of muscle loss in the age-associated reduction in VO₂ max.* *J Appl Physiol* (1985), 1988. **65**(3): p. 1147-51.
 4. Degens, H., Alway, S.E., *Control of muscle size during disuse, disease, and aging.* *Int J Sports Med*, 2006. **27**(2): p. 94-9.
 5. Ingram, D.K., *Age-related decline in physical activity: generalization to nonhumans.* *Med Sci Sports Exerc*, 2000. **32**(9): p. 1623-9.
 6. Hannam, K., Deere, K.C., Hartley, A., Clark, E.M., Coulson, J., Ireland, A., Moss, C., Edwards, M.H., Dennison, E., Gaysin, T., Cooper, R., Wong, A., McPhee, J.S., Cooper, C., Kuh, D., Tobias, J.H., *A novel accelerometer-based method to describe day-to-day exposure to potentially osteogenic vertical impacts in older adults: findings from a multi-cohort study.* *Osteoporos Int*, 2017. **28**(3): p. 1001-1011.
 7. Hawkins, S.A., Wiswell, R.A., Marcell, T.J., *Exercise and the master athlete - A model of successful aging?* *Journals of Gerontology Series a-Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences*, 2003. **58**(11): p. 1009-1011.
 8. Cheng, S., Degens, H., Evans, M., Cheng, S.M., Selanne, H., Rittweger, J., Heinonen, A., Suominen, H., Strandberg, T., Alen, M., Korhonen, M.T., *What Makes a 97-Year-Old Man Cycle 5,000 km a Year?* *Gerontology*, 2016. **62**(5): p. 508-12.
 9. Faulkner, J.A., Davis, C.S., Mendias, C.L., Brooks, S.V., *The aging of elite male athletes: age-related changes in performance and skeletal muscle structure and function.* *Clin J Sport Med*, 2008. **18**(6): p. 501-7.
 10. Kettunen, J.A., Kujala, U.M., Kaprio, J., Sarna, S., *Health of master track and field athletes: a 16-year follow-up study.* *Clin J Sport Med*, 2006. **16**(2): p. 142-8.
 11. Pollock, R.D., Carter, S., Velloso, C.P., Duggal, N.A., Lord, J.M., Lazarus, N.R., Harridge, S.D., *An investigation into the relationship between age and physiological function in highly active older adults.* *J Physiol*, 2015. **593**(3): p. 657-80.
 12. McPhee, J.S., French, D.P., Jackson, D., Nazroo, J., Pendleton, N., Degens, H., *Physical activity in older age: perspectives for healthy ageing and frailty.* *Biogerontology*, 2016. **17**(3): p. 567-80.
 13. Blair, S.N., Kohl, H.W., Paffenbarger, R.S., Clark, D.G., Cooper, K.H., Gibbons, L.W., *Physical fitness and all-cause mortality. A prospective study of healthy men and women.* *JAMA*, 1989. **262**(17): p. 2395-401.
 14. Evans, W.J., Campbell, W.W., *Sarcopenia and age-related changes in body composition and functional capacity.* *J Nutr*, 1993. **123**(2 Suppl): p. 465-8.
 15. Michaelis, I., Kwiet, A., Gast, U., Boshof, A., Antvorskov, T., Jung, T., Rittweger, J., Felsenberg, D., *Decline of specific peak jumping power with age in master runners.* *J Musculoskelet Neuronal Interact*, 2008. **8**(1): p. 64-70.
 16. Tanaka, H., Desouza, C.A., Jones, P.P., Stevenson, E.T., Davy, K.P., Seals, D.R., *Greater rate of decline in maximal aerobic capacity with age in physically active vs. sedentary healthy women.* *J Appl Physiol* (1985), 1997. **83**(6): p. 1947-53.
 17. Rittweger, J., di Prampero, P.E., Maffulli, N., Narici, M.V., *Sprint and endurance power and ageing: an analysis of master athletic world records.* *Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences*, 2009. **276**(1657): p. 683-689.
 18. Ganse, B., Ganse, U., Dahl, J., Degens, H., *Linear Decrease in Athletic Performance During the Human Life Span.* *Frontiers in Physiology*, 2018. **9**(1100).

- 401 19. Hamill, J., Bates, B.T., Knutzen, K.M., Sawhill, J.A., *Variations in ground reaction force*
402 *parameters at different running speeds*. Human Movement Science, 1983. **2**(1): p.
403 47-56.
- 404 20. Grassi, B., Cerretelli, P., Narici, M.V., Marconi, C., *Peak anaerobic power in master*
405 *athletes*. Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol, 1991. **62**(6): p. 394-9.
- 406 21. Kyröläinen, H., Komi, P.V., *Neuromuscular performance of lower limbs during*
407 *voluntary and reflex activity in power- and endurance-trained athletes*. European
408 Journal of Applied Physiology and Occupational Physiology, 1994. **69**(3): p. 233-239.
- 409 22. Costill, D.L., Thomason, H., Roberts, E., *Fractional utilization of the aerobic capacity*
410 *during distance running*. Med Sci Sports, 1973. **5**(4): p. 248-52.
- 411 23. Saltin, B., Astrand, P.O., *Maximal oxygen uptake in athletes*. Journal of Applied
412 Physiology, 1967. **23**(3): p. 353-358.
- 413 24. World Medical Association, *World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki:*
414 *ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects*. JAMA, 2013.
415 **310**(20): p. 2191-4.
- 416 25. Cavagna, G.A., *Force platforms as ergometers*. J Appl Physiol, 1975. **39**(1): p. 174-9.
- 417 26. Peronnet, F., Massicotte, D., *Table of nonprotein respiratory quotient: an update*. Can
418 J Sport Sci, 1991. **16**(1): p. 23-9.
- 419 27. Mortensen, S.P., Dawson, E.A., Yoshiga, C.C., Dalsgaard, M.K., Damsgaard, R., Secher,
420 N.H., Gonzalez-Alonso, J., *Limitations to systemic and locomotor limb muscle oxygen*
421 *delivery and uptake during maximal exercise in humans*. J Physiol, 2005. **566**(Pt 1): p.
422 273-85.
- 423 28. Richardson, R.S., Grassi, B., Gavin, T.P., Haseler, L.J., Tagore, K., Roca, J., Wagner, P.D.,
424 *Evidence of O₂ supply-dependent VO₂ max in the exercise-trained human quadriceps*.
425 J Appl Physiol (1985), 1999. **86**(3): p. 1048-53.
- 426 29. McPhee, J.S., Williams, A.G., Stewart, C., Baar, K., Schindler, J.P., Aldred, S., Maffulli,
427 N., Sargeant, A.J., Jones, D.A., *The training stimulus experienced by the leg muscles*
428 *during cycling in humans*. Experimental physiology, 2009. **94**(6): p. 684-94.
- 429 30. Korhonen, M.T., Cristea, A., Alén, M., Häkkinen, K., Sipilä, S., Mero, A., Viitasalo, J.T.,
430 Larsson, L., Suominen, H., *Aging, muscle fiber type, and contractile function in sprint-*
431 *trained athletes*. J Appl Physiol (1985), 2006. **101**(3): p. 906-17.
- 432 31. Nummela, A., Alberts, M., Rijntjes, R.P., Luhtanen, P., Rusko, H., *Reliability and*
433 *validity of the maximal anaerobic running test*. Int J Sports Med, 1996. **17** Suppl 2: p.
434 S97-102.
- 435 32. Saltin, B., Calbet, J.A., *Point: in health and in a normoxic environment, VO₂ max is*
436 *limited primarily by cardiac output and locomotor muscle blood flow*. J Appl Physiol
437 (1985), 2006. **100**(2): p. 744-5.
- 438 33. Piasecki, J., McPhee, J.S., Hannam, K., Deere, K.C., Elhakeem, A., Piasecki, M.,
439 Degens, H., Tobias, J.H., Ireland, A., *Hip and spine bone mineral density are greater in*
440 *master sprinters, but not endurance runners compared with non-athletic controls*.
441 Arch Osteoporos, 2018. **13**(1): p. 72.
- 442 34. Dubouchaud, H., Butterfield, G.E., Wolfel, E.E., Bergman, B.C., Brooks, G.A.,
443 *Endurance training, expression, and physiology of LDH, MCT1, and MCT4 in human*
444 *skeletal muscle*. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab, 2000. **278**(4): p. E571-9.
- 445 35. Coggan, A.R., Spina, R.J., Rogers, M.A., King, D.S., Brown, M., Nemeth, P.M., Holloszy,
446 J.O., *Histochemical and Enzymatic Characteristics of Skeletal-Muscle in Master*
447 *Athletes*. Journal of applied physiology, 1990. **68**(5): p. 1896-1901.

1
2
3 448 36. Van Der Vusse, G.J.,Reneman, R.S., *Lipid Metabolism in Muscle*, in *Comprehensive*
4 449 *Physiology*. 2010, John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
5 450 37. Sial, S., Coggan, A.R., Carroll, R., Goodwin, J.,Klein, S., *Fat and carbohydrate*
6 451 *metabolism during exercise in elderly and young subjects*. Am J Physiol, 1996. **271**(6
7 452 Pt 1): p. E983-9.
8 453 38. Levadoux, E., Morio, B., Montaurier, C., Puissant, V., Boirie, Y., Fellmann, N., Picard,
9 454 B., Rousset, P., Beaufriere, B.,Ritz, P., *Reduced whole-body fat oxidation in women*
10 455 *and in the elderly*. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord, 2001. **25**(1): p. 39-44.
11 456 39. Abildgaard, J., Pedersen, A.T., Green, C.J., Harder-Lauridsen, N.M., Solomon, T.P.,
12 457 Thomsen, C., Juul, A., Pedersen, M., Pedersen, J.T., Mortensen, O.H., Pilegaard, H.,
13 458 Pedersen, B.K.,Lindegaard, B., *Menopause is associated with decreased whole body*
14 459 *fat oxidation during exercise*. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab, 2013. **304**(11): p.
15 460 E1227-36.
16 461 40. Oliveira, P.J., Carvalho, R.A., Portincasa, P., Bonfrate, L.,Sardao, V.A., *Fatty Acid*
17 462 *Oxidation and Cardiovascular Risk during Menopause: A Mitochondrial Connection?* J
18 463 *Lipids*, 2012. **2012**: p. 365798.
19 464 41. Calles-Escandón, J., Arciero, P.J., Gardner, A.W., Bauman, C.,Poehlman, E.T., *Basal fat*
20 465 *oxidation decreases with aging in women*. J Appl Physiol (1985), 1995. **78**(1): p. 266-
21 466 71.
22 467 42. Wiswell, R.A., Hawkins, S.A., Jaque, S.V., Hyslop, D., Constantino, N., Tarpenning, K.,
23 468 Marcell, T.,Schroeder, E.T., *Relationship between physiological loss, performance*
24 469 *decrement, and age in master athletes*. Journals of Gerontology Series a-Biological
25 470 *Sciences and Medical Sciences*, 2001. **56**(10): p. M618-M626.
26 471 43. Luhtanen, P.,Komi, P.V., *Force-, power-, and elasticity-velocity relationships in*
27 472 *walking, running, and jumping*. Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol, 1980. **44**(3): p. 279-
28 473 89.
29 474 44. Pimentel, A.E., Gentile, C.L., Tanaka, H., Seals, D.R.,Gates, P.E., *Greater rate of*
30 475 *decline in maximal aerobic capacity with age in endurance-trained than in sedentary*
31 476 *men*. J Appl Physiol (1985), 2003. **94**(6): p. 2406-13.
32 477 45. Berthelot, G., Len, S., Hellard, P., Tafflet, M., Guillaume, M., Vollmer, J.C., Gager, B.,
33 478 Quinquis, L., Marc, A.,Toussaint, J.F., *Exponential growth combined with exponential*
34 479 *decline explains lifetime performance evolution in individual and human species*. Age
35 480 (Dordr), 2012. **34**(4): p. 1001-9.
36 481 46. Hoppeler, H.,Weibel, E.R., *Structural and functional limits for oxygen supply to*
37 482 *muscle*. Acta Physiol Scand, 2000. **168**(4): p. 445-56.
38
39 483
40 484
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Rates of fatty acid oxidation. Measured during submaximal two-legged cycling and expressed as a function of the %VO₂Peak. Male power athletes (open circles) and endurance runners (closed circles), and female power athletes (open squares) and endurance runners (closed squares) and female. Sex*Discipline interaction ($p=0.019$), reflected by a higher FATmax in male ($p<0.001$), but not female ($p=0.529$), endurance than power athletes.

Figure 2. Aerobic and anaerobic potential of masters athletes. **A)** Absolute peak anaerobic power ($\text{W}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$) decline from the age of 35 years, ($r= -0.713$, $p<0.001$). **B)** VO₂Peak ($\text{mL}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$) decline from the age of 35 years, ($r= -0.546$, $p<0.001$). **C)** Power output at peak oxygen uptake expressed as a percentage of the peak jump power (W) ($r= 0.103$, $p=0.603$). **D)** Power (W) at FATmax as a percentage of the peak jump power (W) ($r= -0.136$, $p=0.490$). Male endurance runners (closed circles), male power athletes (open circles), female endurance runners (closed squares) and female power athletes (open squares).

Table 1: Characteristics of participants separated by discipline and sex.

Running Discipline	N	Age (years)	Height (m)	BM (kg)	BMI (kg·m ⁻²)	AGP (%)
Endurance	8 ♂	62±5	1.74±0.04	66.1±3.6	21.8±1.1	86.3.0±5.5
	11 ♀	58±3	1.63±0.02*	54.9±1.4*	20.7±0.5	79.6±3.8
Power	11 ♂	58±5	1.79±0.03	78.6±2.9†	24.4±0.5†	78.1±4.9
	9 ♀	63±6	1.63±0.02*	61.4±2.7*,†	23.0±0.6†	88.1±3.7

BM: body mass; BMI: body mass index; AGP: Age-graded performance. Data are shown as mean±SEM. *indicates significant sex difference, †indicates significant difference between disciplines.

Table 2: Muscle aerobic and anaerobic power of participants separated by discipline and sex

Running Discipline	Sex	JP (W)	JP/BM (W·Kg ⁻¹)	Velocity take-off (m·s ⁻¹)	VO ₂ Peak _{2-leg} (L·min ⁻¹)	VO ₂ Peak _{2-leg} /BM (mL·kg ⁻¹ ·min ⁻¹)	Power VO ₂ Peak _{2-leg} (W)	HR VO ₂ Peak _{2-leg} (bpm)	FATmax (g·min ⁻¹)	FATmax/BM (mg·kg ⁻¹ ·min ⁻¹)	Power FATmax (W)	Aer:Anaer Power (%)	VO ₂ Peak _{1-leg} (L·min ⁻¹)	Power VO ₂ Peak _{1-leg} (W)	VO ₂ Peak _{1-leg} : VO ₂ Peak _{2-leg}
Endurance	♂	3081±453 (n=6)	45.3±4.7	2.33±0.12	3.62±0.38	54.2±2.9	259±43	152±8	0.61±0.09	9.12±0.96	149±30	8.94±0.47	2.83±0.62 (n=4)	173±50	0.78±0.04
	♀	1985±179* (n=8)	35.5±2.5	2.10±0.11*	2.35±0.18*	42.9±3.4	188±16*	152±5	0.39±0.04	7.07±0.81	84±12*	9.34±0.92	1.95±0.27 (n=5)	108±12	0.84±0.04
Sprint	♂	4696±432 [†] (n=8)	56.9±3.8 [†]	2.75±0.11 [†]	3.17±0.26	40.0±2.7 [†]	258±28	157±5	0.38±0.03 [†]	4.75±0.30 [†]	126±14	5.98±0.42 [†]	2.25±0.26 (n=5)	123±30	0.79±0.04
	♀	2963±465* [†] (n=7)	47.9±7.7 [†]	2.23±0.12* [†]	2.54±0.16*	41.7±3.1 [†]	190±12*	164±5	0.38±0.04 [▲]	6.32±0.82 [▲]	81±13*	7.24±0.69 [†]	1.83±0.44 (n=4)	93±21	0.73±0.10

JP: Jumping power; JP/BM: Jumping power per body mass; VO₂Peak_{2-leg}/BM: two-leg VO₂Peak per body mass; FATmax: maximal rate of fat oxidation; Aer:Anaer:


Aerobic:Anaerobic Power (%); VO₂Peak_{1-leg}: VO₂Peak_{2-leg}: VO₂Peak of one- vs VO₂Peak of two-leg cycling. Data are shown as mean±SEM. *indicates significant sex difference,

[†]indicates significant difference between disciplines, [▲]indicates interaction between sex and discipline.

Table 3: Stepwise linear regression between jumping power, aerobic capacity and rates of fatty acid oxidation with age, sex and discipline.

Jump Power (W)	Jump power per body mass (W·kg ⁻¹)	VO ₂ Peak (L·min ⁻¹)	VO ₂ Peak per body mass (mL·kg ⁻¹ ·min ⁻¹)	FATmax (g·min ⁻¹)	FATmax per body mass (mg·kg ⁻¹ ·min ⁻¹)
A: 0.391***	A: 0.490***	A: 0.374***	A: 0.279***	A: 0.132*	D: 0.197**
S: 0.652***	D: 0.600***	S: 0.637***	D: 0.364***		
D: 0.789***	S: 0.680***				

The R-values increase from top to bottom, representing the increased R when an additional factor is included; A: age; S: sex; D: Discipline; FATmax: maximal rate of fat oxidation; *: P < 0.05. **: P < 0.01; ***: P<0.001. Adjusted R-values are presented.



98x76mm (600 x 600 DPI)




Figure 2

338x190mm (96 x 96 DPI)

SIMILAR RELATIVE DECLINE IN AEROBIC AND ANAEROBIC POWER WITH AGE IN ENDURANCE AND SPRINT MASTER ATHLETES OF BOTH SEXES

Reviewer(s)' Comments to Author:

Reviewer: 1

Comments to the Author

The authors have done very good work. The data is valuable because it is very difficult to have such master athletes as subjects. The results are clear and important for practice. All parts of the manuscript are of high scientific work.

The authors wish to thank the reviewer for their kind appraisal of the quality of our work and its place in the field of literature.

Reviewer: 2

Comments to the Author

This is an interesting manuscript which has studied the effects of age relate declines in function in a cross-over - type design. It has done this by comparing explosive muscle function and aerobic capability in both sprint and endurance athletes. The paper provides some novel information about ageing that should be published.

The authors wish to thank the reviewer for their kind appraisal on the novelty of the work presented in our manuscript and their recommendation to publish. Thank you also for the suggestions listed below to improve the quality of the manuscript. All amendments made to the manuscript are highlighted in yellow and a line number given below.

Weakness / Suggestions for improvement

The number of subjects / master athletes is quite low.

The number of participants is lower than we would normally aim for when studying human physiology and ageing. This may limit the interpretation of the data. However, the participant group is highly specialised and belongs to the top performers of their age. This selection makes recruitment challenging indeed but at the same time we believe that this selection ensured a dataset that offers novel insights into the maximal achievable performance at a given age. Nevertheless, we have given the low number as a limitation in the study limitations section (lines 330-333).

The classification of 800m as a sprint even is dubious. What would happen if these were moved into the endurance category? Or a third category of middle distance athletes created?

If we created categories for power, middle and long distances, the group sizes would become 22, 9 and 8 respectively, and we believe these groups' sizes are too small. However, we do agree with the reviewer that 800 m is not classically defined as a power event and for this reason; we have re-classified ≥ 800 m as endurance and ≤ 400 m as power (Lines 89-91). This has made very little difference to the overall results (with the exception of a newfound sex * discipline interaction for FATmax measures; detail added to result section lines 184-188 and the discussion lines 269-274).

Further to this, we have also re-classified the athletes as "power" and "endurance". The reason for this is that athletes in our cohort competed over multiple events (heptathlon, pentathlon, throwing etc). The AGP presented is for the athletes "best performance" (ie, the highest AGP from all of the performances at this competition).

The rationale for the 1 legged protocol needs to be made much clearer earlier in the paper.

The rationale for this protocol was detailed in the discussion. However, we have added further detail in the methods section (lines 130-135) as suggested.

It would be helpful if the details of each of the athletes (ages, events, physical characteristics etc) in a table (possibly as supplementary material?). This would be of utility to the reader.

We have discussed this amongst authors and decided that we cannot release the individual data as recommended. In the manuscript we have named the competition and the year. If we proceed to also release details of the specific event, ages and height etc., then it would theoretically be possible for somebody to look on the freely-available competitor listings and identify our study participants. This could be classed as a serious breach of participant confidentiality.

Measured maximum / peak heart rates should be included along with the caveat that there were imposed restrictions. How many reached VO₂ max without reaching the cut off for max HR?

We agree with this comment. The methodology has been fully described so that readers are aware of the methodological constraints affecting the data. 92% of athletes tested reached (or mostly greatly exceeded) predicted VO₂max as determined in Jones *et al.*, *Normal standards for an incremental progressive cycle ergometer test*, 1985.

Further to this, one participant has been excluded from analysis (male, power athlete) due to premature termination of the 2-leg VO₂peak test (49% Predicted VO₂peak/65% max HR).

The Results section starting at line 24 does not seem to describe cover the main findings of Figure 1 in regard fat oxidation differences between two groups?

An additional line has been added to better explain the findings presented in figure 1. This section now describes that finding that FATmax occurs at a similar %VO₂peak

between endurance and power athletes, however over the spectrum of exercise intensities, endurance athletes utilise significantly more fatty acid at given exercise intensities from 30-70% VO₂peak. Lines 184-188

PROOF