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Using hyperpolarised NMR and DFT to rationalise the unexpected hydrogenation of quinazoline to 3,4-dihydroquinazoline†‡


PHIP and SABRE hyperpolarized NMR methods are used to follow the unexpected metal-catalysed hydrogenation of quinazoline (Qu) to 3,4-dihydroquinazoline as the sole product. A solution of \[\text{[IrCl(Imes)(COD)]}\] in dichloromethane reacts with \(\text{H}_2\) and Qu to form \[\text{[IrCl(H)(Imes)(Qu)]_2}\] (2). The addition of methanol then results in its conversion to \[\text{[Ir(H)(Imes)(Qu)]_2Cl}\] (3) which catalyses the hydrogenation reaction. Density functional theory calculations are used to rationalise a proposed outer sphere mechanism in which (3) converts to \[\text{[IrCl(H)(H)(Imes)(Qu)]_2Cl}\] (4) and neutral \[\text{[Ir(H)(Imes)(Qu)]_2}\] (6), both of which are involved in the formation of 3,4-dihydroquinazoline via the stepwise transfer of \(\text{H}^+\) and \(\text{H}^-\), with \(\text{H}_2\) identified as the reductant. Successive ligand exchange in 3 results in the production of thermodynamically stable \[\text{[Ir(H)(Imes)(3,4-dihydroquinazoline)]_2Cl}\] (5).

The development and refinement of hyperpolarization methods over the past 20 years has enhanced the power of nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) as a spectroscopic tool while leading to clinical magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) applications.1–4 The most well-established of these techniques, dynamic nuclear polarization (DNP), has been used in areas as diverse as materials characterisation,5,6 mechanistic and kinetic studies of chemical and enzymatic transformations by stopped flow DNP-NMR,7–9 and in vivo \(^1\text{H},^{13}\text{C}\) and \(^{15}\text{N}\) monitoring.10–12 Other approaches have exploited \(\text{p-H}_2\) as an agent to transfer polarization, \(\text{p-H}_2\) Hydrogen Induced Polarization (PHIP)13 was pioneered by Weitkamp,14,15 Bargon16 and Eisenberg,13,17 and enzymatic transformations by stopped flow DNP-NMR,7–9 and in vivo \(^1\text{H},^{13}\text{C}\) and \(^{15}\text{N}\) monitoring.10–12 Other approaches have exploited \(\text{p-H}_2\) as an agent to transfer polarization, \(\text{p-H}_2\) Hydrogen Induced Polarization (PHIP)13 was pioneered by Weitkamp,14,15 Bargon16 and Eisenberg,13,17 and normally requires an unsaturated molecule to act as a hydrogen acceptor. Reaction products formed by integrating protons from the \(\text{p-H}_2\) are created with non-equilibrium nuclear spin distributions and as a result yield greatly enhanced NMR signals.18 This approach has been used widely to investigate the reactivity of metal hydride complexes and probe their role in, for example, metal catalysed hydrogenation19–21 and hydroformylation22–24 reactions. Other applications of PHIP have seen \(\text{p-H}_2\) used to sensitize MRI studies,25–28 to probe heterogeneous reactions29–31 and metabolism32 and more recently to create long-lived magnetic states.33–35

The requirement for chemical modification in PHIP has been addressed through the Signal Amplification By Reversible Exchange (SABRE) hyperpolarization method which, rather than relying on the hydrogenation of an unsaturated substrate, instead uses a metal complex as a chemical intermediary to bring the sample into temporary contact with \(\text{p-H}_2\).36–38 This method has been shown to polarize a wide range of substrates, leading to very large MR signal enhancements39 in the liberated substrate. Theoretical treatments have rationalized this process in terms of the \(J\)-coupling interactions that exist in these labile complexes40,41 whilst subsequent developments have led to the production of hyperpolarised long-lived singlet states using SABRE.42,43

In this paper both PHIP and SABRE are used to follow the unexpected metal-catalysed hydrogenation of quinazoline (Qu) to 3,4-dihydroquinazoline. Density Functional Theory (DFT) is combined with the experimental observations to rationalise a proposed outer sphere mechanism for the reaction. The experimental process starts with the reaction of a dichloromethane-

\(^{d_2}\) solution of \([\text{IrCl(COD)(Imes)}]\), \(\text{Imes} = 1,3\text{-bis(2,4,6-trimethylphenyl)imidazole-2-ylidene}\) with Qu and \(\text{H}_2\). Rather than yielding the Qu analogue of \([\text{Ir(H)(Imes)(Qu)]_2}\)\(\text{Cl}\) which forms from the analogous reaction with quinoline,45 neutral \([\text{IrCl(H)(Imes)(Qu)]_2}\)\(\text{Cl}\) forms according to Scheme 1. The \(^1\text{H}\) NMR spectrum of 2 at 298 K yields two inequivalent hydride ligand signals at \(\delta = 22.84\) (\(\text{H}_a\), linewidth 16.7 Hz) and \(\delta = 23.79\) (\(\text{H}_b\), linewidth 16.3 Hz). When \(\text{p-H}_2\) is employed as the reactant at 253 K these two hydride resonances exhibit weak PHIP enhancement35 which confirms their assignment as a pair of \(\text{cis}\) hydride ligands. In addition, the proton resonances attributable...
to the Qu ligand *trans* to the hydrides as well as those of free Qu show weak SABRE enhancement thus confirming the transient binding of the Qu ligand to the metal centre.

When this process is repeated at 298 K, the two hydride ligands of 2 no longer exhibit PHIP, but all six of the free Qu aromatic proton resonances exhibit SABRE, with the degree of $^1$H signal enhancement ranging from 61-fold for H$_4$ to 108-fold for H$_2$. An average enhancement of 85-fold over all six protons of Qu was achieved for a concentration of 1 of 5 mM with a 17-fold ligand excess (Fig. 1). Full characterisation and details confirming the assignment of 2 to [IrCl(H)$_2$(IMes)(Qu)$_2$] are given in the ESL.$^6$

The fluxional behaviour of 2 in dichloromethane-d$_2$ was then probed by EXSY methods over the temperature range 263 to 299 K. Its inequivalent hydrides, H$_a$ and H$_b$, were found to interchange positions, with H$_2$ elimination and dissociative Qu loss also being detected. The H$_2$ loss pathway shows a [H$_2$] dependence which is consistent with the involvement of the intermediate [IrCl(H)$_2$($^3$H$_2$)(IMes)(Qu)] (4), a product that forms when the ligand-dissociation-product [IrCl(H)$_2$(IMes)(Qu)] is trapped by H$_2$ rather than Qu. This mechanism is consistent with the reported H$_2$ exchange pathway of IrCl(H)$_2($H$_3$)(PPr$_3$)$_2$.47,48 The associated rate data from these studies yielded values for $\Delta\text{H}^{\ddagger}$ and $\Delta\text{S}^{\ddagger}$ of 87.8 ± 1 kJ mol$^{-1}$ and 75 ± 3 J K$^{-1}$ mol$^{-1}$, respectively, for Qu-equatorial loss in accordance with a dissociative first step and a relatively high Ir–N bond energy.46

DFT calculations were performed to corroborate the assignment of 2. With dichloromethane solution included at the PCM continuum level, the calculations showed dispersion-corrected 2 to be 38.5 kJ mol$^{-1}$ more stable than [Ir(H)$_2$(IMes)(Qu)$_3$]Cl (3) at 298 K, consistent with the observation of 2 as the only reaction product in dichloromethane. With methanol solvation however, 2 is predicted to be just 8.8 kJ mol$^{-1}$ more stable than 3 in agreement with the expectation that charge-stabilizing methanol increases the likelihood of formation of 3.

Prompted by this result, a further experiment was conducted in which a dichloromethane-d$_2$ solution of 1 containing a 20-fold excess of Qu and 50 μl of methanol-d$_4$ was placed under $p$-H$_2$. As anticipated, $^1$H NMR signals due to 3 now dominate with the six free Qu resonances showing substantial SABRE signal gains. However, over the course of the next few hours, the SABRE-enhanced NMR spectra showed dramatic changes that signalled the exclusive conversion of Qu into 3,4-dihydroquinazoline,49–51 with no evidence for the formation of the corresponding 1,2-dihydroquinazoline$^5$ isomer (see ESI,† for further details of the characterisation).

When the reaction was repeated in neat methanol-d$_4$ (Fig. 2), the SABRE $^1$H-NMR spectra initially show dominant hyperpolarized signals for Qu but with minor signals due to 3,4-dihydroquinazoline present from the start. This suggests that the conversion of Qu to 3,4-dihydroquinazoline proceeds rapidly under these conditions. While all seven ring protons of 3,4-dihydroquinazoline show SABRE-enhanced NMR spectra, no visible signal enhancement is seen for its exchangeable NH proton. In addition to these changes in the aromatic region, a single hydride signal emerges from a complex array of peaks at $\delta$ ~ 23.6. This signal is associated with the 3,4-dihydroquinazoline analogue of 3, [Ir(H)$_2$(IMes)(3,4-dihydroquinazoline)$_3$]Cl (5), as characterised by NMR and whose relatively greater stability than 3 was validated by DFT (3 kJ mol$^{-1}$). This complex hydride resonance behaviour reflects the formation of metal complex products that feature varying proportions of 3,4-dihydroquinazoline and quinazoline ligands with 5 the most stable of these and

![Fig. 1](https://example.com) Typical $^1$H NMR spectra of the aromatic region of a sample consisting of Qu (0.1 M) and 1 (5 mM) in dichloromethane-d$_2$: (A) magnetisation at thermal equilibrium and (B) hyperpolarised magnetisation created by SABRE at 65 G.

![Fig. 2](https://example.com) Plot of a series of expansions of the aromatic region of five $^1$H NMR spectra that track the conversion of quinazoline into 3,4-dihydroquinazoline in methanol over a 24 h period.
ultimately dominating the spectrum. At the completion of the reaction, 5 delivers hyperpolarised signals for 3,4-dihydroquinazoline at δ 7.1, 6.96, 6.88, 6.68 and 4.56 that exhibit enhancement factors of 96-, 40-, 40-, 35- and 26-fold respectively. Their average enhancements are lower than those of quinazoline which is consistent with the DFT prediction that 5 is more stable than 3.

A series of control reactions were then used to probe this process in more detail. In the first, the original dichloromethane-d2 solution of 2 and Qu was heated under a H2 atmosphere overnight at 323 K in the absence of methanol. No evidence for the hydrogenation of Qu was observed and hence 2 cannot be involved in this process. A direct inner sphere mechanism involving the stepwise insertion of quinazoline into the Ir-H bonds of 3 might be predicted but our DFT calculations suggest that this process has an inhibiting energy barrier of >175 kJ mol⁻¹. A second possibility involving transfer hydrogenation⁵⁻⁵⁸ that works well with related substrates would involve methanol as the proton source.⁵⁹ In order to identify the reductant, we placed a solution of 3 in pure methanol under H2 and observed gradual conversion of quinazoline to 3,4-dihydroquinazoline. Replacing the H2 atmosphere with N2 stopped the hydrogenation completely with no further increases observed in the 3,4-dihydroquinazoline 1H NMR signal over the following 24 h period. Subsequent addition of ammonium formate, a known proton source for transfer hydrogenation, failed to initiate any further hydrogenation, even at 323 K, and so, on this basis, we conclude that the reductant must be H2.

We note that hydrogenation of 2-methylquinoline has been reported to take place via an outer sphere mechanism involving an iridium dihydrogen dihydride complex. Such a mechanism is consistent with our SABRE results and the dispersion-corrected DFT calculations used here to underpin Scheme 2 (see ES†). Thus, we propose a mechanism by which 3 first forms [Ir(H)2(H2)(IMes)(Qu)]Cl (4) (33 kJ mol⁻¹ higher in energy than 3 as determined by the DFT calculations), before conversion to neutral [Ir(H)3(IMes)(Qu)] (6) and protonated quinazoline (64.7 kJ mol⁻¹ higher in energy) via reaction intermediate [Ir(H)2(IMes)(Qu)]···H···H···QuCl. Hydride ligand transfer then follows to form 3,4-dihydroquinazoline (overall reaction exothermic by –33.7 kJ mol⁻¹ at 298 K). As the catalytic cycle continues, successive ligand exchange in 3 results eventually in the thermodynamically stable metal complex product, 5. The importance of the choice of methanol as solvent in realising the hydrogenation reaction lies in its role in stabilising 3 as a product of the reaction of 1 with Qu and H2 rather than acting as a proton source for the transfer hydrogenation step.

In summary, we have shown that 1H NMR spectroscopy combined with SABRE can be used to follow the metal-catalysed hydrogenation of quinazoline exclusively to 3,4-dihydroquinazoline. While [IrCl(H)2(IMes)(Qu)] (2) is unable to catalyse this transformation, the reaction proceeds readily via [IrCl(H)2(IMes)(Qu)]Cl (3) with [Ir(H)2(IMes)(3,4-dihydroquinazoline)]Cl (5) the thermodynamically favoured metal complex product. The reductant in this process is H2, and a solvent-dependent outer-sphere mechanism is proposed which requires the formation of [Ir(H)2(H2)(IMes)(Qu)]Cl (4) and [Ir(H)3(IMes)(Qu)] (6). Both 2 and 3 act as good SABRE catalysts with quinazoline as substrate whilst 5 performs the same function with 3,4-dihydroquinazoline. All three of these complexes, when monitored by NMR spectroscopy, show high levels of hyperpolarisation in the heteroatom containing rings.
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