


**Please cite the Published Version**

Skinner, Heather  (2005) Wish you were here? Some problems associated with integrating marketing communications when promoting place brands. *Place Branding*, 1 (3). pp. 299-315. ISSN 1744-0696

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.pb.5990030>

**Publisher:** Palgrave Macmillan

**Version:** Accepted Version

**Downloaded from:** <https://e-space.mmu.ac.uk/621221/>

**Additional Information:** This article was originally published in *Place Branding*, published by and copyright Palgrave Macmillan. The published article can be found at <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1057/palgrave.pb.5990030>

**Enquiries:**

If you have questions about this document, contact [openresearch@mmu.ac.uk](mailto:openresearch@mmu.ac.uk). Please include the URL of the record in e-space. If you believe that your, or a third party's rights have been compromised through this document please see our Take Down policy (available from <https://www.mmu.ac.uk/library/using-the-library/policies-and-guidelines>)

**Wish you were here?**  
**Some problems associated with integrating marketing communications when  
promoting place brands**

**Heather Skinner**

## **Author Details**

Heather Skinner is Senior Lecturer in Marketing at the University of Glamorgan's Business School. A member of the Academy of Marketing, Heather is a contributor to conferences and academic journals in her main subject area of marketing, and has also authored papers for tourism and hospitality journals.

Her main research interest is the representation of national identity through national brands, and in particular about the way this can lead to economic regeneration. Other areas of interest include the practical application of Neuro-Linguistic Programming techniques to aid personal, professional and corporate development.

## **Contact Details:**

Heather Skinner  
Business School  
University of Glamorgan  
Pontypridd  
South Wales  
UK  
CF37 3DL

Tel: +44 (0)1443 482820

Fax: +44 (0)1443 482380

E-Mail: [hskinner@glam.ac.uk](mailto:hskinner@glam.ac.uk)

**Wish you were here?**  
**Some problems associated with integrating marketing communications when promoting place brands**

**ABSTRACT**

Wales, a relatively small Celtic nation, has been forced to embrace the need to re-brand itself to meet the demands of an increasingly competitive global marketplace. The creation of unique, distinct identities that accurately reflect the contemporary rather than historical place brand is becoming of even more strategic importance to those responsible for destination marketing particularly in a newly enlarged European Union that is still seeking to grow in size even further.

This paper therefore examines some of the ways in which Wales is represented in order to assess the extent to which the wide range of the brand's marketing communications are in synergy, and to identify some of the problems associated with achieving such a consistent place image through marketing communications. A content analysis was undertaken in addition to semi-structured depth interviews with members of a range of public bodies charged with promoting Wales externally. Analysis of the data immediately indicated inconsistencies within the marketing messages, thereby appearing to violate the "one-voice" or synergy principle underlying the theories of integrated marketing communications. Following a discussion that highlights some of the problems associated with integrating marketing communications when promoting place brands, the paper concludes with the proposition that true integration of a place brand's marketing communications may be impossible to achieve.

**Key words:** place branding; integrated marketing communications; Wales

## **INTRODUCTION**

The argument put forward in this paper rests upon two assumptions. The first is that a place can be marketed. This assumption will be supported by the literature on the marketing of places in general, and in particular the literature on destination branding. Following this assumption it would therefore appear logical to assume that the communication of the destination brand, as with any other product or service brand, can and should be subject to a strategic approach to the marketing communications about that brand. The current dominant theoretical underpinning of strategic marketing communications is a desire for marketers to achieve Integrated Marketing Communications (IMC). This paper not only examines some of the problems associated with implementing an integrated communications strategy when promoting place brands but also questions whether a totally integrated strategy is something to which place marketers should aspire.

### **Destinations as brands**

Hankinson (2004) notes “the branding of places is now widespread”. Although Morgan, Pritchard and Piggott (2003) state “it is well established that a destination is not a product”, Morgan, Pritchard and Pride (2002) have found that amongst those responsible for marketing places, “destination branding is one of today’s ‘hottest’ topics” with Papadopoulos (2002) finding more than 750 major publications in the last 40 years or so on the subject in its broadest sense. Despite this Morgan, Pritchard and Pride (2002) claim that many academics have “shied away” from entering the debate, “arguing that places are too complex to include in branding discussions since they have too many stakeholders and too little management control, have underdeveloped identities and are not perceived as brands by the general public”.

Indeed Brown (1998) cites an earlier (Brown 1995) critical essay on Kotler, Haider, and Rein's (1993) text *Marketing Places*, that questions not "whether the marketing concept... *can* be applied" to what Brown refers to as such "divergent domains", as place marketing, but questions "whether it *should* be applied" at all.

Hankinson (2004) has identified that "it is probably in the area of tourism marketing that place branding is most developed", but there tends to be a lack of such a strategic focus in this literature as "marketing's contribution to travel and tourism has been undervalued or misrepresented, and misused" (Riege, 2000). Where marketing literature does apply in a strategic context it tends to focus on tourism planning and management, and "the marketing of tourism ... becomes an application of the marketing process to specific characteristics of the tourism industry" (Wheeler, 1995). In order to take a strategic approach, issues such as target market segmentation should be attended to, and not just as "a means of promoting a tourist zone to attract more people, regardless of the quality of experience that the tourists then receive" but also as "the means whereby messages may be sent to people, indicating that perhaps the resort may not be for them" (Ryan, 1991, cited in Wheeler, 1995). This argument would therefore suggest that a strategic approach to segmentation issues in the marketing of tourism should be coupled with a strategic approach to marketing communications that are targeted at these segments. In order to attract the right segment(s) marketers attempt to tailor the most favourable message that will be best received by the potential customers in that segment. This view is shared by Leisen (2001), who argues that "the traveler's choice of a given vacation destination depends largely on the favorableness of his or her image of that destination". However, this approach to tourism marketing is not without its challenges. By carefully segmenting

the market into homogenous groups or segments of potential consumers with similar wants, needs, behaviours etc. tourism marketers from a range of public, private and non-profit organisations invariably find they are attempting to attract many diverse segments to the region, and it is likely that these segments may each have not just different, but also conflicting needs, wants and behaviours (Hannagan, 1992). The messages designed to attract each different segment may also have to be vastly different in order to ensure the place is perceived as favourable by each different segment. Moreover, in order to create a favourable image, there is potential for a discrepancy between the actual place, ‘warts and all’, and its promoted image.

“Brochure locations are always happy, sunny, clean, non-crowded and populated by beautiful people and happy natives. Dilley (1986) has analysed the imagery portrayed in tourist brochures and demonstrated that the content is mostly scenery, hotels, beaches and swimming areas and to a lesser degree people, locals only posing as happy, welcoming, clean and non-threatening, never hungry, homeless or resentful.” (Wheeler, 1995).

### **Promoting a place’s heritage - fact or fiction?**

Although there are potential problems trying to ensure truthful representations in a place’s marketing communications it is the very nature of *re-presenting* a culture that is at the heart of much heritage tourism. Atkinson Wells (1994) notes “the marketing of tradition comprises such phenomena as ‘the transformation of tradition ... cultural appropriation ... ‘fakelore’ ... and cultural or heritage tourism”. It is this concept of ‘fakelore’ or manufactured cultural heritage that is central to the following debate.

Anholt (2002) notes there is an under-researched relationship between national brand and national culture. He also recognises that a “primary means of communicating culture” is a nation’s language, and that culture, cultural communications, and perceptions of that culture and its communications can change over time, albeit

slowly according to Bechhofer et. al. (1999). Yet it has also been noted that “the soul of a nation can, at one and the same time, hold onto and respect its past heritage while still looking and moving to the future. According to Renan (1996), this would not appear to be unusual.” (Skinner and Croft, 2001).

However slowly a nation’s culture is changing, with the stereotypical perception of that nation often lagging behind reality (Gilmore, 2002), when marketing heritage tourism there is a concern that what is being promoted is ‘fakelore’ (Atkinson Wells, 1994), and not a true representation of the past, and yet promoting the past, at least in terms of heritage tourism, is the big business of the present (Brown, 2001). Proctor and Kitchen (2002) note that the postmodern condition of hyperreality “is typified by the virtual worlds of cyberspace and in the pseudo worlds of theme parks, hotels and heritage centres” as “the postmodern consumer wants to experience the diversity of many themes, past and future, and not to become fixed in any single one”. Commenting on the recent “reversion to retro” phenomenon, Brown (2001) and noting this yearning for times past, Brown discusses the plethora of “refurbished Victorian pubs... pseudo thirties tea shoppes...fake fifties diners....seen-one-seen-‘em-all heritage centres (working smithy, weaving demonstrations, costumed attendants et al.) and Walt-Disney-ate-my-hamburger theme parks”. Contemptuous of many heritage parks, Brown refers to them as “the archetypal retroscape – consumer society stencilled in phoney stone – where sanitized past meets the supercilious present”, he comments on the way in which these centres focus their attention on the stereotypical heritage of countries by concentrating on a time “that is rarely, if ever, the present....after all, if the ‘English’ restaurant....were truly contemporary, the menu would have to include hamburgers, samosas, pizzas and Marks and Spencer’s



boeuf stroganoff'. Brown also has much to say on the commercially and politically motivated growth of the "Celtic Revival of the late nineteenth century" (a view echoed by Aherne, 2000) that he believes had led to the growing phenomena of 'Irish' pubs, which "can hardly be considered representative of today's Ireland, yesterday's Ireland, or any other Ireland this side of *The Quiet Man*" (Brown, 2001).

In an earlier work, Brown (1998) examined the way in which a romanticised view of Ireland and Irish culture was presented through the *Riverdance* phenomenon, but "the Ireland it articulates is the Ireland of myth, of legend, of half-remembered historical facts" implying that factual representations of Ireland have "been silenced, swallowed and scrubbed clean by the melodious, mellifluous, magical waters of *Riverdance*." Goulding (2000), also researching from the postmodern perspective, believes that any commercially driven interpretation of history tends to become sanitised, entertaining, and "inauthentic, in order to appeal to popular tastes". Aherne (2000) believes that "while Celtic culture never actually existed as such, its representations are still alive and well, especially in highly commercialised, money-spinning forms such as *Riverdance*, *Braveheart*, Van Morrison, The Corrs and New Age spirituality generally (citing McCarthy 1998)".

### **Creating a unique identity**

With recent trends towards globalisation, and developments such as proposed further enlargement of the European Union (EU), proponents of convergence arguments claim that "at its strongest it has been suggested that while societies are steadily moving together that the similarities between cultures will become much greater than their differences" (Pugh and Hickson, 2002). Although this argument may appear to

be timely given the recent EU enlargement in May 2004, it was first proposed by Kerr et. al. in 1960 (Pugh and Hickson, 2002). Authors such as Williams (1999) believe that for small nations such as Wales (within Britain), and Galicia (within Spain), this dilution of distinctive culture and identity has been happening over a much longer period of time. Therefore it may be that the marketing literature on branding, with its focus on unique identification, is most pertinent to destination marketers and those charged with creating, managing and communicating the unique proposition of a destination, or nation brand. However, Morgan, Pritchard and Piggott (2003), proponents of the branding approach, note that “Anholt has argued that a more useful metaphor than ‘country as product brand’ is ‘country as corporate brand’”. Whichever perspective is deemed most appropriate it would appear that the argument that places can indeed be branded has few dissenters, although those that there are tend to generate “violent reactions” and “visceral antagonism” to the whole concept (Olins, 2002).

### **Examining the brand of a small European nation**

Wales, along with other UK and western European regions, has seen a steady decline in traditional employment in manufacturing and heavy industry (Berg, Borg and Meer, 1995; Lennon and Seaton, 1998), combined with an attendant rise in growth and importance of the service sector in general (Grönroos, 2000), and the tourism industry in particular. European cities and regions, many forced to embrace this trend through economic necessity, are often faced with the need to develop new viewpoints, new strategies, and new skills bases (MacLaren, 1996; Welsh European Partnership and National Assembly for Wales, 2004; Skinner, 2002).

The National Assembly for Wales (NAfW) was officially opened on May 26<sup>th</sup> 1999, with the formal transfer of powers from Westminster to the Assembly taking place on July 1<sup>st</sup> that year. In 1999 Ron Davies AM, MP, announced that he wanted “to rebrand Wales and notions of Welshness to reflect the real living modern Wales, to establish the idea of Wales as a vibrant, diverse, tolerant and outward-looking country with an internationalist spirit”. Since that time the country’s current First Secretary of the Welsh Assembly Government, Rhodri Morgan, has called for all members of “Team Wales” to share a “Common Vision” for a “Better Wales” that allows a celebration of national heritage and the Welsh language, while still being perceived as a forward looking international nation. It is in particular the redevelopment of the Cardiff Bay area (formerly Tiger Bay), now home to the NAfW, site of many of the capital city’s tourist attractions, visitor centres, shopping centres, leisure and recreation facilities, and outdoor summer festival activities that has received wide media attention, and has been cited as one of the driving forces behind the rebranding of Wales.

### **Communicating the Brand through Integrated Marketing Communications**

The concept of Integrated Marketing Communication (IMC) was initially promoted by advertising agencies in the early 1980s attempting to enhance co-operation between different agencies dealing with specialised areas of an organisation’s advertising accounts and as an attempt to combat ‘turf-wars’ within and between advertising agencies (Cornelissen and Lock, 2001). Since the early 1990s IMC has become a “central theme in corporate and marketing communications” (Cornelissen and Lock, 2001). IMC is defined by the American Association of Advertising Agencies as “... a concept of marketing communications planning that recognizes the added value of a comprehensive plan that evaluates the strategic roles of a variety of

communications disciplines, e.g. general advertising, direct response, sales promotion and public relations - and combines these disciplines to provide clarity, consistency and maximum communication impact” (cited in Eagle and Kitchen, 2000).

Cornelissen and Lock (2001) identify that the key to integration is therefore “the facilitation of increased forms of interaction between communication disciplines” in order to sustain competitive advantage, and includes “co-operation from communication specialists ... avoidance of duplication of efforts and materials ... accessing gains through combined forms of planning and execution ... as well as by visually consistent and strategically planned communications”. Underlying this increased interaction within IMC is the ‘one-voice’ principle of synergy between all marketing messages and between all media and communications channels used, and its “effective implementation requires reassessment of the coordination and control of marketing communication programmes” (Eagle and Kitchen, 2000).

Pickton and Broderick’s (2000) Wheel of Integrated Marketing Communication has been proposed as “a new concept to represent the marketing communications mix”. This model identifies no less than 21 different promotional activities within the main four elements of the marketing communications mix, and many of these activities overlap (for example direct mail may be perceived as a sales promotion or as advertising; corporate advertising as both public relations and advertising etc). One activity identified in Pickton and Broderick’s (2000) Wheel is that of managing corporate identity - the process of forming an organisation’s identity from its history, beliefs, philosophy, ethical and cultural values, its ownership, its people, the personality of its leaders and its strategies (Ind, 1992). The NAFW has announced its

desire for a “Team Wales” approach that may be indicative of a move towards integration, and an attempt to manage the identity of Wales. In an examination of the way these concepts may apply to Wales in marketing terms, and appearing to concur with Anholt (2002), Skinner, Croft and Allard (2001) note that:

“a nation could be defined as either a brand or corporate identity. However, commercial organisations that fashion new corporate identities may also brand their products and services in order to differentiate these from other offerings, and to communicate positive brand values to consumers. It would seem that here is where the confusion between national branding and corporate identity has begun ... It would therefore seem that the process of refashioning national identities can be best understood in terms of the creation and communication of corporate identity and corporate image, while the outputs of that nation, its products and services, may be perceived in branding terms.”

Regardless of whether the place should be thought of in terms of branding or corporate identity, the practical and managerial implications for IMC when applied to place marketing still remain.

### **Barriers to Implementing IMC**

Eagle and Kitchen (2000) note that that “IMC and integrated corporate communications - still offer many problems and dynamic issues of control and coordination that have yet to be substantively addressed in the literature”. The literature does, however, identify the main barriers to implementing IMC as issues of power; control; lack of corporate direction; lack of skills and resources; fear of change; and lack of flexibility (Cornelissen and Lock, 2001; Eagle and Kitchen, 2000; Pickton and Broderick, 2000). When applied to marketing place brands, Anholt (2004) has also questioned the feasibility of achieving coordinated communications due to the diversity of the stakeholders involved, and the diversity of the interests each group pursues.

## **RESEARCH METHODS**

The literature has identified some of the inherent general problems associated with achieving integrated marketing communications (Cornelissen and Lock 2001; Eagle and Kitchen 2000; Pickton and Broderick 2000), and has started to question the feasibility of achieving such integration for place brands (Anholt, 2004). It has also been suggested that some of these problems may arise due to the varying and often conflicting interests of the key stakeholders responsible for coordinating such an integrated approach (Anholt, 2004). The focus of this paper is, therefore, to more clearly identify some of the specific problems associated with achieving integrated marketing communications for place brands. As Hankinson (2004) identified that place branding is most developed in the area of tourism marketing, a focus will be paid to the ways in which Wales is promoted as a tourism destination.

In order to do this a content analysis was undertaken of various communication messages representing Wales as a brand sent by the nation's key stakeholders. This would appear to be an appropriate methodology to study marketing communications as Kassarjian (1977) found that content analysis studies the message itself, not the intentions of the communicator, or the way in which the intended recipient interprets meaning from it. The analysis was undertaken following Weber's (1990) 8-steps towards "creating & testing a coding system". This was done in order to identify the most frequent themes arising in the marketing communications under investigation (Weber 1990). Krippendorf (1980) also identified frequency of occurrence as a means of overcoming category reliability problems, as frequency can aid in identifying those elements of the message that may measure importance, attention or emphasis. In addition, Krippendorf identified two other indicators that may help overcome

category reliability, the balance between the numbers of favourable or unfavourable attributes directed towards a symbol, and also the value expressions made towards a symbol. Therefore, data were analysed for frequency of occurrence of key words, whether these words were mentioned in a favourable or unfavourable context. In addition, the symbolic elements expressing Wales' cultural values were identified from images appearing within the data.

Data were sourced from the following key documents and websites produced by, in conjunction with, or sponsored through funding by the Welsh Assembly Government: (Due to the specific focus of this research, elements of these documents that related to issues not under investigation, such as health and education, were ignored.)

- *www.betterwales.com: The first strategic plan of the National Assembly for Wales*, (National Assembly for Wales, 2000). This plan outlines the means of achieving the “long-term vision for a better Wales”, and details 27 benchmarks for evaluating successful achievement of the plan by 2010. These benchmarks include an evaluation of the success of Welsh branding, and the successful attraction of tourists to Wales. This document was included for two reasons: Firstly as the Welsh Assembly Government is one of the key stakeholders responsible for coordinating and delivering the messages concerning brand Wales and, secondly, as the Welsh Assembly Government funded the Wales Tourist Board (WTB)'s £22.6m budget for 2002.
- *The NAW “Culture and Recreation” webpage*, included as its strategies should be consistent with those of the WTB.  
(<http://www.wales.gov.uk/subculture/index.htm>).

- *The Single Programming Document for West Wales and the Valleys*, produced by the Welsh European Partnership and the NAFW in order to maximise the opportunities for, and benefit of European Structural Funding allocated to the region. Included as this document outlines the new and updated strategies of relevant public bodies since the commencement of the NAFW, including the WTB (Welsh European Partnership and National Assembly for Wales, 2004).
- *WTB Annual Report* – promoted as the means whereby readers may “study the performance of the WTB and industry”.  
(<http://www.wales-tourist-board.gov.uk>).
- *WTB marketing campaign for 2002 “Wales – The Big Country”* viewed on various WTB websites.  
(<http://www.wales-tourist-board.gov.uk>)  
(<http://www.i.visitwales.com>)  
(<http://www.visitwales.wtb.lon.world.net>)

As this research has been undertaken from a broadly interpretivist perspective that would lend itself towards qualitative methods, further data was gathered from semi-structured depth interviews with members of a range of public bodies charged with promoting Wales externally. Interviews were thematically analysed under the headings arising from the content analysis. This is in keeping with the nature of the primary method of content analysis that is best done by combining quantitative and qualitative approaches which would appear to be “antithetical modes of analysis” (Weber, 1990).



## **FINDINGS**

The content analysis gave rise to a number of frequently occurring themes under which the findings will be presented. Analysis of the data immediately indicated inconsistencies within the marketing messages, thereby appearing to violate the “one-voice” or synergy principle underlying IMC.

### **The Essence of Brand Wales**

Immediate inconsistencies concerning the essence of brand Wales appeared to arise within two of the key documents sampled: *www.betterwales.com: The first strategic plan of the National Assembly for Wales*, and the *Single Programming Document for West Wales and the Valleys*. Table 1 identifies the frequency of occurrence of words associated with the Welsh Assembly Government’s “guiding themes and values” of sustainable development, tackling social disadvantage, and equal of opportunities, and issues surrounding partnership working with members of Team Wales, improvements in the economy through the skills of the nation’s workforce, plans for tourism, the branding of Welsh products and services, and issues of culture and language. Table 2 identifies whether these have been referred to favourably, Table 3 identifies whether these have been referred to unfavourably.

TAKE IN TABLE 1

TAKE IN TABLE 2

TAKE IN TABLE 3

The NafW is attempting to brand Wales as a nation “United, confident and creative; Committed to fostering its unique and diverse identity, and the benefits of

bilingualism, while looking confidently outwards and welcoming new cultural influences; Prosperous, well-educated, skilled, healthy, environmentally and culturally rich” (National Assembly for Wales, 2000). Therefore it is unsurprising that there is a high frequency of favourable associations concerning the way Wales is represented in this document, and a low frequency of unfavourable associations.

Although produced in partnership with the National Assembly for Wales, the Single Programming Document notes that “problems of low earnings and deprivation reflect a level of GDP only 73%” of the European Union average”. In a region where 22% of unemployed people who are claiming benefit have been without a job for over 12 months, “although there is a base of traditional skills, skill levels in the population generally tend to be low and there is underachievement in terms of qualifications... The standard of basic literacy and numeracy post-16 is far too low and Wales lags behind the rest of the UK in terms of the level of qualifications in the workforce” (Welsh European Partnership and National Assembly for Wales, 2004). This document included a higher frequency of unfavourable associations with some of the key attributes relating to brand Wales. As examples, where the strategic plan of the National Assembly for Wales identifies plans for tackling social disadvantage with no unfavourable mentions of its continuing occurrence, the Single Programming Document identifies problems associated with those in the community who are currently disadvantaged 25 times (71% of occurrences); where the strategic plan of the National Assembly for Wales identifies plans for an “improved”, “stronger”, “more diverse”, “new” Welsh economy 59 times, with only 9 mentions of current problems (13% of total occurrences of economic associations), the Single Programming Document identifies problems associated with current economic

“decline” and “inactivity” 54 times (19% of occurrences). Similarly, when discussing the skills of the workforce, the strategic plan of the National Assembly for Wales only mentions skills shortages 4 times (16% of occurrences), yet the Single Programming Document refers to Wales’ low and unskilled workforce 83 times (32% of occurrences). The strategic plan of the National Assembly for Wales mentions no unfavourable associations towards tourism, yet the Single Programming document identifies the decline in Welsh tourism, and the negative environmental impacts of tourism a total of 6 times as opposed to the 77 times it is favourably mentioned.

From one perspective this would appear to be sending out contradictory messages about the very essence of the national brand, from another it could be seen as tailoring a marketing communication to meet the strategic objectives of public bodies when dealing with different segments.

#### *Welsh Language, Cultural Heritage and Diversity*

As a strategic plan, and utilising such tools as SWOT analyses, the Single Programming Document presents the weaknesses of Wales and well as the region’s strengths. The document notes one of the country’s strengths as being “Welsh culture, and particularly the Welsh language, [that] contributes to the distinctiveness of the region”. While presenting the fact from a recent census that only “a half million people in Wales (19% of the total population) speak Welsh”, this strength leads to the identification within the document of an opportunity for key industries “to emphasise the distinctiveness of Wales, and to develop awareness of ‘Welshness’ as marketing tool in certain product areas” (Welsh European Partnership and National Assembly for Wales, 2004). This document goes on to outline a new updated strategy for the

WTB, which includes a sustainable approach to tourism development designed to enhance “Wales’ unique environmental and cultural assets”, noting that “the uniqueness of Wales’ history, language, culture and way of life are distinctive assets essential to sustaining a well balanced tourism industry”. The strategic plan of the National Assembly for Wales is also positive about Wales’ culture: “We are one of the oldest nations in Europe with our own language and a rich and diverse cultural inheritance” (National Assembly for Wales, 2000). The importance of Welsh Language to public policy makers was also evident from analysing data from the NAFW. The NAFW’s website’s index promoted a link to “Culture, Sport and Welsh Language”. This would suggest it would be offering information on each of those three issues. The link was actually to a webpage entitled “Culture and Recreation” that detailed only the NAFW’s Welsh Language policies. A further detailed search of the site was required to access information pertaining to any other cultural or recreation issues.

The Welsh Language would therefore appear to be central to issues of Welsh culture, yet less than 20% of its population speak the language. Wales also has a large multi-cultural community that contributes to the nation’s cultural diversity, yet is all but ignored in its promotional messages. From the semi-structured interviews, one black respondent, responsible for implementing certain government “New Deal” initiatives, noted “at the peak of the Bay’s time, the peak of the Docks, there were more nationalities in that one square mile than any other place on the planet...it’s a big community base, Wales. It’s a melting pot. I’m black and I’m Welsh. I love Wales, I love being Welsh, and I love telling people as well.”

Wales has also suffered from its own historic, stereotypical images such as “coal mines; slate quarries; rugby union; *eisteddfodau*; druids; daffodils; sheep and dragons ... male voice choirs; harps; Tom Jones; Shirley Bassey and a handful of dodgy rock ‘n’ rollers” (Owens, 2000). A journalist was interviewed for this study who noted:

“Being Welsh, and Welshness, is seen much more differently now. I think it almost seems trendy. It’s new. The explosion of everything Welsh has just been amazing through the last few years.... it’s almost like a new acceptance of things Welsh. And also with Devolution we’re seen as positive, you know, a new positive nation, we’ve got our own identity, we’re helping one another and it’s just a great image for the rest of Britain to see.”

The NafW strategic plan does note that it is “the people from Wales who have made a major contribution in all walks of life in the UK and internationally ... We have musicians with world-wide reputations in everything from opera to rock. As a nation of only 3 million people, we are more than pulling our weight.” Yet there were no specific images or references to Wales’ reputation as “land of song” on any of the WTB’s webpages sampled for this research, although these pages did give links to “Cultural Wales”.

### *Mountains and Lakes*

The strategic plan of the National Assembly for Wales (2000) notes that “our landscape is a major asset, making Wales not only a first class place to visit but also an exceptional location for business”. Tourism information on the NafW website also tends to concentrate on the region’s “breathtaking scenery”. This is reflected in most of the WTB’s promotional messages. The WTB’s “Wales - Big Country” campaign states its aim as to “promote a new and challenging identity for Wales”, yet the 9 flashing images on the “video wall” of one of the WTB’s websites

([www.i.visitwales.com](http://www.i.visitwales.com)) offer further information on the relatively traditional and stereotypical:

- Llandudno Pier – presenting images of a traditional UK seaside resort, deckchairs, and a funfair
- Celtica – with ancient Celtic images
- Coed-y-Brenin – offering panoramic views of mountains, lakes and cycling holidays
- Laugharne Boathouse – photographic images of Dylan Thomas’ writing workshop, and memorial statue
- Dan yr Ogof – caves, dinosaurs and ancient dwellings
- Carmarthenshire – Pony Trekking and scenic sunsets
- Cardigan Go-Karting – action images of Go-Karts
- Hay-on-Wye – bookshops, and images of local scenery (mountains and lakes)
- Caernarfon – the castle, and a picture of a well-known BBC TV presenter

### *Red, White and Green – Here be Dragons*

Unsurprisingly, given the emblem of Wales – the Welsh dragon, and the red, white and green colours of the Welsh flag, these images and colours consistently appeared in the range of promotional material analysed within this study. However, what was not consistent was the manner in which they were portrayed, neither between organisations nor within them.

- The WTB’s logo is of a red dragon between the words “Wales” and its Welsh translation, “Cymru”.
- The WTB’s [www.i.visitwales.com](http://www.i.visitwales.com) website displays this logo against backgrounds of blue, bright green and gold, the “official” WTB website displays the same logo on backgrounds of red, khaki green and gold, with images of mountains, the seaside, castles, activity holidays, and a lone image of Wales’ multi-cultural community.
- The <http://www.wales-tourist-board.gov.uk> website displays the same dragon but encompassed in a green ellipse, with no text in either English or Welsh, against a background of red and green.

The following comment (recorded with permission) was made to a marketing student from a manager within a Welsh public body responsible for promoting the region outside of the tourism industry:

“You’ve got the tourist board going out and promoting Wales as beaches and rolling hills, you’ve got the Assembly, well they’re not really sure what they are doing! They’ve got a *silver* dragon! They actually go out and promote themselves as anything!....everybody’s got these different strap lines and, it’s just confusing! There’s no consistency there...Discussions apparently take place between these bodies [about developing an integration system] but nothing on the scale that should be undertaken”.

## **DISCUSSION**

At the outset it was noted that the argument within this paper rests on two assumptions. The first was that a place could indeed be marketed. This assumption was supported by most authors on the topic who agree that places can be, are being, and should be branded (Kotler, 1993; Leonard, 1997; Gilmore, 2002; Olins, 2002; Morgan, Pritchard and Pride, 2002; Proctor and Kitchen, 2002; Morgan, Pritchard and Piggott, 2003; Hankinson, 2004) with Brown (1998) as a notable dissenter, and other academics having “shied away” from entering the debate (Morgan, Pritchard and Pride, 2002). Those authors who do agree that nations can indeed be branded believe that such branding can bring about competitive advantage (Kotler, 1993; Leonard, 1997; Proctor and Kitchen, 2002). Although there is discussion about whether the application of marketing concepts in practice should be those of branding or corporate identity (Skinner, Croft and Allard, 2001; Anholt, 2002; Morgan, Pritchard and Piggott, 2003) both of these concepts can be considered as suitable for an integrated marketing communication campaign (Pickton and Broderick, 2000). This leads to support of the second assumption, that the marketing communications of a place brand can be integrated.

The problems arise, however, when examining the components of the place, including its heritage. Authors such as Atkinson Wells (1994) are concerned that what is promoted is not a true reflection of the reality of the place, but amounts to the promotion of “fakelore”, a view that is also shared by Aherne (2001), Goulding (2001) and Brown (1998; 2001). Authors writing on the topic of nationalism and identity such as Renan (1996) believe that it is possible for a nation to be both proud of its history and forward-looking at one and the same time. Proctor and Kitchen (2002) note that the postmodern condition of hyperreality affects consumers of places in that they are open to and even demanding of these apparent contradictions, wanting to consume past and present at one and the same time themselves, especially in an era that is experiencing the “reversion to retro” phenomenon, Brown (2001), and a “Celtic Revival” (Aherne, 2000; Brown, 2001).

Wales, a Celtic nation, has been forced to embrace a growing trend in Western Europe towards the development of the service sector, particularly tourism, due to the decline of heavy industry. This has brought about the need to re-brand the place to meet the demands of an increasingly competitive global marketplace. This is becoming of even more strategic importance to those responsible for destination marketing particularly in a newly enlarged European Union that is still seeking to grow in size even further. Proponents of the convergence arguments believe that similarities between nations “will become much greater than their differences” (Pugh and Hickson, 2002), yet the proponents of the argument that destinations can be branded seek to do so by creating a unique identity for the destination brand that will lead to competitive advantage. Supporters of IMC believe that it can be used “to sustain competitive advantage” (Cornelissen and Lock, 2001), and there are many examples of places that have



successfully refashioned their identities to gain and maintain this advantage (Leonard, 1997; Gilmore, 2002; Olins, 2002).

Marketing theorists would propose that this new positioning concept for the re-branded place should be evident through consistency of its integrated marketing communications, yet rather than evidence of a consistent positioning concept being communicated, there would appear to be conflict and incongruence between messages communicated by those that would like to promote a place rich in national heritage and cultural identity and those who would wish that place to be perceived as a forward thinking, outward looking international nation.

Although Wales now has many modern and updated symbolic images upon which to draw, the stereotypical images remain very evident within the region's marketing communications. Despite the attempts of the region's marketers from all sectors, the Wales that is being promoted, similar to the Ireland of *Riverdance*, may well be portraying a romanticised, even manufactured image that bears little relevance to the present day, "that never existed and doesn't exist" (Brown, 1998). Yet the Wales that does exist, and that is embraced as "positive" by its own nationals, does not seem to be promoted outside of the region by those aiming to attract tourism who appear to prefer to rely upon stereotypical, historic 'fakelore'.

Apart from the inherent problems associated with destination branding there are also problems of implementing IMC when promoting place brands. It would appear that the whole concept of IMC was commercially driven by advertising agencies seeking to keep a client's entire business, and to fight off "turf wars" with competing agencies

(Cornelissen and Lock, 2001). This could lead to a questioning of the entire theoretical foundations of IMC let alone its applicability in promoting place brands that are outside the normal scope of product and service brand promotions.

Eagle and Kitchen (2000) note that effective implementation of IMC “requires reassessment of the coordination and control of marketing communication programmes” yet lack of integration was recognised from an interview respondent working with these organisations.

One of the underlying principles of IMC is the ‘one-voice’ synergy between all marketing messages and between all media and communications channels used. Successfully implemented, IMC campaigns comprise of “visually consistent and strategically planned communications” (Cornelissen and Lock, 2001). When examining the communications of the organisations under investigation for this study, there were many visual inconsistencies both within and between organisations, exemplified by such design aspects as different logos, and inconsistent use of colour. It was also hard to evidence much message consistency, or integration, contained in the strategic planning documents under investigation. Again, this was not surprising, especially given the potential differences in objectives of the organisations that produce them. The NAFW promotes a Wales that is “prosperous, well-educated, skilled, healthy, environmentally and culturally rich”, yet the Single Programming Document talks of a Wales with “problems of low earnings and deprivation”.

The WTB is keen to promote Wales’ “cultural assets”, noting that “the uniqueness of Wales’ history, language, culture and way of life”. The promotion of the place’s

culture would therefore appear to be inextricably linked with language (Anholt, 2002), but Welsh is only spoken by 19% of the population, again increasing the potential for consumer dissatisfaction when what is being promoted about the place is contrary to the reality of the place.

The multicultural nature of the place is also not recognised in its marketing communications and this would appear to be typical of the stereotypical ways in which those from minority groups are excluded from certain types of advertising (Gronhaug and Heide, 1991).

Also, as outlined earlier, the question remains of whether it is at all possible to achieve true integration of marketing communications when many different messages may need to be directed to many diverse target market segments (Wheeler, 1995; Anholt, 2005) and when meeting the needs of one segment you potentially reduce the possibility of meeting the needs of another (Hannagan, 1992).

## **CONCLUSION**

Regardless of whether the place should be thought of in terms of branding or corporate identity, the practical and managerial implications for IMC when applied to place marketing still remain. These have been identified by Cornelissen and Lock (2001), Eagle and Kitchen (2000) and Pickton and Broderick (2000) as issues of power; control; lack of corporate direction; lack of skills and resources; fear of change; and lack of flexibility.

It appears that fear of change and lack of flexibility are evident in the case examined for this paper. As an example, the WTB states it wishes to “promote a new and challenging identity for Wales”, yet perpetuates stereotypical images in its websites.

A journalist’s view is that Wales has indeed created a new positive re-branded place. However, this new re-branded, refashioned image has been created by the people, businesses and public sector leaders of Wales, and there is no one brand manager overseeing or guiding the process.

Representatives of organisations involved in promoting Wales would appear to be aware of this lack of consistency, acknowledge the difficulty of co-ordinating a coherent and consistent approach, and recognise that different target market segments require different messages. Keywords and phrases identified in the content analysis are not common to all sectors, which would appear to be a violation of the one-voice principle underlying IMC. It would also appear that internal communication between the organisations that make up “Team Wales” is key to creating an integrated approach to marketing communications, yet while each organisation is competing in some way for market share, and differentiation is proposed as the way to obtain competitive advantage, it is difficult for such an integrated strategy to be adopted. While those responsible for promoting tourism continue to focus on, in the main, stereotypical, historic, or cultural / language issues, they may be losing out on the potential to attract new target market segments to the region, and true integration of the region’s marketing communications may be impossible to achieve.

The aim of this research was to identify some of the specific problems associated with applying the principles of IMC to the promotion of a region as a tourism destination that may be evident in addition to the general barriers to implementing IMC. These may be summarised as follows:

*Wide range of diverse stakeholders*

A number of different organisations from a range of private, public and not-for-profit sectors may be involved in creating the marketing messages about the place. IMC has been found to be difficult to manage within any one organisation, especially larger public bodies, it may be unmanageable across such a wide range of organisations.

*Diversity of, and conflict between, stakeholder objectives*

IMC is regarded as a tool to gain sustainable competitive advantage, yet each of these organisations have their own interests and are competing for their own market share within the place, which begs the question of whether IMC is ever likely to be achieved in tourism marketing.

*Lack of co-ordinating function*

Finally there is the issue of the nature of the region and its marketing communications management. Wales, for example, is promoted by the Wales Tourist Board which in turn is funded by the Welsh Assembly Government. Yet within Wales there are different regional tourism networks, and many private, public and not-for-profit organisations seeking their share of the tourism market. In the private sector this activity is usually overseen by a brand manager, yet it is difficult to establish the identity of the brand manager of *Brand Wales* – would it be a senior executive of the

WTB, a member of the government? Or is it impossible for a region's 'brand' to be managed in such a way?

Further research could widen the scope of the data to be analysed, taking into account the promotional messages of a much wider range of organisations of different sizes from a cross-section of public, private and not-for-profit sectors. However, given the general problems associated with implementing an integrated marketing communications campaign for product or service brands within the private sector, and the more specific problems identified here that relate to place brands, the findings from this research suggest that it is not only appropriate but also necessary to formulate a revised, and more applicable approach to marketing places that is not bound by the principles of IMC. It is proposed that this new approach should reflect not only the diversity of the place brand itself and the diversity of those responsible for communicating the place brand, but also should reflect the diversity of the key target segments of intended message recipients. An approach, therefore, that challenges accepted marketing communications theory, an approach that seeks heterogeneity rather than homogeneity.

## References

- Aherne, A. (2000) "Chronicles of the Celtic Marketing Circle, Part I: The Paradise Parchment" *Marketing Intelligence & Planning* 18 (6,7), 400-413
- Anholt, S. (2002) "Foreword", *Journal of Brand Management*, Apr2002, 9(4/5)
- Anholt, S. (2004) "Editor's foreword to the first issue", *Place Branding*, 1(1), 4-11
- Atkinson Wells, P. (1994) "Marketing of Tradition: A New Approach" in: T. Brewer (Ed) (1994) *The Marketing of Tradition: Perspectives on Folklore, Tourism and the Heritage Industry*, Chippenham: Antony Rowe Ltd

- Bechhofer, F., McCrone, D., Kiely, R. and R. Stewart, (1999) "Constructing National Identity: Arts and Landed Elites in Scotland" *The Journal of the British Sociological Association* Cambridge 33 (Aug)
- Berg, L., Borg, J. and Meer, J. (1995) *Urban Tourism Performance and Strategies in Eight European Cities*, Avebury, Aldershot.
- Brown, S. (1995) "Coca-Kotler: over-wrought, over-rated and over here" *Irish Marketing Review* 8: 134-139 In: Brown, S. (1998) *Postmodern Marketing 2: Telling Tales*, London: International Thomson Business Press
- Brown, S. (1998) *Postmodern Marketing 2: Telling Tales*, London: International Thomson Business Press
- Brown, S. (2001) *Marketing – The Retro Revolution*, London: Sage
- Cornelissen, J. P. and Lock, A.R. (2001) "The appeal of integration: managing communications in modern organisations" *Marketing Intelligence & Planning* 19/6 [2001]
- Davies, R. AM, MP (1999) *Devolution – A Process not an Event*
- Eagle, L. and Kitchen, P. (2000) "IMC, brand communications, and corporate cultures: Client/advertising agency co-ordination and cohesion" *European Journal of Marketing* 34 (5/6), 667-686
- Foxall, G. (1989) "Marketing's Domain", *European Journal of Marketing*, 23 (8), 7-22
- Gilmore, F. (2002) "A country – can it be repositioned? Spain – the success story of country branding", *Journal of Brand Management*, Apr2002, 9(4/5)
- Goulding, C (2000) "The commodification of the past, postmodern pastiche, and the search for authentic experiences at contemporary heritage attractions", *European Journal of Marketing* 34 (7) 835-853
- Gronhaug, K, and Heide, M. (1991) "Stereotyping in Country Advertising", *European Journal of Marketing*, 26(5), MCB Press
- Grönroos, C., (2000) *Service Management and Marketing: A customer relationship management approach*. Chichester: John Wiley and Sons Ltd
- Hankinson, G. (2004) "The brand images of tourism destinations: a study of the saliency of organic images", *Journal of Product and Brand Management*, 13(1)
- Hannagan, T.J. (1992) *Marketing for the Non-Profit Sector*, London, Macmillan
- Ind, N., (1992) *The Corporate Image: Strategies for Effective Identity Programmes*, Kogan Page In: Yeshin, T, (1998) *Marketing Communications Strategy*, Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford

- Kassarjian, H.H. (1977) "Content analysis in consumer research", *Journal of Consumer Research*, 4(1), 8-18
- Kinnell, M. and MacDougall, J (1997) *Marketing in the Not-for-Profit Sector*, Oxford, Butterworth-Heinemann
- Kotler, P. and Levy, S.J. (1969) "Broadening the concept of marketing", *Journal of Marketing*, 33 (1), 10-15
- Kotler, P., Haider, D.H., and Rein, I. (1993) *Marketing Places: Attracting Investment, Industry and Tourism to Cities, States and Nations*, Free Press
- Krippendorff, K. (1980) *Content Analysis; An Introduction to its Methodology*, Beverly Hills CA: Sage
- Leisen, B. (2001) "Image segmentation: the case of a tourism destination" *Journal Of Services Marketing*, 15(1)
- Lennon, J. J. and Seaton, A.V. (1998) "Pathways to success: Contrasting roles in public sector business development for the tourism industries – a comparison of Glasgow and Dublin", *International Journal of Public Sector Management*, Vol. 11 No. 2/3, pp. 139-153
- Leonard, M. (1997) *Britain<sup>TM</sup>: Renewing our Identity*, DEMOS
- MacLaran, A. (1996), "Dublin: the creation of a regional problem", in *Forum – Assembly of European Regions*, Scientific Committee of the Assembly of the European Regions, Vol. VII No. 3, pp. 165-9.
- Morgan, N., Pritchard, A., and Pride, R. (2002) *Destination Branding: creating the unique destination proposition*, Oxford: Butterworth-Heinemann
- Morgan, N.J., Pritchard, A. and Piggott, R. (2003) "Destination branding and the role of the stakeholders: The case of New Zealand", *Journal of Vacation Marketing*, 9(3)
- National Assembly for Wales, (2000) "*www.betterwales.com: The first strategic plan of the National Assembly for Wales*", April 2000  
<[www.wales.gov.uk/themes/betterwales/word/strategicplan\\_e.doc](http://www.wales.gov.uk/themes/betterwales/word/strategicplan_e.doc)>, viewed on 30<sup>th</sup> March 2005
- Olins, W. (2002) "Branding the nation – the historical context", *Journal of Brand Management*, Apr2002, 9(4/5)
- Owens, D. (2000) *Cerys, Catatonia and the Rise of Welsh Pop*, London: Ebury Press
- Pickton, D. and Broderick, A., (2000) *Integrated Marketing Communications*, England: Pearson Education
- Proctor, T. (2002) "Communication in postmodern integrated marketing", *Corporate Communications: An International Journal*; Volume 7 No. 3; 2002



- Pugh, D.S. and Hickson, D.J. (2002) "On organisational convergence" in Warner, M. and Joynt, P. (2002), (eds.) *Managing across cultures: Issues and perspectives*, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition, London: Thomson Learning
- Riege, A.M. (2000) "National marketing strategies in international travel and tourism" *European Journal of Marketing*, 34 (11/12) 1290-1304.
- Renan, E. "What is a Nation?" in Eley, G. and Suny, R.G., ed. 1996. *Becoming National: A Reader* (1996) Oxford University Press, New York and Oxford
- Sargeant, A. (1999) *Marketing Management for Nonprofit Organizations*, Oxford University Press
- Skinner, H. and Croft, R. (2001) "How Cymru Became Cool – An Examination of Wales' Cultural Production System 1990-2000", Proceedings of the Academy of Marketing 2001 Conference, Cardiff Business School
- Skinner, H. Croft, R. and Allard, G. (2001) "Cool Cymru – Branding or Corporate Identity?", Proceedings of the 6<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Corporate and Marketing Communications, Queen's University, Belfast
- Skinner, H. (2002) "Promoting literacy and numeracy tuition to long-term unemployed adults: A South Wales case study", Proceedings of the Academy of Marketing 2002 Conference, Nottingham Business School
- Weber, R.P. (1990) *Basic Content Analysis*, 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed London: Sage.
- Welsh European Partnership and National Assembly for Wales (2004) "West Wales and the Valleys Objective 1 Single Programming Document Including mid term review changes September 2004"  
<[www.wefo.wales.gov.uk/resource/Obj1\\_SPD\\_Part18922.pdf](http://www.wefo.wales.gov.uk/resource/Obj1_SPD_Part18922.pdf)>, viewed on 30<sup>th</sup> March 2005
- Wheeler, M. (1995) "Tourism marketing ethics: an introduction" 1995 *International Marketing Review* 12 (4) 38
- Williams, L. (1999) "National identity and the nation state", in Cameron, K. (ed.) (1999) *National Identity*, Exeter: Intellect Books  
<[www.i.visitwales.com](http://www.i.visitwales.com)>, viewed on 20<sup>th</sup> August 2002  
<[www.visitwales.wtb.lon.world.net](http://www.visitwales.wtb.lon.world.net)>, viewed on 20<sup>th</sup> August 2002  
<[www.wales.gov.uk/subculture/index.htm](http://www.wales.gov.uk/subculture/index.htm)>, viewed on 20<sup>th</sup> August 2002  
<[www.wales-tourist-board.gov.uk](http://www.wales-tourist-board.gov.uk)>, viewed on 20<sup>th</sup> August 2002