

Please cite the Published Version

Freeman, Sarah, Lee, D, Lim, L, Skowron, A and Rodriguez De Leon, Ruben (2018) Trading Off Aircraft Fuel Burn and NOx Emissions for Optimal Climate Policy. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 52 (5). pp. 2498-2505. ISSN 0013-936X

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b05719>

Publisher: American Chemical Society

Version: Accepted Version

Downloaded from: <https://e-space.mmu.ac.uk/619959/>

Usage rights: © In Copyright

Additional Information: This is an Author Accepted Manuscript of a paper accepted for publication in *Environmental Science & Technology*, published by and copyright American Chemical Society.

Enquiries:

If you have questions about this document, contact openresearch@mmu.ac.uk. Please include the URL of the record in e-space. If you believe that your, or a third party's rights have been compromised through this document please see our Take Down policy (available from <https://www.mmu.ac.uk/library/using-the-library/policies-and-guidelines>)

Trading Off Aircraft Fuel Burn and NO_x Emissions for Optimal Climate Policy

Sarah Freeman, David S Lee, Ling L. Lim, Agnieszka Skowron and Ruben Rodriguez De León*

[*s.freeman@mmu.ac.uk](mailto:s.freeman@mmu.ac.uk)

School of Science and the Environment, Faculty of Science and Engineering, Manchester
Metropolitan University, Manchester M1 5GD, U.K.

KEYWORDS. Aviation, Climate, NO_x, CO₂, Tradeoff, Emissions

ABSTRACT. Aviation emits pollutants that affect climate, including CO₂ and NO_x; NO_x indirectly so, through the formation of tropospheric ozone and reduction of ambient methane. To improve the fuel performance of engines, combustor temperatures and pressures often increase, increasing NO_x emissions. Conversely, combustor modifications to reduce NO_x may increase CO₂. Hence, a technology tradeoff exists, which also translates to a tradeoff between short lived climate forcers and a long-lived greenhouse gas, CO₂. Moreover, the NO_x-O₃-CH₄ system responds in a non-linear manner, according to both aviation emissions and background NO_x. A simple climate model was modified to incorporate non-linearities parameterized from a complex chemistry model. Case studies showed that for a scenario of a 20% reduction in NO_x emissions the consequential CO₂ penalty of 2% actually increased the total radiative forcing (RF). For a 2% fuel penalty, NO_x emissions needed to be reduced by >43% to realize an overall benefit.

Conversely, to ensure the fuel penalty for a 20% NO_x emission reduction did not increase overall forcing, a 0.5% increase in CO₂ was found to be the ‘break even’ point. The timescales of the climate effects of NO_x and CO₂ are quite different, necessitating careful analysis of proposed emissions tradeoffs.

INTRODUCTION

Aviation is essential to international travel, and is a growing industry, with passenger traffic increasing at an average of 5.3% per year since 2000. It releases anthropogenic emissions in a physically and chemically complex region of the atmosphere. Aviation emissions consist primarily of carbon dioxide (CO₂), nitrogen oxides (NO_x), sulfur oxides (SO_x) and soot or ‘black carbon’ emissions, and small amounts of water vapour¹⁻³. The climate impacts of aviation NO_x emissions are complex, since they affect the climate by contributing a positive radiative forcing (RF) through the promotion of tropospheric ozone formation and a negative RF by reducing methane lifetime. There are additional negative RF effects from the CH₄ lifetime reduction through small reductions in background O₃ and stratospheric water vapour⁴, although the balance is a net positive forcing^{2,5}. At ground level, aviation NO_x is also considered an air pollutant due to its role in ozone production.

In 1981, ICAO adopted a first certification standard to control aircraft NO_x emissions in response to concerns over the effect of NO_x emissions on surface air quality. As further NO_x stringency assessments were undertaken it became apparent that the engine modifications necessary to reduce NO_x resulted in a fuel burn penalty, and therefore a CO₂ penalty. Hence, it was realized that a tradeoff existed between the two pollutants⁶⁻⁸.

A further issue arises over the timescale of the perturbations to the atmosphere; aviation NO_x emissions and their associated impacts on ozone and methane contribute a short-lived climate forcing to the atmosphere, whereas CO₂ release has an impact on a much longer timescale. In order to understand the environmental consequences of the technology tradeoff, it is necessary to model the climate impacts in some way for both NO_x and CO₂ perturbations over longer timescales. Most studies of the radiative impact of aviation consider either present-day forcing, or a scenario of e.g 2050 emissions^{1, 9-11}. Here, we focus on the very long term as this is not normally considered and only a few studies deal with this¹²⁻¹⁴. The long-term is important as it affects the choice of the mitigation options outlined here, i.e. the long-term impact of a small increase in CO₂ emissions that accumulate vs shorter-term effects that reduce forcing.

Adding to the complexity of this, the NO_x-O₃-CH₄ atmospheric system is known to be non-linear, sensitive to both the perturbing emissions being studied (i.e. aviation) and the NO_x levels of the background atmosphere¹⁵⁻¹⁷. Such calculations are normally conducted with complex 3D models of the atmosphere that account for this with a sophisticated chemical scheme. The reduction in CH₄ lifetime, is normally calculated offline by a simplified parameterization since CH₄ has a lifetime of approximately 10 – 12 years. However, model simulations for periods of around 100 years are necessary to account for a significant fraction of the CO₂ emissions, usually done in simplified climate models (SCMs). Previously, small perturbations of the NO_x system have been treated as linear¹² (e.g. Sausen and Schumann, 2000) in SCMs. Since this is known to induce inaccuracies into the computations, a new non-linear parameterization of a SCM was derived from a more complex atmospheric chemistry model, MOZART-3, to model the longer-term effects of aviation NO_x emissions.

Having demonstrated and incorporated a suitable non-linear NO_x scheme into a SCM, a series of model runs were designed in order to study the tradeoff between aviation NO_x and CO₂ emissions over a 100 year period. Through changes in aircraft engine design and emissions characteristics, the relative emissions of NO_x and CO₂ can be tuned to address specific mitigation targets. From the perspective of climate change mitigation, the model runs investigate the amount of NO_x reduction needed to account for any increases in CO₂ emissions and also, how much additional CO₂ can be emitted before additional forcing is incurred, should NO_x emissions be reduced by a set amount, in this case -20%.

The model runs also assess the impact of the background NO_x emission on the sign of the NO_x RF and how this impacts on a tradeoff scenario, therefore two different background NO_x levels are investigated, one to represent a near present day atmospheric composition and one to represent a background atmosphere where significant surface NO_x emissions reduction has taken place.

METHODS

Overall simulations design and modeling tools. Comparing emissions and their climate effects in some form of emission equivalence is a complex subject itself¹⁸. However, in this study, the tradeoff question can be posed in a simple way in the sense of variation of RF and change in global mean surface temperature (ΔT) after 100 years for constant emissions conditions over some defined base case. First, the global CTM (chemistry transport model) MOZART was used to investigate the linearity of the NO_x-O₃ and NO_x-CH₄ relationships in response to different background conditions. The results of those model runs were then used to create a new non-linear NO_x parameterization to be used in a tradeoff study.

87 The tradeoffs simulations performed with the SCM represent a parametric study, where all
88 variables are kept constant over time, beginning with a constant amount of fuel use per year. This
89 was to gauge the response of the system to a simple (constant) input, rather than being a scenario
90 study of actual projections. The constant value of fuel use was ~250 Tg per year, the
91 observational fleet value at 2012 (International Energy Agency data), background CO₂ was kept
92 constant at 404 ppm, the background value as of March 2016, thus removing the transient nature
93 of CO₂ modeling - in order to remain consistent with the constant NO_x background used in the
94 CTM runs outlined below. The global fleet emissions index for NO_x (EINO_x in g NO₂/g fuel
95 burned) was kept constant at 13, a representative fleet average. Aviation CO₂ and NO_x emissions
96 were fixed over an arbitrary 100 year simulation at ~790 Tg CO₂ (kerosene to CO₂ conversion of
97 3.16) and 3.24 Tg NO₂ (0.98 Tg N) per year respectively as a result of the constant fuel use. This
98 scenario represented the ‘base case’ where the total RF was taken to be the sum of the net NO_x
99 and CO₂ radiative forcings. Note that no ‘history’ of CO₂ emissions prior to the start year was
100 incorporated. The base case was then perturbed, the constant fuel value was changed to reflect a
101 percentage increase or decrease in CO₂ and NO_x emissions, while still remaining constant over
102 time. A common scenario from the literature suggested that a 2% fuel penalty could be incurred
103 when NO_x emissions were reduced by 20% - owing to engine modification^{7,19,20} - to determine
104 whether a net RF benefit was realized or not. The model runs then followed a logical path of
105 determining how much NO_x reduction is in fact necessary to counteract the additional 2% CO₂
106 emissions, i.e. ‘breaking even’, while ensuring that overall RF does not exceed that of the base
107 case. It is then investigated, were the situation to be reversed and NO_x reduction was held at -
108 20% below the base case, how much of CO₂/fuel penalty is allowed before forcing goes above

that of the base case. Sensitivity simulations were also run to understand the consequences of high and low NO_x background emissions.

Two basic modeling tools were necessary – a sophisticated 3D CTM of the global atmosphere ('MOZART' v3) and a simple climate model (SCM)(LinClim). MOZART was used to fully represent the impacts of changing aircraft NO_x emissions at varying levels and backgrounds²¹, the results of which were used to formulate a simplified parameterization in the LinClim SCM ('LinClim', based on Sausen and Schumann, 2000), which simulated both net NO_x and CO₂ radiative impacts. These modeling tools are described below.

Three-dimensional global chemical transport model – MOZART. The 3D CTM MOZART (Model for OZone And Related Tracers), version 3, was used to simulate the ozone burden and methane lifetime change resulting from aviation NO_x emissions in this study. MOZART-3 was evaluated by Kinnison et al (2007) and has been applied in several atmospheric studies²²⁻²⁵. The European Centre for Medium Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) ERA-Interim reanalysis data for 2006 provided the meteorological fields that drive the transport of chemicals within MOZART. The background emissions necessary for MOZART²⁶ represent the year 2000 and were originally compiled for the IPCC AR5 report. The background data are made up of surface emissions of anthropogenic activity and biomass burning, and the European Union project POET (Precursors of Ozone and their Effects on Troposphere) supply the biogenic surface emissions²⁷. The choice of meteorology data driving the model will affect the calculations of the NO_x/O₃/CH₄ impacts. Kinnison et al., (2007), when evaluating MOZART3 model performance against observations of various chemical species, noted better agreement when similar ECMWF reanalysis data were used vs other dynamical data. MOZART3 was also driven with ECHAM/5 GCM data as a test, the results from which are given in the SI. Inter-model variability is another

source of uncertainty in CTM modeling, in Søvde et al., (2014) MOZART3 is tested against other models in its ability to model NO_x emissions²⁹, the results of that analysis are extended in the SI, to show the variability of aviation NO_x responses in a small subset of CTMs and how MOZART compares to other models.

The aim of the CTM simulations was to model how the atmosphere reacts to the release of varying levels of aviation and background NO_x emissions. Although it is known that aviation NO_x increases tropospheric ozone burden and reduces methane lifetime, the question arises as to when this relationship becomes non-linear. The SCM LinClim previously incorporated a linear scheme for NO_x - O₃ and NO_x - CH₄, such that the purpose of running iterative simulations with MOZART was to determine whether a new non-linear parameterization of LinClim could be formulated, and also determine the sensitivity of this non-linear response to different background NO_x conditions.

For each simulation run on MOZART, the model was run without aircraft emissions, referred to as the ‘reference run’ and then again with aircraft emissions, referred to as the ‘perturbation run’. Each of these runs is preceded by a ‘spin up year’, which used the same meteorology, and describes the time taken by the model for the atmospheric constituents to reach equilibrium. The reference run is then subtracted from the perturbation run and the difference plotted, thus showing the impact of aviation on the atmosphere. The variables for the perturbations runs are a series of increasing aviation emissions, each of which was run in two different background atmospheric NO_x states, described below. The spin up and either reference or perturbation run constitutes a total run time of two years, which is sufficient to show the tropospheric ozone response to aviation NO_x emissions²⁸ and the perturbations to methane lifetime are corrected to account for its longer lifetime as described in the supplementary material.

155 Ozone and methane are modeled in MOZART-3 using a constant background NO_x level.
156 Therefore, to investigate the impact of a changing background atmosphere, two different
157 background atmospheric NO_x scenarios (global value and spatial pattern) are used which replace
158 those from the original background emissions inventory. The values of background NO_x
159 emissions used here are 20.76 Tg N yr⁻¹ and 44.75 Tg N yr⁻¹ and were taken from the
160 Representative Concentration Pathways (RCPs) to represent low and high levels of NO_x in the
161 background atmosphere. The low NO_x background comes from RCP3 in the year 2100 and the
162 high from RCP8 in the year 2020 (see SI, Figure S1). These two values were chosen to represent
163 the highest and lowest projected range of possible background NO_x levels over the next 100
164 years in accordance with the RCP scenarios, thus the results are bounded in that particular range.

165 The aviation scenarios run on MOZART-3 were generated using the REACT4C aircraft
166 emissions data set²⁹ as a starting point (from the European project – Reducing Emissions from
167 Aviation by Changing Trajectories for the benefit of Climate – ‘REACT4C’). The REACT4C
168 data were then multiplied by different factors to create several aviation emissions scenarios of
169 increased aviation activity (all with the same spatial pattern). Aviation emissions are expected to
170 grow more strongly in some regions than others, particularly the Far East/China, differential
171 growth may affect the balance of the O₃/CH₄ perturbation. However, this effect has been found
172 to be small, of the order <3% (see SI). The REACT4C emission scenarios were then modeled
173 with MOZART-3 using both the low NO_x and high NO_x background atmospheres, described
174 above.

175 Emissions of aircraft NO_x were calculated to be approximately 0.7 Tg N yr⁻¹ in the REACT4C
176 aviation emissions scenario²⁹ (2006). Emissions scenarios indicate that these emissions may
177 increase by 2050, over the range 0.8 – 5 Tg N yr⁻¹ ^{1, 9, 30-32}. The MOZART CTM was used in a

series of 10 simple computer simulations, scaling up the REACT4C aviation emissions over a ‘realistic’ range of emissions through to beyond those currently anticipated. In addition, 7 further simulations at larger incremental changes ($> 7 \text{ Tg N yr}^{-1}$) were run well beyond what might be considered ‘realistic’ in order that the non-linearity of the response of the system could be evaluated. In total, 17 simulations were run for the ‘high’ background NO_x emissions and a further 17 simulations for the ‘low’ background NO_x emissions.

In order to develop a new parameterization, the RF of all the effects of aviation NO_x emissions release were calculated which, in this study, comprise of short term ozone, methane, long term ozone and stratospheric water vapor (SWV). We acknowledge the effects of aerosols in terms of their overall radiative impact (direct and indirect) of aviation³³. Their impact on the NO_x - O_3 - CH_4 systems is still not well established. Pitari et al. (2015, 2016) find a small effect that reduces the net NO_x effect (it being a balance of positive and negative terms) in the aerosol providing a surface for $\text{NO}_x \rightarrow \text{HNO}_3$ conversion³⁴⁻³⁵. MOZART3 does not include these terms and more work is needed to better establish this effect. Short term ozone RF was calculated using monthly mean ozone fields from MOZART and the Edwards-Slingo radiative transfer model, therefore the relationship between ozone burden and RF is linear (see SI) that also includes a stratospheric adjustment calculation (see SI), methane RF was calculated using the methodology of Hansen et al., (1988)³⁶. The use of the ES code also introduces further uncertainties (see SI). The long-term ozone and SWV effects are taken to be 0.5 times the methane forcing (uncertainty 60%) and 0.15 times the methane forcing (uncertainty 71.43%) respectively based on Myhre et al., (2013)^{4,37}(one should note that the uncertainties provided here are for global averages, not specifically aviation perturbations).

The Simplified Climate Model, 'LinClim'. LinClim was used to investigate tradeoffs in the climate response between aviation NO_x and CO₂ emissions, simulations need to be performed over the longer term. CTMs are computationally very expensive and demanding to run, particularly when complex chemistry is involved. Simple climate models provide a way to simulate future RF responses, from which climate temperature responses can be calculated while running quickly and inexpensively. This type of model can run climate simulations of long duration – up to hundreds of years – using input values of CO₂ and other long-lived greenhouse gases generated from full general circulation model simulations and impulse response functions¹².

LinClim is a linear climate response model that has been tailored specifically to aviation and includes all the effects of aviation as outlined by the IPCC (1999)^{1,38}. The 'linearity' implied in its name assumes that RF and temperature responses are small enough, and can therefore be treated as linear subtractions/additions. Global aviation fuel burn is the input for LinClim and from this, LinClim calculates the resulting emissions of CO₂ and NO_x using emissions indices. For CO₂, this is simple, for every 1 kg of fuel burned, 3.16 kg of CO₂ is emitted. CO₂ concentration is then calculated using the impulse response function (IRF) from Hasselmann et al., (1997)³⁹. The current carbon cycle in LinClim is based on the Maier-Reimer and Hasselmann (1987)⁴⁰ model and the CO₂ RF is calculated with the function used in IPCC AR4⁴¹. For NO_x, the emission index (EINO_x) of the global fleet is required. The current parameterization in LinClim for calculating ozone and methane RF assumes a linear relationship between aviation NO_x emissions and the resulting ozone and methane RF changes. Therefore, a new parameterization, created using the results from the MOZART runs described above, was used to calculate the RF from aviation NO_x emissions. This RF value was then used as an input to

223 LinClim and the corresponding temperature response from aviation net NO_x RF was calculated.
224 The temperature response formulation is based on the method described in Hasselmann et al.
225 (1993)⁴². The calculated temperature response is also dependent on the climate sensitivity
226 parameter and the lifetime of the temperature perturbation. These are tuned to LinClim's 'parent'
227 Atmosphere-Ocean General Circulation Models (AOGCMs). In this study, LinClim was tuned to
228 19 different parent models and the median temperature response was taken.

229 RESULTS

230 *Effects of aviation NO_x emissions on ozone and methane abundances.* The results of the
231 MOZART runs show that as aviation NO_x emissions increase, so does the associated global
232 ozone burden and RF (Figure 1; Figure S2). This relationship is approximately linear up to ~2 Tg
233 N yr⁻¹ of aviation NO_x emissions and shows clear non-linearity thereafter in both the low NO_x
234 and high NO_x background atmospheric states. At values of aviation NO_x emissions greater than
235 ~2 Tg N yr⁻¹ ozone formation per NO_x molecule reduces as aviation emissions increase,
236 reflecting the non-linearity of the NO_x-O₃ system¹⁵⁻¹⁷.




Figure 1. The radiative forcing resulting from ozone burden (Tg O₃) (upper panels) and methane lifetime change (years) (lower panels) due to aviation NO_x emissions in the low (left hand panels) and high (right hand panel) NO_x atmospheric background states. Each point represents one of the emissions scenarios run on MOZART described in the text. The trend line shows a two-stage exponential fit of the data, which was used to create a new net NO_x RF parameterization.

Aviation NO_x emissions result in an enhancement of OH abundance, which in turn reduces methane lifetime since OH is its principle sink term ($\text{CH}_4 + \text{OH} \rightarrow \text{CH}_3 + \text{H}_2\text{O}$). The change in methane lifetime and reduction in atmospheric abundance associated with the release of aviation NO_x thus produces a negative RF. Similar to the NO_x – O₃ relationship, the relationship between aviation NO_x emissions and methane lifetime reduction (and therefore associated RF) is

approximately linear until aviation NO_x emissions reach $\sim 2 \text{ Tg N yr}^{-1}$ (Figure 1; Figure S2) and becomes non-linear thereafter.

The effects of aviation NO_x emissions on methane lifetime differ depending on the state of the background into which the emissions are released. The lifetime of methane is reduced substantially more (per NO_x molecule) in the low NO_x background scenario than the high NO_x (by an average of 50% over the range of NO_x emission values used here). The low NO_x background enables greater formation rates of ozone as described above, which in turn results in an increased concentration of OH and therefore greater decreases in methane lifetime.

The emissions of NO_x used in this study represent ‘realistic’ values (the highest density of data points in Figure 1 and data shown in Figure S2), through to anticipated ranges of values in future scenarios, to values which are far beyond those expected. However, the purpose of using such values is two-fold; firstly, to demonstrate that the response with a complex global CTM is able to show the expected non-linear response and secondly, to determine at what point the production of O_3 starts to saturate. Clearly, even within the range of emissions suggested in the literature (up to $\sim 5 \text{ Tg N yr}^{-1}$), a linear response is not expected, and such a response in a simplified model would over-estimate RF and therefore temperature responses.

It has been established that the responses of ozone and methane to aviation NO_x emissions are not linear and thus, cannot be treated as such in a parameterization for a simple climate model. The results presented in Figure 1 (and Figure S2) quantify the range over which the linear relationship of NO_x emissions to ozone burden and methane lifetime change is valid. It is shown that both the $\text{NO}_x - \text{O}_3$ and $\text{NO}_x - \text{CH}_4$ regimes are linear up to $\sim 2 \text{ Tg N yr}^{-1}$ of aviation NO_x emissions and therefore, a linear regression is appropriate, however linearity ceases after 2 Tg N

yr⁻¹ and the data are better represented by exponential fitting. These fit coefficients (Table SI1) can be used to calculate the RF of ozone and methane perturbations resulting from aviation NO_x emissions in studies using SCMs such as LinClim.

Using the constant emissions scenario described in the methods, and keeping the EINO_x constant at 13 g NO₂/kg fuel (3.9 g N/kg), the new parameterization was used to calculate the total forcing from aviation NO_x emissions over 100 years (Table 1). The results show that in these simplified cases, the background atmosphere determines the sign of the net NO_x forcing from aviation emissions. In the high NO_x background, aviation NO_x emissions contribute a positive net forcing or warming, however, in the low NO_x background, aviation NO_x emissions contribute a negative net forcing, or cooling. The difference in sign is due to the fact that in lower NO_x backgrounds, more OH is available for methane removal, therefore it is enhanced over ozone production in the low NO_x background, compared with the high NO_x background where ozone production dominates, resulting in an overall positive net forcing from NO_x. As the long-term ozone effect and SWV perturbation are calculated from the methane forcing, their contribution enhances the negative forcing in the low NO_x environment.

Table 1 also gives comparative data on the net NO_x forcing from LinClim's linear parameterization and the new non-linear parameterization. While the methane forcing is comparable between the two methods, the ozone forcing is overestimated by the linearized form of LinClim. Although this comparison uses low NO_x values, which fall within the 'linear range' of the NO_x-O₃-CH₄ system, the system is still inherently non-linear, and therefore the non-linear regime developed here does give slightly different results.

Table 1. Radiative forcing (mW m^{-2}) resulting from aviation NO_x calculated using LinClim and the new non-linear parameterizations described in the text, when the same fuel scenario is used – as described in ‘methods’.

Calculation used/forcing	Short term O_3	Methane	Long term O_3	SWV	Total NO_x RF
Non-linear (low NO_x background)	26.80	-17.13	-8.56	-2.57	-1.468
Non-linear (high NO_x background)	18.09	-9.91	-4.95	-1.48	1.745
Linear (Linclim)	28.74	-13.61	-6.80	-2.04	6.279

Tradeoff model runs using a simple climate model

Throughout these model runs, two base case scenarios were considered (Figure 2); total aviation forcing was taken as the CO_2 plus net NO_x forcing, one scenario using the low NO_x background and one the high NO_x background and the CO_2 background was set at a constant value of 404 ppm throughout (2016 value, as explained in the methods section). When the base case is perturbed by reducing NO_x emissions by 20% and increasing CO_2 emissions by 2%, total aviation forcing increases by 3.87% for the low background NO_x case, and 0.55% for the high background NO_x case after 50 years, and by 3.1% and 1.12% after 100 years (low, high NO_x backgrounds respectively). This demonstrates that for an ambition that reduces the NO_x emissions by 20%, the resultant 2% increase in CO_2 emissions (Figure 2) means that the total effect is greater than the base case – potentially inadvertently having an adverse effect on climate rather than an intended benefit. Therefore, the next step was to determine exactly how much NO_x reduction is required to reduce the total aviation forcing to below that of the base case when CO_2

emissions are assumed to increase by 2% because of the technology tradeoffs. Emissions of NO_x were incrementally reduced until the total forcing was the same as the base case. For the high background NO_x case, aviation NO_x would need to be reduced by 43% to ‘break even’ in terms of RF after 100 years, or by 38% in terms of temperature response after 100 years. The temperature response is lower due to the thermal inertia of the climate system, since the system has an additional response time over RF.




Figure 2. The sum of aviation NO_x and CO₂ RF (left) and associated temperature response (right) as a result of the constant base case emissions and the initial perturbation case of -20% NO_x, +2% CO₂, both described in the text, over 100 years.

For the low background NO_x case, the results are more complex – net NO_x emissions provide a negative RF, since methane removal dominates over ozone production. This means that any reduction in aviation NO_x emissions in the low NO_x background reduced the negative forcing, leading to an overall greater forcing. Therefore, the only way to reduce overall forcing from aviation when CO₂ emissions are increased by 2% is to, rather counter-intuitively, *increase* aviation NO_x emissions. This provides an additional negative forcing to counteract the additional positive forcing from CO₂. This is a somewhat unrealistic case in that the CO₂ penalty would

presumably not be incurred. It was found that aviation NO_x emissions had to be increased by 37% to counteract the additional RF provided by the 2% increase in CO₂ and reduce the overall forcing to below that of the base case after 100 years (Table 2), and by 33% to reduce the associated temperature response (Table 3). However, what this case does show is that the overall impact in terms of RF and temperature does not depend solely on the technology tradeoffs, but also on the background atmosphere.

The next model runs assume that NO_x reduction is held at 20% below the base case and it was determined how much of a CO₂ penalty is permitted before total forcing increases above that of the base case. It was calculated that CO₂ can only be allowed to increase by 0.5% over the base case without incurring a forcing or temperature penalty over 100 years in the high NO_x background. Thus, for this case it can be interpreted that any CO₂ penalty less than 0.5% will yield a net climate benefit. In the low NO_x background, any reduction in NO_x emissions causes an increase in overall forcing as described above. Therefore, in this scenario, the forcing is increased over the base case by reducing NO_x by 20% before any CO₂ increase is considered. Thus, it was determined that, should NO_x emissions be reduced by 20% in the low NO_x background, CO₂ emissions would also have to be reduced by 1.5% to counteract the additional forcing and temperature change incurred by the reduction in NO_x emissions over 100 years (Tables 2 and 3).

Table 2. The percentage difference in RF for each perturbation case as compared to the base case.

	High NO _x background			Low NO _x background		
		50 year end point	100 year end point		50 year end point	100 year end point
	Model run	% diff from BC	% diff from BC	Model run	% diff from BC	% diff from BC
CO ₂ held at +2% from BC	-25% NO _x	0.16%	0.89%	+21% NO _x	0.08%	0.87%
	-26% NO _x	0.08%	0.84%	+22% NO _x	-0.02%	0.81%
	-27% NO _x	0.0017%	0.79%	+23% NO _x	-0.11%	0.76%
	-28% NO _x	-0.08%	0.74%	+25% NO _x	-0.30%	0.65%
	-30% NO _x	-0.25%	0.64%	+30% NO _x	-0.77%	0.38%
	-40% NO _x	-1.13%	0.12%	+32% NO _x	-0.96%	0.27%
	-41% NO _x	-1.22%	0.06%	+33% NO _x	-1.05%	0.22%
	-42% NO _x	-1.31%	0.01%	+34% NO _x	-1.15%	0.16%
	-43% NO _x	-1.41%	-0.05%	+35% NO _x	-1.24%	0.11%
	-44% NO _x	-1.51%	-0.10%	+36% NO _x	-1.33%	0.052%
	-45% NO _x	-1.60%	-0.16%	+37% NO _x	-1.43%	-0.0019%
NO _x held at -20% from BC	+0.5% CO ₂	-0.91%	-0.35%	-2% CO ₂	-0.12%	-0.91%
	+1% CO ₂	-0.42%	0.14%	-1.5% CO ₂	0.39%	-0.52%
	+2% CO ₂	0.55%	1.12%	-1% CO ₂	0.90%	0.12%

Table 3. The percentage difference in temperature change for each perturbations case as compared to the base case.

	High NO _x background			Low NO _x background		
		50 year end point	100 year end point		50 year end point	100 year end point
	Model run	% diff from BC	% diff from BC	Model run	% diff from BC	% diff from BC
CO ₂ held at +2% from BC	-20% NO _x	0.35%	1.01%	+15% NO _x	0.44%	1.09%
	-23% NO _x	0.09%	0.85%	+18% NO _x	0.11%	0.90%
	-24% NO _x	-0.004%	0.80%	+19% NO _x	0.005%	0.84%
	-25% NO _x	-0.09%	0.75%	+20% NO _x	-0.10%	0.78%
	-38% NO _x	-1.36%	0.0016%	+30% NO _x	-1.19%	0.16%
	-40% NO _x	-1.57%	-0.12%	+32% NO _x	-1.41%	0.04%
	-41% NO _x	-1.68%	-0.18%	+33% NO _x	-1.52%	-0.02%
NO _x held at -20% from BC	+0.5% CO ₂	-1.11%	-0.46%	-2% CO ₂	0.16%	-0.76%
	+1% CO ₂	-0.62%	0.03%	-1.5% CO ₂	0.67%	-0.52%
	+2% CO ₂	0.35%	1.01%	-1% CO ₂	1.19%	0.27%

DISCUSSION

The results presented here provide important insights for industrial technology development and policy-making, regarding tradeoffs between different aviation emissions species. It has been found that, while there is a tradeoff between aviation NO_x and CO₂ emissions, in terms of climate change, CO₂ emissions still provide the majority of the forcing from aviation and a

smaller change in its emission affects the total forcing much more than an equivalent change in NO_x emission. The balance of the previously well-known positive RF from ozone, and the counterbalancing negative RF from reduction in methane lifetime has changed with the more recent assessment of the additional negative RF terms from SWV reduction⁴, and reduction in longer-term ozone⁴³. One must also consider the role of aviation NO_x as a polluter at ground level, and during the landing-take off cycle, hence why its reduction from aircraft emissions is desirable.

In terms of a tradeoff between different emissions, one must cautiously consider where the benefit would lie in reducing one species at the expense of another. Regarding the common scenario proposed in the literature, that a reduction of NO_x by 20% incurring a fuel penalty of 2%, while that would reduce pollution from NO_x at ground level, it was shown to be worse overall in terms of total climate impact, as the additional CO_2 forcing from the fuel increase was not counteracted by the reduction in NO_x emissions. In terms of the ambition of achieving a climate benefit from NO_x emission reductions, we show that a fuel increase should probably be avoided and our test case (20% NO_x emission reduction) showed that even an increase of 0.5% fuel would yield no net climate benefit. Either much stronger NO_x emission reductions would be necessary, or a condition that no fuel penalty is incurred is the best option. In any case, we show that a careful environmental assessment is required. Even the cases described here may be considered simplistic in terms of realism, but serve as an initial quantitative assessment of tradeoffs which has so far, been absent.

Another important consideration highlighted in this study is the effect of the background atmosphere. If background/surface NO_x emissions were to decrease, which may be likely as industries aim to cut air pollution at ground level, the net forcing from aviation NO_x emissions could result in a negative forcing, thus, aviation NO_x mitigation would not be at all beneficial in terms of climate: however, it is likely that there will be an ongoing requirement to reduce NO_x emissions at ground-level in order to reduce air pollution impacts on human health. Thus, further consideration of scenarios and test cases should be given to future work to properly assess air quality and climate impacts.

The complex interactions that have been demonstrated here show that scientific assessment and advice can assist in technology development and policy related to aircraft impacts, but it needs to be done with great care – moreover, the interactions between motivations for improving air quality and climate would benefit from extending the results to simple cost-benefit analyses. Currently, only cost-effectiveness analyses are considered in regulatory development within ICAO (International Civil Aviation Organization). As with any atmospheric modeling study, attention must be paid to the uncertainties surrounding computer simulations, the data used and the analysis of the results.

412 ASSOCIATED CONTENT

413 **Supporting Information.** – RF calculations, CH₄ corrections, extra information for CTM, RCP
414 explanations

415

416

417 AUTHOR INFORMATION

418 **Corresponding Author**

419 *Email: s.freeman@mmu.ac.uk

420 **ORCID**

421 Sarah Freeman

422 **Author Contributions**

423 The manuscript was written through contributions of all authors. All authors have given approval
424 to the final version of the manuscript.

425 **Notes**

426 The author declare no competing information

427

428 **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

429 The authors wish to thank the anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments. This work was
430 supported by internal university funding and the UK Department for Transport. Any opinions,

findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this paper are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the sponsors.

ABBREVIATIONS

CO₂ Carbon dioxide
GHGs Greenhouse gases
ICAO International Civil Aviation Organization
IPCC Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
NO_x Nitrogen oxides (NO + NO₂)
RF Radiative Forcing

REFERENCES

1. Penner, J., Lister, D. H., Griggs, D. J., Dokken, D. J., McFarland, M. Eds. *Aviation and the global atmosphere. Prepared in collaboration with the Scientific Assessment Panel to the Montreal Protocol on Substances that Deplete the Ozone Layer*; Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press; UK., 1999.
2. Lee, D. S.; Fahey, D. W.; Forster, P. M.; Newton, P. J.; Wit, R. N. C.; Lim, L. L.; Owen, B.; Sausen, R. Aviation and global climate change in the 21st century. *Atmospheric Environment*, **2009**, 43, 3520 – 3537, DOI:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.04.024.
3. Lee, D. S.; Pitari, G.; Grewe, V.; Gierens, K.; Penner, J. E.; Petzold, A.; Prather, M. J.; Shumann, U.; Bais, A.; Bernsten, T.; Iachetti, D.; Lim, L. L.; Sausen, R. Transport impacts on atmosphere and climate: Aviation. *Atmospheric Environment*, **2010**, 44, DOI 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.06.005.

4. Myhre, G.; Nilsen, J. S.; Gulstad, L.; Shine, K. P.; Rognerud, B.; Isaksen, I. S. A. Radiative forcing due to stratospheric water vapor from CH₄ oxidation. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **2007**, 34, L01807.
5. Myhre, G.; Shine, K. P.; Rädel, G.; Gauss, M.; Isaksen, I. S. A.; Tang Q.; Prather M. J.; Williams, J. E.; van Velthoven, P.; Dessens, O.; Koffi, B.; Szopa, S.; Hoor, P.; Grewe, V.; Borken-Kleefeld, J.; Berntsen, T. K.; Fuglestvedt, J. S. Radiative forcing due to changes in ozone and methane caused by the transport sector. *Atmospheric Environment*, **2011**, 45, DOI 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.10.001.
6. Lewis, J. S.; et al. Aircraft technology and its relation to emissions. In *Aviation and the Global Atmosphere*; Penner, J. E., Lister, D. J., Griggs, D. J., Dokken, D. J., McFarland, M. Eds.; Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1999; pp 373.
7. Faber, J.; Greenwood, D.; Lee, D. S.; Mann, M.; Mendes de Leon, P.; Nelissen, D.; Owen, B.; Ralph, M.; Tilston, J.; van Velzen, A.; van de Vreede, G. Lower NO_x at higher altitudes. Policies to reduce the climate impact of aviation NO_x emission, CE-Delft, Delft, The Netherlands, 2008.
8. Kyprianidis, K. G.; Dahlquist, E. On the trade-off between aviation NO_x and energy efficiency. *Appl. Energy*, **2017**, 185, DOI 10.1016/j.apenergy.2015.12.055.
9. Owen, B.; Lee, D. S.; Lim, L. L. Flying into the Future: aviation emission scenarios to 2050. *Env. Sci. Technol.* **2010**, 44, 2255–2260, DOI 10.1021/es902530z.

10. Flemming, G; Ziegler, U. Environmental and Economic Assessment of NO_x Stringency Scenarios, Aircraft Technology Improvements. ICAO Environmental Report 2010. ICAO, Montreal, Canada, 2010.
11. Flemming, G; Ziegler, U. Environmental Trends in Aviation to 2050. ICAO Environmental Report 2013, Montreal, Canada, 2013.
12. Sausen, R.; Schumann, U. Estimates of the climate response to aircraft CO₂ and NO_x emissions scenarios. *Climatic Change*, **2000**, 44, 27 – 58, DOI 10.1023/A:1005579306109.
13. Khodayari, A.; Wuebbles, D. J.; Olsen, S. C.; Fuglestedt, J. S.; Berntsen, T.; Lund, M. T.; Waitz, I.; Wolfe, P.; Forster, P. M.; Meinhausen, M.; Lee, D. S.; Lim, L. L. Intercomparison of the capabilities of simplified climate models to project the effects of aviation CO₂ on climate. *Atmospheric Environment*, **2013**, 75, 321 – 328, DOI 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2013.03.055.
14. Lund, M. T.; Aamaas, B.; Berntsen, T.; Bock, L.; Burkhardt, U.; Fuglestedt, J. S.; Shine, K. P. Emission metrics for quantifying regional climate impacts of aviation. *Earth. Syst. Dynam.*, **2017**, 8, 547 – 563, DOI 10.5194/esd-8-547-2017.
15. Isaksen, I. S. A.; Hov, O.; Hesstvedt, E. Ozone generation over rural areas. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1978**, 12, DOI:10.1021/es60147a011.
16. Berntsen, T. K.; Isaksen, I. S. A. Effects of lightning and convection on changes in tropospheric ozone due to NO_x emissions from aircraft. *Tellus*, **1999**, 51B, 766 – 788, DOI 10.3402/tellusb.v51i4.16484.

17. Stevenson, D. S.; Derwent, R. G. Does the location of aircraft nitrogen oxide emissions affect their climate impact? *Geophys. Res. Letts.* **2009**, 36, DOI: 10.1029/2009GL039422.
18. Fuglestvedt, J. S.; Shine, K. P.; Berntsen, T.; Cook, J.; Lee, D. S.; Stenke, A.; Skeie, R. B.; Velders, G. J. M.; Waitz, I. A. Transport impacts of atmosphere and climate: Metrics. *Atmospheric Environment*, **2010**, 44, DOI 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.04.044.
19. ICAO (2010) ICAO environmental report 2010, Montreal, Canada.
20. Newton, P. Long-term Technology Goals for CAEP. Presented at the ICAO Colloquium on Aviation Emissions with Exhibition, 14 – 16 May, 2007.
21. Kinnison, D. E.; Brasseur, G. P.; Walters, S.; Garcia, R. R.; Marsh, D. R.; Sassi, F.; Harvey, V. L.; Randall, C. E.; Emmons, L.; Lamarque, J. F.; Hess, P.; Orlando, J. J.; Tie, X. X.; Randel, W.; Pan, L. L.; Gettleman, A.; Granier, C.; Diehl, T.; Niemeier, U.; Simmons, A. J. Sensitivity of chemical tracers to meteorological parameters in the MOZART-3 chemical transport model. *J. Geophys. Res.* **2007**, 112, DOI 10.1029/2006JD007879.
22. Sassi, F.; Kinnison, D. E.; Boville, B. A.; Garcia, R. R.; Roble, R. Effect of El Nino – southern oscillation on the dynamical, thermal and chemical structure of the middle atmosphere. *J. Geophys. Res.* **2004**, 109, D17108, DOI 10.1029/2003JD004434.
23. Liu, Y.; Liu, C. X.; Wang, H. P.; Tie, X. X.; Gao, S. T.; Kinnison, D.; Brasseur, G. Atmospheric tracers during the 2003-2004 stratospheric warming event and impact of ozone intrusions in the troposphere. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2009**, 9, 2157 – 2170 DOI 10.1007/s11274-015-1903-5.

24. Skowron, A.; Lee, D. S.; De León, R. R. The assessment of the impact of aviation NO_x on ozone and other radiative forcing responses – The importance of representing cruise altitudes accurately. *Atmospheric Environment*, **2013**, 74, 159 – 168, DOI 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2013.03.034.
25. Skowron, A.; Lee, D. S.; De León, R. R. Variation of radiative forcings and global warming potentials from regional aviation NO_x emissions. *Atmospheric Environment*, **2015**, 104, 69 – 78, DOI 10.1016/l.atmosenv.2014.12.043.
26. Lamarque, J.-F.; Bond, T. C.; Eyring, V.; Grainer, C.; Heil, A.; Kilmont, Z.; Lee, D.; Liousse, C.; Mieville, A.; Owen, B.; Schultz, M. G.; Shindell, D.; Smith, S. J.; Stehfest, E.; Van Aardenne, J.; Cooper, O. R.; Kainuma, M.; Mahowald, N.; McConnell, J. R.; Naik, V.; Riahi, K.; van Vuuren, D. P. Historical (1850 – 2000) gridded anthropogenic and biomass burning emissions of reactive gases and aerosols: methodology and application. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2010**, 10, 7017 – 7039, DOI 10.5194/acp-10-7017-2010.
27. Granier, C.; Guenther, A.; Lamarque, J. F.; Mieville, A.; Muller, J. F.; Olivier, J.; Orlando, J.; Peters, G.; Petron, G.; Tyndall, G.; Wallens, S. POET, a database of surface emissions of ozone precursors. 2005 (available at http://eccad.sedoo.fr/eccad_extract_interface/JSF.jsf).
28. Skowron, A. The impact of emissions of nitrogen oxides from aviation on tropospheric chemistry – the counterbalancing roles of ozone and methane. Ph.D. Thesis, Manchester Metropolitan University, Manchester, UK, 2013.
29. Søvde, O. A.; Matthes, S.; Skowron, A.; Iachetti, D.; Lim, L.; Owen, B.; Hodnebrog, Ø.; Di Genova, G.; Pitari, G.; Lee, D. S.; Myhre, G.; Isaksen, I. S. A. Aircraft emissions

mitigation by changing route altitude: A multi-model estimate of aircraft NO_x emission impact on O₃ photochemistry. *Atmospheric Environment*, **2014**, 95, 468 – 479, DOI 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.06.049.

30. Olsen, S. C.; Wuebbles, D. J.; Owen, B. Comparison of global 3-D aviation emissions datasets. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2013**, 13, 429 – 441, DOI 10.5194/acp-13-429-2013.

31. Khodayari, A.; Olse, S. C.; Wuebbles, D. J. Evaluation of aviation NO_x induced radiative forcings for 2005 and 2050. *Atmospheric Environment*, **2014**, 91, 95 – 103, DOI 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.03.044.

32. Yan, F.; Winijkul, E.; Streets, D. G.; Lu, Z.; Bond, T. C.; Zhang, Y. Global emission projections for the transportation sector using dynamic technology modelling. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2014**, 14, 5709 – 5733, DOI 10.5194/acp-14-5709-2014.

33. Gettelman, A.; Chen, C. The climate impact of aviation aerosols. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **2013**, 40, 2785 – 2789, DOI 10.1002/grl.50520.

34. Pitari, G.; Iachetti, D.; Di Genova, G.; De Luca, N.; Søvde, O. A.; Hodnebrog, Ø.; Lee, D. S.; Lim, L. L. Impact of coupled NO_x/aerosol aircraft emissions on ozone photochemistry and radiative forcing. *Atmosphere*, **2015**, 6 751 – 782, DOI 10.3390/atmos6060751.

558

559 35. Pitari, G.; Cionni, I.; Di Genova, G.; Søvde, O. A.; Lim, L. Radiative forcing from aircraft
560 emissions of NO_x: model calculations with CH₄ surface flux boundary condition.
561 *Meteorologische Zeitschrift*, **2016**, 26 (6), 663 – 687, DOI 10.1127/metz/2016/0776.

562 36. Hansen, J.; Fung, I.; Lacis, A.; Rind, D.; Lebedeff, S.; Ruedy, R.; Russell, G. Global
563 climate changes as forecast by Goddard Institute for Space Studies three-dimensional
564 model. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, **1988**, 93 (D8), 9341 – 9364, DOI
565 10.1029/JD093iD08p09341.

566

567 37. Myhre, G.; Shindell, D.; Bréon, F-M.; Collins, W.; Fuglestvedt, J.; Koch, D.; Lamarque, J-
568 F.; Lee, D.; Mendoza, B.; Nakajima, T.; Robock., A.; Stephens, G.; Takemura, T.; Zhang,
569 H. Anthropogenic and Natural Radiative Forcing. In *Climate Change 2013: The Physical
570 Science Basis. Contribution of working Group I to the Fifth Assessment report of the
571 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Stocker, T. F., Qin, D., Plattner, G-K.,
572 Tignor, M., Allen, S. K., Baschung, J., Nauels, A., Xia, Y., Bex, V., Midgley, P. M., Eds.;
573 Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA,
574 2013.

575 38. Lim, L.; Lee, D. S.; Sausen, R.; Ponater, M. Quantifying the effects of aviation on radiative
576 forcing and temperature with a climate response model. *Proceedings of the TAC-
577 Conference*, June 26 – 29, 2006, Oxford, UK.

39. Hasselmann, K.; Hasselmann, S.; Giering, R.; Ocana, V.; VonStorch, H. Sensitivity study of optimal CO₂ emission paths using a simplified structural integrated assessment model (SIAM). *Climatic Change*, **1997**, 37, 345 – 386, DOI 10.1023/A:1005339625015.
40. Maier-Reimer, E.; Hasselmann, K. Transport & storage of CO₂ in the ocean – an inorganic ocean-circulation carbon cycle model. *Climate Dynamics*, **1987**, 2, 63 – 90, DOI 10.1007/BF01054491.
41. Solomon, S.; et al. Technical Summary. In: *Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*; Solomon, S., Qin, D., Manning, M., Chen, Z., Marquis, M., Averyt, K. B., Tignor, M., Miller, H. L., Eds.; Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.
42. Hasselmann, K.; Sausen, R.; Maier-Reimer, E.; Voss, R. On the cold start problem in transit simulations with coupled atmosphere-ocean models. *Climate Dynamics*, **1993**, 9 (2), 53 – 61, DOI 10.1007/BF00210008.
43. Holmes C. D.; Tang, Q.; Prather, M. J. Uncertainties in climate assessment for the case of aviation NO. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. **2011**, 108, DOI 10.1073/pnas.1101458108.