


Please cite the Published Version

Hostler, Thomas J , Wood, Chantelle and Armitage, Christopher J (2018) The influence of emotional cues on prospective memory: a systematic review with meta-analyses. *Cognition and Emotion*, 32 (8). pp. 1578-1596. ISSN 0269-9931

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/02699931.2017.1423280>

Publisher: Taylor & Francis (Routledge)

Version: Accepted Version

Downloaded from: <https://e-space.mmu.ac.uk/619829/>

Additional Information: This is an Author Accepted Manuscript of a paper accepted for publication in *Cognition and Emotion*, published by and copyright Taylor & Francis.

Enquiries:

If you have questions about this document, contact openresearch@mmu.ac.uk. Please include the URL of the record in e-space. If you believe that your, or a third party's rights have been compromised through this document please see our Take Down policy (available from <https://www.mmu.ac.uk/library/using-the-library/policies-and-guidelines>)

The Influence of Emotional Cues on Prospective Memory: A Systematic Review with Meta-Analyses.

Thomas J. Hostler^{a*}, Chantelle Wood^b and Christopher J. Armitage^c

^a*Department of Psychology, Manchester Metropolitan University, Manchester, UK.*

^b*Department of Psychology, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK.*

^c*Manchester Centre for Health Psychology and NIHR Manchester Biomedical Research Centre, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK.*

First Author [Corresponding Author]:

Thomas J. Hostler
Department of Psychology
Manchester Metropolitan University
53 Bonsall St,
Manchester
M15 6GX
Tel: 0161 247 2080
Email: t.hostler@mmu.ac.uk

Second Author:

Chantelle Wood
Department of Psychology
University of Sheffield
Cathedral Court
1 Vicar Lane
Sheffield
S1 2LT
Tel: +44 (0)114 2226615
Email: chantelle.wood@sheffield.ac.uk

Last Author:

Christopher J. Armitage
Manchester Centre for Health Psychology, Division of Psychology and Mental Health, School of Health Sciences, Manchester Academic Health Science Centre, Faculty of Biology, Medicine and Health, University of Manchester and NIHR Manchester Biomedical Research Centre
Coupland Street, Oxford Road
Manchester, M13 9PL, UK
Tel: +44 (0) 161 275 2556
E-mail: chris.armitage@manchester.ac.uk

1 **The Influence of Emotional Cues on Prospective Memory: A Systematic**
2 **Review with Meta-Analyses.**

3 **Abstract**

4 Remembering to perform a behaviour in the future, *prospective memory*, is essential to
5 ensuring that people fulfil their intentions. Prospective memory involves committing to memory a cue
6 to action (encoding), and later recognising and acting upon the cue in the environment (retrieval).
7 Prospective memory performance is believed to be influenced by the emotionality of the cues,
8 however the literature is fragmented and inconsistent. We conducted a systematic search to synthesise
9 research on the influence of emotion on prospective memory. Sixty-seven effect sizes were extracted
10 from 17 articles and hypothesised effects tested using three meta-analyses. Overall, prospective
11 memory was enhanced when positively-valenced rather than neutral cues were presented ($d = 0.32$).
12 In contrast, negatively-valenced cues did not enhance prospective memory overall ($d = 0.07$), but this
13 effect was moderated by the timing of the emotional manipulation. Prospective memory performance
14 was improved when negatively-valenced cues were presented during both encoding and retrieval ($d =$
15 0.40), but undermined when presented only during encoding ($d = -0.25$). Moderating effects were also
16 found for cue-focality and whether studies controlled for the arousal level of the cues. The principal
17 finding is that positively-valenced cues improve prospective memory performance and that timing of
18 the manipulation can moderate emotional effects on prospective memory. We offer a new agenda for
19 future empirical work and theorising in this area.

20 **Key words:** prospective memory, cues, emotion, affect, review, meta-analysis

21 **Article word count:** 8,913

22 The term *prospective memory* is used to describe a person's ability to remember to perform a
23 behaviour in the future (McDaniel & Einstein, 2000). The successful application of
24 prospective memory involves encoding a cue to action, and later detecting this cue to retrieve
25 and execute the planned intention. These actions require the use of several cognitive
26 processes, including attention (to detect the cue) and retrospective memory (to retrieve the
27 intention). The prevailing view in the wider literature of emotion and cognition is that
28 emotion enhances both attention and memory (Brosch, Pourtois, & Sander, 2010; Hamann,
29 2001; N. A. Murphy & Isaacowitz, 2008; Yiend, 2010), meaning that emotional cues are
30 likely to improve prospective memory performance. However, the current literature is
31 contradictory and it is not clear when or how emotion influences prospective memory.
32 Providing clarity to the possible effects of emotion on prospective memory performance is
33 important for elucidating prospective memory processes and for informing practical
34 applications to improve prospective memory. The present research takes the approach of
35 conducting a systematic review and a series of meta-analyses to establish for the first time
36 what are the effects of emotion on prospective memory.

37 **The Influence of Emotion on Cognition**

38 The feedback theory of emotion (Baumeister, Vohs, DeWall, & Zhang, 2007) describes two
39 ways in which emotion influences our cognition: Through full-blown conscious moods, and
40 brief 'twinges' of emotional appraisal that arise automatically when a stimulus is perceived.
41 The latter of these two mechanisms - the brief 'affective responses' - have been shown to
42 influence our behaviour indirectly through higher-level cognitive processes (Baumeister et
43 al., 2007; Robinson, Watkins, & Harmon-Jones, 2013), such as prospective memory.
44 Accordingly, affective responses to stimuli used as cues to trigger prospective memory can
45 have an influence on the cognitive processes underlying prospective memory most likely

46 during ‘encoding’ and ‘retrieval’ (Ellis & Freeman, 2012; Hannon & Daneman, 2007;
47 Kvavilashvili & Ellis, 1996). The encoding process represents the formation of a prospective
48 memory intention. It is the act of encoding in memory the cue or stimulus that will trigger the
49 intended behavioural response, and the response itself, and cognitively linking them.
50 Retrieval refers to the act of later encountering the prospective memory cue and recognising
51 it as the pre-defined opportunity to enact the response.

52 Emotional stimuli may influence prospective memory through encoding or retrieval
53 processes, or synergistically through both. The findings from the more general literature of
54 emotion and cognition suggests that emotion is likely to improve the encoding process
55 through enhanced attention and visual processing (Calvo & Lang, 2004; Dolan, 2002;
56 Nummenmaa, Hyona, & Calvo, 2006; Phelps, Ling, & Carrasco, 2006; Pilarczyk &
57 Kuniecki, 2014). Emotion can also enhance the memory consolidation of stimuli (Mather,
58 2007) by activating the amygdala (Hamann, 2001) and resulting in enhanced long-term
59 (Hamann, Ely, Grafton, & Kilts, 1999) and short-term memory (Hamann & Mao, 2001, cited
60 in Hamann, 2001). Emotion may also enhance retrieval processes such as cue detection, as
61 emotional stimuli have been shown to attract attention compared to neutral stimuli (see
62 Brosch et al., 2010; Yiend, 2010 for reviews). This occurs both when stimuli are being
63 consciously attended to in visual search tasks (Frischen, Eastwood, & Smilek, 2008; Ohman,
64 Flykt, & Esteves, 2001; Williams, Moss, Bradshaw, & Mattingley, 2005) and involuntarily
65 when stimuli are presented subliminally (Brosch et al., 2010; Carretie, Hinojosa, Martin-
66 Loeches, Mercado, & Tapia, 2004; Nummenmaa et al., 2006). This is pertinent as both
67 conscious monitoring and automatic spontaneous retrieval processes may underlie
68 prospective memory retrieval (McDaniel & Einstein, 2000).

69 However, the influence of emotion on encoding and retrieval processes may be
70 valence-specific, due to differences in the mode of processing. Negative stimuli are thought
71 to promote perceptual processing, whereas positive stimuli prioritise the encoding of ‘gist’
72 and conceptual information (Kensinger, 2009; Kensinger & Schacter, 2008; Mickley &
73 Kensinger, 2008). For example, people tend to remember more intrinsic details of negative
74 pictures compared to positive (Kensinger, Garoff-Eaton, & Schacter, 2007), whereas when
75 emotional words are presented alongside other semantically-related words, there is a memory
76 benefit for positive compared to negative words (Kamp, Potts, & Donchin, 2015). More
77 generally, negative moods tend to narrow focus onto perceptual details, whereas positive
78 moods promote broader and more conceptual thinking (Clore & Huntsinger, 2007). Both
79 perceptual and semantic processing can underlie the detection of prospective memory cues
80 (McGann, Ellis, & Milne, 2003). Therefore, either benefits or impairments to prospective
81 memory performance could be expected when emotional cues are encoded, depending on the
82 valence of the prospective memory cues and the type of processing utilised during the
83 retrieval process. For example, if perceptual processing is primarily used to detect
84 prospective memory cues, then encoding negative cues should be beneficial to detection,
85 whereas encoding positive cues should be detrimental.

86 *Empirical research on emotional cues and prospective memory*

87 Despite the established benefits of emotional stimuli on attention and memory in the broader
88 literature of emotion and cognition, direct empirical evidence for a benefit of emotional cues
89 on prospective memory is mixed. Specifically, there is research reporting a benefit of
90 emotional cues (Altgassen, Phillips, Henry, Rendell, & Kliegel, 2010; May et al., 2015;
91 Rummel, Hepp, Klein, & Silberleitner, 2012); a detrimental effect of emotional cues
92 (Ballhausen, Rendell, Henry, Joeffry, & Kliegel, 2015; Graf & Yu, 2015; Walter & Bayen,

93 2016); a beneficial effect, but only for one particular valence (Altgassen, Henry, Burgler, &
94 Kliegel, 2011; Mioni et al., 2015; Rendell et al., 2011) and no difference between emotional
95 and neutral cues (Cona, Kliegel, & Bisiacchi, 2015; Marsh et al., 2009).

96 The present systematic review and meta-analyses seek to address these contradictions
97 and clarify the issue of whether emotional cues influence prospective memory. In addition, in
98 order to investigate the likely mechanisms through which emotional cues influence
99 prospective memory, the timing of the emotional manipulation (i.e., whether the valence of
100 the cues is manipulated during the encoding or retrieval phase) is coded and tested as a
101 moderator in the present meta-analyses. Calculating separate effect sizes for the influence of
102 emotional cues on the separate processes of prospective memory can help determine the
103 likely mechanisms underlying any overall effect on prospective memory performance.

104 **Potential Moderators**

105 *Methodological*

106 Differences in the methodologies used in prospective memory experiments may explain the
107 diverse findings of studies investigating prospective memory and emotion. One such
108 difference among experiments investigating the influence of emotion is the type of cues used
109 (words or pictures) in the prospective memory task. Research has shown that when valence is
110 not controlled for, prospective memory is better when pictures compared to words are used as
111 cues (McDaniel, Robinson-Riegler, & Einstein, 1998). Relatedly, De Houwer and Hermans
112 (1994) found that emotional pictures received preferential affective processing compared to
113 emotional words. In their experiment, the affective categorisation of words was influenced by
114 incongruent affective pictures, but the reverse effect was not observed. These results suggest
115 that any potential benefit of emotional cues in prospective memory may be stronger for
116 pictures rather than words. However, there is not yet any research that tests directly how the

117 superior effect of pictures in prospective memory may interact with affective valence, when
118 focusing specifically on behavioural outcomes (cf. neuroimaging research, e.g. Flaisch et al.,
119 2015; Leclerc & Kensinger, 2011).

120 The results from the aforementioned studies suggest a more complex interaction than
121 an enhanced emotional effect for picture cues. Altgassen et al.'s (2011) study employed
122 words (e.g., "happiness") but found a benefit only for positive but not negative cues, whereas
123 May et al. (2015) found benefits for both positive and negative word cues. Graf and Yu
124 (2015) used picture cues (e.g., a picture of a puppy) but found a detrimental effect of
125 emotional cues, whereas other studies (e.g., Altgassen et al., 2010) found benefits for
126 emotional cues in older adults using pictures. Quantitative investigation using meta-analytic
127 techniques should help to clarify the effects of cue type.

128 ***Cue Focality***

129 Another methodological consideration that may influence the effect of emotion on
130 prospective memory is whether the prospective memory experiment employs a focal or non-
131 focal paradigm. Prospective memory experiments typically involve an 'ongoing task', such as
132 a lexical decision task (e.g., May et al., 2015) in which the prospective memory cues are
133 embedded. Whether such cues are 'focal' or 'non-focal' refers to whether the prospective
134 memory cues are processed in a way during the ongoing task that should automatically
135 activate the representation of the prospective memory task to be performed, or whether
136 detection of the cues requires extra cognitive resources outside of those used in the ongoing
137 task. The two types of task are thought to reflect the two types of cue detection behaviour
138 specified in McDaniel and Einstein's (2000) multiprocess theory. According to multiprocess
139 theory, focal cues are thought to use spontaneous retrieval processes to detect, and non-focal
140 cues are thought to require conscious monitoring. As the effect of emotion on prospective

141 memory may work at least in part through attention-based mechanisms, the focality of the
142 cue may be an important moderating factor.

143 *Age*

144 Age moderates both prospective memory ability and emotional effects on cognition such that
145 prospective memory ability is poorer in older adults (Henry, MacLeod, Phillips, & Crawford,
146 2004), yet older adults show enhanced memory and attention for positive stimuli (Mather &
147 Carstensen, 2005). In addition, age differences in prospective memory can be influenced by
148 properties of the prospective memory cues and tasks (Ihle, Hering, Mahy, Bisiacchi, &
149 Kliegel, 2013; Kliegel, Phillips, & Jager, 2008). Several studies have provided direct tests of
150 the moderating effect of age on the influence of emotion on prospective memory (May et al.,
151 2015; Schnitzspahn, Horn, Bayen, and Kliegel, 2012; Altgassen et al., 2010) though results
152 are conflicting. For example, Schnitzspahn et al. (2012) found a benefit for emotional cues in
153 older adults only. However, May et al. (2015) found benefits for emotional cues in both
154 younger and older adults. The overall effect of manipulating the valence of cues on younger
155 and older adults is not clear due to the conflicting results in the literature, and as such, a test
156 of this moderator would be valuable.

157 *Arousal*

158 Variance in the extent to which prospective memory studies have controlled for the arousal
159 level of the emotional cues may also explain discrepant findings in the literature. Arousal
160 refers to the intensity of the emotional stimulus and the effects of this variable on cognition
161 can be dissociated from those of the valence of the stimulus (Hamann, 2001). Several
162 influential theories suggest that it is the arousing nature of emotional stimuli, rather than the
163 relative valence, that underlies the beneficial effects of emotion on perception and memory
164 (e.g. Kensinger, 2009; Mather & Sutherland, 2011, c.f. Adelman & Estes, 2013). The

165 implications of this are that studies that have not adequately controlled for the level of arousal
166 between emotional prospective memory cues may inadvertently be measuring the effect of
167 arousal on prospective memory, rather than the valence of the cues as intended. The present
168 study will code for whether studies adequately controlled for the arousal level of emotional
169 cues in order to investigate whether the effect of arousal and valence can be dissociated.

170 **The Present Research**

171 Overall, the wider literature on emotion and cognition indicates that emotional stimuli have
172 beneficial effects on memory and attention. The extent to which these cognitive processes are
173 used in prospective memory suggests that the use of emotional cues may enhance prospective
174 memory. Enhanced memory effects are likely to come from employing emotional cues in the
175 prospective memory encoding phase, whereas enhanced attention to emotional cues is likely
176 to benefit the retrieval phase. The valence of the cues may also be important in determining
177 their influence on cognitive processes (Kensinger, 2009). However, the literature that has
178 directly investigated emotional cues and prospective memory has produced conflicting
179 findings. The present research employs systematic review and three meta-analyses to
180 aggregate and provide structure to the fragmented literature on prospective memory and
181 emotion, and to identify unresolved issues regarding the way in which emotions influence the
182 operation of prospective memory. Furthermore, the use of moderator analysis allows the
183 identification of potential variables that can limit or increase the effectiveness of emotion at
184 improving prospective memory.

185 **Method**

186 **Eligibility Criteria**

187 The inclusion criteria were: Any empirical study that had tested prospective memory
188 performance as a dependent variable (i.e. the proportion of prospective memory cues

189 correctly responded to) and had manipulated the affective valence of prospective memory
190 cues. Both between-participants and within-participants experimental designs were eligible
191 for inclusion¹. Between-participants designs required that participants were randomly
192 assigned to a condition, and within-participants designs (92.6% of included studies) required
193 that the order of cue valence was randomised or counterbalanced.

194 The following exclusion criteria were also applied: First, any studies in which the data
195 did not allow a comparison between the different emotional valences. The primary way in
196 which the effect of emotion on prospective memory has been conceptualised in the literature
197 thus far has been as a comparison between positive, negative and neutral cues. The
198 aggregated effect sizes calculated in the present meta-analysis followed this position and so
199 cues from at least two of these valence conditions needed to be utilised in each included study
200 in order to calculate an effect size. Therefore, studies that only compared between levels of
201 the same valence of affect (Hallam et al., 2015) or looked only at the level of arousal
202 regardless of valence (Burkard, Rochat, & Van der Linden, 2013) were excluded. Second,
203 any studies in which the participants were solely from clinical samples (for example,
204 diagnosed with anxiety or depression, e.g., Rude, Hertel, Jarrold, Covich, & Hedlund, 1999)
205 were excluded, as these conditions have been shown to influence prospective memory ability
206 (Chen, Zhou, Cui, & Chen, 2013; Rude et al., 1999) and susceptibility to emotional
207 manipulations (Gotlib, Jonides, Buschkuehl, & Joormann, 2011). If sufficient data were
208 available to allow calculation of effect sizes from non-clinical control groups in these studies,
209 then these were included. Studies that measured the speed of response to prospective

1 Although there is some debate over whether including both types in a meta-analysis is suitable (Lipsey & Wilson, 2001), other authors state that it is not a problem (Borenstein, Hedges, Higgins, & Rothstein, 2009; Lakens, 2013). Because of the relatively few studies in the current meta-analyses, it was decided that including these studies would be more beneficial than detrimental.

210 memory cues, rather than the proportion of prospective memory cues successfully responded
211 to (i.e. prospective memory performance) were also excluded (Maglio, Gollwitzer, &
212 Oettingen, 2014; Scholz et al., 2009) as this is not a typical measure of prospective memory
213 performance. Studies not reported in English (Lu, Sun, & Liu, 2008; Yin & Huang, 2016)
214 were also excluded.

215 **Information Sources**

216 The online databases of *Ovid PsychINFO*, *Web of Science*, *EthOS*, *ProQuest Dissertations*
217 *and Theses Global*, *Google Scholar* and the *Journal of Articles In Support of The Null*
218 *Hypothesis* were used for the literature search.

219 **Literature Search**

220 The databases listed above were searched using pre-specified key terms. In order to capture
221 studies published in different research fields, several different terms were used to search for
222 concepts relating to both prospective memory and emotion. The keywords relating to emotion
223 were: *emotion*, *valence*, *affect**, *positiv**, *negativ**, *fear*, *disgust*, and *anger*. The keywords
224 relating to prospective memory were: “*prospective memory*”, “*implementation intention**”,
225 “*action plan**”, “*future memory*” and “*delayed intention**”. Each possible combination of
226 emotion and prospective memory key words were used as search terms in databases with the
227 AND operator. The ancestor and descendant approaches (DeCoster, 2009) were then
228 employed to identify further literature that may not have been picked up by the search terms
229 used in the database searches. Finally, all lead authors of the included papers were contacted
230 via email to ask for any unpublished research related to the topic, an approach that yielded
231 one additional set of data. The initial literature search returned 61 possible papers to include
232 based on the title and abstract. The ascendancy approach returned 21 papers, and the

233 descendancy approach returned 1 paper for a total of 74 after duplicates had been removed
234 (see Figure 1 for PRISMA flow diagram of review).

235 [Figure 1 here]

236 **Study Selection**

237 The results of the systematic search were assessed for further reading based on the relevance
238 of the titles and abstracts. Following this, the full text for each of these papers was accessed
239 and reviewed in detail against the inclusion and exclusion criteria for the meta-analyses. In
240 total, 57 papers were excluded at this stage as they did not fit the inclusion criteria. The
241 breakdown of these exclusions was: 25 did not include a test of prospective memory, 15 did
242 not include emotion as an independent variable, 4 were review studies or experimental
243 protocols, 4 were not reported in English, 4 did not measure prospective memory accuracy as
244 a dependent variable, 3 only looked at a clinical sample, 1 measured only the arousal of the
245 emotional stimuli and not the valence, and 1 presented duplicate data. This left the results
246 from 17 articles to be analysed.

247 **Data Collection Process**

248 All papers were read in detail to extract the required information. If the information was not
249 presented in the paper, or if clarification was needed on a particular item, then the lead author
250 of the paper was contacted to obtain it.

251 **Data Items**

252 The following information was coded for each study by the first author: (1) participant
253 demographics; (2) study design (within- or between-participants); (3) the valences of the
254 emotional cues; (4) the timing of the emotional manipulation (i.e., whether the valence of the
255 cue had been manipulated during encoding only, retrieval only, or both); (5) the format of the

256 cues used (words or pictures); (6) the sample of participants (younger or older adults); (7) the
257 focality of the cue in the ongoing task (focal or non-focal); and (8) whether the study
258 adequately controlled for the arousal level of the emotional cues (yes or no).

259 To code for the timing of the manipulation, the instructions for the prospective memory task
260 given to participants were inspected. Studies that presented participants with only the
261 category of the prospective memory cue at encoding (e.g. “animals”, Clark-Foos et al., 2009),
262 but later manipulated the valence of the actual prospective memory cues embedded in the
263 ongoing task were coded as manipulating retrieval only. Studies that presented participants
264 with the exact (emotional or neutral) cues at encoding that they would later see embedded in
265 the ongoing task were coded as manipulating both encoding and retrieval. Studies classified
266 as ‘encoding only’ employed manipulations in which the valence of the prospective memory
267 cues was manipulated during this phase only. For example, Henry et al. (2015) told
268 participants the semantic category to which the prospective memory cues belonged, and
269 presented a valenced exemplar of the category during encoding (e.g., a negatively valenced
270 image from the category of ‘insects’). However, the prospective memory cues presented
271 during the retrieval phase were neutral in valence. Age was coded using criteria employed by
272 previous meta-analyses in the field (Henry et al., 2004; Ihle et al., 2013; Kliegel et al., 2008)
273 et al., 2008) in which samples with a mean age of 60 or above are coded as older adults, and
274 samples with a mean age of between 18 and 59 are coded as younger adults. Samples for
275 which mean age was not reported but were described as undergraduate students were
276 classified as younger adults. In the moderator analysis, the overall mean ages for each group
277 were: Young adults ($M=23.92$, $SD=8.22$), older adults ($M=70.73$, $SD=4.01$).

278 Cue focality was coded by assessing the relationship between the prospective memory task
279 and the ongoing task, using the description of focal and non-focal tasks by McDaniel and

280 Einstein (2000). Tasks in which the ongoing task required cognitive processes similar to
281 those required to detect the prospective memory cue were classed as focal, and those in which
282 different processes were used coded as non-focal. Studies were also coded for whether they
283 adequately controlled for the arousal level of the prospective memory cues employed. If a
284 suitable statistical test showing no significant difference between arousal levels of cues was
285 reported then this was taken as an adequate level of control. If no such tests were reported
286 then arousal was classed as uncontrolled. Separate codes were used to classify studies that
287 controlled the arousal levels between (a) only positive and negative cues, but not neutral, and
288 (b) all three types of cue.

289 **Summary Measures**

290 The effect size of d_{umb} was calculated for each experiment². Separate effect sizes were
291 calculated for each emotional valence comparison possible for each study (positive versus
292 negative, positive versus neutral, negative versus neutral). For the positive versus neutral and
293 negative versus neutral comparisons, effect sizes representing a benefit for valenced
294 manipulations (e.g., a greater number of successful prospective memory task responses) were
295 coded as positive (+ve). Effect sizes representing a detrimental effect for valenced
296 manipulations compared to neutral were coded as negative (-ve). For the positive versus
297 negative comparisons, effect sizes representing a benefit for positively-valenced
298 manipulations were coded as +ve and benefits for negatively-valenced manipulations as -ve.
299 Effect sizes were primarily calculated using means and standard deviations reported in the
300 papers or obtained from the authors. If this was not possible then the data were extracted

2 This notation is used on the advice of Cumming (2012) to avoid confusion over the inconsistent and contradictory use of the terms “Hedges’ g ” and “Cohen’s d ”. Following the guidelines of Cumming (2012), the equations used to calculate the effect sizes are also reported in Appendix A.

301 from figures using image editing software or were calculated from the reported inferential
302 test statistics if available. Confounding effects of other variables manipulated in a study were
303 minimised by calculating effect sizes using control conditions³. When a paper included
304 separate studies in which different samples of participants were tested, separate effect sizes
305 were calculated for each sample allowed by the inclusion criteria.

306 **Synthesis of Results**

307 Three separate meta-analyses were conducted for the different valence comparisons, in order
308 to investigate whether positive or negative emotional manipulations had differential
309 influences on prospective memory. This was partly based on the distinct theoretical
310 differences of the influence of valence (Clore & Huntsinger, 2007) but also the practical
311 limitations of meta-analysis, which requires independence of effect sizes. Valence was
312 manipulated as a within-participants variable in the majority of the studies, meaning that only
313 one emotion effect could be included from each experiment in the same meta-analysis. Thus,
314 separate meta-analyses were conducted for the effect sizes calculated for the comparison of
315 negatively-valenced emotional influences compared to neutral, positively-valenced emotional
316 influences compared to neutral, and positively-valenced emotional influences compared to
317 negatively-valenced emotional influences.

318 The distinct influences of valenced cues on the separate process of prospective
319 memory discussed in the introduction were investigated with the use of a meta-ANOVA. A
320 separate effect size for the influence of emotional cues on each process (encoding, retrieval,
321 encoding and retrieval) was calculated for each valence comparison. Therefore, nine different

3 For example, Rummel et al. (2012) manipulated both the affective valence of the prospective memory cues as well as the mood of the participant, and therefore the effect sizes were calculated using the neutral condition of the mood variable to retain consistency with the other studies included in the same meta-analysis.

322 sub-meta-analyses were performed in total to calculate the unique effect of either negative or
323 positive cues on each prospective memory process, including a comparison between negative
324 and positive cues.

325 **Meta-Analytic Procedure**

326 A random-effects model was used for each meta-analysis to allow for between-studies
327 variance (Cumming, 2012). Following the advice of Hunter and Schmidt (2004), a correction
328 for measurement error in the dependent variable was applied to the meta-analyses where
329 possible. The correction is based on the reliability of the measurement, and was applied
330 individually to each effect size before the meta-analysis. Mioni, Rendell, Stablum,
331 Gamberini, and Bisiacchi (2014) provided data on the reliability of the virtual week task used
332 in three of the studies and Kelemen, Weinberg, Alford, Mulvey, and Kaeochinda (2006)
333 provided data on the reliability of the dual task paradigm used in the remaining studies. The
334 results of the corrected analyses are referred to in the text in the present paper, but the
335 uncorrected results are also presented alongside the corrected results in Table 2. Cohen's
336 power primer (Cohen, 1988) was used to help interpret the importance of the effects, with d 's
337 of 0.2 considered "small", 0.5 "medium", and 0.8 "large". A 95% confidence interval for
338 each effect size was calculated, and each effect size was tested for statistical significance
339 using the lower-confidence limit (LCL) test (Hedges, Cooper, & Bushman, 1992). On the
340 advice of Cumming (2012), interpretation of the results will focus primarily on the magnitude
341 of the effect sizes and confidence intervals rather than the statistical significance.

342 **Heterogeneity**

343 A measure of heterogeneity was calculated for each separate meta-analysis. Although tests
344 using Q-values are commonly used to assess heterogeneity, these are often underpowered
345 when the number of studies in the meta-analysis is low, and in these situations the use of the

346 I^2 statistic is preferred (Higgins, Thompson, Deeks, & Altman, 2003). The I^2 value represents
347 the proportion of heterogeneity between studies that cannot be put down to chance, and
348 should be interpreted as a percentage. Values of I^2 can be classified into low (.25), moderate
349 (.50) and high (.75) inconsistency among studies (Higgins et al., 2003).

350 **Additional Analyses**

351 Meta-one-way ANOVAs were planned to investigate any moderating effects on the influence
352 of emotion on prospective memory and were executed based on Borenstein et al.'s (2009)
353 recommendation of a minimum of 10 cases for each meta-ANOVA. The moderating
354 variables were the age of the sample and the type of cue employed (picture or word).

355 All meta-analyses and meta-ANOVAs were conducted using the SPSS Macros
356 developed by Wilson (2005), which simplify the process of conducting such analyses in
357 SPSS and correct for some minor wrong assumptions that are present when usual statistical
358 operations are performed on a meta-analytic dataset (Cooper, Hedges, & Valentine, 2009).

359

360 **Results**

361 **Study Characteristics**

362 From the 17 articles identified from the literature search, 67 different effect sizes were
363 extracted from 27 studies (Table 1). Eight out of 27 studies (30%) manipulated the valence of
364 the cue during encoding only, 7/27 (26%) manipulated the valence of the cue during retrieval
365 only, and 12/27 (44%) manipulated the valence of the cue during both encoding and retrieval.
366 Fourteen out of 27 (52%) studies used words as cues and 13/27 (48%) used images as cues.
367 In terms of age, studies typically sampled younger and older participants separately which
368 meant that age was tested as a categorical rather than continuous moderator. Within these

369 studies, 10/27 (37%) sampled older adults, and 17/27 (63%) sampled younger adults. Nine
370 out of 27 (33%) studies utilised focal cues and 18/27 (67%) utilised non-focal cues. With
371 regards to control for the level of arousal of prospective memory cues, only 4/27 (15%)
372 studies controlled for arousal across all three valences (positive, negative, and neutral), and
373 23/27 (85%) did not. However, 17/27 (63%) did control for the level of arousal between
374 positive and negative cues, compared to 10/27 (37%) that did not. Table 2 shows the results
375 of the series of meta-analyses, moderator analyses, along with the number of studies (k) and
376 total N for each analysis, the measure of heterogeneity (I^2) and the 95% Confidence Interval
377 for each effect size.

378 [Table 1 near here]

379 **Main Effects**

380 The magnitude of the influence of emotional cues ranged from $d = 0.07$ to $d = 0.32$
381 for the different valence comparisons. There were small significant effects of the influence of
382 positive cues (versus neutral: $d = 0.32$ [0.10, 0.54] $p < .01$; versus negative: $d = 0.29$ [0.11,
383 0.48] $p < .01$): Positively-valenced cues resulted in small improvements in prospective
384 memory compared to either neutral or negative cues. In contrast, negative cues did not have a
385 significant effect on prospective memory compared to neutral ($d = 0.07$ [-0.10, 0.24] p
386 $= .408$).

387 [Table 2 near here]

388 **Moderator Analyses**

389 ***Timing of emotional manipulation***

390 Each valence comparison for the influence of emotional cues was tested to see if the timing
391 of the emotional manipulation, i.e., manipulating the valence during either the encoding

392 phase only, the retrieval phase only, or during both encoding and retrieval, differentially
393 affected prospective memory. There were significant moderating effects of the timing of the
394 manipulation for both the negative versus neutral ($p < .01$) and positive versus negative ($p <$
395 $.01$) comparisons. The moderating effect of timing of the manipulation for positive versus
396 neutral comparisons was not significant ($p = .506$), suggesting that that the influence of
397 positive cues is relatively more consistent, regardless of which processes are affected.

398 When negatively-valenced cues were presented during encoding only, they produced
399 a detrimental effect on prospective memory compared to neutral cues ($d = -0.25 [-0.57, 0.06]$
400 $p = .108$). However, when negatively-valenced cues were presented during both encoding and
401 retrieval, they improved prospective memory performance ($d = 0.35 [0.08, 0.62]$ $p = .012$)⁴.
402 Presenting negative cues during retrieval only did not appear to influence prospective
403 memory significantly when compared to neutral cues ($d = -0.12 [-0.56, 0.32]$ $p = .602$). In
404 contrast, the effect of positive cues was similar regardless of which prospective memory
405 process they influenced. Positive cues presented only during the encoding phase improved
406 prospective memory ($d = 0.34 [-0.05, 0.73]$ $p = .080$) to a similar extent as presenting them

4 When performing the meta-analysis and meta-ANOVAs of negative versus neutral cue valence, one effect size (Rea et al., 2011) was identified as an outlier using a funnel plot and was subsequently excluded from the analysis. As a random-effects model was being used, studies with small sample sizes can have a disproportionately large influence on the overall effect size (Borenstein et al., 2009). In this case, the sample size was 13, and the effect size was $d_{unb} = -1.82$ (after correction for measurement error), meaning that including it would have an undue influence on the calculation of the combined effect size. Separate meta-analyses were conducted both including and excluding the study in question. Although the overall effect size for negative versus neutral cues did not change dramatically when including this study (0.07 without compared to 0.12 with), the effect size of negative versus neutral cues at encoding only did. Including the effect size from the Rea et al. (2011) study resulted in an overall effect size $d = -0.36$, but without including this study, the overall effect size was $d = -0.25$. Due to the large influence of this study's effect size in comparison to its small sample size ($N = 13$), the decision was taken to exclude it from this and all other analyses to retain consistency.

407 during both encoding and retrieval ($d = 0.33 [-0.03, 0.69] p = .072$). However, presenting
408 positive cues during retrieval only did not improve prospective memory compared to neutral
409 cues ($d = 0.01 [-0.62, 0.64] p = .978$). When comparing positive to negative cues, the timing
410 of the emotional manipulation also moderated the effects. Due to the clear difference between
411 the effects of negative and positive cues compared to neutral when presented during encoding
412 only, positive cues unsurprisingly showed a large benefit when compared to negative cues
413 when presented during encoding only ($d = 0.62 [0.30, 0.95] p < .001$). When the affective
414 valence of cues was manipulated during both encoding and retrieval, the difference between
415 positive compared to negative cues was small ($d = -0.06 [-0.35, 0.23] p = .686$). Studies
416 presenting emotional cues only during the retrieval phase found a benefit for positive over
417 negative cues ($d = 0.39 [0.02, 0.75] p = .039$).

418 ***Influence of age and cue type***

419 The moderators of sample age and cue type (pictures or words) were also tested to see
420 whether the influence of emotional cues differed between the levels of these variables. These
421 moderator analyses were, like the analyses above, also performed on the three separate meta-
422 analyses of the influence of emotional cues for the different valence comparisons. There was
423 no moderating effect of age for the influence of negative cues on prospective memory
424 compared to neutral cues ($p = .872$). Negative cues showed no overall influence for either
425 older adults ($d = 0.09 [-0.23, 0.41] p = .590$) or younger adults ($d = 0.05 [-0.23, 0.34] p =$
426 $.719$). However, for the overall significant influence of positive cues compared to neutral,
427 there appeared to be stronger benefits for older adults ($d = 0.41 [0.07, 0.74] p = .019$) than
428 younger adults ($d = 0.25 [-0.05, 0.55] p = .105$), although this difference was not statistically
429 significant ($p = .502$). This pattern was repeated for the benefit of positive cues over negative
430 cues (older: $d = 0.34 [-0.02, 0.70] p = .061$; younger: $d = 0.28 [0.00, 0.56] p = .052$).

431 There were no significant differences for the moderator of cue type for any of the
432 valence comparisons. Negative cues showed no overall influence compared to neutral
433 regardless of whether they were words ($d = 0.11 [-0.20, 0.42], p = .491$) or images ($d = 0.01$
434 $[-0.25, 0.32] p = .822$). Similarly, the significant overall benefit of positive cues compared to
435 neutral did not differ depending on whether words ($d = 0.33 [-0.03, 0.69] p = .068$) or images
436 ($d = 0.31 [0.01, 0.60] p = .041$) were used as the cues. The benefit of positive over negative
437 cues was also similar regardless of cue type (words: $d = 0.32 [0.00, 0.63] p = .049$; images: d
438 $= 0.29 [-0.02, 0.60] p = .066$).

439 ***Influence of control for arousal***

440 Two types of control for arousal were recorded during coding: Studies that had controlled for
441 the arousal level of cues only between positive and negative cues, and studies that had
442 controlled for arousal level across positive, negative, and neutral cues. The first type of
443 coding was relevant only for the meta-analysis of the valence comparison of positive versus
444 negative cues. The moderator analysis showed that there was no difference between the
445 overall effect size of positive versus negative cues from studies that had controlled for arousal
446 ($d = 0.30 [0.03, 0.56], p = .027$) and those than had not ($d = 0.31 [-0.09, 0.71], p = .126$).

447 The coding of whether studies controlled for arousal across all three types of cues was used
448 when considering the positive versus neutral and negative versus neutral analyses, although
449 only a small number of studies ($k = 4$) employed adequate controls. When arousal was not
450 controlled for, negative cues produced a small non-significant benefit compared to neutral
451 cues, ($d = 0.13 [-0.10, 0.36], p = .271$), however when arousal was controlled, negative cues
452 produced a small non-significant decrease in performance compared to neutral ($d = -0.20 [-$
453 $0.69, 0.28], p = .410$). The difference between these effect sizes was not significant. For the
454 positive versus neutral effect size, controlling for arousal eliminated any benefit of positive

455 cues ($d = 0.01 [-0.48, 0.50], p = .976$) compared to when arousal was not controlled for ($d =$
456 $0.40 [0.15, 0.64], p = .001$), although this difference was also non-significant.

457 *Influence of cue focality*

458 Cue focality (whether prospective memory cues were ‘focal’ and able to be detected using
459 similar cognitive processes to those required for the ongoing task) was also tested as a
460 moderating variable. For the effect size of negative cues versus neutral cues, focality was
461 found to be a significant moderator ($p < .01$). Studies that employed focal cue paradigms
462 found that negative cues enhanced prospective memory compared to neutral ($d = 0.49 [0.18,$
463 $0.80], p = .002$), whereas studies that used non-focal cues found that negative cues impaired
464 prospective memory ($d = -0.12 [-0.34, 0.09], p = .255$). However, for positive versus neutral
465 cues, focality was not a significant moderator. Positive cues were beneficial compared to
466 neutral regardless of whether they were focal ($d = 0.50 [0.10, 0.90], p = .014$) or non-focal (d
467 $= 0.24 [-0.03, 0.50], p = .078$), although focal cues showed a greater benefit. For the positive
468 versus negative comparison, focality was a significant moderator ($p < .05$), with positive cues
469 showing benefit compared to negative in non-focal designs ($d = 0.42 [0.19, 0.66], p < .001$),
470 but little difference between the cues when both were focal ($d = -0.04 [-0.43, 0.35], p = .832$).

471

Discussion

472 The present research represents the first attempt to review systematically the fragmented
473 literature on the influence of positively- or negatively-valenced cues on prospective memory
474 performance. Three separate meta-analyses were conducted to distinguish between the
475 different valences of the emotional influence. Overall, prospective memory performance was
476 better when positively-valenced cues were used compared to neutral cues ($d = 0.32$) and
477 negative cues ($d = 0.29$). In contrast, there was no overall benefit for negative over neutral
478 cues ($d = 0.07$). However, the effect of emotional cues was found to alter depending on the

479 phase of prospective memory in which the emotional manipulation was employed, i.e. during
480 the encoding phase only, the retrieval phase only, or both the encoding and retrieval phases.
481 During encoding, positively-valenced cues improved prospective memory compared to
482 neutral cues, but negatively-valenced cues produced a detrimental effect on subsequent
483 prospective memory performance. In contrast, when manipulating the valence of the cues
484 during both encoding and retrieval, both positive cues and negative cues improved
485 prospective memory performance in comparison to neutral cues. Furthermore, manipulating
486 the affective valence of the cues only during the retrieval phase showed much weaker effects
487 compared to neutral cues. The difference in the magnitude of the influences of emotional
488 cues - especially negative cues - on the separate processes of prospective memory suggests
489 that multiple mechanisms may underlie the influence of emotional cues on prospective
490 memory.

491 Whilst the attention-grabbing nature of emotional stimuli (Frischen et al., 2008;
492 Nummenmaa et al., 2006) has been suggested as a possible mechanism underlying the benefit
493 of cue valence on prospective memory (May et al., 2015), the present results do not fully
494 support this suggestion. Studies manipulating the valence of the prospective memory cues
495 only during the retrieval phase did not demonstrate substantial benefits to prospective
496 memory, suggesting that increased attention to prospective memory targets alone is not
497 sufficient to improve prospective memory. The process model of prospective memory
498 (Kliegel, Martin, McDaniel & Einstein, 2002) states that although factors relating to the
499 prospective memory cue itself may influence prospective memory during the retrieval phase,
500 the primary executive processes required during this phase relate to working memory and
501 cognitive flexibility. Thus, manipulating the emotionality of the cues during retrieval alone
502 may not have a strong enough influence to overcome other task demands that influence these
503 executive processes. However, it should be noted that the number of studies that manipulated

504 valence during retrieval only was very small. The analyses of positive versus neutral and
505 negative versus neutral effects contained only 4 and 3 effect sizes respectively. With such a
506 small number of studies, the overall effect size estimates are unlikely to be accurate, and this
507 is reflected in the large confidence intervals. Further research in which the emotional valence
508 of cues is manipulated only during retrieval is necessary to increase the accuracy of these
509 estimates.

510 Studies presenting emotional cues during both encoding and retrieval showed small-
511 to-medium benefits for prospective memory (Cohen, 1988). One explanation for why effects
512 were found when manipulating valence during both encoding and retrieval, but not during
513 retrieval only, may be that it is necessary to have previously encoded the emotional cues to
514 reap the benefits of any enhanced attention-grabbing properties provided during the retrieval
515 process. Studies that manipulated the valence of cues during retrieval only did so by
516 providing the category to which the cue belonged in the prospective memory instructions
517 (e.g. “pictures of animals”, Ballhausen et al., 2015), whereas studies manipulating cues
518 during both encoding and retrieval provided the exact cues that would later be seen in the
519 retrieval phase. Emotional stimuli are likely to grab attention during the retrieval phase but
520 may fail to trigger the prospective memory response if the stimuli themselves have not
521 previously been encoded and linked with the response. In contrast, encoding the exact
522 emotional stimuli as the prospective memory cue with the response means that not only is
523 attention drawn to the cue during the retrieval phase, but that the cue is subsequently likely to
524 be detected as relevant to the prospective memory intention, triggering the response. This
525 supports the encoding specificity principle (Tulving & Thomson, 1973) that states that
526 recognition of a cue is improved when the retrieval cue is more similar to the cue that was
527 originally encoded.

528 The finding that emotional cues seem to enhance the encoding specificity effect is
529 consistent with the suggestion of Buchanan (2007) that the affective valence of the cue is one
530 of the variables that contribute to the similarity that prompts recognition. Encountering an
531 emotional cue in the environment prompts an affective response, which means that memories
532 associated with the same affective response are more likely to be brought to mind. In this
533 case, the memories brought to mind are the encoding of the stimuli as a prospective memory
534 cue and the associated prospective memory response. This suggestion also explains why
535 manipulating the valence of the cue during retrieval only did not produce reliable effects on
536 prospective memory: The affective response that occurs in reaction to encountering a
537 prospective memory cue in the environment cannot prompt the retrieval of the prospective
538 memory response through the encoding specificity effect because the original prospective
539 memory cues encoded did not prompt a similar affective response. The present results are
540 also consistent with the findings of Hannon and Daneman (2007) who conducted the only
541 empirical study to date that explicitly manipulated the (perceptual) salience of cues during
542 encoding, retrieval and both encoding and retrieval. They found that whilst manipulating the
543 salience of cues during retrieval can influence prospective memory, a stronger influence
544 comes from a direct match between encoded cue and that observed during the retrieval phase.
545 These authors suggested that during encoding, one should consider multiple aspects of the
546 retrieval cue that are likely to occur during detection in order to maximise the similarity
547 between the encoding and retrieval contexts and prompt retrieval. The results of the present
548 research expand on this by suggesting that one should seek to encode a cue that prompts a
549 similar affective response to a cue that one expects to encounter in the environment.

550 Positive and negative cues showed similar benefits (compared to neutral) when
551 presented during both the encoding and retrieval phases. In contrast, positive and negative
552 cues showed differential effects when manipulated at encoding only. Presenting positive cues

553 at encoding improved prospective memory performance in comparison to neutral cues,
554 whereas presenting negative cues impaired it. There is evidence from the broader literature
555 that negatively-valenced stimuli receive enhanced perceptual processing and impaired
556 semantic processing (Kensinger & Schacter, 2008; Mickley & Kensinger, 2008; Sakaki,
557 Gorlick, & Mather, 2011). This leads to a focus on and enhanced memory for the intrinsic
558 perceptual details of the negative item (Kensinger, Garoff-Eaton, & Schacter, 2006; Pierce &
559 Kensinger, 2011). In the context of prospective memory cues, an enhanced focus on the
560 perceptual details of a cue would likely enhance subsequent detection and recognition of the
561 same cues, a finding supported by the results of the present meta-analysis showing improved
562 prospective memory performance for negative cues presented during both encoding and
563 retrieval. However, an enhanced focus on the perceptual details of a cue and diminished
564 processing of the semantic properties of a cue could also explain the detrimental effect of
565 negative stimuli presented at encoding only. If perceptual rather than semantic processing is
566 used to encode the cue, then subsequent cues that share the same semantic context as the
567 encoded cue but are not perceptually similar may not be detected as easily. For example, if
568 one focused on the perceptual details of a picture of a negatively-valenced image of a rat at
569 encoding, but the later cues belonging to the category of animals are dogs, then their
570 detection may be impaired. In contrast, presenting positively-valenced stimuli at encoding
571 improved prospective memory performance.

572 Processing positive stimuli has been shown to activate semantic and conceptual
573 processing to a greater extent than perceptual processing (Kensinger, 2009; Kensinger &
574 Schacter, 2008; Mickley & Kensinger, 2008). This enhanced conceptual processing may
575 facilitate the subsequent detection of cues that are semantically related to the encoded cues,
576 even if they are not perceptually similar. The differences between semantic and perceptual
577 processing in prospective memory cue detection have been investigated using neuroimaging

578 (Cousens et al., 2015), however there is little behavioural data available. Future research
579 should seek to explain the differences between positive and negative cues when valence is
580 manipulated during the encoding phase. Overall, the results of the present meta-analyses
581 suggest that the influence of valenced cues on prospective memory is underpinned by several
582 different mechanisms that result in different effects depending on the valence of the cues.
583 Presenting emotional cues at both encoding and detection improved prospective memory
584 performance for both negative and positive cues.

585 An alternative explanation for the observed differences between the effects of positive
586 and negative effects on prospective memory emerges from the moderator analyses of cue
587 focality. This variable significantly moderated the effect of negative cues on prospective
588 memory, but not positive cues. When negative cues were presented focally in the ongoing
589 tasks (i.e. cue detection required similar cognitive processes as those used to perform the
590 ongoing task), they improved prospective memory performance compared to neutral,
591 however when presented non-focally they did not. In contrast, the benefit of positive cues
592 compared to neutral was unaffected by whether they were presented focally or non-focally.
593 This pattern of findings suggests that beneficial effects of negative cues may be reliant on the
594 automatic spontaneous retrieval process that are thought to underlie cue detection (Einstein et
595 al, 2005; Scullin et al., 2010). Forcing more effortful cognitive monitoring for cues in non-
596 focal tasks may therefore preclude such an effect from occurring. In contrast, the benefits of
597 positive cues may operate via mechanisms that are immune to increased levels of cognitive
598 demand. However, it should be noted that there was a significant overlap between the coding
599 outcomes of cue focality and the timing of the emotional manipulations. For example, all
600 studies coded as being non-focal were necessarily also coded as affecting the retrieval
601 process only, and all studies coded as focal were necessarily coded as affecting both encoding
602 and retrieval. This makes it difficult to separate the relative influence of focality and the

603 influence of a match between the cue at encoding and retrieval previously discussed. Further
604 empirical work would be necessary to disentangle these influences.

605 The moderator analyses performed also highlight another unresolved issue in the
606 literature, namely the relative influences of valence and arousal of emotional cues. Arousal
607 has been postulated as a variable that may explain differences in emotional effects, as
608 opposed to valence (Kensinger, 2009; Mather & Sutherland, 2011). The analyses showed that
609 the benefit of positive cues compared to neutral was eliminated when considering only
610 studies that employed strict controls for arousal, suggesting that arousal may indeed play an
611 important role. However, this finding must be considered in the context that only four studies
612 employed such controls. Many studies reported attempting to control for arousal but did not
613 report the necessary statistical tests to confirm that any differences between the arousal levels
614 of cues were non-significant. This ambiguity means it is still unclear whether differences in
615 arousal may explain any emotional effects. However, it is clear that more attention needs to
616 be paid to controlling more carefully for arousal in future research to resolve this issue.

617 The effects of two other potential moderators on the influence of valenced cues on
618 prospective memory were also tested. The first variable tested was cue type. There did not
619 appear to be any overall effect of whether the cues used were words or images, suggesting
620 that both have similar influences on prospective memory. However, it is unclear whether the
621 different types of cues may produce differential effects in the separate phases of prospective
622 memory (encoding and retrieval). Insufficient numbers of studies were available to test
623 potential differential effects of words and pictures as a moderator in the sub-analyses, and so
624 the possibility that pictures and words differentially affect the encoding and retrieval phases
625 cannot be ruled out. The relationship between type of stimuli (word or picture) and the
626 default modality of processing (perceptual or semantic) is not straightforward, and instead

627 highly influenced by task demands (Miller, 2001). However, utilising different types of cues
628 may be a viable way of exploring the hypotheses suggested previously regarding differences
629 in modality of processing underlying emotional effects on prospective memory. More data
630 are needed in order to draw conclusions about how different types of cues affect prospective
631 memory, and also whether emotional effects can be extended to cues other than words or
632 pictures, for example auditory or olfactory stimuli.

633 The other moderating variable tested was age, which also showed no significant
634 moderating effects. The boost in prospective memory performance that positive cues gave
635 compared to neutral cues was similar for both older and younger adults. Although age
636 differences were observed in some individual studies (e.g. Altgassen et al., 2011;
637 Schnitzspahn et al., 2012), overall the present findings are consistent with those of a meta-
638 analysis by N. A. Murphy and Isaacowitz (2008) who found that older adults did not show a
639 significantly different preference for positive stimuli compared to younger adults. One
640 potential reason for discrepancies amongst studies that found age differences and those that
641 did not may be task difficulty. Prospective memory tasks that are more cognitively
642 demanding are associated with greater age differences (Henry et al., 2004) and it is plausible
643 that such an effect interacts also with any effects of emotion. Regrettably, not enough studies
644 were available to explore such a complex interaction in the present research but this line of
645 enquiry could be pursued with controlled experiments.

646 **Limitations and Avenues for Future Research**

647 The results of the present set of meta-analyses should be interpreted with several caveats in
648 mind. First, the small number of studies in many of the sub-analyses and the range of
649 different prospective memory tasks used in the studies are likely to have contributed to the
650 high heterogeneity observed in each set of effect sizes. The small magnitude of these non-

651 significant effect sizes suggest that many of the possible influences of emotional cues on
652 prospective memory lack any clear supporting evidence, or are at least highly influenced by
653 other moderating variables that could not be coded for.

654 Second, there are limitations within the body of studies analysed that are common to
655 many areas of emotion research. All the studies analysed in the current set of analyses
656 employed the trichotomy of ‘positive, negative, neutral’ and conceptualised the effect of
657 emotion using the dimension of valence, whilst also acknowledging (and in some cases
658 controlling for) arousal. However, the use of these dimensions ignores the individual effects
659 that discrete emotions may have. For example, although anger and anxiety are both
660 ‘negative’ emotions, they have been shown to have distinct effects on cognition (Lench,
661 Flores, & Bench, 2011). Furthermore, the reliance on arousal and valence measures to
662 classify emotional stimuli may ignore the contribution of appraisal variables, such as novelty,
663 personal relevance and ‘emotional impact’ that have not been controlled for in the present set
664 of studies but have been shown to affect attention and recollection (F. C. Murphy, Hill,
665 Ramponi, Calder, & Barnard, 2010) and so could also be expected to influence prospective
666 memory. Despite this, the evidence for the influence of valenced cues on prospective memory
667 from the present set of meta-analyses demonstrate that the dimensions of arousal and valence
668 have the ability to capture at least some of the influence of emotional stimuli on prospective
669 memory.

670 Third, limitations of the methodologies employed in the studies included in the meta-
671 analysis may represent a source of bias in the results. Overall, of the 27 studies included in
672 the analyses, only two employed between-participant designs with randomization to
673 conditions. The remaining studies used a counterbalanced order of emotional cues.
674 Counterbalancing can minimise the influence of serial order carryover effects associated with

675 repeated-measures designs, however some methods of counterbalancing do not cover all
676 possible carryover effects (Brooks, 2012). Carryover effects may be expected in the context
677 of presenting emotionally-valenced prospective memory cues, as affective responses to
678 stimuli have been shown to persist after the presentation of the stimuli ends (Garrett &
679 Maddock, 2001). Although between-participants designs also have drawbacks when used in
680 emotion research, for example due to the influence of individual differences in emotion
681 perception (Okon-Singer, Lichtenstein-Vidne, & Cohen, 2013); a greater balance of between-
682 participants and within-participants designs in future research on the topic should minimise
683 any drawbacks associated with either design.

684 **Conclusion**

685 This systematic review and meta-analyses were conducted to help bring together a disparate
686 literature on the effect of emotion on prospective memory. The aim was to quantify the
687 influence of emotional cues on prospective memory and to identify any sources of
688 inconsistency through moderator analyses. The results showed that whilst emotional cues can
689 improve prospective memory performance, the influence is dependent on the prospective
690 memory process affected by the manipulation. Manipulating the valence of the cues during
691 retrieval only does not improve prospective memory. In addition, manipulating the valence of
692 cues during encoding only produces differential effects for positive and negative cues:
693 Negative cues impair prospective memory whilst positive cues enhance it. However,
694 manipulating the emotional valence of a cue during both encoding and retrieval produces
695 reliable increases in prospective memory performance and is a promising strategy to improve
696 intention realisation.

697 **Disclosure of Interest**

698 The authors report no conflicts of interest.

699 **Acknowledgements**

700 This research was supported by the NIHR Manchester Biomedical Research Centre.

701

702 **References**

703 *Studies included in the meta-analyses are marked with an asterisk.

704

705 Adelman, J. S., & Estes, Z. (2013). Emotion and memory: A recognition advantage for
706 positive and negative words independent of arousal. *Cognition*, *129*, 530-535. doi:
707 10.1016/j.cognition.2013.08.014

708 *Altgassen, M., Henry, J. D., Burgler, S., & Kliegel, M. (2011). The influence of emotional
709 target cues on prospective memory performance in depression. *Journal of Clinical
710 and Experimental Neuropsychology*, *33*, 910-916. doi: 0.1080/13803395.2011.574607

711 *Altgassen, M., Phillips, L. H., Henry, J. D., Rendell, P. G., & Kliegel, M. (2010). Emotional
712 target cues eliminate age differences in prospective memory. *The Quarterly Journal
713 of Experimental Psychology*, *63*, 1057-1064. doi: 10.1080/17470211003770920.

714 *Ballhausen, N., Rendell, P. G., Henry, J. D., Joeffry, S., & Kliegel, M. (2015). Emotional
715 valence differentially affects encoding and retrieval of prospective memory in older
716 adults. *Aging Neuropsychology and Cognition*, *22*, 544-559. doi:
717 10.1080/13825585.2014.1001316

718 Baumeister, R. F., Vohs, K. D., DeWall, C. N., & Zhang, L. (2007). How emotion shapes
719 behavior: Feedback, anticipation, and reflection, rather than direct causation.
720 *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, *11*, 167-203. doi:
721 10.1177/1088868307301033.

722 Borenstein, M., Hedges, L. V., Higgins, J. P. T., & Rothstein, H. R. (2009). *Introduction to
723 Meta-Analysis*. Wiltshire: Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

724 Brooks, J. L. (2012). Counterbalancing for serial order carryover effects in experimental
725 condition orders. *Psychological Methods, 17*, 600-614. doi: 10.1037/a0029310

726 Brosch, T., Pourtois, G., & Sander, D. (2010). The perception and categorisation of emotional
727 stimuli: A review. *Cognition & Emotion, 24*, 377-400. doi:
728 10.1080/02699930902975754

729 Buchanan, T. W. (2007). Retrieval of emotional memories. *Psychological Bulletin, 133*, 761-
730 779. doi: 10.1037/0033-2909.133.5.761

731 Burkard, C., Rochat, L., & Van der Linden, M. (2013). Enhancing inhibition: How
732 impulsivity and emotional activation interact with different implementation
733 intentions. *Acta Psychologica, 144*, 291-297. doi: 10.1016/j.actpsy.2013.07.008

734 Calvo, M. G., & Lang, P. J. (2004). Gaze patterns when looking at emotional pictures:
735 Motivationally biased attention. *Motivation and Emotion, 28*, 221-243. doi:
736 10.1023/B:MOEM.0000040153.26156.ed

737 Carretie, L., Hinojosa, J. A., Martin-Loeches, M., Mercado, F., & Tapia, M. (2004).
738 Automatic attention to emotional stimuli: Neural correlates. *Human Brain Mapping,*
739 *22*, 290-299. doi: 10.1002/hbm.20037

740 Chen, S., Zhou, R., Cui, H., & Chen, X. (2013). Deficits in cue detection underlie event-
741 based prospective memory impairment in major depression: an eye tracking study.
742 *Psychiatry Research, 209*, 453-458. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2013.01.015

743 *Clark-Foos, A., Brewer, G. A., Marsh, R. L., Meeks, J. T., & Cook, G. I. (2009). The
744 valence of event-based prospective memory cues or the context in which they occur
745 affects their detection. *American Journal of Psychology, 122*, 89-98.

746 Clore, G. L., & Huntsinger, J. R. (2007). How emotions inform judgment and regulate
747 thought. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 11*, 393-399. doi: 10.1016/j.tics.2007.08.005

- 748 Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical power analyses for the behavioural sciences* (2nd ed.). New
749 York, NY: Academic Press.
- 750 *Cona, G., Kliegel, M., & Bisiacchi, P. S. (2015). Differential effects of emotional cues on
751 components of prospective memory: an ERR study. *Frontiers in Human
752 Neuroscience, 9*, 1-15. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2015.00010
- 753 Cooper, H., Hedges, L. V., & Valentine, J. C. (2009). *The Handbook of Research Synthesis
754 and Meta-Analysis* (2nd ed.). New York, NY: Russell Sage Foundation.
- 755 Cousens, R., Cutmore, T., Wang, Y., Wilson, J., Chan, R. C. K., & Shum, D. H. K. (2015).
756 Effects of perceptual and semantic cues on ERP modulations associated with
757 prospective memory. *International Journal of Psychophysiology, 98*, 151-156. doi:
758 10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2015.07.012
- 759 Cumming, G. (2012). *Understanding the new statistics. Effect sizes, confidence intervals, and
760 meta-analysis*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- 761 De Houwer, J., & Hermans, D. (1994). Differences in the affective processing of words and
762 pictures. *Cognition & Emotion, 8*, 1-20. doi: 10.1080/02699939408408925
- 763 DeCoster, J. (2009). *Meta-Analysis Notes*. Retrieved October 1st, 2015 from [http://www.stat-
764 help.com/notes.html](http://www.stat-help.com/notes.html).
- 765 Dolan, R. J. (2002). Emotion, cognition, and behavior. *Science, 298*, 1191-1194. doi:
766 10.1126/science.1076358
- 767 Einstein, G. O., McDaniel, M. A., Thomas, R., Mayfield, S., Shank, H., Morrisette, N., &
768 Breneiser, J. (2005). Multiple processes in prospective memory retrieval: Factors
769 determining monitoring versus spontaneous retrieval. *Journal of Experimental
770 Psychology: General, 134*, 327–342. doi:10.1037/0096-3445.134.3.327
- 771 Ellis, J., & Freeman, J. E. (2012). Ten Years On: Realizing Delayed Intentions. In M.
772 Kliegel, M. A. McDaniel, & G. O. Einstein (Eds.), *Prospective Memory: Cognitive,*

773 *Neuroscience, Developmental, and Applied Perspectives* (pp. 1-27). New York:
774 Routledge.

775 Flaisch, T., Imhof, M., Schmalzle, R., Wentz, K. U., Ibach, B., & Schupp, H. T. (2015).
776 Implicit and explicit attention to pictures and words: An fMRI-study of concurrent
777 emotional stimulus processing. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *6*, 1-16. doi:
778 10.3389/fpsyg.2015.01861

779 Frischen, A., Eastwood, J. D., & Smilek, D. (2008). Visual search for faces with emotional
780 expressions. *Psychological Bulletin*, *134*, 662-676. doi: 10.1037/0033-2909.134.5.662

781 Garrett, A. S., & Maddock, R. J. (2001). Time course of the subjective emotional response to
782 aversive pictures: relevance to fMRI studies. *Psychiatry Research-Neuroimaging*,
783 *108*, 39-48. doi: 10.1016/S0925-4927(01)00110-X

784 Gotlib, I. H., Jonides, J., Buschkuhl, M., & Joormann, J. (2011). Memory for affectively
785 valenced and neutral stimuli in depression: Evidence from a novel matching task.
786 *Cognition & Emotion*, *25*, 1246-1254. doi: 10.1080/02699931.2010.538374

787 *Graf, P., & Yu, M. (2015). Valenced cues and contexts have different effects on event-based
788 prospective memory. *PLoS One*, *10*, e0116953. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0116953

789 Hallam, G. P., Webb, T. L., Sheeran, P., Miles, E., Wilkinson, I. D., Hunter, M. D., . . .
790 Farrow, T. F. D. (2015). The neural correlates of emotion regulation by
791 implementation intentions. *PLoS One*, *10*, 21. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0119500

792 Hamann, S. B. (2001). Cognitive and neural mechanisms of emotional memory. *Trends in*
793 *Cognitive Sciences*, *5*, 394-400. doi: 10.1016/s1364-6613(00)01707-1

794 Hamann, S. B., Ely, T. D., Grafton, S. T., & Kilts, C. D. (1999). Amygdala activity related to
795 enhanced memory for pleasant and aversive stimuli. *Nat Neurosci*, *2*(3), 289-293. doi:
796 10.1038/6404

797 Hannon, B., & Daneman, M. (2007). Prospective memory: The relative effects of encoding,
798 retrieval, and the match between encoding and retrieval. *Memory, 15*(5), 572-604. doi:
799 10.1080/09658210701407281

800 Hedges, L. V., Cooper, H., & Bushman, B. J. (1992). Testing the null hypothesis in meta-
801 analysis: A comparison of combined probability and confidence interval procedures.
802 *Psychological Bulletin, 111*, 188-194. doi: 10.1037/0033-2909.111.1.188

803 *Henry, J. D., Joeffry, S., Terrett, G., Ballhausen, N., Kliegel, M., & Rendell, P. G. (2015).
804 Prospective memory function in late adulthood: Affect at encoding and resource
805 allocation costs. *PLoS One, 10*, 11. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0125124

806 Henry, J. D., MacLeod, M. S., Phillips, L. H., & Crawford, J. R. (2004). A meta-analytic
807 review of prospective memory and aging. *Psychology and Aging, 19*, 27-39. doi:
808 10.1037/0882-7974.19.1.27

809 Higgins, J. P., Thompson, S. G., Deeks, J. J., & Altman, D. G. (2003). Measuring
810 inconsistency in meta-analyses. *British Medical Journal, 327*, 557-560. doi:
811 10.1136/bmj.327.7414.557

812 Hunter, J. E., & Schmidt, F. L. (2004). *Methods of Meta-Analysis: Correcting Error and Bias*
813 *in Research Findings* (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE.

814 Ihle, A., Hering, A., Mahy, C. E. V., Bisiacchi, P. S., & Kliegel, M. (2013). Adult age
815 differences, response management, and cue focality in event-based prospective
816 memory: A meta-analysis on the role of task order specificity. *Psychology and Aging,*
817 *28*, 714-720. doi: 10.1037/a0033653

818 Kamp, S-M., Potts, G. F., & Donchin, E. (2015). On the roles of distinctiveness and semantic
819 expectancies in episodic encoding of emotional words. *Psychophysiology, 52*, 1599-
820 1609. doi: 10.1111/psyp.12537

821 Kelemen, W. L., Weinberg, W. B., Alford, H. S., Mulvey, E. K., & Kaeochinda, K. F. (2006).
822 Improving the reliability of event-based laboratory tests of prospective memory.
823 *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, *13*, 1028-1032. Doi: 10.3758/Bf03213920

824 Kensinger, E. A. (2009). Remembering the details: Effects of emotion. *Emotion Review*, *1*,
825 99-113. doi: 10.1177/1754073908100432

826 Kensinger, E. A., Garoff-Eaton, R. J., & Schacter, D. L. (2006). Memory for specific visual
827 details can be enhanced by negative arousing content. *Journal of Memory and*
828 *Language*, *54*, 99-112. doi: 10.1016/j.jml.2005.05.005

829 Kensinger, E. A., Garoff-Eaton, R. J., & Schacter, D. L. (2007). Effects of emotion on
830 memory specificity in young and older adults. *Journal of Gerontology: Psychological*
831 *Sciences*, *62*, P208-P215. doi: 10.1093/geronb/62.4.P208

832 Kensinger, E. A., & Schacter, D. L. (2008). Neural processes supporting young and older
833 adults' emotional memories. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, *20*, 1161-1173. doi:
834 10.1162/jocn.2008.20080

835 Kliegel, M., Martin, M., McDaniel, M. A., & Einstein, G. O. (2002). Complex prospective
836 memory and executive control of working memory: A process model. *Psychologische*
837 *Beiträge*, *44*, 303-318.

838 Kliegel, M., Phillips, L. H., & Jager, T. (2008). Adult age differences in event-based
839 prospective memory: A meta-analysis on the role of focal versus nonfocal cues.
840 *Psychology and Aging*, *23*, 203-208. doi: 10.1037/0882-7974.23.1.203

841 Kvavilashvili, L., & Ellis, J. (1996). Varieties of Intention: Some Distinctions and
842 Classifications. In M. A. Brandimonte, G. O. Einstein, & M. A. McDaniel (Eds.),
843 *Prospective Memory: Theory and Applications* (pp. 23-51). Mahwah, New Jersey:
844 Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc.

845 Lakens, D. (2013). Calculating and reporting effect sizes to facilitate cumulative science: a
846 practical primer for t-tests and ANOVAs. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 4. doi:
847 10.3389/Fpsyg.2013.00863

848 Leclerc, C. M., & Kensinger, E. A. (2011). Neural processing of emotional pictures and
849 words: A comparison of young and older adults. *Developmental Neuropsychology*,
850 36, 519-538. doi: 10.1080/87565641.2010.549864

851 Lench, H. C., Flores, S. A., & Bench, S. W. (2011). Discrete emotions predict changes in
852 cognition, judgment, experience, behavior, and physiology: A meta-analysis of
853 experimental emotion elicitations. *Psychological Bulletin*, 137, 834-855. doi:
854 10.1037/a0024244

855 Lipsey, M. W., & Wilson, D. B. (2001). *Practical Meta-Analysis*. Thousand Oaks, CA:
856 SAGE.

857 Lu, J., Sun, J., & Liu, W. (2008). The impacts of interpersonal and intrapersonal emotion
858 regulation on negative-emotion-induced prospective memory. *Acta Psychologica*
859 *Sinica*, 40, 1258-1265. doi: 118.145.16.229:81/Jweb_xlxb/EN/Y2008/V40/I12/1258

860 Maglio, S. J., Gollwitzer, P. M., & Oettingen, G. (2014). Emotion and control in the planning
861 of goals. *Motivation and Emotion*, 38, 620-634. doi: 10.1007/s11031-014-9407-4

862 *Marsh, R. L., Brewer, G. A., Jameson, J. P., Cook, G. I., Amir, N., & Hicks, J. L. (2009).
863 Threat-related processing supports prospective memory retrieval for people with
864 obsessive tendencies. *Memory*, 17, 679-686. doi: 10.1080/09658210903032762

865 Mather, M. (2007). Emotional arousal and memory binding: An object-based framework.
866 *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 2, 33-52. doi: 10.1111/j.1745-
867 6916.2007.00028.x

- 868 Mather, M., & Carstensen, L. L. (2005). Aging and motivated cognition: the positivity effect
869 in attention and memory. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, *9*, 496-502. doi:
870 10.1016/j.tics.2005.08.005
- 871 Mather, M., & Sutherland, M. R. (2011). Arousal-biased competition in perception and
872 memory. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, *6*, 114-133. doi:
873 10.1177/1745691611400234
- 874 *May, C. P., Manning, M., Einstein, G. O., Becker, L., & Owens, M. (2015). The best of both
875 worlds: emotional cues improve prospective memory execution and reduce repetition
876 errors. *Aging Neuropsychology and Cognition*, *22*, 357-375. doi:
877 10.1080/13825585.2014.952263
- 878 McDaniel, M. A., & Einstein, G. O. (2000). Strategic and automatic processes in prospective
879 memory retrieval: A multiprocess Framework. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, *14*,
880 S127-S144. doi: 10.1002/acp.775
- 881 McDaniel, M. A., Robinson-Riegler, B., & Einstein, G. O. (1998). Prospective remembering:
882 Perceptually driven or conceptually driven processes? *Memory & Cognition*, *26*, 121-
883 134. doi: 10.3758/bf03211375
- 884 McGann, D., Ellis, J., & Milne, A. (2003). Conceptual and perceptual processing in
885 prospective remembering. *European Journal of Cognitive Psychology*, *15*, 19-41. doi:
886 10.1080/09541440244000049
- 887 Mickley, K. P., & Kensinger, E. A. (2008). Emotional valence influences the neural
888 correlates associated with remembering and knowing. *Cognitive Affective &*
889 *Behavioral Neuroscience*, *8*, 143-152. doi: 10.3758/Cabn.8.2.143
- 890 Miller, P. (2001). The processing of pictures and written words: A perceptual and conceptual
891 perspective. *Psychology*, *2*, 713-720. doi: 10.4236/psych.2011.27109

892 *Mioni, G., Meligrana, L., Rendell, P. G., Bartolomei, L., Perini, F., & Stablum, F. (2015).
893 Event-based prospective memory in patients with Parkinson's disease: the effect of
894 emotional valence. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, *9*, 1-11. doi:
895 10.3389/fnhum.2015.00427

896 Mioni, G., Rendell, P. G., Stablum, F., Gamberini, L., & Bisiacchi, P. S. (2014). Test-retest
897 consistency of Virtual Week: A task to investigate prospective memory.
898 *Neuropsychological Rehabilitation*, *25*, 419-447. doi: 0.1080/09602011.2014.941295

899 Murphy, F. C., Hill, E. L., Ramponi, C., Calder, A. J., & Barnard, P. J. (2010). Paying
900 attention to emotional images with impact. *Emotion*, *10*, 605-614. doi:
901 10.1037/a0019681

902 Murphy, N. A., & Isaacowitz, D. M. (2008). Preferences for emotional information in older
903 and younger adults: A meta-analysis of memory and attention tasks. *Psychology and*
904 *Aging*, *23*, 263-286. doi: 10.1037/0882-7974.23.2.263

905 Nummenmaa, L., Hyona, J., & Calvo, M. G. (2006). Eye movement assessment of selective
906 attentional capture by emotional pictures. *Emotion*, *6*, 257-268. doi: 10.1037/1528-
907 3542.6.2.257

908 Ohman, A., Flykt, A., & Esteves, F. (2001). Emotion drives attention: Detecting the snake in
909 the grass. *Journal of Experimental Psychology-General*, *130*, 466-478. doi:
910 10.1037/0096-3445.130.3.466

911 Okon-Singer, H., Lichtenstein-Vidne, L., & Cohen, N. (2013). Dynamic modulation of
912 emotional processing. *Biological Psychology*, *92*, 480-491. doi:
913 10.1016/j.biopsycho.2012.05.010

914 Phelps, E. A., Ling, S., & Carrasco, M. (2006). Emotion facilitates perception and potentiates
915 the perceptual benefits of attention. *Psychological Science*, *17*, 292-299. doi:
916 10.1111/j.1467-9280.2006.01701.x

917 Pierce, B. H., & Kensinger, E. A. (2011). Effects of emotion on associative recognition:
918 Valence and retention interval matter. *Emotion, 11*, 139-144. doi: 10.1037/a0021287

919 Pilarczyk, J., & Kuniecki, M. (2014). Emotional content of an image attracts attention more
920 than visually salient features in various signal-to-noise ratio conditions. *Journal of*
921 *Vision, 14*, 1-19. doi: 10.1167/14.12.4

922 *Rea, M., Kullmann, S., Veit, R., Casile, A., Braun, C., Belardinelli, M. O., . . . Caria, A.
923 (2011). Effects of aversive stimuli on prospective memory. An event-related fMRI
924 study. *PLoS One, 6*, 1-9. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0026290

925 *Rendell, P. G., Henry, J. D., Phillips, L. H., Garcia, X. D., Booth, P., Phillips, P., & Kliegel,
926 M. (2012). Prospective memory, emotional valence, and multiple sclerosis. *Journal of*
927 *Clinical and Experimental Neuropsychology, 34*, 738-749. doi:
928 10.1080/13803395.2012.670388.

929 *Rendell, P. G., Phillips, L. H., Henry, J. D., Brumby-Rendell, T., Garcia, X. D., Altgassen,
930 M., & Kliegel, M. (2011). Prospective memory, emotional valence, and ageing.
931 *Cognition & Emotion, 25*, 916-925. doi: 10.1080/02699931.2010.508610

932 Robinson, M. D., Watkins, E. R., & Harmon-Jones, E. (2013). Cognition and emotion: An
933 introduction. In M. D. Robinson, E. R. Watkins, & E. Harmon-Jones (Eds.),
934 *Handbook of Cognition and Emotion* (pp. 3-18). Guildford Press: New York, NY.

935 Rude, S. S., Hertel, P. T., Jarrold, W., Covich, J., & Hedlund, S. (1999). Depression-related
936 impairments in prospective memory. *Cognition & Emotion, 13*, 267-276. doi:
937 10.1080/026999399379276

938 *Rummel, J., Hepp, J., Klein, S. A., & Silberleitner, N. (2012). Affective state and event-
939 based prospective memory. *Cognition & Emotion, 26*(2), 351-361. doi:
940 10.1080/02699931.2011.574873

941 Sakaki, M., Gorlick, M. A., & Mather, M. (2011). Differential interference effects of negative
942 emotional states on subsequent semantic and perceptual processing. *Emotion, 11*,
943 1263-1278. doi: 10.1037/a0026329

944 *Schnitzspahn, K. M., Horn, S. S., Bayen, U. J., & Kliegel, M. (2012). Age effects in
945 emotional prospective memory: Cue valence differentially affects the prospective and
946 retrospective component. *Psychology & Aging, 27*, 498-509. doi: 10.1037/a0025021

947 Scholz, U., La Marca, R., Nater, U. M., Aberle, I., Ehlert, U., Hornung, R., . . . Kliegel, M.
948 (2009). Go no-go performance under psychosocial stress: Beneficial effects of
949 implementation intentions. *Neurobiology of Learning and Memory, 91*, 89-92. doi:
950 10.1016/j.nlm.2008.09.002

951 Scullin, M. K., McDaniel, M. A., Shelton, J. T., & Lee, J. H. (2010). Focal/nonfocal cue
952 effects in prospective memory: Monitoring difficulty or different retrieval processes?
953 *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition, 36*, 736–
954 749. doi:10.1037/a0018971

955 *Singh, T., & Kashyap, N. (2016). Effect of cue characteristics on event based prospective
956 memory: Evaluating valence and presentation mode. *Psychological Studies, 61*, 13-
957 20. doi: 10.1007/s12646-015-0331-9

958 Tulving, E., & Thomson, D. M. (1973). Encoding specificity and retrieval processes in
959 episodic memory. *Psychological Review, 80*, 352-373. doi: 10.1037/h0020071

960 *Walter, N. T., & Bayen, U. J. (2016). Selective effects of acute alcohol intake on the
961 prospective and retrospective components of a prospective-memory task with
962 emotional targets. *Psychopharmacology, 233*, 325-339. doi: 10.1007/s00213-015-
963 4110-z

964 Williams, M. A., Moss, S. A., Bradshaw, J. L., & Mattingley, J. B. (2005). Look at me, I'm
 965 smiling: Visual search for threatening and nonthreatening facial expressions. *Visual*
 966 *Cognition*, *12*, 29-50. doi: 10.1080/13506280444000193

967 Wilson, D. B. (2005). Meta-analysis macros for sas, spss, and stata.
 968 Retrieved from <http://mason.gmu.edu/~dwilsonb/ma.html>

969 Yiend, J. (2010). The effects of emotion on attention: A review of attentional processing of
 970 emotional information. *Cognition & Emotion*, *24*, 3-47. doi:
 971 10.1080/02699930903205698

972 Yin, J., & Huang, X. (2016). The effects of emotion on prospective memory and its neural
 973 bases. *Advances in Psychology*, *6*, 465-471. doi:
 974 <http://dx.doi.org/10.12677/ap.2016.64062>

975 **Appendix A**

976 Effect sizes for within-subjects studies were calculated using the following equation from
 977 Cumming (2012):

978
$$d_{unb} = \left(1 - \frac{3}{4(n_1 + n_2) - 9}\right) \left(\frac{\bar{X}_1 - \bar{X}_2}{\sqrt{\frac{SD_1^2 + SD_2^2}{2}}}\right)$$

979 Effect sizes for between-subjects studies were calculated using the following equation from
 980 Cumming (2012):

981
$$d_{unb} = \left(1 - \frac{3}{4(n_1 + n_2) - 9}\right) \left(\frac{\bar{X}_1 - \bar{X}_2}{\sqrt{\frac{(n_1 - 1)SD_1^2 + (n_2 - 1)SD_2^2}{n_1 + n_2 - 2}}}\right)$$

982 Where n_1 is the number of participants in one of the emotional conditions, n_2 is the number
983 of participants in the comparison condition, \bar{X}_1 is the mean prospective memory ability score
984 for one of the emotional conditions, \bar{X}_2 is the mean prospective memory ability score for the
985 comparison condition, and SD_1 and SD_2 are the respective standard deviations associated
986 with the means.

987

988

989

990

991

Table 1. *Characteristics of Studies Included in the Meta-Analyses*

Study	Group	Emotions	Process affected	Study design	Cue type	Age	Focal	Control for arousal	N	Effect size d_{unb}				
										Neg vs. Neut	N	Pos vs. Neut	N	Pos vs. Neg
Altgassen, Henry, Burgler, & Kliegel (2011)	Non-depressed controls	Neg, Pos, Neut	E+R	W	W	Y	F	N	29	-0.17	29	0.45	29	0.65
Altgassen, Phillips, Henry, Rendell, & Kliegel (2010)	Young adults	Neg, Pos, Neut	E+R	W	I	Y	F	S	41	0.33	41	-0.12	41	-0.45
	Older adults	Neg, Pos, Neut	E+R	W	I	O	F	S	41	0.95	41	0.82	41	-0.24
Ballhausen, Rendell, Henry, Joeffry, & Kliegel (2015)	Experiment 1	Neg, Pos, Neut	R	W	W	O	N	A	24	-0.80	24	-0.10	24	0.70
	Experiment 2	Neg, Pos, Neut	E	W	W	O	N	A	24	-0.81	24	-0.67	24	0.14
Clark-Foos, Brewer, Marsh & Meeks (2009)	Experiment 1a	Pos, Neg	R	W	W	Y	N	S					30	0.66
	Experiment 1b	Pos, Neg	R	W	W	Y	N	S					30	0.37
	Experiment 1c	Pos, Neg	R	W	W	Y	N	S					30	0.45

Cona et al. (2015)		Neg, Pos, Neut	E+R	W	I	Y	F	S	24	0.57	24	0.24	24	-0.43
Graf & Yu (2015)	Experiment 2	Neg, Pos, Neut	R	B	I	Y	N	N	130	-0.42	130	-0.46	130	-0.04
Henry et al. (2015)	Young adults	Neg, Pos, Neut	E	W	I	Y	N	N	42	-0.15	42	-0.15	42	0.00
	Young-old adults	Neg, Pos, Neut	E	W	I	O	N	N	38	-0.10	38	0.03	38	0.13
Henry et al. (2015)	Old-old adults	Neg, Pos, Neut	E	W	I	O	N	N	29	0.09	29	-0.06	29	-0.15
Marsh et al. (2009)	Non-anxious controls	Neg, Neut	R	W	W	Y	N	N	25	0.22				
May, Manning, Einstein, Becker & Owens (2015)	Experiment 1 (young adults)	Neg, Pos, Neut	E+R	W	W	Y	F	S	40	0.69	40	0.87	40	0.23
	Experiment 1 (older adults)	Neg, Pos, Neut	E+R	W	W	O	F	S	32	0.67	32	0.77	32	0.06
	Experiment 2	Neg, Neut	E+R	W	W	O	F	S	24	0.04				
Mioni et al. (2015)	Healthy Controls	Neg, Pos, Neut	E	W	I	O	N	N	25	-0.60	25	0.76	25	1.46
Rea et al. (2011)		Neg, Neut	E+R	W	I	Y	F	N	13	-1.82				
Rendell et al. (2012)		Neg, Pos, Neut	E	W	I	Y	N	S	60	-0.40	60	0.38	60	0.83

Rendell et al. (2011)	Young adults	Neg, Pos, Neut	E	W	I	Y	N	S	30	-0.44	30	1.12	30	1.54
	Older adults	Neg, Pos, Neut	E	W	I	O	N	S	30	0.28	30	1.56	30	1.55
Rummel, Hepp, Klein & Silberleitner (2012)	Neutral mood only	Neg, Pos, Neut	R	W	W	Y	N	N	46	0.41	46	0.55	46	0.20
Schnitzspahn, Horn, Bayen & Kliegel (2012)	Young adults	Neg, Pos, Neut	E+R	W	W	Y	N	A	45	-0.07	45	0.10	45	0.16
	Older adults	Neg, Pos, Neut	E+R	W	W	O	N	A	41	0.74	41	0.63	41	-0.16
Singh & Kashyap (2016)		Pos, Neg	E+R	B	W	Y	F	N					40	0.94
Walter & Bayen (2016)	Non-alcohol controls	Neg, Pos, Neut	E+R	W	I	Y	N	S	38	-0.07	38	-0.10	38	-0.04

Note. Process affected: E = Encoding only; R = Retrieval only; E+R = Encoding and retrieval. Study design: W = Within participants; B = Between participants. Cue type: W = Words; I = Images. Age: Y = Young adults; O = Older adults. Focality: F = Focal cues; N = Non-focal cues. Control for arousal: A = Controlled for arousal across all cues; S = Controlled for arousal only between positive and negative cues; N = No adequate control for arousal. All effect sizes are corrected for measurement error.

Table 2. *Results of the Meta-Analyses.*

Influence of Emotion	Emotional Contrast	<i>k</i>	Total <i>N</i>	Effect Size	95% CI	Corrected Effect Size	Corrected 95% CI	<i>p</i>	<i>Q</i>	<i>Q sig.</i>	<i>I</i> ²
Cue (all)	Neg vs. Neut	22	857	0.06	(-0.07, 0.19)	0.07	(-0.10, 0.24)	.408	128.76	<.001	0.85
Cue (all)	Pos vs. Neut	20	808	0.24**	(0.07, 0.41)	0.32**	(0.10, 0.54)	<.01	114.40	<.001	0.86
Cue (all)	Pos vs. Neg	24	938	0.23**	(0.09, 0.37)	0.29**	(0.11, 0.48)	<.01	133.91	<.001	0.83
Cue (encoding only)	Neg vs. Neut	8	278	-0.19	(-0.41, 0.03)	-0.25	(-0.54, 0.03)	.082	18.11	.012	0.61
Cue (encoding only)	Pos vs. Neut	8	278	0.24	(-0.03, 0.51)	0.34	(-0.02, 0.69)	.061	59.92	<.001	0.88
Cue (encoding only)	Pos vs. Neg	8	278	0.45**	(0.21, 0.70)	0.62**	(0.30, 0.95)	<.001	64.54	<.001	0.89
Cue (encoding & retrieval)	Neg vs. Neut	10	355	0.31**	(0.12, 0.51)	0.40**	(0.14, 0.65)	<.01	47.75	<.001	0.86
Cue (encoding & retrieval)	Pos vs. Neut	9	331	0.31*	(0.07, 0.56)	0.40*	(0.07, 0.73)	.016	35.80	<.001	0.87
Cue (encoding & retrieval)	Pos vs. Neg	10	371	0.01	(-0.21, 0.23)	-0.04	(-0.32, 0.25)	.812	28.25	<.001	0.70
Cue (retrieval only)	Neg vs. Neut	4	224	-0.09	(-0.40, 0.23)	-0.11	(-0.52, 0.29)	.585	21.15	<.001	0.86
Cue (retrieval only)	Pos vs. Neut	3	199	0.01	(-0.42, 0.44)	0.01	(-0.56, 0.59)	.968	13.90	<.001	0.86
Cue (retrieval only)	Pos vs. Neg	6	289	0.30*	(0.02, 0.59)	0.39*	(0.02, 0.75)	.038	8.28	.142	0.40

Note. *k* = number of effect sizes included in the analysis. Total *N* = number of participants included in the analysis. *Q* is a measure of heterogeneity and *I*² is a measure of inconsistency. **p* <.05 ***p* <.01.