Two centuries of masting data for European beech and Norway spruce across the European continent
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**Abstract**

Tree masting is one of the most intensively studied ecological processes. It affects nutrient fluxes of trees, regeneration dynamics in forests, animal population densities, and ultimately influences ecosystem services. Despite a large volume of research focused on masting, its evolutionary ecology, spatial and temporal variability and environmental drivers are still matter of debate. Understanding the proximate and ultimate causes of masting at broad spatial and temporal scales will enable us to predict tree reproductive strategies and their response to changing environment.

Here we provide broad spatial (distribution range-wide) and temporal (century) masting data for the two main masting tree species in Europe, European beech (*Fagus sylvatica* L.) and Norway spruce (*Picea abies* (L.) H. Karst.). We collected masting data from a total of 359 sources through an extensive literature review and from unpublished surveys. The dataset has a total of 1747 series and 18348 yearly observations from 28 countries and covering a time span of years 1677-2016 and 1791-2016 for beech and spruce, respectively. For each record, the following information is available: identification code; species; year of observation; proxy of masting (flower, pollen, fruit, seed, dendrochronological reconstructions); statistical data type (ordinal, continuous); data value; unit of measurement (only in case of continuous data); geographical location (country, Nomenclature of Units for Territorial Statistics NUTS-1 level, municipality, coordinates); first and last record year and related length; type of data source (field survey, peer reviewed scientific literature, grey literature, personal observation); source identification code; date when data were added to the database; comments. To provide a ready-to-use masting index we harmonized ordinal data into five classes. Furthermore, we computed an additional field where continuous series with length >4 years where converted into a five classes ordinal index. To our knowledge, this is the most comprehensive published database on species-specific masting behaviour. It is useful to study spatial and temporal patterns of masting and its proximate and ultimate causes, to refine studies based on tree-ring chronologies, to understand dynamics of animal species and pests vectored by
these animals affecting human health, and it may serve as calibration-validation data for dynamic forest models.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Masting, i.e., the synchronous and highly variable production of large crops of flowers, fruit or seeds by a population of plants, is a widespread reproductive strategy in tree species (Crone and Rapp 2014, Pearse et al. 2016). It has immediate effects on the regeneration of forest species and cascading effects on the food web, as it provides large quantities of pollen for insects and seeds for frugivore animals (Koenig and Knops 2005). For example, mast years have frequently been linked with animal population dynamics and migrations (Perrins 1965, Boutin et al. 2006). In forestry, masting in trees is critical for scheduling silvicultural treatments (Ascoli et al. 2015). In tree-ring studies, masting usually overlaps and affects the climate signals in tree ring chronologies due to reduced growth in mast years (Mencuccini and Piussi 1995, Koenig and Knops 1998, Drobyshev et al. 2014, Hacket-Pain et al. 2015). Finally, it has important consequences on human health, because of pollen allergies and epidemic diseases vectored by frugivorous (Reil et al. 2015, Bogdziewicz and Szymkowiak 2016).

Despite the extensive literature on masting ecology, its evolutionary context, spatial and temporal variability, and the related proximate drivers are still a matter of debate (e.g., Kelly et al. 2013, Koenig et al. 2015, Pearse et al. 2014, Pesendorfer et al. 2016). Similarly, the effects of climate warming on masting remain to be fully tested (Schauber et al. 2002, Monks et al. 2016). Understanding proximate and ultimate causes (*sensu* Pearse et al. 2016) of masting on a broad spatial (range-wide) and temporal (century) scale could enable better prediction of these reproductive events (Koenig and Knops 2005). In the light of climate change, the calibration and
validation of vegetation models accounting for masting-climate interactions could improve models accuracy in predicting species range shifts (Snell et al. 2014) and support the development of adaptive management strategies.

To date, masting data have been largely available at site and regional level to test hypotheses and to build models, but restrictions occur because of their temporal limitation to only a few decades. Several studies have collected extensive data to study masting behaviour over large geographical areas for many plant species. Valuable datasets which contributed greatly to improve masting studies include those published by Herrera et al. (1998), Koenig and Knops (2000), Kelly and Sork (2002), Schaub et al. (2002) and Kelly et al. (2013). However, these datasets consisted of data from many diverse species resulting in a reduced number of observations at single species level e.g., mean observation number is 179 per species in Koenig and Knops (2000; Table 1). In addition, they have rarely exceeded a span of few decades (range in Herrera et al. 1998, Table A1: 4-33 years; range in Kelly and Sork 2002: 6-35 years). In contrast, long-term studies (> century) based on single species are often not continuous and limited in their geographical extent (e.g., Fagus sylvatica L. in Southern Sweden in Drobyshhev et al. 2014). These shortcomings have restricted the possibility of testing hypotheses on masting and modelling at adequate spatial and temporal scale.

We collected extensive data on masting of two of the most important masting tree species of the European continent: European beech (Fagus sylvatica L.) and Norway spruce (Picea abies (L.) H. Karst.). The database covers the complete distribution range of European beech and a large proportion of that of Norway spruce in Europe, extending over a period of two centuries. It provides information on annual flowering, airborne pollen, fruit and seed production and consists of both ordinal and continuous data. We included also two mast year series reconstructed using dendrochronology, and a series of pollen concentration in lake sediments assessed at an annual-resolution. To provide a ready-to-use masting index we harmonized ordinal data into five classes. Furthermore, we computed an additional field where continuous series with length > 4 years where
converted into a five classes ordinal index. We collected data from published and unpublished studies. Data sources are fully documented.

Potential uses of this database (hereafter MASTREE) include testing hypotheses on proximate and ultimate causes of masting, calibration and validation of tree masting models, assessing the effects of climate change on tree reproduction investment, and an enhanced understanding of the effects of masting on tree ring chronologies. Furthermore, MASTREE is a reference masting database that is not restricted to its initial component species or to geographical region.

METADATA

CLASS I. DATA SET DESCRIPTORS

A. Data set identity: The tree masting database (the MASTREE database)

B. Data set identification code: MASTREE_2016.11.csv

C. Data set description

1. Originators: Davide Ascoli, University of Naples Federico II, via Università 100, 80055 Portici, Napoli, Italy; Janet Maringer, Institute for Landscape Planning and Ecology, Keplerstr. 11, 70174 Stuttgart, Germany.

2. Abstract

Tree masting is one of the most intensively studied ecological processes. It affects nutrient fluxes of trees, regeneration dynamics in forests, animal population densities, and ultimately influences ecosystem services. Despite a large volume of research focused on masting, its evolutionary ecology, spatial and temporal variability and environmental drivers are still matter of debate.
Understanding the proximate and ultimate causes of masting at broad spatial and temporal scales will enable us to predict tree reproductive strategies and their response to changing environment. Here we provide broad spatial (distribution range-wide) and temporal (century) masting data for the two main masting tree species in Europe, European beech (*Fagus sylvatica* L.) and Norway spruce (*Picea abies* (L.) H. Karst.). We collected masting data from a total of 359 sources through an extensive literature review and from unpublished surveys. The dataset has a total of 1747 series and 18348 yearly observations from 28 countries and covering a time span of years 1677-2016 and 1791-2016 for beech and spruce, respectively. For each record, the following information is available: identification code; species; year of observation; proxy of masting (flower, pollen, fruit, seed, dendrochronological reconstructions); statistical data type (ordinal, continuous); data value; unit of measurement (only in case of continuous data); geographical location (country, Nomenclature of Units for Territorial Statistics NUTS-1 level, municipality, coordinates); first and last record year and related length; type of data source (field survey, peer reviewed scientific literature, grey literature, personal observation); source identification code; date when data were added to the database; comments. To provide a ready-to-use masting index we harmonized ordinal data into five classes. Furthermore, we computed an additional field where continuous series with length >4 years where converted into a five classes ordinal index. To our knowledge, this is the most comprehensive published database on species-specific masting behaviour. It is useful to study spatial and temporal patterns of masting and its proximate and ultimate causes, to refine studies based on tree-ring chronologies, to understand dynamics of animal species and pests vectored by these animals affecting human health, and it may serve as calibration-validation data for dynamic forest model.

**D. Key words**: mast seeding; mast fruiting; pollen; fructification; reproduction; synchrony; tree regeneration
CLASS II. RESEARCH ORIGIN DESCRIPTORS

A. Overall project description

1. Identity: The tree masting database (the MASTREE database)

2. Originators: Davide Ascoli, University of Naples Federico II, via Università 100, 80055 Portici, Napoli, Italy; Janet Maringer, Institute for Landscape Planning and Ecology, Keplerstr. 11, 70174 Stuttgart, Germany.


4. Objectives:

   1. To improve knowledge of masting patterns at a broad spatial and temporal scale.
   2. To enable hypotheses testing related to proximate and ultimate causes of masting.
   3. To support improvement of vegetation dynamics models.

5. Abstract: same as above.

6. Sources of funding: The paper was partly funded by the “Fondo Ricerca Locale 2015-2016” of the University of Torino and by the Stiftelsen Stina Werners fond (grant SSWF 10-1/29-3 to I.D.).

B. Specific subproject description

1. Site description: Data were obtained for most of the distribution range of both beech and spruce. The distribution area of beech covered by the database includes the lowland plains in southern Scandinavia (Denmark, Sweden) and northern Germany, Poland and Ukraine to United Kingdom, France and Benelux countries; the colline and the submontane elevation zone (600 – 1,100 m a.s.l.) in Central and Eastern Europe (Austria, Bosnia Herzegovina, Croatia, Czech Republic, Hungary, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Switzerland); the montane-altimontane elevation zones (1,100 – 1,900 m a.s.l.) of Southern Europe (Italy, Greece, Spain) (Bohn et al. 2003). For spruce, the data covers the mountainous regions in Central and Eastern Europe (Austria, Bosnia Herzegovina, Czech Republic, France, Germany, Italy, Romania, Switzerland) and northern Europe (Estonia, Finland,
Norway, Poland, United Kingdom, Sweden), and as far as the Russian Federation on the eastern most sites (Bohn et al. 2003).

2. Experimental or sampling design: Data were obtained from published sources, unpublished surveys, and from observations made by the authors. See research methods below.

3. Research methods: We conducted a systematic review of the published data to reconstruct beech and spruce masting. Peer-reviewed journals were searched in ISI Web of Knowledge and Google Scholar. In the case of secondary literature, the original source data was used. Mast data published in reviews were cross-checked for redundancy and the original data source was used whenever possible (e.g., Jenny 1987 in Hilton and Packam 2003). We also searched Google Scholar, Google search engine, OPACplus of the Bavarian Central Library, the global Karlsruhe Virtual Catalog and the Austrian BFW literature database for non-peer-reviewed articles and unpublished data, which were for the most part published or collected by foresters (e.g., Burkhardt 1875). Book searches were also conducted (e.g., Dengler 1944) using Google books. The search terms were beech or spruce masting in an appropriate selection of European languages: Austria, Germany and German speaking Switzerland = Samenjahr, Mastjahr, Ernteaussichten, Blühen and Fruktifizieren; Czech Republic= semenný rok; France, French speaking Switzerland, and Belgium= fainée (specific for beech); Denmark= oldenår; Hungary= bükkmakk (specific for beech); Italy and Italian speaking Switzerland = pasciona; Netherland= mastjaar; Poland= urodzaju nasion; Romania= fructificatie abundenta, an de samamta; Russian= год с обильным плодоношением; Spain= vecería; Sweden= ollonår. Additionally, we contacted experts from governmental and private forest nurseries, ministries for the environment, and research institutes. For each data record, the column SourceType reflects the type of source used for data collection (Field survey, Scientific literature, Grey literature, Personal observation), which can also be seen as an indicator of data accuracy (Class IV.B.9). Information on the data sources is coded in the column SourceCode and the reference (full reference if published, responsible agency or person if unpublished) is given below (Class IV.B.10).
To minimize loss of information from the original source, we have designed the database to include quantitative data on flower, pollen, fruit, seeds, and tree-ring proxies. Masting proxies such as animal population dynamics, seedling age, or disease carriers (e.g., *Hantavirus*) were not included.

4. Project personnel:

**Principal investigator:** Davide Ascoli

**Main associated investigator:** Janet Maringer

**Contributors:** Andy Hacket-Pain, Marco Conedera, Igor Drobyshov, Renzo Motta, Mara Cirolli, Władysław Kantorowicz, Christian Zang, Silvio Schueler, Luc Croisé, Pietro Piussi, Roberta Berretti, Ciprian Palaghianu, Marjana Westergren, Jonathan G.A. Lageard, Anton Burkhard, Regula Gehrig Bichsel, Peter A. Thomas, Burkhard Beudert, Rolf Övergaard, Giorgio Vacchiano

CLASS III. DATA SET STATUS AND ACCESSIBILITY

A. Status

1. **Latest Update:** January 2017

2. **Latest Archive data:** January 2017

3. **Metadata status:** The metadata are complete and up to date as January 2017.

4. **Data verification:** The quality of the data has been carefully reviewed by the authors. Data has undergone substantial checking throughout preliminary statistical analysis (e.g., cross-check for redundancies, spatial correlation, testing of common proximate masting cues). All records are associated to a specific source and a related reference.

B. Accessibility

1. **Storage location and medium:** Supporting Information associated with this Data Paper published in *Ecology.* An original data file exists on the server of the University of Naples and University of Turin, Italy, and University of Stuttgart, Germany.
2. Contact person: Davide Ascoli, Dipartimento di Agraria, University of Naples Federico II, via Università 100, 80055 Portici, Napoli, Italy. E-mail: davide.ascoli@unina.it, URL: https://www.docenti.unina.it/davide.ascoli

3. Copyright restrictions: None

4. Proprietary restrictions: None

5. Costs: None

CLASS IV. DATA STRUCTURAL DESCRIPTORS

A. Data Set File

1. Identity: MASTREE_2016.11.csv

2. Size: 19 columns and 18348 records (not including header row)

3. Format and storage mode: comma-separated values (.csv). No compression scheme was used.

4. Header information: Headers describe the content of each column and are: ID, Species, Yr, Proxy, VarType, Value, Unit, ORDmast, Country, NUTS1, Location, Coordinates, Start, End, Length, SourceType, SourceCode, AccessionDate, Comments.

5. Alphanumeric attributes: mixed

6. Special characters/fields: in the Location and SourceCode columns we removed the following special characters: à, à, ä, ã, ă, ã, ě, Ė, Ė, Ė, Ė, ȯ, ȯ, ȯ, ȯ, ś, ś, ū, ū, ū, ū, ý, ų, ų, ų, to avoid complications in uploading and using the file.

7. Authentication procedure:

The sum of column ORDmast column is 42622. The number of characters in the whole dataset is 1,705,019 (excluding spaces and separations between columns and headers).

B. Variable information

1. Variable definition
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable name</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Data format</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>Unique identifier (see B.2)</td>
<td>Alphanumeric, 9 characters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Species identifier</td>
<td>Character string, up to 4 characters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yr</td>
<td>Year of observation</td>
<td>Numeric, integer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proxy</td>
<td>Proxy used to quantify masting (see B.3)</td>
<td>Character string, up to 15 characters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VarType</td>
<td>Variable type: O – categorical ordinal, C – continuous</td>
<td>Character string, up to 1 character</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>A number that gives the level of the masting proxy (see B.4)</td>
<td>Numeric, integer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit</td>
<td>The unit of measurement of the masting proxy, only if the variable is continuous, i.e., VarType= C</td>
<td>Character string, up to 63 characters (spaces included)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORDmast</td>
<td>An ordinal index (1 to 5) of the intensity of masting (see B.5)</td>
<td>Numeric, integer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country</td>
<td>The country where the observation was recorded</td>
<td>Character string, 9 characters (spaces included)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUTS1</td>
<td>The Nomenclature of Units for Territorial Statistics (NUTS-1) level where the observation was recorded (see B.6)</td>
<td>Alphanumeric, 5 characters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>The municipality or specific site (e.g., Nature Reserve) where the observation was recorded (see B.7)</td>
<td>Character string, up to 29 characters (spaces included)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Data Type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coordinates</td>
<td>Geographical coordinates (UTM lat/long in degrees, minutes, seconds) of the stand where data were collected</td>
<td>Numeric, integer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Start</td>
<td>First year of a continuous segment of observations</td>
<td>Numeric, integer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End</td>
<td>Last year of a continuous segment of observations</td>
<td>Numeric, integer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>Length in years of a continuous segment of observations (see B.8)</td>
<td>Numeric, integer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SourceType</td>
<td>Field survey, Scientific literature, Compilation, Grey literature, Personal observation (see B.9)</td>
<td>Character string, up to 2 characters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SourceCode</td>
<td>Identification code for the source (published or unpublished references) from which the data have been obtained. See B.10 for the complete list.</td>
<td>Character string, up to 74 characters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AccessionDate</td>
<td>Date when the observation was uploaded in the database</td>
<td>Date in month-year format</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments</td>
<td>Additional comments in free format</td>
<td>Character string, up to 171 characters</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Only Unit, ORDmast, Location, Coordinates and Comments include empty cells; the other columns have entries for all rows.

2. ID: unique identifier code

The unique identifier code is composed by 8 or 9 alphanumeric characters.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1&lt;sup&gt;st&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Species identifier (FASY = <em>Fagus sylvatica</em>; PIAB = <em>Picea abies</em>).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2&lt;sup&gt;nd&lt;/sup&gt;, 3&lt;sup&gt;rd&lt;/sup&gt; positions</td>
<td>Country code (AT=Austria, BE=Belgium, BA=Bosnia Herzegovina, HR=Croatia, CZ=Czech Republic, DK=Denmark, EE=Estonia, FI=Finland, FR=France, DE=Germany, EL=Greece, HU=Hungary, HR=Croatia, IT=Italy, LU=Luxemburg, NL=Netherlands, NO=Norway, PL=Poland, RO=Romania, RU=Russia, RS=Serbia, SK=Slovakia, SI=Slovenia, ES=Spain, SE=Sweden, CH=Switzerland, UA=Ukraine, UK=United Kingdom).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; to 7&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; positions</td>
<td>Numeric code that identifies a series collected with the same method at a single location in a given country (unique combination of the 10&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;, 11&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;, 12&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; columns). The code starts from 0001 for each country. In few long surveys (e.g., SourceCode = UK survey…, SourceCode = AFZ…) location and methods were maintained constant at a specific location although the source publishing the survey changed through time. In these few cases we inserted the same numeric code (4&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; to 7&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; position of the ID) although there might correspond to more than one source.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; to 9&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; positions</td>
<td>Alphabetical code that identifies a specific temporal segment of a series (i.e., A, B, C, … , Z, AA, AB, AC, … , AZ). To avoid existing hiatuses in the mast series we divided them into multiple segments, excluding in this way the periods with missing observations. Despite missing years, the structure of the record ID makes it possible to identify discontinuous segments collected using the same method at the same location by exhibiting the same values in the ID positions 4&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; to 7&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; but different letters in 8&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; to 9&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; positions.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The 4th columns reports the type of proxy used to quantify beech and spruce masting. The database is designed to collect as much information as possible; consequently, we included:

1. **Flowering**: mass flowering is a common and direct indicator of masting (e.g., Schauber et al. 2002). However, cancelling factors of masting such as late frost may occur during or after flowering inhibiting the pollination or subsequent fruit and seed development (Kelly and Sork 2002).

2. **Pollen**: a strong positive relationship has been found between beech and spruce airborne pollen and seed crop (e.g., Pidek et al. 2010, Kasprzyk et al. 2014). Quantity of pollen directly affects pollination efficiency and thus the percentage of sound seeds (Nilsson and Wastljung 1987, Norton and Kelly 1988, Koenig et al. 2015).

3. **Fruit/Cone**: a strong linear relationship has been found between fruits of beech and spruce and their respective seeds (e.g., Ascoli et al. 2015).

4. **Seed**: the most common indicator to assess masting (Pearse et al. 2016).

5. **Dendro**: dendrochronological reconstruction of mast years, based on the split calibration-verification of the growth depressions in regional master chronologies (Drobyshev et al. 2014).

6. **Pollen_sediment**: Similar as point 2 (airborne pollen), but using pollen influx data from varved (laminated at annual resolution) lake sediments as masting indicator.

4. **Value**: value of the proxy

The 6th column reports the value of the masting proxy. According to the original source, the value is expressed as a continuous value (VarType=C) or as an ordinal scale ranging from 1 to 5 (VarType=O).

Continuous data accounted for 33% of the observations in the database. If the measure is expressed as a continuous number, the original annual value as reported by the published or unpublished
source is reported (in the case of data published in scientific journals, any available Figure or Table number from which data were taken is indicated in the column “Comments”).

Ordinal data accounted for the remaining 67% of observations. As with previous attempts at creating masting databases using ordinal data (Koenig and Knops 2000, Kelly and Sork 2002), we faced the problem of varying number of categories (range 3-9) when recording masting data from different sources. Following the approach of Koenig and Knops (2000), we harmonized the number of classes for all ordinal series, adopting a five class standard, as this had been used by several pre-existing official surveys (e.g., United Kingdom survey, European Aerobiological Network – EAN, Italian State Forest Service – CFS), in many scientific papers (e.g., Jenny 1987, Hilton and Packam 2003, Watcher 1964) and in the longest recorded series (i.e., Hase 1964). The five ordinal categories expressing the annual masting level are as follow: 1 – very poor mast; 2 – poor; 3 – moderate; 4 – good; and 5 – full mast year.

Hereafter, we provide some examples of how we harmonized ordinal data to this five class system.

Series ID: FASYDE0051A; SourceCode: Maurer 1964

Maurer (1964) presented data of seed masting on a three-class scale and expressed the mast events as poor, half-mast, and full-mast. We converted this three-class scale to the five-class one assigning poor to class 1; half-mast to class 3; full-mast to class 5, as suggested by Koenig and Knops (2000).

Series ID: from FASYDE0098A to FASYDE0182A and from PIABDE0090A to PIABDE0136A; SourceCode AFZ Year(issue n.)

The German survey published by the Allgemeine Forst Zeitschrift für Wald und Forstwirtschaft (AFZ) uses a four-class scale. The annual intensity of flowering and the yield of seeds of the previous year are published in the ‘Allgemeine Forstzeitschrift’ (later ‘AFZ der Wald’). Since 1991, the intensity of flowering is systematically categorized in: class 1: no mast year (0-10% blossoms); class 2: local mast (11-30% blossoms); class 3: half mast (31-60% blossoms); class 4: full mast
In some cases, flowering is reported as “half to full mast” (i.e., 3rd to 4th AFZ class). The data were transformed to the five-class system assigning “no mast year” to class 1, “local mast year” to class 2, “half mast year” to class 3, “half to full mast year” to class 4 and a “full mast year” to class 5.

Series ID: from FASYAT0051A to FASYAT0278A; SourceCode: BFW archive

The Austrian survey is based on a 4 class system similar to the German one. However, the categories are given in ascending order from 1: full mast to 4: no mast (Nather 1962). For the database, the Austrian classification (AS) system was transferred into the common five classes where 4-AS is 1 (very poor mast), 3-AS and 2-AS are 3 (moderate mast), and 1-AS is 5 (full mast). Based on practical difficulties of differentiating between categories 2-AS and 3-AS, these were combined in one class. If masting was reported as 1-2-AS these observations were assigned to class 4.

Series ID: FASYUK0022A; SourceCode: Perrins 1965

Perrins (1965) presented data of seed masting on a nine-class scale and expressed the mast events as nil, nil-poor, poor, poor-moderate, moderate, moderate-good, good, good-abundant, abundant. We converted the nine-class scale to the five-class one assigning “nil” to class 1; “nil-poor, poor” to class 2; “poor-moderate, moderate” to class 3; “moderate-good, good” to class 4; “good-abundant, abundant” to class 5.

Series ID: FASYBE0008A and FASYSE0005A; SourceCode: Latte et al. 2016 and Drobyshev et al. 2014

In case of sources reporting single observations of full-mast years (e.g., Latte et al. 2016, page 199: “However, for three mature beech stands located in the same locality, 1995, 2000, 2002, 2004 and 2011 were qualified as heavy mast years”), or dendrochronological reconstruction based on annual
tree ring growth depressions in regional master chronologies (Drobyshev et al. 2014), we assigned an ordinal value equal to class 5.

5. Ordinal masting index

The 8th column is a ready-to-use ordinal index of masting in 5 classes (class 1=very poor; class 5: very abundant) which includes all ordinal series (note: for ordinal series, ORDmast reports the same value displayed in the column Value) and all continuous series longer than four years, after being converted into the 1 to 5 ordinal scale. The procedure for data conversion from continuous to ordinal is described below.

i) For each ordinal series (VarType=O) with length > 4 years, we calculated the relative frequencies of the five ordinal masting classes; ii) for each species separately, we computed the mean relative frequency of each class across all series; iii) we re-classified each continuous series (VarType=C) with length > 4 years into 5 classes, using as percentile cut-offs the mean relative frequencies of the respective species.

Mean relative frequencies used for the conversion were:

Beech = class 1: 0.352; class 2: 0.279; class 3: 0.189; class 4: 0.082; class 5: 0.098
Spruce = class 1: 0.425; class 2: 0.237; class 3: 0.161; class 4: 0.080; class 5: 0.097

6. NUTS1: Nomenclature of Units for Territorial Statistics

The 10th column reports the code of the Nomenclature of Units for Territorial Statistics (NUTS-1) administrative level where data were collected. Non-EU countries where beech masting data were recorded (i.e., Russia, Ukraine, Serbia, Croatia, Bosnia and Herzegovina) were also included in the database with dummy NUTS-1 codes. When the source did not provide sufficient information to assign the observation to a specific NUTS-1, we give the country code followed by “#”, e.g., AT#, DE#, UK#. In the case of the German masting survey, we assigned each region of the survey to the most overlapping NUTS-1 level.
7. Location

The 11th column reports more detailed geographical information than the NUTS-1 level (e.g., region, municipality, Nature Reserve name). In some cases, observations were made from different stands at the same general location, without further specific locational information (i.e., coordinates). In these cases we report the name of the location followed by the stand number (e.g., Asiago_stand1, Asiago_stand2, …, Asiago_stand5). If there was no geographic information apart from the NUTS-1 level, the location cell was left empty, i.e., the NUTS-1 level represents the only geo-referencing for the observation.

8. Length

The 15th column results from the difference between the last and first year of a continuous segment in a given series plus one (i.e., End – Start + 1). It refers to the length of any single segment of a series (see the ID description Class IV.B.2). It is equal to 1 in case of one or more discontinuous single year observations at a specific location, or when continuous series present missing data, resulting a segment of length 1 year (e.g., as recorded in the Hase 1964 series for the Schleswig-Holstein location in the years 1685, 1687, 1712, 1714, 1720, 1730, 1734, 1742, 1744, 1746, 1838 and 1843).

9. SourceType

The 16th column describes the general methods of gathering the information and the related accuracy. Four possible cases are considered:

FS = Field survey. Published or unpublished data obtained from an official survey. The data collection followed the same method for several years on permanent sites.

SL = Scientific literature. Published data obtained from a scientific, peer-reviewed journal.
GL = Grey literature. Published data obtained from a research produced outside of the academic publishing (e.g., administrative reports, Masters thesis).

PO = Personal observation. Data from visual estimation or personal experience.

The first and second categories are considered the most accurate information, while the others are viewed as less accurate.

10. Source Code

The 17th column provides a code that refers to the data source (SourceCode). Complete references are listed below. Note that the references include field surveys, published articles, grey literature and personal observations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Code</th>
<th>Full Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Issue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Title</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1979</td>
<td>Prognosen der Waldsamenernte 1979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1981</td>
<td>Prognosen der Waldsamenernte 1981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jahr</td>
<td>AFZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1988</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Title</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ascoli, D.; Personal observation</td>
<td>Ascoli, D.; Personal observation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASP Bayern</td>
<td>Bayerisches Amt für forstliche Saat- und Pflanzenzucht Kontinuität und Wandel (Bavarian Office for Forest Seeding and Planting Continuity and Change)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Strong correlation between summer temperature and pollen accumulation rates for <em>Pinus sylvestris</em>, <em>Picea abies</em> and <em>Betula</em> spp. in a high-resolution record from northern Sweden. Journal of Quaternary Science. 22(7): 653-658.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bergstedt 1965</td>
<td>Bergstedt, B. O. 1965. Distribution, reproduction, growth and dynamics of the rodent species <em>Clethrionomys glareolus</em> (Schreber), <em>Apodemus flavicollis</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Text</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BFW archive</td>
<td>Department of Forest Genetics, Federal Research and Training Centre for Forests, Natural Hazards and Landscape (BFW), Vienna, Austria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BFW online</td>
<td>Department of Forest Genetics, Federal Research and Training Centre for Forests, Natural Hazards and Landscape (BFW). 2015. Pollen-Samenproduktion österreichischer Waldbäume. (<a href="http://bfw.ac.at/rz/pollen.main?bart_in=01.0&amp;jahr_in=2009">http://bfw.ac.at/rz/pollen.main?bart_in=01.0&amp;jahr_in=2009</a>)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authors</td>
<td>Title</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bjedov et al. 2016</td>
<td>Utjecaj uroda sjemena obične bukve (Fagus sylvatica l.) na populacije sitnih glodavaca i pojavnosti hantavirusa u šumama nacionalnog parka “Plitvička Jezera” i parka prirode “Medvednica”.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Braun; Personal observation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brodovych; Personal observation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Title</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burkart, A.; Personal observation</td>
<td>Burkert, A.; Personal observation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cansiglio; Regione Veneto</td>
<td>Survey of the &quot;Foresta Demaniale Regionale del Cansiglio&quot;, Regione Veneto</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFS_UTB_Peri</td>
<td>Corpo Forestale dello Stato, Ministero delle Politiche Agricole, Alimentari e Forestali, Ufficio territoriale per la Biodiversità di Verona - CNBF di Peri, Italiano</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFS_UTB_Pieve_Santo Stefano</td>
<td>Corpo Forestale dello Stato, Ministero delle Politiche Agricole, Alimentari e Forestali, Ufficio territoriale per la Biodiversità di Pieve Santo Stefano, Italiano</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chamberlain et al. 2007</td>
<td>Chamberlain, D. E., Gosler, A. G. and D. E. Glue. 2007. Effects of the winter beechmast crop on bird occurrence in British gardens: Capsule Woodland birds were significantly less likely to occur in gardens in years of high beechmast crop. Bird Study. 54(1): 120-126.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Croatian forests Ltd. Zagreb</td>
<td>Croatian forests, Ltd., Zagreb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author(s)</td>
<td>Year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dobrovolný &amp; Tesař</td>
<td>2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La Domenica dell’Agricoltore</td>
<td>VII(24), 1932</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EAN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emborg</td>
<td>1998</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Title and Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1984</td>
<td>Eriksson, M.</td>
<td>Winter breeding in three rodent species, the bank vole Clethrionomys glareolus, the yellow-necked mouse Apodemus flavicollis and the wood mouse Apodemus sylvaticus in southern Sweden. Ecography. 7(4): 428-429.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forst Thuringia</td>
<td>Forst Thuringia unoublished data: C. Rösner, Referat Forstsaatgutberatung, forstliches Forschungs- und Kompetenzzentrum Gotha, Referat Monitoring, Klima und Forschung, Gotha (e-mail 2016-05-10)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Citation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hacket-Pain;</td>
<td>Hacket-Pain, A.; Personal observation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>observation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Studia Forestalia Suecica 33, ed. Skogshögskolan, Stockholm.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Han et al. 2011</td>
<td>Han, Q., Kabeya, D. and G. Hoch. 2011. Leaf traits, shoot growth and seed production in mature Fagus sylvatica trees after 8 years of CO2 enrichment.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oikos. 22(2): 183-198.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herbst et al. 2015</td>
<td>Herbst, M., Mund, M., Tamrakar, R., and A. Knohl. 2015. Differences in</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>carbon uptake and water use between a managed and an unmanaged beech forest in central Germany. Forest Ecology and Management 355: 101-108.</td>
<td>Herget; Personal observation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Hoelzl et al. 2015 | Hoelzl, F., Bieber, C., Cornils, J. S., Gerritsmann, H., Stalder, G. L., Walzer, C. and T. Ruf. 2015. How to spend the summer? Free-living dormice (Glis...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Text</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>glis et al.</td>
<td>glis) can hibernate for 11 months in non-reproductive years. Journal of Comparative Physiology. 185(8): 931-939.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Publication Details</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Citation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kantorowicz</td>
<td>Forest Research Institute (Poland)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Page Content</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Konnert; Personal observation</td>
<td>Konnert, M.; Personal observation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krynytskyy; Personal observation</td>
<td>Krynytskyy, V.; Personal observation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lavnyy; Personal observation</td>
<td>Lavnyy, M.; Personal observation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lebl et al. 2011</td>
<td>Lebl, K., Kürbisch, K., Bieber, C., and T. Ruf. 2010. Energy or information?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source</td>
<td>Details</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LFD</td>
<td>LFD - Landesforstdirektion Südtirol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MastWeb</td>
<td>Forschungsanstalt für Wald, Schnee und Landschaft WSL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><a href="http://www.wsl.ch/mastweb/resultate/index_DE">http://www.wsl.ch/mastweb/resultate/index_DE</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maringer; Personal</td>
<td>Maringer, J.; Personal observation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>observation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mencuccini &amp;</td>
<td>Mencuccini, M., and P. Piussi. 1995. Production of seed and cones and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author(s)</td>
<td>Title</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MeteoSwiss</td>
<td>Federal Office of Meteorology and Climatology (MeteoSwiss), Switzerland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Citation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niklasson 2003</td>
<td>Niklasson, M. 2003. En undersökning av trädåldrar i halländska</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Nilsson & Wastljung 1987  

Nilsson 1985  

Nopp-Mayr et al. 2012  

Novakova et al. 2011  

Nussbaumer et al. 2014  

Nussbaumer et al. 2016  

Nussbaumer presentation  
Nussbaumer, A., Etzold, S., Waldner, P. and Dobbertin, M. Carbon allocation to fruits and seeds in European forests as a function of climate,
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Citation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Häufigkeit und Intensität der Fruktifikation der Buche.</td>
<td>AFZ-Der Wald. 6: 26-29.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palaghianu; Personal observation</td>
<td>Palaghianu, C.; Personal observation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Summary</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>along a N–S transect in Europe based on pollen traps. Vegetation History and Archaeobotany. 19(4): 259-270.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pietro, P., Istituto di Selvicoltura, Facoltà di Agraria, Università di Firenze; unpublished data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Text</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Pucek et al. 1993  
Pukkala 2010  
Regierungspräsidium Freiburg  
Relazioni annuali della Sezione Forestale del Canton Ticino. Dipartimento del territorio, Repubblica e Cantone del Ticino, Bellinzona.  
Renecofor  
French National Forest Office, National Network for Long-term FOREst ECOsystem Monitoring  
Rohle et al. 2009  
Rohrig et al. 1978  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Title and Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Romania Survey</td>
<td>Romanian National Forest Administration - Romsilva, Suceava County Division</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schneck; unpublished data</td>
<td>Schneck, R.; unpublished data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author(s)</td>
<td>Year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Text</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRS</td>
<td>Survey of the Public Forest Enterprise &quot;Sume Republike Srpske&quot; (Forests of the Republic of Srpska; <a href="http://www.sumers.org/portal/index.php">http://www.sumers.org/portal/index.php</a>)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staatsklenge Forstamt Nagold</td>
<td>Staatsklenge Forstamt Nagold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tirén 1935</td>
<td>Tirén, L., 1935. Om granens kottsättning, dess periodicitet och samband med temperatur och nederbör (On the fruit setting of spruce, its periodicity and...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Text</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trauboldt; Personal observation</td>
<td>Trauboth, V.; Personal observation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK survey 2008-2016</td>
<td>UK survey - Lageard, J.G.A and Thomas, P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacchiano; Personal</td>
<td>Vacchiano, G.; Personal observation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observation</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Details</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westergren et al.; unpublished data</td>
<td>Westergren, M., Bozic, G., Brus, R., Grecs, Z., Minic, M., and H. Kraigherm; unpublished data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WSL</td>
<td>Swiss Federal Institute for Forest, Snow and Landscape Research (WSL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wu et al. 2013</td>
<td>Wu, J., Larsen, K. S., van der Linden, L., Beier, C., Pilegaard, K. and A. Ibrom. 2013. Synthesis on the carbon budget and cycling in a Danish, temperate deciduous forest. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology. 181: 94-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data compiler</th>
<th>Data description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zwander H.; Pollenwarndienst – Karnten</td>
<td>Zwander H., Klagenfurt; EAN-Datenbank Wien. Bearbeitung: R. Litschauer, Genetik, BFW-Wien</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10. Comments

For some data, a brief comment provided by the data compiler may be included in the 18th column. In the case of continuous data from scientific and the grey literature, we report the table or figure number (if available) from which we extracted data values.

CLASS V. SUPPLEMENTARY DESCRIPTORS

A. Data acquisition

Data forms: n/a

Location of completed data forms: n/a

Data entry/verification procedures: Data were introduced in a spreadsheet from published references and unpublished series. The main compilers (Ascoli and Maringer) reviewed all individual series to homogenise criteria and to detect any inconsistencies.

B. Quality assurance/quality control procedures: see Authentication procedure (Class IV).
C. Related material: n/a

D. Computer programs and data processing algorithms:
The file can be read using different statistical, database or spreadsheet software. The command line
to read it in R version 3.2.5 (R Development Core Team 2016) reads:
```
dataFrameName <- read.csv("MASTREE_2016.11.csv")
```

E. Archiving: n/a

F. Publications using the data set: The full data set has not yet been used in any publication. Several
papers using the database are in preparation by the same authors.

G. History of data set usage: n/a (the data has not yet been used by any secondary user).
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