

Please cite the Published Version

Rowe, EC, Jones, L, Dise, NB, Evans, CD, Mills, G, Hall, J, Stevens, CJ, Mitchell, RJ, Field, C, Caporn, S, Helliwell, RC, Britton, AJ, Sutton, MA, Payne, RJ, Vieno, M, Dore, AJ and Emmett, BA (2017) Metrics for evaluating the ecological benefits of decreased nitrogen deposition. Biological Conservation, 212 (Part B). pp. 454-463. ISSN 0006-3207

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2016.11.022

Publisher: Elsevier

Version: Accepted Version

Downloaded from: https://e-space.mmu.ac.uk/618342/

(cc) BY-NC-ND

Usage rights: tive Works 4.0 Creative Commons: Attribution-Noncommercial-No Deriva-

Additional Information: This is an Author Accepted Manuscript of a paper accepted for publication in Biological Conservation, published by and copyright Elsevier

Enquiries:

If you have questions about this document, contact openresearch@mmu.ac.uk. Please include the URL of the record in e-space. If you believe that your, or a third party's rights have been compromised through this document please see our Take Down policy (available from https://www.mmu.ac.uk/library/using-the-library/policies-and-guidelines)

1 2

Metrics for evaluating the ecological benefits of decreased nitrogen deposition

Rowe EC1*, Jones L1, Dise NB2, Evans CD1, Mills G1, Hall J1, Stevens CJ3, Mitchell RJ4, Field C5, 3 Caporn SJM⁵, Helliwell RC⁴, Britton AJ⁴, Sutton M³, Payne, RJ⁶, Vieno M³, Dore AJ³, & Emmett BA¹ 4

- 5 6 ¹ Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, ECW, Deiniol Road, Bangor, LL57 2UW, UK.
- 7 ² Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Bush Estate, Penicuik, EH26 0OB, UK.
- ³ Lancaster Environment Centre, Lancaster University, Lancaster, LA1 4YQ, UK.
- 8 ⁴ The James Hutton Institute, Craigiebuckler, Aberdeen, AB15 8OH, UK.
- 9 10 ⁵ Manchester Metropolitan University, Manchester, M15 6BH, UK.
- ⁶ Environment, University of York, York, YO10 5DD, UK, 11
- 12 * Corresponding author. Email: ecro@ceh.ac.uk. Tel: +44 1248 374524.

13 14 Abstract

15

16 Atmospheric pollution by reactive nitrogen (N) can have profound effects on ecosystem functioning and biodiversity. Numerous mechanisms are involved, and response times vary among habitats and 17 18 species. This complex picture can make it difficult to convey the benefits of controlling N pollution to 19 policy developers and the public. In this study we evaluate pressure, midpoint, and endpoint metrics for 20 N pollution, considering those currently in use and proposing some improved metrics. Pressure metrics that use the concept of a critical load (CL) are useful, and we propose a new integrated measure of 21 22 cumulative exposure above the CL that allows for different response times in different habitats. 23 Biodiversity endpoint metrics depend greatly on societal values and priorities and so are inevitably 24 somewhat subjective. Species richness is readily understood, but biodiversity metrics based on habitat 25 suitability for particular taxa may better reflect the priorities of nature conservation specialists. Midpoint 26 metrics indicate progress towards desired endpoints - the most promising are those based on empirical 27 evidence. Moss tissue N enrichment is responsive to lower N deposition rates, and we propose a new 28 Moss Enrichment Index (MEI) based on species-specific ranges of tissue N content. At higher N 29 deposition rates, mineral N leaching is an appropriate midpoint indicator. Biogeochemical models can 30 also be used to derive midpoint metrics which illustrate the large variation in potential response times 31 among ecosystem components. Metrics have an important role in encouraging progress towards 32 reducing pollution, and need to be chosen accordingly.

- 33
- Keywords: ammonium, global change, nitrate, nutrient, recovery. 34 35

36 Highlights:

37 Metrics are important for communicating progress in decreasing nitrogen (N) pollution

- 38 We evaluate pressure, midpoint, and endpoint metrics for N pollution
- 39 We propose new pressure metrics based on recent deposition above the critical load
- Moss tissue N, and N leaching, are good midpoints at low, and high, N deposition 40
- 41 Biodiversity endpoints need to reflect societal values as well as natural science
- 42
- 43
- 44

45

46 Introduction

47

48 Atmospheric pollution by reactive nitrogen (N) is a global threat to biodiversity (Bobbink et al., 2010; Pardo et al., 2011; Phoenix et al., 2006; Sala et al., 2000) and is driving major changes in semi-natural 49 habitats (e.g. Clark et al., 2013; Hauck et al., 2013; Song et al., 2012; Stevens et al., 2011a). Nitrogen 50 51 availability often constrains plant growth (Elser et al., 2007), and although alleviating N limitation is of critical importance in agricultural systems (Ladha et al., 2005; Vanlauwe and Giller, 2006), the 52 consequences of increased N deposition in more natural systems can be profound. Impacts can also be 53 54 long-lasting because of N retention and recycling within the ecosystem, and because of depletion of 55 seed banks (Basto et al., 2015) and delayed recolonisation. Efforts to decrease atmospheric N pollution 56 need to be supported by an understanding among scientists and policymakers of the effects of present-57 day and historic emissions on ecosystems. Metrics have an important role in communicating the effects 58 of policy decisions. We assessed current metrics used to represent benefits of decreases in N deposition, 59 and propose new metrics to better represent nitrogen pressure and responses.

60

72

Many types of observations have been proposed as indicators of N pollution, such as plant tissue N 61 concentration, litter C/N ratio, or plant species richness, but these are sometimes difficult to measure, 62 63 not consistently related to the degree of pollution by N, or affected not only by N pollution but by 64 management change and other drivers. A complicating factor is that N pollution is beneficial in some respects, not only as 'free' fertiliser for farmers and foresters but by increasing the fixation and storage 65 of carbon (C) in woodlands, at rates estimated at 15-40 kg C kg⁻¹ N (de Vries and Posch, 2011). 66 67 However, untargeted applications of N are inefficient and have unintended consequences. Overall assessments also need to take into account the major impacts of atmospheric N pollution on human 68 69 health and on tropospheric ozone formation, but here we focus on metrics suitable for assessing the 70 direct impacts of N on ecosystems. Metrics can: 71

- a) represent the *pressure*, defined as "physical expression of human activities that could change the status of the environment in space and time" (EEA, 2015), on the ecosystem;
- b) illustrate achievement of a desired *endpoint*, i.e. an aspect of the environment that is directly important and relevant to people. Examples are metrics that can be directly related to favourable conservation status, or that indicate attainment or failure of a water quality target;
- c) be seen as *midpoints* or "links in the cause-effect chain" (Bare et al., 2000) that represent progress towards or away from a desired endpoint, e.g. chemical conditions that make it likely that this endpoint will be achieved in future, or reductions in the abundance of a species that point to eventual local extinction.

The terms do not necessarily relate to the timescale of change, and 'midpoint' does not mean progress half-way towards a goal. The same metric may have a different role in relation to different targets – for example, the concentration of nitrate (NO_3^{-}) in soil leachate is an endpoint metric for water quality since it is "of direct relevance to society's understanding of the final effect" (Bare et al., 2000), but a midpoint indicator for biodiversity since it indicates progress towards changes in biological diversity.

85

86 Nitrogen affects terrestrial vegetation through direct toxic effects (especially on lichens and 87 bryophytes), by increasing the growth of tall, fast-growing plants at the expense of shorter-growing and 88 stress-tolerant species, and by the acidifying effect of nitrate leaching (Jones et al., 2014). Most evidence 89 for biodiversity impacts is from studies on plants, although other taxa are affected via impacts on plants 90 (Feest et al., 2014), in particular animals that require open microsites that may be shaded by increased 91 vascular plant growth (Wallis de Vries and Van Swaay, 2006). Changes in plant tissue stoichiometry may also affect invertebrate herbivores directly (Vogels et al., 2013). Sensitive species can decline at 92 very low absolute N deposition rates (Payne et al., 2013; Stevens et al., 2011c), or very low absolute 93 94 ammonia (NH₃) concentrations (Cape et al., 2009). The form of N pollution can alter impacts on 95 habitats, although whether it is oxidised or reduced N that is more damaging seems to be habitat-specific 96 (van den Berg et al., 2016). Experiments on the effect of N form may have been influenced by effects 97 on soil pH of the added counterion, and in any case the ratio of reduced to oxidised N in the soil 98 environment is mainly determined by soil conditions and may differ greatly from the ratio in deposited 99 N (Stevens et al., 2011b). Given these considerations, it seems adequate to consider total N flux as an

indicator of N pollution pressure rather than NO_x and NH_y fluxes separately (RoTAP, 2012). By contrast, gaseous ammonia is phyto-toxic at much lower concentrations than nitrogen oxides and so needs to be considered separately. Nitrogen oxides also have an important role in the formation of ground-level ozone, harmful effects of which are reviewed elsewhere (e.g. Mills et al., 2016).

104

Air pollution policy makes extensive use of the concept of 'critical load' (CL), defined as "a quantitative 105 106 estimate of an exposure to one or more pollutants below which significant harmful effects on specified 107 sensitive elements of the environment do not occur according to present knowledge" (Nilsson and 108 Grennfelt, 1988). Critical Load values for N have been defined on the basis of contribution to the acidity 109 balance or of acceptable loss and immobilisation fluxes (Spranger et al., 2004). Another approach is to determine the CL using experimental and survey evidence regarding the N deposition rates at which 110 111 biogeochemical or ecological changes begin to occur in different habitats, resulting in 'empirical' values (CL_{empN}) (Bobbink and Hettelingh, 2011). The CL framework has been highly effective in driving 112 reductions in sulphur pollution (Amann et al., 2011; Hordijk, 1991) and remains widely used in policy 113 114 development.

115

Effects of N on ecosystems may be delayed by chemical buffering, and by delays in biological responses 116 to the changed environment (Figure 1). As N deposition rate increases, declines in pH may be buffered 117 by cation exchange or mineral weathering; and available N concentrations in soil solution may be 118 119 buffered by increased immobilisation or by plant uptake. Plant nutrient uptake is a critical process in ecosystems, and biological responses may occur before discernable change in soil solution N 120 concentration. Nevertheless, there are likely to be delays in biological responses to such chemical 121 122 effects as changes in tissue stoichiometry. Organisms may persist for a time even in unfavourable environments. Conversely, organisms are often unable to immediately colonise a site where the 123 environment has become more favourable, particularly where the species has become extinct in the 124 locality. Limited or no recovery from N pollution has been observed in several studies where 125 experimental treatments ceased (Power et al., 2006; Silvertown et al., 2006; Strengborn et al., 2001), 126 127 although recovery has been observed in some cases (Královec et al., 2009). Reasons for variation in 128 recovery responses are discussed further in Stevens (2016).

129



131

Figure 1. (Adapted from Posch et al., 2004). Delayed effects of changes in N deposition on a chemical indicator and a biological indicator in: a) a strongly-buffered ecosystem, and b) an ecosystem with limited buffering capacity. Deposition above the critical load causes a chemical response, for example in conditions in the soil solution, to exceed a critical level after time ($t_2 - t_1$). The biological response to these conditions is further delayed, and only becomes critical after time ($t_3 - t_1$), called the Damage Delay Time (DDT). Biological recovery after deposition declines

138 below the critical load will similarly be delayed, by the Recovery Delay Time (RDT).

A good metric simplifies but still represents current scientific understanding, can be related to effects that are important to people, and is measurable or easily related to simple observations. In this study we discuss the relevance of proposed pressure, midpoint and endpoint indicators for summarising the dynamic impacts of N pollution on ecosystems.

143

144 **Pressure metrics**

145

146 In assessments of N pollution, the principal pressure metrics are those related to total N deposition rate, and to the atmospheric concentration of ammonia. As noted above, evidence that input fluxes of 147 148 oxidised and reduced N need to be considered separately is limited, but gaseous ammonia represents a 149 different type of pressure. Site-specific estimates of gaseous pollutant concentrations can be obtained using passive samplers (Puchalski et al., 2011; Sutton et al., 2001), but modelling approaches are usually 150 more appropriate for site-scale flux estimates (Theobald et al., 2009). Atmospheric N concentrations 151 152 and input fluxes are simulated using models of chemical reactions, transport and deposition, 153 parameterised using data on emissions sources. Large-scale deposition models are calibrated and tested 154 against observations of N concentrations in aerosols, precipitation and the gas phase from networks of 155 monitoring sites (Dore et al., 2015), and have increasingly been resolved to finer spatial resolutions (Vieno et al., 2014). In this section we assess metrics for quantifying N pollution pressure, including 156 157 deposition rates in relation to the CL.

158

A widely-reported metric of ecosystem damage, the percentage of sensitive habitat area where the CL for nutrient N is exceeded (SA_{ex}) , is rather insensitive to decreases in N deposition, principally because CL is substantially exceeded over large areas. At European scale, SA_{ex} is likely to decrease only marginally by 2050 despite a forecast 67 % decrease in deposition (Simpson et al., 2014). The unresponsiveness of SA_{ex} is in part because this metric does not consider degrees of damage above the CL. Nitrogen impacts are progressive, and species may be lost with marginal increases in N deposition from rates that are already well above the CL (Emmett et al., 2011; Stevens et al., 2011c). Sensitive

species can also decline at deposition rates below CL values as currently set (Armitage et al., 2014;

167 Henrys et al., 2011; Payne et al., 2013), although such evidence may argue for a reduction in CL in 168 certain habitats, since the CL is designed to protect the most sensitive component of the ecosystem. An 169 aggregated metric which incorporates the degree of exceedance is the average exceedance of CL_{nutN} for 170 habitats within a grid square, weighted by the habitats' areas, termed Average Accumulated Exceedance 171 (AAE), (Spranger et al., 2004).

172

Both AAE and SA_{ex} are based on current deposition, and do not take into account the persistence of 173 174 pollutant N within ecosystems. Empirical evidence from systems that have received substantial 175 additions of N without comparable increases in N loss fluxes (Moldan and Wright, 2011), together with modelling studies (Tipping et al., 2012), imply that pollutant N persists in soil and contributes to a long-176 lasting increase in the flux of mineralised N. This means that N impacts depend on historic as well as 177 178 current deposition. Cumulative N deposition incorporates the duration as well as the rate of N input, and may be a better predictor of ecosystem impacts than is current deposition (Figure 2) (see also De 179 Schrijver et al., 2011; Duprè et al., 2010; Phoenix et al., 2012). 180

181

Figure 2. Relationships between plant species richness in a survey of UK semi-natural habitats (recalculated from Field et al., 2014; Stevens et al., 2004) and: A) current N deposition, and B) cumulative nitrogen deposition since 1900. Deposition calculations are described in Payne (2014).



185 186

Calculating cumulative N deposition as total deposition over a long time period has several 187 188 disadvantages. The historic spatial pattern of deposition is poorly known, and is often assumed to have been constant, resulting in a cumulative deposition map that has no more explanatory power than the 189 current deposition map. Cumulative N deposition since a fixed date can only increase, but N deposited 190 191 many decades previously is mainly unavailable to plants due to immobilisation into organic matter. 192 Unless this immobilised N is released, due for example to a temperature-induced increase in mineralisation, it will have less biological impact than recently deposited N. Observed effects of 193 changes in N deposition rate can be rapid (Bredemeier et al., 1998), particularly for sensitive bryophytes 194 and lichens that interact primarily with atmospheric deposition onto foliar surfaces (Mitchell et al., 195 196 2004). A compromise between using cumulative total deposition and current deposition, which may 197 respectively overemphasise and underemphasise the effects of persistent N, would be to calculate deposition above a threshold and for a relevant time period (Figure 3). A suitable integration threshold 198 199 would be the amount of N that an ecosystem can process without harmful effects, which is the basis for 200 the 'steady-state mass balance' approach to calculating CL (Hettelingh et al., 1995). Pre-industrial ecosystems would have received N from fixation and from the formation of oxidised N in lightning 201 strikes, probably similar to the rate of 3-5 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ estimated for unpolluted boreal systems by 202 DeLuca et al. (2008). Some N is effectively lost from ecosystems through leaching, gaseous release, or 203 204 long-term immobilisation into soil organic matter: net losses in unimpacted systems are estimated at 3-12 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, the higher values mainly for woodland (Hall et al., 2003). The latter values are similar 205 206 to CL_{empN} values, which have been defined for many habitats on the basis of empirical evidence

(Bobbink and Hettelingh, 2011; Pardo et al., 2011). Although CL values are inevitably uncertain due to
 the difficulty of measuring N fixation and denitrification fluxes (in particular) and of characterising
 long-term effects, CL_{empN} values were set after extensive discussion among air pollution experts, and
 provide a good basis for an integration threshold.

Figure 3. Dependence of cumulative deposition on the exceedance threshold above which deposition is integrated, and on the integration period: e.g. 3 years preceding the present day (CE₃, vertical hatching), and 30 years preceding the present day (CE₃₀, horizontal hatching).



215 216

211

The most suitable start date for integrating deposition depends on the turnover rate of N in the ecosystem 217 218 and thus the time for which deposited N remains active. Modelling and N recovery studies suggest that extra N will be retained in soil for extended periods (see below) and continue to become plant-available, 219 albeit in gradually diminishing amounts. In epiphytic and epilithic ecosystems a relatively small 220 substrate volume can be accessed by the flora (Crittenden, 1989), at least until substantial canopy 221 222 necromass has accumulated (Nadkarni et al., 2004), and so N concentrations and substrate pH are likely to be buffered much less than in a soil-based system. We propose that N is likely to remain substantially 223 active for an average of approximately 30 years in soil-based ecosystems (cf. Balesdent et al., 1988) 224 and 3 years in epiphytic and epilithic ecosystems (cf. Clark et al., 2005; Jones, 2005; Mitchell et al., 225 226 2004), and that cumulative exceedance calculated over equivalent periods (CE₃ and CE₃₀, respectively) are appropriate pressure metrics for these two types of ecosystem. These are illustrative values with a 227 228 limited empirical basis, although they could be refined by isotopic tracing, and this is an important topic for further research. Decreases in deposition will decrease the CE₃₀ and CE₃ metrics immediately to an 229 230 extent, and if maintained at a low level the cumulative deposition within the preceding timeframe will 231 reduce commensurately.

232

Using different periods and thresholds for calculating cumulative deposition has implications for metric 233 reporting. Where the same trajectory of ratios to current deposition is applied across a region, the spatial 234 pattern of cumulative total deposition (e.g. Figure 4b) is identical to that of current deposition (Figure 235 4a). Integrating deposition above a threshold (Figure 4c) results in a larger proportion of the area being 236 included in the lowest category than does integrating total deposition, and substantial areas of western 237 and northern Britain are shown to have received comparatively little recent deposition above CL_{empN}. 238 239 The hotspots of deposition shown in similar locations and with similar colours in Figures 4b and 4c, but 240 these hotspots contrast with less-affected areas rather more clearly in Figure 4c. 241

Figure 4. Spatial patterns of total N deposition to UK dwarf shrub heathland calculated using the CBED model: a) recent deposition flux (annual mean 2004-6); b) cumulative total deposition 1970-2005; c) CE₃₀, cumulative deposition over the critical load for nutrient N for dwarf shrub heath, 10 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, in preceding 30 years (1986-2005). Temporal patterns of deposition were derived from Matejko et al. (2009). Data for all maps were subsetted using equal intervals on a linear scale.



248 249

250 Midpoint metrics

251

Midpoint metrics that represent progress towards or away from biodiversity endpoints are somewhat 252 253 controversial, since it can be argued that any change in an ecosystem is directly relevant to biodiversity. According to the Habitats Directive of the EEC, a habitat is considered to have favourable conservation 254 255 status when "the specific structure and functions which are necessary for its long-term maintenance exist" (EEC, 1992), and a change in any chemical variable within any organism or ecosystem pool could 256 257 be seen as a change in function. However, chemical changes that require analytical equipment to discern are not immediately relevant to public perceptions of biodiversity, even if they provide mechanistic 258 259 indications of the trajectory of the ecosystem. Conversely, changes in organisms that are sensitive to N but not important components of biodiversity could be seen as midpoint rather than endpoint indicators. 260 and lichens in particular have been proposed for low-cost monitoring of N pollution (van Herk, 1999; 261 262 Wolseley et al., 2015). To avoid extensive debate about which aspects of the chemical environment, 263 and which organisms, are "directly important and relevant to people" (see Introduction) we will restrict discussion of midpoint metrics to chemical indicators, and discuss organismal changes in the following 264 265 section on endpoint indicators. In this section we assess the utility of N stocks, concentrations and stoichiometry in plant tissue and soil; conceptual and modelled pools of N; and N loss fluxes, as 266 midpoint indicators. 267

268

Nitrogen concentration in plant tissue has been shown to increase with N deposition in several gradient
studies (e.g. Dise et al., 1998; Harmens et al., 2011) as well as in many experiments (e.g. Jones, 2005;
Lamers et al., 2000), although a survey by Aber et al. (2003) found no relationships between N

272 deposition and foliar N in a deciduous and a coniferous tree species. In a review of ten long-term Naddition field experiments across several habitats, Phoenix et al. (2012) found tissue N concentration 273 increased in either higher or lower plants, or both, in every experiment. Plants translocate N from leaves 274 before senescence (Chapin III et al., 2012) so N limitation and demand within the ecosystem may be 275 better reflected by N concentration in leaf litter than in live tissue. Litterfall N concentration was found 276 277 to be the best predictor of N deposition rate, among those tested, in a survey of European forests (Dise and Gundersen, 2004). 278

279

280 Some lichen and bryophyte species are very physiologically sensitive to atmospheric N, particularly high gaseous or aerosol N concentrations (Cape et al., 2009; Sparrius, 2007), and bryophyte N 281 concentration often increases with N deposition even at lower ranges of deposition (Mitchell et al., 282 283 2004; Pitcairn et al., 2006). Different species may have a different characteristic N content at any given N deposition level, and the saturation level is also species-specific (Figure 5a). A set of bryophytes is 284 monitored in the European Moss Survey (Harmens et al., 2011; Harmens et al., 2014), and response 285 functions for the response of moss tissue N to N deposition have been fitted. However, bryophytes can 286 287 vary considerably in their responses to N deposition (Schroder et al., 2010; Stevens et al., 2011c). Information may be lost when deriving a response curve from data for several species, but species 288 specific responses would only be useful within the range of the species. For this reason we propose a 289 simple metric, termed the 'Moss Enrichment Index' (MEI), in which tissue N concentration is 290 291 normalised to a value between 0 and 1 (Equation 1).

292

$$MEI = \frac{\%N_{observed} - \%N_{minimum}}{\%N_{maximum} - \%N_{minimum}}$$

(Equation 1)

where %N_{minimum} and %N_{maximum} represent the lowest and highest levels of tissue %N recorded for the 295 296 species across a sufficiently broad gradient of N deposition (Figure 5Figure 5b). The MEI has the advantage of providing a directly measurable, single metric of N enrichment within the ecosystem, 297 298 which can be expected to respond relatively rapidly to changes in N deposition, and which may provide an indication of recent ecosystem N exposure at lower N deposition levels, for which other 299 biogeochemical measurements such as mineral N leaching may be ineffective. 300

301

302Figure 5. a) Moss tissue N plotted against current N deposition (kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) for four mosses:303Racomitrium lanuginosum (Raco) Dicranum scoparium (Dicr), Isothecium myosuroides (Isot)304Thuidium tamarascinum (Thui). Data from: Jones (2005); Baddeley et al. (1994); Jonsdottir et al.305(1995); Pearce & van der Wal (2002); Pearce et al. (2003); Leith et al. (2008); Armitage et al.306(2012). b) The same data, normalised to a range from the minimum to maximum measured tissue307N concentration for each species, to derive a Moss Enrichment Index, MEI. The curve shown,308MEI = 1 - e^(-0.0323 × N deposition), was fitted by minimising total sum of squared differences.

309



310 311

312 Since the C concentration in dry plant tissue is relatively uniform, plant tissue C/N ratio is 313 approximately equivalent to N concentration and will not be considered separately here. Stoichiometries with respect to other elements may however be useful. Tissue N/P ratios are thought to reflect relative 314 P limitation (Koerselman and Meuleman, 1996), and were observed to increase with N additions at 315 316 three heathland sites in the review by Phoenix et al. (2012). However, a gradient study of Calluna vulgaris tissue chemistry showed greater N concentration with more N deposition, but an even greater 317 proportional increase in tissue P concentration presumably because N stimulated P uptake (Rowe et al., 318 2008). This suggests that plant tissue N/P ratio is not a robust indicator of ecosystem responses to N 319 320 deposition and recovery.

321

Ecosystems can retain large amounts of deposited N, much of it in soil N pools with slow turnover rates 322 (Nadelhoffer et al., 1999). Heathland soils have been observed to retain remarkably large amounts of N 323 324 in litter and organic upper soil horizons, even after 10 years of N addition at rates up to 120 kg ha⁻¹ yr⁻ ¹ (Pilkington et al., 2005). Grassland and bog soils appear to be less effective as long-term stores of N 325 (Phoenix et al., 2012), although changes in N stock are inherently more difficult to detect in such soils 326 since they are often spatially heterogeneous and stocks are large in relation to pollutant N inputs. 327 Changes in soil N concentration or total C/N ratio are in principle easier to detect, although the issue 328 329 still remains that the signal may be diluted by a large existing stock or masked by spatial variation (Moldan et al., 2006). It is often assumed that N retention will decrease soil C/N ratio (e.g.Aber, 1992; 330 Mulder and et al., 2015), but N deposition may also stimulate the production and incorporation of plant 331 332 litter with relatively high C/N ratio, causing increases in soil C/N ratio in some habitats (Jones et al., 2004; Reynolds et al., 2013). Changes in C/N ratio were not observed in an N-gradient study of 333 European conifer forests (Dise et al., 1998), nor in a survey of UK acid grasslands (Stevens et al., 2006). 334 The direction of change in C/N ratio induced by increased N deposition will depend on the degree to 335 which N limits plant growth in the system, with increases where litter production is stimulated and 336 337 decreases where immobilisation into soil N is the more significant process, and so soil C/N ratio is not

338 reliable as a midpoint indicator.

339 340 The stock or concentration of plant-available N in soil is in principle a better indicator of N status than total N. The KCl-extractable mineral N concentration has been shown to be related to N deposition rate 341 342 in experiments on upland heath, some grasslands and to a lesser extent at a bog site (Phoenix et al., 2012), and also in regional surveys of acid grassland (Stevens et al., 2006) and upland heath (Southon 343 344 et al., 2013). Mineral N concentrations in litter in an upland heath fell after a decrease in experimental 345 N addition (Edmondson et al., 2013). Plants can also use small organic molecules as sources of N (Hill 346 et al., 2011), but there is little evidence that dissolved organic N concentration in soil extractions or 347 leachate is a reliable indicator of N status. Nitrogen in soil solution is likely to fluctuate rapidly in 348 relation to rainfall and mineralisation events, and rapid plant uptake and/or immobilisation into soil organic matter can lead to zero measurements even where the flux into plants is evidently non-zero 349 350 (Schimel and Bennett, 2004). The plant-available pool is thus not straightforward to define or measure. Time-integrated measures such as resin-sorbed N or mineralisable N provide a better indicator of N 351 352 status than instantaneous measurements (Schimel and Bennett, 2004), and mineralisable N has been shown to increase in organic soils along a large-scale N-deposition gradient (Rowe et al., 2012). 353 354 However, there is little consensus on measurement methods, which limits the evidence base for determining relationships between these measurements and N deposition. Due to these difficulties, 355 neither instantaneous nor time-integrated measures of plant-available N can be recommended as 356 357 midpoint metrics across ecosystems.

358

Soil N compounds have different timescales of availability. Soluble ions and molecules are in principle 359 360 immediately available to plants, lichens and soil microorganisms, although species vary in the N forms they can process, and uptake also depends on organisms having access to these soluble N compounds 361 before they are leached. Soluble N held electrostatically on clay and organic matter surfaces will be 362 363 released if the solution is depleted by plant uptake or leaching, so can be seen as part of the plantavailable pool. The majority of N in soil cannot readily be taken up by plants and other organisms since 364 it is either incorporated in larger organic molecules or inaccessible within soil aggregates. Some of this 365 N is readily released, but organic matter that is protected within soil aggregates or sorbed to clay 366 particles can persist for many years (Schmidt et al., 2011). The continuum of availability timescales is 367 368 typically represented in dynamic soil models using discrete pools with characteristic turnover rates (e.g. Coleman et al., 1997; Parton et al., 1988). Such models can be used to illustrate the varying timescales 369 of impacts, with rapid responses of soluble N to changes in deposition, but also accumulation of N in 370 more stable soil pools and re-release from these pools over an extended period. For example, Figure 6 371 372 shows the effects of a hypothetical abrupt episode of N deposition as simulated using the MADOC model (Rowe et al., 2014) for a wet heath site (Migneint, UK: 52.993 °N, 3.813 °W), which uses 373 374 conceptual organic matter pools with mean residence times at 10 °C of 2 years ('fast'), 20 years ('slow') 375 and 1000 years ('passive'). The pools in this figure were normalised to a maximum of one; in fact the 'passive' N pool is around 700 times larger than the amount of plant-available N in a given year and 376 the 'slow' N pool is around 10 times larger. It is difficult to test such long-term predictions, but the 377 underlying N14C model has been calibrated using ¹⁴C dating to track the development of soil organic 378 379 matter pools in the 12000 years since deglaciation (Tipping et al., 2012).

380

381 Figure 6. Increases in soil N pools (extra over constant low deposition scenario) with different

turnover rates to a hypothetical increase in N deposition from 2 kg ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ to 20 kg ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ for

383 the period 1970-2000, as predicted by the MADOC model for a peatland system.



384 385

386 Nitrogen loss fluxes from ecosystems can mainly be viewed as midpoint metrics, although nitrate concentrations in drinking water are directly relevant to environmental standards and so are also an 387 388 endpoint metric. Nitrogen loss occurs even in unpolluted ecosystems, in particular through leaching of dissolved organic N (DON) which may determine long-term rates of net N accumulation (Vitousek et 389 390 al., 2010). However, increases in loss fluxes indicate that the ecosystem is becoming saturated (Aber et al., 1998; Emmett, 2007). Denitrification fluxes have not been shown to be consistently related to 391 experimental N addition rates (Phoenix et al., 2006), but nitrate leaching increases with experimental N 392 393 addition at moderate to high N loads of 20-140 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (Dise and Wright, 1995; Phoenix et al., 394 2012) and a decrease in N load can lead to a rapid reduction in NO_3^{-1} leaching (Boxman et al., 1998). 395 Spatial patterns of NO₃⁻ in surface waters can be explained by N deposition rates (Allott et al., 1995). 396 The rate of N leaching is not easy to measure directly within soil, but monitoring of surface-water nitrate can provide a robust and low-cost measure of changes in N status at catchment scale (provided there is 397 398 no fertiliser use within the catchment). For this reason, and because the relationship between nitrate leaching and N deposition rate is reasonably consistent at least for sites with deposition rates > 25 kg N 399 400 ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, nitrate leaching flux can be considered a good midpoint metric for N pollution and recovery. Ammonium (NH₄⁺) leaching is rarely observed since ammonium ions are sorbed relatively strongly 401 onto soil surfaces (Phoenix et al., 2006), and ammonium reaching surface waters is likely to be rapidly 402 403 nitrified. Although higher DON concentrations have been observed in leachate from dune (Jones et al., 404 2002), forest (Vanguelova et al., 2010) and heathland (Edokpa et al., 2015) ecosystems impacted by N, there is as yet insufficient evidence to recommend leaching fluxes of other forms of N as midpoint 405 406 metrics.

407

Nitrogen leaching can lead to acidification. The acidification potential of deposited N depends on
 transformations in the soil, in particular on the amount that ends up being leached as nitrate (Reuss and

410 Johnson, 1986). In experiments both increases and decreases in pH have been observed (Phoenix et al.,

411 2012), often due to the basic cations or acidic anions used as counter-ions to the added NH_4^+ or NO_3^-

412 (Evans et al., 2008). The value of pH as a metric of N pollution and recovery is in any case diminished

by the impacts of historical sulphur deposition, which caused widespread and persistent acidification (Evans et al., 2014). Due to a dramatic fall in sulphur deposition since the 1970s, soil pH has since

414 (Evans et al., 2014). Due to a dramatic fall in sulphur deposition since the 1970s, soil pH has since 415 increased in some areas (Oulehle et al., 2011; Reynolds et al., 2013), which in turn is thought to have

415 affected the N cycle (Kopacek et al., 2013). This consideration means that pH is not recommended as a

- 417 midpoint metric for assessing N pollution.
- 418

419 Endpoint metrics

420

421 Nitrogen pollution has considerable direct and indirect effects on human health, water quality, and greenhouse gas fluxes, but these are well-reviewed elsewhere (e.g. Sutton et al., 2011). Here we focus 422 423 on biodiversity endpoint metrics. Biodiversity can be seen in terms of diversity of various taxon groups, 'habitat integrity', similarity to a target or reference habitat, avoided extinction, ecosystem service 424 425 provision, or from a host of other perspectives. Species richness is simple to measure and calculate, and it has been shown to be negatively correlated with current N deposition rate in acid grassland, heathland, 426 sand dune and bog ecosystems (Field et al., 2014; Maskell et al., 2010; Stevens et al., 2011a). Species 427 428 richness can be useful for translating N deposition scenarios into a term that is widely understood, and 429 easily related to many conservation targets.

430

Simply counting the number of species can however mask large and potentially unfavourable changes in habitats (Curran et al., 2011). Species richness can increase with N pollution (Pierik et al., 2011), due to invasion by more eutrophilic species (Roth et al., 2013). Such species are generally not targets for conservation, whereas small-growing species of oligotrophic environments tend to have higher threat status or be already locally extinct (Hodgson et al., 2014). Considering species richness within particular functional groups would allow better understanding of the underlying trends.

437

438 Individual species often provide important ecosystem functions and services, such as maintaining 439 pollinator populations or having strong visual appeal, as well as being directly relevant to some 440 definitions of biodiversity and closely linked to conservation targets. Nitrogen sensitivity does not per se imply importance to biodiversity endpoints, although in practice the more N-sensitive species are 441 442 often of more conservation concern (Hodgson et al., 2014). Scarce species are a focus for nature conservation, but are not often used for habitat assessment since they are usually absent, and for the 443 444 same reason their habitat-suitability niches are difficult to characterise. Methods for identifying species 445 that indicate favourable habitat condition have been developed (e.g. Arponen et al., 2005; Landi and Chiarucci, 2010) and lists of target species proposed (e.g. Delbaere et al., 2009). The occurrence of such 446 species, or their modelled habitat-suitability (Henrys et al., 2015), could be used as an endpoint metric 447 448 of N impacts. Species that are distinctive for the habitat but not necessarily scarce may be a more suitable basis for biodiversity metrics (Rowe et al., 2016), and a "Habitat Suitability Index" (HSI) based 449 450 on modelled habitat suitability for such 'species of interest' was recently adopted as a common metric for responses to the Working Group on Effects of the Convention on Long-Range Transboundary Air 451 Pollution (Posch et al., 2014). 452

453

Several potentially-useful metrics can be derived from the traits of the species present, such as growth-454 form (e.g. shrub vs. herb, or graminoid vs. forb), physiology (e.g. typical specific leaf area or typical 455 height), ecological strategy (e.g. competitive or stress-tolerant) or environmental preference. In Europe, 456 environmental preference has often been expressed using 'Ellenberg' scores assigned to each plant 457 species (Ellenberg et al., 1992; Hill et al., 2000). In a study based on large-scale survey data, mean 458 values for several traits were shown to be sensitive to N deposition in at least some habitats: grass/forb 459 cover ratio; Ellenberg N score (an indicator of productivity: Hill and Carey, 1997); mean Ellenberg R 460 461 score (an indicator of alkalinity); mean typical canopy height; and mean typical specific leaf area (Emmett et al., 2011). In grasslands, the ratio of cover of grasses and forbs (i.e. non-grass herbs) was 462 shown to be very responsive to N deposition load (Stevens et al., 2009). This relationship could be used 463 464 to develop a responsive metric for these habitats. Sutton et al. (2009) proposed an index derived from scores assigned to lichen species on the basis of their preference (or not) for acid and N-rich conditions.
 This "acidophytes / nitrophiles index" could be applied as an endpoint metric.

468 Conclusions and recommendations

470 The metrics most suitable for evaluating benefits of decreases in N deposition are summarised in Table 1. These all refer to total N deposition, since although there are differences between oxidised and 471 472 reduced N in terms of potential controls on pollutant emissions, atmospheric transport and other 473 processes, there is limited evidence that input fluxes of NO_x and NH_y have differing effects on habitats. 474 The area where CL is exceeded, SA_{ex}, is relatively unresponsive to decreases in N deposition, reflecting the severe and ongoing damage caused by N. Conversely, the spatial average of exceedance, AAE, is 475 476 more responsive to decreases in N deposition and reflects progress towards reduced damage. However, both of these pressure metrics are instantaneous measures and take no account of chemical and 477 478 biological recovery delays. Midpoint indicators are more able to capture at least chemical delays to recovery. The most promising are tissue N concentration in mosses (for low-deposition systems) and N 479 480 leaching (for high-deposition systems). These indicators vary in their responsiveness at different stages of ecosystem saturation with N, and are complementary in that moss tissue N concentration increases 481 with reasonable consistency in the range 0-25 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, whereas N leaching increases when N 482 deposition is above this range. It may be more difficult to reach consensus on appropriate endpoint 483 484 indicators for biodiversity, but species-richness and the HSI are complementary in that the former is 485 easily understood, but the latter gives a more nuanced indication of habitat quality.

486

467

469

Metric	Туре	Appropriate for	Recommended calculation method	Evaluation
AAE: Average Accumulated Exceedance	Р	All habitats. All deposition rates above CL _{nutN} .	Exceedance of CL _{nutN} , averaged across N-sensitive habitats within a grid-square, weighted by habitat area.	Pros : responsive and simple; ready to use. Cons : takes no account of impact delays.
CE ₃ or CE ₃₀ : Cumulative exceedance	Р	All habitats. All deposition rates.	Integrated exceedance of habitat- specific CL _{nutN} , over the preceding 30 years for soil-based habitats or 3 years for epiphytic/epilithic sub- habitats.	Pros: responsive; well- related to timescale of impacts and to agreed definitions of damaging deposition rate. Ready to use. Cons: timescales based on expert judgement.
Moss Enrichment Index (MEI)	М	Habitats with mosses. Deposition rates up to 25 kg N ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹ .	Measure moss tissue N % and compare with the N % range observed in the moss species, e.g. using relationships from Harmens et al. (2011).	Pros : well-correlated with (lower) deposition rates, easily measurable, useful 'early warning' metric. Cons : establishing data for new species requires data from sites with a range of N deposition
Stored N	М	Habitats with soil ¹ . All deposition rates.	Calculate 'slow' N pool in response to time-series of deposition using e.g. the N14C model (Tipping et al., 2012).	Pros : illustrates well a stock of N which places the habitat at risk; modelled values are easily upscaled. Cons : measurement methods remain uncertain.
N leaching rate	M/E	All habitats. Deposition rates above 25 kg N ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹ .	Measure N concentrations in soil solution or surface water, calculate fluxes, and compare with observations for N-polluted systems e.g. (Rowe et al., 2006).	Pros : well-correlated with (higher) deposition rates; indicates advanced damage. Cons : unlikely to increase until later stages of N saturation

487 Table 1. Recommended metrics, classified by Type: P = Pressure; M = midpoint; E = endpoint.

Metric	Туре	Appropriate for	Recommended calculation method	Evaluation
Mean 'Ellenberg N'	М	Habitats where relationship with deposition has been demonstrated. All deposition rates.	Record plant species present, calculate mean Ellenberg N, and compare with typical values for the habitat e.g. using relationships from Stevens et al. (2011c).	Pros: well-related to theoretical and observed effects of N on species- assemblages; can be modelled and also easily measured. Cons: Affected by factors other than N; meaning not immediately apparent.
Species richness	Ε	Grasslands, potentially other habitats such as mires. All deposition rates.	Record plant and lichen species present, calculate species richness, and compare with typical values for the habitat e.g. using relationships from Maskell et al. (2010).	Pros : readily understood. Cons : affected by factors other than N; not applicable to all habitats.
Habitat Suitability Index (HSI)	E	All habitats. All deposition rates.	Mean simulated habitat suitability for 'species of interest' (Posch et al., 2014).	Pros : potentially better- related to favourable conservation status than is species-richness. Cons : needs careful and transparent definition.

¹ Dynamic models could also be adapted to simulate N dynamics in epiphytic / epilithic habitats.

489

500

507

490 The effects of N pollution on ecosystems are complex, and the temporal dynamics of impacts need to be considered. Although N pollution has some benefits for agricultural and forest productivity, 491 untargeted applications of N are inefficient and have unintended consequences. The recommended 492 metrics provide options for communicating and highlighting different aspects of N pollution, including 493 pressure and impacts at different stages of ecosystem exposure. To develop management and policy 494 responses it may sometimes be necessary to prioritise and/or combine the different metrics to make an 495 overall assessment, although aggregate metrics can obscure genuine disagreements over the relative 496 importance of different aspects of ecosystems (Suter, 1993). Reporting several distinct metrics has the 497 498 advantage of separating pressure from response, and separating different aspects of response, and is useful for communicating the multiple facets of the N pollution problem. 499

501 Acknowledgements502

503 The study was funded by the UK Department for the Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (DEFRA) 504 under project AQ0823 (REBEND) and by the Centre for Ecology and Hydrology under project 505 NEC05574. RJP is supported by the Russian Scientific Fund (Grant 14-14-00891). We are grateful to 506 Max Posch of RIVM for permission to reproduce Figure 1a.

508 References

- 509 Kelerc
- Aber, J.D., 1992. Nitrogen cycling and nitrogen saturation in temperate forest ecosystems. Trends in
 Ecology & Evolution 7, 220-224.
- Aber, J.D., Goodale, C.L., Ollinger, S.V., Smith, M.L., Magill, A.H., Martin, M.E., Hallett, R.A.,
 Stoddard, J.L., 2003. Is nitrogen deposition altering the nitrogen status of northeastern forests?
 Bioscience 53, 375-389.
- Aber, J.D., McDowell, W., Nadelhoffer, K.J., Magill, A., Berntson, G., Kamakea, M., McNulty, S.,
 Currie, W., Rustad, L., Fernandez, I., 1998. Nitrogen saturation in temperate forest ecosystems:
 hypotheses revisited. Bioscience 48, 921–934.
- Allott, T.E.H., Curtis, C.J., Hall, J., Harriman, R., Batterbee, R.W., 1995. The impact of nitrogen deposition on upland surface waters in Great Britain: A regional assessment of nitrate leaching.
 Water Air and Soil Pollution 85, 297-302.
- Amann, M., Bertok, I., Borken-Kleefeld, J., Cofala, J., Heyes, C., Hoglund-Isaksson, L., Klimont, Z.,
 Nguyen, B., Posch, M., Rafaj, P., Sandler, R., Schopp, W., Wagner, F., Winiwarter, W., 2011.

- 523 Cost-effective control of air quality and greenhouse gases in Europe: Modeling and policy
 524 applications. Environmental Modelling & Software 26, 1489-1501.
- Armitage, H.F., Britton, A.J., van der Wal, R., Pearce, I.S.K., Thompson, D.B.A., Woodin, S.J., 2012.
 Nitrogen deposition enhances moss growth, but leads to an overall decline in habitat condition of
 mountain moss-sedge heath. Global Change Biology 18, 290-300.
- Armitage, H.F., Britton, A.J., van der Wal, R., Woodin, S.J., 2014. The relative importance of
 nitrogen deposition as a driver of *Racomitrium* heath species composition and richness across
 Europe. Biological Conservation 171, 224-231.
- Arponen, A., Heikkinen, R.K., Thomas, C.D., Moilanen, A., 2005. The value of biodiversity in
 reserve selection: Representation, species weighting, and benefit functions. Conservation Biology
 19, 2009-2014.
- Baddeley, J.A., Thompson, D.B.A., Lee, J.A., 1994. Regional and historical variation in the nitrogen
 content of *Racomitrium lanuginosum* in Britain in relation to atmospheric nitrogen deposition.
 Environmental Pollution 84, 189-196.
- Balesdent, J., Wagner, G.H., Mariotti, A., 1988. Soil organic-matter turnover in long-term field
 experiments as revealed by C-13 natural abundance. Soil Science Society of America Journal 52, 118-124.
- Bare, J.C., Hofstetter, P., Pennington, D.W., de Haes, H.A.U., 2000. Life cycle impact assessment
 workshop summary midpoints versus endpoints: the sacrifices and benefits. International Journal
 of Life Cycle Assessment 5, 319-326.
- Basto, S., Thompson, K., Phoenix, G., Sloan, V., Leake, J., Rees, M., 2015. Long-term nitrogen
 deposition depletes grassland seed banks. Nature Communications 6.
- Bobbink, R., Hettelingh, J.P., 2011. Review and revision of empirical critical loads and dose-response
 relationships: Proceedings of an expert workshop, Noordwijkerhout, 23-25 June 2010.
 Coordination Centre for Effects, RIVM, NL.
- Bobbink, R., Hicks, K., Galloway, J., Spranger, T., Alkemade, R., Ashmore, M., Bustamante, M.,
 Cinderby, S., Davidson, E., Dentener, F., Emmett, B., Erisman, J.W., Fenn, M., Gilliam, F.,
 Nordin, A., Pardo, L., De Vries, W., 2010. Global assessment of nitrogen deposition effects on
 terrestrial plant diversity: a synthesis. Ecological Applications 20, 30-59.
- Boxman, A.W., van der Ven, P.J.M., Roelofs, J.G.M., 1998. Ecosystem recovery after a decrease in nitrogen input to a Scots pine stand at Ysselsteyn, the Netherlands. Forest Ecology and Management 101, 155-163.
- Bredemeier, M., Blanck, K., Xu, Y.J., Tietema, A., Boxman, A.W., Emmett, B., Moldan, F.,
 Gundersen, P., Schleppi, P., Wright, R.F., 1998. Input-output budgets at the NITREX sites. Forest
 Ecology and Management 101, 57-64.
- Cape, J.N., van der Eerden, L.J., Sheppard, L.J., Leith, I.D., Sutton, M.A., 2009. Evidence for
 changing the critical level for ammonia. Environmental Pollution 157, 1033-1037.
- Chapin III, F.S., Matson, P.A., Vitousek, M.A., 2012. Principles of Terrestrial Ecosystem Ecology.
 2nd Ed. Springer, New York.
- 562 Clark, C.M., Morefield, P.E., Gilliam, F.S., Pardo, L.H., 2013. Estimated losses of plant biodiversity
 563 in the United States from historical N deposition (1985-2010). Ecology 94, 1441-1448.
- Clark, K.L., Nadkarni, N.M., Gholz, H.L., 2005. Retention of inorganic nitrogen by epiphytic
 bryophytes in a tropical montane forest. Biotropica 37, 328-336.
- Coleman, K., Jenkinson, D.S., Crocker, G.J., Grace, P.R., Klir, J., Korschens, M., Poulton, P.R.,
 Richter, D.D., 1997. Simulating trends in soil organic carbon in long-term experiments using
 RothC-26.3. Geoderma 81, 29-44.
- 569 Crittenden, P.D., 1989. Nitrogen relations of mat-forming lichens. In: Boddy, L., Marchant, R., Read,
 570 D.J. (Eds.), Nitrogen, phosphorus and sulphur utilization by fungi. Cambridge University Press,
 571 UK., pp. 243-268.
- 572 Curran, M., de Baan, L., De Schryver, A.M., van Zelm, R., Hellweg, S., Koellner, T., Sonnemann, G.,
 573 Huijbregts, M.A.J., 2011. Toward meaningful end points of biodiversity in life cycle assessment.
- 574 Environmental Science & Technology 45, 70-79.
- 575 De Schrijver, A., De Frenne, P., Ampoorter, E., Van Nevel, L., Demey, A., Wuyts, K., Verheyen, K.,
- 576 2011. Cumulative nitrogen input drives species loss in terrestrial ecosystems. Global Ecology and
 577 Biogeography 20, 803-816.

- de Vries, W., Posch, M., 2011. Modelling the impact of nitrogen deposition, climate change and nutrient limitations on tree carbon sequestration in Europe for the period 1900-2050.
 Environmental Pollution 159, 2289-2299.
- Delbaere, B., Nieto Serradilla, A., Snethlage, M., 2009. BioScore: A tool to assess the impacts of
 European Community policies on Europe's biodiversity. ECNC, Tilburg, the Netherlands.
- 583 DeLuca, T.H., Zackrisson, O., Gundale, M.J., Nilsson, M.C., 2008. Ecosystem feedbacks and nitrogen
 584 fixation in boreal forests. Science 320, 1181-1181.
- 585 Dise, N.B., Gundersen, P., 2004. Forest ecosystem responses to atmospheric pollution: Linking
 586 comparative with experimental studies. Water Air and Soil Pollution: Focus 4, 207-220.
- 587 Dise, N.B., Matzner, E., Forsius, M., 1998. Evaluation of organic horizon C : N ratio as an indicator 588 of nitrate leaching in conifer forests across Europe. Environmental Pollution 102, 453-456.
- Dise, N.B., Wright, R.F., 1995. Nitrogen leaching from European forests in relation to nitrogen deposition. Forest Ecology and Management 71, 153-161.
- Dore, A.J., Carslaw, D.C., Braban, C., Cain, M., Chemel, C., Conolly, C., Derwent, R.G., Griffiths,
 S.J., Hall, J., Hayman, G., Lawrence, S., Metcalfe, S.E., Redington, A., Simpson, D., Sutton, M.A.,
 Sutton, P., Tang, Y.S., Vieno, M., Werner, M., Whyatt, J.D., 2015. Evaluation of the performance
 of different atmospheric chemical transport models and inter-comparison of nitrogen and sulphur
 deposition estimates for the UK. Atmospheric Environment 119, 131-143.
- Duprè, C., Stevens, C.J., Ranke, T., Bleeker, A., Peppler-Lisbach, C., Gowing, D.J.G., Dise, N.B.,
 Dorland, E., Bobbink, R., Diekmann, M., 2010. Changes in species richness and composition in
 European acidic grasslands over the past 70 years: the contribution of cumulative atmospheric
 nitrogen deposition. Global Change Biology 16, 344-357.
- Edmondson, J., Terribile, E., Carroll, J.A., Price, E.A.C., Caporn, S.J.M., 2013. The legacy of
 nitrogen pollution in heather moorlands: Ecosystem response to simulated decline in nitrogen
 deposition over seven years. Science of the Total Environment 444, 138-144.
- Edokpa, D.A., Evans, M.G., Rothwell, J.J., 2015. High fluvial export of dissolved organic nitrogen
 from a peatland catchment with elevated inorganic nitrogen deposition. Science of the Total
 Environment 532, 711-722.
- 606 EEA, 2015. Environmental Terminology and Discovery Service.
- EEC, 1992. Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the conservation of natural habitats and
 of wild fauna and flora. Official Journal of the EEC, L 206, 22/07/1992, pp. 7-50.
- Ellenberg, H., Weber, H.E., Dull, R., Wirth, V., Werner, W., Paulissen, D., 1992. Zeigerwerte von pflanzen in mitteleuropa: 2nd ed. Scripta Geobotanica 18, 1-258.
- Elser, J.J., Bracken, M.E.S., Cleland, E.E., Gruner, D.S., Harpole, W.S., Hillebrand, H., Ngai, J.T.,
 Seabloom, E.W., Shurin, J.B., Smith, J.E., 2007. Global analysis of nitrogen and phosphorus
 limitation of primary producers in freshwater, marine and terrestrial ecosystems. Ecology Letters
 10, 1135-1142.
- Emmett, B., 2007. Nitrogen saturation of terrestrial ecosystems: some recent findings and their
 implications for our conceptual framework. Water Air and Soil Pollution Focus 7, 99-109.
- 515 Implications for our conceptual framework. water Air and Soil Pollution Focus 7, 99-109.
- Emmett, B.A., Rowe, E.C., Stevens, C.J., Gowing, D.J., Henrys, P.A., Maskell, L.C., Smart, S.M.,
 2011. Interpretation of evidence of nitrogen impacts on vegetation in relation to UK biodiversity
 objectives. JNCC Report 449. JNCC, Peterborough, UK. pp. 105.
- Evans, C.D., Chadwick, T., Norris, D., Rowe, E.C., Heaton, T.H.E., Brown, P., Battarbee, R.W.,
 2014. Persistent surface water acidification in an organic soil-dominated upland region subject to
 high atmospheric deposition: The North York Moors, UK. Ecological Indicators 37, 304-316.
- Evans, C.D., Goodale, C.L., Caporn, S.J.M., Dise, N.B., Emmett, B.A., Fernandez, I.J., Field, C.D.,
 Findlay, S.E.G., Lovett, G.M., Meesenburg, H., Moldan, F., Sheppard, L.J., 2008. Does elevated
 nitrogen deposition or ecosystem recovery from acidification drive increased dissolved organic
 carbon loss from upland soil? A review of evidence from field nitrogen addition experiments.
 Biogeochemistry 91, 13-35.
- Feest, A., van Swaay, C., van Hinsberg, A., 2014. Nitrogen deposition and the reduction of butterfly
 biodiversity quality in the Netherlands. Ecological Indicators 39, 115-119.
- 630 Field, C.D., Dise, N.B., Payne, R.J., Britton, A.J., Emmett, B.A., Helliwell, R.C., Hughes, S., Jones,
- 631 L., Lees, S., Leake, J.R., Leith, I.D., Phoenix, G.K., Power, S.A., Sheppard, L.J., Southon, G.E.,

- 632 Stevens, C.J., Caporn, S.J.M., 2014. The role of nitrogen deposition in widespread plant
 633 community change across semi-natural habitats. Ecosystems 17, 864-877.
- Hall, J., Ullyett, J., Heywood, L., Broughton, R., Fawehinmi, J., 2003. Status of UK Critical Loads:
 Critical Loads methods, data and maps. Centre for Ecology and Hydology, Monks Wood. Report
 to DEFRA (Contract EPG 1/3/185), pp. 77.
- Harmens, H., Norris, D.A., Cooper, D.M., Mills, G., Steinnes, E., Kubin, E., Thoni, L., Aboal, J.R.,
- Alber, R., Carballeira, A., Coskun, M., De Temmerman, L., Frolova, M., Gonzalez-Miqueo, L.,
- 639 Jeran, Z., Leblond, S., Liiv, S., Mankovska, B., Pesch, R., Poikolainen, J., Ruhling, A.,
- 640 Santamaria, J.M., Simoneie, P., Schroder, W., Suchara, I., Yurukova, L., Zechmeister, H.G., 2011.
- Nitrogen concentrations in mosses indicate the spatial distribution of atmospheric nitrogen
 deposition in Europe. Environmental Pollution 159, 2852-2860.
- Harmens, H., Schnyder, E., Thoni, L., Cooper, D.M., Mills, G., Leblond, S., Mohr, K., Poikolainen,
 J., Santamaria, J., Skudnik, M., Zechmeister, H.G., Lindroos, A.J., Hanus-Illnar, A., 2014.
 Relationship between site-specific nitrogen concentrations in mosses and measured wet bulk
 atmospheric nitrogen deposition across Europe. Environmental Pollution 194, 50-59.
- Hauck, M., de Bruyn, U., Leuschner, C., 2013. Dramatic diversity losses in epiphytic lichens in
 temperate broad-leaved forests during the last 150 years. Biological Conservation 157, 136-145.
- Henrys, P.A., Smart, S.M., Rowe, E.C., Jarvis, S.G., Fang, Z., Evans, C.D., Emmett, B.A., Butler, A.,
 2015. Niche models for British plants and lichens obtained using an ensemble approach. New
 Journal of Botany 5, 89-100.
- Henrys, P.A., Stevens, C.J., Smart, S.M., Maskell, L.C., Walker, K.J., Preston, C.D., Crowe, A.,
 Rowe, E.C., Gowing, D.J., Emmett, B.A., 2011. Impacts of nitrogen deposition on vascular plants
 in Britain: An analysis of two national observation networks. Biogeosciences 8, 3501-3518.
- Hettelingh, J.P., Posch, M., DeSmet, P.A.M., Downing, R.J., 1995. The use of critical loads in
 emission reduction agreements in Europe. Water, Air and Soil Pollution 85, 2381-2388.
- Hill, M.O., Carey, P.D., 1997. Prediction of yield in the Rothamsted Park Grass Experiment by
 Ellenberg indicator values. Journal of Vegetation Science 8, 579-586.
- Hill, M.O., Roy, D.B., Mountford, J.O., Bunce, R.G.H., 2000. Extending Ellenberg's indicator values
 to a new area: an algorithmic approach. Journal of Applied Ecology 37, 3-15.
- Hill, P.W., Quilliam, R.S., DeLuca, T.H., Farrar, J., Farrell, M., Roberts, P., Newsham, K.K.,
 Hopkins, D.W., Bardgett, R.D., Jones, D.L., 2011. Acquisition and assimilation of nitrogen as
 peptide-bound and D-enantiomers of amino acids by wheat. PLoS ONE 6, e19220.
- Hodgson, J.G., Tallowin, J., Dennis, R.L.H., Thompson, K., Poschlod, P., Dhanoa, M.S., Charles, M.,
 Jones, G., Wilson, P., Band, S.R., Bogaard, A., Palmer, C., Carter, G., Hynd, A., 2014. Leaf
 nitrogen and canopy height identify processes leading to plant and butterfly diversity loss in
 agricultural landscapes . Functional Ecology 28, 1284-1291.
- Hordijk, L., 1991. Use of the RAINS model in acid-rain negotiations in Europe. Environmental
 Science & Technology 25, 596-603.
- Jones, L., Provins, A., Harper-Simmonds, L., Holland, M., Mills, G., Hayes, F., Emmett, B.A., Hall,
 J., Sheppard, L.J., Smith, R., Sutton, M., Hicks, K., Ashmore, M., Haines-Young, R., 2014. A
 review and application of the evidence for nitrogen impacts on ecosystem services. Ecosystem
 Services 7, 76–88.
- Jones, M.L.M., 2005. Nitrogen deposition in upland grasslands: Critical loads, management and
 recovery. PhD Thesis, University of Sheffield.
- Jones, M.L.M., Hayes, F., Brittain, S.A., Haria, S., Williams, P.D., Ashenden, T.W., Norris, D.A.,
 Reynolds, B., 2002. Changing nutrient budgets of sand dunes: consequences for the nature
 conservation interest and dune management. 2. Field survey. Report on CEH project C01919
 under Countryside Council for Wales contract FC 73-01-347. Centre for Ecology & Hydrology,
 Bangor.
- Jones, M.L.M., Wallace, H.L., Norris, D., Brittain, S.A., Haria, S., Jones, R.E., Rhind, P.M.,
- Reynolds, B.R., Emmett, B.A., 2004. Changes in vegetation and soil characteristics in coastal sand
 dunes along a gradient of atmospheric nitrogen deposition. Plant Biology 6, 598-605.
- Jonsdottir, I.S., Callaghan, T.V., Lee, J.A., 1995. Fate of added nitrogen in a moss sedge arctic
- community and effects of increased nitrogen deposition. Science of the Total Environment 160-61,677-685.

- Koerselman, W., Meuleman, A.F.M., 1996. The vegetation N:P ratio: A new tool to detect the nature
 of nutrient limitation. Journal of Applied Ecology 33, 1441-1450.
- Kopacek, J., Cosby, B.J., Evans, C.D., Hruska, J., Moldan, F., Oulehle, F., Santruckova, H.,
 Tahovska, K., Wright, R.F., 2013. Nitrogen, organic carbon and sulphur cycling in terrestrial
 ecosystems: linking nitrogen saturation to carbon limitation of soil microbial processes.
 Biogeochemistry 115, 33-51.
- Královec, J., Pocová, L., Jonášová, M., Petr, M., Larel, P., 2009. Spontaneous recovery of an
 intensively used grassland after cessation of fertilizing. Applied Vegetation Science 12, 391-397.
- Ladha, J.K., Pathak, H., Krupnik, T.J., Six, J., van Kessel, C., 2005. Efficiency of fertilizer nitrogen in
 cereal production: Retrospects and prospects. In: Sparks, D.L. (Ed.), Advances in Agronomy, Vol
 87, pp. 85-156.
- Lamers, L.P.M., Bobbink, R., Roelofs, J.G.M., 2000. Natural nitrogen filter fails in polluted raised
 bogs. Global Change Biology 6, 583-586.
- Landi, S., Chiarucci, A., 2010. Is floristic quality assessment reliable in human-managed ecosystems?
 Systematics and Biodiversity 8, 269-280.
- Leith, I.D., Mitchell, R.J., Truscott, A.M., Cape, J.N., van Dijk, N., Smith, R.I., Fowler, D., Sutton,
 M.A., 2008. The influence of nitrogen in stemflow and precipitation on epiphytic bryophytes,
 Isothecium myosuroides Brid., *Dicranum scoparium* Hewd. and *Thuidium tamariscinum* (Hewd.)
 Schimp of Atlantic oakwoods. Environmental Pollution 155, 237-246.
- Maskell, L.C., Smart, S.M., Bullock, J.M., Thompson, K., Stevens, C.J., 2010. Nitrogen deposition
 causes widespread loss of species richness in British habitats. Global Change Biology 16, 671-679.
- Matejko, M., Dore, A.J., Hall, J., Dore, C.J., Blas, M., Kryza, M., Smith, R., Fowler, D., 2009. The
 influence of long term trends in pollutant emissions on deposition of sulphur and nitrogen and
 exceedance of critical loads in the United Kingdom. Environmental Science & Policy 12, 882-896.
- Mills, G., Harmens, H., Wagg, S., Sharps, K., Hayes, F., Fowler, D., Sutton, M., Davies, B., 2016.
 Ozone impacts on vegetation in a nitrogen enriched and changing climate. Environmental
 Pollution 208, 898-908.
- Mitchell, R.J., Sutton, M.A., Truscott, A.M., Leith, I.D., Cape, J.N., Pitcairn, C.E.R., Van Dijk, N.,
 2004. Growth and tissue nitrogen of epiphytic Atlantic bryophytes: effects of increased and
 decreased atmospheric N deposition. Functional Ecology 18, 322-329.
- Moldan, F., Kjonaas, O.J., Stuanes, A.O., Wright, R.F., 2006. Increased nitrogen in runoff and soil
 following 13 years of experimentally increased nitrogen deposition to a coniferous-forested
 catchment at Gardsjon, Sweden, Environmental Pollution 144, 610-620.
- Moldan, F., Wright, R.F., 2011. Nitrogen leaching and acidification during 19 years of NH₄NO₃
 additions to a coniferous-forested catchment at Gardsjon, Sweden (NITREX). Environmental
 Pollution 159, 431-440.
- Mulder, C., et al., 2015. Chemical footprints of anthropogenic nitrogen deposition on recent soil C:N
 ratios in Europe. Biogeosciences 12, 4113–4119.
- Nadelhoffer, K.J., Emmett, B.A., Gundersen, P., Kjonaas, O.J., Koopmans, C.J., Schleppi, P.,
 Tietema, A., Wright, R.F., 1999. Nitrogen deposition makes a minor contribution to carbon
 sequestration in temperate forests. Nature 398, 145-148.
- Nadkarni, N.M., Schaefer, D., Matelson, T.J., Solano, R., 2004. Biomass and nutrient pools of canopy
 and terrestrial components in a primary and a secondary montane cloud forest, Costa Rica. Forest
 Ecology and Management 198, 223-236.
- Nilsson, J., Grennfelt, P., 1988. Critical loads for sulphur and nitrogen. Report 188:15.
 UNECE/Nordic Council of Ministers, Copenhagen, Denmark.
- Oulehle, F., Evans, C.D., Hofmeister, J., Krejci, R., Tahovska, K., Persson, T., Cudlin, P., Hruska, J.,
 2011. Major changes in forest carbon and nitrogen cycling caused by declining sulphur deposition.
 Global Change Biology 17, 3115-3129.
- 736 Pardo, L.H., Fenn, M.E., Goodale, C.L., Geiser, L.H., Driscoll, C.T., Allen, E.B., Baron, J.S.,
- 737 Bobbink, R., Bowman, W.D., Clark, C.M., Emmett, B., Gilliam, F.S., Greaver, T.L., Hall, S.J.,
- 738 Lilleskov, E.A., Liu, L.L., Lynch, J.A., Nadelhoffer, K.J., Perakis, S.S., Robin-Abbott, M.J.,
- 739 Stoddard, J.L., Weathers, K.C., Dennis, R.L., 2011. Effects of nitrogen deposition and empirical
- nitrogen critical loads for ecoregions of the United States. Ecological Applications 21, 3049-3082.

- Parton, W.J., Stewart, W.J., Cole, C.V., 1988. Dynamics of C, N, P and S in grassland soils: a model.
 Biogeochemistry 5, 109-131.
- Payne, R.J., 2014. The exposure of British peatlands to nitrogen deposition, 1900-2030. Mires and
 Peat 14.
- Payne, R.J., Dise, N.B., Stevens, C.J., Gowing, D.J., Partners, B., 2013. Impact of nitrogen deposition
 at the species level. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of
 America 110, 984-987.
- Pearce, I.S.K., van der Wal, R., 2002. Effects of nitrogen deposition on growth and survival of
 montane *Racomitrium lanuginosum* heath. Biological Conservation 104, 83-89.
- Pearce, I.S.K., Woodin, S.J., van der Wal, R., 2003. Physiological and growth responses of the
 montane bryophyte *Racomitrium lanuginosum* to atmospheric nitrogen deposition. New
 Phytologist 160, 145-155.
- Phoenix, G.K., Emmett, B.A., Britton, A.J., Caporn, S.J.M., Dise, N.B., Helliwell, R., Jones, L.,
 Leake, J.R., Leith, I.D., Sheppard, L.J., Sowerby, A., Pilkington, M.G., Rowe, E.C., Ashmore,
 M.R., Power, S.A., 2012. Impacts of atmospheric nitrogen deposition: responses of multiple plant
 and soil parameters across contrasting ecosystems in long-term field experiments. Global Change
 Biology 18, 1197-1215.
- Phoenix, G.K., Hicks, W.K., Cinderby, S., Kuylenstierna, J.C.I., Stock, W.D., Dentener, F.J., Giller,
 K.E., Austin, A.T., Lefroy, R.D.B., Gimeno, B.S., Ashmore, M.R., Ineson, P., 2006. Atmospheric
 nitrogen deposition in world biodiversity hotspots: the need for a greater global perspective in
 assessing N deposition impacts. Global Change Biology 12, 470-476.
- Pierik, M., van Ruijven, J., Bezemer, T.M., Geerts, R., Berendse, F., 2011. Recovery of plant species
 richness during long-term fertilization of a species-rich grassland. Ecology 92, 1393-1398.
- Pilkington, M.G., Caporn, S.J.M., Carroll, J.A., Cresswell, N., Lee, J.A., Reynolds, B., Emmett, B.A.,
 2005. Effects of increased deposition of atmospheric nitrogen on an upland moor: Nitrogen
 budgets and nutrient accumulation. Environmental Pollution 138, 473-484.
- Pitcairn, C., Fowler, D., Leith, I., Sheppard, L., Tang, S., Sutton, M., Famulari, D., 2006. Diagnostic
 indicators of elevated nitrogen deposition. Environmental Pollution 144, 941-950.
- Posch, M., Hettelingh, J.-P., Slootweg, J., Reinds, G.-J., 2014. Deriving critical loads based on plant diversity targets. In: Slootweg, J., Posch, M., Hettelingh, J.-P., Mathijssen, L. (Eds.), Modelling
 and Mapping the impacts of atmospheric deposition on plant species diversity in Europe. CCE
 Status Report 2014, Coordination Centre for Effects, RIVM, Bilthoven, Netherlands. pp. 41-46.
- Posch, M., Hettelingh, M.J.-P., Slootweg, J., 2004. Dynamic modelling. In: Spranger, T., Lorenz, U.,
 Gregor, H. (Eds.), Manual on methodologies and criteria for modelling and mapping critical loads
 & levels and air pollution effects, risks and trends. Umwelt Bundes Amt (Federal Environment
 Agency), Berlin., pp. VI 1 VI 33
- Power, S.A., Green, E.R., Barker, C.G., Bell, J.N.B., Ashmore, M.R., 2006. Ecosystem recovery:
 heathland response to a reduction in nitrogen deposition. Global Change Biology 12, 1241-1252.
- Puchalski, M.A., Sather, M.E., Walker, J.T., Lelunann, C.M.B., Gay, D.A., Mathew, J., Robargef,
 W.P., 2011. Passive ammonia monitoring in the United States: Comparing three different sampling
- W.P., 2011. Passive ammonia monitoring in the United States: Comparing three different sampling
 devices. Journal of Environmental Monitoring 13, 3156-3167.
- Reuss, J.O., Johnson, D.W., 1986. Acid deposition and the acidification of soils and waters.
 Ecological studies 59, Springer -Verlag New York Inc.
- Reynolds, B., Chamberlain, P.M., Poskitt, J., Woods, C., Scott, W.A., Rowe, E.C., Robinson, D.A.,
 Frogbrook, Z.L., Keith, A.M., Henrys, P.A., Black, H.I.J., Emmett, B.A., 2013. Countryside
 Survey: National 'soil change' 1978-2007 for topsoils in Great Britain acidity, carbon and total
 nitrogen status. Vadose Zone Journal 12.
- RoTAP, 2012. Review of transboundary air pollution: Acidification, eutrophication, ground level
 ozone and heavy metals in the UK. . Contract Report to the Department for Environment, Food
 and Rural Affairs. Centre for Ecology & Hydrology.
- Roth, T., Kohli, L., Rihm, B., Achermann, B., 2013. Nitrogen deposition is negatively related to
 species richness and species composition of vascular plants and bryophytes in Swiss mountain
 grassland. Agriculture Ecosystems & Environment 178, 121-126.

- Rowe, E.C., Emmett, B.A., Frogbrook, Z.L., Robinson, D.A., Hughes, S., 2012. Nitrogen deposition
 and climate effects on soil nitrogen availability: Influences of habitat type and soil characteristics.
 Science of the Total Environment 434, 62-70.
- Rowe, E.C., Evans, C.D., Emmett, B.A., Reynolds, B., Helliwell, R.C., Coull, M.C., Curtis, C.J.,
 2006. Vegetation type affects the relationship between soil carbon to nitrogen ratio and nitrogen
 leaching. Water Air and Soil Pollution 177, 335-347.
- Rowe, E.C., Ford-Thompson, A.E.S., Smart, S.M., Henrys, P.A., Ashmore, M.R., 2016. Using
 qualitative and quantitative methods to choose a habitat quality metric for air pollution policy
 evaluation. PLOS-ONE. DOI:10.1371/journal.pone.0161085.
- Rowe, E.C., Smart, S.M., Kennedy, V.H., Emmett, B.A., Evans, C.D., 2008. Nitrogen deposition
 increases the acquisition of phosphorus and potassium by heather *Calluna vulgaris*. Environmental
 Pollution 155, 201-207.
- Rowe, E.C., Tipping, E., Posch, M., Oulehle, F., Cooper, D.M., Jones, T.G., Burden, A., Monteith,
 D.T., Hall, J., Evans, C.D., 2014. Predicting nitrogen and acidity effects on long-term dynamics of
 dissolved organic matter. Environmental Pollution 184, 271-282.
- Sala, O.E., Chapin, F.S., Armesto, J.J., Berlow, E., Bloomfield, J., Dirzo, R., Huber-Sanwald, E.,
 Huenneke, L.F., Jackson, R.B., Kinzig, A., Leemans, R., Lodge, D.M., Mooney, H.A., Oesterheld,
 M., Poff, N.L., Sykes, M.T., Walker, B.H., Walker, M., Wall, D.H., 2000. Biodiversity Global
- biodiversity scenarios for the year 2100. Science 287, 1770-1774.
- Schimel, J.P., Bennett, J., 2004. Nitrogen mineralization: Challenges of a changing paradigm.
 Ecology 85, 591-602.
- Schmidt, M.W.I., Torn, M.S., Abiven, S., Dittmar, T., Guggenberger, G., Janssens, I.A., Kleber, M.,
 Kogel-Knabner, I., Lehmann, J., Manning, D.A.C., Nannipieri, P., Rasse, D.P., Weiner, S.,
 Trumbore, S.E., 2011. Persistence of soil organic matter as an ecosystem property. Nature 478, 4956.
- Schroder, W., Holy, M., Pesch, R., Harmens, H., Fagerli, H., Alber, R., Coskun, M., De Temmerman,
 L., Frolova, M., Gonzalez-Miqueo, L., Jeran, Z., Kubin, E., Leblond, S., Liiv, S., Mankovska, B.,
 Piispanen, J., Santamaria, J.M., Simoneie, P., Suchara, I., Yurukova, L., Thoni, L., Zechmeister,
- Hispanen, J., Santanaria, J.W., Simonere, F., Suchara, I., Futukova, E., Thom, E., Zechneister,
 H.G., 2010. First Europe-wide correlation analysis identifying factors best explaining the total
 nitrogen concentration in mosses. Atmospheric Environment 44, 3485-3491.
- Silvertown, J., Poulton, P., Johnston, E., Edwards, G., Heard, M., Biss, P.M., 2006. The Park Grass
 Experiment 1856-2006: Its contribution to ecology. Journal of Ecology 94, 801-814.
- Simpson, D., Andersson, C., Christensen, J.H., Engardt, M., Geels, C., Nyiri, A., Posch, M., Soares,
 J., Sofiev, M., Wind, P., Langner, J., 2014. Impacts of climate and emission changes on nitrogen
 deposition in Europe: a multi-model study. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics 14, 6995-7017.
- Song, L., Liu, W.Y., Ma, W.Z., Qi, J.H., 2012. Response of epiphytic bryophytes to simulated N
 deposition in a subtropical montane cloud forest in southwestern China. Oecologia 170, 847-856.
- Southon, G.E., Field, C., Caporn, S.J.M., Britton, A.J., Power, S.A., 2013. Nitrogen deposition
 reduces plant diversity and alters ecosystem functioning: field-scale evidence from a nationwide
 survey of UK heathlands. PLoS ONE 8.
- Sparrius, L.B., 2007. Response of epiphytic lichen communities to decreasing ammonia air
 concentrations in a moderately polluted area of The Netherlands. Environmental Pollution 146,
 375-379.
- Spranger, T., Lorenz, U., Gregor, H., 2004. Manual on methodologies and criteria for modelling and
 mapping critical loads & levels and air pollution effects, risks and trends. Federal Environment
 Agency, Berlin.
- Stevens, C.J., 2016. How long do ecosystems take to recover from atmospheric nitrogen deposition?
 Biological Conservation 200, 160-167.
- Stevens, C.J., Caporn, S.J.M., Maskell, L.C., Smart, S.M., Dise, N.B., Gowing, D.J., 2009. Detecting
 and attributing air pollution impacts during SSSI condition assessment. JNCC, Peterborough.
 JNCC Report No. 426.
- Stevens, C.J., Dise, N.B., Gowing, D.J.G., Mountford, J.O., 2006. Loss of forb diversity in relation to
 nitrogen deposition in the UK: regional trends and potential controls. Global Change Biology 12,
 1823-1833.
 - 20

- Stevens, C.J., Dise, N.B., Mountford, J.O., Gowing, D.J., 2004. Impact of nitrogen deposition on the
 species richness of grasslands. Science 303, 1876-1879.
- 850 Stevens, C.J., Dupre, C., Dorland, E., Gaudnik, C., Gowing, D.J.G., Bleeker, A., Diekmann, M.,
- Alard, D., Bobbink, R., Fowler, D., Corcket, E., Mountford, J.O., Vandvik, V., Aarrestad, P.A.,
 Muller, S., Dise, N.B., 2011a. The impact of nitrogen deposition on acid grasslands in the Atlantic
 region of Europe. Environmental Pollution 159, 2243-2250.
- 854 Stevens, C.J., Manning, P., van den Berg, L.J.L., de Graaf, M.C.C., Wamelink, G.W.W., Boxman,
- A.W., Bleeker, A., Vergeer, P., Arroniz-Crespo, M., Limpens, J., Lamers, L.P.M., Bobbink, R.,
 Dorland, E., 2011b. Ecosystem responses to reduced and oxidised nitrogen inputs in European
 terrestrial habitats. Environmental Pollution 159, 665-676.
- Stevens, C.J., Smart, S.M., Henrys, P.A., Maskell, L.C., Walker, K.J., Preston, C.D., Crowe, A.,
 Rowe, E.C., Gowing, D.J., Emmett, B.A., 2011c. Collation of evidence of nitrogen impacts on
 vegetation in relation to UK biodiversity objectives. JNCC Report 447. JNCC, Peterborough, UK.
- Strengbom, J., Nordin, A., Nasholm, T., Ericson, L., 2001. Slow recovery of boreal forest ecosystem
 following decreased nitrogen input. Functional Ecology 15, 451-457.
- Suter, G.W., 1993. A critique of ecosystem health concepts and indexes. Environmental Toxicology
 and Chemistry 12, 1533-1539.
- Sutton, M.A., Howard, C.M., Erisman, J.W., Billen, G., Bleeker, A., Grennfelt, P., van Grinsven, H.,
 Grizzetti, B., 2011. The European Nitrogen Assessment: Sources, Effects and Policy Perspectives.
 Cambridge University Press, UK, p. 612.
- Sutton, M.A., Tang, Y.S., Miners, B., Fowler, D., 2001. A new diffusion denuder system for long-term regional monitoring of atmospheric ammonia and ammonium. Water Air Soil & Pollution:
 Focus 1, 145-156.
- Sutton, M.A., Wolseley, P.A., Leith, I.D., van Dijk, N., Tang, Y.S., James, P.W., Theobald, M.R.,
 Whitfield, C., 2009. Estimation of the ammonia critical level for epiphytic lichens based on
 observations at farm, landscape and national scales. In: Sutton, M., Reis, S., Baker, S.M.H. (Eds.),
 Atmospheric Ammonia. Springer Science and Business Media B.V., pp. 71-86.
- Theobald, M.R., Bealey, W.J., Tang, Y.S., Vallejo, A., Sutton, M.A., 2009. A simple model for
 screening the local impacts of atmospheric ammonia. Science of the Total Environment 407, 60246033.
- Tipping, E., Rowe, E.C., Evans, C.D., Mills, R.T.E., Emmett, B.A., Chaplow, J.S., Hall, J.R., 2012.
 N14C: a plant-soil nitrogen and carbon cycling model to simulate terrestrial ecosystem responses to atmospheric nitrogen deposition. Ecological Modelling 247, 11-26.
- van den Berg, L.J.L., Jones, L., Sheppard, L., Smart, S.M., Bobbink, R., Dise, N.B., Ashmore, M.,
 2016. Evidence for differential effects of reduced and oxidised nitrogen deposition on vegetation
 independent of nitrogen load. Environmental Pollution 208B, 890–897.
- van Herk, C.M., 1999. Mapping of ammonia pollution with epiphytic lichens in the Netherlands.
 Lichenologist 31, 9-20.
- Vanguelova, E.I., Benham, S., Pitman, R., Moffat, A.J., Broadmeadow, M., Nisbet, T., Durrant, D.,
 Barsoum, N., Wilkinson, M., Bochereau, F., Hutchings, T., Broadmeadow, S., Crow, P., Taylor,
 P., Houston, T.D., 2010. Chemical fluxes in time through forest ecosystems in the UK Soil
- response to pollution recovery. Environmental Pollution 158, 1857-1869.
- Vanlauwe, B., Giller, K.E., 2006. Popular myths around soil fertility management in sub-Saharan
 Africa. Agriculture Ecosystems and Environment 116, 34-46.
- Vieno, M., Heal, M.R., Hallsworth, S., Famulari, D., Doherty, R.M., Dore, A.J., Tang, Y.S., Braban,
 C.F., Leaver, D., Sutton, M.A., Reis, S., 2014. The role of long-range transport and domestic
 emissions in determining atmospheric secondary inorganic particle concentrations across the UK.
 Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics 14, 8435-8447.
- Vitousek, P.M., Porder, S., Houlton, B.Z., Chadwick, O.A., 2010. Terrestrial phosphorus limitation:
 mechanisms, implications, and nitrogen-phosphorus interactions. Ecological Applications 20, 5 15.
- Vogels, J., Siepel, H., Webb, N., 2013. Impact of changed plant stoichiometric quality on heathland
 fauna composition. In: Diemont, W.H., Heijman, W.J.M., Siepel, H., Webb, N.R. (Eds.), Economy
 and ecology of heathlands. KNNV Publishers., pp. 273-297.

- Wallis de Vries, M.F., Van Swaay, C.A.M., 2006. Global warming and excess nitrogen may induce
 butterfly decline by microclimatic cooling. Global Change Biology 12, 1620-1626.
- Wolseley, P.A., Leith, I.D., Sheppard, L.J., Lewis, J.E.J., Crittenden, P.D., Sutton, M.A., 2015. Guide
 to using a lichen based index to nitrogen air quality. In: <u>www.apis.ac.uk/nitrogen-lichen-field-</u>
 <u>manual.</u>, N.A.f. (Ed.), p. 8.
- 907 908