Please cite the Published Version

AMBIGUITY, MANAGEABILITY AND THE ORCHESTRATION OF

ORGANISATIONAL CHANGE: A CASE STUDY OF AN ENGLISH

PREMIER LEAGUE ACADEMY MANAGER

[1]Luke Gibson*

ORCID: http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8401-8480

[1]University of Derby

Sport, Outdoor and Exercise Science

&

[2]Ryan Groom

ORCID: http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7633-3846

[2]Manchester Metropolitan University

Department of Exercise & Sport Science

Sports Coaching Review

* Corresponding author: Luke Gibson, Sport, Outdoor & Exercise Science,

Department of Life Sciences, College of Life & Natural Sciences, University of

Derby, Buxton, SK17 6RY l.gibson@derby.ac.uk

1 **AMBIGUITY, MANAGEABILITY AND THE ORCHESTRATION OF**

2 **ORGANISATIONAL CHANGE: A CASE STUDY OF AN ENGLISH**

3 **PREMIER LEAGUE ACADEMY MANAGER**

4

5 **Abstract**

6 An academy is an organisational context operated by professional football clubs,

7 governed by the rules of the English Football Association and the English Premier

8 League. Academies provide coaching and education for youth football players aged

9 from under 9 to under 21. The Academy Manager is responsible for the strategic

10 leadership and operation of the club's academy. This includes implementing the club's

11 philosophy, coaching and games programme, player education, and the management of

12 academy staff. The purpose of this paper is to explore the experiences of Simon

13 [pseudonym], an English Premier League Academy Manager, when implementing

14 organisational change within an academy. Data were collected from a work-based diary

15 and four in-depth semi-structured interviews. Wallace's (2003, 2004) notion of

16 orchestration is used as an analytical frame to make sense of Simon's experiences

17 through the change process and further our understanding of the social complexities of

18 organisational change in elite sporting environments.

19

20 **Introduction**

21   Sports coaching can be understood to consist of a series of embedded, taken-

22 for-granted, socio-cultural interactions that occur between social actors within a

23 contextually bound environment (Cushion & Jones, 2006, 2012; Groom, Cushion, &

24 Nelson, 2012; Jones & Corsby, 2015). Indeed, recent empirical research in sports

25 coaching has highlighted a number of negotiated, relational and complex realities

26 associated with the everyday role of the coach (e.g. Nelson, Potrac, Gilbourne,

1   Allanson, Gale, & Marshall, 2013; Potrac & Jones, 2009; Thompson, Potrac, & Jones,

2   2015). The work of Potrac and Jones (2009), Potrac *et al*. (2013), Thompson *et al*.

3   (2013), and Nelson *et al*. (2013), among others, has highlighted that coaches,

4   particularly within the culture of football, have to deal with contradictory goals and

5   conflicting personal agendas, which are often driven by the structural vulnerabilities

6   associated with the working life of a coach. Importantly, this work has demonstrated

7   that coach education programmes have failed to provide coaching practitioners with the

8   knowledge and skills to deal with the complex, political and emotional realities

9   associated working with *others* in coaching contexts (Nelson *et al*., 2013; Potrac &

10   Jones, 2009; Thompson *et al*., 2015).

11   Whilst early work has started to explore organisational structures in elite

12   football (e.g. Relvas, Littlewood, Nesti, Gilbourne, & Richardson, 2010) and effective

13   and efficient change management processes in sport more broadly (e.g. Cruickshank &

14   Collins, 2012a, 2012b, 2012c; Cruickshank, Collins, & Minten, 2014), there is limited

15   empirical work from an interpretive perspective which reflects the social complexity

16   faced by elite level coaches, managers and sporting directors when implementing

17   organisational change. This is important, as there is a dearth of research examining the

18   motivations, actions and behaviours of coaches and other support staff to better

19   comprehend the complexities of practice (Cushion & Jones, 2006, 2012; Groom *et al*.,

20   2012; Santos, Jones, & Mesquita, 2013). Therefore, this paper seeks to explore a

21   contemporary and original space within the sports coaching and sports management

22   literature – one that focuses upon the experiences and interactions of an English Premier

23   League Academy Manager whilst managing *others* (e.g. players, coaching staff, sports

24   science support staff, club directors, etc.) through the process of organisational change.

1   To achieve this goal, Jones and Wallace (2005) have suggested that theorising

2   regarding the management of complex educational change may provide a useful

3   analytical frame to enhance our understanding of the realities and ambiguities of

4   coaching and management, and thus better prepare practitioners for the realities of

5   practice. Specifically, Jones and Wallace (2005) outlined the concept of orchestration

6   as an innovative way of thinking about 'how to cope with uncontrollability,

7   incomprehensibility, contradictory values and novelty as normal parts of everyday

8   coaching life' (p. 128). Drawing upon Wallace's (2003) empirical findings examining

9   the management of complex educational change, orchestration is described as behind-

10   the-scenes string-pulling and the steering of complex change processes towards desired

11   objectives, with constant evaluation and scrutiny to keep things going, and the

12   maintenance of detailed oversight of the idiosyncrasies of each coaching situation

13   (Jones, Bailey, & Thompson, 2013). Therefore, the work of Wallace (2003, 2004)

14   offers a novel analytical approach to understanding the social complexities of

15   organisational change in elite sporting environments.

16   The significance of the study, then, rests in illuminating some of the everyday

17   realities, actions and strategies of an elite coach working in the role of an English

18   Premier League Academy Manager in order to provide a meaningful insight into the

19   realities of working with a range of *others*. This work aims to open up a novel space to

20   think about and better understand the role of the coach as a manager and social agent

21   of organisational change. In doing so, the empirical grounding offered within the

22   present study may start to provide a firmer foundation for the education of elite coaches

23   entering into senior organisational managerial positions (e.g. Academy Manager,

24   Technical Director, Head of Player Recruitment, and Sporting Director).

25

Methodology

Research approach overview

Case studies can be understood to be constructed in different ways depending

upon the *subject*, *object, purpose*, *approach* and *process* (Thomas, 2011). The *subject*

of the present study was a local knowledge case that had been appointed to implement

organisational change within an English Premier League Academy. Following a typical

interpretive case study approach, the *object* of the study – to explore the subjective

meanings, motivations and worldview that informed the participant's social actions –

emerged iteratively as inquiry progressed through the interview process (Thomas,

2011). The *purpose* of the case study was exploratory in nature, following an iterative

descriptive/illustrative *approach*. To make sense of the data, an analytical frame

(orchestration) was further introduced into the data analysis in an iterative manner

(Thomas, 2011). The case study *process* followed a single-participant combined

snapshot (diary) and retrospective (interview) design to explore the complexity of the

participant's day-to-day activities.

The interpretive case study: ontology and epistemology

The interpretive case study research design was rigorously developed,

underpinned by ontological relativism (i.e. reality is multiple, created and mind

dependent) and epistemological constructionism (i.e. knowledge is constructed and

subjective), and analysed through a narrative analysis approach focusing upon the

setting, characters and plot lines (Smith, 2013; Smith & Sparkes, 2009; Smith, Sparkes,

& Caddick, 2014). Thacher (2006) explained that, from an epistemological position,

the interpretive case study aims to illuminate the subjective meaning that people attach

to their actions: 'insofar as it focuses on values, it aims to describe the values currently

held by the subjects of research' (p. 1635). The German sociologist Max Weber made this distinction himself in his discussion of *verstehen* [a sociological approach towards understanding the meaning of action from the point of view of the participant] (Thacher, 2006). Thacher (2006) further explained Weber's position that:

> Social science should try to understand the meaning that action has for the people who engage in it, he clarified that "meaning" in this context referred to subjective meaning – most commonly, 'the actual existing meaning in the given concrete case of a particular actor.' Regardless, 'in no case does it refer to an objectively "correct" meaning or one which is "true" in some metaphysical sense'. (Thacher 2006, p. 1635)

Following the work of Weber, the ontological position of the interpretive case study is to contribute towards understanding (*verstehen*) through identifying the motivations and worldview that inform social action (Thacher, 2006). This is important because 'interpretive case studies offer explanations, since identifying the world or motives that lead people to behave in a particular way is also arguably a way of explaining their behaviour' (Thacher, 2006, p. 1635).

Ethical approval, pilot work, and sampling procedure

Prior to the investigation, institutional ethical approval was granted by the Life Sciences Research Ethics Committee at the University of Derby. Following the institutional ethical approval, the first author conducted a series of pilot interviews with two UEFA (Union of European Football Associations) qualified coaches to improve the process of enquiry (e.g. phrasing and contextual insight). Within this part of the

research process, the initial lines of questioning regarding coach education, coaching experience, and dealing with stakeholders as an academy coach were established. After the pilot interviews were completed, the second author conducted a peer debrief of the interviews and provided the first author with further guidance regarding the structure of the questions and the use of elaboration and clarification probes (Spall, 1998).

A criteria-based purposive sampling strategy was utilised to identify potential participants for the research project (Smith & Sparkes, 2014). The participant inclusion criteria were: (1) held the highest coaching award available (the UEFA Professional Licence), (2) held the relevant qualification to manage an English Premier League Category One Academy (the Academy Managers Licence), (3) had acted as both a coach and Academy Manager within an English Premier League club, and (4) had been through the process of implementing organisational change within an English Premier League Academy.

Next, a shortlist of possible participants was created and discussed by the research team. Once agreement had been reached, the second author contacted the chosen participant who was already known to him through his previous work in elite football. The second author explained to the participant the aims of the study, the research process, and what would be required of him, and the participant subsequently agreed to become the focus of the study.

The participant and context

The narrative is based upon the experiences of Simon (pseudonym), a forty-three-year-old professional soccer coach, who at the time of the interviews was an English Premier League Academy Manager working for an elite European club. Simon was responsible for overseeing the development of youth soccer players aged between

eight and twenty-one years. For Simon, this typically represented eighteen to twenty-

two players in a full-time coaching programme. His role as Academy Manager also

gave him responsibility for all coaches, players, scouts, physiotherapists, sport

scientists, administrators, and education and welfare officers involved within each age

group, from the Under 9s to the Under 21s, whether full time or part time. Within his

role, Simon often delivered coaching sessions himself across all age groups in the

academy.

Simon has accumulated twenty-five years of coaching experience in total. He

holds the UEFA Professional Licence (UEFA Pro), which is the highest coaching

qualification in Europe, and is only available to those working professionally at the

highest level. Simon also holds the Academy Managers Licence, for which the UEFA

Advanced Licence (UEFA A) is a prerequisite. Simon held the post of Head Coach for

a number of international youth teams, and was an Academy Manager at a club that

played in both the English Premiership and English Championship during his tenure.

The data collection process: diary-interview method

Data were collected from a work-based diary and four in-depth semi-structured

interviews that amounted to around five and a half hours of conversation in total.

During these meetings, Simon was encouraged to talk about his day-to-day work and

reflect upon his experiences of managing the academy. During this process the diary

captured Simon's everyday activities, where he engaged in a process of reality-

constructing, meaning-making and identity work (Gibson, Mistry, Smith, Yoshida,

Abbott, & Lindsay, 2013). The diary entries and interviews were flexible in nature,

allowing the freedom to explore issues that Simon felt were important. The solicited

diary allows for the exploration of the intuitive internalized knowledge and logic which

1   underpin the actions, interactions and meaning-making process in which Simon

2   engages every day (Gibson *et al*., 2013; Latham, 2003). Simon recorded specific

3   problems he encountered throughout his working day, along with the related emotions

4   and coping strategies utilised. The analysis of the diary revealed that Simon's role as

5   an English Premier League Academy Manager primarily involved coaching, managing

6   and dealing with a large number of unpredictable events, coping with ill-defined

7   problems as they arose and managing a large number of interrelated staff as he worked

8   towards the overall goals of 'the academy', and more widely 'the club'.

9

10   *Narrative data analysis*

11   The data were rigorously analysed using narrative thematic analysis in an

12   inductive (themes within the diary and interviews) and deductive (against sources of

13   managerial ambiguity and orchestration as an analytical frame) iterative process

14   (Smith, Bundon, & Best, 2016). Narrative themes (patterns that run through a story)

15   were identified in Simon's data through a systematic coding process (Smith *et al*.,

16   2016). During this process, analytic memos were developed to highlight tentative links

17   between Simon's narrative and the analytical frame associated with orchestration, and

18   they were used to help shape the questions and themes that were raised at the next

19   interview as part of an iterative process (Smith & Sparkes, 2002; Smith *et al*., 2016).

20   During this process, the second author acted as a 'critical friend', questioning

21   the initial interpretations of the data and suggesting alternative avenues to explore

22   (Smith & Sparkes, 2002). In the following interviews Simon was asked to elaborate

23   upon previous discussions and invited to explore the relevant themes with the

24   interviewer, prompting a reflexive interviewing approach, specifically to explore the

25   how and why behind his coaching practices. In addition, because of his personal interest

in and commitment to the research process, Simon agreed to read the interview transcripts following each interview. He provided corrections and clarification of intended meaning, and also commented upon the first author's interpretation of the data through evolving drafts of the manuscript and the theoretical reading of Simon's context. However, this was not considered to be a test of *direct validation* or *truth* (Smith *et al*., 2014). Instead, it provided an opportunity for further reflexive conversation between the participant and the first author (Smith *et al*., 2014). As authors, we acknowledge that this is one potential reading of Simon's experiences as an Academy Manager, and that the true complexity of his everyday experiences can never be fully captured and represented in written text (Groom, Nelson, Potrac, & Smith, 2014; Sparkes, 1995). The representation offered here therefore cannot be universal, definitive or final, and should instead be viewed as co-created *in* interactions between the interviewer and interviewee, partially incomplete, contested, open to the interpretation of others, storied and subjective (Smith *et al*., 2014; Sparkes, 1995).

Judging interpretive case study research: towards naturalistic generalisation

In following the ontological, epistemological and methodological logic of the interpretive case study, how then might we assess the quality, significance and contribution of the narratives presented? A number of criticisms and misunderstandings of case study research continue to pervade the field. Flyvbjerg (2006) highlights that within the social science literature, some authors have taken the position that you cannot generalise from a single case, and therefore single case study research is viewed as less valuable than hypothetico-deductive projects with a larger number of participants (i.e. big-*N* research seeking findings, which may be generalised to a larger population). Flyvbjerg (2006, p. 220) has referred to the 'conventional wisdom of case-study

1 research, which if not directly wrong, is so oversimplified as to be grossly misleading'.

2 Indeed, this suggests that 'general, theoretical (context-independent) knowledge is

3 more valuable than concrete, practical (context-dependent) knowledge' (Flyvbjerg,

4 2006, p. 221). However, in following the social science agenda (i.e. making the

5 invisible visible) within a complex, dynamic and socially negotiated field such as sports

6 coaching, there is no reason why this should be the case; indeed, on the contrary, it may

7 be argued that context-dependent knowledge might lead to more meaningful and

8 impactful work within the field.

9   Importantly, when judging the quality of contribution that such work may make

10 to the field, it is important that rich interpretive context-dependent work should be

11 judged within the paradigmatic (ontological, epistemological and methodological)

12 commitments of the research project. As opposed to the often perceived bias towards

13 verification, and lack of rigour of the interpretive case study has a number of

14 methodological strengths (Flyvbjerg, 2006). For example, unlike quantitatively based

15 large-*N* research, case study research has the potential to '*close in* on real life situations

16 and test views directly in relation to phenomena as they unfold in practice', which

17 forces researchers to revisit their initial understandings of the phenomena under

18 investigation (falsification) through engagement in the research process (Flyvbjerg,

19 2006, p. 235). Importantly, Ruddin (2006, p. 797) highlights that:

20

21   Case studies need not make any claim about the generalizability of their findings

22   but rather, what is crucial is the use others make of them – chiefly, that they

23   feed into the process of naturalistic generalization. (p. 804)

24

1   Ruddin (2006) further highlights that within interpretive case studies, the test of

2   naturalistic generalisation should be applied, in that 'what is required of case study

3   research is not that they [the researchers] provide generalizations but rather, that they

4   illustrate the case they have studied properly, in a way that captures its unique features'

5   (p. 804). Therefore, when judging the quality of interpretive case study research and

6   the provision of contextually rich description, there is a 'realignment of the

7   responsibility to generalize away from the researcher towards the reader' (Ruddin,

8   2006, p. 804).

9

10   **Orchestration: An Analytical Frame**

11   Within the present study, the metaphor of orchestration was utilised as an

12   analytical frame to highlight how through his interactions and negotiations with *others*,

13   Simon enacted his leadership and management roles in practice within the context of a

14   Premier League Academy. Wallace's (2003) concept of orchestration is grounded in

15   the recognition that 'it is probably beyond human capacity for any manager to achieve

16   fully predictable, directive control over the change process' (p. 9). Wallace (2004)

17   further identified that the *metatask* of orchestration (i.e. a task that deals with other

18   tasks and processes) entails instigating the plan, scope, strategy and financial

19   parameters of change, creating sustainable and favourable conditions for change to

20   happen, setting up management structures and delegating responsibility, monitoring the

21   process through regular feedback, and taking corrective action to keep the change

22   process on track.

23   Wallace (2003, 2004) developed the concept of orchestration through

24   examining the interactions that occur during complex organisational change in

25   educational settings. Orchestration is premised on the recognition of the early work of

1 Hoyle (1986), that 'ambiguity is endemic to organisational life' and that 'people have

2 to work hard to make sense' of uncertainty (Wallace, 2003, p. 12). Wallace (2003)

3 identified three sources of ambiguity that constrain *manageability* during organisational

4 change: (1) individuals have variable but always *limited control* over other stakeholders

5 and their actions, (2) individuals have variable but *limited awareness* of what is

6 happening, and (3) *contradictory values and beliefs* are often held by individuals and

7 groups. Thus, the three generic sources of ambiguity act to limit *manageability* during

8 the process of complex organisational change, and they are often overlooked by

9 prescriptive rationalistic accounts of change management (Wallace, 2003).

10   Orchestration has been conceptualised as straddling both leadership and

11 management, as it is concerned with both 'making new things happen' and 'keeping

12 new things going' (Wallace, 2004, p. 62). Wallace (2004) defines orchestration as:

13

14   Co-ordinated activity within set parameters expressed by a network of senior

15   leaders at different administrative levels to instigate, organise, oversee and

16   consolidate complex change across part of or all of a multi-organisational

17   system. (p. 58)

18

19   Wallace (2004) described the goal of orchestration as 'maintaining momentum

20 and ensuring that the set course is followed' (p. 64). In this sense, orchestration reaches

21 beyond the temporal dimensions of *transformational leadership* (i.e. the transformation

22 of culture through charismatic, motivational leadership, stimulation and support)

23 towards long-haul leadership and management (Wallace, 2004). Indeed, whilst

24 orchestration is complementary to elements of *transactional leadership* (i.e. through

25 the promotion of the alignment of self-interest and reward), it reaches further towards

1   bringing about complex change across multi-layered systems in the context of ongoing

2   work (Wallace, 2004). Wallace (2004) outlines three dimensions of orchestration

3   during complex change: (1) stages of the change process, (2) characteristics of

4   complexity, and (3) change management themes. The following section will briefly

5   outline each of the three dimensions.

6

7   *Stages of organisational change*

8   Wallace (2004) illustrated three stages in the change process. The first stage,

9   *initiation*, leads to the decision to instigate change within the organisation (Wallace,

10   2004). Following the decision to change, the second stage, *implementation*, is

11   concerned with the experiences of the orchestrator of change when putting the change

12   plans into action (Wallace, 2004). The final stage of the change process,

13   *institutionalisation*, refers to the way that the changes are seen as part of 'normal

14   practice' and no longer new (Wallace, 2004).

15

16   *Characteristics of complexity during the orchestration of organisational change*

17   Similar to the educational settings outlined by Wallace (2003, 2004),

18   professional sports organisations are complex systems with a large number of

19   individuals and groups of stakeholders (i.e. staff and players). Such groups are often

20   organised into departments (technical staff, sports science and medical departments),

21   and operate in an interrelated manner across system levels (i.e. age group related and

22   employment status). Wallace (2004) identified five characteristics that typify the

23   orchestration of organisational change.

24   Firstly, complex organisational change is *large-scale* (Wallace, 2004). For

25   example, orchestrators of change must deal with a 'multitude of stakeholders with a

range of specialist knowledge and priorities' (Wallace, 2004, p. 68). Stakeholders often hold 'partially incompatible beliefs and values', and have preferred 'alternative courses of action' (Wallace, 2004, p. 68).

Secondly, that change is also likely to be *componential*, in that sequential and overlapping components affect different stakeholders at different times (Wallace, 2004). Here, a 'multiplicity of differentiated but interrelated management tasks' need to be addressed (Wallace, 2004, p. 69).

Thirdly, that complex change is *systemic*, where change within one part of the system (e.g. departmental staffing resource change) influences other parts of the system (e.g. change in cross-departmental support capabilities). Complex interactions occur directly between individuals or through intermediaries and across system levels within the organisation (Wallace, 2004). This, for example, includes face-to-face interactions between stakeholders where unequal levels of social power between stakeholders and system levels exist (Wallace, 2004).

Fourthly, complex change impacts stakeholders as *differential impacting*, with 'variable shifts in practice and learning required' (Wallace, 2004, p. 70). Over time, changes in practice will demonstrate 'variable congruence' to the desired change, and may be associated with stakeholders experiencing emotional responses to change (Wallace, 2004, p. 70). Change will have 'variable' and at times 'reciprocal' effects upon day-to-day activities within the organisation (Wallace, 2004, p. 70). Due to the complexity of change, there will be 'variable awareness of the totality of change beyond those parts of immediate concern to particular individuals and groups' (Wallace, 2004, p. 71).

Fifthly, that organisational change is *contextually dependent*, in that situational factors and plans are influenced by other organisational and external concerns (Wallace,

1   2004). For example, the financial resources that are available may result in

2   organisational change driving towards efficiency gains, large-scale investment in

3   capacity or downsizing the organisation (Wallace, 2004).

4

5   ***Change management and the orchestration of complex organisational change***

6   There are three common themes associated with orchestration. The first is

7   *flexible planning and coordination*, covering management planning at different levels

8   of operation and over short-term, medium-term and long-term planning cycles

9   (Wallace, 2003, 2004). During this stage, the orchestrator (e.g. Academy Manager)

10   ensures that plans are monitored, coordinated and updated both informally and formally

11   in conjunction with the evolvement of the change process. The orchestrator may

12   delegate much of the planning detail to key staff with technical expertise (e.g. Heads of

13   Department – Head of Player Recruitment, Head of Medicine, Head of Sport Science,

14   Head of Performance Analysis, etc.).

15   The second theme developed by Wallace (2003, 2004) is *culture building and*

16   *communication*. This is achieved through the promotion of a culture of acceptance and

17   support, with consistent messages and feedback to assist coordination of change and

18   minimise resistance. During this phase, key staff are entrusted to apply the vision

19   outlined by the orchestrator to create the desired culture (Wallace, 2003). Often, senior

20   staff would articulate the vision to key stakeholders and emphasise the benefits of

21   change.

22   The third theme, *differentiated support*, encourages managers to accommodate

23   the differing needs of individual stakeholders (Wallace, 2003, 2004). The process of

24   identifying the individual needs is ongoing, with support ranging from forms of

25   education, training and continuing professional development to financial assistance.

1 Here, the orchestrator of change would seek to ensure that the staff have the necessary

2 skills and support to enact the required changes.

3

4 **Results and Discussion**

5 Through the interviews with Simon and subsequent analysis of the setting,

6 characters and plot in Simon's narrative, we came to understand that the central feature

7 of the story that Simon shared with us was that his primary role was to create

8 organisational change within the academy. As such, the following section follows five

9 interrelated themes: (1) a vision for organisational change, (2) problems implementing

10 organisational change, (3) managing staff ambiguity, (4) strategies for organisational

11 change, and (5) supporting the change process.

12

13 *A vision for organisational change*

14 A key feature of Simon's narrative was that he was appointed to facilitate

15 organisational change. Simon explained that when initiating change within a football

16 academy 'you have to be pretty clear in terms of your vision and how you are going to

17 play and what your style is going to be'. Simon described his initial remit in the

18 following way:

19

20   I was appointed because of what the club [directors] felt was needed. I presented

21   my ideas, and they [club directors] let me know their perception of the academy.

22   I told them my perception, and I was then given carte blanche to implement that.

23   They entrusted me. I had a brief, but I also briefed them about what I stood for

24   and believed in.

25

1   Following Simon's appointment to the club, and with the backing and support

2 of the board of directors, he outlined his future vision for the club's academy. In his

3 words:

4

5   I had a vision of how we were going to play, and when I came in, I looked at the

6   coaches here who were able to grasp that. Some could, some disagreed, some

7   had to move on. So, if you were the Under 12 coach and you got upset, then so

8   be it, you would go. It's not your team, it's an Athletic FC [pseudonym] team

9   and I'm going to insist on the senior and best coaches working with them and

10   you would join in and learn. And then you're constantly looking to bring people

11   in who empathise with what you're doing and can see the same pictures that

12   you're seeing along the way. And what you're trying to do with the coaches is

13   educate them.

14

15   In further describing the thoughts and emotions that he experienced during this

16 period Simon highlighted that:

17

18   The club decided something wasn't right and it needed changing, but it was

19   difficult because I was on my own. Every time you're having meetings where

20   people have to move on, or you're making harsh decisions on people's lives, it's

21   very uncomfortable to sleep the night before. Without being a cynical git, I think

22   that is going to be the same in any business that looks to try and change things.

23

1 Similarly, during the change process Simon described his understanding of the

2 context of elite football and the impact of the change on some of the staff within the

3 academy:

4

5 They've been people who have been put out of a job; I think it's only human

6 nature that that's going to happen. I think that's natural in highly competitive

7 organisations like in football and academies where everyone's looking for

8 people to fail.

9

10 Simon's meeting with the club directors to discuss the need for change within

11 the academy is the first stage in the change process, *initiation*, deciding to 'proceed

12 with change' (Wallace, 2004, p. 72). Here, Simon highlighted his perceptions of the

13 academy as an *outsider* and the need for a change of philosophy in the academy. Simon

14 explained that 'in the old way they were getting success, but in my view it was short-

15 term success. They were not evolving to Champions League [elite European club

16 competition] players.'

17 Following the decision to *initiate* change, Wallace (2004) describes

18 *implementation* as the experience of 'attempting to put change into practice' (p. 72).

19 The strategies employed by Simon when implementing his philosophy are in line with

20 the first theme of orchestration, described by Wallace (2003, p. 25) as 'flexible planning

21 and coordination', particularly when looking at the staff coaching the different age

22 groups who 'were able to grasp' the philosophy being imposed. Such preparation by

23 managers needs to ensure 'short-term flexibility through incremental planning and

24 coherence through longer planning cycles' (Wallace, 2003, p. 25). Furthermore, Simon

25 described the 'highly competitive' nature of football, where 'everyone's looking for

people to fail'. This illustrates a key characteristic of the complexity within which Simon operates. That is, elite youth football can be viewed as a highly *context-dependent* environment (Wallace, 2003, 2004), with its own deeply embedded socio-cultural practices and norms (Cushion & Jones, 2006, 2012; Groom *et al.*, 2012).

Problems implementing organisational change

Simon shared with us that the process of implementing organisational change was far from straightforward and unproblematic. He provided the following example of an interaction with a first team coach at Athletic FC, illustrating the difficulty of trying to make long-term changes for the benefit of the club and how they can be viewed negatively from a short-term perspective:

> He said "if you were First Team Manager and lost 7-2 you would have been sacked", but so long as you're strong in your vision and defend your beliefs, especially if they're different to other people, you deal with it.

When prompted on his feelings during these initial periods of change he explained:

> I think it's only human nature that it is going to happen. It can be pretty claustrophobic around the club where maybe you've had a bad week or a couple of knockbacks. Because you're stretching kids fully to the limit, you're going to have short-term failures, and you need these failures to have success.

1   Simon encountered a number of problems along the way that required him to

2   constantly monitor and amend the impact of the strategies he employed:

3

4   Originally, I did it [implemented change] through presentations, giving them

5   handouts and saying, 'this is what I want you to do'. But I realised I can't sit

6   you down and say, 'here's a session, go and do that'. You've got to be able to

7   see it, feel it, and know where you're going. For example, we've just brought in

8   Geoff. He's played the game, played under Mike [pseudonym]. He speaks the

9   language; when you speak to him he understands it. When you interact with him

10   he'll say something and you'll know he's on the right lines.

11

12   When implementing organisational change, Simon had to deal with resistance

13   to change from elements of both coaching staff and players. The first example

14   highlights a situation where the players reported that coaches had 'reverted back to

15   type' during training sessions, when Simon was not present to observe and monitor

16   practice in person:

17

18   The players told me what happened. I think they believed in what we were doing

19   more than some of the coaches did. So I addressed the coaches directly, and if

20   you got the sense that this is something that they didn't believe in then we would

21   have to agree to disagree and they moved on because they were not able to ply

22   their trade how we wanted it. And that happened over a period of months and a

23   year.

24

1   In another incident following his attempts to change the culture of the academy,

2   Simon described a situation where he had received resistance from the players:

3

4   Quite a few of the players [U18s] were very, very disrespectful towards our

5   sport scientists. We do these osmos [physiological test of urine to measure

6   hydration and readiness to train] and they started putting water in them. We

7   played a game yesterday and they were dehydrated so, as staff, we decided that

8   on this Monday we would remove the 'gold standard' support that they get and

9   gave it to the U16s. So the U18s trained at a different place, we deliberately did

10   the most linear, boring session that could ever be invented with one flat ball,

11   and they had no debrief afterwards. If they weren't prepared to accept all these

12   marginal gains, then fine. It was thought out to try and get them to understand

13   what they've actually got and not to take things for granted. It's rewriting a

14   computer; it couldn't be done in a year, and it couldn't be done in three years.

15

16   Simon provided a number of additional examples of the cultural discourse of

17   professional football and the context within which he attempted to orchestrate change.

18   In particular, the explicit discourse of results related to 'sacking' due to perceived

19   'short-term failures' was normalised and permeated through the club (Cushion & Jones,

20   2006, 2012). Simon realised that the communication of his vison for the club through

21   traditional means (i.e. staff presentations) was ineffective in creating change. Wallace

22   (2003) highlights that 'since managers depend on stakeholders to accept and implement

23   change, they must forge a culture of acceptance for their vision' (p. 25). Simon

24   described this process as 'rewriting a computer.' In trying to build the desired culture

25   and communicate the new vision to the staff, Simon realised that the staff had to 'see

1   it, feel it, and know where you're going'. In attempting to communicate this cultural

2   change, Simon employed key members of staff who could 'speak the language'.

3   However, during the process of implementing organisational change Simon

4   encountered resistance from both the staff and some of the senior youth players

5   'reverting back to type'. During the process of organisational change, *contradictory*

6   *beliefs and values* may become evident and lead to situations of conflict between

7   individuals and groups (Wallace, 2003). Whilst communicating consistent messages

8   and gathering feedback can be used to pre-empt resistance (Wallace, 2004), using

9   sanctions such as removing the 'gold standard' sport science support from the U18 team

10 highlights the quest for stakeholder [player] buy-in to the new values and beliefs of day-

11 to-day working practices (Santos *et al.*, 2013). Wallace (2003) states that the task of

12 managing such resistance relies on methods of surveillance and sanctions. This was

13 evident in Simon's story, in situations where the coaches were not meeting his standards

14 or they were practising in a way that was opposed to the new vision. During such periods

15 of resistance and the exercise of individual human *agency* by the coaches, Simon used

16 his own *agency* (i.e. his capacity to act independently) in an attempt through persuasion

17 to channel others' agency towards his vision of change, whilst monitoring and providing

18 corrective action *delimiting the agency* of those who did not embrace change (Wallace,

19 2004). When discussing his interactions with those who were unable to or refused to

20 adapt to the change process, Simon explained that 'we would have to agree to disagree

21 and they moved on'. Therefore, the *structural limits* (i.e. what is do-able/un-do-able and

22 what is thinkable/unthinkable) that Simon imposed on the change process were enacted

23 to constrain the individual *agency* of those who resisted (Wallace, 2004). Within a

24 sporting setting, as Jones and Wallace (2005, p. 24) further explain, 'orchestration

25 involves monitoring others' practice relating to the change, channelling their agency in

1   the desired direction through encouragement and incentives, and attempting to delimit

2   their agency through the threat of corrective action where their practice is deemed

3   unacceptable.'

4

5   ***Managing staff ambiguity during change***

6   In elaborating upon his experiences during the initial period of change, it

7   became evident that Simon had to manage ambiguity within the academy. Simon

8   explained that:

9

10   There are certain things that are happening or evolving and I don't know what

11   the answer is going to be. I have one member of staff that is always worrying,

12   you know, 'what is going to happen here?' I don't know, I haven't got a crystal

13   ball. You have to go with it, ride the punches, and suss it out as it evolves. Some

14   staff find it hard to grasp that I don't know what the answers are. We have this

15   new Chief Executive coming in, what does he want? What does he want to do

16   with this? I don't know. If he wants 'x', this is how we will deal with it, if he

17   wants 'y', this is how we will deal with it, if he wants 'z', well, then we will

18   think about it. The book doesn't exist that says 'here's the problem, this is the

19   answer'; you have to think on your feet, and I'm sure that's the same whether

20   you're manager of Tesco or a Premier League Academy Manager.

21

22   In attempting to manage ambiguity and prevent uncertainly and anxiety within

23   the academy staff, Simon highlighted that he would only pass on information when

24   necessary:

25

1   Let's say there is a concern going on, I would rather take the worry myself. I

2   wouldn't say "I've heard x, y and z about finances here". I would let people

3   know on a need-to-know basis that there might be future changes I am thinking

4   about, but I wouldn't just throw them in and worry people because I don't know

5   the answer.

6

7   Simon explained that organisational ambiguity was endemic in his role, and that

8   he often did not know 'what is going to happen' because he did not have a 'crystal ball'.

9   Ambiguity within organisational life can stem from 'opaqueness connected with

10   internal decision-making' (Wallace, 2003, p. 12). Prevalent sources of ambiguity for

11   Simon were his *limited control* and *limited awareness* of other stakeholders' actions

12   (e.g. the Chief Executive). Wallace (2003) identifies *limited control* as the principal

13   source of ambiguity that constrains manageability. In particular, Simon highlighted that

14   'The book doesn't exist that says "here's the problem, this is the answer".' Wallace

15   (2003) further explained that individuals always have 'equally variable but always

16   *limited awareness* of what is happening' during the change process (p. 12). Wallace

17   (2003) highlights that organisational change increases ambiguity as it 'challenges

18   habitual practices and beliefs of the people around the organisation' and is associated

19   with new experiences and new learning. Similarly, Potrac and Jones (2009 p. 570)

20   highlighted how the 'introduction of changes in working practices can produce

21   dissonance within an organisation', and therefore it should be managed carefully with

22   thought given to the consequences of change for those affected. Such change increases

23   the potential for unintended consequences among stakeholders within the organisation,

24   as change is exercised in a *componential* and *systemic* manner across different system

25   levels and in a sequential and overlapping manner, affecting different individuals at

1 different times, thus increasing unpredictability (Wallace, 2003, 2004).

2

3 ***Strategies for organisational change***

4   One of the strategies that Simon employed to initiate organisation change was

5 to use senior coaches in strategic ways to work with and communicate his messages to

6 stakeholders throughout the academy. Here, he explained that:

7

8   I nicked one of Mike's [pseudonym, managed over 1,500 professional football

9   games] ideas really. I delegated one of my best coaches and removed all of his

10   administration off him and insisted that he worked with all the players and

11   coaches. Therefore, the people who were driving it forward knew what it looked

12   like in the end and believed passionately in it. We all helped each other when it

13   wasn't working and I think the stubbornness, it sort of permeates down to the

14   lower teams. It didn't give any opportunities for part-time people who didn't

15   necessarily believe in it, it didn't give them time to say, 'Ah we're not doing

16   that, we're doing it our way', because there were always full-time members of

17   staff down there, so that we'd never let that happen. So the message was

18   permeating down on a daily basis. There was always someone there who

19   strongly believed in what we were doing, drilling it down, not really letting any

20   cancers develop in what we were trying to do.

21

22   In an attempt to implement a new 'style', Simon developed language and

23 terminology using current, well-known professional football players' names and their

24 best attributes and related them to movements, tactics and techniques specific to his

25 academy:

Often we will refer to a "[Fernando] Torres" run, or a "[Cristiano] Ronaldo" or "[Zinedine] Zidane" who can dominate with his back to goal, or your "[Marc] Overmars", you've got to be able to dominate him without the ball. The "[Gianfranco] Zola Zone", we call it the "[André] Iniesta" now. The "[David] Villa" area is in front of goal. So all the time the players are getting pictures and it becomes a language they know. It becomes a vocabulary. They could give you a tutorial on it.

Through his past experiences and interactions with senior mentors, Simon had come to learn the importance of reducing ambiguity in the academy staff's understanding of what was happening, thus reducing 'cancerous type things between staff' by providing a clear plan and vision for the future. The strategies employed by Simon in scrutinising the coaches throughout the academy are consistent with previous work on the activities of an orchestrator. Wallace (2004) highlights that senior staff can be utilised to create favourable conditions for change through setting up management structures and delegating responsibility, monitoring progress and taking 'corrective or adaptive action to keep the change process on track' (p. 66). Here, Simon entrusted key staff to apply his vision to create the desired culture where stakeholders 'believed passionately in it' (Wallace, 2003). During this process senior staff would often articulate the vision to key stakeholders and emphasise the benefits of change. In Simon's words, they 'strongly believed in what we were doing, drilling it down, not really letting any cancers develop in what we were trying to do' in an attempt to 'pre-empt any resistance' (Wallace, 2003, p. 25). Here, the *large-scale* characteristics of complexity of change across multiple stakeholders, with 'partially compatible beliefs

and values' and with 'preferred courses of action', are evident in Simon's narrative (Wallace, 2004, p. 68). In particular, Simon was aware of potential gaps in practices appearing between full-time and part-time staff.

Simon developed a 'language' within the club for *culture building and communication*, the second theme of orchestration, that senior coaches understood and would use to increase the players' understanding of what was required. Simon achieved this by using well-known professional football players as role models to discuss role related performance (Wallace, 2003).

Supporting the change process

Simon quickly identified the importance of supporting his staff to 'be the best that they could be' with 'a thirst for knowledge and personal and professional development'. Indeed, Simon actively encouraged his staff to attend as many educational and training courses as possible and to take opportunities to learn from other elite sports environments:

> If we are to say to the kids that they have to have the desire to improve, then that has to be a significant trait of the staff as well. I want them to always be looking for something better, telling me, 'I want to go on this course', 'I want to go on that course', or 'I want to go up to United for a week to learn and look at what they do'. Then my job is to try to facilitate that.

Simon offered an insight into the importance of providing different aspects of support to both coaches and players in managing the change process and implementing his philosophy. Initially, Simon identified the need to educate the coaches working

1   within the academy and revolutionise their way of thinking. To effect such change,

2   Simon allocated his top coach to work constantly with the other coaches of different

3   age groups, providing a level of support, as previously discussed: 'His job was to work

4   evenings and weekends, so he would educate and mentor coaches on a daily basis and

5   lead by example.' Subsequently, the coaches would then observe best practice and 'join

6   in, and learn'. Simon provided a deeper insight into the support afforded to coaches to

7   ensure that they were equipped to deliver a change in practice and philosophy:

8

9   Originally, when I came in all the preparation had been done before. I came in

10   and let people know it was going to be done this way and why. What we were

11   trying to do was produce players capable of playing in the Champions League.

12   So we had to equip our boys with the skills to be able to compete with players

13   from all around the world, so we had to be different from other clubs. So they

14   were told why we were going to do it and what they were going to do. Then we

15   would support them by doing in-service training. That had a minimal effect in

16   terms of power points, it might have a 24-hour effect… but… what we then did

17   was change one member of staff who believed in what we were doing and fully

18   absorbed what we were doing and then he became in charge of all player

19   development. His job was to work with the players on a daily basis and work

20   across all age groups. So rather than telling, they were being shown what to do.

21   Then I would work up there myself on a regular basis and take a team on a

22   Sunday.

23

24   Simon elaborated further to outline the methods and strategies he employed to

25   ensure that coaches were practising in a manner that would enhance the development

1   of players in line with the philosophy of the academy. Simon stated that:

2

3   If there is a senior, more experienced coach about then they will take the session.

4   You cannot be offended by that; it's not trying to undermine what you are doing

5   or undervalue what you are doing. What's really important is that the senior

6   coaches know all of the players. You can't be offended because the senior coach

7   is probably a more experienced coach. It also gives the other coaches the chance

8   to get ideas down and learn off the senior coaches. And I think that the others are

9   accepting that now. I'll try and engender that atmosphere where they will stay

10   behind and maybe watch the youth team train, or maybe if they finish at seven

11   they will stay until eight and watch Michael or me or Jack work. I was in there

12   the other night until 9 o'clock because two coaches wanted to know more about

13   the session and had loads of questions. It was a genuine interest in them wanting

14   to get better. There are one or two that see it as a threat and we have to work on

15   them and say, 'hang on, why are you working with twenty kids and your assistant

16   is putting cones out? Put your cones down and you can both work with 10.'

17

18   Simon discussed that one of his roles in supporting organisational change was

19   to ensure that the staff had access to and support for their own development. Wallace

20   suggests that during change, *differentiated support*, the third theme of orchestration, is

21   required to accommodate the differing needs of staff (Wallace, 2003, 2004). For

22   example, the process of identifying ongoing individual needs and support ranges from

23   education, training and continuing professional development to financial assistance.

24   Through a process of 'in-service training' and delegation of responsibilities to key

25   members of staff (i.e. 'all player development'), Simon was able to 'show' rather than

1 'tell' staff how he wanted them to fulfil their roles by being able to 'work up there

2 myself on a regular basis'. Here, Simon's role is to ensure that the staff have the

3 necessary skills and support to enact the required changes. This is because complex

4 organisational change is *differentially impacting*, with 'variable shifts in practice and

5 learning required' (Wallace, 2004, p. 70).

6   Due to the complexity of the change process, Simon utilised senior coaches to

7 support the development of other coaches within the academy. Simon highlighted that

8 whilst he wanted the senior coaches to get to know the players, he also wanted the less

9 experienced coaches to have the opportunity to learn from senior mentors. Through this

10 process, change had a 'variable' and at times 'reciprocal' effect upon day-to-day

11 activities within the organisation (Wallace, 2004, p. 70). In addition, over time, changes

12 in practice demonstrated 'variable congruence' to the desired change, and may be

13 associated with stakeholders experiencing emotional responses to change (Wallace,

14 2004, p. 70). Simon highlighted that insisting senior coaches were able to lead sessions

15 initially led to some resistance but that he attempted to create and 'promote a culture of

16 acceptance and support' where this became the accepted norm and culture in the club

17 (Wallace, 2004, p. 67). This relates to Wallace's (2004) final stage of the change,

18 *institutionalisation*, where the perception is of 'normal practice and so no longer

19 anything new' (p. 72). Simon's work with the coaches to embrace the culture change

20 'as the norm', for example through his interactions with coaches staying behind to

21 discuss the session, reflects the atmosphere that he had wanted to create to ensure the

22 longevity of change.

23

24 **Conclusion**

25   The purpose of this study was to examine the experiences of an English Premier

1 League Academy Manager through the process of organisational change. Through

2 Simon's narrative we identified the utility of the three stages of change identified by

3 Wallace (2004) to understand Simon's actions, and the motives behind the strategies

4 that were implement to affect organisational change. Specifically, the *initiation* stage

5 highlighted a need for change within the academy and the playing philosophy attached

6 to the academy. The *implementation* stage portrayed Simon's strategies to manage a

7 change in philosophy and the day-to-day working practices of the academy to ensure

8 that the new philosophy was being enforced. Finally, within the *institutionalisation*

9 stage the changes implemented by Simon became the norm within the academy and the

10 working practices of the coaches.

11 Additionally, the notion of orchestration (Wallace, 2003) as an analytical frame

12 allowed for a deeper comprehension of Simon's experiences of managing complexity

13 and organisational change. The first theme highlighted was the need for flexible

14 planning and coordination (Wallace, 2003). In this instance, Simon discussed the

15 importance of understanding the philosophy of the academy and identifying the coaches

16 who were on board with that philosophy and those who were not. The second theme

17 was the process of culture building and communicating this culture effectively to staff

18 and coaches (Wallace, 2003). Here, Simon indicated that he had to be acutely aware of

19 the increase in specialist staff working in a Premier League Academy and how this

20 increase could prompt factions and disagreements to emerge and act as negative forces

21 against the process of change. Finally, the importance of providing differentiated

22 support to accommodate the needs of individuals during this change process became

23 apparent (Wallace, 2003).

24 Whilst we recognise the limitations of a single-participant case study design,

25 we believe that the rigorous data collection and the analysis presented here offer new

insights into coaching practice. Specifically, we believe that this paper further builds empirical support for the notion that the everyday realities that sports coaches face primarily involve managing ambiguous complex social situations (Santos *et al.*, 2013). To date such an appreciation is not reflected in the way that we educate sports coaches to understand and prepare for the realities of practice (Jones, Morgan, & Harris, 2012; Chesterfield, Potrac, & Jones, 2010).

From an analytical perspective, we also believe that orchestration offers a flexible way to look at complexity, one that does not require events to be de-humanised and rationalistically reduced and simplified, and devoid of the essential 'messy' nature of human endeavour. Wallace (2003) identified the benefits of undertaking projects immersed in knowledge-for-understanding and instrumentalism in 'seeking more realistic ways of supporting practitioners with managing complex educational change inside the limits of human agency' (p. 28). As opposed to concentrating on notions, suggesting agency to be potentially unlimited, Wallace (2003) contended that knowledge-for-action is best served by developing coping strategies underpinned by concepts such as orchestration. The development of effective coping emphasises the improvement in managing ambiguity and accepting that a certain level of ambiguity is inherent within managerial life (e.g. Wallace, 2003; Jones & Wallace, 2005; Bowes & Jones, 2006).

Suggestions for future work: methodological and practical considerations

From a methodological perspective, nested case studies could be used (i.e. examining the effects upon interrelated key individuals) to further explore the impact of reciprocal, sequential and overlapping change, both within and between system levels. Thomas (2011, p. 517) suggests that 'a nested case study is distinct from a

1   straightforwardly multiple study in that it gains its integrity, its wholeness from the

2   wider case', allowing for an interpretation of the intertwined relationships *within* each

3   case. This methodological approach would allow for a comparative relational

4   understanding of the interactions from the perspectives of multiple interrelated actors

5   within the coaching environment to further a poly-vocal account of organisational life

6   in coaching contexts (Potrac, Nelson, & O'Gorman, 2015).

7   From a practical perspective, one way that the findings of this study might be

8   used in future coach education programmes is through novel student-focused

9   pedagogical practices. For example, within the sports coaching literature, problem-

10   based learning (PBL) (Jones and Turner, 2006) and ethno-drama (ED) (Morgan, Jones,

11   Gilbourne and Llewellyn, 2013) have been utilised to create contextual, complex,

12   dynamic and realistic learning situations supported by subtle tutor interaction and

13   questioning. Whilst PBL focuses upon realistic, problematic scenarios and subtle tutor

14   questioning (Jones and Turner, 2006), ED centres on problems presented through

15   dramatic performance in order to provide solutions to those problems, which often

16   escalate in complexity as more information becomes available through performances

17   (Morgan *et al*., 2013). When applying the findings of this study to such approaches,

18   coach educators might wish to consider building realistic scenarios that place the

19   coaches/managers in situations that they might encounter within a football

20   environment. For example, a scenario based upon implementing organisational change

21   within 'the club' might be used to generate dialogue between student-managers to

22   facilitate learning. Here, students might be provided with contextual information about

23   the club, with the specific goals and performance targets set by the board (e.g. specific

24   targets regarding the number of players progressing into the first team, youth and senior

25   international player production, sales and financial viability of the club's transfer

market activities, etc.) and the wider organisational concerns (e.g. the development of the club's strategy, philosophy and identity). Students could be encouraged to discuss how they would assess, plan, implement and support change within the club. Through subtle tutor questioning and realistic problematic disruptions (e.g. unplanned meetings and presentations to senior executives at boardroom level), students would be encouraged to give thought to and develop the knowledge and skills required to operate in senior coaching management roles during the change process.

Alternatively, utilising an ED approach, students might watch a dramatic performance of a dialogue between a Chief Executive and an Academy Manager in a job interview or view the first team manager undertaking a media interview to explain why they have so few academy players playing for the team, and then consider how the new Academy Manager (the student) has been tasked by the club to address this issue and the expectations. Students might then have to prepare to give a media conference themselves, to share their ideas around implementing change within the club.

References

Bowes, I., & Jones, R.L. (2006). Working at the edge of chaos: understanding coaching as a complex, interpersonal system. *The Sport Psychologist*, *20*, 235–245.

Chesterfield, G., Potrac, P., & Jones, R.L. (2010). 'Studentship' and 'impression

management' in an advanced soccer coach education award. *Sport, Education & Society, 15*, 299–314.

Cruickshank, A., & Collins, D. (2012a). Change management: The case of elite sports performance. *Journal of Change Management*, 12(2), 209–229.

Cruickshank, A., & Collins, D. (2012b). Culture change in elite sport performance teams: Examining and advancing effectiveness in the new era. *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology*, *24*, 338–355.

Cruickshank, A., & Collins, D. (2012c). 'Multi-directional management': Exploring the challenges of performance in the World Class Programme environment. *Reflective Practice*, *13*(3), 455–469.

Cruickshank, A., Collins, D., & Minten, S. (2014). Driving and sustaining cultural change in Olympic sport performance teams: A first exploration and grounded theory. *Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology*, *36*(1), 107–120.

Cushion, C., & Jones, R.L. (2006). Power, discourse and symbolic violence in professional youth soccer: the case of Albion F.C. *Sociology of Sport Journal*, *23*, 142–161.

Cushion, C., & Jones, R.L. (2012). A Bourdieusian analysis of cultural reproduction: socialisation and the 'hidden curriculum' in professional football. *Sport, Education & Society*, *19*(3), 276–298.

Flyvbjerg, B. (2006). Five misunderstandings about case-study research. *Qualitative Inquiry*, *12*(2), 219–245.

Gibson, B.E., Mistry, B., Smith, B., Yoshida, K., Abbott, D., & Lindsay, S. (2013). The integrated use of audio diaries, photography, and interviews in research with disabled young men. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, *12*, 382–402.

1   Groom, R., Cushion, C., & Nelson, L. (2012). Analysing coach–athlete 'talk in

2   interaction' within the delivery of video-based performance feedback in elite

3   youth soccer. *Qualitative Research in Sport, Exercise & Health*, *4*(3), 439–

4   458.

5   Groom, R., Nelson, L., Potrac. P., & Smith, B. (2014). Writing and representing

6   research. In L. Nelson, R. Groom, & P. Potrac (Eds.), *Research methods in*

7   *sport coaching* (pp. 86–97). London: Routledge.

8   Hoyle, E. (1986). *The politics of school management*. London: Hodder & Stoughton.

9   Jones, R.L., Bailey, J., & Thompson, I. (2013). Ambiguity, noticing, and

10   orchestration: Further thoughts on managing the complex coaching context. In

11   P. Potrac, W. Gilbert, & J. Denison (Eds.), *The Routledge handbook of sports*

12   *coaching* (pp. 271–283). London: Routledge.

13   Jones, R.L., & Corsby, C. (2015). A case for coach Garfinkel: decision making and

14   what we already know. *Quest, 67*(4), 439–449.

15   Jones, R.L., Morgan, K., & Harris, K. (2012). Developing coaching pedagogy:

16   seeking a better integration of theory and practice. *Sport Education & Society*,

17   *17*(3), 313–329.

18   Jones, R.L., & Turner, P. (2006). Teaching coaches to coach holistically: can

19   Problem-Based Learning (PBL) help? *Physical Education and Sport*

20   *Pedagogy*, *11*(2), 181–202.

21   Jones, R.L., & Wallace, M. (2005). Another bad day at the training ground: coping

22   with ambiguity in the coaching context. *Sport, Education & Society, 10*, 119–

23   134.

1   Latham, A. (2003). Research, performance, and doing human geography: Some

2   reflections on the diary-photography, diary-interview method. *Environment*

3   *and Planning A*, *25*(11), 1993–2017.

4   Morgan, K., Jones, R.L., Gilbourne, D., & Llewellyn, D. (2013). Changing the face of

5   coach education: using ethno-drama to depict lived realities. *Physical*

6   *Education and Sport Pedagogy*, *18*(5), 520–533.

7   Nelson, L., Potrac, P., Gilbourne, D., Allanson, A., Gale, L., & Marshall, P. (2013).

8   Thinking, feeling, acting: the case of a semi-professional soccer coach.

9   *Sociology of Sport Journal, 30*, 467–486.

10   Potrac, P., & Jones, R.L. (2009). Micropolitical workings in semi-professional

11   football. *Sociology of Sport Journal*, *26*, 557–577.

12   Potrac, P., Jones, R., Gilbourne, D., & Nelson, L, (2013). Handshakes, BBQs, and

13   bullets: A tale of self-interest and regret in football coaching. *Sports Coaching*

14   *Review*, *1,* 79-92.

15   Potrac, P., Nelson, L.N., & O'Gorman, J. (2015). Exploring the everyday realities of

16   grassroots football coaching: towards a relational perspective. *Soccer and*

17   *Society*. DOI: 10.1080/14660970.2015.1100900

18   Relvas, H., Littlewood, M., Nesti, M., Gilbourne, D., & Richardson, D. (2010).

19   Organizational structures and working practices in elite European professional

20   football clubs: Understanding the relationship between youth and professional

21   domains. *European Sport Management Quarterly*, *10*(2), 165–187.

22   Ruddin, L.P. (2006). You can generalize stupid! Social scientists, Bent Flyvbjerg, and

23   case study methodology. *Qualitative Inquiry*, *12*(4), 797–812.

1 Santos, S., Jones, R.L., & Mesquita, I. (2013). So coaches orchestrate? The working

2 practices of elite Portuguese coaches. *Research Quarterly for Exercise &*

3 *Sport, 84,* 263–272.

4 Smith, B. (2013). Sporting spinal cord injuries, social relations, and rehabilitation

5 narratives: an ethnographic creative non-fiction of becoming disabled through

6 sport. *Sociology of Sport Journal*, *30*, 132–152.

7 Smith, B., Bundon, A., & Best, M. (2016). Disability and activist identity: a

8 qualitative study of narratives of activism among elite athletes with

9 impairment. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, *26*, 139-148.

10 Smith, B., & Sparkes, A.C. (2002). Sport, spinal cord injury, embodied masculinities,

11 and the dilemmas of narrative identity. *Men and Masculinities, 4*, 258–285.

12 Smith, B., & Sparkes, A.C. (2009). Narrative analysis and sport and exercise

13 psychology: understanding lives in diverse ways. *Psychology of Sport and*

14 *Exercise*, *10*, 279-288

15 Smith, B., & Sparkes, A.C. (2014). *Qualitative research methods in sport, exercise*

16 *and health: from process to product*. London: Routledge.

17 Smith, B., Sparkes, A.C., & Caddick, N. (2014). Judging qualitative research. In L.

18 Nelson., R. Groom., & P. Potrac (Eds.), *Research methods in sport coaching*

19 (pp. 192–201). London: Routledge.

20 Spall, S. (1998). Peer debriefing in qualitative research: Emerging operational

21 models. *Qualitative Inquiry*, *4*(2), 280–292.

22 Sparkes, A.C. (1995). Writing people: reflections on the dual crises of representation

23 and legitimation in qualitative inquiry. *Quest*, *47*, 158–195.

24 Thacher, D. (2006). The normative case study. *American Journal of Sociology*,

25 *111*(6), 1631–76.

1   Thomas, G. (2011). A typology for the case study in social science following a review

2   of definition, discourse and structure. *Qualitative Enquiry, 17*(6), 511–521.

3   Thompson, A., Potrac, P., & Jones, R.L. (2015). 'I found out the hard way':

4   micropolitical workings in professional football. *Sport, Education & Society,*

5   *20,* 1–19.

6   Wallace, M. (2003). Managing the unmanageable?: coping with complex educational

7   change. *Education Management Administration Leadership, 31*, 9–29.

8   Wallace, M. (2004). Orchestrating complex educational change: local reorganisation

9   of schools in England. *Journal of Educational Change, 5,* 57–78.