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Abstract

C-tactile afferents (CTs) are slowly conducting nerve fibres, present only in hairy skin. They are optimally activated by slow, gentle stroking touch, such as those experienced during a caress. CT-stimulation activates affective processing brain regions, alluding to their role in affective touch perception. We tested a theory that CT-activating touch engages the pro-social functions of serotonin, by determining whether reducing serotonin, through acute tryptophan depletion, diminishes subjective pleasantness and affective brain responses to gentle touch.

A tryptophan depleting amino acid drink was administered to 16 healthy females, with a further 14 receiving a control drink. After 4 hours, participants underwent an fMRI scan, during which time CT-innervated forearm skin and CT non-innervated finger skin was stroked with 3 brushes of differing texture, at CT-optimal force and velocity. Pleasantness ratings were obtained post-scanning.

The control group showed a greater response in ipsilateral orbitofrontal cortex to CT-activating forearm touch compared to touch to the finger where CTs are absent. This differential response was not present in the tryptophan depleted group. This interaction effect was significant. Additionally, control participants showed a differential primary somatosensory cortex response to brush texture applied to the finger, a purely discriminatory touch response, which was not observed in the tryptophan depleted group. This interaction effect was also significant. Pleasantness ratings were comparable across treatment groups.

These results implicate serotonin in the differentiation between CT-activating and purely discriminatory touch responses. Such effects could contribute to some of the social abnormalities seen in psychiatric disorders associated with abnormal serotonin function.
Introduction

Interpersonal touch promotes psychological well-being throughout the lifetime (Weiss et al., 2000; Feldman et al., 2010; Field, 2010; Burleson & Davis, 2013) with lack of touch in childhood a significant predictor of adult depression (Brown et al., 2007; Takeuchi et al., 2010). Skin-to-skin contact has demonstrable clinical benefits for premature infants and those born full-term (Field, 2001; Bystrova et al., 2009; Moore et al., 2012; Feldman et al., 2014), and in adults, social touch can increase liking of a person or place, engender pro-social behaviours and increase trust (Morrison et al., 2010). However, little is known about the neurobiological mechanisms that transform touch stimuli to benefits on psychological development, resilience and well-being.

Numerous studies have demonstrated serotonin (5-HT) is a key modulator of social responses with known effects on attachment formation and social bonding (Kiser et al., 2012; Young, 2013). Deakin & Graeff (1991) hypothesised the interaction between social stimuli and serotonin is important in the pathogenesis of depression, proposing tactile interactions mediate the protective effects of close personal relationships. They cited rodent behavioural studies showing serotonergic drugs mimic group housing effects by preventing stress-induced anxiety-like behaviour and the effects of isolation on serotonin function. More recent literature suggests hippocampal serotonin release mediates the long-term stress-protective effects of maternal care on rodent offspring (Meaney & Szyf, 2005).

The recreational drug Ecstasy (3,4-methylenedioxyamphetamine (MDMA)) acutely increases central serotonin function (Morton, 2005) and is known to enhance the pleasure of touch (Klein et al., 2009). Conversely, experimental reduction of serotonin function following acute depletion of the essential amino acid and serotonin precursor, tryptophan (Delgado et al., 1990; Hood et al., 2005), has implicated serotonin in modulating tactile social cue perception in healthy volunteers (Bilderbeck et al., 2011; Bilderbeck et al., 2013). Taken together, these
studies suggest serotonin modulates tactile encoding in general, and responses to socially relevant interactions in particular.

Two different classes of nerve fibre mediate cutaneous mechanosensation; large myelinated, fast conducting Aβ afferents project to primary somatosensory cortex (SI) and encode discriminatory aspects of touch, whereas slow conducting, low-threshold mechanosensitive C-fibres (C-tactile afferents (CTs)) project to dorsal posterior insula and other limbic regions and are hypothesised to encode the affective components of gentle touch (Olausson et al., 2002, 2008b; McGlone et al., 2007, 2014). In humans, CTs are present in hairy skin, but have never been found in glabrous skin (Vallbo et al., 1999), and respond optimally to stroking velocities between 1-10 cm/s delivered at human skin temperature (Loken et al., 2009; Ackerley et al., 2014); sensations experienced during a human caress. This evidence has led to the proposal that CTs are the neurobiological substrate of human social tactile behaviour (Morrison et al., 2010). Deakin and Graeff (1991) proposed that social intimacy confers resilience to stress via an influence of affiliative touch in promoting serotonin function (Deakin, 1996). Here, we tested the prediction that if the CT system mediates affiliative touch, then reducing central serotonin function through acute tryptophan depletion (ATD) should reduce Blood Oxygenation Level Dependent (BOLD) responses specifically to CT activating touch in brain regions associated with affective processing. We also wished to determine whether ATD modifies discriminatory touch responses in somatosensory processing regions.

**Materials and methods**

*Ethics Statement*

Ethical approval was obtained from the North Manchester Research Ethics Committee. This experiment was undertaken with the understanding and written informed consent of each
participant and the study conforms with the World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki.

Participants

Thirty healthy female volunteers (mean ± s.d. age = 23.7 ± 5.18 years) were recruited from the University of Manchester. All participants attended a screening session between 1 and 18 days (mean ± s.d. = 4.6 ± 3.3 days) before the scanning session. Only female participants were included in this study to avoid the confound of sex on the data obtained. Females were chosen as they are almost twice as likely to be affected by depression than males (Hamet & Tremblay, 2005) and are more susceptible to the effects of ATD (Nishizawa et al., 1997; Bell et al., 2005). Additionally, sex differences have been identified in responses to affective touch (Essick et al., 2010).

All participants had no self-reported psychiatric history and were physically healthy. The structured clinical interview to diagnose DSM-IV-TR Axis I disorders (SCID) (First et al., 2002) and the Brief Symptom Inventory (BSI) (Derogatis, 1993) were conducted during the screening session in order to exclude participants with a psychiatric history. Participants with average weekly alcohol consumption greater than 25 units per week were also excluded (mean ± s.d. weekly alcohol consumption = 11.0 ± 7.6 units), as were participants who reported taking street drugs less than 4 weeks before participation. All participants were either taking the contraceptive pill, or tested during the follicular phase of their menstrual cycle. During screening, participants were provided with details of a low-protein diet to follow the day before the scan. Additionally, Intelligence Quotient (IQ) was measured using the Quick Test (Ammons & Ammons, 1960) and touch ratings were completed as described in the following section, to allow comparison of ratings before and after amino acid drink consumption.
**Touch Ratings**

All tactile stimuli were manually applied by the same female experimenter (PDT). Manual application of brush strokes to the forearm has been carried out in many previously published fMRI investigations of CT activating touch (Olausson et al., 2002, 2008a; Bjorsdotter et al., 2009; Morrison et al., 2011; Gordon et al., 2013; Voos et al., 2013; Kaiser et al., 2015). Apart from Morrison et al., (2011), these studies only used a soft brush, whereas this study used three brushes of varying degrees of coarseness. By varying stimulus texture, this enabled us to investigate whether central responses to CT-targeted touch were specifically tuned to soft, pleasant touch sensations. Brush strokes were applied to the ventral rather than dorsal forearm to enable access to the glabrous skin of the hand without requiring participants to move their arm.

The brushes used in this study were selected on the basis of a previous study where 16 participants, not included in the current study, provided Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) hedonic ratings of touch for a variety of brushes. These results were used to identify three brushes consistently rated as affectively pleasant (the soft brush), neutral (the medium brush) and unpleasant (the coarse brush) when applied to CT innervated forearm skin. None of the brushes were perceived as painful, nor produced any skin damage.

The three brushes selected for use in this study were all 44 mm wide, flat brushes and were as follows: soft - Daler-Rowney, 44 mm, Goat Hair, S155, Flat; medium - Hog Bristle, Daler-Rowney Georgian Brush, G36, Short Flat, no.18; coarse - plastic bristles with split ends mounted in the same flat handle as the soft brush.

For the current study, participants provided touch ratings of all brush stimuli during the screening session and immediately post scanning. The same experimenter (PDT) conducted all screening and scanning sessions. During the screening session, touch ratings were obtained after conducting the SCID (First et al., 2002) to determine psychiatric history. All tasks were
completed by participants in the same order during the screening and scanning sessions, so all participants interacted with the experimenter a similar amount before providing touch ratings and experiencing the touch in the scanner.

Stimuli were applied in 20 s stimulation blocks preceded by a 20 s rest. During each stimulation block, participants were either stroked 5 times on their left mid ventral forearm over a distance of 18 cm in a proximal to distal direction, or 10 times on the ventral side of their left fingers, proximal to distal over 5 cm, ending at the tip of the fingers. The interval between strokes was 1 s. The experimenter maintained a CT optimal stroking velocity of 5 cm/s (Loken et al., 2009), by synchronising the stroke with a moving dot on a monitor. The force of application was guided by the degree of bend in the brush, which was previously calibrated using a top pan balance to produce 220 mN. A touch-run consisted of one stimulation block per brush, applied to both the left forearm and fingers, in a randomised order. Randomisation of stimulus order each time the brush stimuli were administered removed systematic biases due to habituation to particular stimuli.

A single touch-run was used to obtain ratings during screening and after scanning. When providing touch ratings and when in the scanner, the left forearm of the participant was placed on a small VacFix® cushion for comfortable positioning of the arm. To avoid visual interference when providing touch ratings at screening and post scanning, participants wore a blindfold during stimulus application, which they removed to complete the VAS. Participants rated the pleasantness of each stimulus during the 20 s rest block following each stimulation block, on a 10 cm VAS with anchor points -10 (extremely unpleasant), -5 (unpleasant), 0 (neutral), 5 (pleasant) and 10 (extremely pleasant). One participant with aberrant ratings at screening was excluded from further participation.

The same computer programme written in E-prime version 2.0 (Psychology Software Tools, 2012) was used to guide stimulus application inside and outside the scanner, however,
touch ratings were not taken during the scanning session. When in the scanner, the computer programme was projected on to the wall on the right hand side of the participant, so that it was visible to the experimenter, but not the participant.

**Imaging session**

*Amino acid drink*

ATD inhibits serotonin synthesis by reducing the availability of the essential amino acid and serotonin precursor, tryptophan. An amino acid load devoid of tryptophan is administered, inducing hepatic protein synthesis which depletes circulating tryptophan. Furthermore, the increase in large neutral amino acids competes with the transport of reduced levels of tryptophan across the blood-brain barrier via the large neutral amino acid transporter (Hood *et al*., 2005; Evers *et al*., 2010). The control condition is identical except the amino acid load contains tryptophan. This increases plasma tryptophan, but the ratio of tryptophan to other large neutral amino acids is still reduced, the reduction being significantly greater following ATD (Weltzin *et al*., 1994; Roiser *et al*., 2008).

Amino acids were supplied by SHS International Ltd. (Liverpool, UK). Participants were randomly assigned to receive either the tryptophan depleting (TRP-) drink or control drink (TRP+) and drinks were administered double blind. A between rather than within subject design limited the impact of participant withdrawal on the data. The ratio of amino acids used in the drinks was the same as that of Benkelfat *et al*. (1994), but 80 % quantities were used to account for the lower average body weight of female participants (Hood *et al*., 2005). The amounts used are standard for ATD studies (e.g. Evers *et al*., 2006; Fusar-Poli *et al*., 2007; Bilderbeck *et al*., 2011; Daly *et al*., 2012, 2014). The total protein of the TRP- drink was 82.1 g. The amount of each amino acid contained in the TRP- drink was L-Alanine, 4.4 g; L-Arginine 3.9 g; L-Cystine, 2.2 g; Glycine, 2.6 g; L-Histidine, 2.6 g; L-Isoleucine, 6.4 g; L-
Leucine, 10.8 g; L-Lysine monohydrochloride, 8.8 g; L-Methionine, 2.4 g; L-Phenylalanine, 4.6 g; L-Proline, 9.8 g; L-Serine, 5.5 g; L-Threonine, 5.5 g; L-Tyrosine, 5.5 g; L-Valine, 7.1 g. The TRP+ drink was the same as the TRP- drink, with the addition of 1.8 g L-Tryptophan. A few minutes before oral administration, the amino acids were mixed with 150 ml water and ~45 ml chocolate syrup to mask the unpleasant taste. Participants were required to consume the drink within 15 minutes. Immediately after drink consumption, participants completed questionnaires and cognitive tasks (not reported), then rested until the scanning session which began 4 hours after drink consumption.

Blood glucose and blood pressure were monitored throughout the day. Blood samples for commercial assay of total plasma tryptophan were taken before and 4 hours after the amino acid drink. Mood was monitored pre-drink and 4 hours post-drink using the Profile Of Mood States (POMS) (McNair & Lorr, 1971) and the Fawcett-Clark Pleasure Scale (FCPS) (Fawcett et al., 1983).

**Scanning parameters**

Scanning was conducted using a Philips Achieva 3T scanner. T2*-weighted functional images were obtained to investigate changes in BOLD signal throughout the scan. A single shot gradient echo-planar sequence was used. Whole brain scans of 34 slices, each 3 mm thick with a 0.5 mm slice gap, were obtained. The repetition time (TR) was 2000 ms, with an echo time (TE) of 35 ms. The field of view (FOV) was 230 mm with an acquisition matrix of 128 x 128. Voxel size was 1.8 mm x 1.8 mm x 3.5 mm. A T1-weighted structural image was obtained for each participant for use in image pre-processing.

**Scanning session**
A 5-minute eyes-closed resting state scan—the results of which are not reported here—was followed by the first touch-run, as described above. VAS ratings were not obtained during the scanning session to avoid engaging cognitive evaluative processes. Participants were not blindfolded and instructed to keep their eyes open, but all participants reported they were unable to see the brushes being administered. Participants were instructed to concentrate on how the stimuli felt throughout each touch-run. The first-touch run was followed by a 6-minute task involving self-administered touch, the results of which are not reported here. The second touch-run was then administered followed by a 6-minute structural brain scan and then the final touch-run. Each participant therefore experienced three 20 s blocks of stroking with each stimulus on both the left ventral forearm and glabrous skin of the fingers whilst in the scanner.

Post scanning
Following the scanning session, touch ratings were obtained as described previously. Due to the double-blind nature of this study, all participants were given a protein-rich meal of their choice at the end of the experimental session to replete endogenous tryptophan levels. This was followed by de-briefing the participant and checking for any residual tryptophan depletion effects before allowing them to return home.

Data Analysis

VAS & plasma tryptophan analysis

VAS, POMS, FCPS and total plasma tryptophan data were analysed with SPSS version 22 (IBM Corp), using the multivariate approach to repeated-measures modelling (Rencher & Christensen, 2012). Significant interaction effects were followed up using simple main effects and pairwise comparisons with Sidak correction (denoted in text as \( p_S \)). Model assumptions were verified using model residual plots combined with the Shapiro-Wilk test of normality.
Homogeneity of the covariance matrices between groups was verified by the use of Box’s test. $F$ approximations to Pillai’s trace are reported.

No significant difference between treatment groups was identified for VAS ratings obtained during screening. Additionally, no significant effect of treatment group was identified between VAS ratings obtained during screening and immediately post scanning. For these reasons, data obtained immediately post scanning were analysed alone to simplify incorporation of the between-subject factor of treatment group (TRP+/TRP-) in the analysis model, as well as the two within-subject factors of texture (soft/medium/coarse), and location (forearm/fingers). Examination of model residuals showed a departure from normality, so a square root transformation was used.

POMS, FCPS and total plasma tryptophan data were analysed separately. All models consisted of a within-subject factor of time (baseline, +4 hours after amino acid consumption) and a between-subject factor of treatment (TRP+/TRP-).

**Imaging Data Analysis**

Imaging data were analysed using MATLAB (The MathWorks, Inc.), Statistical Parametric Mapping (SPM) version 12b and the Sandwich Estimator (SwE) SPM toolbox. Functional images were re-aligned to the first volume. The structural image was then coregistered to the mean functional image and segmented into its constituent tissue classes. The transformation to the standard Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) space calculated from the segmentation procedure was then applied to the functional volumes before smoothing using a Gaussian kernel full width half maximum (FWHM) of 5.4 x 5.4 x 10.5. As an additional motion correction step, the Artefact Detection Toolbox (ART, http://www.nitrc.org/projects/artifact_detect/) was used to identify outlying volumes based on a volume-to-volume shift of > 1mm and a volume-to-volume change in mean signal intensity.
> 3 standard deviations. If more than 15% of volumes per touch-run were identified as outliers, this run was excluded from the analysis. Only one run for one participant was excluded for this reason. For the remaining touch-runs a separate regressor for each outlying volume was included in the first level design matrix in order to ‘censor’ the effectors of motion from the parameter estimates (Power et al., 2012; Siegel et al., 2014). For the subject-level analysis, the parameter estimate for the preceding rest block was subtracted from the parameter estimate during the stimulation block. A 128 s high pass filter was used to account for low-frequency signal drift. The parameter estimates from each participant for each condition were then averaged across runs to take through to group-level modelling.

The group-level model had a single between subject factor of treatment group (TRP+/TRP-), and two within subject factors of location (forearm/fingers) and texture (soft/medium/coarse). In order to accommodate the repeated measurements at the group level we made use of the sandwich estimator toolbox (Guillaume et al., 2014), allowing us to fit a single marginal model with an unconstrained covariance structure at every voxel. Activations with False Discovery Rate (FDR) corrected p-values \( \leq 0.05 \) and cluster size \( \geq 5 \) voxels are reported for all contrasts. To investigate significant interactions, follow-up analysis involved small volume correction using the activation map for the interaction with threshold FDR \( \leq 0.05 \). This allowed identification of significant effects within interactions.

From previous brain imaging studies of CT-targeted touch (Craig, 2002; Olausson et al., 2002; Lindgren et al., 2012; McGlone et al., 2012; Gordon et al., 2013), a single a-priori region of interest mask was used for all contrasts and interactions at the group-level. The mask consisted of orbitofrontal cortex (OFC), defined using the automated anatomical labelling (AAL) atlas (Tzourio-Mazoyer et al., 2002), postcentral gyrus, insula, anterior cingulate and amygdala, from the WFU PickAtlas (Lancaster et al., 1997, 2000; Maldjian et al., 2003, 2004),
plus secondary somatosensory cortex (SII), defined by parietal operculum (OP) regions 1-4 from the Anatomy Toolbox version 1.8 (Eickhoff et al., 2005, 2006a, 2006b).

Results

Treatment groups (TRP+/TRP-) were comparable in terms of age ($t_{21.46} = 1.21$, $p = 0.24$) and IQ ($t_{28} = 0.74$, $p = 0.46$) (Table 1).

Total plasma tryptophan levels

Analysis of total plasma tryptophan concentration at baseline and 4 hours after drink consumption between TRP+ and TRP- treatment groups (see Table 1), revealed a significant interaction of treatment with time ($F_{1,28} = 353.148$, $p < 0.001$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.927$, power = 1.000). Analysis of simple effects identified a significant decrease in total plasma tryptophan concentrations 4 hours after drink administration in the TRP- group ($F_{1,28} = 72.211$, $p < 0.001$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.721$, power = 1.000) and a significant increase in the TRP+ group ($F_{1,28} = 316.252$, $p < 0.001$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.919$, power = 1.000). Plasma tryptophan concentrations of the TRP- group decreased by $74 \pm 1.3\%$ (mean $\pm$ S.E.) and increased $284 \pm 17.6\%$ in the TRP+ group. Average total plasma tryptophan concentrations reported for the current study before and after consumption of the amino acid drinks were comparable to those reported in previously published studies using ATD (Evers et al., 2006; Roiser et al., 2008; Bilderbeck et al., 2011).

Self-reported mood

There was no significant change in mood from baseline to 4 hours post amino acid consumption (POMS total mood disturbance (TMS): $F_{1,28} = 3.067$, $p = 0.091$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.099$, power = 0.394. FCPS: $F_{1,28} = 2.514$, $p = 0.124$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.082$, power = 0.334) and no significant interaction with treatment group (TRP+/TRP-) (POMS TMS: $F_{1,28} = 0.016$, $p = 0.900$, $\eta_p^2 =$
0.001, power = 0.052. FCPS: F_{1,28} = 0.187, p = 0.669, \eta_p^2 = 0.007, power = 0.070). These data are presented in Table 1.

**Touch Ratings**

No effect of ATD on touch ratings was identified. A significant interaction of location with texture was identified (F_{2,27} = 12.555, p < 0.001, \eta_p^2 = 0.482, power = 0.992). At both locations, the soft brush was rated most pleasant, the coarse brush least pleasant and the medium brush significantly less pleasant than the soft brush (forearm: t_{28} = 7.510, ps < 0.001; fingers: t_{28} = 4.613, ps < 0.001) and significantly more pleasant than the coarse brush (forearm: t_{28} = 6.223, ps < 0.001; fingers: t_{28} = 7.674, ps < 0.001). The soft brush was significantly more pleasant when applied to the forearm than the fingers (F_{1,29} = 5.854, p = 0.022, \eta_p^2 = 0.168, power = 0.648). For the medium brush, application to the fingers was significantly more pleasant than the forearm (F_{1,29} = 12.949, p = 0.001, \eta_p^2 = 0.309, power = 0.935). For the coarse brush, application to the forearm was significantly more unpleasant than to the fingers (F_{1,29} = 5.838, p = 0.022, \eta_p^2 = 0.168, power = 0.646). The main effect of texture was also significant (F_{2,27} = 44.626, p < 0.001, \eta_p^2 = 0.768, power = 1.000). Graphical representation of this data is presented in Figure 1.

**fMRI data analysis**

*Effect of treatment and touch location on BOLD response*

ATD reduced the differential response of the ipsilateral Inferior Frontal Gyrus (IFG) region of lateral OFC (Brodmann Area (BA)47) to CT-targeted vs non-targeted touch. As shown in Figure 2 and Table 2, a significant interaction of treatment (TRP+/TRP-) with location (forearm/fingers) was identified in the ipsilateral IFG region of lateral OFC (BA47). Follow-up contrasts revealed CT-targeted forearm touch produced a significantly greater BOLD
response than CT non-targeted touch to the fingers in the TRP+ condition. This differential response to CT activating vs non-activating touch was not present in the TRP- group, where the BOLD response to touch to the forearm and fingers was comparable. The BOLD response to touch to the forearm and fingers did not differ significantly between treatment groups.

Pearson’s correlations were used to determine whether touch ratings significantly correlated with BOLD response of the peak voxel (MNI -37 25 -18). No significant correlations were identified between hedonic ratings of pleasantness and BOLD response.

Effect of treatment, stimulus location and texture on BOLD response

ATD altered contralateral SI response to discriminatory touch. A significant interaction of treatment group (TRP+/TRP-) with stimulus texture (soft/medium/coarse) and touch location (forearm/fingers) was identified in contralateral SI, as shown in Figure 3 and Table 2. Follow up contrasts to further investigate this interaction revealed touch to the fingers, where Aβ innervation density is high and CTs are absent, produced a differential contralateral SI response to brush texture in the TRP+ group, with the coarse brush producing significantly greater activation than the soft brush. Contralateral SI response to touch to the fingers in the TRP-group did not show this differential response to texture, in fact, SI response to all three brush textures was comparable. This effect was not seen following touch to the forearm where Aβ innervation density is much lower. As expected, overall SI response to touch to the fingers was significantly greater than touch to the forearm. Pearson’s correlations of hedonic ratings of touch with BOLD response of the peak voxel (MNI: 44 -27 53) were not significant.

Effect of stimulus location and texture on BOLD response

A significant interaction of location (forearm/fingers) with texture (soft/medium/coarse) was identified in ipsilateral SI and contralateral OP4 of SII, as presented in Table 2. Follow up
contrasts to investigate the effect of texture at each location separately revealed no significant differences between textures for touch to the forearm or fingers in SI or SII after FDR correction. In ipsilateral SI, the effect of location for each texture revealed a significant difference between the forearm and fingers, consistent with the main effect of location identified in this region (see Table 3). In SII no significant difference between the forearm and fingers was identified for any of the textures after FDR correction.

Comparison of BOLD response to CT-targeted vs non-targeted touch

Brain regions with significantly different BOLD responses to CT-targeted touch to the forearm compared to touch to the fingers where no CT innervation is present are presented in Table 3 and Figure 4. Consistent with previous studies, CT-targeted forearm touch produced significantly greater BOLD response in limbic regions (anterior cingulate and contralateral posterior insula) than CT non-targeted touch to the fingers. Touch to the fingers produced significantly greater BOLD response in somatosensory regions (bilateral SI and contralateral SII (OP1)) and mid-insula than touch to the forearm.

Effect of touch to the forearm and fingers compared to rest

Contrast of forearm touch compared to rest identified significant activation of the ipsilateral IFG region of lateral OFC (BA47), bilateral SII and contralateral posterior insula and SI. Touch to the fingers compared to rest resulted in significant activation of bilateral SI and the IFG region of lateral OFC (BA47) and ipsilateral mid-insula and SII (Table 4).

Discussion

Overview of results
This study replicated previous findings that CT-targeted touch (forearm - finger) preferentially activates affective processing regions; OFC, anterior cingulate and posterior insula (Olausson et al., 2002, 2008b; Hua et al., 2008; Lindgren et al., 2012; McGlone et al., 2012; Gordon et al., 2013; Voos et al., 2013; Kaiser et al., 2015), whereas Aβ mediated touch (finger - forearm) primarily activated somatosensory processing regions; SI and SII. Tryptophan depletion reduced circulating tryptophan concentrations by 74 % and modulated central responses to CT-targeted and purely discriminatory touch without modifying hedonic ratings of pleasantness. In keeping with previous studies in non-vulnerable healthy volunteers, tryptophan depletion did not affect self-rated mood (Roiser et al., 2008; Bilderbeck et al., 2011).

Serotonin modulates the differential OFC response to CT activating vs non-activating touch

Irrespective of brush texture, stroking of the forearm evoked greater subjective responses than when applied to the finger as previously reported and in keeping with the CT-affective touch hypothesis (Vallbo et al., 1999; Olausson et al., 2008b; Morrison et al., 2010; McGlone et al., 2014). However, stimulus texture did not affect the central responses to CT activating touch to the forearm. This agrees with Morrison et al. (2011), who also found no significant difference in brain regions associated with CT encoding when comparing responses between a soft and stiff brush. In contrast to the current study, Morrison et al. (2011), reported a significant differential SI response for soft compared to stiff brush application to the forearm, but both studies support the hypothesis that CTs do not specifically encode pleasant touch per se, rather, they encode the stimulus velocity (Loken et al., 2009) and temperature (Ackerley et al., 2014) associated with interpersonal social interactions. Thus the main role of CTs may be to signal that a potentially affiliative gesture (stroking) has occurred which is independent of other dimensions such as the condition of the skin or texture of the stimulus.
A key region of the brain in which this signalling is modulated by serotonin was identified in the IFG region of lateral OFC (BA47) where touch to the forearm elicited BOLD responses regardless of stimulus texture, with no responses to non-CT directed stimulation of the finger. This selectivity of response to CT activating touch replicates a previously reported positron emission tomography (PET) investigation of healthy individuals where the IFG region of lateral OFC (BA47) was significantly more activated by CT-targeted touch to the forearm compared to CT non-targeted touch to the palm (McGlone et al., 2012). After tryptophan depletion both forearm and finger stimuli elicited BOLD responses suggesting both classes of stimuli can engage the IFG region of lateral OFC (BA47), as shown by activation of this region by finger and forearm stimulation (Table 4). Serotonin may thus play an important role in tuning the IFG region of lateral OFC (BA47) responses to CT touch by inhibiting non-CT input. That the modulation occurs centrally and possibly locally, is suggested by the absence of tryptophan depletion effects in other regions that respond to CT-targeted stimulation such as posterior insula and anterior cingulate. In keeping with Deakin and Graeff (1991), it is possible that CT stimulation may activate serotonin projections which suppress the non-CT input into lateral OFC revealed by tryptophan depletion.

A number of studies suggest that the IFG region of lateral OFC (BA47) is an important region for processing socially relevant and affective stimuli such as images of face emotions (Goulden et al., 2012) or social inclusion/exclusion (Elliott et al., 2012), and affective speech prosody (Wildgruber et al., 2004). Furthermore, four studies report tryptophan depletion modulation of fMRI activations by affective faces, images or words in the IFG region of lateral OFC (BA47) in healthy volunteers (Fusar-Poli et al., 2007; Williams et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2009; Daly et al., 2010). Recent evidence suggests neuronal populations in medial and lateral OFC represent a supramodal continuum of valence of stimuli from unpleasant to pleasant, irrespective of stimulus modality (Chikazoe et al., 2014). One possibility is that the IFG region
of lateral OFC (BA47) contributes to a social valence or affiliative system based on the integration of a variety of sensory modalities that influence social decision-making (Bzdok et al., 2012) such as visual (e.g. face emotion) (Goulden et al., 2012), auditory (emotional prosody) (Wildgruber et al., 2004) and, as our results suggest, tactile information. This study indicates serotonin maintains a bias for the IFG region of lateral OFC (BA47) to respond to socially relevant CTs. This could be one component mediating the prosocial functions of serotonin seen in the subjective effects of MDMA (Bedi et al., 2009; Wardle et al., 2014) and in the effects of citalopram and tryptophan depletion in experimental studies of social cooperation and moral behaviour (Wood et al., 2006; Crockett et al., 2010; Bilderbeck et al., 2011, 2013; Siegel & Crockett, 2013).

**Preferential somatosensory responses to finger stroking; modulation by tryptophan depletion**

For control participants, stroking stimulation of the finger evoked greater responses for all textures (coarse > medium > soft) in contralateral SI than CT directed forearm stimuli which evoked no measurable responses in this region. The fingers are adapted for fine discriminatory touch perception with a dense innervation of Aβ afferents, a large representation in SI and much finer two-point discrimination compared to the forearm (v. Békésy, 1957; Verrillo & Chamberlain, 1972; Johansson & Vallbo, 1979). The results of the present study confirm this, with significantly greater SI activation following finger compared to forearm stimulation.

The graded BOLD response to coarseness was absent in the tryptophan depleted group, implicating a possible role for serotonin in texture discrimination. Centrally, previous investigations in the rat have found serotonin to modulate the spontaneous compared to stimulus specific responses of Aβ mechanosensitive neuronal pathways at the level of both the thalamus (Starr et al., 2008) and SI (Waterhouse et al., 1986). Serotonin has been found to predominantly depolarise interneurons in layers II and III of rat SI, depolarise the majority of
layer I neurons projecting to layers II and III and hyperpolarise the majority of layer I neurons whose axons remain in layer I (Foehring et al., 2002). Serotonin therefore alters neuronal firing patterns in SI and has been suggested to alter the temporal components of sensory neuron responses which allow the encoding of different surface texture properties (Hurley et al., 2004). By reducing central serotonin through ATD, SI neuronal responses, including their temporal components, may be altered to the extent that responses to different surface textures becomes less specific, as seen in the SI response reported in the current study.

The differential SI response seen in the non-depleted group could additionally reflect a peripheral mechanism altered by tryptophan depletion. The coarse features of a texture, such as braille dots and gratings, are encoded peripherally by the spatially modulated neural signal of slowly adapting type 1 (SA1) afferents, which densely innervate the fingers (Yoshioka et al., 2001). Weber et al. (2013) demonstrated rapidly adapting (RA) and Pacinian (PC) afferents are required to encode texture-specific vibrations propagated by the stimulus moving over the skin, allowing finer surface properties to be encoded. SA1 afferents form Merkel cell-neurite complexes in the basal layer of the epidermis (Haeberle & Lumpkin, 2008), which mediate SA1 responses (Nakatani et al., 2014). Immunohistochemistry has identified serotonin, 5-HT1A and 5-HT1B receptors and the 5-HT transporter in Merkel cell-neurite complexes (English et al., 1992; Tachibana et al., 2005). 5-HT2 and 5-HT3 antagonists alter SA1 response to mechanical stimulation (He et al., 2003). Additionally, 5-HT2A receptors have been identified on Pacinian Corpuscles (Carlton & Coggeshall, 1997). Further investigation into the modulatory role of serotonin in Aβ mediated mechanosensation is required, including whether this is a purely central process, or whether peripheral mechanisms are also involved.

**Wider implications and conclusions**
Deakin and Graeff (1991) hypothesised that touch-induced activation of serotonin pathways might contribute to the protective effects of social support against depression. The discovery of the CT system and its potential role in affective touch raised the possibility that CT simulation may activate serotonin pathways which in turn could mediate the pleasantness of affective touch. However, in this study we did not find that tryptophan depletion reduced subjective pleasantness ratings to CT-targeted touch but that serotonin promotes a bias to CT responsiveness in the IFG region of lateral OFC (BA47), but in no other brain regions previously implicated in CT touch responses, indicating a modulatory rather than a mediating role of serotonin in processing CT-targeted touch. Nevertheless, it remains possible that CT afferent activity itself evokes the tonic serotonin release which supresses responses to non-CT stimuli in OFC and which could modulate other systems to promote resilience to stress and depression. Studies of the CT system in patients with depression and the modulatory influence of serotonin, especially in the IFG region of lateral OFC (BA47), would seem worthwhile.

A lack of response to CT stimulation in the IFG region of lateral OFC (BA47) has been reported in spectrum disorder (ASD) (Kaiser et al., 2015). ASD involves impaired social communication (Pelphrey et al., 2011) and is associated with abnormal serotonin function (Chugani et al., 1999; Azmitia et al., 2011; Oblak et al., 2013) and with hyper- and hypo-reactivity to sensory input specified in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5) of the American Psychiatric Association (2013). Kaiser et al., (2015) found that the IFG region of lateral OFC responses (BA47) to CT-targeted compared to non-targeted touch seen in control participants, were absent in an ASD group. While this is similar to the effect of tryptophan depletion in our study, it is not clear whether the ASD effect is due to disinhibition of non-CT targeted touch as in our tryptophan depletion finding rather than an absence of CT responses.
It is clearly important to determine whether the peripheral CT system is functionally intact in ASD and whether abnormal CNS representation could be modulated by serotonin.

Limitations in this study include the small sample size, between-subject design and female-only participants. It is also worth noting that other neurotransmitters have been implicated in CT-targeted touch and social behaviour such as oxytocin (Ellingsen et al., 2014; Scheele et al., 2014) and opioids (Case et al., 2016). Nevertheless, the results provide preliminary evidence of a modulatory role of serotonin in the differentiation between socially relevant CT activating touch and purely discriminatory touch responses. This provides one mechanism by which social deficits and altered touch responses may be observed in psychiatric disorders, such as depression and autism, in which dysfunction of the serotonin system has been implicated. Further investigation into the role of social touch in a range of psychiatric disorders will allow us to expand our knowledge of the neurobiological mechanisms underlying these disorders.

**Acknowledgements**

This work was supported by a doctoral Collaborative Award in Science and Engineering (CASE) grant from the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BBSRC) and Unilever (FA01181 to P.D.T.) and by a University of Manchester Translational Imaging Unit grant (MRH035 to P.D.T). F.M., S.C.W. & P.D.T. currently receive funding from a Leverhulme Trust grant (RPG-2013-058). Funding sources were not involved in study design, collection, analysis or interpretation of data, in writing this report, or deciding to submit this article for publication.

**Abbreviations**

ASD, Autism Spectrum Disorder; ATD, Acute Tryptophan Depletion; BA, Brodmann Area; BOLD, Blood Oxygenation Level Dependent; CNS, Central Nervous System; CTs, C-tactile
afferents; FCPS, Fawcett-Clark Pleasure Scale; FDR, False Discovery Rate; IFG, Inferior Frontal Gyrus; IQ, Intelligence Quotient; OFC, Orbitofrontal Cortex; OP, Parietal Operculum; POMS, Profile Of Mood States; SA1, Slowly Adapting type 1; SI, Primary Somatosensory Cortex; SII, Secondary Somatosensory Cortex; VAS, Visual Analogue Scale

References


Klein, H., Elifson, K.W., & Sterk, C.E. (2009) Young Adult Ecstasy Users’ Enhancement of
the Effects of Their Ecstasy Use. *J Psychoact. Drugs*, 41, 113–120.


Table 1: Age, IQ, total plasma tryptophan and mood before and after treatment for both treatment groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TRP+</th>
<th>TRP-</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0 hours</td>
<td>+ 4 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>22.6 (0.78)</td>
<td>24.8 (1.62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IQ</td>
<td>96.6 (2.19)</td>
<td>98.6 (1.61)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma TRP (μg/ml)</td>
<td>7.7 (0.33)</td>
<td>20.9 (0.94)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POMS TMD</td>
<td>-10.2 (3.85)</td>
<td>-6.21 (4.43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCPS</td>
<td>121.64 (4.67)</td>
<td>118.14 (5.10)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comparison of age, total plasma tryptophan concentration (plasma TRP) and mood (profile of mood states total mood disturbance (POMS TMD) and Fawcett-Clark pleasure scale (FCPS) scores are shown) across treatment groups (TRP+: control drink, TRP-: tryptophan depleting drink) and by time (baseline (0 hours) and 4 hours post amino acid consumption (+ 4 hours)). Mean values (with SE) are presented. No significant differences were identified, except for total plasma tryptophan concentrations where plasma tryptophan increased significantly in the TRP+ group ($p < 0.001$) and decreased significantly in the TRP- group ($p < 0.001$) at +4 hours compared to baseline. Plasma tryptophan concentrations were comparable between groups at baseline ($p = 0.743$), but significantly higher in the TRP+ than the TRP- group 4 hours after drink consumption ($p < 0.001$).
Table 2: fMRI significant interaction effects of treatment, texture and location

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Cluster size (voxels)</th>
<th>Peak $p$ (FDR corrected)</th>
<th>Peak $\chi^2$-value</th>
<th>Coordinates (X Y Z)</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Treatment x Texture x Location</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>34.74</td>
<td>44 -27 53</td>
<td>Right SI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment x Location</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>34.36</td>
<td>44 -27 49</td>
<td>Right SI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>13.76</td>
<td>-37 27 -18</td>
<td>Left OFC (IFG)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location x Texture</td>
<td>495</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>34.77</td>
<td>47 -26 53</td>
<td>Right SI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>47</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>16.37</td>
<td>-44 -29 42</td>
<td>Left SI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>16.07</td>
<td>-37 -24 53</td>
<td>Left SI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>12.14</td>
<td>60 -13 11</td>
<td>Right SII (OP4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: IFG – inferior frontal gyrus, OFC – orbitofrontal cortex, SI – primary somatosensory cortex, SII – secondary somatosensory cortex, OP4 – parietal operculum area 4. MNI coordinates are stated.
Table 3: Brain regions for which a significant effect of location was identified

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cluster size (voxels)</th>
<th>Peak ( p ) (FDR corrected)</th>
<th>Peak ( \chi^2 )-value</th>
<th>Coordinates (X Y Z)</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Finger &gt; Arm</td>
<td>681</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>46.51</td>
<td>46 -24 49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>285</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>21.82</td>
<td>-44 -29 42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>65</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>15.15</td>
<td>49 -17 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>10.87</td>
<td>42 -4 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arm &gt; Finger</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>14.95</td>
<td>-37 -24 53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>8 10 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>31 -20 18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: SI – primary somatosensory cortex, SII – secondary somatosensory cortex, OP1 – parietal operculum area 1, Ig2 – granular insula area 2. MNI coordinates are stated.
Table 4: main effect of touch to the forearm and fingers compared to rest

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cluster size (voxels)</th>
<th>Peak p (FDR corrected)</th>
<th>Peak z-value</th>
<th>Coordinates (X Y Z)</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Forearm &gt; rest</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>4.63</td>
<td>-39 39 -11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>190</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>4.61</td>
<td>-61 -24 39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>52</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>4.51</td>
<td>37 -15 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>47</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>58 -17 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>24 -40 70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finger &gt; rest</td>
<td>1257</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>7.39</td>
<td>46 -26 53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>733</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>5.78</td>
<td>-62 -20 35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>247</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>4.19</td>
<td>-44 46 -4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>64</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>3.81</td>
<td>-35 -6 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>46 34 -11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>-46 5 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>-26 30 -14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>53 27 -4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: IFG – inferior frontal gyrus, OFC – orbitofrontal cortex, SI – primary somatosensory cortex, SII – secondary somatosensory cortex. MNI coordinates are stated.
Figure Legends

Figure 1: Hedonic ratings for the soft, medium and coarse brushes when applied to the forearm and fingers. Error bars represent 95 % confidence intervals. A significant interaction of brush texture with location was identified ($p < 0.001$). For touch to both the forearm and fingers, the soft brush was more pleasant than the medium brush, which was more pleasant than the coarse brush ($p_S < 0.001$ for all comparisons). The soft brush was more pleasant when applied to the forearm compared to the fingers ($p_S = 0.022$), the medium brush was more pleasant when applied to the fingers than the forearm ($p_S = 0.001$) and the coarse brush was more unpleasant when applied to the forearm than the fingers ($p_S = 0.022$). *$p < 0.05$, **$p < 0.01$

Figure 2: Significant interaction of treatment with location in left OFC (MNI -37 25 -18). Error bars represent 95 % confidence intervals. In the control (TRP+) condition, touch to the forearm induced significantly greater activation than touch to the fingers. Following tryptophan depletion (TRP-), no significant difference in BOLD response was identified following touch to the fingers compared to the forearm. *$p_{FDR} < 0.05$

Figure 3: A significant interaction of treatment group with stimulus texture and touch location was identified in right somatosensory cortex (MNI: 44 -27 53). Error bars represent 95 % confidence intervals. In the control (TRP+) condition for touch to the fingers, texture discrimination was significant, with the coarse texture response significantly greater than the soft texture. This effect was not present following tryptophan depletion (TRP-), where no texture discrimination was identified following touch to the fingers. Additionally, for each texture and in both treatment groups, touch to the fingers produced significantly greater
response than to the forearm. Abbreviations: SB – soft brush, MB – medium brush, CB – coarse brush. *$p_{FDR} \leq 0.05$

**Figure 4:** Effect of touch location. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. CT-targeted forearm touch induced significantly greater BOLD response than CT non-targeted touch to the fingers in the anterior cingulate (MNI 8 10 25) (A) and right posterior insula (Ig2) (MNI 31 - 20 18) (B). A significantly greater BOLD response was seen in secondary somatosensory cortex (SII), parietal operculum area 1 (OP1) (MNI 49 -17 14) (C) and right mid-insula (MNI 42 -4 0) (D) following touch to the fingers compared to the forearm. *$p_{FDR} \leq 0.05$
C-tactile afferents (CTs) are present in hairy skin, responding to caress-like touch and hypothesised to provide the neural substrate for affective touch. Acute tryptophan depletion (TRP-) and fMRI were used to investigate the role of serotonin in central responses to CT vs non-CT touch. Findings implicate a role for serotonin in differentiating between CT/non-CT touch, providing a potential mechanism underlying altered touch responses in psychiatric disorders such as depression and autism.