

(19)



(11)

EP 3 136 311 A1

(12)

EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION

(43) Date of publication:
01.03.2017 Bulletin 2017/09

(51) Int Cl:
G06Q 10/04 ^(2012.01) **G06N 5/00** ^(2006.01)
G06F 17/12 ^(2006.01) **G06Q 10/06** ^(2012.01)
G06N 99/00 ^(2010.01)

(21) Application number: **16180203.8**

(22) Date of filing: **19.07.2016**

(84) Designated Contracting States:
**AL AT BE BG CH CY CZ DE DK EE ES FI FR GB
GR HR HU IE IS IT LI LT LU LV MC MK MT NL NO
PL PT RO RS SE SI SK SM TR**
Designated Extension States:
BA ME
Designated Validation States:
MA MD

(72) Inventors:

- **SYRICHAS, Alex**
Cheshire
SK1 1HE (GB)
- **CRISPIN, Alan**
Cheshire
SK1 1HE (GB)

(30) Priority: **28.08.2015 GB 201515319**
10.03.2016 US 201615066412

(74) Representative: **D Young & Co LLP**
120 Holborn
London EC1N 2DY (GB)

(71) Applicant: **Servicepower Business Solutions
Limited**
Stockport, Cheshire SK1 1HE (GB)

(54) **INTERACT CALCULATION IN A QUANTUM ANNEALING OPTIMISATION PROCESS**

(57) A method for applying a quantum annealing optimisation process for identifying a candidate schedule. The method comprises generating, by a process optimization computing device, a set of P schedules; applying, by the process optimization computing device, a quantum annealing optimisation process by recursively updating the set of P schedules; and identifying, by the process optimization computing device, a candidate schedule from the recursively updated set of P schedules. For each of the recursively updated set of P schedules, an alternative schedule is generated, by the process optimization computing device, and is compared, by the process optimization computing device, to the each of the recursively updated set of P schedules based on a comparison of a quantum term for the each of the recursively updated set of P schedules and of a corresponding quantum term for the alternative schedule, wherein a quantum term is calculated using an interaction function configured to output an interaction value Q for two schedules S1 and S2 of the recursively updated set of P schedules;. The using, by the process optimization computing device, the interaction function to output the interaction value Q for the schedules S1 and S2 further comprises: receiving as an

input a binary encoding E1 for the first schedule S1 and a binary encoding E2 for the second schedule S2, wherein the binary encodings E1 and E2 include a same number of bits; initialising the interaction value Q to zero; setting a value of N to a value of one or more; setting a binary variable A as the first N bits of the binary encoding E1 and setting a binary variable B as the first N bits of the binary encoding E2; updating the interaction value Q based on a Hamming weight calculation for a binary variable derived from an XOR operation applied to the binary variables A and B; as long as the binary encoding E1 comprises one or more M bits after the binary value A and the binary encoding E2 comprises one or more M bits after the binary variable B, re-setting the binary variable A as being the first L bits of the one or more M bits of the binary encoding E1, with $L \leq M$, re-setting the binary variable B as being the first L bits of the one or more M bits of the binary encoding E2 and repeating the updating; and outputting the interaction value Q for the schedules S1 and S2.

EP 3 136 311 A1