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Abstract

The agriculture sector is the principal source of income for around 20% of the EU-26 population, which live in predominantly rural regions that would be devastated without its contribution. Moreover, the combined agricultural and food sector forms an important part of the EU economy, accounting for 15 million jobs (8.3% of total employment) and 4.4% of GDP ((Europedia 2016). The 12 million active farmers across Europe today, have an average farm size of about 15 hectares, and are expected to meet the needs of 500 million Europeans. In addition, they are also expected to promote a sustainable and balanced development of their land, also in areas where production conditions are difficult. Yet, despite the relevance of the sector, the use of land for agriculture purposes is not very sustainable. Among other issues, there is a serious problem in respect of the abandonment of agricultural land. Based on the perceived need for research on this topic, the aim of this paper is to examine the causes and consequences of agricultural land abandonment, outlining its social, economic and environmental impacts, as well as the implications for territorial integration.
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1. Introduction

The sustainable use of land is a matter of primary concern to any country in the world. Indeed, sustainable land use is mentioned across many of the 17 Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). In particular, Goal 15 (Sustainably manage forests, combat desertification, halt and reverse land degradation, halt biodiversity loss) clearly states that there is a pressing need to fight deforestation and desertification, which not only pose major challenges to sustainable development, but also negatively affects the lives and livelihoods of millions of people in the fight against poverty. But one major threat to sustainable land use, is the issue of land abandonment. Therefore, apart from describing the problem and its scope, the paper also suggests some sustainability measures which may be adopted in order to ameliorate the problem.

Land abandonment is a term commonly used to describe uncultivated land ('Land used for agricultural purposes until recent times but not currently cultivated, with a noticeable cover of shrubs'), as abandoned land ('Land not subject to any cultivation practice (including conservation agriculture), nor intended for grazing'), neglected land (when they pose a threat to neighbour owners) (Rico 2011). Land abandonment is one possible outcome of a process of marginalisation driven by a combination of social, economic, political and environmental factors, by which certain areas of farmland cease to be viable under existing land use and socio-economic structures (Keenleyside and Tucker 2010).

Land abandonment often is a complex and gradual process, starting with a progressive marginalisation (i.e. withdrawal of land management) that leads initially to a reduction in farming intensity (e.g. lower stocking rates, withdrawal of grazing from the margins and infrequent cultivations) (Keenleyside and Tucker 2010). Farmland abandonment is a widespread land-use change in temperate regions, due to increasing yields on productive lands, conservation policies, and the increasing imports of agricultural products from other regions (Estelet al. 2015). Farmland abandonment (FLA) can be defined as the cessation of agricultural activities on a given surface of land and not taken by another activity (such as urbanisation or afforestation) (Pointereau et al. 2008).

The research importance of the topic can be assessed if one considers that, over last decades, large agricultural areas were abandoned worldwide, and relatively little research has focused on it. Agricultural or farmland abandonment is the process starting with withdrawal of agricultural management that leads initially to a reduction in farming intensity, and is followed by a progression of natural succession processes (Keenleyside and Tucker 2010a). However, it should be also noted that the literature provides more than one definition of the term, depending on the type of an used approach: administrative, social, ecological landscape or agronomic (Pointereau et al. 2008). For example, Joint Research Centre (JRC) defines farmland abandonment as ‘a significant decrease in management which leads to undesirable changes in ecosystem services’ (Keenleyside and Tucker 2010a).
Land abandonment is a serious problem in Africa, with serious climate implications (Tesfaye, Seifu 2016). In Europe, the problem is considered as one of the major environmental threats to territorial cohesion (Zakkak et al. 2015), since substantial areas have been affected by agricultural abandonment (Pointereau et al. 2008) The situation varies from a region to a region due to economic, social and demographic situation, natural conditions and historical development. Particularly, the problem is prominent in south-eastern Europe (Zakkak et al. 2015). During the 1990s millions of hectares of farmland (e.g. 15-20% of cropland in Slovakia, Poland and Ukraine) were abandoned as a result of the transition process (Keenleyside and Tucker 2010a, van Dijk et al. 2004). Between years 2001 and 2012, up to 7.6 Mha of agricultural land was abandoned, in Eastern Europe, Southern Scandinavia, and Europe's mountain regions (Estel et al. 2015). The analysis of the 2004-06 Rural Development Programmes has shown that as a result of poor soils and unfavourable economic conditions 17.6% of the agricultural land was abandoned in Poland, 10.1% in Estonia, 21.1% in Latvia and 10.3% in Lithuania (van Dijk et al. 2004).

A wide range of studies introduces different drivers, causes, indices of farmland abandonment. Mostly are the result of environmental and socio-economic changes (Cramer et al. 2008). The following drivers are often named as the most common:

- Rural-urban migration stimulated by cultural attraction and new economic opportunities in cities (Aide and Grau 2004), or as a result of armed conflicts, political instability, or large-scale natural catastrophes e.g. hurricanes (Aide and Grau 2004, Zakkak et al. 2015).
- Ecological drivers such as elevation, geological substrate, slope, fertility, soil depth, climate change, soil erosion as a consequence of land mismanagement and/or overexploitation, when they constrain agricultural production (Rey Benayas et al. 2007).
- An increase of yields on productive lands, conservation policies, and the increasing imports of agricultural products from other regions (Estel et al. 2015).
- Reduction of the profitability of farming enterprises e.g. a decrease of crop prices makes it very difficult for small-scale farmers to compete (Aide and Grau 2004, Keenleyside and Tucker 2010a).
- Technology, industrialization, land tenure systems, security, accessibility (e.g. roads) and proximity to cities (Rey Benayas et al. 2007).
- Small-scale, family farms closing, lack of economic and demographic viability, low mobility of land market (Rico 2011).
- Reduction of landscape heterogeneity and promotion of vegetation;
- homogenisation, often associated with increased fire frequency;
- reduction of water stocks, biodiversity loss and reduced population of adapted species and loss of cultural and aesthetic values (Benayas et al. 2007).

The causes of agricultural land abandonment in Europe are manifold, depending on the area and the period under consideration (Pointereau et al. 2008, Terres et al. 2015). JRC classified the recurrent determinants of farmland abandonment in EU into three blocks (Terres et al. 2013):
1. Poor environmental / biophysical suitability for agricultural activity;
2. Low farm stability and viability;
3. Negative drivers from regional context.

In addition to the aforementioned general key drivers, experts have pointed out such causes as share of subsidies from the Common Agriculture Policy (CAP) in the income, low investments on the farm, age of a farm holder, lower farmer qualification, and weak land market (Terres et al. 2013). Pointereau et al. (2008) also talk about historical factors e.g. transition to free market economies with a breakdown of the agricultural economy during the 1990-2004 period in Eastern European countries, national and EU policies e.g. problems in renewing agri-environment contracts after 5 years duration, new CAP sanitary requirements from in eastern European countries since 2004, and decoupling of direct payments from a product (Pointereau et al. 2008). Basically, these analyses point to ways in which the application of policies for economic purposes may have (unintended) impacts upon marginal areas because of their particular socio-economic and environmental characteristics, which reduce the viability of farming or otherwise commercially managing these areas, leading to their abandonment.

2. Implications of land abandonment

The consequences of farmland abandonment are very diverse across the EU, and have serious implications for biodiversity and ecosystem function (Munroe et al. 2013). However, it is important to note that at the same time they can be considered as potentially negative or positive (Eurostat 2013).

Positive

Among the benefits of land abandonment, the following elements have been identified:

- An opportunity to restore an historical vegetation state (Cramer et al. 2008).
- Facilitation of ecosystem recovery. The recovering ecosystems may provide ecological services for the growing urban population and could support biodiversity (Aide and Grau 2004).
- Passive revegetation and active reforestation (Rey Benayas et al. 2007).
- An opportunity for significant large-scale restoration of non-agricultural habitats (e.g. re-wilding) (Keenleyside and Tucker 2010a).
- Water regulation (Rey Benayas et al. 2007).
- Increased carbon sequestration as a result of vegetation regrowth (Munroe et al. 2013).
- Soil recovery along with nutrient cycling (Rey Benayas et al. 2007).
- A reduction in agricultural chemicals pollution for areas that were previously intensively managed (Pointereau et al. 2008).

Negative
In many other circumstances abandonment may be damaging. Among the negative implications linked to the abandonment of agricultural land, the following may be mentioned:

- Reduction of landscape heterogeneity and promotion of vegetation homogenisation (Rey Benayas et al. 2007).
- Alteration of ecosystem processes (Cramer et al. 2008).
- Soil erosion and desertification (Rey Benayas et al. 2007).
- Threat to a range of semi-natural habitats and associated species of nature conservation importance, many of which are concentrated in Natura 2000 sites or are High Nature Value (HNV) farmland (Keenleyside and Tucker 2010a) that resulted in lower conservation value of this type of land (Pointereau et al. 2008).
- Reduction of water availability (Rey Benayas et al. 2007).
- Significant biodiversity loss and reduced population of adapted species. For example, end of low fertiliser input, and grazing or mowing negatively affects species that are dependent on such activities (Pointereau et al. 2008).
- Loss of cultural and aesthetic values (Rey Benayas et al. 2007).
- Increase of wildfire frequency and intensity (Moreira and Russo 2007).

Unfortunately, such issues are seldom tackled in the curriculum (Dmochowski, Garofalo, Fisher, Greene, Gambogi 2016), hence good opportunities for the training of students are being missed.

3. Examples of abandoned agricultural areas in Europe

A review of abandoned agricultural areas is based on publications in English. A systematic search in ScienceDirect database (Search all fields = (agricultural land abandonment) or Search all fields = (farmland abandonment); Year = 2000 – 2016; Topic = Europe + Agriculture) resulted in 433 potentially relevant papers. After screening the title, abstract and case study area, 23 were finally selected for this study. We included publications that specified case study area or reported the rate or amount of abandoned agricultural area. ScienceDirect database was selected, because it is full-text database which makes the screening process faster and easier.

The authors identified 36 case studies from the selected publications. Case study areas varied from 13 km² to 10 million km², with median of 255 km². 74% of the studies were published after 2010. The timespan of the case studies was 1782 to 2012. The median start year of case study periods is 1988 and the median end year is 2007. Most of the studies (19) were long period studies – abandonment was measured more than 10 years back in time. The study period ranged from 1 to 224
years, with an average of 29 years. Abandonment rates varied from 44.56 ha to 46.1 Mha, or 1.5% to 45% of the agricultural land area within the territory.

When looking at the causes or drivers of agricultural land abandonment, socio-economic and ecological drivers are dominant in the selected studies (Table 1). Division of the abandonment drivers is synthesised based on (Rey Benayas et al. 2007) and (Pointereau et al. 2008). Only one-fourth of the studies mentioned policy-driven factors. A Latvian case study (Abolina and Luzadis 2015) highlighted that socio-economic drivers have greater effect upon land abandonment than environmental or biophysical factors. A similar conclusion is supported by a study (Gellrich and Zimmermann 2007) in Swiss mountain areas where land abandonment is driven by migration and income decline from agriculture. In a Slovakian case study (Pazúr et al. 2014) ecological factors (e.g. soil quality, higher slopes, accessibility) were important for determining the location and extent of land abandonment, but these acted in combination with socio-economic changes. In essence, because abandonment signifies a change in land use, it generally links to changes in wider circumstances which are more often socio-economic or anthropogenic in nature than purely the result of biophysical change. Often, these changes can be linked to policies. (Prishchepov et al. 2013) and (Corbelle-Rico et al. 2015) both concluded the importance of policies in relation to land abandonment and future land use.

Table 1 here

Drawing out the possible consequences of agricultural land abandonment seems to have faded into the background as a focus in selected studies. One reason may be the lack of data and methodology for how to assess or attribute the possible consequences of the land abandonment. According to the Zaragozi et al. (2012) and (Rey Benayas 2007) land abandonment may have positive or negative effects upon future land use. Findings of possible consequences from the selected literature (Table 2) coincide with those mentioned in section 2 ‘Implication of land abandonment’. Depending on the study site, the positive or negative effects of the consequence may vary. For example, agricultural land abandonment may have positive effects upon soil erosion in hilly areas (Bakker et al. 2008) and negative effects on dry regions (Rey Benayas 2007). In selected studies, environmental consequences (e.g. biodiversity loss, revegetation, soil erosion and wildfire risks) are more recorded than socio-economic ones (e.g. rural depopulation, changes in local economy, renewable energy potential). According to (Milenov et al. 2014) agricultural land abandonment may have a snowball effect on the local economy through structural changes and decreasing demand for labour skills leading to further land abandonment. Income reduction from agriculture (Kanianska et al. 2014) may lead to outmigration (Baumann et al. 2011) from the rural areas unbalancing rural communities and thus stimulating further decline.

Table 2 here
Another aspect of the agricultural land abandonment studies is the location of the case study sites. At first sight, it may seem that it is a well studied topic. While looking little bit deeper, it appears that several publications are based on the same study areas with different perspectives (e.g. Corbelle-Rico et al. 2012, Corbelle-Rico et al. 2015, Gellrich and Zimmermann 2007, Gellrich et al. 2007). The limited location aspect is emphasised by (Hatna and Bakker 2011) as most studies are based on small areas, biased towards marginal areas. Selected study sites are located in areas where land abandonment is estimated to occur – European mountain areas, areas with poor soils and harsh climate (Keenleyside and Tucker 2010b). (Łowicki 2008) was one the few finding that abandonment of agricultural areas took place in the vicinity of large cities, where a whole range of different drivers are in play. The proximity of the city sets a pressure for land use change, setting it aside for speculative development purposes (Vanwambeke et al. 2012), as agricultural land becomes attractive to real estate developers (Lieskovsky et al. 2013). This is an aspect meriting further consideration in future abandonment studies.

4. Measures to Mitigate Land Abandonment

As discussed above, the consequences of land abandonment and their significant impact on various fields attract considerable attention from policy makers at national and EU level (Eurostat, 2013). The main discussion point regarding the measures addressing the problem is the environmental trade-off between its negative or positive implications. Therefore, it is not always an EU priority to bring abandoned land back into production (van Dijk et al. 2004).

There is a diversity of drivers of agricultural abandonment, which are closed related to pathways of land use change. Some of them are: relationship to fire, damages to landscape management and influences to biodiversity. In addition, a diversity of policies have been playing a role in reducing the portions of land are being abandoned.

For instance, the common agricultural policy (CAP) includes environmental requirements attached to the necessary management of agricultural land and offers two main instruments to avoid land abandonment (decoupled direct payments and compensatory payments in Areas of Natural Constraints). The first contributes to stabilizing and enhancing farm income, whereas the second helps maintain farming activity in areas which, due to adverse natural conditions, are less profitable (Eurostat, 2013). In addition cross-compliance measures applied to direct payments require landowners to maintain agricultural land in ‘good agricultural and environmental condition’ and thus reduce the risks of complete abandonment (Keenleyside and Tucker 2010a). Therefore, many experts anticipate a higher level of land abandonment as a result of reduced levels of CAP support for extensive farming (Terres et al. 2013).

To support areas that are designated as High Nature Value (HNV) farmland i.e. to ensure preservation of their biodiversity value, restoration activities, including removal of unwanted vegetation, need to be undertaken (van Dijk et al. 2004). There are also a number of EU policies that
support the management of abandoned land for the benefit of biodiversity, e.g. the Habitats and Birds Directives and the Biodiversity Plan for Agriculture (van Dijk et al. 2004). Another alternative approach to the management of such land is rewilding. Navarro and Pereira (2012) argue that it should be recognized by policy-makers as one of the possible land management options in Europe, particularly on marginal areas. The approach covers change in ecosystems and aims at restoring ecological dynamics. The important point is that rewilding does not target to conserve species occurrences, but to allow natural processes to regain dominance in landscapes (Pereira and Navarro 2015).

It should be noted that some measures focused on agro-environmental services and forest regeneration e.g. payments for environmental service(s) (PES) systems, may actually subsidise and accelerate the abandonment of marginal agricultural areas (Sierra and Russman 2006, Wunder et al., 2005).

5. Conclusions

Land abandonment is a major barrier to sustainable development efforts in rural areas for three main reasons: uncultivated land allows the uncontrolled growth of shrubs and many undesired plants, instead of organised biodiversity planning, it makes the land more vulnerable to fire, and in some occasions, to soil erosion. Land abandonment also means possible losses of income to people living in such areas.

As outlined in this paper, land abandonment is a dynamic process driven by many factors including time span, region, and historical development (Keenleyside and Tucker 2010a). According to projections, there is likely to be significant levels of farmland abandonment in Europe over the next 20 - 30 years (Terres et al. 2013). However, these projections may be unsafe as they do not fully rely upon scientific evidence, which demonstrates potential issues of bias due to repeat sampling and an under-representation of non-marginal areas.

As this paper has shown, land abandonment can pose a major threat to sustainable land use in particular and to the sustainable development of rural areas as a whole. It therefore needs to be addressed, as a matter of urgency, so that its consequences in the medium and long-term may be avoided.

Furthermore, the measures most suitable for addressing the phenomenon are strongly dependent on government choice, whether to support the positive environmental implications or to tackle the negative consequences.
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Table 1. General summary of the identified agricultural land abandonment drivers by their location according to the literature review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Identified drivers</th>
<th>Country/ region</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Socio-economic</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>demographic trends and settlement pattern (e.g. high emigration rate, rural population change, elderly index, high average age of residents)</td>
<td>Slovakia; Ukraine; Latvia; Mediterranean region, Eastern Europe; (Pazúr et al., 2014); (Kanianska et al., 2014); (Baumann et al., 2011); (Abolina and Luzadis, 2015); (Kosmas et al., 2014);</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>density of private economic entities; low yields and high cultivation costs;</td>
<td>Poland; Swiss mountains</td>
<td>(Łowicki, 2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>agricultural market constraints (absence of liberalized markets, market actions, an unfavourable agricultural market)</td>
<td>temperate European Russia; Spain; Slovakia (Prishchepov et al., 2013); (Corbelle-Rico et al., 2015); (Lieskovsky et al., 2013)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>economic and financial constraints (e.g. economic activity of residents; the financial inability of farmers; relative decline of the agricultural income; withdrawal of agricultural subsidies)</td>
<td>Latvia; Slovakia; Swiss mountains; temperate European Russia (Abolina and Luzadis, 2015); (Łowicki, 2008); (Lieskovsky et al., 2013); (Gellrich et al., 2007); (Prishchepov et al., 2013)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessibility (e.g. remoteness, distance from roads, proximity to forest and shrubs, distance from river, vicinity of large cities)</td>
<td>France; Portugal; Sweden; Spain; Latvia; Slovakia; Poland; Italy; Greece; temperate European Russia (Pazúr et al., 2014); (Beilin et al., 2014); (Corbelle-Rico et al., 2012); (Bakker and van Doorn, 2009); (Estel et al., 2015); (Mottet et al., 2006); (Łowicki, 2008); (Vanwambeka et al., 2012); (Prishchepov et al., 2013)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ecological</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>soil properties (e.g. soil quality, less fertile soil)</td>
<td>Portugal; Greece; Mediterranean region, Eastern Europe; Latvia; Spain; Slovakia; Ukraine; Bulgaria; Swiss mountains (Pazúr et al., 2014); (Abolina and Luzadis, 2015); (Abolina et al., 2015); (Bakker et al., 2008); (Gellrich et al., 2007); (Kosmas et al., 2014); (Baumann et al., 2011); (Milenov et al., 2014); (Zaragozi et al., 2012)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rainfall seasonality; vegetation properties (e.g. fraction of brown vegetation cover, vegetation index)</td>
<td>high/steep slopes; Slovakia; Spain; Italy; Portugal; Ukraine (Pazúr et al., 2014); (Corbelle-Rico et al., 2012); (Bakker and van Doorn, 2009); (Bakker et al., 2008); (Cocca et al., 2012); (Baumann et al., 2011)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>high/steep slopes;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>geographical context; Land fragmentation;</td>
<td>Mediterranean region, Eastern Europe; Spain (van der Sluis et al., 2015); (Kosmas et al., 2014); (Zaragozi et al., 2012)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>small plot area; the expansion of forest</td>
<td>Portugal; Sweden (Beilin et al., 2014);</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Political</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy Obstacles</td>
<td>Region</td>
<td>Authors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(e.g. political actions, absence clear government policies)</td>
<td>Mediterranean region, Eastern Europe; Latvia; Spain; Slovakia</td>
<td>(Corbelle-Rico et al., 2015); (Abolina and Luzadis, 2015); (Kosmas et al., 2014); (Kanianska et al., 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land-use policy (restitution of private property)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Establishment of 'new wilderness areas'</td>
<td>Swiss mountains</td>
<td>(Gellrich and Zimmermann, 2007)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Summary of possible consequences of agricultural land abandonment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>Possible consequence</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Continuous abandonment</td>
<td>(Pazúr et al., 2014);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Increasing wildfire risks</td>
<td>(Beilin et al., 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Decrease of traditional farming, marginalisation</td>
<td>(Corbelle-Rico et al., 2015); (Kanianska et al., 2014); (Mottet et al., 2006); (Milenov et al., 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Changes in local economy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Loss of traditional landscapes (cultural, high nature value)</td>
<td>van der Sluis et al.(2015); Lieskovsky et al. (2013); Cocca et al.(2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Outmigration from rural areas</td>
<td>(Baumann et al., 2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Re-vegetation (forest re-growth, increase of woody vegetation)</td>
<td>(Zaragozi et al., 2012); (Vanwambeke et al., 2012); (Cocca et al., 2012); (Lieskovsky et al., 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Biodiversity loss</td>
<td>(Corbelle-Rico et al., 2015); (van der Sluis et al., 2015); (Milenov et al., 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Soil erosion decrease</td>
<td>(Bakker et al., 2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pollution and sediment export decrease from agriculture</td>
<td>(Bakker et al., 2008); (Milenov et al., 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bio- and renewable energy potential</td>
<td>(Estel et al., 2015); (Abolina et al., 2015)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. Location of case study areas. Large and medium scale studies are marked in the centre of this area