

## Please cite the Published Version

Dagnall, N <sup>(b)</sup>, Drinkwater, K <sup>(b)</sup>, Parker, A <sup>(b)</sup> and Clough, PJ <sup>(b)</sup> (2016) Paranormal Experience, Belief in the Paranormal and Anomalous Beliefs. Paranthropology: journal of anthropological approaches to the paranormal, 7 (1). pp. 4-15. ISSN 2044-9216

**Publisher:** Paranthropology

Version: Published Version

Downloaded from: https://e-space.mmu.ac.uk/550/

Usage rights: O In Copyright

**Additional Information:** This is an Open Access article published in Paranthropology, copyright the Authors.

### **Enquiries:**

If you have questions about this document, contact openresearch@mmu.ac.uk. Please include the URL of the record in e-space. If you believe that your, or a third party's rights have been compromised through this document please see our Take Down policy (available from https://www.mmu.ac.uk/library/using-the-library/policies-and-guidelines)

# Paranormal Experience, Belief in the Paranormal and Anomalous Beliefs

By Neil Dagnall, Kenneth Drinkwater, Andrew Parker & Peter Clough

Department of Psychology, Manchester Metropolitan University

# Abstract

Relatively few studies have investigated the nature and incidence of paranormal experience. Extending the work of Castro et al. (2014), this study investigated the prevalence of subjective paranormal experiences (SPEs) and examined relationships between SPEs and anomalous beliefs (paranormal, urban legends and conspiracism). The sample comprised 1215 adults, aged 16-70 years drawn predominantly from a UK University. Data analysis revealed important findings. Forty-two percent of respondents reported an SPE and incidence of multiple experiences was common within experiencers. Despite minor gender differences, across experience types, SPE incidence was largely unaffected by gender. Finally, SPEs correlated positively with belief in the paranormal and anomalous beliefs.

Keywords: Sociology of the Paranormal, Subjective Paranormal Experiences, Anomalous Experiences, Multiple Experiences, Anomalous beliefs, Parapsychology.

## Introduction

University based sample), which investigated prevalence of influence flow" (Irwin, 1999: 1). Particularly, an experience is subjective paranormal experiences (SPEs). Noting paranormal if its causation references a non-scientific, inextricable links between perceived paranormal experiences and belief in the paranormal (see Drinkwater, Dagnall & Bate, 2013) the survey also examined relationships between SPEs, belief in the paranormal and potentially related anomalous beliefs (urban legends and conspiracism).

From a sociological perspective, it is important to note that self-report measures of paranormal experience index only percipients' willingness to attribute paranormal causation, rather than the manifestation of actual supernatural phenomena (Glicksohn, 1990). Typically, when individuals report paranormal experiences, accounts conflate two occurrences, the observation of an inexplicable incident and their interpretation of the event as paranormal (Irwin, Dagnall, & Drinkwater, 2013). This dichotomy builds on the work of Cardeña, Lynn and Krippner (2000), who delineated unusual experiences as encounters, experienced by a substantial proportion of the population that deviate from accepted explanations of reality.

In this context, phenomenological interpretation, via reflection/introspection, plays a central role in the labelling of experience(s) (Smithies & Stoljar, 2012). Sociological factors, such as social acceptability, gender and age are pivotal to this process because the acceptability and frequency of paranormal experiences generally, are likely to influence elucidation and the individual's willingness to label and report personal paranormal experiences (Markovsky, 2008; Northcote, 2013; Truzzi, 1971; Woods & Woffitt, 2014).

Within the present study, the term SPE denotes specifically an individual's conviction that they have had a 'paranormal' experience (Neppe, 1983). SPE was preferred over other demarcations because it encapsulates the personal. interpretative nature of paranormal experiences. In this context, SPEs represent exceptional experiences, beyond the comprehension of conventional science, attributed to paranormal phenomena (Neppe, 1990). Pertinently, Irwin demarcated the paranormal as, "apparent anomalies of

behavior and experience that exist apart from currently known explanatory mechanisms that account for organism-This paper reports the results of a 2015 survey (UK environment and organism-organism information and common-sense explanation: a clarification not empirically attested to the satisfaction of the scientific establishment (Irwin, 2009).

> Surveys report that SPEs are relatively common, a fact that, defines them as an essential part of human experience (Castro et al., 2014; Schmied-Knittel & Schetsche, 2005). Because experiencers represent a significant minority of the population, it is fair to say, from a social perspective, that SPEs represent relatively common atypical occurrences. Experiences viewed as exceptional by science are for many people an integral part of the everyday world (Schmied-Knittel & Schetsche, 2005). This view concurs with the seminal work of Greeley (1975), which evinced that the majority of the population claim to experience a paranormal occurrence and a substantial minority of experiencers report more than an occasional experience. Hence, societally, SPEs are important because of their prevalence, persistence and affect upon the individual. Within the literature, authors often misleadingly refer to paranormal experiences as anomalous. The term is inappropriate because it trivialises personal experiences. SPEs are more than unusual, irregular and atypical; they reflect the relatively common perception than an individual has had a genuine paranormal experience. Despite their social importance, several factors have limited sociological interest in SPEs (see Castro, Burrows, & Wooffitt, 2014). Principally, amongst these, lack of awareness about the social relevance of SPEs. Additionally, the paranormal is located typically within the psychological literature (cf. Irwin, 2009). Particularly work associated with individual differences and negative psychopathology. Furthermore, a significant and powerful sceptical movement tends to discredit work corroborating the existence of paranormal phenomena.

Noting these factors Castro et al. (2014) performed the first systematic sociological consideration of paranormal experiences in contemporary Britain. Castro et al. (2014)

analysed data collected by Ipso MORI, who conducted face- that 36 % of the UK population reported paranormal to-face interviews with 4096 adults, aged 16 years and over, phenomena. Schmied-Knittel and Schetsche (2005) outlined Weighting matched the sample to the profile of the British similar results in a large-scale study conducted in Germany. adult (16+) population. Within the sample, 37% claimed at This study is pertinent because of its recentness, scale and least one paranormal experience. Interviews asked about five social orientation. experience types and incidence varied: precognition (24.1%), ESP (12.8%), mystical experiences (12.4%), telepathy and representative sample of 1510 people and then interviewed ADC (10.4 %). Experiencers reported multiple experiences: 220 respondents reporting exceptional experiences. Seventy 1.3% five (all experiences Castro et al. (2014). The finding set phenomena (ESP-dreams, strange coincidence, crisis-ESP, that experiencers often report multiple SPEs concurred with Animal psi, apparition, déjà vu, haunting and Other/ several previous studies (Haraldsson & Houtkooper, 1991). A Miscellaneous extraordinary experiences). Multiple respondents noting no/few psi experiences, and those experienced four or more experiences. indicating multiple experiences. Based on these findings, Castro et al. (2014) concluded that reporting of paranormal attributable to the breadth of guestions asked, consideration experiences is common within Great Britain; a sizeable of items related to traditional paranormal experiences (ESP minority of British adults claim to have had at least one dream, apparition, crisis-ESP and haunting) revealed that paranormal experience and many experiencers report 52% of the interviewees experienced at least one of these multiple experiences.

related to key sociological variables (gender, age and region). reporting exceptional experiences decreased; life experiences Women in comparison to men were significantly more likely offered increasing ordinary possibilities for explaining to report a paranormal experience. This difference was phenomena (Schmied-Knittel & Schetsche, 2005). The consistent across experience types and concurred with Schmied-Knittel and Schetsche (2005) report is illustrative of previous work (Rice, 2003), Regarding age, similar patterns the fact that studies, across a range of populations, have emerged across experience types. There was an increased demonstrated the prevalence of SPEs (e.g. America, likelihood of reporting experiences in the middle age groups McCready & Greeley, 1976; Latin American, Montanelli & (35-64 years), with the exception of telepathy, which showed Parra, 2002-2005; and multicultural, Haraldsson & a statistically significant increase between 45-74 years. There Houtkooper, 1991). was a decreased reporting likelihood in older respondents (75 years and over) and a general dip in likelihood in younger age (2014) by asking respondents to report on a broad range of groups (16-34 years). Castro et al. (2014) found that certain paranormal phenomena. Castro et al. (2014) focused on core age groups were significantly more likely to report particular experiences related to traditional paranormal beliefs (ESP experiences. For example, compared to the entire sample and life after death). Indeed, of the five featured categories, (12.8%), 16.1% of 35-44yr olds and 16.7% of 45-54yr olds three related specifically to aspects of ESP (telepathy, reported ESP.

experiences, there were similar patterns across experiences. of paranormal experiences (psychokinesis, witchcraft, out-of-Lowest levels of reporting were observed generally within body experience, haunting, extra-terrestrials, astrology, etc.) younger (16-24 and 25-34) and the oldest group (75yrs and delimited by Irwin's definitions (Irwin, 1999; 2009). The over). Participants with the greatest likelihood of reporting inclusion of additional experience types furthered paranormal experiences were those in the mid-aged groups. sociological understanding of the nature, breadth and This supported Greeley's (1975) previous finding that people prevalence of experiences. Delineations used within the in their 50s reported most experiences. Region produced present study were precise and more fully represented the consistent effects. Generally, reporting of experiences was range of potential paranormal experiences. highest in the South West followed by the South East. The North West typically produced the lowest levels of reported between SPEs, belief in the paranormal and anomalous experiences. Collectively consideration of regional findings beliefs (urban legends and conspiracism). To date few revealed significant variations.

phenomena are difficult to quantify. Principally because alongside belief in the paranormal is important because these occurrence varies as a function of time and survey (cf. represent different non-conventional belief sets, which share Gergen 1973). Hence, reported incidence of paranormal important common features (Brotherton & French, 2014). experience fluctuates across studies. In this context, Castro et Particularly, they defy conventional understanding of reality al.'s (2014) findings were consistent with academic work citing (French & Stone, 2014) and draw upon explanations not high levels of SPE. For example, Hay and Morisy's (1978) empirically attested to the satisfaction of the scientific survey of exceptional and transcendent experiences found establishment (Irwin, 2009). Indeed, recent work revealed

Schmied-Knittel and Schetsche (2005) surveyed a 17.5% reported one type, 10% two, 5.1% three, 3% four and three percent of respondents experienced at least one of the seminal example is the Charlottesville (Virginia) postal survey experiences were common. The mean number of (Palmer, 1979). Response analysis identified two groups, experiences was 2.8, and 25.7% of respondents personally

Whilst the high experience figures were partially extraordinary phenomena. Overall, women reported more Additionally, Castro et al. (2014) described findings experiences than men did. As age increased, the percentage

The present paper extended the work of Castro et al. ESP). Although these are fundamental precognition, In terms of age groups least likely to report particular paranormal experiences, they fail to represent the full range

Additionally, the current study examined relationships academic studies have considered the degree to which these These results are difficult to contextualise because social variables are related. Consideration of anomalous beliefs beliefs (conspiracist ideation and urban legends) (Drinkwater, paranormal experiences. In this context, this paper makes a Dagnall, & Parker, 2012). In this context, the present paper valuable contribution to the extant literature. determined whether SPEs were associated with openness to anomalous beliefs generally.

Paranormal experiences play a potentially important role in the development and maintenance of paranormal beliefs. Respondents Particularly, Glicksohn (1990) advanced the notion that belief in the paranormal arises, in part, from direct personal The study sample comprised 1215 respondents. Ages ranged paranormal experiences. Indeed, Individuals frequently refer from 16 to 70 years, with a mean (M) of 25.13 and a to personal experience as the reason for belief, and a positive standard deviation (SD) of 9.41; 75.7% (920) were female correlation between number of subjective paranormal and 24.3% (295) were male. Female ages ranged from 16 experiences and strength of paranormal belief has been 67 years, M = 24.43, SD = 8.87; males ages ranged from 17 reported (Glicksohn, 1990; Musch & Ehrenberg, 2002). - 70 years, M = 27.33 years, SD = 10.64. Respondent Collectively, studies imply an experiential basis for belief in recruitment occurred via emails to: staff, students, alumni, the paranormal. For example, Rattet and Bursik (2001) found respondents, who reported precognitive experience, possessed higher paranormal belief scores. Additionally, as Hergovich and Arendasy (2005) point out, research demonstrates that paranormal experiences are a justification for belief in psi (Irwin, 1991). Whilst a body of research supports the experiential basis of belief hypothesis, there have been less Respondents completed the following counter-balanced positive findings (Castro et al., 2014). These inconsistencies may arise from methodological issues such as, the conflating of belief with experience and the use of different measures of Subjective Paranormal Experience (SPEs) paranormal belief (Castro et al., 2014).

Alternatively, belief may produce or influence the perception of paranormal experiences. In this context, paranormal experiences (SPEs). Respondents (using yes or folklore research delineates two important explanations of no) indicated whether they believed they had had a 'genuine' supernatural belief, the cultural source (Hufford, 1982; paranormal experience. If they responded yes, they indicated McClenon, 1994) and experiential source hypotheses the type of experience and its frequency of occurrence. (Hufford, 1982). The cultural source hypothesis proposes that Listed experiences were ESP (extrasensory perception), PK paranormal experiences are products of tradition, or (psychokinesis), witchcraft, OBE/NDE, haunting, contact/ imaginary subjective experiences caused/shaped by tradition. Thus, paranormal belief creates or shapes experience and cultural traditions influence interpretation of bizarre experiences.

Contrastingly, the experiential source hypothesis (Hufford, 1982) proposes that certain phenomena are more than 5 times). The final question asked respondents to universal, occur across different cultures and represent real rate the degree to which they believed in the paranormal experiences. Such experiences are instrumental in changing because of paranormal experience(s) (1 = definitely not, 2 = beliefs (McClenon, 1994). For example, The Old Hag probably not, 3 = unsure, 4 = probably, and 5 = definitely). tradition contains elements of experience that are independent of culture (Hufford, 1982). The Old Hag section of the self-report measure. syndrome refers to a perception of waking from sleep feeling immobilized by a malevolent presence. The inclusion of Belief in the Paranormal belief measures alongside SPEs extended sociological understanding of how paranormal experience affect Two established measures assessed belief in the paranormal, individuals' beliefs.

In summary, the study of subjective paranormal experience is important for several reasons. Particularly, because a substantial minority of the population experience SPEs, individuals often define SPEs as important historical ASGS assesses only a restrictive, core range of beliefs (ESP, autobiographical events, and SPEs possess the potential to PK & life after death). Using both measures in tandem affect profoundly experiencers. These characteristics have ensured that results were robust and immune to criticisms persisted throughout history and across cultures (Daniels, 1998). Considering the prevalence of SPEs, Ross and Joshi nature of paranormal belief. (1992) note that paranormal experiences are difficult to ignore. For these reasons, it is essential that researchers questions assessing seven facets of belief traditional religious

associations between paranormal belief and anomalistic investigate the nature, origin and social context of

### Methods

local colleges, and the wider population (businesses and leisure clubs). Participation was voluntary and respondents could terminate their participation at any time during the study.

### Materials and Procedure

measures:

An 18-item measure assessed incidence of subjective communication with dead, UFO visitation, UFO sighting, astrological prediction, or other (indicate). For each experience category, respondents indicated yes or no. Respondents reporting a particular paranormal experience were asked to specify frequency of occurrence (1 = single incident, 2 = occurred between 2 & 5 times and 3 = occurred

Respondents reporting no experiences moved to the next

the Revised Paranormal Belief Scale (RPBS) (Lange, Irwin, & Houran, 2000); Tobacyk & Milford, 1983) and the Australian Sheep-Goat Scale (ASGS) (Thalbourne & Delin, 1993). The RPBS has construct breadth, but is based on an imprecise definition of paranormality (Lawrence, 1995), whereas the arising from conceptual debates about the definition and

The RPBS is a self-report measure, containing 26

belief, psi, witchcraft, spiritualism, superstition, extraordinary Procedure life forms, and precognition. RPBS items appear as statements (e.g. "I believe in God" and "black magic really Instructions at the beginning of the self-report booklet exists"), which are scored on a seven point Likert scale informed respondents that the study was concerned with ranging (1 = strongly disagree to 7 = strongly agree), anomalous experiences and beliefs, and that there was no Preceding analysis item scores were recoded (0-6) in line with time limit for completing the measures. Once participants Irwin (2009). Hence, final scores ranged from 0 to 156, with agreed to participate, instructions asked them to provide higher scores reflecting greater belief in the paranormal. Within the present study, two-factor solution, comprising New Age Philosophy (NAP) and Traditional Paranormal Belief (TPB), was also calculated (Lange et al., 2000). NAP contains 11 items measuring belief in psi, reincarnation, altered states, and astrology, whereas TPB assesses belief in concepts, such as the devil and witchcraft (Irwin, 2004). This factorial solution arose from a purification of the scale to correct for differential item functioning (age and gender bias). The Rasch scaling procedure (Andrich, 1988) produces Within the study sample, 42% (n = 506) of respondents scores ranging from 6.85 to 47.72 on NAP and 11.16 to 43.24 on TPB. The RPBS is conceptually and psychometrically satisfactory; it possesses adequate validity frequently reported was UFO visitation 1% (see table 1). and good test-retest reliability (Tobacyk, 2004).

the paranormal by focusing on the subset of core beliefs recalled more than one experience. Whilst incidence of PK studied by parapsychology: extrasensory perception, (46% vs. 54%), Contact with the Dead (46% vs. 54%), and psychokinesis, and life after death (Wiseman & Watt, 2006). Astrology (44% vs. 56%), were more balanced with roughly The ASGS contains 18 items and participants are asked to equal proportions reporting single vs. multiple experiences. respond in one of three ways: "False" (scored as zero), The majority of respondents reporting NDE/OBE (63%), "?" (Don't know: scored as 1), and "True" (scored as 2). The UFO visitation (62%) and UFO sightings (75%) reported ASGS has been Rasch scaled (Lange & Thalbourne, 2002) only a single incidence. and possesses established reliability and validity (Thalbourne, 1995).

## Anomalous Beliefs (Urban Legends and Conspiracist Beliefs)

Five items, derived from previous research (Dagnall, Drinkwater, Parker, & Munley, 2010; Fox Tree & Weldon, 2007), assessed belief in urban legends. Questions employed the same 7-point Likert scale as the RPBS. To prevent Gender response bias, two items were reverse scored (e.g. "when I hear urban legends I feel that they are untrue"). Urban A similar proportion of males and females believed they had legend items previously have demonstrated good internal a paranormal experience (41% vs. 42%); chi-square test reliability (Dagnall et al., 2010).

### Conspiracist Beliefs (Drinkwater et al., 2012)

Five items assessed general belief in the veracity of (1213) = -0.112, p = .911, d = .01. Further analysis within conspiracy theories. Items measured the degree to which experiencers only, revealed also no gender difference (male M respondents believe that conspiracy theories accurately depict = 2.25, SD = 1.54 vs. female (M = 2.21, SD = 1.46), t(504) = real-life events and contain truthful information. Responses 0.277, p = .782, d = .03. were measured on a 7- point Likert scale (1 indicated Across experience types, gender differences manifested "strongly disagree" and 7 "strongly agree"). Two reversed for PK, contact with the dead, UFO sightings and astrology. items control for response bias. The measure has previously A higher proportion of males reported PK experiences (6% shown acceptable internal reality (Drinkwater et al., 2012).

Scores on both anomalous measures range from 5 to 35 and high scores were indicative of conspiracist ideation.

demographic details (age and gender). On completion of the questionnaire, respondents were debriefed. All aspects of the study adhered to University ethical guidelines.

### Results

Paranormal Experience (SPEs)

Incidence

reported a paranormal experience (SPE). The most frequently reported experience was ESP 23%, and the least

The majority of respondents reporting ESP (73%), The ASGS measures belief in, and alleged experience of, Haunting (69%) and Witchcraft (67%) related experiences,

> Of the respondents claiming to have had an SPE, 43% reported one of the experience types (n = 218), whilst 57% (n= 288) reported experiencing different types of SPEs. Within the multiple experience group, 94% (n = 270) identified between 2-5 experience types. Only 6% (n = 18) reported more than 5 experience types. This indicated experiencers typically believed they had encountered different types of paranormal phenomena.

revealed no significant association between the reporting of SPEs and gender,  $\chi^2$  = 0.150, df = 1, p = .698. Correspondingly, males (M = 0.92, SD = 1.48) and females (M = 0.93, SD = 1.44) reported similar numbers of SPEs, t

vs. 3%) and UFO sighting (9% vs. 4%), whilst females reported a higher proportion of contact with dead (14% vs. 10%). and astrological experiences (17% vs. 10%). Comparisons for ESP, Witchcraft, NDE/OBE, Haunting, Contact with Dead, UFO visitation and Other revealed no gender differences (see table 2).

	SPE Incidence							
	Reported Experience		Experience	e Frequency	Multiple Experiences			
	Yes	No	No Single		Between 2-5	More Than 5		
	( <i>n</i> %)	( <i>n</i> %)	( <i>n</i> %)	( <i>n</i> %)	( <i>n</i> %)	( <i>n</i> %)		
Experience Type								
ESP	281 (23%) Type	934 (77%) e to enter text	77 (27%)	204 (73%)	131 (47%)	73 (26%)		
РК	46 (4%)	1169 (96%)	21 (46%)	25 (54%)	13 (28%)	12 (26%)		
Witchcraft	46 (4%)	1169 (96%)	15 (33%)	31 (67%)	18 (39%)	13 (28%)		
NDE/OBE	111 (9%)	1104 (91%)	70 (63%)	41 (37%)	30 (27%)	11 (10%)		
Haunting	167 (14%)	1048 (86%)	52 (31%)	115 (69%)	83 (50%)	32 (19%)		
Contact with the Dead	156 (13%)	1059 (87%)	71 (46%)	85 (54%)	61 (39%)	24 (15%)		
UFO Visitation	16 (1%)	1199 (99%)	10 (62%)	6 (38%)	3 (19%)	3 (19%)		
UFO Sighting	60 (5%)	1155 (95%)	45 (75%)	15 (25%)	10 (17%)	5 (8%)		
Astrology	185 (15%)	1030 (85%)	82 (44%)	103 (56%)	67 (36%)	36 (20%)		
Other	54 (4%)	1161 (96%)	25 (46%)	29 (54%)	16 (30%)	13 (24%)		

### Table 1. Number and per cent reporting different experience types and SPE incidence.

### Table 2. Number and per cent reporting paranormal experience(s) by

	Ger	nder					
	Male	Female	Overall				
	n = 120	n = 386	n = 506	-			
	( <i>n</i> %)	(n %)	( <i>n</i> %)	ďf	χ²	р	
Experience Type							
ESP	72 (24%)	209 (23%)	281 (23%)	1	0.36	.549	NS
РК	18 (6%)	28 (3%)	46 (4%)	1	5.74	.017	Sig
Witchcraft	10 (3%)	36 (4%)	46 (4%)	1	0.17	.682	NS
NDE/OBE	29 (10%)	82 (9%)	111 (9%)	1	0.23	.634	NS
Haunting	39 (13%)	128 (14%)	167 (14%)	1	0.90	.764	NS
Contact with the Dead	28 (10%)	128 (14%)	156 (13%)	1	3.90	.048	Sig
UFO Visitation	6 (2%)	10 (1%)	16 (1%)	1	1.54	.214	NS
UFO Sighting	25 (9%)	35 (4%)	60 (5%)	1	10.38	.001	Sig
Astrology	29 (10%)	156 (17%)	185 (15%)	1	8.79	.003	Sig
Other	14 (5%)	40 (4%)	54 (4%)	1	0.83	.773	NS

Sig = Significant; NS = Not Significant

No major associations were evident between gender and SPEs and Belief in the Paranormal experience frequency (single vs. multiple) (see tables 3 and 4). The only gender difference was a marginally significant Scale Reliability tendency within males to report multiple UFO sightings. Overall, the proportion of single vs. multiple experiencers The paranormal (ASGS, RPBS and RPBS subscales; NAP was similar for male (42.5% vs. 57.5%) and female respondents (43% vs. 57%),  $\chi^2$  = 0.22, df = 1, p = .966.

and TPB) and anomalous belief (conspiracy and urban legends) measures demonstrated good to excellent internal reliability (George & Malley, 2003). Belief in the paranormal measures correlated moderately with anomalous beliefs (conspiracism and urban legends) (see table 5)

	Male					Gender	Pl			
	N	a Channair an					Female			
	N	Single (n %)	Multiple (n %)	Bewteen 2-5 (n %)	More Than 5 (n %)	N	Single (n %)	Multiple (n %)	Bewteen 2-5 (n %)	More Than 5 (n %)
Experience Type		(11 / 14)	(1 70)	(, ,,)	(1 /4)		(11 74)	(1 14)	(1 /1)	(11 70)
ESP	72	19 (26%)	53 (74%)	31 (43%)	22 (31%)	209	58 (28%)	151 (72%)	100 (48%)	51 (24%)
PK	18	6 (33%)	12 (67%)	8 (44%)	4 (22%)	28	15 (54%)	13 (46%)	5 (18%)	8 (29%)
Witchcraft	10	1 (10%)	9 (90%)	6 (60%)	3 (30%)	36	14 (39%)	22 (61%)	12 (33%)	10 (28%)
NDE/OBE	29	15 (52%)	14 (48%)	10 (34%)	4 (14%)	82	55 (67%)	27 (33%)	20 (24%)	7 (9%)
Haunting	39	14 (36%)	25 (64%)	19 (49%)	6 (15%)	128	38 (30%)	90 (70%)	64 (50%)	26 (20%)
Contact with the Dead	28	16 (57%)	12 (43%)	10 (36%)	2 (7%)	128	55 (43%)	73 (57%)	51 (41%)	22 (17%)
UFO Visitation	6	2 (33%)	4 (67%)	2 (33%)	2 (33%)	10	8 (80%)	2 (20%)	1 (10%)	1 (10%)
UFO Sighting	25	15 (60%)	10 (40%)	7 (28%)	3 (12%)	35	30 (86%)	5 (14%)	3 (9%)	2 (6%)
Astrology	29	12 (41%)	17 (59%)	12 (41%)	5 (17%)	156	70 (45%)	86 (55%)	55 (35%)	31 (20%)
Other	14	10 (71%)	4 (29%)	1 (7%)	3 (21%)	40	15 (38%)	25 (63%)	15 (38%)	10 (25%)

Table 3. Number and per cent reporting paranormal multiple experience.

Table 4. Multiple experience(s) by Gender cross-tabulation values.

	df	$\chi^2$	p	
Experience Type				
ESP	1	0.05	.823	NS
PK	1	1.81	.179	NS
Witchcraft	1	2.97	.085	NS
NDE/OBE	1	2.17	.141	NS
Haunting	1	0.54	.463	NS
Contact with the Dead	1	1.86	.172	NS
UFO Visitation	1	3.48	.062	NS
UFO Sighting	1	5.14	.023	Sig
Astrology	1	0.12	.728	NS
Other	1	4.80	.028	NS

Sig = Significant; NS = Not Significant

Correlations examined relationships between SPEs, belief in of paranormal experience types reported). Finally, for the paranormal and anomalous beliefs (conspiracism and believers level of paranormal belief and SPE influence (the urban legends) (see table 6).

SPE occurrence (reporting a paranormal experience) correlated positively with belief in the paranormal (ASGS and RPBS). Correlations were within the moderate range Discussion (Cohen, 1988). Anomalous beliefs also correlated positively with SPE occurrence; however, correlation sizes were weaker. Within the present study, 42% of respondents reported an A similar pattern emerged for SPE total (the overall number

perception that experience(s) informed belief in the paranormal) correlated moderately.

SPE. This figure was commensurate with other equivalent

Table 5. Belief scale descriptives and inter-scale correlations.

Measure	α	Mean	SD	1	2	3	4	5	6
1. ASGS	.89	19.68	6.51						
2. RPBS	.93	55.16	28.48	.70**					
3. NAP	.90	21.59	5.17	.69**	.87**				
4. TPB	.78	22.49	5.15	.58**	.84**	.72**			
5. Conspiracy	.81	18.69	5.52	.39**	.44**	.44**	.39**		
6. Urban legends	.86	20.94	6.30	.37**	.43**	.39**	.37**	.37**	

Table 6. Correlations between SPE measures and beliefs (paranormal and anomalous).

Measure	SPE Occurrence	SPE Total	SPE Influence
ASGS	.46**	.50**	.25**
RPBS	.33**	.39**	.37**
NAP	.31**	.34**	.36**
TPB	.28**	.31**	.24**
Conspiracy	.17**	.21**	
Urban legends	.17**	.21**	

surveys and illustrated respondents' willingness to report accounts, which focus on cognitive and perceptual processes SPEs (Castro et al., 2014; Greeley, 1975; Schmied-Knittel & (cognitive and interpretative bias). Prominent examples are Schetsche. 2005). However, these data require consideration lack of critical thinking (French & Wilson, 2007), probability and careful interpretation. Comparisons between specific misjudgment (Blackmore & Troscianko, 1985) and faulty figures generated within this and other similar studies are of limited value because surveys sample diverse populations, define experiences differently, and perceptions of paranormal experience vary across time and between cultures. For these reasons, prevalence patterns are more revealing than SPE endorsement figures. In this context, conclusions drawn at the macro-level provide useful insights into the broad nature and social importance of paranormal experiences, whilst tendency to favour certain phenomena and justification, is tacitly acknowledging the personal and profound nature of explicable from a sociological perspective, where societal SPEs.

Analysis of experience types revealed that the most frequently reported SPEs were ESP (23%), astrology (15%), haunting (14%), and contact with dead (13%). The high incidence of ESP-related experiences, such as telepathy and precognitive dreams, accords with comparable paranormal experience surveys (Blackmore & Troscianko, 1985; Castro et al., 2014; Zusne & Jones, 1982). Considering the relatively high incidence of ESP-related experiences, a number of theories attempt to explain the origin of ESP-related phenomena. These are comprised mainly of psychological

attributions (Wiseman and Watt 2010). Whilst these notions offer potential justifications for ESP-related experiences, they fail to explain why individuals inclined towards cognitive and perceptual bias express this predominantly as ESP specific SPEs.

The interpretative nature of SPEs, particularly the processes and institutions guide construction of meaning. For example, Gilovich (1991) evinces that complimentary, accepting media coverage has served historically to promote the credibility of ESP-related beliefs (see also Shermer, 2002). Singer and Benassi (1991) propose similarly, that uncritical media coverage in the 1960s facilitated belief in the occult by increasing its general cognitive "availability" as a culturally acceptable explanatory category. Thus, positive societal depictions of paranormal phenomena frame comprehension of puzzling events/experiences, legitimise the plausibility of paranormal elucidations, and skew people away from more

plausible alternatives (scientific and mundane explanations). related SPEs. Conversely, individuals are less likely to report Indeed, the famous skeptic James Randi, using a series of infrequently cited, socially unacceptable experiences because media orchestrated claims (e.g. UFO sightings, astrology, of doubt, disbelief and fear of potential ridicule. For these biorhythms), demonstrated that significant numbers of reasons, self-report survey data may not accurately reflect the people will endorse paranormal testimony regardless of its incidence of SPE types, but index merely people's willingness veracity (Stanovich, 2010).

Hence, affirming societal representations provide meanings and labels for understanding unusual experiences, reported multiple experiences (median = 2). Similarly, within Gilovich (1991) argues that they channel transcendental SPE types, a significant percentage of experiencers reported temptation (people's deep-rooted tendency toward magical multiple SPEs. In the case of ESP, hauntings and witchcraft thinking and desire to believe in powers and abilities). the majority of experiencers indicated multiple instances. Furthermore, once adopted paranormal explanations prove Approximately equal percentages of respondents referenced hard to refute because sceptics propose only alternative single vs. multiple experiences for PK, contact with dead, and possibilities rather than definitive explanations (Gilovich, astrology. With regard to UFO-related phenomena (sightings 1991; Presley, 1997). This is especially true, when experiences and visitation) and NDE/OBE experiencers generally noted are located within paranormal domains, where other single SPEs. Considering multiple experiences, only 6% of members of society express and share similar experiences.

effects endorsement of paranormal beliefs/ experiences. For indicated experiencers' tendency to report multiple example, Markovsky and Thye (2001) found participants experiences (Castro et al., 2014; Haraldsson & Houtkooper, were more likely to believe they had witnessed a paranormal 1991). This concurred also with Palmer (1979), who noted phenomenon, when a confederate expressed the belief, that that respondents typically represented two categories, those the phenomenon was true. Ridolfo et al. (2010) advise that who reported either no/few psi experiences and those the presence of normative influences (rather than delineating multiple experiences. informational) amplify this effect. Indeed, Ridolfo et al. (2010) observed that individuals were more likely to accept evidencing relationships between attention, attribution (the ESP when they believed ESP claims had popular support. search for and attachment of meaning) and the perception of The presentation of scientific evidence influenced also paranormal experiences. Whilst the original work (see endorsement. Believing that science rejected ESP, resulted in Houran & Lange, 2001 for a summary of relevant research) participants being more likely to accept ESP as true. When defines attention in the dynamic, cognitive sense, the notion participants believed that ESP had widespread support, they of focus generalises well to the social level. Everyday life indicated generally high belief, irrespective of information on presents people with a continuous flow of potentially the views of science. Finally, when participants believed ESP anomalous, unusual occurrences, which normally pass had less popular support, they were more likely to believe in unnoticed because they lack consequence or relevance ESP, when informed that science rejected ESP. It is evident (personal or social). Periodically, an event will come to from these and other related studies that social/societal attention and require explanation. This then facilitates a factors influence belief in the paranormal, and often shape search for and detection of additional events, which are perceptions of unusual occurrences (Markovsky & Thye, consistent with earlier ones. Thus, the act of interpreting an 2001).

that they reflected major social themes, concerns and 1996b). In the case of experiencers, the SPE provides a anxieties (communication, community, religion, the future, context for labelling ensuing events as paranormal. death, etc.). For instance, contacting the dead (and to a degree haunting) reference major religious (life after death) believed they had a paranormal experience (41% vs. 42%). and family/community (bereavement/loss) tenets. Across experience types, gender differences manifested for Thematically, higher prevalence SPEs linked with PK, contact with the dead, UFO sightings and astrology. A paranormal phenomena that were socially acceptable, higher proportion of males reported PK experiences and reported often by others, reflective of major social concerns UFO sighting, whilst females recorded a higher proportion of and difficult to refute. This contrasted with less frequently contact with dead and astrological experiences. The reported experiences (UFO-related, sightings and visitation; percentage of single vs. multiple experiencers was similar for witchcraft; and PK), which generally lack social acceptance, male and female respondents (approximately 43% vs. 57%). are not reported frequently, prove less resistant to criticism The only gender difference was a marginally significant and represent socially marginal themes.

These observations suggest that reporting of SPEs exists within a social feedback system, where the social relevance who reported that women compared to men were more likely and standing of paranormal phenomena influences to report paranormal experiences. This finding requires interpretation and reporting of subsequent experiences. consideration. It may be that there is no causal association Clearly, this may result in a reporting bias, where most between gender and propensity to report paranormal frequently reported experiences facilitate the generation of experience, and SPE reporting varies as a function of other

to report particularly experiences.

Looking at experience incidence, 57% of experiencers experiencers recorded more than five experiences. These Experimental work demonstrates also that social pressure findings are in line with previous studies, which have

Generally, these outcomes are consistent with work, event as paranormal can stimulate the perception of Close inspection of frequently reported SPEs revealed additional paranormal events (Houran & Lange, 1996a,

> Overall, a similar proportion of males and females tendency within males to report multiple UFO sightings.

These outcomes did not concur with Castro et al. (2014),

factors (Castro et al., 2014). Particularly, the complex the paranormal to encompass anomalous beliefs. Overlap interaction between social and cultural factors (lifestyle, may arise from a worldview based on a preference for educational level, educational orientation, etc.). For instance, subjective rather than objective evidence (Dagnall, skepticism is associated with higher levels of education and Drinkwater, Parker, Denovan, & Parton, 2015). This view is the study of the natural sciences (Aarnio & Lindeman, 2005; consistent with Irwin, Dagnall, & Drinkwater (2012), who Vyse, 1998). In this context, the present study drew proposed that preoccupation with paranormal beliefs may be extensively on participants connected with a university. Such found within New Age believers, who create a worldview a sample is likely to comprise individuals, who possess high around which, their daily perceptions are structured. levels of academic potential and a preference towards Correspondingly, new age thinking reflects the tendency to analytical thinking. Thus, environment rather than gender embrace alternative beliefs, philosophies and practices differences may determine reporting of SPEs. This is a (Sjöberg & Wåhlberg, 2002), and embodies mistrust of tentative proposal and further research is required to science, realism, objectivity (Sebald, 1984). understand more fully the interplay of social and cultural factors.

number of SPEs reported correlated positively with level of people's lives. Subsequent studies may wish to extend paranormal belief and endorsement of anomalous beliefs further/develop more sophisticated measures of paranormal (conspiracies and urban legends). Additionally, perceived experiences. One potential problem is that endorsement of influence of SPE was associated positively with level of self-report items indexed experience types. Thus, paranormal belief. These findings were consistent with interpretation may have varied across individuals and been Blackmore (1984), who noted that the most common reason open to semantic confusion. Schmied-Knittel and Schetsche for belief in the paranormal was individual experience of a (2005) noted this problem previously when they reported that phenomenon. Similarly, it supports the finding that strength respondents incorrectly referred to ESP-dreams as déjà vu. of belief in the paranormal correlates with perceived number of subjective paranormal experiences (Glicksohn, 1990).

and that the relationship between belief and experience is were essentially agreeing to a standardized given item, which complex. Particularly, it is unclear whether beliefs stimulate references only if they believe they have encountered a experiences, or vice versa. The Lange and Houran (1998) specific type of SPE. Whilst frequency of event is noted, model of haunting or poltergeist phenomena illustrates the there is no reference to important information on the complex relationship between belief and experience. Lange phenomenological aspects of the experience. The use of and Houran (1998) found that fear of the paranormal semi-structured interviews would partially obviate problems induced belief, belief in the paranormal promoted associated with survey type measures and facilitate a deeper, paranormal experience and paranormal experiences richer understanding of personal paranormal experiences. produced a reduction in fear of the paranormal. In low fear However, interviews would be time consuming and resource conditions, this represented a negative feedback loop. In high intensive in comparison to self-report. fear conditions, however, Lange & Houran (1999b) observed a positive feedback loop, where highly fearful individuals intensity and significance, may play an important role with were unable to explain fear-inducing ambiguities by labelling regard to the development and reinforcement of belief in the them paranormal; existing fears generated additional fears.

effect relationships between belief and experience, findings individuals (e.g. emotionally, cognitively and socially) and within this paper are correlational. Hence, the authors influence their behaviour. Extant work within this area suggest parallels with preceding work, rather than advocating provides mixed results (i.e. evidences negative and positive explanations. The establishment of cause and effect requires effects). For example, Montanelli and Parra (2002-2005) systematic variable manipulation and the implementation of noted that 13.8% of their sample perceived ESP dreams to long-term research projects. Hence, whilst this article be very disturbing. In contrast, near-death experiences contributes to the cultural versus experiential source debate (NDEs) frequently induce positive changes in people (Ring, (Hufford, 1982; McClenon, 1994) it provides no definitive 1984). Furthermore, associations between paranormal solutions. Whether culture creates/shapes experiences, or experiences and an increased sense of well-being have been experiences represent rational perceptions of real reported (Kennedy & Kanthamani, 1995). Despite these phenomena remains unresolved.

paranormal and anomalous belief measures. Pertinently, 1994). Siegel (1986) identified common reaction patterns in conspiracism and endorsement of urban legends were individuals experiencing the paranormal: fear, sense of associated similarly with paranormal belief measures. In responsibility toward another, feeling divine, specially gifted, addition, conspiracisim correlated positively with and the desire to develop abilities. These provide a potential endorsement of urban legends. Collectively, these findings framework for future studies examining the impact of SPEs indicated that openness to unorthodox beliefs extends beyond on individuals.

The current work indicated that significant numbers of respondents claim paranormal experience (SPEs) and as Within the present study, experience of an SPE and such, that these experiences are an important feature of

The present survey considered only the frequency of experiences. Although this was a useful and valid measure, It is worth noting that these associations are correlational incidence provides only limited information. Respondents

Other dimensions of experience, such as impact, paranormal (and anomalous beliefs). Certainly, previous Whereas previous research suggests possible cause and research has typically failed to consider how SPEs affect examples, comparatively few studies have examined the Finally, it is useful to report positive correlations between effects of psychic experiences on peoples' lives (McClenon,

to which personal paranormal and anomalous experiences relate to belief in the paranormal and anomalous beliefs. This would test Rattet and Bursik's (2001) contention that belief in the paranormal, based on personal experiences, diverges from belief without confirmatory subjective experience. Clearly, impactful, personal experiences and Irwin, H. J. (1991). A study of paranormal belief, psychological adjustment, and fantasy belief should profoundly interact with each other.

### References

- Andrich, D. (1988). Rasch Models for Measurement: SAGE Publications (Vol. 68). Sage Publications.
- Aarnio, K. and Lindeman, M. (2005). Paranormal beliefs, education, and thinking styles. Personality and Individual Differences, 39, 1227-1236. [doi:10.1016/ j.paid.2005.04.009]
- Blackmore, S. J. (1984). A postal survey of OBEs and other experiences. Journal of the Society for Psychical Research, 52, 225-244.
- Blackmore, S. and Trościanko, T. (1985). Belief in the paranormal: Probability judgements, illusory control, and the 'chance baseline shift.' British Journal of Psychology, 76, 459-468. [doi:10.1111/j.2044-8295.1985.tb01969.x]
- Brotherton, R. and French, C. C. (2014). Belief in conspiracy theories and susceptibility to the conjunction fallacy. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 28, 238-248. [doi 10.1002/acp.29951
- experience: Examining the scientific evidence. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- Castro, M. A., Burrows, R. and Wooffitt, R. (2014). The paranormal is (still) normal: The sociological implications of a survey of paranormal experiences in Great Britain. Sociological Research Online, 19, Issue 3: http:// www.socresonline.org.uk/19/3/16.html
- Cohen, J. (1988). Statistical power analysis: A computer program. Routledge.
- Dagnall, N., Drinkwater, K., Parker, A. and Munley, G. (2010). Reality Testing, Belief in the Paranormal, and Urban Legends. European Journal of Parapsychology, 25, 25-55.
- Dagnall, N., Drinkwater, K., Parker, A., Denovan, A. and Parton, M. (2015). Conspiracy theory and cognitive style: a worldview. Frontiers in Psychology, 6:206. [doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2015.00206]
- Daniels, M. (1998). Transpersonal psychology and the paranormal. Transpersonal Psychology Review, 2, 17-31.
- Drinkwater, K., Dagnall, N. and Bate, L. (2013). Into the unknown: using interpretative phenomenological analysis to explore personal accounts of paranormal experiences. The Journal of Parapsychology, 77, 281-294
- Drinkwater, K., Dagnall, N. and Parker, A. (2012). Reality testing, conspiracy theories, and paranormal beliefs. Journal of Parapsychology, 76, 57-78.
- French, C. C. and Wilson, K. (2007). Cognitive factors underlying paranormal beliefs and experiences. In S. Della Sala (Ed.), Tall tales: Popular myths about the mind and brain (pp. 3-22). Oxford: Oxford University Press. [doi:10.1093/ acprof:oso/9780198568773.003.0002]
- Fox Tree, J. E. and Weldon, M. S. (2007). Retelling urban legends. American Journal of Psychology, 120, 459-476. [doi:10.2307/20445414]
- French, C. C. and Stone, A. (2013). Anomalistic psychology: Exploring paranormal belief and experience. Palgrave Macmillan. George, D. and Mallery, P. (2003). SPSS for Windows step by step: A simple guide and
- reference. 11.0 update (4th ed.). Boston: Allyn & Bacon.
- Gergen, K. J. (1973). Social psychology as history. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 26, 309-320. [doi:10.1037/h0034436]
- Gilovich, T. (1991). How we know what isn't so: The fallibility of reason in everyday life. Free Press.
- Glicksohn, J. (1990). Belief in the paranormal and subjective paranormal experience. Personality and Individual Differences, 11, 675-683. [doi:10.1016/0191-8869 (90)90252-M1
- Greeley, A. (1975). The Sociology of the Paranormal: A Reconnaissance. London: Sage.
- Haraldsson, E. and Houtkooper, J. M. (1991). Psychic experiences in the Multinational Human Values Study: Who reports them? Journal of the American Society for Psychical Research, 85, 145-165.
- Hay, D. and Morisy, A. (1978). Reports of Ecstatic, Paranormal, or Religious Experience in Great Britain and the United States: A Comparison of Trends. Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion, 17, 255-268.
- Hergovich, A. and Arendasy, M. (2005). Critical thinking ability and belief in the paranormal. Personality and Individual Differences, 38, 1805-1812. [doi: 10.1016/j.paid.2004.11.008]
- Houran, J. and Lange, R. (1996a). Diary of events in a thoroughly unhaunted house. Perceptual and Motor Skills, 83, 499-502. [doi:10.2466/pms.1996.83.2.499]

- Additionally, succeeding work could explore the degree Houran, J. and Lange, R. (1996b). Hauntings and poltergeist-like episodes as a confluence of conventional phenomena: A general hypothesis. Perceptual and Motor Skills, 83, 1307-1316. [doi:10.2466/pms.1996.83.3f.1307]
  - Houran, J. and Lange, R. (2001). Hauntings and poltergeists: Multidisciplinary perspectives. McFarland.
  - Hufford, D. J. (1982). The terror that comes in the night: An experience-centered study of supernatural assault traditions. Philadelphia, PA: University of Pennsylvania Press.
  - proneness. Journal of the American Society for Psychical Research, 85, 317-331.
  - Irwin, H. J. (1999). An introduction to parapsychology (3rd ed.). Jefferson, N.C.: McFarland.
  - Irwin, H. J. (2004). Reality testing and the formation of paranormal beliefs: A constructive replication. Journal of the Society for Psychical Research, 68, 143-152
  - Irwin, H. J. (2009). The Psychology of Paranormal Belief: A Researcher's Handbook. Publisher. University of Hertfordshire Press.
  - Irwin, H. J., Dagnall, N. and Drinkwater, K. (2012). Paranormal beliefs and cognitive processes underlying the formation of delusions. Australian Journal of Parapsychology, 12, 107-126.
  - Irwin, H. J., Dagnall, N. and Drinkwater, K. (2013). Parapsychological experience as anomalous experience plus paranormal attribution: A questionnaire based on a new approach to measurement. The Journal of Parapsychology, 77, 39-53.
  - Kennedy, J. E. and Kanthamani, H. (1995). Association between anomalous experiences and artistic creativity and spirituality. Journal of the American Society for Psychical Research, 89, 333-343.
- Cardeña, E., Lynn, S. J. and Krippner, S. (Eds.) (2000). Varieties of anomalous Lange, R. and Houran, J. (1998). Delusions of the paranormal: A haunting question of perception. The Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease, 186, 637-645. [doi: 10.1097/00005053-199810000-000081
  - Lange, R. and Houran, J. (1999b). The role of fear in delusions of the paranormal. The Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease, 187, 159-166. [doi: 10.1097/00005053-199903000-00005]
  - Lange, R., Irwin, H. J. and Houran, J. (2000). Top-down purification of Tobacyk's Revised Paranormal Belief Scale. Personality and Individual Differences, 29, 131-156. [doi: 10.1016/S0191-8869(99)00183-X]
  - Lange, R. and Thalbourne, M. A. (2002). Rasch scaling paranormal belief and experience: The structure and semantics of Thalbourne's Australian Sheep-Goat Scale. Psychological Reports, 91, 1065-1073. [doi:10.2466/ pr0.2002.91.3f.1065]
  - Lawrence, T. R. (1995). How many factors of paranormal belief are there? A critique of the paranormal belief scale. Journal of Parapsychology, 59, 3-25.
  - Markovsky, B. (2008). The Paranormal and the Politics of Truth: A Sociological Account. Contemporary Sociology: A Journal of Reviews, 37, 451-452. [doi: 10.1177/009430610803700529]
  - Markovsky, B. and Thye, S. R. (2001). Social influence on paranormal beliefs. Sociological Perspectives, 44, 21-44. [doi:10.2307/1389807]
  - Mcclenon, J. (1994). Wondrous events: Foundations of religious beliefs. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press.
  - Mccready, W. C. and Greeley, A. M. (1976). The Ultimate Values of the American Population. Beverley Hills, CA Sage Publications.
  - Montanelli, D. E. G. and Parra, A. (2002-2005). Are spontaneous anomalous/ paranormal experiences disturbing? A survey among undergraduate students. International Journal of Parapsychology, 13, 1-14
  - Musch, J. and Ehrenberg, K. (2002). Probability misjudgment, cognitive ability, and belief in the paranormal. British Journal of Psychology, 93, 169-178. [doi: 10.1348/000712602162517]
  - Neppe, V. M. (1983). Temporal lobe symptomatology in subjective paranormal experients. Journal of the American Society for Psychical Research, 77, 1-29.
  - Neppe, V. M. (1990). Subjective paranormal experiences: A decade later. Exceptional Human Experience, 8, 37-39.
  - Northcote, J. (2013). The Paranormal and the politics of truth: A sociological account. Andrews UK Limited.
  - Palmer, J. (1979). A community mail survey of psychic experiences. Journal of the American Society for Psychical Research, 73, 221-251.
  - Presley, S. (1997). Why people believe in ESP for the wrong reasons. Independent Thinking Review, 2, 2, <http://www.rit.org/essays/esp.php>
  - S. L. and Bursik, K. (2001). Investigating the personality correlates of Rattet. paranormal belief and precognitive experience. Personality and Individual Differences, 31, 433-444. [doi: 10.1016/S0191-8869(00)00148-3].
  - Rice, T. W. (2003). Believe it or not: Religious and other paranormal beliefs in the United States. Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion, 42, 95-106. [doi: 10.1111/1468-5906.001631
  - Ridolfo, H., Baxter, A. and Lucas, J. W. (2010). Social influences on paranormal belief: Popular versus scientific support. Current Research in Social Psychology, 15, 33-41.

- Ring, K. (1984). Further Studies of the Near-Death Experience, B. Greyson and C. Flynn (Eds), The Near-Death Experience, Problems, Prospects, Perspectives, p. 30-36. Springfield, IL: Charles C. Thomas.
- Ross, C. A. and Joshi, S. (1992). Paranormal experiences in the general population. The Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease, 180, 357-361.
- Schmied-Knittel, I. and Schetsche, M. T. (2005) Everyday miracles: results of a representative survey in Germany. European Journal of Parapsychology, 20, 3-21.
- of social change. Humboldt Journal of Social Relations, 11, 106-127.
- Shermer, M. (2002). Why people believe weird things: Pseudoscience, superstition, and other confusions of our time. Macmillan.
- psychic experiences (abstract). In W.G. Roll (Ed.), Research in Parapsychology 1985, pp. 172-174. Metuchen, NJ: Scarecrow Press.
- Singer, B. and Benassi, V. A. (1981). Occult beliefs: Media distortions, social uncertainty, Vyse, S. A. (1998). Believing in Magic: The Psychology of Superstition. New York: and deficiencies of human reasoning seem to be at the basis of occult beliefs. American Scientist, 69, 49-55.
- Smithies, D. and Stoljar, E. (2012). Introspection and consciousness: an overview. Introspection and Consciousness. Oxford University Press, New York, p. 3-26 [doi:10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199744794.003.0000]
- Sjöberg, L. and Wåhlberg, A. (2002). Risk perception and new age beliefs. Risk Analysis, 22, 751-764. [doi:10.1111/0272-4332.00066]
- Stanovich, K. E. (2010). How to think straight about psychology. Allyn & Bacon Boston, MA

- Thalbourne, M. A. (1995). Further studies of the measurement and correlates of belief in the paranormal. Journal of the American Society for Psychical Research. 89, 233-247.
- Thalbourne, M. A. and Delin, P. S. (1993). A new instrument for measuring the sheepqoat variable: Its psychometric properties and factor structure. Journal of the Society for Psychical Research, 59, 172-186.
- Tobacyk, J. J. (2004). A revised paranormal belief scale. The International Journal of Transpersonal Studies, 23, 94-99.
- Sebald, H. (1984) New-age romanticism: The quest for an alternative lifestyle as a force Tobacyk, J. J. and Milford, G. (1983). Belief in paranormal phenomena: Assessment instrument development and implications for personality functioning. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 44, 1029-1037. [doi: 10.1037/0022-3514.44.5.1029]
- Siegel, C. (1986). Parapsychological counseling: Six patterns of response to spontaneous Truzzi, M. (1971). Definition and dimensions of the occult: towards a sociological perspective. The Journal of Popular Culture, 5, 635-646. [doi:10.1111/j. 0022-3840.1971.0503\_635.x]
  - Oxford University Press.
  - Wiseman, R. and Watt, C. (2006) Belief in psychic ability and the misattribution hypothesis: A qualitative review. British Journal of Psychology, 97, 323-338. [doi: 10.1348/000712605X72523]
  - Woods, C. and Wooffitt, R. (2014). Telling the moment: Seeing a UFO. Narrative Inquiry, 24, 239-258. [doi:10.1075/ni.24.2.04woo]
  - Zusne, L. and Jones, W. H. (1982). Anomalistic psychology. A study of extraordinary phenomena and experience. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.