

Please cite the Published Version

Symeonakis, Ilias and Brearley, J (2017) Assessing and monitoring soil erosion and environmental sensitivity in Malta. In: EGU General Assembly 2017, 23 April 2017 - 28 April 2017, Vienna, Austria.

Publisher: EGU

Downloaded from: https://e-space.mmu.ac.uk/622295/

Usage rights: C In Copyright

Additional Information: Poster and abstract.

Enquiries:

If you have questions about this document, contact openresearch@mmu.ac.uk. Please include the URL of the record in e-space. If you believe that your, or a third party's rights have been compromised through this document please see our Take Down policy (available from https://www.mmu.ac.uk/library/using-the-library/policies-and-guidelines)



MAIN AIM: To assess and monitor soil erosion and land degradation in Malta between 1991-2002 using the RUSLE and the Environmental Sensitivity Area Index (ESAI)

AREA OF STUDY

Maltese Islands

Three main islands: Malta, Gozo & Comino

- Located in the **Mediterranean Sea**, 80km south of Sicily
- Area of 316km²

Climate: Subtropical-Mediterranean

- Average yearly **temperature** = 22.5°C
- Average yearly **rainfall** = 524mm (November, December = wettest)
- **Rainfall** is characterised by storms of high intensity and relatively short duration.



METHODS:

The Environmental sensitivity area index (ESAI)

Criteria of Basso et al. (2000) used for selecting the different indicators for identifying sensitive areas according to the **MEDALUS ESAI** (Kosmas et al., 1999a).

These criteria are:

(i) the relationship with the degradation phenomena (ii) the availability of data (iii) the ability of updating the datasets (iv) the ability to refine, further develop or even remove existing layers of information in the future, if this is deemed important.

Figure 3 shows the **11 indicators** that were estimated belonging to **4 Environmental Quality Indices,** namely:

- **1. Climate Quality Index (CQI)**
- 2. Vegetation Quality Index (VQI)
- **3. Soil Quality Index (SQI)**
- 4. Socio-economic Quality Index (SosQI)

Indicators were standardized from 1 (=least sensitive) to 2 (=most sensitive; Tables 1-4) and the ESAI was estimated as follows:

|ESAI = (CQI * VQI * SQI * SEQI)^{1/4}

Assessing and monitoring soil erosion and environmental sensitivity in Malta

Elias Symeonakis and James Brearley (<u>e.symeonakis@mmu.ac.uk</u>, <u>jbrearley@hotmail.co.uk</u>) Manchester Metropolitan University, UK

METHODS

Revised universal soil loss equation (RUSLE)

We adapted the ESAI considering our data availability and used the RUSLE to assess soil erosion as follows (Renard et al. 1991; Table 5):

A= RKLSCP

where A = estimated average soil loss in tons per acre per year

- R = rainfall-runoff erosivity factor
- K = soil erodibility factor
- L = slope length factor
- S = slope steepness factor
- C = cover-management factor
- P = support practice factor

P factor values were obtained from Shin (1999) & Sheikh et al. (2011) who provide values according to the type of cultivation on a slope.

As Malta's cultivated land is mostly terraced (Figure 2), we applied the following classification scheme:

Slope (%)	Terracing						
0.0-7.0	0.1						
7.0-11.3	0.12						
11.3-17.6	0.16						
17.6-26.8	0.18						
26.8>	0.2						



Figure 3. Flowchart of the methodological framework for the estimation of the modified Environmentally Sensitive Area Index (ESAI) (modified from Symeonakis et al., 2014)

References Alkharabsheh, M.M., Alexandridis, T.K., Bilas, G., Misopolinos, N. and Silleos, N. (2013) 'Impact of Land Cover Change on Soil Erosion Hazard in Northern Jordan Using Remote Sensing and GIS.' Procedia Environmental Sciences, 19(0), //, pp. 912-921 Kosmas C, Gerontidis S, Detsis V, Zafiriou T, Marathianou M. 1999. Application of the proposed methodology for defining ESAs: The island of Lesvos (Greece). In Kosmas C, Kirkby MJ, Geeson N. (Eds.) Manual on key indicators of desertification and mapping environmentally sensitive areas to desertification. European Commission Publication EUR 18882, pp. 66-73. Renard, K.G., G.R. Foster, G.A. Weesies, and J.P. Porter. 1991. RUSLE: Revised universal soil loss equation. J. Soil Water Conserv. 46(1): 30-33. Sheikh, A., Palria, S. and Alam, A. (2011) 'Integration of GIS and Universal Soil Loss Equation (USLE) for Soil Loss Estimation in a Himalayan Watershed.' Recent Research in Science and Technology, 3(3) pp. 51-57. Shin, G. (1999) The analysis of soil erosion analysis in watershed using GIS. P.h.D. Gang-won National University Sultana, D. (2014) Soil Erosion and Contributing Socio-Economic Factors: a Study of the Maltese Islands. Symeonakis, E., Karathanasis, N., K., S., and P., G. 2014. Monitoring Sensitivity to Land Degradation and Desertification with the ESAI Methodology: The Case of Lesvos Island. Land Degradation & Development. DOI: 10.1002/ldr.2285



RUSLE factor Data used Source

К	Soil depth & textures- Sultana Data (2014)					
L	45m DEM ASTER					
S	45m DEM ASTER					
C	Land Cover Classification of Landsat imagery (Maximum likelihood)					
Р	45m DEM ASTER & Land Cover classification					

Tables 5. Data used and their sources for estimating the different **RUSLE factors**

RESULTS & DISCUSSION

Figure 4 is the RUSLE soil erosion results for 1991 and 2002 and the respective change between the two dates. Erosion rates are higher in Northern Areas of Malta (e.g. Mellieha and Maghtab) and the Island of Gozo One of the main reasons for increased erosion rates is the condition of the terraces (Sultana, 2014; Figure 2). Soil loss decreases are potentially due to agricultural land abandonment in the North West of Malta near Mellieha (Alkharabsheh et al., 2013). Commonly, Maquis or pines re-establish and are able to control erosion more efficiently

Environmental Sensitivity results

Malta has become less sensitive in the areas around the largely built up areas of Luga and Tarxien in the East. In the East and the West near the towns of Dingli and Attard it has become more critical and fragile. The San Pawl il-Bahar and Il-Mizieb areas require attention, as these areas have increased in sensitivity. This is most likely due to the increases in soil erosion.

CONCLUSIONS

This is the first attempt to map soil erosion and environmental sensitivity at the national-scale in Malta. RUSLE and the ESAI can be combined to assess these two important problems in Mediterranean areas concurrently. The most sensitive areas of San Pawl il-Bahar and Il-Mizieb in the North of Malta in the Mellieha area have been identified as critically sensitive and could be prioritised for erosion control and land degradation mitigation.





		Paramete	r Cla	isses	Score			Data	/Source/Date	
		Rainfall	29	0-650	1 Г					
2002	Climate	Namian	20	0 050	1.5			2000 rainfa	yearly average alls.	
	Quanty	Aspect	N, S, S	NE, NW SE, SW	1 2		45 DEM from		EM from Aster.	
		Paramete	er Cla	asses			Score	e	Data/Source/	
		Drought	N/~	Maguis Shrub land bodrock and					Date	
		Resistance	bai Co Ag	bare soil Conifer woodlands Agricultural land			1.2 2		Classification of lar cover classes for: 1986 & 2002	
	Vegetation	Erosion Protection	Me for	Mediterranean Macquis, conifer forests			r 1.3			
	Quality	Fire Risk	Ba	Bare rock			1			
20 km			Ag Me Co	Agricultural land Mediterranean maquis Conifer Woodlands				1.3 1.6 2		
		Demonster	Classes			Coore			Data (Cauras (Dat	
		Parameter	Classes	5		Score	core		Data/Source/Dat	
vity		Texture	LSCL, S	LSCL, SL, LS and CL 1 SC, SiL and SiCL 1.2 Si, C and SiC 1.6 S 1.6 2 >75 1 30-75 1.2 1.5-30 1.6			Soil Textur by MALIS MEPA) Soil Depth produced Sultana (2		Soil Texture Surver by MALIS (Source	
			Si, Car							
	Soil		S							
	Quality	Soil Depth	>75 30-75 15-30						Soil Depth Survey produced by Sultana (2014)	
		Soil Erosion	<15 0-2	<15 2 0-2 1					(Source MEPA) Soil Erosion Mode	
			2-5 5-10	2-5 1.2 5-10 1.3 10-25 1.5 25-45 1.6 45-75 1.8 >75 2			c r f		created by our research modelled	
20 km			25-45 45-75						101 1900 & 2002.	
a)		Slope Gradient	<0 6-18 18-35	1 1.2 1.5		Z			Slope gradient produced by Aste DEM (45m)	
002		Parame			S	core			Data/Source/	
								C	Date	
	Socio- Economic	Population Density (people per km ²)		>400		2		Census Surveys		
	quality	Population Growth		2 2-4 4–6	1 1. 1.	1 1.2 1.4		C	Census Surveys	
				0-8 8-10	1.	8				